

FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

June 5, 2026

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

In re: TEVIN CARSON,

Petitioner.

No. 26-3096
(D.C. No. 5:26-CV-04039-TC-RES)
(D. Kan.)

ORDER

Before **HOLMES**, Chief Judge, **CARSON** and **ROSSMAN**, Circuit Judges.

This matter is before the court on Tevin Carson’s pro se petition for a writ of mandamus. Carson requests that we direct the district court to rule on pending motions and grant him in forma pauperis status in his civil case before the district court. He also requests that we “[r]ecognize [him] as the Real Party in Interest” in his action before the district court. Pet. at 1.

On May 4, 2026, the district court granted Carson in forma pauperis status. And it ruled on Carson’s pending motions on May 11. The district court has now provided the rulings that Carson requested in his mandamus petition. We therefore deny as moot his request to direct the district court to take action. We also deny Carson’s request related to recognizing him as a real party in interest. He has failed to show that he has no other adequate means to obtain the relief he seeks because the merits of his case remain before the district court, and all issues related to his case are to be resolved there in the first instance. *See In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 568 F.3d 1180, 1187 (10th Cir. 2009)

(“[T]he party seeking issuance of the writ must have no other adequate means to attain the relief he desires.” (internal quotation marks omitted)).

Accordingly, we deny Carson’s mandamus petition (Dkt. No. 1). We grant his motion for in forma pauperis status (Dkt. No. 2). We deny as moot Carson’s motion for a stay and injunction pending consideration of his mandamus petition (Dkt. No. 4).

Entered for the Court

Per Curiam