1	
2	
3	
4	SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE THE
5	JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL,
6	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	INTERVIEW OF: MARK ESPER
12	
13	
14	
15	Friday, April 1, 2022
16	
17	Washington, D.C.
18	
19	
20	The interview in the above matter was held via Webex, commencing at 3:04 p.m
21	Present: Representatives Luria, Lofgren, and Kinzinger.

1	
2	Appearances:
3	
4	
5	For the SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE
6	THE JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL:
7	
8	STAFF ASSOCIATE
9	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
10	SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
11	, STAFF ASSOCIATE
12	, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
13	, CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
14	, CHIEF CLERK
15	PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
16	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
17	
18	
19	For the DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL:
20	
21	EDWARD RICHARDS

1	
2	So good afternoon, Dr. Esper.
3	This is a transcribed interview of Dr. Mark Esper conducted by the House Select
4	Committee to Investigate the January 6th Attack on the United States Capitol pursuant to
5	House Resolution 503.
6	Dr. Esper, could you please state your full name and spell your last name for the
7	record?
8	Dr. Esper. My full name is Mark T. Esper. My last name is spelled E-s-p-e-r.
9	It appears, Dr. Esper, that you are not represented personally by
10	counsel, though counsel for the Department of Defense is present for the interview.
11	Mr. Richards, if you could identify yourself for the record and spell your last
12	name although I think it's pretty easy for the court reporter.
13	Mr. Richards. Yes. Edwards Richards with the Department of Defense, Office
14	of General Counsel, R-i-c-h-a-r-d-s.
15	Thank you.
16	Thank you.
17	My name is I'm the chief investigative counsel for the select
18	committee. In the room with me today is, she's a senior
19	investigative counsel to the select committee, and, who is an
20	investigative counsel as well.
21	This will be a staff-led interview, and members, of course, may choose to also ask
22	questions. I believe we have at least one member of the select committee, Mr.
23	Kinzinger, who has joined us thus far. And if others join, I will note their presence and
24	periodically turn to them if they request it. I'll try to announce their presence when
25	they join so you know who is in the meeting

1	There is an official reporter who is transcribing the record of the interview.		
2	Please wait until each question is completed before you begin your response, and we wi		
3	try to wait until your response is complete before we ask our next question.		
4	The stenographer can't record nonverbal responses, such as shaking of your hea		
5	so it's important that you answer each question with an audible verbal response.		
6	We ask, Dr. Esper, that you provide complete answers based on your best		
7	recollection. If the question isn't clear, please say so and ask for clarification. And if		
8	you don't know the answer, then just simply say you don't recall.		
9	I also want to remind you and this isn't specific to you, this is something we tel		
10	all witnesses that it is unlawful to deliberately provide false information to Congress		
11	and to do so would be in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1001 or other statutes.		
12	Do you understand what I've just gone over and prepared to proceed?		
13	Dr. <u>Esper.</u> I do.		
14	Okay. Great.		
15	And if at any point you need a break, just let us know, we can certainly do that.		
16	And if you ever need to confer with Mr. Richards, again, just say the word, and we'll		
17	facilitate that.		
18	Dr. <u>Esper.</u> Okay.		
19	So, Dr. Esper, I understand you have some introductory remarks		
20	that you want to make. Now would be a good time for you to do that.		
21	Dr. <u>Esper.</u> I do. Thank you.		
22	Well, good afternoon.		
23	I want to begin by commending the committee for the important work it is doing		
24	to bring transparency, understanding, and accountability to the tragic events of January		
25	6th, 2021.		

1	As someone who worked on Capitol Hill for several years and had an office in the
2	Capitol itself when I worked for the Senate Majority Leader, I was both horrified and
3	saddened by what happened that day.
4	As such, it is important for the country and indeed our democracy that the
5	American people have a full and complete history of what prompted and fueled the
6	violent insurrection that seemed determined to prevent the peaceful transfer of power
7	from one administration to the next after a legitimately certified election.
8	As you are aware, I was removed from office on November 9th, 2020, merely a
9	week after the election and nearly 2 months prior to the assault on Capitol Hill.
10	I appear before you today willingly with the hope that I might provide some
11	insights over the next hour consistent with our agreement that I would speak to, quote,
12	"my experiences in June 2020 that are directly related and relevant to what happened on
13	January 6th, 2021," end quote, and to the events of that tragic day.
14	Though we're now nearly 2 years removed from June 2020, I will answer all
15	relevant and related questions based on my recollections and to the best of my ability.
16	For example, I'm prepared to speak to the internal discussions regarding the
17	Defense Department's response to events in Washington, D.C., in June 2020, the actual
18	deployment and employment of military personnel during that time, and what the
19	Department learned from these actions.
20	With that, I stand ready to answer your questions. Thank you.
21	Thank you, Dr. Esper, very much for that.
22	I will note again, as you just indicated, that you're here voluntarily, not pursuant
23	to any sort of subpoena.
24	We have gotten a letter from the White House, and I just want to put that in the

record. I believe it's exhibit 13.

1	And,, if you can put it up on the screen, we'll just oh, we don't have	
2	that one.	
3	Well, I assume it's addressed to you, Mr. Richards, and I assume that you've	
4	shared it with Dr. Esper. It's the March 30th letter from Jonathan Su, the deputy	
5	counsel to the President.	
6	Mr. Richards. Yes, I have. Thank you.	
7	Great. And I'll just quote briefly. It says, "In light of the unique	
8	and extraordinary nature of the matters under investigation, President Biden has	
9	determined that an assertion of executive privilege is not in the national interest and,	
10	therefore, is not justified with respect to particular subjects within the purview of the	
11	select committee."	
12	And as you've indicated, Dr. Esper, we've sort of hashed out those subject matters	
13	in advance.	
14	So to be clear, the current White House counsel and the current administration,	
15	President Biden, has indicated that it would not be appropriate to assert executive	
16	privilege.	
17	EXAMINATION	
18		
19	Q So with that understanding, let me just jump right into the questions.	
20	Dr. Esper, tell us where you went to college.	
21	A I went to the United States Military Academy at West Point.	
22	Q When did you graduate from West Point?	
23	A I graduated in 1986.	
24	Q Did you I assume as a West Point graduate, you enlisted or you joined the	
25	United States Army as an officer at that point?	

1	А	That's correct. I was commissioned a second lieutenant on May 28th,
2	1986.	
3	Q	All right. And did you serve as an infantry officer during the Gulf War?
4	А	l did.
5	Q	What was your role during the Gulf War?
6	Α	I was an operations officer with the 3rd Battalion, 187th Infantry of the 101st
7	Airborne [Division.
8	Q	What did you do upon the completion of your military service, your
9	Active-Dut	y military service?
LO	Α	That's correct. I served 10 years on Active Duty after commanding in
l1	Europe an	d then working in the Pentagon. After my after departing Active Duty in
12	1996, I joir	ned the Reserves and spent another 11 years in the National Guard and Army
L3	Reserve.	
L4	At	the time that I joined the Guard and Reserve, I had also begun work on Capitol
L5	Hill I'm s	orry. I first began work at the Heritage Foundation in Washington, D.C., and
L6	then later	Capitol Hill in a variety of different jobs after that, leading up to my return to
L7	the Pentag	gon, my fifth fourth return to the Pentagon in 2017.
L8	Q	All right. And in 2017, Dr. Esper, were you appointed by President Trump
L9	as Secreta	ry of the Army?
20	Α	I was, after being confirmed by the Senate.
21	Q	Yep. And how long did you serve as Secretary of the Army?
22	Α	I served from November of 2017 until I was named Acting Secretary of
23	Defense in	June of 2019, and I was confirmed a month later, in July 2019.
24	Q	I see. And then you served as the Secretary of Defense, the

1	A That is correct.	
2	Q Okay. And we'll get into the circumstances of your departure from that	:
3	position a little bit later.	
4	When you were appointed as Secretary of Defense, Dr. Esper, did you discuss v	with
5	President Trump his priorities for the Department of Defense? Did he give you any s	ort
6	of specific priorities or marching orders that he wanted you to pursue when you began	n in
7	that position?	
8	A No, nothing that I recall.	
9	Q Did you have discussions with President Trump over time about the role	of
10	the military in domestic affairs?	
11	A No, not that I recall.	
12	Q Was it we talked before, Dr. Esper, before today about the President be	eing
13	enamored with generals or believed very strongly that the military had a potential role	e to
14	play in various domestic issues. Do you recall that discussion?	
15	A I don't. If you can restate that and help me understand the relevancy to	כ
16	January 6th, 2021, that would be helpful.	
17	Q Yeah. I'm just going back to our previous conversation where you indica	ated
18	there were times where he periodically would raise a military solution to things like	
19	border enforcement or logistics support for COVID relief or things that were happenin	g
20	exclusively domestically.	
21	A Well, again, relevant to January 6th, 2021, and civil unrest in June 2020, t	:hat
22	tended to be the case, with regard to my experience in June 2020, that the President	was
23	inclined to use the military.	
24	Q Yeah. Yeah, I guess I'm just talking more broadly about whether or not	you
25	had concerns, based on not just what happened in June of 2020 and leading up to	

1	January 6th, about the President's sort of perception of the appropriate role of the			
2	military in domestic affairs.			
3	Mr. Richards. So, if I could just interject here.			
4	I just want to be clear about what our conversations with White House counsel			
5	regarding executive privilege were, and that was based on the expectation that this			
6	interview be about the summer June 2020 civil unrest and lessons learned from that an			
7	actions taken specific to that.			
8	So I just want to be clear that, as far as going beyond that scope, we have not			
9	engaged any coordination with the White House counsel's office regarding any potential			
10	assertion of privilege. So I just want to be clear that that, those issues, have not we			
11	will have to defer on as far as specific Presidential conversations beyond June summer			
12	2020 civil unrest issues.			
13	Over.			
14	Yeah. And to be clear, Ed, I'm not looking to develop			
15	conversations about border security or COVID. I'm sort of laying a foundation for			
16	discussions about the appropriateness of the use of military resources for particular tasks			
17	that informs the summer 2020 response and very relevant to the preparations for			
18	January 6th.			
19	Mr. <u>Richards.</u> Understood. Thank you.			
20	And just if we could keep it specific to the June events, I think that's the safest			
21	area [inaudible].			
22	Over			
23				
24	Q Okay. All right. Well, let's talk about the summer protests then, Dr.			
25	Esper.			

1	Just by way of context, the murder of George Floyd occurred on May the 25th of	
2	2020.	
3	On May the 28th, the Minnesota National Guard was activated. Governor Tim	
4	Walz in Minnesota activated it.	
5	Then, on May the 29th, Friday, President Trump tweeted about "thugs" in	
6	Minnesota and warns, "When the looting starts, the shooting starts," and the protests	
7	turned violent in Minnesota.	
8	On May the 30th, there were protests that spread around the country and	
9	continued into May the 3lst.	
10	And then on June the 1st, that's when I want to start asking you about your	
11	specific involvement, on June the 1st.	
12	When did you first talk to President Trump about the potential use of military	
13	assets in response to the summer protests around the country in the wake of the murder	
14	of George Floyd?	
15	A On the day of June 1st, my recollection of the first time that this was	
16	discussed was in an Oval Office meeting with him that morning.	
17	Q Okay. Tell us, if you could, about the conversation that you had with	
18	President Trump on June the 1st?	
19	A Relevant with regard to January 6th, 2021, the President's inclination was to	
20	deploy up to 10,000 troops, Active-Duty forces, to the Capitol to quell the protests.	
21	Q Okay. Did you have a reaction to that, Dr. Esper, when the President raised	
22	the potential deployment of Active-Duty troops to quell the protests?	
23	A Yes. My reaction was that Active-Duty forces are not the means by which	

this should be addressed. My view was that this is a law enforcement action and that

law enforcement should lead, and that if there's any use of the military, it should be the

24

1 National Guard in support of the law enforcement, the D.C. Metro Police in particular. 2 Q I see. Okay. How did the President react when you conveyed this, the National Guard and 3 domestic law enforcement are the right forces to respond to the unrest around the 4 5 country? Α I think he and I, along with Attorney General Barr alongside me, went back 6 7 and forth on multiple times on this issue until we were able to persuade him that the use 8 of law enforcement was the best way forward, supported by National Guard that would 9 be -- that would come from both D.C. and from outside of Washington, D.C. 10 Q Tell us who else was present for the conversation. 11 Α I recall, obviously, the President, myself, Attorney General Barr, General 12 Milley, and the Vice President. There were others present, but I just don't recall who 13 they were. Q I see. 14 15 And of the folks that you just named, Dr. Esper, was everyone else in the room supportive of the position you took? In other words, there isn't yet a predicate for the 16 deployment of Active-Duty troops, this is a National Guard and law enforcement matter. 17 Α That was the position taken by myself, Attorney General Barr, and General 18 19 Milley. 20 Q All right. And the three of you were unified in that position? 21 Α Yes. And did all three of you convey that view, consistent view, to the President? 22 Q 23 Α Yes. How about the Vice President, did he have a position, or was he just 24 Q 25 evaluating the information you provided?

1	А	I don't recall him speaking on this matter during that meeting.
2	Q	Okay. Was there specific discussion during that meeting about the
3	Insurrection	n Act?
4	Α	Yes, the Insurrection Act did come up during the discussion.
5	Q	Tell us who brought it up and what was tell us more about the discussion
6	specifically	about that provision of law.
7	Α	I cannot recall exactly who brought it up when, but I know that the
8	discussion v	vas about and it could have been that Attorney General Barr and I both
9	raised it or	discussed it at various points that if there was a use of the Active-Duty
10	military, it v	vould require invocation of the Insurrection Act to deploy them into the
11	District of C	olumbia.
12	And	I had tried to make address the distinctions between the various authorities
13	and capabil	ities between Active-Duty forces and National Guard forces.
14	Q	Yeah. Did General Barr have some experience, prior experience with the
15	Insurrection	Act from his prior service as Attorney General that he conveyed during that
16	meeting?	
17	Α	I have I don't recall. But I do know that he did based on my
18	understand	ing of his previous tenure in government during the 1992 Los Angeles riots.
19	Q	Okay. So he had some direct experience about sort of the conditions that
20	would justif	y the invocation of the Insurrection Act?
21	Α	That was my sense, yes.
22	Q	All right. How about General Milley, what was his position on this, the
23	Insurrection	Act specifically?
24	А	General Milley supported my position as my senior adviser and also

recommended that law enforcement be the lead and that if there was a need for

1	additional support, if there was insufficient law enforcement, then that should come from	
2	National Guard troops as I had proposed in the meeting.	
3	Q Yeah. And I appreciate that, Dr. Esper, and I understand that was the	
4	consistent position.	
5	But maybe take a step back and just sort of explain, if you can, for us, for a lay	
6	audience, why that's important or why, in your view, it's appropriate for National Guard	
7	and domestic law enforcement to respond in a domestic mass demonstration versus	
8	Active-Duty troops, just as a matter policy-wise, that that is the right response.	
9	A I think there are a number of reasons. I likely won't get them exactly right.	
10	But it's fair to say that they, obviously, operate under different authorities, whether	
11	they're under Federal control, under invocation of the Insurrection Act, and there are	
12	scenarios in which that happens, or if they're under State control in which that happens.	
13	Secondly, they are "they" being the National Guard are trained in this mission	
14	and have more experience in this mission than would Active-Duty forces.	
15	And, thirdly, I always like to add that typically the National Guard is employed in	
16	the community in which they live and, therefore, have a better understanding of the	
17	community and what is happening at the time. So it makes them better attuned to	
18	what is happening in the area in which they are operating.	
19	Q I see. So fair to say a unit like the 82nd Airborne, they're warfighters, they	
20	are trained for a very different mission than the National Guard, who are equipped and	
21	trained to respond to situations like a mass demonstration?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Sort of the right tool for the job is the Guard, not a warfighting unit like the	
24	82nd Airborne?	

Yes.

1	Q I see. Okay.
2	And the National Guard, do they generally around the country train for this kind of
3	response working with domestic law enforcement to deploy during the middle of a sort of
4	free speech demonstration activity?
5	A Well, again, if I want to answer as accurately as possible, I'd have to go back
6	and understand what the training plans of all these various Guard units across the United
7	States and the territories are.
8	But generally, yes, the Guard trains on this as what we call a mission-essential task
9	to deal with civil unrest or other type of activities.
10	But, again, I would defer to the State, the Adjutant Generals or the head of the
11	National Guard, to give you a more complete response.
12	Q Yeah, I understand.
13	So what kinds of conditions, in your view, would have to exist hypothetically to
14	justify the invocation of the Insurrection Act and the deployment of an Active-Duty unit in
15	the middle of a domestic disturbance?
16	A Well, I think it would be you know, a lot of is speculation. It's situational
17	dependent.
18	As I recall, I believe, in the 1992 Los Angeles riots, the use of outside National
19	Guard was requested by the Governor. So that is one scenario.
20	Again, I think, as I said during my public statement on June 3rd before the Nation,
21	that it would have to be a very dire and urgent situation that would justify deploying
22	Active-Duty forces to supplement law enforcement.
23	Q And, in your view, there was not a sufficiently dire, urgent situation existing
24	in the summer of 2020 in Washington or elsewhere that would justify the invocation of
25	the Insurrection Act?

1	Α	That's correct.				
2	Q	Okay.				
3	I want to call your attention to, I believe, a call that you had with the Nation's					
4	Governors on that very same day, June the 1st?					
5	Do you recall participating in a call that the President convened for all of the					
6	Nation's G	overnors to discuss the unrest that was beginning to occur around the country?				
7	А	l do.				
8	Q	Was that before or after this meeting in the Oval Office at which you				
9	discussed v	with the President the Insurrection Act?				
10	А	That was immediately after the meeting in the Oval Office on the morning of				
11	Monday, Ju	une 1st.				
12	Q	Okay. Who was present for the call with you in the White House?				
13	Α	Well, there were of the persons that I can recall, again, clearly the				
14	President,	the Attorney General, myself, and General Milley. There were numerous				
15	other perso	ons in the room, but I just cannot recall who the others were.				
16	Q	All right. And who spoke during the call, if you remember?				
17	Α	The President, the Attorney General, myself, and General Milley.				
18	Q	Okay.				
19	Α	And, of course, the Governors who were on the line spoke. Several				
20	Governors	spoke as well.				
21	Q	Do you remember anything in particular that the President said? I assume				
22	he opened	the call.				
23	А	He did. I think, you know, we both have the transcript, and so it's all				
24	accurate.	At least the one I sent you, I believe, is the most accurate accounting of that				

conversation.

1	Q Okay. Good. Well, let's turn to it. It's exhibit No. 3, and I think we can
2	actually share it on the screen. And I don't want to go through the whole thing with you
3	but just a couple of things.
4	In the very beginning it looks like President Trump did open the call, and he
5	indicated at the very to, "It shouldn't be hard to take care of it. We're going to take care
6	of it," speaking about response to the violence. "And we've got a number of people
7	here that you'll be seeing.
8	"General Milley is here, who's head of Joint Chief of Staff, a fighter, a warrior, had
9	a lot of victories and no losses. And he hates to see the way it's being handled in the
10	various States. And I just put him in charge."
11	Do you recall the President at the beginning of the call saying that General Milley
12	was in charge?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q And how did General Milley react, either in real time or tell you later that he
15	interpreted that or his reaction to that statement?
16	A Well, this matter came up in the Oval Office meeting just prior to this call
17	with the Governors. General Milley said in response to the President that he is not in
18	charge, he has no command authority, he is an adviser. I agreed with him and
19	supported his statement because it is accurate under the law.
20	And so at the conclusion of the Oval Office meeting, the President actually put
21	Attorney General Barr in charge of this matter. So we thought we had clarified it and
22	concluded it properly upon departure from the Oval Office that morning.

I see. So before the call, there's a discussion in the Oval Office about who's

in charge. The consensus seems to you to be it's Attorney General Barr, given that it'll

be largely domestic law enforcement. Yet on the call, the President says, I've just put

23

24

25

Q

1	General Milley in charge, in contrast to that understanding?
2	A Yes, that's what happened.
3	Q Okay. And just explain to us, why is it that General Milley doesn't have
4	command authority? What in terms of the chain of command you called him an
5	adviser. Explain that to us.
6	A Well, we would have to dig into the law, the exact text of the law.
7	But under the law it may be Goldwater-Nichols but the Chairman of the Joint
8	Chiefs of Staff is identified as a senior adviser to the Secretary of Defense, to the
9	President of the United States, and also to the National Security Council.
10	And it may be as explicit to say that he has no command authority, but he is able
11	to communicate direction from me to the chain of command. Again, the Chairman of
12	the Joint Chiefs of Staff is not in the chain of command.
13	Q Understood. And Attorney General Barr, who is the Attorney General of
14	the United States, does he have supervisory authority over all of the Federal law
15	enforcement agencies within the Department of Justice?
16	A Under the law, I don't know, but I would presume that he has authorities
17	over law enforcement to some degree.
18	Q Yes. Okay.
19	Now, when you had your opportunity to speak, Dr. Esper, just tell us generally
20	what was your intention. What message you were trying to convey when the
21	conversation turned to you?
22	A And just for context, help me understand the angle here with regard to
23	January 6th, 2021.
24	Q Well, all of this, this whole line about the summer of 2020, is important
25	because, in a very similar preparation for what everyone understood to be a mass

1	demonstration event, the lessons learned, particularly the lessons learned or not learned
2	by the President and others close to him, directly inform the events of January 6th.
3	So the questions about what happened in the summer with respect to the
4	demonstration events then, the use of the Guard, the potential use of Active-Duty forces
5	bear upon the preparation and response at the Capitol on January 6th.
6	A Can you be more specific in terms of what you're asking me to answer then
7	in that context?
8	Q I'm just asking you about when you were called upon in the meeting with the
9	Governors and you have a chance to convey to them, they all command National Guard
10	units in their States, what was your message? I mean, we can go right to the transcript,
11	but I just wanted your general sense of your intention when you had your opportunity to
12	address the Governors.
13	A Right. My attention was that, obviously, law enforcement should lead, but
14	that they all had more than enough most of them, many of them had enough National
15	Guard under their control within their States available to support law enforcement in
16	their States if they felt that was necessary.
17	And I encouraged them to use their National Guard if that was the case, and, of
18	course, offered whatever support that we could help from the Department of Defense,
19	and actually cited the performance of Governor Walz, I recall, from Minnesota, who had
20	actually employed his Guard and was able to calm the situation so that peaceful
21	protestors can express their concerns and dissatisfaction without being without their

Page 4, if you can scroll down.

Understood.

Q

22

23

25

lives and property being risked in the process.

It looks at 14:03 of the call is when Dr. Esper first begins speaking. So the

transcript here, it's really the second paragraph that I wanted to read.

"And so at my urging, I agree, we need to dominate the battlespace. You have deep resources in the Guard. I stand ready, the Chairman stands ready, and the head of the National Guard stands ready to fully support you in terms of helping mobilize the Guard in doing what they need to do.

"Again, most of the Guard has not been called up. There's only a few States -- I count two States where more than 1,000 troops have been called up. I think the sooner that you mass and dominate the battlespace, the quicker this dissipates and we can get back to the right normal."

So your reference to dominate the battlespace, tell us more what you meant by that.

A "Dominate the battlespace" is a common phrase within the Pentagon. It's a phrase we use often. It's about the three-dimensional geographical boundaries in which a military unit operates.

As you'll see, if you look at General Milley's comments, going down another 10 or 15 minutes, General Milley also uses the phrase "dominate the battlespace" and other terms that I use as well. So it's a very common phrase.

Obviously, in retrospect, it was not the appropriate -- it was not appropriate to bring military lexicon into this context, and it was something that I regretted.

But, nonetheless, it's about, again, understanding the three-dimensional box in which you employ a military force.

Q Understood. And it sounds like very clearly, you even say, at my urging, hey, Governors, you have this tool at your disposal, the National Guard, that is the appropriate place to find help and support, and we will do -- we at DOD will do all we can to support you if you choose to activate your Guard.

	- -1 .1	11		1	1.	_
1	I hat's	essentially	/ VAIII	hottom	line	messager
-	HIGES	Coochiciany	your	DOLLOIII	11110	IIICJJUGGC.

A Yes, because my sense was that if they were unable to get control of the streets with law enforcement, they had their own resources available to them, the National Guard, that that would be a far better way forward than the President considering invocation of the Insurrection Act and either trying to deploy Guard from other States or, worse, trying to deploy Active-Duty forces into a State.

And so I was trying to urge them to consider using their own Guard so that we could avoid that situation.

Q Yep. I see Ms. Lofgren has just joined us as well.

Now, you're anticipating my very next question, Dr. Esper. It sounds like this direct urging to the Governors that they consider deployment of their respective National Guards is entirely consistent with the advice you had given the President in the Oval Office about the appropriate use of the Guard, not appropriate at this point to have Active-Duty troops.

Is that fair to say?

A That's correct. Consistency is important. And I'm, again, familiar with what I believed, given my time from West Point through my many years in the military and then my multiple assignments at the Pentagon, what the appropriate role of the military is when it comes to civilian -- support the civilian authorities and the proper use of the Armed Forces.

Q Right. And was it your hope at this point, Dr. Esper, that if the Governors did take your good advice and deploy their Guard units that the situation would stabilize and then obviate the discussion or the need to continue to talk about the Insurrection Act or the deployment of troops?

A Well, yes. My hope was, first and foremost, that they could do that

through the use of law enforcement only. A	And as we would often discuss internally, law
enforcement meant, you know, local, county	, and State law enforcement and, if need be,
if additional law enforcement was necessary,	, then preferably call upon Federal law
enforcement. My view was that the militar	ry should be last in line, and last in the end of
that line should be Active-Duty military.	

So the bottom line was I was urging them, as best I could, given the context of just coming out of the meeting with the President in the Oval Office with regard to this topic, that they would call up additional law enforcement or, if need be, their own National Guard so we could avoid anything that might suggest the need for invocation of the Insurrection Act and deployment of either Guard or, worse yet, again, Active-Duty forces into a State.

Q Yep. Understood. So there's sort of a cascade of potential responses that start with local police, that move on to State, to Federal law enforcement, all civilian.

Then we get to the National Guard if the situation demands it. And only thereafter, in an extreme situation, would there be a predicate for Active-Duty troops to respond in a domestic disturbance situation, is generally the sort of spectrum what you believe was appropriate?

A That is typically how we discussed it, both internally and with the President and other members of the Cabinet.

Q Okay. So at the end of the Oval Office meeting and the phone call, was it your understanding that the issue was at least temporarily resolved, that the President had not invoked the Insurrection Act or made any order with respect to Active-Duty troops, or was it still unresolved?

A There was no immediate decision to invoke the Insurrection Act, although I felt that the issue had -- was hanging heavily in the air, in the sense that if we were

T	unable to get sufficient Guard into the city by the evening, and if the law enforcement
2	under Attorney General Barr's direction was unable to get sufficient law enforcement into
3	the city by the evening, and if the protests continued and violence erupted like it had in
4	the previous nights, that we could find ourselves back in the Oval Office and faced with
5	another consideration of invocation of the Insurrection Act to call up additional forces.
6	Q I see.
7	I see Mrs. Luria has joined us as well?
8	So it sounds like the resolution of your conversation with the President was sort of
9	situational? You convinced him that at the moment there wasn't the predicate to
10	invoke the act but that it was going to be an ongoing fluid situation and conditions would
11	dictate that decision?
12	A I think Attorney General Barr and I were successful in convincing him that
13	Attorney General Barr could call up sufficient law enforcement, numbering up to 5,000
14	folks, and that I could do the same with regard to the Guard, the combination of D.C.
15	National Guard and out-of-State National Guard, to match, if need be, the Attorney
16	General's number of folks, and that would be sufficient to keep the peace, if you will, in
17	D.C. for that evening and for any subsequent evenings.
18	And so my immediate task after the call with the Governors was to get quickly
19	back to the Pentagon, begin working with the head of the National Guard, and begin
20	reaching out to Governors to get Guard units into D.C. for the evening.
21	Q I understand. Okay.
22	So you're focused not just on the nationwide protests in various cities,
23	Minneapolis included, but a very specific situation in Washington, D.C., around Lafayette

Well, we're focused on D.C. at this point.

Park?

Α

24

1	Q Okay. And it sounds like their numbers suggested in this conversation or
2	these conversations on June 1st, 5,000 was the number that Attorney General Barr
3	indicated, the number of law enforcement that he believed could be deployed, and the
4	equal number of National Guard troops, both the D.C. Guard and some other States, in
5	your view, could also be brought to bear on the situation in Washington, D.C.?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. So did you take steps then to essentially mobilize those 5,000 Guard
8	troops in the wake of those discussions with the President?
9	A Yes. Well, we already had several hundred D.C. National Guardsmen
10	deployed on the streets of D.C. in support of Metro PD, I think, as I recall. So the key
11	was to call up the remainder of those troops.
12	And then, of course, with the aid of General Lengyel, the head of the National
13	Guard, I began reaching out to the Governors who were of neighboring States. So
14	Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New York. I had made phone calls to three of those.
15	I did not end up calling New York because it was my understanding that they were
16	deploying Guard to assist already.
17	Q All right. So the D.C. National Guard in which I believe you served
18	yourself at some point. Is that right, Dr. Esper?
19	A I did, that's correct.
20	Q They have a limited number. What is it, around a thousand guardsmen
21	that are available max at D.C. National Guard?
22	A I think it's 1,200 personnel, roughly.
23	Q Okay.
24	A You would have to get the actual numbers from the commander.

Yeah. So it was important -- it was necessary then to hit the 5,000 target

25

Q

т	To other states to for dovernors in other states to deploy their dual as to support the
2	D.C. Guard at Lafayette Square? Is that right?
3	A Yes. If in support to law enforcement, Attorney General Barr thought he
4	needed up to 5,000, we would have to go outside of D.C. to mobilize those numbers.
5	Q I see.
6	And was the decision to actually mobilize them because there was a necessity or
7	to have them available if needed?
8	A No. The decision was made to mobilize to ask that the Governors
9	mobilize elements of their Guard and to deploy them to D.C. to support law enforcement.
LO	Q I see. Okay.
l1	And then did that happen? Were you successful in getting those Governors in
L2	other States to mobilize the Guard and get those guardsmen to D.C.?
L3	A It depends. We had I had different responses from different States.
L4	But I think, at the end of the day, we were successful in getting a sufficient number of
15	troops, National Guard troops, in D.C. in enough time to support law enforcement.
16	Q I see.
L7	So did you take any steps at this time to prepare to move the 82nd Airborne from
L8	where they're stationed closer to Washington?
L9	A Again, I'm just trying to understand the relevance here to January 6th, 2021,
20	because my understanding is that no Active-Duty troops, et cetera, were involved in
21	January 6th, 2021.
22	Q No, they weren't, exactly, Dr. Esper. But, again, the preparations that you
23	took and the discussions you had and the efforts you took to placate the President in the
24	summer directly inform decisions made on January 6th.

So the way in which you managed his persistent desire in the face of your advice

1	that the Insurrection Act wasn't appropriate is directly relevant to the issues we're
2	examining.

- A Yeah. So if you can give me some more information, because, obviously, I wasn't there on January 6th. You made -- you alluded to decisions that President Trump made. If you could help me, I can sharpen my responses and maybe help you better.
- Q Well, my understanding is that you took action to actually move the 82nd Airborne closer to the city to essentially placate the President, to stall him, to let him know that you were actually taking some step in the hope that it would buy time and stabilize the situation with the Guard and law enforcement. It was a management, in the midst of your discussions with him, about the use of Active-Duty troops in the city.

Is that accurate?

- A That's generally accurate.
- Q Tell us more specifically how you did that.
 - A Well, I directed General Milley, after we left the Governors call, to begin moving those forces to bases outside of D.C. and that they were not to make any further movement without my personal explicit permission -- or authorization, I should say.

 And that included -- that was, you know, the 82nd Airborne and the alerting of the 3rd Infantry at Fort Myer.
 - Q Okay. And was that, Dr. Esper, motivated by the discussion you had had with the President earlier or meant to be a demonstration to him that you were acting upon or preparing for something that he wanted to do, despite the fact that it was against your advice?
 - A I -- there reached -- there came a point in the White House -- in the Oval
 Office discussion that I felt that we were on the precipice of the President ordering
 Active-Duty troops into the District of Columbia to quell the protests.

1	And so my proposal was to begin immediately deploying National Guard troops			
2	and, if necessary, I could I would move the 82nd outside the city in case we were			
3	unable to get the Guard there in time.			
4	I thought that move would obviate his decision or a decision by him to actually			
5	order the deployment of Active-Duty forces into the city.			
6	And so that proposal worked and we were able to avoid a worse situation.			
7	Q I see. Did you convey to him the fact that the 82nd Airborne had been			
8	moved to Fort Belvoir in the event that they were needed?			
9	A I don't recall doing that. Like I said, once I left the Governors call on			
10	June 1st, I returned to the Pentagon and don't recall having other conversations with the			
11	President after that with regard to the movement of forces.			
12	Q Okay. That was my next question.			
13	Did you ever have any further discussions after that June 1st meeting in the Oval			
14	Office with the President about the deployment of Active-Duty troops in the summer			
15	protests? And I mean across the country. Did it come up again in discussions with			
16	him?			
17	A I don't recall so.			
18	Q Okay. Now and you indicated, I believe, just in response to my previous			
19	question, that you placed a condition on the troops that were moved to Fort Belvoir that			
20	they not be deployed without your personal approval. Is that right?			
21	A That's correct.			
22	Q Why did you add that particular condition of your personal approval before			
23	they could be moved?			
24	A Because I was aware of the gravity of such a movement, and I wanted to			
25	make sure that authority to do that resided with me and not with a lower-level			

1	commander or anybody else.
2	Q Okay.
3	A That was one thing I did not want to happen.
4	Q And it didn't happen, correct? They never were deployed, they stayed at
5	Belvoir, and the situation was stabilized by the combination of the law enforcement and
6	the National Guard troops?
7	A It did not happen. And as soon as I felt that I could, I began the
8	redeployment of Active-Duty forces.
9	Q Let's talk about later on in the evening of June 1st, the 6 o'clock walk that
10	you took with some others, with the President and others, to Lafayette Square.
11	Do you recall the circumstances that led to you being with the President and
12	General Milley in Lafayette Square that evening?
13	A Yeah. With regard to timeline, it did not happen at 6 o'clock. I was en
14	route to the FBI command post.
15	At around 6:20 or so, I got a call that the President wanted an update on the
16	employment of forces to support law enforcement that evening in the city. General
17	Milley was already downtown at the FBI command post and was en route back to the
18	White House, I was told.
19	So I immediately had my vehicle turned around, and I went back to the White
20	House, arriving sometime, I don't know, around 6:30 p.m. or so.
21	Q Okay. And what was your understanding as to why you were needed at the
22	White House at 6:30 or so that evening?
23	A I was told that General Milley and I were supposed to update the President
24	on the plans to deploy or to use forces that evening in the city to support law

enforcement, consistent with the discussion earlier in the day.

1	Q I see. So you expected a continuation of the discussion you'd had in the
2	Oval Office that morning about security in the district that day?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What happened when you arrived?
5	A When I arrived, there was I went into the outer office what's called the
6	outer Oval, which is where the President's assistants sit and handle, you know, his
7	calendars, et cetera. There was a large gathering of people.
8	And I immediately inquired as to, when does the meeting begin? Somebody
9	spoke up and said, "There is no meeting." I said, "Well, if that's the case, then I'm
10	returning back out to return back to the FBI command post to prepare for the evening
11	And at that point, since I was already there, somebody said, "No, don't do that.
12	The President wants you wants you to join him to go check out the damage at the
13	church in Lafayette Park."
14	Q Okay. So did you then proceed to walk toward the church with the
15	President?
16	A Well, some time passed, in which case I did some other work, if you will,
17	talking to others in the room.
18	Eventually, I guess the President was doing a press conference, it concluded, we
19	were asked to line up and then join him in the room that faces Lafayette Park in the
20	White House.
21	Q What happened next?
22	A The President greeted us. I asked him, "Where are we going?" something
23	like that, or, "What are we doing? Where are we going?" He didn't respond. He
24	turned and began walking across walking out off the Portico toward Lafayette Park.
25	Q Did you follow?

1	Α	We were told to follow the President at a distance, "we" being myself,
2	Attorney Ger	neral Barr, and General Milley.
3	Q	Told by whom?
4	А	I can't recall. It was probably somebody from the communications team o
5	something lik	ce that.
6	Q	I see. Okay.
7	So wa	alk us forward. Just describe for us what occurred as you walked toward
8	Lafayette Par	·k.
9	А	Well, you know, obviously, we walked on the I think it's a gravel road, if
10	you will, tow	ard the exterior fence of the White House. And once we emerged from the
11	White House	we were greeted by a throng of reporters and others in the park. And I
12	think that wa	as our first sense that something was amiss with regard to this checking out
13	the damage a	at the church in Lafayette Park.
14	Q	Yeah. Did you feel like that was inappropriate, that the presence of the
15	press or that	something was amiss? Tell us more specifically what was, in your view,
16	amiss and wh	nat troubled you about what was occurring.
17	А	I thought it was inappropriate that I was there, and I know that General
18	Milley felt th	e same.
19	Q	Why?
20	А	Because this was a domestic law enforcement action, and the presence of a
21	senior the	senior military officer and the Secretary of Defense is, I think, inappropriate
22	in that conte	xt. It was particularly so for General Milley, being a uniformed officer.
23	For m	e, I'm a political appointee, so there are times at which I have to be
24	discerning wi	ith regard to where I involve myself. My aim was always to remain
25	apolitical. E	But in this context I felt that it was inappropriate for me to be there.

1	Q I see.
2	So where did you go? Just tell us how the event unfolded and ultimately
3	concluded.
4	A Well, we wrapped our we wound our way through Lafayette Park,
5	eventually ending at the road opposite the church. The throng stopped there.
6	President Trump stepped forward across the street. And that's when, you know,
7	he took those photos with regard to him holding the Bible and said a few words to the
8	press.
9	I was not there in the front. I was moving toward the back, actually, of those
10	gathered.
11	Q Purposely, so that you would not be
12	A Yes, I was trying to avoid the situation.
13	Q Understood.
14	And then at that point walked back to the White House and the crowd dispersed
15	and you eventually did get a chance to do what you were there to do and meet with
16	members of the National Guard?
17	A Well, as soon as we walked out of the gate, I had actually determined before
18	I actually left the White House, the Oval Office, that if there was going to be a walk to the
19	park, I wanted to at least go see the National Guardsmen and talk to them and make sure
20	they were prepared for the evening, get a sense of how they were feeling about their
21	mission, so forth and so on. So as we walked through the park, I tried to locate them
22	visually and was unable to.

After the President raised the Bible and spoke to the group and we began the walk back, I actually peeled off from the throng and tried to move westward in the park to find the National Guard again and was unable to locate them. Before I was concerned I

1	might not be	e able to	make my way back into the White House compound, so I had to
2	quickly rejoi	n the gr	oup.
3	Q	Okay.	And then did you actually get back to the White House compound
4	before you d	leparted	1?
5	А	I did.	
6	Q	Okay.	Now, after this occurred, Dr. Esper, did you feel the need to issue an
7	apology or to	o clarify	that the military should not have a role in domestic law
8	enforcemen	t?	
9	Α	At that	point in time, I felt the important thing was to get to the FBI
10	command po	ost and _l	prepare for the evening and make sure that we were in a position to
11	support law	enforce	ment that evening given what was given what had happened that
12	day and wha	at was ex	xpected that night.
13	Q	Okay.	So is it fair to say that over the next couple of days the situation was
14	stabilized, w	ith the c	combination of law enforcement and the National Guard, and by June
15	the 3rd, a co	ouple of	days later, things were, again, generally stabilized?
16	А	So late	that night on June 1st, I had been out on the streets by myself, with
17	Attorney Ge	neral Ba	rr and with General Milley at different moments, checking on the
18	troops, talkii	ng to the	em, assessing the situation, making sure that everybody understood
19	their missior	n and wa	as set for the evening.
20	l retu	ırned to	the FBI command post later that night, roughly around 11 p.m., and
21	had the char	nce to si	t down with my team and discuss what was happening. It was our
22	first chance	to really	step back and assess the situation as a team.
23	At th	at point	in time I realized, upon the advice of my staff, that it was important
24	to send a me	essage to	o the force, with regard to DOD support to civilian law enforcement,

what it meant, to talk about our oath to the Constitution.

1	So I asked my head of public affairs that evening to immediately return home to
2	begin drafting a message to the Department that would go out the next day. I wanted
3	to get it out before most of our forces in the United States left home the next day.
4	And so he returned home, he began crafting, and then the next morning I
5	reviewed a draft. And then that afternoon, after lunch, the memorandum was issued to
6	all DOD personnel.

If you don't have a copy, I'd like to submit it for the record.

Q Please, yeah.

First of all, tell us why you felt it was important, Dr. Esper, to issue a memorandum to the joint force.

A I thought it was important to make sure, given a combination of what was being reported in the media, what was being seen, the optics of the situation, that I issue a very, very clear statement from the civilian -- senior civilian head of the Department about what the role was in the military with regard to support to civil authorities, our oath to the Constitution, and the importance we place in the proper civil-military affairs.

So in five paragraphs I laid this out. I would say the most important paragraph I'll read to you briefly.

Q Please, please.

A In the third paragraph I say, quote, "Department of Defense personnel have taken an oath to defend the Constitution of the United States. I, myself, have taken it many times in my military and civilian careers and believe strongly in it.

"As part of that oath, we commit to protecting the American people's right to freedom of speech and to peaceful assembly. I, like you, am steadfast in my belief that Americans who are frustrated, angry, and seeking to be heard must be ensured that opportunity. And like you, I am committed to upholding the rule of law and protecting

т	ine and liberty so that the violent actions of a few do not underfinite the rights and
2	freedoms of law-abiding citizens."
3	And then I, in the final paragraph, I remind everybody of the importance of being
4	apolitical.
5	I wanted to send that message out to send, again, a clear message from the
6	Department's senior civilian about our role. And importantly as well, I wanted to send
7	out the first message to set the tone and tenor so that General Milley, my service
8	secretaries, and my service chiefs could send out a cascading series of letters and
9	messages to the field as well reinforcing this message.
LO	I wanted to go first so that if there was any fallout it would be it would rest on
l1	my back and not theirs.
L2	And so, again, I directed that message. It came out the next day. I think
L3	General Milley's came out later, after mine, if not the day after that. And my
L4	understanding is that others sent out messages following that as well.
L5	Q I understand.
16	You mentioned the potential fallout. When you issued that memorandum to the
L7	joint force, did you expect that there would be fallout or that the President, in particular,
18	would be critical of that statement or might have a negative reaction?
L9	A I estimated that that could be the case, yes.
20	Q Tell us more. Why? What informed your sense that he might have a
21	negative reaction?
22	A It was just my sense, from having worked with him at that point for nearly a
23	year and hearing his views with regard to the employment of the military in situations like
24	this, and it was just my sense, given my experience, that that might strike him as

inappropriate or maybe undermining his message or his approach toward dealing with

- 1 protests in the streets.
- 2 But I thought it was more important that I send a clear message to the
- 3 Department with regard to where I stood and what our responsibilities were both to the
- 4 Constitution, given our oath, and at least certainly for the military, for those uniformed
- 5 personnel, as a member of the profession of arms.
- 6 Q Yeah. Did then you get any pushback or reaction from the President after
- 7 you issued the memorandum?
- 8 A Not that was conveyed to me.
- 9 Q Okay. Did you at any point -- I know not in that memo, but sometime soon
- thereafter -- publicly provide your perspective on the appropriateness of the Insurrection
- 11 Act in situations like the one at Lafayette Square?
- 12 A I'm sorry, I didn't understand the question.
- 13 Q Well, just 2 days later there was a press conference in which you talked a
- little bit about the situations that would justify the invocation of the Insurrection Act.
- 15 Do you recall that press event where you talked about the Insurrection Act?
- A Well, yes, most notably. On June 2nd, as turmoil continued in the country
- and talk of the invocation of the Insurrection Act continued, I became very
- 18 concerned -- also as protests and unrest continued across the country in, I think,
- hundreds of cities -- that the Republic, if you will, was getting a little wobbly, as I would
- 20 say.

- 21 And I felt the need to get out there and send a clear message with regard to
- where I stood on the issue of invocation of the Insurrection Act and, further, with regard
- to where I stood on a variety of issues, from the tragic, awful murder of George Floyd to a
- variety of things.
 - And my sense was I wanted to make that statement in the hopes that it would

1	stabilize the situation across the country and that we could turn the temperature down	
2	somewhat.	
3	Associated with this was a plan to begin some de-escalatory actions to signal to	
4	the American people that DOD, at least, was trying to dial things back and, again, stabilize	
5	the situation so that we could have a more rational approach to it.	
6	Q And what form did that take, your effort to deescalate, to clarify your	
7	comments on the Insurrection Act? Was that in not the memo, but in a press statement	
8	that you gave on June the 3rd?	
9	A I gave a live made a live statement before the country from the Pentagon	
10	on the morning of June 3rd. And, obviously, you have the transcript, so	
11	Q Yeah.	
12	A That was the approach I decided to take so that my words would be clear	
13	and clearly coming from me with regard to my view on these matters.	
14	Q Yeah. And just reading from the transcript, Dr. Esper, of your comments	
15	from the Pentagon on June the 3rd, you said, "I've always believed and continue to	
16	believe that the National Guard is best suited for performing domestic support to civil	
17	authorities in these situations, in support of local law enforcement. I say this not only as	
18	Secretary of Defense, but also as a former soldier and a former member of the National	
19	Guard.	
20	"The option to use Active-Duty forces in a law enforcement role should only be	
21	used as a matter of last resort and only in the most urgent and dire of situations. We	
22	are not in one of those situations now. I do not support invoking the Insurrection Act."	
23	And I believe is, yeah, putting that up on the screen.	
24	So it sounds like, Dr. Esper, you very intentionally wanted to make clear to the	
25	Nation that we were not in the summer of 2020, in Washington or elsewhere, in a	

1	situation, a dire situation in which the Insurrection Act would have been appropriate.
2	that right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And did this statement then prompt a negative reaction from the President
5	A Yes, it did.
6	Q Tell us more about what was conveyed to you by him or others on his behal
7	A I was immediately summoned over to the White House to appear before th
8	President and to discuss this, my statement, with him.
9	Q Tell us about that conversation. What did he say?
10	A It was a very direct conversation between him and me. And he was quite
11	upset and yelling. And I tried to respond to all his questions and point out what I said
12	and did not say, et cetera, reminding him that my position remained unchanged from
13	where it was on Monday and that I stood by what I said at the podium just a half hour
14	earlier.
15	Q Okay. Do you remember anything in particular that he said? What
16	specifically made him angry? Was it the timing or the statement being not cleared, or
17	was it the underlying position that you expressed?
18	A I think it was a number of things. I think the most memorable was that he
19	thought that I took away his authority, that I was acting as President and that I took awa
20	his authority to invoke the Insurrection Act.
21	Q I see. And what did you say in response, Dr. Esper, in the face of that
22	reprimand?
23	A I can't recall the timing. As you all know, I have a memoir coming out that
24	given the time to think through it, I was able to catalog in detail as best as I could recall.

But at one point we had a disagreement about what I said. And so I pulled out a

- copy of my transcript and put it on the table and pushed it over to him to show him
- 2 exactly what I said.
- And then at another point, again, he asserted that I took away his ability to invoke
- 4 the Insurrection Act, which legally I did not, but I was not inclined to disabuse him of that
- 5 notion given where things stood.

1	
2	[4:05 p.m.]
3	
4	Q I see. His interpretation was that you had essentially prevented him then
5	from thereafter invoking it given the position you took in this public statement?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Now, after this difficult conversation with the President, did he
8	continue between then and the end of your service as Secretary of Defense to raise the
9	Insurrection Act or the use possible use of Active-Duty troops in domestic disturbance
10	situations? Did it come up again?
11	A It came up at least a few times throughout the summer with regard to
12	deployment of the Guard to other cities, such as Portland and Seattle.
13	I don't recall talk of the Active-Duty deployment because I think I was successful in
14	ending that inclination on June 3rd.
15	But, obviously, with regard to the deployment of National Guard troops to Seattle
16	and Portland, would likely require invocation of the Insurrection Act, because you might
17	be ordering the deployment of Guard from one State into another State without the
18	Governors' permission on either end.
19	Q I see. So in the context of continuing unrest in Portland and Seattle, the
20	use of other Guard assets would have required invocation of the act, and that was
21	something discussed with the President?
22	A Well, again, I you need to get the lawyers in here who understand the law
23	very well. But my recollection is that you have to have the consent of both States'
24	Governors to deploy the Guard, or you have to invoke the Insurrection Act.
25	Q Right. Fair to say, Dr. Esper, that your position on the possible invocation

1	of the act never wavered, you never reached a point where conditions in your view rose				
2	to the level	where it would be appropriate to invoke the act throughout the rest of your			
3	term as SecDef?				
4	А	That's correct.			
5	Q	And you conveyed that consistently to the President?			
6	Α	That's correct.			
7	Q	Did he continually push back or challenge that or raise again the prospect of			
8	the potenti	al invocation of the act?			
9	А	He continued through the summer of 2020 to push for, at times, the			
LO	deploymen	t of National Guard troops into cities such as Portland and Seattle those are			
l1	the two no	table to again, to address the protesters.			
L2	Q	Yeah. And much like Lafayette Square, did it ever become necessary to do			
L3	that or wer	e the combination of law enforcement civilian law enforcement and the			
L4	State Natio	nal Guard troops able to quell the violence and stabilize those situations			
L5	ultimately?				
16	А	I never thought so. I think I thought that Department of Homeland			
L7	Security dic	a good job, maybe at times supported by DOJ. I just can't recall. But I			
L8	thought Fe	deral law enforcement did a good job in terms of defending.			
19	And	, of course, again, the law is important here, but at least for the deployment of			
20	Guard, it is	limited to the defense of Federal persons, property, and functions, as I recall.			
21	And	I think that Federal law enforcement arrived in places like Portland, for sure,			
22	and maybe	Seattle, to do those things. And so there was, again, never a need. I'm not			
23	even sure t	hat the Governors called up their own Guard to support in those cities.			
24	Q	It was strictly the Federal law enforcement or State, local, Federal law			

enforcement agents that were able to stabilize those situations?

1	A Yes, and that is the appropriate response, to use law enforcement. And,
2	again, as I had said to you all today, and I had said multiple times in the past, that it
3	should be it should work its way from the lowest level up in terms of using law
4	enforcement.
5	Q Yep. Understood. So let me just finish my portion before I ask
6	to have a few more questions.
7	Did this in part lead to your termination as SecDef later that year in November,
8	this dispute that you had, an ongoing dispute with the President about his power under
9	the Insurrection Act?
10	A I suspect it was one of several things and maybe the most notable of those
11	things.
12	Q Let's just talk briefly about your termination. How were you notified about
13	it? And what, if any, explanation were you given?
14	A I think this is outside the scope of what we agreed to, but I'll deviate for a
15	moment because it's a very simple answer.
16	I was informed by Chief of Staff Mark Meadows on the around lunchtime on
17	November 9th. And his basic message was something along the lines that I was being
18	terminated because I was insufficiently loyal or not loyal to the President. And I
19	responded that my oath was to the Constitution and not to the President.
20	Q I understand.
21	All right. Thank you, Dr. Esper.
22	I think has a few more?
23	
24	Q Hi, Dr. Esper. I just want to talk briefly. And I'm cognizant of time, and
25	I've also reviewed the testimony you provided to the House Armed Services Committee

The about the events of the summe	1	about the events of the summ	er.
-----------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----

And I want to talk -- ask you to just explain briefly, if you could, about some of the lessons learned that you spoke about then, starting with that the troops should only be used as a last resort in direct civilian law enforcement roles.

Obviously, that wasn't a lesson learned from that summer, in particular, but how did that play out during the summer?

A You're right, that wasn't a lesson learned. I think that was a rule of thumb that I took into all these discussions, as did General Milley. And that continued to be the push that we would have, is that you should exhaust your law enforcement resources first before calling up the military, any parts of the military.

Q It sounds like you had multiple conversations with the former President about that topic, that the military's a secondary role, particularly, for domestic civil disturbance protests. Did he ever seem to understand that concept?

A I don't think he ever embraced it because we would, at subsequent meetings, come back with his inclination to use, again, the military first, the Guard later.

Q Did he understand the difference between the Active-Duty military versus the National Guard, as you described it?

A I don't know. A lot of people don't understand the differences between Active Duty, National Guard, and Federal Reserves. It's a little complicated. But I'm not sure. I would be speculating.

Q You also spoke about that the police -- there was an issue with law enforcement not wanting distinct uniforms during that summer versus when the military is present. And that was one of the issues that came out from the summer. Do you remember that?

A Yes, we learned a few things that I think caused confusion, certainly, in the

minds of some in the media and certainly as a result in the minds of Americans.

The images of law enforcement using shields that said military police or in some cases law enforcement wearing uniforms that were kind of a green fatigue or camouflage confused people and led many to believe that the National Guard had been deployed against protesters in Lafayette Park, which was not the case.

And it led to some other allegations, that the Guard employed PepperBalls and tear gas and other things that just were not accurate based on the reporting given to me within days by Major General Walker, the commander of the D.C. National Guard, and Secretary of the Army McCarthy.

So I had directed Secretary McCarthy within days to conduct what we call an after-action review in the Army to get to the bottom line about lessons learned from the events of the week of June 1st. And I told him that once he had done that, I wanted him to sit down with law enforcement and do the same. I mentioned this to Bill Barr, and I told him I wanted to do this, and he agreed.

And so I left that task to Secretary McCarthy to begin accumulating lessons like the two that you mentioned so that we could do better the next time.

Q Right. I think one I didn't mention was about the equipment being lent to civilian law enforcement that was labeled military. You alluded to that as well. And I think you described in the hearings that the National Guard cross-leveled its riot shield. Are you familiar with that testimony?

A The report I had heard within days of Lafayette Park actions on June 1st was that some members of law enforcement who were going to be facing the protesters in the park had no -- didn't have shields. And so our servicemembers, the National Guard, lent them their shields. They were going to be staying behind, I guess, guarding, again, Federal property.

2	to share the commitment of protective equipment, if you will. But now you had civilian
3	law enforcement carrying around shields that said military police, and that confused the
4	situation with regard to who was doing what on the ground in Lafayette Park.
5	Q And is it fair to say that left a visual that the National Guard was in charge of
6	that civil disturbance protest that was not accurate?
7	A I don't know if it was I don't know if it left the impression that they were in
8	charge, but it certainly left the impression that they were participating. And, again, both
9	would be inaccurate based on reports given to me not long thereafter by General Walker
10	and Secretary McCarthy.
11	And I believe that I testified to this. And I also believe that the DOD IG report
12	that you all sent me affirmed it as well.
13	Q One of the lessons learned that Secretary McCarthy told us, told the
14	committee about, was that from the summer it was clear that because he and General
15	Walker were collated with each other, that there was a lot of verbal back and forth
16	between them, and that kind of sped up the response time.
17	Whereas, and I'll quote what he said, that, "What we learned in the process was
18	we were not capturing a lot of the information in writing in the orders process, which is
19	fundamental because of the stress of the situation and the speed of the situation."
20	General Piatt also told the committee that, "From the summer the breakdown
21	showed that one of the lessons we learned is we do not have clear, established
22	operational procedures for use of the National Guard soldiers in civil disturbance."
23	Is that an accurate assessment by both General Piatt and Secretary McCarthy that
24	you agree with?
25	A I don't know. They never conveyed that to me, that communications or

2	Q Did you feel that there was did you get more involved in the request for
3	the D.C. National Guard before the time before you left?
4	A I was not involved beforehand. Typically, that went as I recall, I think it
5	came into the Department and would go straight to the Secretary of the Army as the
6	designated civilian lead.
7	I think the only further involvement I had and, of course, a lot of this discussion
8	ended after the summer was to adopt our lessons learned from the events of June 1st
9	and make sure that we didn't repeat them.
10	So we talked about, for example, not sharing shields unless they were the
11	markings were removed or covered, things like that. I made the request of law
12	enforcement, the Acting Secretary of DHS, in particular, to make sure his people were not
13	using military-style uniforms.
14	So we tried to implement the lessons learned from the summer to make sure that
15	should this arise any time in the future that we would be in a better situation, if you will.
16	Q And was that memorialized in some way?
17	A You know, I can't recall. I know we discussed it in the meeting a week or
18	two after. But I can't recall how it was memorialized or if it was memorialized.
19	Q I think General Milley told the committee that he along with you contributed
20	to writing some of the lessons learned in terms of in the form of a letter of some sort.
21	Do you remember discussing that with General Milley in terms of writing down
22	what occurred over the summer and making sure it didn't happen again?
23	A I don't recall physically writing a letter or a memo. I do recall discussing
24	these things in a larger meeting with I think General Milley was there. I know
25	Secretary McCarthy was there. I know General Walker was there and others. And this

lack of written orders was an issue. So I don't want to speculate on it.

- was a week or 2 -- like I said, sometime within the first 2 weeks, I want to say, of the

 events of June 1st. And we discussed these things, again, uniforms, shields, things like
- 3 that.

- Q I just want to show you briefly exhibit 4. If we can bring that up. And this appears to be -- it was an attachment to exhibit 11, which was a November 3rd email.
- 6 You're not on that email. However, I just want to pull it up.
- And this is a memo that's directed for the Secretary of Army, which broadly discusses some of the issues that we've discussed.
 - Do you remember seeing this memo in this form?
- 10 A I don't.
 - Q Okay. Do you remember kind of discussing some of these concepts, though, in terms of the last paragraph itself? "My expectation is that the D.C. National Guard will only be employed as a last resort, and, if so, will be clearly marked and/or distinguished from law enforcement entities."
 - A Well, again, I don't recall this memo. I don't know -- I see that it was sent to the Secretary of the Army. I don't know who it was sent from. And so I don't recall a specific paragraph.
 - I will say, though, that the thrust of the last paragraph is consistent with what I've been telling you all, that my view was that the military should be the last -- should be at the back of the queue, behind law enforcement, and that at the front of the military queue should be the National Guard and in the rear of the military queue should be Active-Duty forces.
 - So that is consistent. And as I told you, I thought it was very important as a lesson learned from June 1st that the military be distinguished from law enforcement and that the way to do that was to get law enforcement to use nonmilitary style uniforms.

1	And I guess in some cases, if we could clearly identify our own folks, that that would be a					
2	good thing, too.					
3	Q	And just so I'm clear, was there ever any such guidance issued to the D.C.				
4	National Gu	ard, regardless of whether you've seen this memo or not, that memorialized				
5	these lessor	ns that you just talked about?				
6	А	I don't know. I would not have issued that to the D.C. Guard. I would				
7	have given g	guidance to Secretary McCarthy to give that guidance to the D.C. Guard. But				
8	I never did t	hat I can recall.				
9	Q	Was any guidance issued to the Secretary of the Army about employment				
LO	guidance fo	r the D.C. National Guard in your				
11	Α	I know I gave go ahead. I'm sorry.				
L2	Q	Before the summer events, did any such guidance exist for the Secretary of				
L3	the Army is:	sued by the Secretary of Defense?				
L4	Α	Prior to June 1st?				
15	Q	Correct.				
L6	Α	Not by me, and I'm not sure that there were any standing orders either.				
L7	Not that I re	ecall.				
L8	Q	Okay. We're wrapping up, Dr. Esper. I just have one question about the				
L9	summer eve	ents.				
20	Were you aware of an effort by the White House to federalize the Metropolitan					
21	Police Depa	rtment?				
22	Α	No.				
23	Q	And were you aware that the Joint Chief, General Milley's office, was kind of				
24	tracking the	violence and monitoring it across the country and were receiving daily data				

reports?

а

1	A Yes. I know General Milley kind of carried these stats around with him on a
2	daily basis.
3	I tended to get reports from my up from my Assistant Secretary for Homeland
4	Defense Ken Rapuano. He was and to be clear, because this was often the question, it
5	was not any information that was gathered by the military, as I was told, because but it
6	was information conveyed to him from, I think, either DHS, DOJ, or both.
7	Q Right. And it showed kind of these three or four cities where there was
8	rioting, but nothing nationwide that would have been the predicate for the Insurrection
9	Act. That was General Milley's general substance to us.
10	Do you agree with that?
11	A I think we tried to track and understand what was happening in all the cities,
12	at least the major cities across the United States, in terms of how people were protesting,
13	how violent was it, or was it not.
14	And, again, it was not my understanding was it was law enforcement
15	information, that's who was that was who was collecting it and providing it.
16	Q Right, I understand that, that it was law enforcement. The information
17	provided, however, didn't show anything that would have triggered, in your mind or
18	General Milley's mind, the need for the Insurrection Act?
19	A No. Again, I never felt the need to invoke the Insurrection Act.
20	Q And just in terms of the discussions that you had after June 1st events with
21	Secretary McCarthy, General Walker, and General Milley, with just in looking back now,
22	was it your intent for the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary of Defense to be more
23	involved in the deployment of the D.C. National Guard?
24	A Let me answer this a few ways.
25	I think given what happened the week of June 1st and some of the events that

1	happened so we, for example, we were surprised that a helicopter was used on the					
2	evening of June 1st. And within an hour of being informed, I immediately directed an					
3	investigation. We were later surprised that another National Guard aircraft was used					
4	and conducted another investigation.					
5	So I think there were a number of things that surprised us during the course of the					
6	week of June 1st that prompted us to get more involved in these types of deployments.					
7	And so we took a more active I certainly did. I know Secretary McCarthy did.					
8	And I think the thing is this was a unique event. It's fair to say protests and civil					
9	unrest like this probably hadn't happened in decades, a couple generations. And so we					
10	were no longer dealing with the normal requests that would come through the system for					
11	additional National Guard support for a July 4th celebration or a National Guard traffic					
12	control support for a parade, or something like that.					
13	We were in a completely new situation now. And I think, as a result, we all took					
14	a more active interest in what was happening down to the tactical level to make sure that					
15	we were, again, abiding by kind of the core principles of civil-military relations, the					
16	military support to civilian authorities, et cetera, et cetera.					
17	Q It's fair to say there was more scrutiny involved in some of the requests for					
18	the D.C. National Guard?					
19	A Yes.					
20	Let me turn it over to see if any of our members have any					
21	questions. I see Mrs. Luria is on. I know Ms. Lofgren was on earlier.					
22	Anyone else have any?					
23	Mr. Kinzinger. No questions					
24	Mr. Kinzinger?					
25	Mr. Kinzinger. No questions here.					

1	Okay. Thanks.
2	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> This is Elaine. No questions right now. I just want to say thank
3	you to the Secretary for joining our conversation.
4	
5	Q Dr. Esper, the only other question I have, just looking back over my notes, is
6	just to briefly go back to the discussions you had with President Trump around the June
7	events.
8	Did he ever during those discussions talk about his desire to look tough or the
9	desire for how the deployment of troops would the message it would send, or the
10	optics, or the sort of look that it would convey? Was that part of his sort of his
11	discussion or his case, so to speak, for using Active-Duty troops?
12	A If memory serves me correct, on at the June 1st meeting he thought that
13	what was happening in the country with regard to the civil unrest made the United States
14	look weak, made him look weak. There was talk along those rhetoric along those
15	lines.
16	I think, again, you have the transcript there. I think he said similar things in the
17	call with the Governors that same day.
18	Q Yeah, he does. Exactly. And I'm trying to get a sense and I don't want
19	to you to speculate but whether his point or in the discussion was motivated more by
20	looking strong versus tactically what would be the best solution to safely quell the
21	violence, if you have a sense as to which of those priorities, whether they conflicted, or
22	what he mentioned as his reason for wanting the Active-Duty troops.
23	A Yeah, I don't want to speculate as to why he leaned toward Active-Duty, and
24	if not Active-Duty, then the military Guard. There could be a number of explanations,

that maybe he thought that that would be a more effective force. I just don't know

1	which of those that I would it would be, again, speculation.				
2	Q	Yeah. No, again, I don't want you to speculate. I'm just really asking what			
3	he said. D	id he say, "We have look strong, this looks terrible, we look weak"? It			
4	sounds like	both from the call with the Governors and from your recollection of the			
5	conversatio	n in the Oval Office that how things looked was part of the conversation.			
6	А	Well, yes, as I said, he thought that we looked weak abroad. And I think in			
7	the call with	the Governors he expressed that he looked or that the he and the			
8	Governors I	ooked weak or the Governors looked weak by not responding more forcefully			
9	to the prote	ests.			
LO	Q	Yeah. Okay. All right.			
l1					
12	Q	Just one more question along that line.			
L3	Alor	g with the conversations about the Insurrection Act, it was reported that			
L4	several high	-level Pentagon officials threatened to resign if the President did invoke the			
L5	Insurrection	Act.			
L6	Are	you familiar with that? Was that ever communicated to the President?			
L7	Α	I don't recall that at all, frankly. I'd love to know who you heard that from,			
L8	but I just do	n't recall that.			
L9	Q	Oh, it was in The New York Times. I didn't hear it.			
20	Α	Well, there was, as I said, there was a lot of inaccurate or incomplete			
21	reporting th	at was going on during that timeframe. And so, you know, as you'll see in			
22	my transcri _l	ot of June 3rd, I think in the first paragraph I say there's been some reporting,			

And another reason why I wanted to give the press conference on June 3rd was to

clarify some things that were in the press that just simply were not accurate. And so I

some good, some bad.

23

24

tried	to ad	dress	them	as well
เมเน	to au	ui Coo	uiciii	as well

So I appreciate you conveying the source, but I just don't recall anybody I don't
recall it ever being reported, and I don't recall it ever being talked about within the
Pentagon.

Q And, ultimately, do you believe that the 5,000 National Guard that ultimately came for the summer protests, did that prevent the President from invoking the Insurrection Act once he saw that presence in the District?

A So I'll give a long-winded answer. I think that, first of all, I think there is credit due to Attorney General Barr for pulling in a lot of law enforcement folks to be there on the scene in D.C. on June 1st and getting them there in a timely manner.

You know, whether or not the additional forces provided by the D.C. Guard and others was needed, I don't know. But needless to say, we did not have violence the evening of June 1st or June 2nd like we had in the previous nights.

As you probably know, there were National Guardsmen injured, there were Secret Service injured, there were Park Police injured, there was a lot of destruction going on.

So we did not see the level of violence the evening of June 1st that we had in the previous nights.

So I think the proposal to -- that Bill Barr and I put forward, that he call up law enforcement and I would call in Guard, was successful in tamping the violence down.

But it was certainly successful in terms of obviating the President's inclination to or desire to deploy Active-Duty troops into the city, which, again, I was opposed to for the reasons I stated.

Q Dr. Esper, is there anything else that you think is relevant? Is there anything that we haven't asked you that -- I appreciated your opening statement. But

1	upon reflection and our conversation, anything else you think we should know, the
2	committee should know?
3	A I think with regard to January 6th, 2021, again, it was a tragic day. It was
4	terrible. What we saw, what we witnessed, you know, I made a statement that
5	afternoon about these things that if you don't have for the record we can share with you
6	for the record, probably my first public statement since leaving office.
7	But, anyways, I don't think I have anything further to add at this point in time.
8	Q You did issue a series of tweets that afternoon, and I'll just read them into
9	the record.
10	You say, "As this transition plays out over the next 2 weeks, I am confident the
11	U.S. military will stay out of politics and remain true to its sworn oath to support and
12	defend the Constitution and the American people as the most trusted and respected
13	institution in the country."
14	You say, "This must end now for the good of the Republic. I commend
15	congressional leaders for meeting tonight to complete their constitutional task of
16	counting the electoral college votes that will affirm Joe Biden as the next President of the
17	United States.
18	"This afternoon's assault on the Capitol was appalling and un-American. This is
19	not how citizens of the world's greatest and oldest democracy behave. The
20	perpetrators who committed this illegal act were inspired by partisan misinformation and
21	patently false claims about the election."
22	It's a series of three tweets that I think I read out of order. But is that what you
23	recall, Dr. Esper, is the statement that you just referenced that you issued on
24	January 6th?

Yes. That is.

Α

1 Q And consistent, I take it, still with your views on the events at the Capitol 2 that day. 3 Yes. It is. All right. Well, we really appreciate your willingness to speak with 4 us. Again, you did this voluntarily and took time to provide this useful information. So 5 thank you very much. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Richards, for your help as well. 8 Mr. Richards. Thank you. 9 Dr. Esper. Thank you all very much as well. All right. Thank you. Have a good afternoon. 10 Dr. Esper. You, too. Goodbye. 11

[Whereupon, at 4:33 p.m., the interview was concluded.]

1	Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee
2	
3	
4	I have read the foregoing pages, which contain the correct transcript of the
5	answers made by me to the questions therein recorded.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Witness Name
11	
12	
13	
14	Date
15	