

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-13007
Non-Argument Calendar

ALABAMA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP,
GREATER BIRMINGHAM MINISTRIES,
EVAN MILLIGAN,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

LAQUISHA CHANDLER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

versus

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ALABAMA,

Defendant-Appellant,

STEVE LIVINGSTON,

in his official capacity as Co-Chair of the Alabama Permanent
Legislative Committee on Reapportionment et al.,

Defendants.

2

Opinion of the Court

25-13007

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 2:21-cv-01531-AMM

No. 25-14131
Non-Argument Calendar

ALABAMA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP,
GREATER BIRMINGHAM MINISTRIES,
EVAN MILLIGAN,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

LAQUISHA CHANDLER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

versus

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ALABAMA,

Defendant-Appellant,

STEVE LIVINGSTON,

in his official capacity as Co-Chair of the Alabama Permanent
Legislative Committee on Reapportionment, et al.,

Defendants.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 2:21-cv-01531-AMM

Before BRANCH, LUCK, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

25-13007

Opinion of the Court

3

These appeals arise out of the district court’s entry of two injunctions under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act (“VRA”). The Supreme Court, in the past few weeks, has vacated several district court injunctions entered under the VRA, and has remanded those cases for reconsideration in light of *Louisiana v. Callais*, 146 S. Ct. 1131 (2026). See *Allen v. Caster*, No. 25-243, 2026 WL 1282800, at *1 (U.S. May 11, 2026); *Bd. of Election Comm’rs v. NAACP*, No. 25-234, 2026 WL 1377105, at *1 (U.S. May 18, 2026); *Turtle Mountain Band v. Howe*, No. 25-253, 2026 WL 1377069, at *1 (U.S. May 18, 2026); see also *Allen v. Milligan*, No. 25A1315, 2026 WL 1552756, at *1–2 (U.S. Jun. 2, 2026). We stayed the district court’s injunctions in these cases on May 28, 2026, concluding that Wes Allen, the Secretary of State of Alabama, had made a strong showing that we would, “as the Supreme Court has done,” vacate these injunctions for further consideration in light of *Callais*. The plaintiffs, who initially defended the injunctions on appeal, now request that we vacate and remand so that the district court can assess the impact of *Callais* on its rulings. In light of the Supreme Court’s recent practice, our precedent,¹ and the agreement between the parties that vacatur is appropriate, we vacate and remand for the district court to give these cases further consideration in light of *Callais* and *Milligan*. 146 S. Ct. at 1163; 2026 WL 1552756, at *1–2.

¹ See, e.g., *Powell v. Sch. Bd. of Volusia Cnty.*, 86 F.4th 881, 883 (11th Cir. 2023) (remanding in light of intervening Supreme Court authority); *United States v. Republic of Honduras*, 75 F.4th 1288, 1289 (11th Cir. 2023) (*en banc*) (same).

VACATED AND REMANDED.²

² Any rehearing petition must be filed no later than seven days from today. If none is filed, the clerk's office is directed to issue the mandate the next business day. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 40(d)(1); *id.* R. 41(b).