

FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

April 22, 2026

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

In re: EDWARD HOID,

Petitioner.

Nos. 26-1110 & 26-1111
(D.C. Nos. 1:26-CV-00313-RTG &
1:26-cv-01358-RTG)
(D. Colo.)

ORDER

Before **BACHARACH, PHILLIPS, and EID**, Circuit Judges.

These matters are before the court on Edward Hoid’s pro se mandamus petitions and supplemental petitions concerning his pending district-court cases. “Mandamus is available only upon a showing of a clear and indisputable right to relief. A petitioner seeking mandamus relief must demonstrate a clear abuse of discretion, or conduct by the district court amounting to a usurpation of judicial authority.” *Nichols v. Alley*, 71 F.3d 347, 350 (10th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) (citation omitted). Because Mr. Hoid has not shown a clear and indisputable right to relief, we deny the petitions (No. 26-1110, Dkt. Nos. 1, 4; No. 26-1111, Dkt. Nos. 1, 5) and the motions to proceed in forma pauperis (No. 26-1110, Dkt. No. 3; No. 26-1111, Dkt. No. 2).

Entered for the Court

Per Curiam