

AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS

SEC. 304. (a) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 1976, and September 30, 1977, 1978, 1979, and 1980, only such sums may be appropriated as the Congress may hereafter authorize by law.

(b) There are authorized to be appropriated to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration not to exceed \$2,500,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, for the purpose of preparing the program definition under section 102(a).

(c) In addition to sums authorized to be appropriated by subsection (b), there are authorized to be appropriated to the fund not to exceed \$50,000,000 annually, such sums to carry out the provisions of the loan guaranty program by the Project under title II.

Approved September 3, 1974.

30 USC 1164.

Public Law 93-411

AN ACT

To amend the tobacco marketing quota provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938.

September 3, 1974
[H. R. 6485]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 is amended by inserting after section 319 the following new section:

“SEC. 320. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, beginning with the 1975 crop, any kind of tobacco for which marketing quotas are not in effect that is produced in an area where producers who are engaged in the production of a kind of tobacco traditionally produced in the area have approved marketing quotas under this Act shall be subject to the quota for the kind of tobacco traditionally produced in the area: *Provided, however,* That this section shall not apply in any case in which the Secretary or his designee finds any such nonquota tobacco is readily and distinguishably different from any kind of tobacco produced under quota, because of seed variety, cultural practices, method of curing and other factors affecting its physical characteristics, as determined through the application of the Federal Standards of Inspection and Identification of quota types and the tobacco does not possess any of the distinguishable characteristics of a quota type. If marketing quotas are in effect for more than one kind of tobacco in an area, any nonquota tobacco produced in the area shall be subject to quotas for the kind of tobacco traditionally produced in the area having the highest price support under the Agricultural Act of 1949.”

Approved September 3, 1974.

Tobacco.
Marketing
quotas.
7 USC 1314e.
7 USC 1314f.

7 USC 1421
note.

Public Law 93-412

AN ACT

To authorize in the District of Columbia a plan providing for the representation of defendants who are financially unable to obtain an adequate defense in criminal cases in the courts of the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

September 3, 1974
[S. 3703]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the “District of Columbia Criminal Justice Act”.

District of
Columbia Criminal
Justice Act.
D.C. Code 11-
2601 note.

SEC. 2. Title 11 of the District of Columbia Code is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new chapter:

**“Chapter 26.—REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENTS IN
CRIMINAL CASES**

“Sec.

“11-2601. Plan for furnishing representation to indigents in criminal cases.

“11-2602. Appointment of counsel.

“11-2603. Duration and substitution of appointments.

“11-2604. Payment for representation.

“11-2605. Services other than counsel.

“11-2606. Receipt of other payments.

“11-2607. Preparation of budget.

“11-2608. Authorization of appropriations.

“11-2609. Authority of council.

D.C. Code 11-
2601.

**“§ 11-2601. Plan for furnishing representation of indigents in
criminal cases**

“The Joint Committee on Judicial Administration shall place in operation, within ninety days after the effective date of this chapter, in the District of Columbia a plan for furnishing representation to any person in the District of Columbia who is financially unable to obtain adequate representation—

USC prec.
title 1.

“(1) who is charged with a felony, or misdemeanor, or other offense for which the sixth amendment to the Constitution requires the appointment of counsel or for whom, in a case which he faces loss of liberty, any law of the District of Columbia requires the appointment of counsel;

“(2) who is under arrest, when such representation is required by law;

“(3) who is charged with violating a condition of probation or parole in custody as a material witness, or seeking collateral relief, as provided in—

“(A) Section 23-110 of the District of Columbia Code (remedies on motion attacking sentence),

“(B) Chapter 7 of title 23 of the District of Columbia Code (extradition and fugitives from justice),

“(C) Chapter 19 of title 16 of the District of Columbia Code (habeas corpus),

“(D) Section 928 of the Act of March 8, 1901 (D.C. Code, sec. 24-302) (commitment of mentally ill person while serving sentence);

“(4) who is subject to proceedings pursuant to chapter 5 of title 21 of the District of Columbia Code (hospitalization of the mentally ill);

“(5) who is a juvenile and alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision.

Representation under the plan shall include counsel and investigative, expert, and other services necessary for an adequate defense. The plan shall include a provision for private attorneys, attorneys furnished by the Public Defender Service, and attorneys and qualified students participating in clinical programs.

D.C. Code 23-
701.

D.C. Code 16-
1901.

D.C. Code 21-
501.

D.C. Code 11
2602.

“§ 11-2602. Appointment of counsel

“Counsel furnishing representation under the plan shall in every case be selected from panels of attorneys designated and approved by the courts. In all cases where a person faces a loss of liberty and the Constitution or any other law requires the appointment of counsel, the court shall advise the defendant or respondent that he has the

USC prec.
title 1.

right to be represented by counsel and that counsel will be appointed to represent him if he is financially unable to obtain counsel. Unless the defendant or respondent waives representation by counsel, the court, if satisfied after appropriate inquiry that the defendant or respondent is financially unable to obtain counsel, shall appoint counsel to represent him. Such appointment may be made retroactive to include any representation furnished pursuant to the plan prior to appointment. The court shall appoint separate counsel for defendants or respondents having interests that cannot properly be represented by the same counsel, or when other good cause is shown. In all cases covered by this Act where the appointment of counsel is discretionary, the defendant or respondent shall be advised that counsel may be appointed to represent him if he is financially unable to obtain counsel, and the court shall in all such cases advise the defendant or respondent of the manner and procedures by which he may request the appointment of counsel.

§ 11-2603. Duration and substitution of appointments

D.C. Code 11-2603.

“A person for whom counsel is appointed shall be represented at every stage of the proceedings from his initial appearance before the court through appeals, including ancillary matters appropriate to the proceedings. If at any time after the appointment of counsel the court finds that the person is financially able to obtain counsel or to make partial payment for the representation, it may terminate the appointment of counsel or authorize payment as provided in section 2606 of this chapter, as the interests of justice may dictate. If at any stage of the proceedings, including an appeal, the court finds that the person is financially unable to pay counsel whom he had retained, it may appoint counsel as provided in section 2602, and authorize payment as provided in section 2604, as the interests of justice may dictate. The court may, in the interest of justice, substitute one appointed counsel for another at any stage of the proceedings.

§ 11-2604. Payment for representation

D.C. Code 11-2604.

“(a) Any attorney appointed pursuant to this chapter shall, at the conclusion of the representation or any segment thereof, be compensated at a rate fixed by the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration, not to exceed the hourly scale established by the provisions of section 3006A(d)(1) of title 18, United States Code. Such attorney shall be reimbursed for expenses reasonably incurred.

Expenses.

“(b) For representation of a defendant before the Superior Court or before the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, as the case may be, the compensation to be paid to an attorney shall not exceed the maximum amounts established by section 3006A(d)(2) of title 18, United States Code, in the corresponding kind of case or proceeding.

Excess amounts, claims.

“(c) Claims for compensation and reimbursement in excess of any maximum amount provided in subsection (b) of this section may be approved for extended or complex representation whenever such payment is necessary to provide fair compensation. Any such request for payment shall be submitted by the attorney for approval by the chief judge of the Superior Court upon recommendation of the presiding judge in the case or, in cases before the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, approval by the chief judge of the Court of Appeals upon recommendation of the presiding judge in the case. A decision shall be made by the appropriate chief judge in the case of every claim filed under this subsection.

Statement.

“(d) A separate claim for compensation and reimbursement shall be made to the Superior Court for representation before that court, and to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals for representation before that court. Each claim shall be supported by a sworn written statement specifying the time expended, services rendered, and expenses incurred while the case was pending before the court, and the compensation and reimbursement applied for or received in the same case from any other source. The court shall fix the compensation and reimbursement to be paid to the attorney. In cases where representation is furnished other than before the Superior Court or the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, claims shall be submitted to the Superior Court which shall fix the compensation and reimbursement to be paid.

“(e) For purposes of compensation and other payments authorized by this section, an order by a court granting a new trial shall be deemed to initiate a new case.

“(f) If a person for whom counsel is appointed under this section appeals to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, he may do so without prepayment of fees and costs or security therefor and without filing the affidavit required by section 1915(a) of title 28, United States Code.

D.C. Code 11-2605.

“§ 11-2605. Services other than counsel

“(a) Counsel for a person who is financially unable to obtain investigative, expert, or other services necessary for an adequate defense may request them in an ex parte application. Upon finding, after appropriate inquiry in an ex parte proceeding, that the services are necessary and that the person is financially unable to obtain them, the court shall authorize counsel to obtain the services.

“(b) Counsel appointed under this section may obtain, subject to later review, investigative, expert, or other services, excluding the preparation of reporter's transcript, without prior authorization if necessary for an adequate defense. The total cost of services obtained without prior authorization may not exceed \$150 or the rate provided by section 3006A(e)(2) of title 18, United States Code, whichever is higher, and expenses reasonably incurred.

“(c) Compensation to be paid to a person for services rendered by him to a person under this subsection shall not exceed \$300, or the rate provided by section 3006A(e)(3) of title 18, United States Code, whichever is higher, exclusive of reimbursement for expenses reasonably incurred, unless payment in excess of that limit is certified by the court, as necessary to provide fair compensation for services of an unusual character or duration, and the amount of the excess payment is approved by the presiding judge in the case.

D.C. Code 11-2606.

“§ 11-2606. Receipt of other payments

“(a) Whenever the court finds that funds are available for payment from or on behalf of a person furnished representation, it may authorize or direct that such funds be paid to the appointed attorney, or to any person or organization authorized pursuant to section 2605 of this title to render investigative, expert, or other services, or to the court for deposit in the Treasury as a reimbursement to the appropriation, current at the time of payment, to carry out the provisions of this section. Except as so authorized or directed, no such person or organization may request or accept any payment or promise of payment for representing a defendant.

Penalty.

“(b) Any person compensated, or entitled to be compensated, for

any services rendered under this chapter who shall seek, ask, demand, receive, or offer to receive, any money, goods, or services in return therefor from or on behalf of a defendant or respondent shall be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

“§ 11-2607. Preparation of Budget

D.C. Code 11-2607.

“The joint committee shall prepare and annually submit to the Commissioner of the District of Columbia, in conformity with section 1743 of this title, or to his successor in accordance with section 445 of the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act, for inclusion in the annual budget, annual estimates of the expenditures and appropriations necessary for furnishing representation by private attorneys to persons entitled to representation in accordance with section 2601 of this title.

D.C. Code 11 app.

“§ 11-2608. Authorization of appropriations

D.C. Code 11-2608.

“There are hereby authorized to be appropriated, out of any moneys in the Treasury credited to the District of Columbia, such funds as may be necessary for the administration of this chapter for fiscal years 1975 and 1976. When so specified in appropriation Acts, such appropriations shall remain available until expended.

“§ 11-2609. Authority of Council

D.C. Code 11-2609.

“Section 602(a) (4) of the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act shall not apply to this chapter.”.

D.C. Code 1-147.

SEC. 3. (a) Paragraph (1) of section 3006A, title 18, United States Code, as amended, is amended to read:

“(1) APPLICABILITY IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—The provisions of this Act, other than subsection (h) of section 1, shall apply in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The provisions of this Act shall not apply to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia and the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.”.

SEC. 4. This Act shall take effect upon the date of its enactment. Any person appointed on or after July 1, 1974, but prior to the commencing date of the plan referred to in section 11-2601 of the District of Columbia Code (as added by section 2 of this Act), by a judge of the Superior Court or the District of Columbia Court of Appeals to furnish to any person in the District of Columbia, who is financially unable to obtain adequate representation, that representation and those services referred to in such section 11-2601, may be compensated and reimbursed for such representation and services rendered, including expenses incurred therewith, upon filing a claim for payment. Payment shall not be allowed in excess of the amounts authorized in accordance with those sections added to the District of Columbia Code by such section 2.

Effective date.
D.C. Code 11-2601 note.

Approved September 3, 1974.

Public Law 93-413

AN ACT

To authorize appropriations for activities of the National Science Foundation, and for other purposes.

September 4, 1974
[H. R. 13999]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled. That there is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the National Science Foundation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, for the following categories:

National Science
Foundation Au-
thorization Act,
1975.