

FILED

United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

January 8, 2024

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

In re: NATHAN A. NOBLE,

Movant.

No. 23-6196

ORDER

Before **HOLMES**, Chief Judge, **EBEL** and **CARSON**, Circuit Judges.

Nathan A. Noble petitions for a writ of mandamus. His petition is difficult to understand, and we remain uncertain about the precise relief he seeks.¹ Our best interpretation is that he seeks a writ of mandamus directed to an Oklahoma state court. But we have no mandamus authority over state courts or their judicial officers. *See Van Sickle v. Holloway*, 791 F.2d 1431, 1436 n.5 (10th Cir. 1986). And to the extent Mr. Noble seeks some other form of mandamus relief that we have been unable to decipher from his petition, he has failed to show that his right to a writ is clear and indisputable. *See In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 568 F.3d 1180, 1187 (10th Cir. 2009).

Mr. Noble's petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

Entered for the Court



CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk

¹ Mr. Noble represents himself, so we construe his petition liberally. *See Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991).