

I also call upon the Senate to move quickly to confirm Lieutenant General Petraeus as the commander of the Multi-National Forces—Iraq, Admiral Fallon as the commander of Central Command, and General Casey as the Army Chief of Staff. It is important to get these three fine individuals in their posts as soon as possible so that we have continuity as we do our duty to protect the American people.

We'll have a good, wide-ranging discussion here, and then Laura and I have the high privilege of welcoming you and your

spouses to dinner tonight. Welcome, and thank you for coming.

NOTE: The President spoke at 5:06 p.m. in the Cabinet Room at the White House. In his remarks, he referred to Secretary of Defense Robert M. Gates; Lt. Gen. David H. Petraeus, USA, commanding general, U.S. Army Combined Arms Center and Fort Leavenworth; and Gen. George W. Casey, Jr., USA, commanding general, Multi-National Force—Iraq.

Remarks in a Discussion on Health Care in Lee's Summit, Missouri *January 25, 2007*

The President. Rich, thank you very much for inviting us here to Saint Luke's. We had a fascinating tour of your facility. It is safe to say that Saint Luke's hospital—the Saint Luke's Health System understands the power of technology to help compassionate doctors and nurses better do their job. And technology is a—we saw, like, unbelievably interesting medical programs, programs that enable doctors to better analyze disease and deal with disease before it becomes acute, which is important.

The reason why I emphasize the information technology aspects of this hospital is that part of the role of Government is to encourage people to make decisions to help hold the cost of health care down. And when a hospital modernizes, when you go from files to electronics, it helps hold the cost of health care down.

One of the interesting things about medicine is that medicine tends to have lagged behind the rest of our economy when it comes to information technology. And yet under Rich's leadership, this facility and its sister facilities are doing some really interesting reforms we'll talk about a little bit.

I want to thank George Pagels, who is the CEO of this facility. George, thank you. He's a doctor and gave us the tour—met a lot of really fine nurses and docs, by the way. I appreciate Senator Bond joining us; thanks for coming. And of course, our Secretary of Health and Human Services, who will say something here in a minute.

I want to talk a little bit about a comprehensive strategy to make health care available and affordable. There is no question in my mind that a proper role for the Federal Government is to help the poor and the elderly and the diseased get health care. We'll do that. And to the extent that these important programs need to be reformed and strengthened, we will do that as well. Witness what we did with Medicare. Medicare was old and stagnant; it needed to be reformed. We reformed it through a generous prescription drug benefit that has actually worked; it's helped our seniors. And so we will do our duty at the Federal level, and when we find deficiencies in Federal programs, we will work to correct them, for the good of the citizens and the taxpayers.

The second aspect of our responsibility is to work to make health care available

and affordable for all our citizens, and the best way to do that is through private health insurance. Therein lies part of the debate we have in Washington. We believe the private sector is the best delivery of health care. We know there's a role for the Federal Government, but it's not to dictate; it's not to be the decisionmaker. And so Mike and I and others in my administration have been strategizing on how best to make health care available and affordable.

Here are some ways. One, helping spread information technology—we're the biggest user of health care in the United States, and therefore, we can help people understand the benefits of using information technology. Secondly, price transparency, one reason we came to this hospital is that under Rich's leadership, this hospital system has been willing to place its prices and its quality ratings out for consumers to see.

I mean, health care is an interesting industry, isn't it, where a lot of times you have no idea about the price of the service you're paying for. You just assume it's okay. Somebody says, "Here's your price," and you say, "Okay, I'll pay it." We believe that with price transparency and quality assessments, consumers will have a better decisionmaking process. And we want consumers making the decision in health care.

Think about a system where there's a third-party payer. So you've got your insurance, somebody pays your bills for you, and you're not involved. You just kind of assume that the third-party payer is making a rational decision on your behalf. And our view is, is that in order to have—to worry about health care costs, the more a consumer is involved, the more likely we'll be able to deal with the increasing cost of health care.

Another reform is medical liability reform. I'm walking around the hospital here; a professional comes up to me and says, "We practice too much medicine for fear of lawsuits," which raises the cost of your

bill. See, if the medical provider is worried about getting sued, they will make decisions on how to stay out of the court of law more than—as important as decisions on how to keep you healthy. Medical liability is a real problem in a lot of States.

And we are trying to get the United States Congress to hear that same call. And I'll keep working on medical liability reform. Why—to help make health care more affordable. But also, when you get a lot of lawsuits, it causes good docs to quit the practice of medicine. There are a lot of counties in the country that do not have ob-gyn because these lawsuits have run them out of practice. And it's not right, and it's not fair. And so we need to have the political will in Washington to take on a very powerful lobby, which is the trial lawyers, and prevent these frivolous lawsuits from running up the cost of your medicine and running good docs out of practice.

A couple of other points I want to make before we talk to the—talk about this new initiative I laid out to the country. I think it's very important to help develop plans that make the consumer in charge of as much of the health care decision as possible. These are called—one idea is health savings accounts. This basically says that there is a product available for you to use where you're the decisionmaker and you're able to contribute tax free, earn money in your account tax free, take money out tax free on medicine. If you don't spend the money in your account one year, you can roll it over; it becomes a savings account. It's an incentive for you to make good decisions about your life, and it also provides catastrophic care in case something bad happens to you. We'll talk about health savings accounts here in a minute, and their effect on enabling people who do not have insurance to have health care available and affordable for them.

Finally, small businesses need help. I mean, it's hard to be able to buy insurance when you're a stand-alone company. And

insurance is basically a spreading of risk through pooling of risk, and we just need to allow small businesses to be able to buy insurance at the same discounts that big companies can by pooling risk.

In other words, a restaurant in Missouri ought to be able to have their employees insured with a restaurant in Texas. In other words, put them all in the same pool so they can get the benefits of spreading risk. Now, these are practical things to get done. And they're hard to get done in Washington because people in Washington have a different view. They want the Government basically making the decisions for health care. The view of the people here is that you ought to be making those decisions.

One way to encourage you to make the right decisions when it comes to health care is to take the inequities out of the Tax Code. If you work for a company, you pay—you get your health care free, in essence. It's part of the benefit package. If you're a stand-alone person, you pay your health care on an after-tax basis. In other words, there's discrimination in the Tax Code based upon who you work for. It makes it harder for people to be able to—individuals or small-company employees to be able to buy health care.

And so what we've said—and Michael spent a little time describing this—we've said that all Americans who have health care ought to be allowed to have a \$15,000 deduction on your income taxes if you're a married couple—if you're married, and if you're not, \$7,500. In other words, the benefits you receive from your company become part of your taxable income, offset by a \$15,000 deduction. And so if the benefits you get from your company are \$11,000, you'll have \$4,000 deducted from your income. And that's important.

It also will help people who are uninsured or on the verge of being uninsured. In other words, it encourages the development of an individual market. It makes it more likely an individual will be able to

afford health care. If you've got a family of four with \$60,000 income, you get a substantial tax savings, which will then enable your health insurance to be more affordable. And we'll talk about that.

The point I'm trying to make to you is, the system is geared toward enabling the individual to have more control over his or her decisionmaking and make the Tax Code fair for the individual. And finally, I've instructed Michael to work with States. We believe that there's been some very innovative policy that takes place at the State level to cover the uninsured, to help the sick, to help those who are poor be able to get insurance. And so we're going to have flexibility with Federal money that goes to States, and all we request is the States develop a basic health insurance plan that becomes more affordable. Oftentimes the plan that is only available for the individual is priced out of their control because of mandates and add-ons. And Michael is going to say to Governors: Look, we're going to help you. You got some interesting ideas. We think it makes sense to use Federal money to help you with those ideas, but you need to develop a basic plan so that health care is affordable for more of our citizens.

And here's a comprehensive strategy. A lot of times in Washington, they say: "Well, let's just design it there in the Federal Government; it will all work." It won't, in my judgment. It will become bureaucratic; it will become costly; it won't empower individuals; it will make it harder to get affordable health care.

And so here's a strategy, a multiple-pronged strategy, a strategy that says there's a lot of things we need to do to help our American citizens be able to buy private health insurance.

Leavitt is in charge. Michael is the Secretary of Health and Human Services. He's spent a lot of time on the subject. You might want to add a few comments, and then we can hear from some of our citizens here.

[At this point, Secretary of Health and Human Services Michael O. Leavitt made brief remarks.]

The President. Yes, Leavitt, one thing before you get—I see we’ve got some cameramen here. Why don’t you give them the cameraman story.

Secretary Leavitt. I had a terrific conversation yesterday.

The President. For all you cameramen out there.

Secretary Leavitt. Someone asked me—actually, it was a news organization here in Missouri, anticipating our trip, asked me, “What are you going to talk about?” And I said, essentially, we’ve got this problem that we’re trying to solve, with people who work in restaurants or in daycare centers or are self-employed, and it’s unfair that they should be treated in a way. And I could see the cameraman—

The President. He’s an independent contractor; he’s on his own, basically.

Secretary Leavitt. But he was behind the camera doing this, which is unusual. [Laughter]

The President. Because he wants to be treated just like the person who works for big corporate America, and he wants to be able to have that deduction.

Secretary Leavitt. So before we were even off the satellite, he’s saying—“And you should have said independent cameramen.” [Laughter] He said, “Do you know how much I pay for insurance?” He says, “It’s \$1,350 a month, and I have to pay it after I pay my taxes, and it’s just not fair.”

It isn’t fair. This is the right thing to be doing.

The President. Thank you.

Rich, thanks for having us. Appreciate you inviting—letting us tour your hospital here.

[G. Richard Hastings, president and chief executive officer, Saint Luke’s Health System, made brief remarks.]

The President. The other thing that’s interesting about what Rich is doing—availability of health care—they’ve got, like, a specialist sitting in Kansas City capable of analyzing somebody’s graphs in a remote region, which, again, remember it’s affordability and availability for health care. And information technology is able to make medicine available throughout rural Missouri or rural Kansas, for example. And it’s very exciting, and I appreciate what you’re doing.

Mr. Hastings. Thank you, sir.

Secretary Leavitt. I want to make certain you all understand here three things that are happening that need to happen all over the country—here that are happening. The first is, you’re a connected system. If a patient wants to get their medical records, they can do it in a convenient way. People need to have access to their own records in a way that will be convenient to them. And this idea that a patient then can have an independent assessment of the quality of the care that their provider is giving them is revolutionary and very important.

But then you combine that with the ability for people to know what it costs. Once you have the cost and the quality, you’re now making decisions based on value. And that’s what we hope in the future. The whole system will become a connected system that has competition based on value, where consumers are making decisions, as opposed to someone other than the consumer.

The President. Dr. Jim Kelly. Why don’t you tell people what you do, Doctor?

James B. Kelly. Thank you, Mr. President.

The President. Let me guess. [Laughter]

[Dr. Kelly, anesthesiologist, Saint Luke’s Hospital of Kansas City, made brief remarks.]

The President. I don’t think people know what you mean by defensive medicine. Why don’t you describe that?

Dr. Kelly. Well, you're always concerned. One, we're here to take care of patients, and that's our primary objective. But if you are told that you do a poor job or go—everybody lives in fear of being sued, and they will go to extreme lengths to document that everything they're doing is the right thing. If any of us were so unfortunate to fall down right now and bump our head, it would be hard to get out of this hospital—if you tell the emergency room physician that you hit your head—without a CT scan, maybe even an overnight stay in the ICU. They want to make sure that they're okay and not just trust their clinical skills that it's a little bump on the head.

The President. In other words, practicing maybe too much medicine—

Dr. Kelly. Too much medicine and extra tests.

The President. It actually costs the Federal Government something like 23 billion a year.

[*Dr. Kelly made further remarks.*]

The President. Well, I appreciate you. I happen to believe this is a Federal issue. When I first came to Washington, I said, we ought to allow these—each State to determine their own medical liability reform. I believe it's—and I chose to make it a Washington issue because it's costing our taxpayers so much money. When I say “costing,” we're a big consumer of health care through Medicare, Medicaid, veterans' benefits. And when doctors' premiums go up, they charge more. And with doctors in fear of being sued, they practice more medicine than is necessary.

And I don't know if 20 billion is the right number, but it is a lot. I think it is something like that. And that's extra money for the taxpayers. And I'd like to get it done in Washington, DC, frankly. And I believe medical liability is a huge—I know it's a huge issue in a lot of States, and we'd like to help you. Thanks for working on it. Appreciate you being involved.
Jim Henderson.

Jim Henderson. Thank you, Mr. President.

The President. President—

Mr. Henderson. Not like you, but thank you very much. [*Laughter*]

The President. —of Dynamic Sales, Inc. Where are you based, and what do you do?

Mr. Henderson. St. Louis, Missouri. We're a construction and industrial supply company—

The President. Great. How are you doing?

Mr. Henderson. —and I'm a second-generation owner. It's been very good. The economy has been very good to us, and the business has been booming. We're on our 11th record year.

The President. Good.

Mr. Henderson. So we're very pleased.

The President. All because of good management.

Mr. Henderson. From the top down. [*Laughter*]

The President. That's right. How many employees?

Mr. Henderson. We have seven employees; five full-time, two part-time.

The President. Right, and your issue with health care?

[*Mr. Henderson made further remarks, concluding as follows.*]

Mr. Henderson. So when they say, “Well, we can keep your premiums the same,” they're not really saving us anything, because we're having to pass that along to the employees, of which I am an employee of the corporation. So now my health insurance costs just went up too. And it's just a vicious cycle that we've been dealing with for over 17 years.

The President. This is probably the biggest problem we hear from small businesses around the country. And in that small businesses create most new jobs in America, it makes sense to come up with plans to help small businesses thrive.

Michael, I don't know if you want to comment on this——

Secretary Leavitt. I'd be interested——

The President.——deductibility plan because I think it's going to save your employees a lot of money and make it easier for you to be in a position to do what you want to do, which is be——consider yourself a good employer.

Mr. Henderson. Well, that's the hard thing, is when you're trying to hire more people, health insurance is really important. And if you're telling people: "Well, we've got a \$2,000 deductible and a 70/30 split, but, hey, we're a good company to work for"—well, you might be a nice family company to work for, but I can't afford to work here.

The President. Have you looked at this deal that I proposed?

Mr. Henderson. Yes, sir; I have. And from what I've looked at, off of the information you've sent to me, I think that it's going to be very attractive.

The President. And how does it help you?

Secretary Leavitt. Jim could describe it, or I—well, I mean, Jim is like a lot of other small businesses. If he and his employees had this ability to have this standard exemption—in Jim's case personally, he'd have the exemption; he'd get the tax savings. It would be—he'd have about a \$2,183 increase in his take-home pay. The value of the actual deduction to him would be 7,200, which would go a long ways to helping defer some of those costs.

When you look at that example—and take Martha, for example—Martha had a similar problem as Jim did and chose to go to a health savings account. When you combine these two, it becomes well within the grasp of almost any employee to have an affordable, basic health insurance. Martha, maybe you could——

The President. Yes. Just one point before you go. I think it's very important for our citizens to know that as we level the playing field between employees of little companies

and big companies, it makes it easier for small companies to stay in business. The Tax Code, it treats a certain group of people in the United States unfairly when it comes to health care. People who work for big businesses get their health care on a—without—with no—they pay no taxable income on it. Small companies who are having trouble staying in business because of the nature of their size of the company pass on the increased cost to their employees. And we've got to level the playing field from a taxes perspective. It is by far the most hopeful and fair option of any medical health care option out there today, unless, of course, you want the Federal Government running it all, saying, "Okay, we'll provide you insurance, we'll provide everybody insurance," which would be a mistake.

Anyway, listen to health savings accounts, but I don't want to be Mr. Lecturer. But she is—it's an interesting option for you.

Mr. Henderson. I did look at those, but for our employees, they weren't as attractive, because our employees said: "If I can set aside \$2,000 a year or whatever to put into a health savings account, I'd be doing it anyway."

Secretary Leavitt. So they'll be able to—with this tax change, they'll now have the money that can go to do just that.

Mr. Henderson. That's been what's so frustrating about this, is each year those costs go up—we want to make it affordable for our employees—the company's paying more for the insurance that they're receiving. We don't get to turn around and say to our customers, "Hey, we're raising your prices"——

The President. No, that's right.

Mr. Henderson.——"25 percent because our insurance went up." And when we ask the insurance: "Why is it going up? We haven't had these major losses." Their reply is, "Because we can." That's what I was told: "Because we can." I'd like to tell all my customers that, hey, I'm raising your prices 25 percent because I can.

The President. Right. It's a problem, and the reason I've come here to discuss this with a frustrated small-business owner like you is because we believe that we've designed a solution that will help a lot.

Mr. Henderson. Yes, sir.

The President. Martha.

Martha Gelencher. Yes, Mr. President.

The President. Why don't you tell everybody what you do? Are you as passionate about your employees as my man Jim?

Ms. Gelencher. Yes, I am.

The President. That's good.

Ms. Gelencher. I've been in the business for 30-plus years, and having health insurance has always been a big issue. I think we as employee—individuals now are facing what Jim said is—the individual and the employer are facing the same problem right now.

The President. Let me ask you something. How many employees do you have?

Ms. Gelencher. Thirty, of which six are full-time.

The President. Right. And so you started getting squeezed.

Ms. Gelencher. Yes, from the very beginning. In fact, no one wanted—for many years, no one wanted to insure someone that had only 30 employees.

The President. Yes, or six full-timers.

Ms. Gelencher. Yes. It's like, well, you're just not—

The President. It's one reason why you don't have a lot of leverage.

Ms. Gelencher. Right.

The President. People don't want to insure you.

Mr. Henderson. If we drop below five, we've been told—

The President. Yes. So you're at 6 full-time, 24 part-time. And you started looking at options, and what happens?

Ms. Gelencher. Well, we went with the HSAs; we've been with them for 2½ years. We were able to give more full-time people insurance. We save 40 percent of what we had been paying.

The President. Yes, see, that's why I think—get somebody to—HSAs really do hold the cost down for small businesses.

Ms. Gelencher. They really do. They really do.

The President. It's a little difficult to get the employee to sign on at first, right? It's a novel concept, as opposed to somebody paying your bills. An HSA basically says, you're in charge of your own health care.

Ms. Gelencher. Right, and it gives the small-business person a little advantage over the larger-business person, because they have their own savings account—

The President. That's right.

Ms. Gelencher. —and they can—it grows, and it's theirs, and they become more responsible for how they spend their health care.

The President. A high deductible catastrophic care plan with the company and/or the individual contributing tax free the amount of the deductible into a savings account. The person owns their savings account. In other words, it's beneficial to small business because it's cheaper to buy the HSA than it is normal insurance that you're battling for. Have you found that to be true?

Ms. Gelencher. I agree with you 100 percent. Yes. And our employees are very pleased. And I mean, really, it was a lifesaver for us because it just became such a big problem, as we see all across the board now, with individuals—low income, middle income—most of our people are middle income, so that's to their advantage.

The President. See, the problem with small businesses and individuals is that there's no market relative to—like big companies; there just isn't. And we need to help establish a market. Demand will yield the supply of insurance policies, so long as States enable there to be the development of a basic plan without mandates and things added on to it. And that's really one of the benefits of HSAs, by the way. It's like a basic health care plan.

Let me ask you something. Do your employees like the idea of being able to—of course they like the idea—your employees are able to take their health care with them from job to job—take the savings account aspect?

Ms. Gelencher. Exactly.

The President. It's theirs, not yours.

Ms. Gelencher. Right.

Secretary Leavitt. May I ask Martha a question?

The President. Yes, sure.

Secretary Leavitt. Martha, I have an HSA, so I have some experience with this, but I'm curious as to your experience with them. Did it cause you to be more interested in what things were costing than when you just had an insurance card?

Ms. Gelencher. Exactly. Exactly.

Secretary Leavitt. I remember the first time I walked into a drug store with my HSA and presented them with my new card. And I knew I was—that my savings—my health savings account would be paying part of it. And they—this prescription I had was going to be \$379. And I'd been getting this prescription for quite awhile, and I had no idea what it was going to cost. And I said to the druggist, "Is there a generic version of this?" It was—it changed my way of thinking about this completely. Did you have that experience?

Ms. Gelencher. Exactly the same.

Secretary Leavitt. Have you seen any kind of change in the way your employees have thought about this as a result?

[*Ms. Gelencher, owner, Corporate Building Services, made further remarks.*]

Secretary Leavitt. Something that Rich said and that Martha has said that is important: One of the things they are doing here at Saint Luke's is that they are allowing people to know what the prices are in advance. A lot of people go to hospitals and they say, "I'd like to know how much this costs." And the hospital just isn't equipped to answer that question or the doctor just doesn't think about what the cost is because

usually it's just about processing the insurance.

This very—this gets at the heart of how we keep costs down. Suddenly people begin to ask themselves: Is there a generic version of this? How much should this cost? Those are the kinds of questions that begin to create small businesses with a competitive product. And hospitals like the one we're in today have led in that transparency, knowing the quality, knowing the cost, being involved in the decision. It's a very important way to keep costs down.

The President. Yes, that's why the best health care system is one that recognizes that decisions made by doctors and patients are the best decisions. It's best to hold down costs. It's best to enhance quality. It is really what happens in most other aspects of our market. It doesn't happen—happening in health care. And the policies that we're detailing are trying to encourage more consumer involvement in order to hold down costs. And it's a foreign concept for a lot of people because it hasn't been happening for years. And it's a concept that frankly some in Washington don't like because it runs contrary to a philosophy that basically says, the Federal Government is a better decisionmaker.

Anyway, thanks for joining us. Thanks for being an innovator. The tax deduction is going to help your folks—

Ms. Gelencher. Yes, very much so.

The President. —\$15,000, 7,500 for a single person.

Ms. Gelencher. And I can't imagine why someone would not—

The President. Be for it?

Ms. Gelencher. —think it would.
[Laughter]

The President. Well, it's an interesting question, particularly since it's a revenue-neutral proposal, which is important. Cost money or lose money, it's—according to the experts, it's revenue neutral.

Jones—Dan Jones, where do you live?

Dan Jones. I live in St. Louis, Missouri.

The President. You do—home of the mighty Cardinals.

Mr. Jones. Yes, sir. Yes, Mr. President.

The President. They came to the White House recently.

[*Mr. Jones, service engineer, Software To Go, made further remarks.*]

The President. Here's the classic guy— young guy in the marketplace, basically priced out of the individual market.

Mr. Jones. Yes, sir.

The President. And the plan helps him. Matter of fact, what they were telling me coming in that you could buy a good health care plan for about \$1,350.

Mr. Jones. Yes, we were looking at that. And within the State of Missouri, I can get about \$1,300 for a really nice health care for the year.

The President. Save 2,270 in taxes.

Mr. Jones. Over the year.

The President. So you put \$929 in your pocket.

Mr. Jones. Absolutely.

The President. Does it make sense to do that? I think it does.

Mr. Jones. What could you do with just a little over—under a thousand dollars? That's Christmas, you know. [*Laughter*]

The President. Well, why don't you think about saving it? [*Laughter*]

Mr. Jones. Yes, well, I got a gigantic family, and I got a godchild who: "Buy me this, buy me that."

The President. Anyway, there are plenty of people who feel like they're doing just fine when it comes to health insurance, particularly those who work for big corporate America, which is fine. It's just that the system discriminates against the Dan Joneses because the Tax Code that has evolved over time has made it harder for him, relative to other people in our society, to purchase insurance.

And this is exactly what this plan is designed to do. We're trying to move somebody like Dan from being a statistic, an uninsured person, into insurance. And here

is a logical way for the Government to do so. And if people in Washington are serious about dealing with the uninsured, here is a serious idea for them to consider. Instead of just dismissing things because of pure politics, we have put forth ideas that are worthy of debate and we believe will work. And I know that our citizens, fellow citizens, regardless of their political party, expect there to be a serious dialog on constructive ideas that are put forward to make sure people like Dan Jones have got private insurance. And I thank you for coming and sharing. How many people work in your firm?

Mr. Jones. Three full-time employees right now, but hopefully, more.

The President. But you're growing.

Mr. Jones. Absolutely.

The President. There you are.

Mr. Jones. Small businesses, they grow. And the more money you save along the way, the more you can grow.

The President. There you go. Well, thank you for coming.

Mr. Jones. Thank you, Mr. President.

The President. Tom. Appreciate you coming, Tom.

Thomas R. Beauregard. Sure.

The President. Tom Beauregard. What do you do?

Mr. Beauregard. I lead a business within United Health Care that's focused on extending access to the uninsured.

The President. Oh, really?

[*Mr. Beauregard, lead strategist, Hewitt Associates LLC, made further remarks, concluding as follows.*]

Mr. Beauregard. And then the other thing we see is just changes in the labor market, where you've got people moving from job to job. So the average individual will have about 10 jobs across their career now.

The President. That's right.

Mr. Beauregard. So there really is a need for—

The President. That's an interesting point, excuse me. A lot of people in America probably know this, but just in case they don't, most people change jobs, like, seven or eight times before they're 35 years old, in America these days.

Mr. Beauregard. And it's accelerating.

The President. That means you better have a portable health care plan.

[*Mr. Beauregard made further remarks.*]

The President. I appreciate your studying it. You may need to come up to Washington to testify. [*Laughter*] Thank you very much.

Why don't we end with Esmerelda. Esmerelda, welcome.

Esmerelda Wergin. Thank you.

The President. You are a—where do you work?

Mrs. Wergin. I work at my grandmother's restaurant, Ninfa's Tortillas. I'm a waitress there.

The President. Ninfa's? You recommend it?

Mrs. Wergin. Oh, yes. [*Laughter*] My grandma—

The President. How are your cheese enchiladas?

Mrs. Wergin. Perfect. [*Laughter*] And she makes them herself.

The President. Does she really?

Mrs. Wergin. Yes, she's back there.

The President. What's her name? Ninfa?

Mrs. Wergin. Ninfa. Ninfa.

The President. That's what I thought. [*Laughter*] Good. Married?

Mrs. Wergin. Yes, married with two children.

The President. And how old?

Mrs. Wergin. I have a 2-year-old and a 7-year-old; both boys.

The President. Fabulous, fabulous. And so give us your health insurance story.

[*Mrs. Wergin made further remarks.*]

The President. This plan we've outlined would save Esmerelda and her family 3,500 a year. Does it make sense to level the

playing field and have a rational Tax Code and enable her to be able to purchase health insurance? I think it does. Rather than having your family sit outside the system, grinding away on trying to find a health care system that they can afford, why not help them afford health care system through making the Tax Code fair? That's all we're asking.

Isn't that right?

Mrs. Wergin. Oh, whatever you say, Mr. President. [*Laughter*]

The President. Esmerelda, thanks for coming.

Mrs. Wergin. You're welcome.

The President. I hope people got a flavor of what we're trying to get done, that this plan helps people be able to afford private health insurance, and that is really the crux of good health care. Good health care is a health care system where government helps people who need help, like the poor—those who are hard to insure. Part of what we recognize is that Mike needs to give States flexibilities to help set up risk pools, to be able to add selection when it comes to individualized markets. But ultimately, the best health care plan is one that trusts people like Esmerelda and her family or Jones—Dan Jones—to make decisions, and is one that enables our small-business sector to remain economically viable.

We thought long and hard about what to propose. We proposed a bold initiative, an initiative that takes equities—out of the system, so people are treated fairly. And I know Americans expect that the United States Congress will take a good look at all ideas to determine how best to make this health care system run well.

And I thank you all for coming and giving us a chance to talk with you. I appreciate your candor; appreciate what you do for the country. Thank you. Good job.

NOTE: The President spoke at 12:08 p.m. at Saint Luke's East—Lee's Summit Hospital. In his remarks, he referred to George A.

Pagels, chief medical officer, Saint Luke's Health System, and chief executive officer, Saint Luke's East—Lee's Summit Hospital.

Remarks Following a Meeting With Military Leaders and an Exchange With Reporters *January 26, 2007*

The President. I just had a full briefing with General David Petraeus about the way forward in Iraq. I want to thank the Secretary and General Pace, National Security Adviser for joining this discussion. Congratulations.

Gen. David H. Petraeus. Thank you, sir.

The President. The Senate confirmed this good man without a dissenting vote. I appreciate the quick action of the United States Senate. I appreciate them giving General David Petraeus a fair hearing, and I appreciate the vote. My instructions to the general is, get over to the zone as quickly as possible and implement a plan that we believe will yield our goals.

I thank the general and his family. I particularly want to thank your family for supporting you and supporting our Nation. One of the amazing things about our country is that we've got military folks who volunteer to go into a tough zone to protect the American people from future harm, and they've got families who stand by them. And whether you be a general or a private in the military, there is a U.S.—there's a family member saying, "I love you, and I support you."

And so, General, I congratulate you, and I congratulate the volunteers and their families for making the hard decisions necessary to protect its people from a grave danger. And you're going into an important battle in this war on terror, and I give you my full support and wish you God-speed.

Gen. Petraeus. Thank you, Mr. President. If I could thank the Senate as well; thank

my family; and, above all, thank those great soldiers, sailors, airmen, marines, and civilians who are out there on the frontlines of the global war on terror.

The President. All right. I'll answer a couple of questions. Jennifer [Jennifer Loven, Associated Press].

War on Terror Strategy

Q. Thank you, sir. The other night in your State of the Union Address, you asked Congress to give your plan a chance. But lawmakers, Democrats and Republicans, didn't really miss a step in starting to turn out resolutions against that plan. Why do you think it's okay to go ahead without their support?

The President. One of the things I've found in Congress is that most people recognize that failure would be a disaster for the United States. And in that, I'm the decisionmaker. I had to come up with a way forward that precluded disaster; in other words, I had to think about what's likely to work.

And so I worked with our military and I worked with Secretary Gates to come up with a plan that is likely to succeed. And the implementor of that plan is going to be General Petraeus. And my call to the Congress is, is that I know there is skepticism and pessimism, and that they are—some are condemning a plan before it's even had a chance to work. And they have an obligation and a serious responsibility, therefore, to put up their own plan as to what would work.