

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

JULY 26, 1842.

Ordered to be printed.

Mr. SEVIER submitted the following

**REPORT :**

*The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Daniel Davis, have had the same under consideration, and report :*

The petitioner alleges that he enlisted in an artillery company in the month of March, 1781, in a company commanded by Captain Stroat, and that he continued in constant service until the treaty of peace in 1783. His statement is sustained by the testimony of two or three individuals, who are said to be respectable. His name, however, is not to be found on the very full pay rolls of the Massachusetts military service, nor was there, at that time (according to the statement of the Commissioner of Pensions), any law authorizing the enlistment of men for such long terms of service, as has been shown in this case. The petitioner has no written discharge, but states that his discharge was a *verbal* one. The presumption is very strong that his service was in the nature of a local police or patrol, which, however necessary and meritorious, is not deemed a military service in the contemplation of the act of the 7th of June, 1832; therefore, the committee submit the following resolution :

*Resolved,* That the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.

Thomas Allen, print.

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

July 26, 1911  
Ordered to be printed

Mr. Severin submitted the following  
REPORT:

The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of [REDACTED],  
therefore have had the same under consideration, and report,  
[REDACTED] that he enlisted in an artillery company in the  
[REDACTED] 1891, in a company commanded by Captain [REDACTED], and that he  
[REDACTED] in common service with the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. His name  
[REDACTED] by the testimony of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], who were  
[REDACTED]. His name, however, failed to be found on the [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] military service, nor was there, in that  
[REDACTED] to the statement of the Commissioner of Pensions, any [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] the enlistment of him for such long [REDACTED] as this [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. The petitioner has no written discharge, but since the  
[REDACTED] was a [REDACTED]. The presumption is very strong that his  
[REDACTED] was in the [REDACTED] of a local police or [REDACTED], which, however, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] is not [REDACTED] a military service in the [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] 1892; therefore, the committee cannot [REDACTED]

Ordered that the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.