

Public Law 85-325

AN ACT

To authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to establish and develop certain installations for the national security, and to confer certain authority on the Secretary of Defense, and for other purposes.

February 12, 1958
[H. R. 9739]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Secretary of the Air Force may establish or develop military installations and facilities by acquiring, constructing, converting, rehabilitating, or installing permanent or temporary public works, including site preparation, appurtenances, utilities, and equipment, for the following projects: *Provided,* That with respect to the authorizations pertaining to the dispersal of the Strategic Air Command Forces, no authorization for any individual location shall be utilized unless the Secretary of the Air Force or his designee has first obtained, from the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Chiefs of Staff, approval of such location for dispersal purposes.

Air Force installations.

SEMIAUTOMATIC GROUND ENVIRONMENT SYSTEM (SAGE)

Grand Forks Air Force Base, Grand Forks, North Dakota: Administrative facilities, \$270,000.

Post, p. 659.

K. I. Sawyer Airport, Marquette, Michigan: Administrative facilities, \$277,000.

Larson Air Force Base, Moses Lake, Washington: Utilities, \$50,000.

Luke Air Force Base, Phoenix, Arizona: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$11,582,000.

Malmstrom Air Force Base, Great Falls, Montana: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$6,901,000.

Minot Air Force Base, Minot, North Dakota: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$10,338,000.

Norton Air Force Base, San Bernadino, California: Utilities, \$172,000.

Syracuse Air Force Station, Syracuse, New York: Troop housing facilities, \$80,000.

BALLISTIC MISSILE DETECTION SYSTEM

Various locations: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, research, development, and test facilities, supply facilities, hospital and medical facilities, administrative facilities, housing and community facilities, utilities, land acquisition, and ground improvements, \$189,000,000.

BALLISTIC MISSILES

Various locations: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, research, development, and test facilities, supply facilities, hospital and medical facilities, administrative facilities, housing and community facilities, utilities, land acquisition, and ground improvements, \$112,400,000.

ALERT AND DISPERSAL OF STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND FORCES

Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, South Dakota: Operational and training facilities, \$3,194,000.

Fairchild Air Force Base, Spokane, Washington: Operational and training facilities, \$1,461,000.

Grand Forks Air Force Base, Grand Forks, North Dakota: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$895,000.

Griffiss Air Force Base, Rome, New York: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$664,000.

Larson Air Force Base, Moses Lake, Washington: Operational and training facilities, \$2,603,000.

Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$1,089,000.

Loring Air Force Base, Limestone, Maine: Operational and training facilities, \$1,524,000.

Malmstrom Air Force Base, Great Falls, Montana: Operational and training facilities, \$872,000.

Minot Air Force Base, Minot, North Dakota: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$867,000.

Mountain Home Air Force Base, Mountain Home, Idaho: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$4,380,000.

Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebraska: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$690,000.

Pease Air Force Base, Portsmouth, New Hampshire: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$1,668,000.

Plattsburg Air Force Base, Plattsburg, New York: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$1,116,000.

Westover Air Force Base, Chicopee Falls, Massachusetts: Operational and training facilities, and utilities, \$2,368,000.

Eglin Air Force Base, Valparaiso, Florida: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, and utilities and ground improvements, \$8,958,000.

Glasgow Air Force Base, Glasgow, Montana: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$29,644,000.

Kinross Air Force Base, Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan: Operational and training facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$23,762,000.

K. I. Sawyer Airport, Marquette, Michigan: Operational and training facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$27,233,000.

Robins Air Force Base, Macon, Georgia: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, and utilities, \$3,667,000.

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, utilities, and ground improvements, \$22,632,000.

Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Michigan: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$22,349,000.

Clinton County Air Force Base, Wilmington, Ohio: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$8,776,000.

Dover Air Force Base, Dover, Delaware: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, and utilities, \$4,715,000.

Ernest Harmon Air Force Base, Stephenville, Newfoundland: Operational and training facilities, and maintenance and production facilities, \$2,217,000.

Goose Air Base, Labrador: Operational and training facilities, and maintenance and production facilities, \$2,007,000.

McChord Air Force Base, Tacoma, Washington: Operational and training facilities, supply facilities, and utilities, \$4,995,000.

McGuire Air Force Base, Wrightstown, New Jersey: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, housing and community facilities, and utilities, \$6,979,000.

Otis Air Force Base, Falmouth, Massachusetts: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, and utilities, \$7,079,000.

Selfridge Air Force Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan: Operational and training facilities, maintenance and production facilities, supply facilities, and utilities, \$17,487,000.

Various locations: Land acquisition as required for the stations listed above, \$2,709,000.

SEC. 2. The Secretary of the Air Force may proceed to establish or develop installations and facilities under this Act without regard to sections 3648 and 3734 of the Revised Statutes, as amended, and sections 4774 (d) and 9774 (d) of title 10, United States Code. The authority to place permanent or temporary improvements on land includes authority for surveys, administration, overhead, planning and supervision incident to construction. That authority may be exercised before title to the land is approved under section 355 of the Revised Statutes, as amended, and even though the land is held temporarily. The authority to acquire real estate or land includes authority to make surveys and to acquire land, and interests in land (including temporary use), by gift, purchase, exchange of Government-owned land, or otherwise.

SEC. 3. There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for the purposes of sections 1 and 2 of this Act but appropriations for public works projects authorized by those sections may not exceed \$549,670,000.

SEC. 4. Whenever—

(1) the President determines that compliance with section 2313 (b) of title 10, United States Code, for contracts made under this Act for the establishment or development of military installations and facilities in foreign countries would interfere with the carrying out of this Act; and

(2) the Secretary of Defense and the Comptroller General have agreed upon alternative methods for adequately auditing those contracts; the President may exempt those contracts from the requirements of that section.

SEC. 5. Contracts made by the United States under this Act shall be awarded, insofar as practicable, on a competitive basis to the lowest responsible bidder, if the national security will not be impaired and the award is consistent with chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, and section 15 of the Act of August 9, 1955 (69 Stat. 547, 551). The Secretary of the Air Force shall report semi-annually to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives with respect to all contracts awarded on other than a competitive basis to the lowest responsible bidder.

SEC. 6. Any of the amounts named in section 1 of this Act may, in the discretion of the Secretary of the Air Force, be increased by 15 per centum. However, the total cost of all projects may not be more than the total amount authorized to be appropriated by section 3 of this Act.

SEC. 7. The Secretary of Defense or his designee is authorized to engage in such advanced projects essential to the Defense Department's responsibilities in the field of basic and applied research and development which pertain to weapons systems and military requirements as the Secretary of Defense may determine after consultation with the Joint Chiefs of Staff; and for a period of one year from the

Land improvements, etc.

40 USC 259, 267.

70A Stat. 269, 590.

33 USC 733 and note.

Appropriation.

Post, p. 659.

Contracts.

70A Stat. 133.

70A Stat. 127.
41 USC 152.
Report to Congress.

Increase in project amount.

Advanced projects, etc.

effective date of this Act, the Secretary of Defense or his designee is further authorized to engage in such advanced space projects as may be designated by the President.

Nothing in this provision of law shall preclude the Secretary of Defense from assigning to the military departments the duty of engaging in research and development of weapons systems necessary to fulfill the combatant functions assigned by law to such military departments.

The Secretary or his designee is authorized to perform assigned research and development projects: by contract with private business entities, educational or research institutions, or other agencies of the Government, through one or more of the military departments, or by utilizing employees and consultants of the Department of Defense.

The Secretary of Defense shall assign any weapons systems developed to such military department or departments for production and operational control as he may determine.

Approved February 12, 1958.

Public Law 85-326

AN ACT

February 12, 1958
[S. 1408]

To provide allowances for transportation of house trailers to civilian employees of the United States who are transferred from one official station to another.

Transportation
allowances, trailers.
60 Stat. 806.
5 USC 73b-1.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 1 (b) of the Act entitled "An Act to authorize certain administrative expenses in the Government service, and for other purposes", approved August 2, 1946, as amended, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following: "Under such regulations as the President may prescribe, any civilian officer or employee who transports a house trailer or mobile dwelling within the continental United States, within Alaska, or between the continental United States and Alaska, for use as a residence and who would otherwise be entitled to transportation of household goods and personal effects under subsection (a) shall be entitled to a reasonable allowance, not to exceed 20 cents per mile, in lieu of such transportation."

Approved February 12, 1958.

Public Law 85-327

AN ACT

February 15, 1958
[H. R. 3770]

To rename the Strawn Dam and Reservoir project in the State of Kansas as the John Redmond Dam and Reservoir.

John Redmond
Dam and Reser-
voir, Kans.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Strawn Dam and Reservoir project on the Neosho River near Burlington, Kansas, shall be known and designated as the John Redmond Dam and Reservoir. Any law, regulation, document, or record of the United States in which such dam and reservoir is referred to under any other name or designation shall be held to refer to such dam and reservoir as John Redmond Dam and Reservoir.

Approved February 15, 1958.