HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—*Tuesday, April 27, 1971*

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.

Rev. Father Norbert Skvarla, assistant pastor, St. Mary's Catholic Church, Alexandria, Va., offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

For everything there is a season, and a time for every matter under heaven:

A time to be born, and a time to die:

A time to plant, and a time to pluck up what is planted:

A time to break down, and a time to build up:

A time to weep, and a time to laugh:

A time to mourn, and a time to dance:

A time to cast away stones, and a time to gather stones together:

A time to keep silence, and a time to speak:

A time to love, and a time to hate:

A time for war, and a time for peace.

Therefore, we ask You, O Lord, to direct all our actions by Your inspiration and carry them on by Your assistance, that every prayer and work of ours may always begin from You and through You be brought to completion. This we ask through Christ our Lord. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Without objection, the Journal stands approved.

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Arrington, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed the following resolution:

S. RES. 106

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow the announcement of the death of Honorable Robert J. Corbett, late a Representative from the State of Pennsylvania.

Resolved, That a committee of two Senators be appointed by the Presiding Officer to join the committee appointed on the part of the House of Representatives to attend the funeral of the deceased Representative.

Resolved, That the Secretary communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy thereof to the family of the deceased.

Resolved, That, as a further mark of respect to the memory of the deceased, the Senate do now adjourn.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed a bill of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1399. An act to establish within the Department of the Interior the position of an additional Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS, 1971

Mr. MAHON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 567) making certain urgent supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year 1971, and for other purposes, with Senate amendments thereto, and concur in the Senate amendments.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The Clerk read the Senate amendments as follows:

Page 1, after line 5, insert:

"CHAPTER I

"DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

"OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

"CLAIMS, DEFENSE

"For an additional amount for 'Claims, Defense', not to exceed $13,000,000 may be derived by transfer in amounts not to exceed (a) $3,000,000 from 'Defense production guarantees, Army', (b) $4,000,000 from 'Defense production guarantees, Navy', and (c) $6,000,000 from 'Defense production guarantees, Air Force'."

Page 1, line 6, strike out "I" and insert "II".

Page 2, line 4, strike out "II" and insert "III".

Page 3, line 9, strike out "III" and insert "IV".

Page 3, line 15, strike out "IV" and insert "V".

Page 4, line 3, strike out "V" and insert "VI".

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. BOW. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I do so in order that the distinguished chairman of the committee may explain to the House any changes that were made in the other body.

Mr. MAHON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BOW. I am happy to yield to the chairman.

Mr. MAHON. This is the urgent supplemental appropriation bill which was passed by the House last week, to take care of certain urgent emergency items. When the bill went to the other body, the other body was advised that there was an additional urgent requirement from the Department of Defense for $13 million to liquidate adjudicated claims. The other body added the requested $13 million. The purpose of the request which I have made is to agree to the Senate amendment and not send the bill to conference.

Mr. BOW. Do I understand that these additional funds will be obtained by transfer?

Mr. MAHON. All of the funds in the claims item will be obtained by transfer.

Mr. BOW. From other accounts in the Department of Defense?

Mr. MAHON. The gentleman is correct. There is no new money provided for this purpose.

Mr. BOW. And there is no change in the other items as provided in the House bill?

Mr. MAHON. There is no change in the House-passed version except for this amendment.

Mr. BOW. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON RULES TO FILE CERTAIN REPORTS

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Rules may have until midnight tonight to file certain privileged reports.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Hawaii?

There was no objection.

COMMEMORATION OF THE WABASH CANNON BALL

(Mr. ROUSH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROUSH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate a great railroad train, which, unless some kind of miracle occurs, will make its final trip on Friday, April 30. On that day, for the last time, the famous, time honored, Wabash Cannon Ball will make its last daylight trip from Detroit through Indiana to St. Louis. I have been invited to join in that final ride and so I will be there for the Cannon Ball's finale.

The Wabash Cannon Ball has a special place in the hearts of the people of Indiana. For 25 years, this train has stopped at Williamsport, Attica, Lafayette, Delphi, Logansport, Peru, Wabash, Huntington, and Fort Wayne, Ind. Several of these stops are in my Fourth Congressional District and I have heard from numbers of people who will miss the Cannon Ball, who are aware of its convenience, and the joy of its scenic route, who cannot afford or do not have access to airlines and airports, who enjoy the conviviality of train travel.

The Cannon Ball will cease to exist when Railpax takes over this week, but the memory of that railroad will live on for the people of Indiana, in their memories and in the famous ballad, "The Wabash Cannon Ball," which, while not geographically accurate, expresses the feelings and the sentiments of those who have used this line.

From the calm Pacific waters
To the rough Atlantic shore,
Ever climbing hills and mountains,
Like no other did before,
She's as graceful as a comet,
Smoother than a waterfall,
It's the Western Combination,
It's the Wabash Cannon Ball.

TO IMPROVE SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, today I am refiling a bill that calls for increasing benefits 50 percent across the board under social security, and also to ask that the benefit costs be borne equally by employers, employees, and the Federal Government, and also to increase the amount of outside earnings which a beneficiary may be permitted to make before deductions are made from his benefits.

Mr. Speaker, we hear a great deal of talk today about revenue sharing. Revenue sharing is being advocated by some people as a means by which they will send blank checks with no strings attached to public officials around the country.

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BURKE of Massacuhsetts. Mr Speaker, I am delighted to yield to the distinguished majority leader.

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend the gentleman from Massachusetts for the bill that the gentleman from Massachusetts has introduced. The gentleman from Massachusetts has been in the forefront of modernizing the social security system and to make it responsive to the cost of living today. I congratulate the gentleman.

Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his statement.

Mr. Speaker, I am for responsible revenue sharing, but I say that if we are going to have revenue sharing, then let us share it with the elderly and take them out of the plight in which they now find themselves.

Mr. Speaker, at this time I include my social security honor roll:

SOCIAL SECURITY HONOR ROLL

Brock Adams—Washington.
Nick Begich—Alaska.
Joseph P. Vigorito—Pennsylvania.
Robert Drinan—Massachusetts.
Abner Mikva—Illinois.
Gus Yatron—Pennsylvania.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION TO FILE CERTAIN REPORTS

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on House Administration may have until midnight tonight to file certain reports.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

SAD DAY IF WE YIELD TO MOB RULE

(Mr. DENNIS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. DENNIS. Mr. Speaker, today in this country—and this week in Washington—we are reaping the harvest of deep and bitter frustration which is the legacy of a long, bloody war, waged without the goal of victory.

This frustration is being vented against an outstanding President who did not start the war, and who is the first President since it started who is well on the way to ending it.

The current protests come at a time when the military situation in Vietnam is relatively good—and when the process of Vietnamization, if pursued in orderly fashion, gives a good prospect of successful conclusion.

These same protests and this same sentiment will be used to call for socialistic expenditures we can ill afford, and to oppose appropriations for defense some of which are absolutely vital to national survival.

Many—and, I am willing to assume, most—of the marchers and protestors are patriotic and sincere; but, be their motives good or ill, it is potential anarchy which is stalking our streets—and it will be a sad day for us all if and when we yield to mob rule in these United States.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY IN DIRE TROUBLE

(Mr. CHAMBERLAIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. CHAMBERLAIN. Mr. Speaker, it was obvious following the presidential election of 1968 that the Democrats had become a party in dire trouble—spent in both leadership and philosophy. But I must confess that it was not until today when I received a letter from Democratic Party Chairman Larry O'Brien soliciting a contribution for 1972 from a Republican Member of the House that I realized they must be completely desperate.

On the basis of his appeal for money, Mr. O'Brien states in his letter that the solution to our Nation's problems is to be found in an early retirement for the Nixon-Agnew team. Well, I, for one, want to make it clear that in my opinion the Nixon-Agnew team is making a most creditable record in straightening out the mess inherited from the previous Democratic administrations, and I strongly support keeping that team in office beyond the premature date suggested by Mr. O'Brien.

I call upon Mr. O'Brien to kindly remove my name from his mailing list. May I suggest further that in the future the Democrats would be far better off investing their money to research and develop sound programs to help extricate our country from the problems they created—and for meeting the Nation's future needs rather than wasting it for postage to solicit Republican support.

SUEZ CANAL

(Mr. GROSS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. GROSS. Mr. Speaker, the following banner headline appeared across the front page of the Washington Evening Star of April 23, 1971: "United States Willing To Help Police Suez."

The first paragraph of the news story reads:

Secretary of State William P. Rogers indicated today the United States is willing to take part in an international force guaranteeing the neutrality of a reopened Suez Canal.

I am unalterably opposed at this time to the commitment of U.S. military forces under any guise to the defense of the Suez Canal. Have we learned nothing from the lesson of Vietnam, a war in which we had every right to expect the support of other international forces? As tragic as have been the economic losses to world commerce through the closing of the Suez Canal there is no responsibility—absolutely no responsibility—on the part of the United States to now send troops into the Middle East battleground with its dire potential for being drawn into another war.

GULLIBILITY

(Mr. HAYS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HAYS. Mr. Speaker, in regards to the statement just made by the gentleman from Iowa—and I want to be completely nonpartisan about this—I like the Secretary of State very much, but it seems to me that the Secretary of State and Senator FULBRIGHT must be the two most gullible men, where the Egyptians are concerned, since Marc Antony believed Cleopatra when she said, "Marc, you are the first one."

JUSTICE FOR THE CAPITOL POLICE FORCE

(Mr. GONZALEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. GONZALEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise once again to remind the House that justice has not been done. At a time when the House, the Senate, and other officers on Capitol Hill are literally under siege, with some voices promising not only to lay siege but to take over in violent as well as in nonviolent procedures, we still mistreat the members of the Capitol Police force. The guards at the zoo get paid overtime. The Capitol Police force does not. There are men who have worked hundreds of hours overtime since the bombing on the Senate side of the Capitol without being paid. This is wrong, this is callous indifference to just treatment of the men on whom we are going to have to depend for the safety and the security of the Capitol.

I ask that the man charged with this responsibility in the House act instanter to remedy this atrocious situation.

ACTION TO REMEDY CAPITOL POLICE SITUATION

(Mr. GRAY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GRAY. Mr. Speaker, in answer to my friend, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GONZALEZ) who has just spoken, as chairman of the Special Committee on Police of the House Committee on Administration, I would like to join the gentleman in his remarks and state that we are waiting for the task force consisting of the Sergeant at Arms of the House, the Sergeant at Arms of the Senate, the Architect and the Capitol Chief of Police

to make recommendations to our committee on this subject, and as soon as they report, we will take expeditious action.

THE CAPITOL POLICE FORCE

(Mr. HUNT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HUNT. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to join with my colleague, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GONZALEZ) in protesting the outrageous treatment of the Capitol Police insofar as remuneration for overtime work is concerned. We expect these men to work a full day, and then they are held over for 4 hours extra, and then they are held over for 8 hours extra, and then they are kept in here on their day off without any extra compensation, insofar as they are concerned.

Everyone knows that industry is now trying to effect a 4-day-week plan. The law-enforcement people can never be expected to achieve such plan, nor do they desire to have it that way.

However, I object to having the Capitol Police relegated to a position of second-class citizens. They should be compensated for the time that they work, and it is no more than fair that we act to bring about such compensation as soon as possible.

HEARING SET ON PATENT OFFICE LEGISLATION

(Mr. KASTENMEIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KASTENMEIER. Mr. Speaker, as chairman of the Subcommittee on Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights of the Committee on the Judiciary, I wish to announce that the subcommittee has scheduled a public hearing to start at 10 a.m. on Wednesday, May 5, in room 2226 Rayburn House Office Building, on the following measures:

H.R. 5237—to carry into effect a provision of the Convention of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property, as revised at Stockholm, Sweden, July 14, 1967;

S. 645—H.R. 4564—to provide relief in patent and trademark cases affected by the emergency situation in the U.S. Postal Service which began March 18, 1970;

S. 1253—H.R. 5238—to amend section 6 of title 35, United States Code, "Patents," to authorize domestic and international studies and programs relating to patents and trademarks; and

S. 1254, to amend title 35, United States Code, "Patents," and for other purposes.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DAY

The SPEAKER. This is District of Columbia day. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. MCMILLAN), chairman of the Committee on the District of Columbia.

EXTENSION OF THE COMMISSION ON THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, I call up the bill (H.R. 5765) to extend for 6 months the time for filing the comprehensive report of the Commission on the Organization of the Government of the District of Columbia, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

H.R. 5765

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 103(b) of the Act entitled "An Act to establish a Commission on the Organization of the Government of the District of Columbia and to provide for a Delegate to the House of Representatives from the District of Columbia," approved September 22, 1970 (85 Stat. 845), is amended by striking out "six months" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "twelve months".

CALL OF THE HOUSE

Mr. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, I move a call of the House.

A call of the House was ordered.

The Clerk called the roll, and the following Members failed to answer to their names:

[Roll No. 68]

Alexander	Dowdy	Metcalfe
Anderson, Tenn.	Drinan	Monagan
Andrews, Ala.	Edwards, La.	Montgomery
Ashbrook	Gallagher	Moorhead
Badillo	Green, Pa.	Murphy, Ill.
Blanton	Gubser	Pelly
Byrne, Pa.	Halpern	Pickle
Casey, Tex.	Hanley	Rhodes
Celler	Hanna	Roberts
Chisholm	Harrington	Roe
Clark	Harsha	Roybal
Clausen, Don H.	Hébert	Runnels
Cleveland	Heckler, Mass.	Scheuer
Colmer	Howard	Springer
Conyers	Jarman	Stafford
Corman	Jones, Ala.	Stephens
Davis, Ga.	Jones, Tenn.	Stubblefield
Davis, Wis.	Landrum	Stuckey
Dellums	Lloyd	Teague, Calif.
Denholm	Long, La.	Teague, Tex.
Diggs	McCulloch	Thompson, N.J.
	McEwen	Ullman
	McKay	Vanik
	McKinney	Wilson, Bob

The SPEAKER. On this rollcall 361 Members have answered to their names, a quorum.

By unanimous consent, further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

EXTENSION OF THE COMMISSION ON THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from South Carolina is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, the reason for asking for an extension of the little Hoover Commission for a study of the District of Columbia movement is the fact that the other body was late in appointing their representatives to this commission. The commission was about 6 months late in beginning its study. We feel they are entitled to 6 months additional time. That is all I have to say concerning this bill.

I now yield to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. NELSEN).

Mr. NELSEN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I think this House gave very enthusiastic support to what we term the little Hoover Commission for a study of the city government as passed in the 91st Congress and enacted as Public Law 91–405. We were slow in getting started because the Senate was unavoidably delayed, as I understand it, in naming their members to the Commission, so we could not get underway as early as we had hoped. However, we are now fully organized and ready to undertake the main part of our study. It is our feeling that we can make a contribution which will be of help to the District in making its government more efficient and economical in the operation of its agencies, departments, et cetera.

I include the following statement in support of H.R. 5765, a bill which is fully supported by the Commission on the Organization of the government of the District of Columbia.

The statement follows:

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of H.R. 5765 is to extend the life of the Commission on the Organization of the Government of the District of Columbia six months, that is from September 22, 1971, to March 22, 1972.

NEED FOR EXTENSION

H.R. 5765 was introduced by Congressman Ancher Nelsen of Minnesota and cosponsored by Congressman Don Fuqua of Florida, who are also the House-appointed members of the Little Hoover Commission. Congressman Nelsen was elected Chairman of the Commission at the formal organization meeting held on February 5, 1971.

At the March 8, 1971, meeting of the Commission, the members unanimously voted to request Congress to grant a six-month extension of the life of the Commission, and requested Congressman Nelsen and Congressman Fuqua to introduce legislation that would amend section 103(b), Title I, of Public Law 91–405, so as to effect the extension.

The need for this six-month extension arises because of the fact that, for reasons beyond the control of the Commission, the Senate appointments to the Commission were delayed such that the final two members were not named until the last week of January 1971. The Commission members who had been appointed met on December 16, 1970 and, upon a motion made and seconded, voted to take no permanent and final action toward organization until the final two members had been named.

Shortly after the final two Senate members had been named, the Commission held

its formal organization meeting on February 5th and since that time it has been engaged in the planning and organizational aspects of its work. The Commission has adopted a time schedule for its study which would see its efficiency study completed and a final report filed with the Congress in March of 1972. The Commission members fully expect to meet this deadline if granted this extension.

COMMISSION BUDGET

There is some considerable importance attached to this legislation, seeking a six-month extension for the life of the Commission, at least from the point of view of the Commission, inasmuch as the Chairman of the Commission must appear before both the Senate and House District of Columbia Appropriations Subcommittees in support of the Commission's budget for fiscal year 1972. Without some evidence of the intent of Congress to extend the life of the Commission to March 1972, it would be extremely difficult for the Commission to present and justify its budget. On the other hand, the funds currently appropriated for the Commission were not expected to cover the budget for the Commission for the entire term of its study, but only for fiscal year 1971.

Public Law 91-665, an Act making supplemental appropriations for fiscal year ending June 30, 1971, enacted into law on January 8, 1971, contained an appropriation in the amount of $325,000 to fund the Commission in fiscal year 1971.

It is currently contemplated that $150,000 in funds will be obligated by June 30, 1971 for staff salaries, administrative support, and contractural services. However, the principal part of the work of the Commission, and accordingly the costs incurred, will take place during the period of June 15, 1971, to March 22, 1972 (assuming the extension provided for in this bill).

The budget request for the Commission for fiscal year 1972 is contemplated at this time to amount to $425,000. The total amount thus requested for the Commission during its life is contemplated not to exceed $750,000. The projected expenditures for the life of the Commission would provide salaries of $381,000, administrative support of $88,000 and contractual services of $276,000 for consulting, leases, etc.

In the event, as is hoped, some professional assistance in conducting the Commission's study can be obtained through grants, matching funds, or the assignment of professional experts in the fields of management, personnel, etc. from the public sector without reimbursement, there will be a balance of unexpended funds available after the termination of the life of the Commission.

SIX-MONTH REPORT OF THE COMMISSION

There is attached as Appendix A, the six-month report of the Commission transmitted to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President of the Senate on March 22, 1971, as required by section 103 (b), Title I of P.L. 91-405.

APPENDIX A.—REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

I.—INTRODUCTION

Section 103(b) of P.L. 91-405, an Act to establish a Commission on the Government of the District of Columbia, directs that the Commission shall submit a comprehensive report of its activities and results of its studies to the Congress within six months after the date of its enactment. This report is submitted in compliance with section 103 (b) of the Act.

For reasons as set forth hereinafter, this report is devoted primarily to what has been accomplished by way of organization and planning on the part of the Commission since its establishment.

II.—BRIEF BACKGROUND FOR THE STUDY

This Commission, in a sense, had its origin in and is patterned after the Hoover Commission-type studies of the Federal Government which were chaired by the late President Herbert Hoover and were conducted in the late 1940's and early 1950's. And while there was some discussion and testimony by former President Hoover before the Congress where it appeared that certain functions carried on by the Federal Government and the District government paralleled and, in some cases, duplicated each other, and while it was suggested that there should be a discontinuance of some of these functions by one or the other government, neither the First nor the Second Hoover Commission was assigned or assumed the task of examining the operation and efficiency of the Government of the District of Columbia. The last study in any way generally related to that undertaken by this Commission appears to have been undertaken in 1937. This was an Executive study confined to the *Fiscal Relations Between the United States and the District of Columbia Government*. See Senate Doc. No. 12, 75th Cong., 1st Sess., 1937 (the Jacobs Report). That particular Commission study, while it was fairly comprehensive in one particular area (fiscal affairs), did not involve itself in the areas of economy, efficiency, and improved service of the District Government as contemplated by this Commission.

Meanwhile, in the intervening years since the Jacobs report was issued and since former President Hoover testified on the results of the Second Hoover Commission, a number of reorganizations of the District Government have taken place. The most recent reorganization is that known as Reorganization Plan #3 of 1967, which was stated to have been instituted ". . . to provide a better government for the citizens of the Nation's Capital." Reorganization Plan #3 became effective on August 11, 1967 and operational on November 3, 1967 (81 Stat. 948; see also Title I, appdx., D.C. Code).

III.—DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION

The Commission was directed by Congress to study and investigate the present organization and methods of operation of all departments, bureaus, agencies, boards, commissions, offices, independent establishments, and instrumentalities of the District of Columbia (other than the courts) so as to determine what changes are necessary in order to accomplish the declared policy of Congress:

"It is hereby declared to be the policy of Congress to promote economy, efficiency, and improved service in the transaction of the public business in the departments, bureaus, agencies, boards, commissions, offices, independent establishments, and instrumentalities of the District of Columbia by—

"(1) Recommending methods and procedures for reducing expenditures to the lowest amount consistent with the efficient performance of essential services, activities, and functions;

"(2) Eliminating duplication and overlapping of services, activities, and functions;

"(3) Consolidating services, activities, and functions of a similar nature;

"(4) Abolishing services, activities, and functions not necessary to the efficient conduct of government;

"(5) Eliminating nonessential services, functions, and activities which are competitive with private enterprise;

"(6) Defining responsibilities of officials; and

"(7) Relocating agencies now responsible directly to the Commissioner of the District of Columbia in departments or other agencies."

The Commission was further directed to submit such interim reports as deemed necessary, a comprehensive 6-month report, and a final report which may propose such legislative enactments and administrative action as in its judgment are necessary to carry out its recommendations.

IV.—ORGANIZATION OF THE COMMISSION

The Organization of the Commission calls for the appointment of twelve members: Four by the President, four by the Senate, and four by the House of Representatives. Those members as selected by each of the foregoing are as follows:

Senate: Hon. Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., James J. McIntyre, Jason I. Newman, Hon. William B. Spong, Jr.

House: John B. Duncan, Hon. Don Fuqua, Marjorie M. Lawson, Hon. Ancher Nelsen.

President: Robert J. Brown, Thomas W. Fletcher, Elaine B. Jenkins, Richard P. Nathan.

The Commission held a preliminary organization meeting on December 16, 1970, pending the appointment of two additional members of the Commission from the Senate, and at that time elected a temporary Chairman, Congressman Ancher Nelsen, to schedule and arrange the first formal organization meeting. On February 5, 1971, shortly after the appointment of the final two members of the Commission, the formal organizational meeting was held at which Congressman Ancher Nelsen was elected Chairman and John B. Duncan, a former Commissioner of the District Government, was elected Vice Chairman.

The Commission has adopted rules of procedure and established certain policies for the guidance of the staff. In addition, a tentative study plan has been adopted by the Commission with realistic milestones established for the various phases of the study including the final report and the termination date for the study. This plan contemplates a completion date of March 1972 and is currently on schedule.

A Personnel Committee has been named and has met several times for the purpose of selecting and recommending individuals for employment by the Commission. Three of the principal staff members have been selected and taken their oath of office. Others are being interviewed so as to bring the staff up to its current allowance ceiling of 17 employees.

A request for office space in a General Services Administration-leased building located near the District Building and other District Government office buildings has been signed and near immediate occupancy of the space is expected for the duration of the study. The space request is adequate but modest in keeping with the objectives of the Commission. In addition, an on-site work space and record repository in the District Building has been established for the convenience of both Commission and District Government personnel.

The Commission has also requested the District government to compile a digested list of all studies conducted, either in-house or by contract, of the District Government, its departments, boards, agencies, etc. during the last five years. That list has been compiled and copies of those reports are now available for the Commission staff personnel at the on-site work area in the District Building.

Also, a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement between the Commission and the General Services Administration for Fiscal Year 1971 has been signed whereby certain housekeeping services such as payroll budget, financial services, etc. will be provided the Commission until July 1, 1971.

V.—EXTENSION OF TIME

At the March 8, 1971 meeting of the Commission, it was unanimously agreed that a six-month extension of the life of the Commission should be sought by the Commission due to the unavoidable delay in the appointment of certain members to the Commission. Congressman Ancher Nelsen has introduced,

for himself and Congressman Fuqua, legislation in the House (H.R. 5765) that would effect such extension if enacted into law; and Senator Mathias has introduced similar legislation for himself and Senator Spong in the Senate (S. 1229). At the present time, it is the consensus of the Commission that it can complete its study and submit its final report by March 22, 1972, which would require an amendment as contained in the bills noted above to P.L. 91-405.

VI.—COMMISSION BUDGET

Public Law 91-665, an Act making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1971, contained an appropriation in the amount of $325,000 for expenses necessary to carry out title I of the Act of September 22, 1970 (Public Law 91-405) establishing the Commission on the Organization of the Government of the District of Columbia, such sum to remain available until expended.

This request for funds for Fiscal Year 1971 preceded the formal organization of the Commission and must necessarily have been a tentative one; however, the Commission may expect some increase in funding after it has (1) had a further opportunity to formulate its work program and plan of operation; (2) has accumulated some experience in costing its work program; and (3) has assured itself that its time schedule is realistic and attainable (currently 12 months based on the legislative extension sought as noted above).

VII.—INTERIM REPORTS

As noted hereinabove, the submission of interim reports are directed to be made (section 103(b) of the Act) at such times as the Commission deems necessary. The then temporary Chairman, Congressman Ancher Nelsen, inserted what might be considered an informal interim report in the *Congressional Record* after the December 16, 1970 meeting referred to above, CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, volume 116, part 32, page 43564.

The Commission will make such further interim reports to the Congress as deemed necessary, and as it realistically can, prior to the submission of its final report.

VIII.—COOPERATION AND PLEDGE OF SUPPORT

The Commission has contacted various Federal departments and agencies seeking their cooperation and assistance during the period it will be in existence. The cooperation extended to the Commission to date, such as that extended by the Bureau of Census, Department of Commerce, is most gratifying. Such assistance is eagerly sought and greatly needed if the Commission is to accomplish its tasks in a commendable and timely fashion.

In addition, Mayor Walter E. Washington appeared before the Commission at its first meeting and pledged the cooperation and support of the District Government, its employees and agencies in the study to be conducted by the Commission. The Commission, its members, and its staff will make every effort to insure that this spirit of cooperation persists throughout the course of this study.

IX.—CONCLUSION

Despite a somewhat tardy initiation of the study to be performed by this Commission, for reasons beyond the control of the Commission, the Commission has now completed most of those organizational and start-up phases of the study and is on the threshhold of implementing a work program and plan of operation that, it is believed, will see the completion of its study by March 1972.

The Commission seeks the continued support of Congress as it proceeds with the important tasks assigned to it. It is also the intent of this Commission to make recommendations to the Congress regarding the organization of the District of Columbia Government which will achieve the declared policy of Congress in enacting Public Law 91-405, and will also permit the District Government to readily implement those recommendations. Finally, the Commission is committed to the proposition that it will submit a final report to the Congress of which both may be proud.

Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Commission on the Organization of the Government of the District of Columbia, I want to take this opportunity to urge upon my colleagues the passage of H.R. 5765 which would extend the life of this Commission 6 months beyond its presently scheduled termination date of September 22, 1971. We are therefore requesting an extension until March 22, 1972.

I can unequivocably report to you at this time that the Commission is forging ahead on its assigned tasks of studying the efficiency and effectiveness of the District government. Under the excellent leadership of our chairman, Congressman ANCHER NELSON of Minnesota, we have established what I believe to be a solid basis both in Commission organization and in study format which will lead to concrete and productive findings within the time limit which we are now requesting.

The reasons which have made this request for an extension necessary were completely beyond the control of the Commission in that several of the Commissioners were not appointed to serve until months after the original enacting legislation had been contemplated. The result was that the Commission did not begin to function until early March of this year when all Commission seats were filled. This delay of 6 months is thus exactly equivalent to the extension requested.

I mention these facts so that the record will clearly reflect that the initial delay which the Commission has suffered is not in any way due to a lack of commitment on the part of its members to expeditiously accomplish the tasks assigned to the Commission by Congress.

Rather, the remarkable progress which we have made in the short time since early March is testimony to the hard work which the individual Commissioners have been willing to devote to the job at hand.

With your continued support I am confident that this Commission will stand ready to render an excellent report during May of 1972. At the same time I must point out that to require the Commission to present its findings in any shorter time would seriously compromise the quality thereof.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks after each of the bills passed today.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

EXPANSION OF CANINE CORPS

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CABELL) to call up any bill before his subcommittee.

Mr. CABELL. I thank the chairman.

Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on the District of Columbia, I call up the bill, H.R. 2598, to authorize the acquisition, training, and maintenance of dogs to be used in law enforcement in the District of Columbia, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

H.R. 2598

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Commissioners of the District of Columbia, acting through the Chief of Police of the Metropolitan Police force of the District of Columbia, are authorized to acquire, train, and maintain as many dogs as may be necessary to be used in connection with law enforcement in the District of Columbia.

With the following committee amendments:

On page 1, line 3, strike out "Commissioners" and insert in lieu thereof "Commissioner".

On page 1, line 5, strike out "are" and insert in lieu thereof "is".

The committee amendments were agreed to.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Speaker, as the title indicates and as the bill indicates, this is merely a blanket authority for the Commissioner of the District of Columbia, acting through the Chief of Police, to train and maintain a canine corps or teams of dogs and policemen.

The purpose of this bill is to authorize the Commissioner of the District of Columbia to maintain and expand the Canine Corps of the Metropolitan Police Department as may be necessary to protect the peace, quiet, and safety in the Nation's Capital.

The use of dogs in urban police work originated in Belgium more than 50 years ago. It has since spread to many other countries. In the United States, there are more than 40 police departments which use dogs in patrol work, and the number of cities using trained dogs is increasing rapidly.

The Canine Corps was first established in the District of Columbia in December 1959. The first six handler and dog teams went into service on the streets of the city April 19, 1960. During 1960, the number of teams increased to 20. By 1966, the number of handlers and dog teams, trained and in training, reached a total of about 90 with an average of about 80

teams active and on the streets. Due to the promotion of some of the handlers and the resignation of others, the effective strength of the corps is now 76 teams on the street and three others in training. Thus, within about 3 months there will be 79 teams on duty.

Your committee is advised that the department wishes to expand the Canine Corps to 100 man-dog teams by the end of this year.

CANINE CORPS PERFORMANCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

The Canine Corps man-dog teams are used for a variety of assignments as a law enforcement arm of the Metropolitan Police Department. The following statistical tables indicate the activities of the Canine Corps for an average of 64 teams during the 6-month period, August 1968 through January 1969. Canine Corps teams responded to 12,312 calls during this period.

CANINE CORPS HANDLER AND DOG 8-HOUR DUTY TOURS

There were 258 foot patrol tours; 3,524 cruiser patrol tours; 1,332 special assignment tours; and 449 dog training tours.

TYPE OF WORK PERFORMED BY DOGS

	Assignment	Arrests
Tracking	164	21
Open seeks	226	23
Building seeks	815	56
Chasing	24	13
Deterrent	50	23
Other	15	1

The following table shows the number of felony arrests by Canine Corps officers and the number of cases in which dogs were used in the course of effecting the arrest.

Offense	Arrests		
	Dogs used	Dogs not used	Total
Burglary-I	3	12	15
Burglary-II	142	119	261
Robbery	176	77	199
Assault	21	29	50
Larceny	10	42	52
Carrying deadly weapons, rape, assault on police officers and other felony offenses	137	555	692

From these figures it is seen that a high percentage of the arrests made in connection with these crimes were accomplished with the aid of dogs.

In addition to their actual participation in these arrests, the dogs of the Canine Corps have proved invaluable on many other occasions by the deterrent effect of their mere presence at the scene of actual or potential trouble. The dogs' keen sense of smell enables them to locate fugitives hiding in buildings, junkyards, and other places where the policemen would otherwise have a most difficult and dangerous task in apprehending them.

DRUG TRAFFIC DETERRENT

An important new use for dogs in the control of crime is in the field of drug suppression. In recent months it has been discovered that dogs have a high degree of acuity in seeking marihuana. If the preliminary experience in this type of police work proves valid, the use of dogs on a major scale may provide a real benefit in breaking up the traffic in that drug. Trained dogs seem effective in finding the drugs in buildings, airports, warehouses, and detecting its presence on persons.

In view of the reported significant increase in the use of drugs in the District of Columbia, particularly among juveniles, expansion of the Canine Corps trained for detection of this drug could have an important effect on preventing its use and progression to other drug addiction and development of incorrigible criminals. The Canine Corps has just received its first dog trained for such work and anticipates expanding the training program in this activity rapidly.

Thus far, all the dogs in the Canine Corps have been donated, and thus have cost the Police Department nothing. However, if the contemplated program of expansion necessitates the purchase of any of the new dogs, it is estimated that they may cost as much as $250 each. An item of expense is involved in the fact that the policemen who handle these dogs must transport them daily in their own cars, and also must keep the dogs at their homes. This calls for fenced yards and extra cleaning. Also, most of the work of these policemen must be performed at night. For these reasons, these men are paid additional compensation in the amount of $595 per year. With the exception of a few sergeants who perform this duty, all the officers who serve as dog handlers are grade 2 technicians.

The cost of training and adding a man-dog team to the Canine Corps is presently estimated at about $3,150. This includes the officer's pay during the training period—this extra pay, referred to above, does not start until after the training period is ended—veterinary care for the dog, dog food, equipment, and instructor's salary, but does not include any cost for purchase of a dog.

In addition, we are informed that the cost of maintaining a man-dog team per year is estimated at $225 for maintaining the dog, plus $595 for the officer's additional salary—his regular salary is not a part of this cost, since he would be collecting this amount as a Metropolitan Police officer anyway, in this or some other type of duty—for a total annual cost of $820.

COST ESTIMATES

Inasmuch as the Canine Corps is presently in operation to the extent of 79 man-dog teams, and as the Department's present plan for expansion of the Corps would increase this number to 100 by the end of this year, the additional expense involved as a result of the authority granted in H.R. 2598 would be that of training an additional 21 man-dog teams this year, and then of maintaining that additional number in service in the future.

Thus, in fiscal year 1972, the total cost would be $66,150 for the training of the additional 21 teams, plus $8,610 for their maintenance during the final 6 months of the year, for a total of $74,760. And in ensuing fiscal years, the cost would be simply that of maintaining these 21 teams, or $17,220 per year.

For the period of 5 years, therefore, starting with fiscal year 1972, the estimated cost to the District of Columbia government, based upon present salary levels and other present costs, would project as shown in the following chart:

Fiscal year:	Estimated cost
1972	$74,760
1973	17,220
1974	17,220
1975	17,220
1976	17,220
Total 5-year estimated cost	143,640

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CABELL. I yield at this time to the gentleman from the District of Columbia, a member of the committee.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 2598.

I had hoped that I might reserve my maiden speech on the floor for another occasion, but because of the fact that I have no vote with which to express my views for the record, and lest my silence be construed as agreement with this measure, I feel constrained to oppose the bill.

If I could vote in this great body, which I hope will come to pass during this session of Congress, I would vote against H.R. 2598.

Had I been a Member of the distinguished body at the time this bill was considered by the District of Columbia Committee, where I do have a vote, I would have voted against the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the bill for many reasons. First of all, this bill has been brought to the floor for action without hearings. Furthermore, the District of Columbia government, which is in the best position to explain the need for such legislation, was not asked for its views.

I do know that the District government did submit those views to the Senate on a similar bill which was pending before the Senate early in the 91st Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to submit a copy of a letter from the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner to the Honorable Joseph D. Tydings who was chairman of the Senate committee at that time.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from the District of Columbia?

There was no objection.

The letter referred to follows:

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
Washington, D.C., July 30, 1969.

Hon. JOSEPH D. TYDINGS,
Chairman, Committee on the District of Columbia, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR TYDINGS: The Government of the District of Columbia has for report H.R. 254, 91st Congress, a bill "To authorize the acquisition, training, and maintenance of dogs to be used in law enforcement in the District of Columbia," passed by the House of Representatives on April 28, 1969.

The bill authorizes the acquisition, training, and maintenance of "as many dogs as may be necessary to be used in connection with law enforcement in the District of Columbia." At present there is no specific statutory provision authorizing the use of dogs in the District of Columbia for the purpose of law enforcement.

With the sole exception of the mobile

laboratory authorized by the "District of Columbia Law Enforcement Act of 1953" (67 Stat. 101; D.C. Code, sec. 4–187), tools and equipment used by the Metropolitan Police Department are not specifically authorized by Acts of Congress, but are provided for in the various District of Columbia Appropriations Acts. It is in this manner that, for the past seven years, there has been made available to the Metropolitan Police Department, dogs for use in law enforcement, beginning with five dogs in 1960, and gradually increasing their number until at the present time the police force has approximately 74 dogs, most of which are on active duty, although some are still in training.

For the foregoing reasons, the District Government believes that the matter of providing dogs for the use of the Metropolitan Police Department should, for purposes of acquisition, be treated in the same manner as other tools and equipment regularly used in law enforcement, after a careful evaluation of the need and subject, of course, to Congressional review.

In view of the above, the Government of the District of Columbia believes that there is no need for the enactment of H.R. 254.

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS W. FLETCHER,
Assistant to the Commissioner.
WALTER E. WASHINGTON,
Commissioner.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CABELL. I yield to the gentleman from Ilinois.

Mr. MIKVA. Did I understand the gentleman from the District of Columbia to say that the District government is opposed to the bill and has expressed its views to the gentleman as being opposed to the bill?

Mr. FAUNTROY. The District government in the written statement submitted to the Senate, which I have submitted for the RECORD, expressed opposition to this bill on the ground that it was unnecessary but the District government was not given the opportunity to express its views on this particular piece of legislation to the House of Representatives.

Mr. MIKVA. But so far as the gentleman knows there is no reason to suggest that their position is anything different than it was in the 91st Congress; is that correct?

Mr. FAUNTROY. I have not been informed that there is any change in the position of the District government on this question.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, in answer to the gentleman, may I report that the District of Columbia has had no correspondence with the District Committee of the House.

May I further remind the gentleman that this is not mandatory. This is discretionary with the Commissioner and the Chief of Police. If they do not see fit to continue the use of the K–9 Corps, then that is in their discretion. But this does authorize an increase in the K–9 Corps.

Further, I should point out that the District government has included the Canine Corps in its budget in this Congress, as in the previous Congresses it has been in existence. So I fail to understand the claim that the District is opposed to this legislation. The District officials have never failed to express their opposition, or their support on legislation before our committee, any time they wish. We have received no expression from them on this bill.

Mr. NELSEN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CABELL. I yield to the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. NELSEN. I wish to point out that it was the feeling of the committee that if it were interrogating any policemen on the street one would find that they felt this was an improvement in building an efficient Canine Corps, and that this was a bill to assist in law enforcement. Based upon practical police administration, I think our committee felt that if the Police Department felt they needed increased staffing in the Canine Corps, it would be within their discretion to request the additional funds for it before the Appropriation Committees in the House and the Senate. This will give them the authorization and if the Police Department and the House District of Columbia Appropriations Subcommittee want to expand the Canine Corps then certainly the authority is there to do it.

I think you will find that the committee gave it quite a wide endorsement, as I recall.

Mr. CABELL. Does the gentleman from Illinois wish to be heard further?

Mr. MIKVA. I do desire to be heard, but I interrupted my colleague (Mr. FAUNTROY) and I would prefer that he finish his remarks first.

Mr. FAUNTROY. I thank the gentleman.

As I said earlier, at the time that the District of Columbia government submitted its views to the Senate, it was opposed to the legislation on the ground that there was absolutely no need for additional legislation to expand the size of the District's Canine Corps. And as I indicated earlier, I have not been informed of any change in this position, and I could not agree more with that position.

The SPEAKER. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

To continue, Mr. Speaker, the committee's own report on the measure illustrates that perhaps this bill was a waste of the committee's time. According to the report accompanying H.R. 2598, the District Police Department has had a Canine Corps since 1960 and continues to maintain it at a level of almost 80 dogs. All of these dogs were reported to have been donated to the District government. In other words, this additional authority is not needed. The District government already has authority and the power to continue and maintain this program.

So, Mr. Speaker, to summarize, there have not been public hearings on the bill; the District government has not been asked for its views; and it would also appear that the bill is entirely unnecessary.

If the bill is intended to deal with crime in the District, I can assure you it will fail. Crime cannot be dealt with by setting loose dogs on the community. What we need are more and better correctional facilities, better trained police, and a court system that is capable of providing speedy and fair trials. If such legislation were to come to the floor, then it would have my full support, and I am sure the full support of the District government, but this bill is not such. If I could vote and unfortunately I cannot, but if I could vote, I would vote as I now urge every Member to vote, and that is to vote no on this bill.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

I am a member of the Committee on the District of Columbia. This bill was put through the committee as the Representative from the District of Columbia has indicated, without any hearings. I was told that the District of Columbia government had no opposition to the bill. Now I think that it is totally abortive of even the limited home rule that the District of Columbia has to deny them the courtesy of expressing their opposition to the legislation. I would like to think that it was confusion among the committee or the committee staff which accounts for this sad state of affairs, I have a motion to recommit, which I shall offer at the appropriate time to send this bill back to the committee for hearings, and I hope that the Members will vote for that motion. I do not believe that we should try to put through the Congress a bill without giving the government of the District of Columbia that very limited prerogative of an opportunity to express themselves. I think this is outrageous.

Mr. ADAMS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MIKVA. I yield to the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. ADAMS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I went back in the records to check specifically as to what happened a year ago. Now I am no longer on the Committee on the District of Columbia, but apparently the committee is back to the practice again of dredging up bills from the past and sending them to the floor without any hearing. On April 1, 1969, this bill under the number H.R. 254, was placed before the committee as having the support of the District of Columbia government. However, the letter that was placed before the committee was a letter signed by the three Commissioners from several years before. We later obtained a letter from the present District of Columbia's government which indicated they were against it. This is the same situation. There have been no hearings, and all we have is a statement showing that it had been passed once before in the past, several Congresses before, and, therefore, it should be passed again.

That is an incredibly bad practice, and it should be stopped. I will vote for the motion to recommit regardless of the merits of whether or not the Canine Corps should be or should not be extended.

I might also state that on April 1, that year, we not only had that bill before us but some 14 other bills which did not

have hearings or up-to-date supporting materials. One example was H.R. 4183 which dealt with retired police officer benefits. I understand there is again a bill up before us today on that same subject done in the same fashion.

Mr. Speaker, I want to compliment the delegate from the District of Columbia for watching this and bringing it to our attention, because it did not have the hearings that it should have had.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Illinois for yielding.

Mr. MIKVA. Let me make it very clear that I am not opposed to the Canine Corps on the merits. We have had a successful corps in my own city. I will quite likely vote for the bill after hearings, if it is recommitted; but I think the government of the District of Columbia must have the right at least to express itself before we in the Congress ram legislation down their throats.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MIKVA. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, the thing that disturbs me the most is that in Baltimore City one of our most serious and racially tense occurrences came about because of the misuse of K–9 dogs. A dog allegedly broke loose from the leash of an officer, bit and mauled a black woman. She had to have 75 stitches put in both legs. Two other men sitting on steps also were mauled by that dog.

I want to indicate personally my objection to the use of K–9 corps dogs in residential areas. In Baltimore we now use them only outside of hospitals, outside of banks, and so forth.

The second thing is that I want to echo the sentiments of my colleague, the gentleman from the District of Columbia. You will not decrease crime by the use of these dogs.

In the City of Baltimore we have reduced crime after the K–9 dogs were removed from residential districts.

Mr. MIKVA. I only want to say to my colleagues that I think the discussion on the floor here is a perfect indication why there ought to be hearings on this bill; I would remind my colleagues at this point that there was not 1 minute of hearings in this session of the Congress on this bill.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike out the last word.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the bill, H.R. 2598.

Even though I am a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I reside here in Washington, D.C., most of the time. I happen to live in an area that is anything but a low-cost housing area, but even where I live muggings and robberies are rather frequent.

I think we have to face up to the fact that, regardless of what the District of Columbia government wants, we do have here in Washington, D.C., the highest crime rate per capita of any major city in the world. We do have here in Washington, D.C., most frequent attacks on police officers.

In fact, some members of the community seem to regard our law enforcement officers as fair game and not as men who are employed and assigned to protect the people of Washington, D.C.

I would like to suggest to the distinguished gentleman from the District of Columbia that perhaps more time could be spent in trying to convince members of the community in the District of Columbia that they should cooperate with police officers and do everything they can to reduce crime in the District of Columbia.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAMS. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman aware that there is a Canine Corps currently in existence and one of the main grounds, as I understand, for the District of Columbia government opposition is not opposition to the Canine Corps but rather this legislation is not necessary—it is superfluous and could be obstructive rather than helpful.

If this bill goes down, it will not be the end of the Canine Corps.

Mr. WILLIAMS. This bill provides simply, as I read it, for the use of additional Canine Corps. The legislation is permissive. If there is anything that does help and protect a police officer in doing his duty, it is to have a member of the Canine Corps with him.

So whether this legislation is necessary or not, I am willing to take the Committee on the District of Columbia's word for it that it is necessary, and that is why they reported it out.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAMS. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MIKVA) indicated, my opposition to this bill is not based on opposition to the present Canine Corps, but it is based on the considered judgment of the District of Columbia government that this bill is not now necessary.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is clearly a waste of our time to discuss a question which in the considered judgment of the government of the District of Columbia, which judgment I do respect, is unnecessary.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Let me say this to the distinguished gentleman from the District. I have more confidence in the District Committee of this House of Representatives than I have in the District government. That is why I am going to support this bill, and that is why I am going to vote for it.

Mr. ADAMS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAMS. I am happy to yield to the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. ADAMS. Is the gentleman aware that this bill was before the committee 2 years ago, as well as being before the House today? First, it was considered by the District Committee on the erroneous basis that it was supported by the District government when it was not and, second, that there was never a minute of public hearings or discussion by any public officials on it. Does not the gentleman think the committee ought at least consider it?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Is the gentleman a member of the District Committee?

Mr. ADAMS. I was when the bill was before the House before, and I have listened to the statements of the gentlemen who are on the committee this year and the same thing has happened this year as well as last year.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I will ask the gentleman if he voted for the bill to be reported out when he was on the committee.

Mr. ADAMS. I did not. I voted against it for the reason that it was misrepresented to the committee when it was presented. I think that goes to our legislative procedure.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I do not yield any further. I do not have enough time. I do want to answer the gentleman by saying this: During my time in Congress I have already referred to the fact that, regardless of the opinions of the District government, from residing here 4 or 5 days a week I know that we need this legislation, and that is why I am favoring it. If there is anything wrong with the District Committee voting out a bill, even though you voted "No," then I say let us take the majority opinion of the committee.

The SPEAKER. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. FRASER. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FRASER. Mr. Speaker, I voted for this bill in the committee. I thought the District of Columbia government had asked for the bill, and I was astonished to find that they were opposed to it and that it had not come from them.

I happen to favor a Canine Corps. We have had one for 11 years. I would think it would be well to let the committee look further into the reasons why the government opposes it. Maybe we should pass it after we hold hearings, but I am rather troubled that I was led to vote for it on the theory that it had come from the District of Columbia government when, in fact, it had not. We have these kinds of problems occasionally in the committee. I believe the way to remove them is to make sure the committee does its work carefully. I think it would be good to send it back. I would like to see a Canine Corps, but I want the District of Columbia government to have its day before the committee before we finally act.

Mr. GUDE. Mr. Speaker, it is unfortunate that the business of the District of Columbia has to be handled in the House of Representatives in this context.

I think it is obvious to us all that the District government approves of the Canine Corps per se as we have had one in the Police Department that has been maintained for the last 10 years. Therefore, it is really unnecessary for Congress to extend the existing authority. The dogs' expense are met under the District government's tool and equipment fund, and if we provide specific legislative authority, as H.R. 2598 would do, we will be throwing question about the scope of the city's authority in other areas as well.

The city government has opposed the bill on this basis, but no hearings have

been held in the House, and the city has not been given its rightful opportunity to express its views. As the Senate District Committee invited the city's views, the same opportunity should be extended by the House District Committee. Being that it was not, I am voting to send the bill back to committee for further consideration.

The SPEAKER. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. MIKVA

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. MIKVA. I am, in its present form, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MIKVA moves to recommit H.R. 2598 to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members, and the Clerk will call the roll.

The question was taken; and there were—yeas 76, nays 303, not voting 52, as follows:

[Roll No. 69]

YEAS—76

Abzug	Evins, Tenn.	Mink
Adams	Ford,	Mitchell
Addabbo	William D.	Obey
Anderson,	Forsythe	Peyser
Calif.	Fraser	Podell
Badillo	Fulton, Tenn.	Rangel
Begich	Grasso	Rees
Bergland	Gude	Reid, N.Y.
Biester	Hamilton	Reuss
Bingham	Hechler, W. Va.	Riegle
Boland	Helstoski	Rosenthal
Brademas	Hicks, Wash.	Roush
Burke, Mass.	Howard	Roybal
Burton	Jacobs	Ryan
Carey, N.Y.	Kastenmeier	St Germain
Conyers	Koch	Sarbanes
Coughlin	Leggett	Schwengel
Daniels, N.J.	Link	Seiberling
Danielson	Lujan	Stokes
Dellenback	McCloskey	Thompson, N.J.
Dellums	McCormack	Tiernan
Dow	McFall	Udall
Drinan	McKinney	Van Deerlin
Dwyer	Madden	Waldie
Eckhardt	Matsunaga	Yates
Edwards, Calif.	Mikva	Zablocki

NAYS—303

Abbitt	Andrews,	Baker
Abernethy	N. Dak.	Baring
Abourezk	Annunzio	Barrett
Alexander	Archer	Belcher
Anderson, Ill.	Arends	Bell
Anderson,	Ashley	Bennett
Tenn.	Aspinall	Betts
Bevill	Haley	Poff
Biaggi	Hall	Powell
Blackburn	Hammer-	Preyer, N.C.
Blanton	schmidt	Price, Ill.
Boggs	Hansen, Idaho	Price, Tex.
Bolling	Hansen, Wash.	Pryor, Ark.
Bow	Harvey	Pucinski
Brasco	Hastings	Purcell
Bray	Hathaway	Quie
Brinkley	Hays	Quillen
Brooks	Henderson	Railsback
Broomfield	Hicks, Mass.	Randall
Brotzman	Hillis	Rarick
Brown, Mich.	Hogan	Reid, Ill.
Brown, Ohio	Holifield	Robinson, Va.
Broyhill, N.C.	Horton	Robison, N.Y.
Broyhill, Va.	Hosmer	Rodino
Buchanan	Hull	Rogers
Burke, Fla.	Hungate	Roncalio
Burleson, Tex.	Hunt	Rooney, N.Y.
Burlison, Mo.	Hutchinson	Rooney, Pa.
Byron	Ichord	Rostenkowski
Cabell	Jarman	Rousselot
Caffery	Johnson, Calif.	Roy
Camp	Johnson, Pa.	Ruppe
Carney	Jonas	Ruth
Carter	Jones, N.C.	Sandman
Cederberg	Karth	Satterfield
Celler	Kazen	Saylor
Chamberlain	Keating	Scherle
Chappell	Kee	Scheuer
Clancy	Keith	Schmitz
Clark	Kemp	Schneebeli
Clausen,	King	Scott
Don H.	Kluczynski	Sebelius
Clawson, Del	Kuykendall	Shipley
Cleveland	Kyl	Shoup
Collier	Kyros	Shriver
Collins, Tex.	Landgrebe	Sikes
Colmer	Landrum	Sisk
Conable	Latta	Skubitz
Conte	Lennon	Slack
Cotter	Long, Md.	Smith, Iowa
Crane	McClory	Smith, N.Y.
Culver	McClure	Snyder
Daniel, Va.	McCollister	Spence
Davis, Wis.	McDade	Springer
de la Garza	McDonald,	Staggers
Delaney	Mich.	Stanton,
Dennis	McKevitt	J. William
Dent	McMillan	Steed
Derwinski	Macdonald,	Steele
Devine	Mass.	Steiger, Ariz.
Dickinson	Mahon	Steiger, Wis.
Dingell	Mailliard	Stephens
Donohue	Mann	Stratton
Dorn	Martin	Stuckey
Downing	Mathias, Calif.	Sullivan
Dulski	Mathis, Ga.	Symington
Duncan	Mayne	Talcott
du Pont	Mazzoli	Taylor
Edmondson	Meeds	Teague, Calif.
Edwards, Ala.	Michel	Teague, Tex.
Eilberg	Miller, Calif.	Terry
Erlenborn	Miller, Ohio	Thompson, Ga.
Esch	Mills	Thomson, Wis.
Eshleman	Minish	Thone
Evans, Colo.	Minshall	Vander Jagt
Fascell	Mizell	Veysey
Findley	Mollohan	Vigorito
Fish	Monagan	Waggonner
Fisher	Montgomery	Wampler
Flood	Moorhead	Ware
Flowers	Morgan	Watts
Flynt	Morse	Whalen
Ford, Gerald R.	Mosher	Whalley
Fountain	Moss	White
Frelinghuysen	Murphy, Ill.	Whitehurst
Frenzel	Murphy, N.Y.	Whitten
Frey	Myers	Widnall
Fulton, Pa.	Natcher	Wiggins
Fuqua	Nedzi	Williams
Galifianakis	Nelsen	Wilson, Bob
Garmatz	Nichols	Wilson,
Gaydos	Nix	Charles H.
Gettys	O'Hara	Winn
Giaimo	O'Konski	Wolff
Gibbons	O'Neill	Wright
Goldwater	Passman	Wydler
Gonzalez	Patman	Wylie
Goodling	Patten	Wyman
Gray	Pelly	Yatron
Green, Oreg.	Pepper	Young, Fla.
Griffin	Perkins	Young, Tex.
Griffiths	Pettis	Zion
Grover	Pirnie	Zwach
Hagan	Poage	

NOT VOTING—52

Andrews, Ala.	Chisholm	Dowdy
Ashbrook	Clay	Edwards, La.
Aspin	Collins, Ill.	Foley
Blatnik	Corman	Gallagher
Byrne, Pa.	Davis, Ga.	Green, Pa.
Byrnes, Wis.	Denholm	Gross
Casey, Tex.	Diggs	Gubser
Halpern	Lloyd	Roe
Hanley	Long, La.	Runnels
Hanna	McCulloch	Smith, Calif.
Harrington	McEwen	Stafford
Harsha	McKay	Stanton,
Hawkins	Melcher	James V.
Hébert	Metcalfe	Stubblefield
Heckler, Mass.	Pickle	Ullman
Jones, Ala.	Pike	Vanik
Jones, Tenn.	Rhodes	Wyatt
Lent	Roberts	

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:

Mr. Harrington for, with Mr. Andrews of Alabama against.

Mrs. Chisholm for, with Mr. Hébert against.

Mr. Collins of Illinois for, with Mr. Byrne of Pennsylvania against.

Mr. Hawkins for, with Mr. Davis of Georgia against.

Mr. Diggs for, with Mr. Runnels against.

Mr. Metcalfe for, with Mr. Pickle against.

Mr. Clay for, with Mr. Roberts against.

Until further notice:

Mr. Blatnik with Mr. Rhodes.

Mr. Pike with Mr. Gross.

Mr. Jones of Tennessee with Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin.

Mr. Vanik with Mrs. Heckler of Massachusetts.

Mr. Hanna with Mr. Smith of California.

Mr. Corman with Mr. Stafford.

Mr. Stubblefield with Mr. Gubser.

Mr. Denholm with Mr. Halpern.

Mr. Long of Louisiana with Mr. Harsha.

Mr. Casey of Texas with Mr. Ashbrook.

Mr. Gallagher with Mr. Lent.

Mr. Edwards of Louisiana with Mr. McEwen.

Mr. Jones of Alabama with Mr. Wyatt.

Mr. McKay with Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. Green of Pennsylvania with Mr. McCulloch.

Mr. Melcher with Mr. Foley.

Mr. Hanley with Mr. Roe.

Mr. Aspin with Mr. Ullman.

Mr. Runnels with Mr. James V. Stanton.

Messrs. O'NEILL, DENT, and RODINO changed their votes from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. MCFALL and ROYBAL changed their votes from "nay" to "yea."

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EQUALIZING RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR TOTALLY DISABLED DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA POLICEMEN AND FIREMEN

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on the District of Columbia, I call up the bill (H.R. 2600) to equalize the retirement benefits for officers and members of the Metropolitan Police force and the Fire Department of the District of Columbia who are retired for permanent total disability, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

H.R. 2600

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That (a) each

officer or member of the Metropolitan Police force, the Fire Department of the District of Columbia, the United States Park Police force, the Executive Protective Service, or the United States Secret Service who has been retired during the period beginning before October 1, 1956, and continuing through July 1, 1971—

(1) under the provisions of the fourth paragraph of section 12 of the Act of September 1, 1916 (39 Stat. 718), as in effect prior to October 1, 1956, and

(2) on the basis of a disability which was rated at 100 per centum at the time of his retirement,

shall, on and after the first pay period which begins after July 1, 1971, have his retirement benefits computed and paid in accordance with the provisions of subsection (g)(1) of the Policemen and Firemen's Retirement and Disability Act (D.C. Code, sec. 4–527(1)).

(b) Nothing in this Act shall be deemed to reduce the relief or retirement compensation any person receives or is entitled to receive, from the District of Columbia on the date of enactment of this Act.

With the following committee amendment:

Page 1, beginning in line 6 strike out "Service who has been retired during the period beginning before October 1, 1956, and continuing" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Service who retired before October 1, 1956, and whose retirement has continued".

The committee amendment was agreed to.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of H.R. 2600 is to provide that former members of the Metropolitan Police force, the U.S. Park Police, the Executive Protective Service—formerly known as the White House Police force—the U.S. Secret Service, and the District of Columbia Fire Department who were retired prior to October 1, 1956, for service-incurred disability which was rated at 100 percent at the time of their retirement, shall have their annuities computed on the same basis as those for members who retired for service-incurred disability subsequent to that date.

The current bill, H.R. 2600, is substantially identical to H.R. 2897 of the 90th Congress, which passed the House on April 24, 1967, and to H.R. 4184 of the 91st Congress, approved by the House on July 14, 1969.

BACKGROUND

From 1916, when the policemen's and firemen's relief fund was first established by act of Congress, until 1957, there was never any disparity in the pensions paid to retired members of the fire department and the several police forces in the District of Columbia, or to their widows and dependent orphaned children. All who retired at the same rank and with the same length of service received equal amounts, regardless of changes in contribution rates.

In 1957, however, this long-established policy was abandoned by the enactment of Public Law 85–147, which amended the Policemen's and Firemen's Disability Act to provide substantial increases in the annuities for those members retiring after October 1, 1956, and for their widows and orphaned children, but provided no increases whatever for annuitants who had retired prior to that date, nor for their surviving dependents.

HISTORY OF LEGISLATION

Because of the hazards and vicissitudes incident to service in these police and fire forces, members of this committee and many of their colleagues in the House have long felt that this discrimination in the annuities for retirees from these services, and for their surviving dependents, is unfair and should be eliminated. In fact, at the time of the enactment of the amendments to the Policemen's and Firemen's Disability Act in 1957, it was recognized by some Members of Congress that some adjustment to the pensions of the older retirees should be the subject of future legislation.

NEED FOR LEGISLATION

Prior to October 1, 1956, a member of the police or fire departments who retired by reason of disability incurred in line of duty was entitled to an annuity not to exceed 50 percent of his last annual salary. Such a member retiring after that date for disability incurred in line of duty, however, receives an annual pension computed at 2 percent of his last annual salary per year of service, with a minimum of 66⅔ percent and a maximum of 70 percent.

Our committee feels strongly that this situation is a gross injustice, and that at least those older retirees who were rated at 100-percent disability by the Retirement Board, and who thus sacrificed their health and their earning ability in the performance of their hazardous service, are richly entitled to the same annuity benefits as all other retirees in similar circumstances, regardless of their date of retirement. Furthermore, our committee is convinced that the Equalization Act of 1923, which bases all District of Columbia Police and Fire Department retirees' pensions upon increased salaries whenever there is a salary increase for these forces, does not provide truly equitable treatment by any means for these older totally disabled members, as long as there remains a disparity of from 16⅔ to 20 percent in their annuity rates as compared with those of their brothers in service who retired after October 1, 1956. These men faced the same hazards of service, suffered the same loss of physical ability to earn their living as did those who retired under the same circumstances at a later date, and are now facing the same high costs of living. Under these circumstances, it is the view of our committee that the existing difference in the amounts of their annuities should be eliminated, as a matter of simple justice.

PROVISIONS OF THE BILL

For these reasons, our committee urges favorable action on H.R. 2600, which provides simply that members of the various police forces and the District of Columbia Fire Department who retired prior to October 1, 1956, for disability incurred in line of duty and which was rated at 100 percent under the veterans manual at the time of their retirement, shall have their annuities computed on the basis of the formula provided by the 1957 amendments to the Policemen's and Firemen's Disability Act which have been in effect since October 1, 1956. This provision is to be effective on and after the first pay period which begins after July 1, 1971.

This would increase the pension rate of such a retiree from the present figure of 50 percent of his last annual salary to a minimum of 66⅔ percent and a maximum of 70 percent, depending on his length of service.

A survey of the personnel files 4 years ago revealed that at that time, there were some 200 former members of these police forces and the D.C. Fire Department who had retired prior to October 1, 1956, for disability incurred in line of duty and which was rated at 100 percent under the veterans manual at the time of retirement. Today, however, only 156 such annuitants survive, and hence this is the number who will be affected by the enactment of this proposed legislation.

COST ESTIMATES

An actuarial projection by the U.S. Treasury Department, based on a random sample of 21 cases, indicates that the additional cost which would accrue from the provisions of this bill, for fiscal year 1972, would be some $336,200; and that the additional cost over the 5-year period through fiscal year 1976, based upon present salary levels, would amount to a total of $1,414,200.

The projection indicates also that the average age of these 156 annuitants is 73 years, and that there will be no surviving such retirees after the year 1995.

The complete projection furnished our committee is the following.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA POLICEMEN AND FIREMEN—PROJECTED COST OF INCREASING ANNUITIES OF 100 PERCENT DISABILITY CASES WHO RETIRED PRIOR TO OCT. 1, 1956

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

Fiscal year	Average number	Annual amount	⅙ increase
1972	150	$1,008.5	$336.2
1973	138	927.9	309.3
1974	126	847.2	282.4
1975	114	766.5	255.5
1976	103	692.5	230.8
1977	91	611.8	203.9
1978	80	537.9	179.3
1979	69	463.9	154.6
1980	59	369.7	132.2
1981	50	336.2	112.1
1982	41	275.7	91.9
1983	33	221.9	74.0
1984	26	174.8	58.3
1985	20	134.5	44.8
1986	15	100.9	33.6
1987	11	74.0	24.7
1988	8	53.8	17.9
1989	6	40.3	13.4
1990	4	26.9	9.0
1991	3	20.2	6.7
1992	2	13.4	4.5
1993	1	6.7	2.2
1994	1	6.7	2.2
1995	1	6.7	2.2

Source: Office of Debt Analysis, U.S. Department of the Treasury.

Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Speaker, I wish to express my support for the bill H.R. 2600, which is designed to correct in part an injustice of many years' standing with respect to the pensions paid to former members of the Metropolitan Police Force, the Fire Department, the U.S. Park Police, the Executive Protective Service, and the U.S. Secret Service who were retired prior to October 1, 1956. This bill provides that such members who retired for service-incurred disability which was rated at 100 percent shall have their annuities computed at the same rates which are provided for those who retired for disability

subsequent to October 1, 1956, beginning July 1, 1971.

Until 1957, when the Congress enacted major amendments to the D.C. Policemen and Firemen's Retirement and Disability Act, effective as of October 1, 1956, there had never been any disparity in the annuities paid to retired members of these forces or to their surviving dependents. However, these amendments established for the first time a very considerable difference between the annuities granted to those who retired prior to the above-cited date as compared to those for the members retiring thereafter.

I and some of my colleagues have felt strongly that this action was basically unfair, and some of us on the District of Columbia Committee made repeated efforts to remove this differential and restore the traditional equality of treatment to all such retirees and their dependents. Through our efforts, bills were passed by the 86th and 87th Congresses, which would have restored this equity of treatment to some degree, but both were vetoed. Late in the 87th Congress, however, we did succeed in enacting Public Law 87-601, which equalized the pensions paid to widows and dependent children of the earlier retirees who had died. To this day, however, we have accomplished nothing to equalize the annuities of the retired members themselves, except for section 108 of the 1970 Policemen, Firemen, and Teachers Salary Act, which provided equality of annuities for members of the Metropolitan Police force who retired prior to October 1, 1956, with the rank of assistant superintendent; and this affected only six members.

The bill H.R. 2600, which is essentially identical to a bill which I introduced on March 16, 1967, will provide what I consider a very meager and unsatisfactory minimum of justice, by equalizing the pensions of only those members who retired prior to October 1, 1956, for disability incurred in line of duty and which was rated by the retirement board at 100 percent under the provisions of the veterans manual.

At present, these older disabled retirees are entitled to an annuity of 50 percent of their last annual salary. However, the member who retired after October 1, 1956, for any degree of disability considered sufficient for his retirement, draws a pension computed at 2 percent of his last annual salary for each year of his service, with a minimum of 66⅔ percent and a maximum of 70 percent. In view of the fact that all these men faced the same dangers and hazards in their daily work, and are now beset by the same inflated and steadily rising costs of living, it is my opinion that this situation is totally inequitable. Nor can it be considered fair because of a slight increase in the members' rate of contribution toward their retirement fund, which also went into effect as of October 1, 1956, in view of the great and increasing difference between the value of the dollar before and after that time.

In the bill H.R. 2600, we are not asking the full and complete equalization of annuities which some of us believe to be justified, nor even equal treatment for all members who are retired by reason of disability. Rather, we seek only to obtain for the earlier retirees who were totally disabled in service, and thus have sacrificed at least to a very large degree their ability to earn a living, the same financial reward which is presently granted to their brothers in service who retired after an arbitrary date of October 1, 1956, for any degree of disability whatever. Obviously, many of these latter retirees are capable of earning a substantial income now in other lines of work.

In 1967, there were 192 former members of these services, living and drawing annuities, who had retired prior to October 1, 1956, for service-incurred disability rated at the time of their retirement at 100 percent. Today, I am informed that this number has dwindled to 156, and that this group, whose average age is now 73 years, will cease to exist after 1995. These are the men whose annuities would be increased by this proposed legislation by from 16⅔ percent to 20 percent, depending on their length of service.

Mr. Speaker, as I have stated, I do not consider this legislation to be adequate, by any means, to eliminate the injustice which I feel was done by the 1967 amendments to the District of Columbia Policemen's and Firemen's Retirement and Disability Act. As a matter of practical expediency, however, in light of our experience in earlier Congresses, I strongly commend this measure for favorable action by my colleagues in this body, as at least a minimum of just treatment for these gallant public servants who gave so much of their lives and their health for the benefit of the citizens of our Nation's Capital.

Mr. HOGAN. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

I thank the gentleman from Texas for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 2600 again largely for procedural reasons. As was true of H.R. 2598, the District government was not given the opportunity to present its views on this legislation.

In addition, no public hearings were held on the measure during this session of Congress.

Mr. Speaker, let me make it very clear that I am deeply concerned about the ability of our retired people to survive in an inflationary economy such as ours. But with respect to H.R. 2600, I note that the District government has submitted its views to the Senate during the 91st Congress indicating its opposition to this bill because of certain inequities H.R. 2600 imposes upon other civil servants, particularly those covered by the teacher and civil service pension systems.

I should like to submit for the record a letter from the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor which was presented to the Senate during the 91st Congress expressing the District government's opposition to this legislation.

For the benefit of the Members, I would like to point out that the reason for the opposition of the District government was that the equalization of the firemen and police pension benefits would create an inequity in the pension system because the teacher and civil service pension benefits would lag hopelessly behind those available under the police and firemen pension system.

The fact is that police and firemen who would benefit by this legislation have in the last few years had their benefits increased by 85 percent while, for example, teachers and others under the civil service pension system have only had their benefits increased by 45 percent.

The letter referred to follows:

GOVERNMENT OF THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
Washington, D.C., February 26, 1970.

HON. JOSEPH D. TYDINGS,
Chairman, Committee on the District of Columbia, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR TYDINGS: The Commissioner of the District of Columbia has for report H.R. 4184, a bill "To equalize the retirement benefits for officers and members of the Metropolitan Police force and the Fire Department of the District of Columbia who are retired for permanent total disability", passed by the House of Representatives July 14, 1969.

The bill provide that on and after the first pay period which begins after July 1, 1969 the retirement benefits of police and firemen (and of officers and members of the United States Park Police force, White House Police force, and the Secret Service) who retired prior to October 1, 1956, for permanent total disability rated at 100 per centum at the time of retirement, shall be computed and paid under the present Policemen and Firemen's Retirement and Disability Act, which became effective October 1, 1956. It is estimated that this legislation would affect approximately 146 retirees. Under provisions of the retirement law applicable to police and firemen who retired prior to October 1, 1956, these retirees initially received in retirement compensation 50 per centum of the annual salary they had been receiving immediately prior to their retirement. The Act effective in 1956 provides that retirement compensation shall be a minimum of 66⅔% and a maximum of 70% of the annual salary received by a retiree immediately prior to his retirement.

The Commissioner notes that due to the provisions of title III of the Act approved June 20, 1953 (67 Stat. 75; D.C. Code, sec. 4-518), relating to the automatic equalization of the pensions of police and firemen, the annuities of retirees retiring prior to July 1, 1956, have already been increased 85%. In comparison, legislation enacted since 1956 has provided annuitants who retired prior to October 1, 1956, under District of Columbia teachers' retirement legislation and United States civil service retirement legislation with cumulative annuity increases totaling only 45%. Enactment of H.R. 4184 would provide an additional increase in the retirement benefits of this group of retired police and firemen, thus further increasing the existing disparity between them and other government retirees. This special increase, over and above the equalization feature of present law, would amount to additional increases of from 33% to 40%. The estimated actuarial cost of this legislation is approximately $250,000 for fiscal year 1971. This amount is, of course, expected to diminish annually, with the full actuarial cost estimated to be approximately $1,865,000.

The Commissioner considers the provisions of this bill to be inequitable in relation to

the retirement systems of other District of Columbia government retirees, and accordingly he strongly opposes its enactment.

Sincerely yours,

GRAHAM W. WATT,
Assistant to the Commissioner.

The SPEAKER. The time of the gentleman from Texas has expired.

Mr. FAUNTROY. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Again, may I conclude by saying that every effort should be made through social security increases and other pension funds to bring retirement and social security benefits up to the point where all of our elderly citizens can live with dignity. However, this bill is only a stopgap piece of legislation which leaves many inequities in the system.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose this bill. I shall introduce legislation at this session of Congress that will provide all retirees who retired before 1956 and who are receiving pensions by reason of their employment retirement benefits equal to those benefits persons are now drawing who retired after 1956. If I had a vote, I would vote against H.R. 2600, and I urge you to do the same.

Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Speaker, the delegate from the District of Columbia has referred to possible problems dealing with certain other groups of people, including the District of Columbia schoolteachers.

This bill does not address itself to the problems of those particular people. We can handle those problems, if action is needed, in other legislation.

The purpose of this legislation is to correct an inequity, an inequity that was caused by an act of Congress back in 1956.

The District government had nothing to do with this matter. We are being called upon today to correct that inequity, which was caused by this body in the first place. The cost involved is very minor. There are only 156 people affected. These are former District of Columbia policemen and firemen who were 100 percent disabled in the line of duty prior to 1956. This bill seeks to bring their pensions in line with the annuities granted those members who were disabled after 1956, who were much more generously treated.

If the gentleman from the District of Columbia knows of other inequities, let the gentleman introduce corrective legislation and we will take care of those problems also. However, at this time, we are attempting to provide fair treatment for one small group of people who have been totally disabled in line of duty, but who were disadvantaged by a previous act of Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. BIAGGI. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BROYHILL. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. BIAGGI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Virginia for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HUNGATE. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Missouri for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, in support of the remarks of the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BROYHILL), may I say that if the District government has any fault at all to find because of so-called inequities in other pay scales, if they would bring remedial legislation before the committee, I am sure that this committee will give them all of the hearings and the attention that they would require, that is if those people would come under the jurisdiction of the Congress. Certainly this bill covers an amendment to the act by the Congress, and, therefore, should be dealt with separately. So I submit to you that if the District of Columbia government wants to have remedial action on other types of pensions over which this body has control, then certainly such legislation will be entertained.

Again, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the bill.

The previous question was ordered.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CLASS A RETAILERS' LICENSES UNDER THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL ACT

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on the District of Columbia, I call up the bill (H.R. 6417) to reduce the number of class A retailers' licenses issued and outstanding under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, to establish the number of such licenses that may be issued and outstanding under such act, and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill, as follows:

H.R. 6417

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That (a) any person—

(1) lawfully holding a class A retailer's license issued, and outstanding on the date of enactment of this Act, under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, and

(2) who, on such date, has been authorized by the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia to suspend, for a period not to exceed three years, sales of beverages from the place for which such license was issued,

may, during the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and in accordance with such regulations as the District of Columbia Council shall prescribe, return such license to the Commissioner of the District of Columbia for cancellation and compensation.

(b) The Commissioner of the District of Columbia shall pay $10,000 to each person who returns a license for cancellation under this Act.

SEC. 2. (a)(1) For such period as may be necessary to reimburse the District of Columbia for payments made under the first section of this Act, the Commissioner may require each holder of a class A retailer's license issued under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act to pay each license year, in addition to the license fee prescribed by section 41 of such Act for such year, the amount determined under paragraph (2) of this subsection.

(2) For purposes of determining the amount required to be paid for a license year under paragraph (1) of this subsection by each holder of a class A retailer's license, the Commissioner shall determine the relative positions of the holders of such license on the basis of the amount of gross sales of alcoholic beverages reported by each such license holder for the preceding license year. In the case of any license holder who did not report any such sales for such preceding year or whose report covers only a portion of such year, the Commissioner shall by regulation determine the position of such license holder on the basis of such additional information as may be appropriate. The additional amount to be paid by a license holder for a license year under paragraph (1) shall be—

(A) $100 if the license holder is determined to be within the bottom third of all such license holders,

(B) $200 if the license holder is determined to be within the middle third of all such license holders, and

(C) $300 if the license holder is determined to be within the upper third of all such license holders.

Amounts collected under this section shall be deposited in the general fund of the District of Columbia in the United States Treasury.

(b) If the total amount collected by the Commissioner under this section exceeds the total amount paid by him under the first section of this Act, the Commissioner shall, in accordance with regulations, make such reduction in the annual license fee for class A retailer's licenses as may be necessary to return to each licensee his proportionate share of such excess.

(c) For purposes of this section, (1) the term "license year" means the twelve month period beginning February 1 of each year, and (2) the term "alcoholic beverages" means the beverages which the holders of a class A retailer's license may sell under such license.

SEC. 3. No class A retailer's license may be issued on or after the date of enactment of this Act under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act if the issuance of such license would cause the total number of such licenses issued and outstanding under that Act to exceed three hundred.

SEC. 4. No class A retailer's license may be transferred or issued under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act with respect to any place which is (as determined under regulations of the District of Columbia Council) within one thousand feet of a place, the class A retailer's license for which was returned and canceled under this Act.

With the following committee amendment:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That (a) any person—

(1) lawfully holding a class A retailer's license issued, and outstanding on the date of enactment of this Act, under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, and

(2) who, on such date, has under regulations under such Act surrendered his license to the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia for safekeeping without payment of the annual license fee required under such Act,

may, during the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and in accordance with such regulations as the District of Columbia Council shall prescribe, return such license to the Commissioner of the District of Columbia (hereafter in this Act referred to as the "Commissioner") for cancellation.

(b) The Commissioner is authorized to pay to each licensee who returns a license for cancellation under this Act such amount (not to exceed $10,000) as may be determined by a panel composed of a representative of the licensee, a representative of an association of class A retail licensees, and a third person designated by the Commissioner, who shall serve as chairman.

SEC. 2. (a)(1) For such period as may be necessary to reimburse the District of Columbia for payments made under the first section of this Act, the Commissioner may require each holder of a class A retailer's license issued under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act to pay at such time in each license year as the Commissioner shall prescribe, in addition to the license fee prescribed by section 11 of such Act for such year, the amount determined under paragraph (2) of this subsection.

(2) For purposes of determining the amount required to be paid for a license year under paragraph (1) of this subsection by each holder of a class A retailer's license, the Commissioner shall determine the relative positions of the holders of such license on the basis of the amount of gross sales of alcoholic beverages reported by each such license holder for the preceding license year. In the case of any license holder who did not report any such sales for such preceding year or whose report covers only a portion of such year, the Commissioner shall by regulation determine the position of such license holder on the basis of such additional information as may be appropriate. The additional amount to be paid by a license holder for a license year under paragraph (1) shall be—

(A) $100 if the license holder is determined to be within the bottom third of all such license holders,

(B) $200 if the license holder is determined to be within the middle third of all such license holders, and

(C) $300 if the license holder is determined to be within the upper third of all such license holders.

Amounts collected under this section shall be deposited in the general fund of the District of Columbia in the United States Treasury.

(b) If the total amount collected by the Commissioner under this section exceeds the total amount paid by him under the first section of this Act and any other costs incurred in the administration of this Act, the Commissioner shall, in accordance with regulations, make such reduction in the annual license fee for class A retailer's licenses as may be necessary to return to each licensee his proportionate share of such excess.

(c) Failure of a licensee to make the payments required under this Act shall, for purposes of section 17 of the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act (D.C. Code, sec. 25–118), be considered a violation of such Act.

(d) For purposes of this section, (1) the term "license year" means the twelve-month period beginning February 1 of each year, and (2) the term "alcoholic beverages" means the beverages which the holders of a class A retailer's license may sell under such license.

SEC. 3. No class A retailer's license may be issued under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act to any person unless immediately prior to the time such license takes effect—

(1) such person was the holder of a class A retailer's license (other than a license returned for cancellation under this Act), or

(2) the total number of class A retailers' licenses issued and outstanding under that Act is less than three hundred.

SEC. 4. No class A retailer's license may be transferred or issued under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act with respect to any place (other than a place for which such a license was in effect immediately prior to the time the license to be issued is to take effect) which is (as determined under regulations of the District of Columbia Council) within one thousand feet of a place, the class A retailer's license for which was returned and canceled under this Act.

Mr. CABELL (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the committee amendment.

The committee amendment was agreed to.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this legislation, as indicated in the title of the bill, H.R. 6417, is to reduce the number of package liquor store licenses for class A retailers and to establish the number of such licenses that may be issued and outstanding under the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

Class A retail liquor licenses, the subject of this legislation, must be held by every operator of a package liquor store in the District of Columbia. The Alcohol Beverage Control Act defines such licenses and provides the authority of the Alcohol Beverage Control Board to issue regulations and supervise the enforcement of the act.

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF LICENSES

At the time the Alcohol Beverage Control Act—District of Columbia Code, title 25, section 10, and the following—was enacted over 35 years ago, the Alcohol Beverage Control Board was authorized to issue, among other types of licenses, retailers licenses, class A, for package stores. No limit as to the number of such stores was specified in the act. The applications for and the issuance of such licenses reached proportions which caused the Board to establish a ceiling number of 300 for such licenses. The number of class A retailers' licenses issued and outstanding was and has continued to be substantially in excess of the maximum provided by regulation because of the provisions of a "grandfather clause" continuing the license privilege of those licensed before the ceiling number was set. At the present time approximately 387 licenses are outstanding. Under the terms of the bill, there would be a statutory maximum of 300 such licenses established, the same number as is provided under present regulation.

SURRENDER AND SAFEKEEPING OF LICENSES

Under the authority of the Alcohol Beverage Control Act and the regulations issued under it, the holder of a class A retail license, whose place of business was destroyed during an emergency or was or is the subject of condemnation action, may surrender his license to the ABC Board for safekeeping or for cancellation. This action is voluntary on the part of the licensee. Where the surrender is for the purpose of safekeeping the regulations provide that such safekeeping may not extend beyond a period of 3 years. During any period that a license has been surrendered for safekeeping, the licensee is not required to pay the license fees or to operate the facilities for which the license was granted.

The option rests with the licensee to have the license restored if such request is made within the 3-year period.

According to the testimony received by your committee, there are about 10 licensees who have surrendered their licenses to the ABC Board under regulations at this time.

In testimony before your subcommittee on March 30, 1971, representatives of the District of Columbia government, the ABC Board, and the D.C. Retail Liquor Dealers' Association were in general agreement as to the desirability of the proposed legislation. Testimony was given to the effect that during the April 1968 riots, about 180 retail beverage stores in the District of Columbia were either totally or partially destroyed. Some licensees have been unable to restore their retail business for lack of any building from which to serve customers. Such conditions are completely beyond the control of the licensees.

In addition to the above-mentioned cases, some licensees are located in structures which have been or will be taken pursuant to the exercise of eminent domain by Government authority. While a licensee has the privilege of seeking another location, the practical fact is that such relocation possibilities are extremely limited and in many instances impossible. The thrust of the pending bill is directed at the cancellation of the licenses of such operators where they voluntarily surrender their licenses and request cancellation with reimbursement as provided in the pending proposal.

The bill, as amended and reported by your committee, would require the Alcohol Beverage Control Board to levy a special assessment, in addition to regular license fees paid by all class A retail liquor dealers which would be used to establish a fund account from which dealers surrendering and returning licenses for cancellation would be paid the reasonable value of the license but not to exceed $10,000. The ceiling amount is

established by reason of the fact that during the past year licensees who sold their licenses to other qualified persons received somewhat less than $10,000.

Since the reasonable value must be established for the purposes of cancellation and reimbursement, it is necessary to make provision for determining the reasonable value of such property. The bill provides for the establishment of a panel which would be composed of three persons: First, a representative chosen by the licensee; second, a representative of a Class A Retail Licensee Association; third, a designee by the Commissioner for the District of Columbia who would serve as chairman of the panel. The panel would determine the reasonable value to be offered to the licensee for the cancellation of his license.

ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND

Your committee recognizes that any reimbursement as described above should be made only from moneys derived from a private source so that no burden would be placed upon the general taxpayers. The bill provides that at such time as determined by the ABC Board, a special assessment would be levied against the holders of class A retailers' licenses to accumulate the funds necessary for the purposes of the bill.

The ABC Board is authorized to classify retail dealers according to the volume of business which is reported being done annually. Those retail licensees falling into the lower third of the grouping would pay an additional $100 fee into the fund. A middle third would pay $200 and the top third of the dealers would pay $300 into the fund. The failure of any licensee to participate in this funding would constitute a violation of the Alcohol Board of Control Act. All dealers thus would share in a contribution to the fund to the extent necessary to accomplish its purpose.

As the ABC Board collected the additional levies from the licensees, the funds would be placed in the general fund of the District of Columbia. A special account would be established in the general fund relating to this particular purpose, and the Congress would appropriate the necessary amounts to the ABC Board to reimburse licensees. The ABC Board would continue the special levy on licensees until such time as there had been sufficient funds transferred to the general fund to bear the final cost of the bill plus all costs to the District of Columbia government.

DURATION OF THE PROGRAM

The terms of the bill provide that those licensees who, on the date of enactment of the legislation, have surrendered their licenses to the ABC Board for safekeeping, may, within the year following, exercise the option of requesting reimbursement for permanent cancellation of the license. At the end of the 1-year period, those licensees who have not requested cancellation and reimbursement may no longer exercise the option.

The ABC Board may continue the levy for such period of years as is necessary to meet the payments and costs for the purpose of the bill. The ABC Board will make a pro rata distribution to the remaining class A retail licensees of any residue in the fund on completion of the purpose of the bill.

The bill would also prevent the reestablishment of a retail liquor store within 1,000 feet of the location of a licensee whose license had been returned to be canceled permanently. Grandfather clause protection is given to other licensees within the 1,000 foot radius. No change is made in existing regulations of the ABC Board relating to the surrender for safekeeping of licenses. The privilege continues during and after the 1-year period for cancellation and reimbursement for licenses provided in the bill.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Your committee, as indicated, held public hearings on this legislation as proposed in similar bills, H.R. 1201, H.R. 4747 and H.R. 6417. Representatives of associations of the class A retail liquor licensees testified in detail in reference to the provisions of the legislation. Support was expressed for the general concept of reduction of the number of licensees for retail package store operations.

The Alcohol Beverage Control Board was represented by the Chairman of the Board who testified concerning the desirability of the legislation. The Office of the Corporation Counsel for the District of Columbia was likewise represented and general support was expressed for the legislation.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CABELL. I am delighted to yield to the gentleman from Missouri.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the distinguished gentleman from Texas who is handling this bill yielding to me.

As I understand from his explanation, in addition to a careful reading of the bill and the report, there have been changes made since it was brought up and soundly defeated on the floor of this House in the last Congress.

Mr. CABELL. That is correct.

Mr. HALL. But, I would like to ask the gentleman—why not just put these licenses on the open market and let them be sold, if they are worth something, or not be sold if they are worth nothing, or if there is an unwillingness in the burned out areas, as the gentleman refers to it, for them, for them to be sold? Why tax the other taxpayers, or at least the liquor consumers an additional amount in order to subsidize those who will not willingly reconstruct their own business.

Mr. CABELL. The thrust of it actually is to reduce as early as possible the total number of package stores within the District. There are increasing problems in finding locations for transfers or removals to another part of the city due to zoning or due to other restrictions. This does not put any tax on the general taxpayers. It puts it only on the other package store operators, and at their request. Their association representing the 360 members, I think, voted unanimously for this. Of course, it will reduce competition to some extent.

Mr. HALL. The gentleman has answered part of my question. Obviously, it would reduce competition—and you could not expect them not to be for that. But certainly the gentleman does not think for a minute, does he, that this will not be passed on to the consumers?

Mr. CABELL. That, of course, is good reasoning to me and it depends on how much liquor you buy.

Mr. HALL. I might say to the gentleman that as a good Ozark hillbilly, I am against buying any of it. But, I recognize that there are areas in which there are necessary taxes on consumption, but I doubt very much if this should be passed on either voluntarily or certainly by legislation.

The SPEAKER. The time of the gentleman from Texas has expired.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike out the last word.

Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman from Texas will remain in the well, we can continue our colloquy and, of course, it is for that purpose that I have asked to be recognized, simply in the interest of continuity.

Inasmuch as there is taxation and, indeed, the distinguished gentleman has said that after the subsidy for the burnt-out operators who will not reopen is paid up, that then the tax will be dropped.

Mr. CABELL. That is correct.

Mr. HALL. I have never heard of such a thing. That would be a revealing presumption. But be that as it may, I wonder wherein lies the equity of Federal legislation for one particular area, even of the Federal City, if we are going to pass this tax along. I for one cannot see it, and I must say to the gentleman that I hope this legislation is defeated as it was in the past.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HALL. I am glad to yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. CABELL. With reference to whether or not the tax is ever taken off, that is specifically written into the bill. It will come off. So it is not just an open-end, blank check affair. It will die when the licenses have been surrendered within 1 year from the date of the enactment of this bill. Then that tax would be taken off. It would not set a precedent for the District of Columbia or anyone else to tie into any type of license other than those that specifically have been issued to those in burnt-out buildings or those that have been displaced because of eminent domain proceedings or condemnation of their properties. So the bill will not set a precedent.

That means the District of Columbia has no obligation to retire or, rather, to buy in licenses from any other source.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman's personal reassurance is very reassuring, but I am not at all sure but what that would not establish here by Federal legislation a precept, tradition, or at least an example that other municipalities, if indeed not States, might borrow from the Federal Government and the U.S. Congress—as I say, even though recognizing that we are dealing with the Federal City. This was a one-time, we

hope, malfeasance of individual liberties by people who acted without responsibility in the burning-out. Although I am not a lawyer, I know there is an ancient common law that says, "Let the buyer beware." I know that it is possible under the existing regulations and law, not only of the ABC, but of the District and so forth, for them to move to relocate within a reasonable distance under the same licenses.

As you say, this will cull a few. It will make competition, or at least potential competition, less, and I just think it is not a fit subject for Federal legislation, and I wish the committee had not brought this back even with the changes therein at this time.

Mr. CABELL. If the gentleman will yield further——

Mr. HALL. I am glad to yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. CABELL. May I say that two States have enacted similar legislation to this to cover the very same type of proposed change this legislation was designed for. I might state further to the gentleman that legislation of this type very definitely is an obligation of this Congress under our obligation to enact legislation for the District of Columbia. So we are completely in order for having accepted this obligation. It is our obligation.

Mr. HALL. I hope the gentleman does not think I was casting aspersions on the committee, its jurisdiction or surveillance. I certainly was not. I am talking about the broader principles, indeed the interpretation of the Constitution as far as the interstate clause or the welfare clause is concerned, and I do not think we should ever use Federal taxpayers' money or moneys derived by legislative act of the Federal Congress for the relief of segmental areas of the United States or specific individuals or class organizations such as the Organization of Beverage Sellers. It is in this spirit in which I speak. It is in this spirit in which I oppose this legislation.

Mr. CABELL. I can appreciate the gentleman's position, and thank him for his contribution.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the remainder of my time.

Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Virginia is recognized.

Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the pending legislation, and would like to have my remarks associated with those of the gentleman from Texas. I think we must recognize at the outset that it is always extremely difficult to get legislative approval by this body for any bill that affects the alcoholic beverage industry.

There is always a lot of emotionalism involved, and a lot of misunderstanding about the problems involved, on the part of many of the people who have sent us to represent them in this legislative body.

Thus, I recognize the problems many of my colleagues will have in voting for or against this legislation. But it is a matter we are confronted with and which is within our jurisdiction to resolve.

The alcoholic industry is highly regulated. If anything is to be done about this problem in the District of Columbia, we in the Congress will have to make the decision.

All we are doing here today is facing up to the problem. As was pointed out by the gentleman from Texas, we do favor a limitation on the number of class A retail licenses which could be issued in the future in the District of Columbia. Yes, this may have a tendency to reduce competition somewhat in the business. But the bill would still permit the issuance of at least 300 class A licenses, and I believe that will provide sufficient competition to protect the interests of those among my colleagues who like to consume these beverages a little more than others, by enabling them to shop around for a better price.

I believe the alcohol-consuming public will be amply protected by this legislation we have here today. Further, this bill will provide a more efficient way of policing and regulating the industry in the city. It will prevent the shopping around for, or the selling of, these licenses.

The people who have had their establishments burned out may be willing to go out of business. In the absence of this proposed legislation, they will be encouraged to shop around to sell their licenses, and thus bring about the establishment of other retail liquor stores in other locations in the city.

This bill will encourage the cancellation of these licenses, and thus will serve to bring the number of retail stores down to the statutory limitation which the bill provides; namely, 300. I say this will cause a rapid reduction of the number of possibly undesirable retail liquor establishments which are now located in the Nation's Capital.

And this can be done without any cost to the Federal Government or to the District government. Further, the people who presently hold these class A retail licenses are willing to underwrite this cost.

I also acknowledge, Mr. Speaker, that neither the passage nor the defeat of this bill today will rock the foundation of this Capitol building. As a matter of fact, I have no strong personal feeling in regard to this legislation. But I do submit that if this bill is passed, it will provide for a more orderly and efficient means of regulating this industry, which must be regulated.

I hope my colleagues will support the passage of the bill.

Mr. ANNUNZIO. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia. I yield to the gentleman from Illinois.

Mr. ANNUNZIO. I thank the gentleman from Virginia for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation. I want to commend the distinguished gentleman from Texas and the members of the committee for bringing this legislation before us today.

As Members know, I am familiar with this problem, having conducted hearings on crime insurance. I know the plight, as other Members know the plight, of some of these people who have been burned out. They have been forced out of business.

I believe the association here in Washington should be commended for this most worthwhile program to help their fellowman get reestablished.

As most Members of the House know, all over America communities have local options as far as taverns and liquor stores are concerned. Many, many communities have limited the number of licenses, all over the country. I see no reason why we in the District of Columbia should not limit the number of licenses.

I should also like to point out that in this legislation there is no cost to the taxpayers. It is something the association wants to do for former members of the association. We should encourage these people who want to help their fellowman in business, and not discourage them.

Further, I should point out that both Massachusetts and New Jersey have enacted legislation which parallels the provisions of this bill.

Consequently, I urge my colleagues to support the committee and vote for this legislation.

Mr. CABELL. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the bill.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members, and the Clerk will call the roll.

The question was taken; and there were—yeas 178, nays 200, not voting 53, as follows:

[Roll No. 70]

YEAS—178

Abbitt	Clark	Fulton, Pa.
Abernethy	Collier	Fuqua
Abourezk	Collins, Ill.	Garmatz
Addabbo	Colmer	Gaydos
Anderson, Calif.	Cotter	Gettys
	Daniel, Va.	Giaimo
Annunzio	Daniels, N.J.	Grasso
Aspinall	Davis, Wis.	Gray
Baring	de la Garza	Griffin
Barrett	Dent	Griffiths
Bell	Derwinski	Gude
Bergland	Diggs	Hagan
Bevill	Donohue	Haley
Biaggi	Dorn	Hamilton
Bingham	Downing	Hathaway
Blanton	du Pont	Hays
Blatnik	Edmondson	Hechler, W. Va.
Boggs	Eilberg	Hicks, Mass.
Boland	Esch	Hicks, Wash.
Bolling	Fascell	Hogan
Brasco	Findley	Holifield
Brinkley	Fish	Horton
Brooks	Fisher	Hungate
Broyhill, Va.	Flood	Jacobs
Burleson, Tex.	Flowers	Jarman
Byron	Flynt	Johnson, Calif.
Cabell	Ford, Gerald R.	Jones, N.C.
Carney	Forsythe	Karth
Chappell	Frelinghuysen	Kazen

Kee	Nelsen	Sisk
Kemp	Nix	Slack
Kluczynski	Obey	Smith, N.Y.
Koch	O'Konski	Staggers
Kuykendall	O'Neill	Stanton,
Kyros	Passman	J. William
Landrum	Patten	Stanton,
Lennon	Pepper	James V.
McFall	Perkins	Stephens
McKinney	Poage	Stuckey
McMillan	Podell	Sullivan
Macdonald,	Preyer, N.C.	Symington
Mass.	Price, Ill.	Teague, Calif.
Mahon	Pryor, Ark.	Teague, Tex.
Mailliard	Pucinski	Thomson, Wis.
Mann	Purcell	Tiernan
Mathias, Calif.	Quie	Veysey
Mathis, Ga.	Railsback	Vigorito
Matsunaga	Randall	Waggonner
Meeds	Rees	Ware
Michel	Robinson, Va.	Watts
Mikva	Robison, N.Y.	White
Miller, Calif.	Rodino	Whitten
Mills	Rogers	Williams
Mollohan	Roncalio	Wilson, Bob
Monagan	Rooney, Pa.	Winn
Montgomery	Rosenthal	Wolff
Moorhead	Rostenkowski	Yatron
Morgan	Roush	Young, Tex.
Murphy, Ill.	Roy	Zablocki
Myers	Satterfield	Zwach
Natcher	Shipley	

NAYS—200

Abzug	Ford,	O'Hara
Adams	William D.	Pelly
Alexander	Fountain	Pettis
Anderson, Ill.	Frenzel	Peyser
Andrews,	Frey	Pike
N. Dak.	Fulton, Tenn.	Pirnie
Archer	Galifianakis	Poff
Arends	Goldwater	Powell
Ashley	Gonzalez	Price, Tex.
Badillo	Goodling	Quillen
Baker	Green, Oreg.	Rarick
Begich	Grover	Reid, Ill.
Belcher	Hall	Reid, N.Y.
Bennett	Hammer-	Reuss
Betts	schmidt	Riegle
Biester	Hansen, Idaho	Rooney, N.Y.
Bow	Hansen, Wash.	Rousselot
Brademas	Harrington	Roybal
Bray	Harvey	Ruppe
Broomfield	Hastings	Ruth
Brotzman	Hawkins	Ryan
Brown, Mich.	Helstoski	St Germain
Brown, Ohio	Henderson	Sandman
Broyhill, N.C.	Hillis	Sarbanes
Buchanan	Hosmer	Saylor
Burke, Fla.	Howard	Scherle
Burke, Mass.	Hull	Scheuer
Burlison, Mo.	Hunt	Schmitz
Burton	Hutchinson	Schneebeli
Caffery	Ichord	Schwengel
Camp	Johnson, Pa.	Scott
Carey, N.Y.	Jonas	Sebelius
Carter	Kastenmeier	Seiberling
Cederberg	Keating	Shoup
Celler	Keith	Shriver
Chamberlain	King	Skubitz
Chisholm	Kyl	Smith, Calif.
Clancy	Landgrebe	Smith, Iowa
Clausen,	Latta	Snyder
Don H.	Leggett	Spence
Clawson, Del	Lent	Springer
Cleveland	Link	Steele
Collins, Tex.	Long, Md.	Steiger, Ariz.
Conable	Lujan	Steiger, Wis.
Conte	McClory	Stratton
Conyers	McCloskey	Talcott
Coughlin	McClure	Taylor
Crane	McCollister	Terry
Culver	McCormack	Thompson, Ga.
Danielson	McDade	Thompson, N.J.
Delaney	McDonald,	Thone
Dellenback	Mich.	Udall
Dellums	McKevitt	Van Deerlin
Dennis	Madden	Vander Jagt
Devine	Martin	Waldie
Dickinson	Mayne	Wampler
Dingell	Mazzoli	Whalen
Dow	Miller, Ohio	Whalley
Drinan	Minish	Whitehurst
Dulski	Mink	Wiggins
Duncan	Minshall	Wright
Dwyer	Mitchell	Wydler
Eckhardt	Mizell	Wylie
Edwards, Ala.	Morse	Wyman
Edwards, Calif.	Mosher	Yates
Erlenborn	Moss	Young, Fla.
Eshleman	Murphy, N.Y.	Zion
Evans, Colo.	Nedzi	
Foley	Nichols	

NOT VOTING—53

Anderson,	Green, Pa.	Pickle
Tenn.	Gross	Rangel
Andrews, Ala.	Gubser	Rhodes
Ashbrook	Halpern	Roberts
Aspin	Hanley	Roe
Blackburn	Hanna	Runnels
Byrne, Pa.	Harsha	Sikes
Byrnes, Wis.	Hébert	Stafford
Casey, Tex.	Heckler, Mass.	Steed
Clay	Jones, Ala.	Stokes
Corman	Jones, Tenn.	Stubblefield
Davis, Ga.	Lloyd	Ullman
Denholm	Long, La.	Vanik
Dowdy	McCulloch	Widnall
Edwards, La.	McEwen	Wilson,
Evins, Tenn.	McKay	Charles H.
Fraser	Melcher	Wyatt
Gallagher	Metcalfe	
Gibbons	Patman	

So the bill was rejected.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

Mr. Hébert with Mr. Rhodes.
Mr. Andrews of Alabama with Mr. Gross.
Mr. Byrne of Pennsylvania with Mr. Widnall.
Mr. Charles H. Wilson with Mrs. Heckler of Massachusetts.
Mr. Evins of Tennessee with Mr. Stafford.
Mr. Gibbons with Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin.
Mr. Hanna with Mr. Gubser.
Mr. Hanley with Mr. Halpern.
Mr. Corman with Mr. Wyatt.
Mr. Jones of Alabama with Mr. Harsha.
Mr. Davis of Georgia with Mr. McEwen.
Mr. Sikes with Mr. Ashbrook.
Mr. Jones of Tennessee with Mr. Blackburn.
Mr. Casey of Texas with Mr. Floyd.
Mr. McKay with Mr. McCulloch.
Mr. Fraser with Mr. Clay.
Mr. Roe with Mr. Rangel.
Mr. Green of Pennsylvania with Mr. Metcalfe.
Mr. Vanik with Mr. Stokes.
Mr. Stubblefield with Mr. Steed.
Mr. Anderson of Tennessee with Mr. Aspin.
Mr. Denholm with Mr. Edwards of Louisiana.
Mr. Runnels with Mr. Gallagher.
Mr. Roberts with Mr. Melcher.
Mr. Dowdy with Mr. Long of Louisiana.
Mr. Ullman with Mr. Pickle.

Messrs. HELSTOSKI, CELLER, and SCOTT changed their votes from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. DONOHUE changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TO INCORPORATE THE PARALYZED VETERANS OF AMERICA

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on the District of Columbia, I call up the bill—H.R. 2894—to incorporate the Paralyzed Veterans of America, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

H.R. 2894

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the following persons, to wit: Burton Little, Chickasaw, Alabama; Tom Goggin, Phoenix, Arizona; Leonard Chrysler, Los Altos, California; Wayne L. Capson, Garden Grove, California; George Boschet, Silver Spring, Maryland; Robert Classon, New York, New York; Edward G. Maxwell, Miami, Florida; Claude C. Beckham, Irmo, South Carolina; Benny Tschetter, Sioux Falls, South Dakota; Frederick T. Gill, Valley Station, Kentucky; Lee M. Gresham, Wixom, Michigan; Conrad M. Standinger, Memphis, Tennessee; Curley Gullet, Denver, Colorado; Charles Swartz, Marblehead, Massachusetts; Bolivar Rivera, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico; James Schwiem, Pasadena, Texas; Robert T. Kiggins, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Glenn E. Mayer, Hines, Illinois; John Novak, Richmond, Virginia; and such other persons as are members of the Paralyzed Veterans of America, and their associates and successors, are hereby created and declared to be a body corporate by the name of Paralyzed Veterans of America (hereinafter referred to as the "corporation").

SEC. 2. The persons named in the first section of this Act, or their successors, are hereby authorized to complete the organization of the corporation by the selection of officers, the adoption of a constitution and bylaws, and the doing of such other acts as may be necessary for such purpose.

SEC. 3. The objects and purposes of the corporation shall be—

(a) to preserve the great and basic truths and enduring principles upon which this Nation was founded;

(b) to form a national association for the benefit of persons who have suffered injuries or diseases of the spinal cord;

(c) to acquaint the public with the needs and problems of paraplegics;

(d) to promote medical research in the several fields connected with injuries and diseases of the spinal cord, including research in neurosurgery and orthopedics and in genitourinary and orthopedic appliances; and

(e) to advocate and foster complete and effective reconditioning programs for paraplegics, including a thorough physical reconditioning program, physiotherapy, competent walking instructions, adequate guidance (both vocational and educational), academic and vocational education (both in hospitals and in educational institutions), psychological orientation and readjustment to family and friends, and occupational therapy (both functional and diversional).

SEC. 4. The corporation shall have perpetual succession and shall have power—

(a) to sue and be sued;

(b) to acquire, hold, and dispose of such real and personal property as may be necessary to carry out the corporate purposes;

(c) to make and enter into contracts;

(d) to accept gifts, legacies, and devises which will further the corporate purposes

(e) to borrow money for the purposes of the corporation, issue bonds therefor, and secure the same by mortgage, subject in every case to all applicable provisions of Federal and State law;

(f) to adopt and alter a corporate seal;

(g) to establish, regulate, and discontinue subordinate State and regional organizations and local chapters or posts;

(h) to choose such officers, representatives, and agents as may be necessary to carry out the corporate purposes;

(i) to establish and maintain offices for the conduct of the affairs of the corporation;

(j) to adopt and alter a constitution and bylaws not inconsistent with law;

(k) to publish a newspaper, magazine, or other publications;

(l) to adopt and alter emblems and badges; and

(m) to do any and all acts and things necessary and proper to accomplish the objects and purposes of the corporation.

SEC. 5. The corporation shall have no power to issue capital stock or engage in business for pecuniary profit or gain.

SEC. 6. The corporation shall be nonpolitical and, as an organization, shall not furnish financial aid to, or otherwise promote the candidacy of, any person seeking public office.

SEC. 7. Any American citizen shall be eligible for membership in the corporation who was regularly enlisted, inducted, or commissioned, and who was accepted for, or was on, active duty in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Coast Guard of the United States, or our allies. Service with the Armed Forces must have been terminated by discharge or separation from service under conditions other than dishonorable: *Provided, however,* That persons otherwise eligible for membership who are on active duty or who must continue to serve after the cessation of hostilities are also eligible for membership: *And provided further,* That membership shall be limited to such persons as have suffered spinal cord injuries or disease whether service connected or nonservice connected in origin.

SEC. 8. The headquarters and principal place of business of said corporation shall be located in the District of Columbia, but the activities of said organization, as set out herein, shall not be confined to said city, but shall be conducted throughout the several States and any territory or possession of the United States.

SEC. 9. In the event of a final dissolution or liquidation of such corporation, and after the discharge or satisfactory provisions for the discharge of all its liabilities, the remaining assets of the said corporation shall be transferred to the Veterans' Administration to be applied to the care and comfort of paralyzed veterans.

SEC. 10. The corporation and its State and regional organizations and local chapters or posts shall have the sole and exclusive right to have and use in carrying out its purposes the name "Paralyzed Veterans of America," and such seals, emblems, and badges as the corporation may lawfully adopt.

SEC. 11. The corporation shall keep correct and complete books and records of account and shall also keep minutes of the proceedings of its members, executive committee, and committee, having any of the authority of the executive committee; and shall keep at its registered office or principal office a record giving the names and addresses of its members entitled to vote; and permit all books and records of the corporation to be inspected by any member or his agent or his attorney for any proper purpose at any reasonable time.

SEC. 12. As a condition precedent to the exercise of any power or privilege herein granted or conferred, the corporation shall file in the office of the Secretary of each State or of any territory or possession of the United States, in which organizations, chapters, or posts may be organized, the name and post office address of an authorized agent upon whom local process or demands against the corporation may be served.

SEC. 13. Such provisions, privileges, and prerogatives as have been granted heretofore to other national veterans' organizations by virtue of their being incorporated by Congress are hereby granted and accrue to the Paralyzed Veterans of America.

SEC. 14. (a) No part of the income or assets of the corporation shall inure to any member, director, officer, or employee of the corporation or be distributable to any person during the life of the corporation or upon its dissolution or final liquidation. Nothing in this subsection, however, shall be construed to prevent the payment of reasonable compensation to officers and employees of the corporation or to prevent their reimbursement for actual necessary expenses in amounts approved by the corporation's board of directors.

(b) The corporation shall not make loans to its members, officers, directors, or employees. Any director who votes for or assents to the making of such a loan, and any officer who participates in the making of such a loan, shall be jointly and severally liable to the corporation for the amount of such loan until the repayment thereof.

SEC. 15. The corporation shall be liable for the acts of its officers and agents when acting within the scope of their authority.

SEC. 16. The provisions of sections 2 and 3 of the Act of August 30, 1964 (36 U.S.C. 1102, 1103), entitled "An Act to provide for audit of accounts of private corporations established under Federal law" shall apply with respect to the corporation.

SEC. 17. The right to alter, amend, or repeal this Act is hereby expressly reserved.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of H.R. 2894 is to grant a Federal charter of incorporation to the Paralyzed Veterans of America.

This bill is substantially identical to H.R. 11131 of the 90th Congress, which passed the House on October 17, 1967, and to H.R. 1783 of the 91st Congress, approved by the House on October 7, 1969.

BACKGROUND

Founded in Chicago in February of 1947, the Paralyzed Veterans of America is an organization consisting of some 5,500 active members from every State in the Union, who comprise 21 chapters located in various parts of the United States. The organization is nonpolitical and nonsectarian, with the sole qualification for membership being that the individual be a service veteran and that he have a spinal cord injury or disease. There are presently 12,000 to 15,000 veterans suffering from this type of disability, including those from Vietnam. Hence, the membership of the Paralyzed Veterans of America includes 40 to 50 percent of its total potential. Its national headquarters is located at 3636 16th Street NW., in Washington, D.C.

Prior to World War II, few persons afflicted with paraplegia lived beyond 6 months. The advent of the so-called miracle drugs, however, accompanied by newly discovered medical techniques, gave the paraplegic limited health and increased his lifespan. Thus, medical science had devised the means to prepare him physically for the outside world, but more was needed. For the paraplegic was a new kind of human being. Few realized the appalling extent of his injury or the many problems he must conquer to again become a part of the mainstream of our society. Many customs, laws, and usages were at variance to the paraplegic's way of life. Ways had to be found to modify, to liberalize, and to acclimate this new way of living so that these men could become a useful part of our society.

In 1946, the 2,500 living paraplegics and quadriplegics were grouped in Veterans' Administration hospitals located in Boston, Mass., New York, N.Y., Chicago, Ill., Richmond, Va., Memphis, Tenn., and Van Nuys, Calif. They were quick to recognize the new problems their presence created in the physical, social, and industrial worlds. For this reason, these groups formed local organizations to face these problems as best they could.

This was the situation which led to the meeting of representatives of these local groups the following year, and the founding of the Paralyzed Veterans of America.

WORK OF THE ORGANIZATION

The principal aim of the Paralyzed Veterans of America is to promote research in the area of paraplegia and its rehabilitative problems. During the 21 years of its life, this organization has accomplished an outstanding record of service in this area, both to its members and to civilian paraplegics. A few examples are the following:

First. Created the National Paraplegia Foundation, a separate and independent organization to promote medical research in the several fields connected with injuries and diseases of the spinal cord.

Second. Contributed over $200,000 from its meager resources to that organization for spinal cord research, and for the development, printing, and distribution of several publications designed to inform, assist, and educate all those persons afflicted with similar disabilities.

Third. Provided the funds necessary to conduct a joint study, under the administration of the National Paraplegia Foundation and the then Vocational Rehabilitation Administration, on the service needs of paraplegics and quadriplegics.

Fourth. Established in 1961 a national service program for its members, and has served them at an annual cost averaging $30,000. This year, the organization's budget for the service program amounts to $28,000.

In addition, the Paralyzed Veterans of America has cooperated with the President's Committee in its program of employment for the handicapped. It has conducted its own intensive program, in cooperation with the National Commission Architectural Barriers, in the elimination of architectural barriers to the handicapped. They are an active member of the United States Council of the World Veterans Federation, the mission of which is to assist the veterans of other nations and to promote international peace and security through support of the United Nations Charter. Also, they have cooperated with many other Federal and private agencies on problems of the handicapped.

As a means of raising funds, as well as providing a needed recreational outlet for its members, the Paralyzed Veterans of America promotes wheelchair basketball teams. The team in Boston, known as the New England Clippers, is now in its 20th year of continuous play, and three of its members are paraplegic veterans of World War II.

In short, this organization has been unstinting in its efforts to assist in and promote programs on both the national and the international level, in an earnest desire to serve these most seriously disabled veterans who have fought to preserve our national heritage.

NEED FOR LEGISLATION

The Federal charter which H.R. 2894 will grant to the Paralyzed Veterans of America will accredit that organization

with the Veterans' Administration and enable their national service officers to represent their members before that agency. The organization will also acquire the respect and stature which accrue only to organizations with congressional recognition.

CONCLUSIONS

Our committee feels that in its 24 years of existence, the Paralyzed Veterans of America has proved worthy of all the recognition and support which the Congress can offer, and is pleased to commend to the House this bill to grant a Federal charter to this selfless and gallant organization.

This legislation has the approval of the Commissioner of the District of Columbia.

Mr. WYLIE. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 2894 to grant a Federal charter of incorporation to the Paralyzed Veterans of America, Inc.

This bill, as has been pointed out, is similar to bills which have passed on two previous occasions.

Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, I have had the opportunity to hear Mr. Rodriguez, national president of the Paralyzed Veterans of America, Inc., testify. In my opinion his group has presented constructive proposals designed to assist all veterans as well as those members of his group, who have special problems requiring exceptional handling and endeavor.

Mr. Speaker, it is my sincere belief that this organization deserves to receive a charter on its own merit similar to those of other veterans' organizations which have previously been chartered.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, the organization of Paralyzed Veterans of America has been in existence for 24 years. This legislation is very worthy of support because recognition should be extended to this veterans organization as provided for in this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the passage of this bill.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the bill.

The previous question was ordered.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PARALYZED VETERANS OF AMERICA

Mr. HILLIS. Mr. Speaker, on behalf of Indiana's 711,000 veteran population, I salute the Paralyzed Veterans of America for having received this vote of confidence by the Congress for a national charter.

This honor to this service organization is well deserved and as the only Member of the Indiana delegation to serve on the House Veterans' Affairs Committee, I am honored to add my support.

This organization has through their progams contributed immeasurably to the rehabilitation of many of the Nation's disabled servicemen.

The comradeship displayed by this organization has helped many disabled veterans—and their action has helped all Americans.

I say, once again, congratulations to this organization.

INCORPORATING THE MERCHANT MARINE VETERANS ASSOCIATION

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on the District of Columbia, I call up the bill, H.R. 6105, for the incorporation of the Merchant Marine War Veterans Association, and ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the bill, as follows:

H.R. 6105

A bill for the incorporation of the Merchant Marine War Veterans Association

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the following named persons, to wit:

Raymond Jacobs, Chicago, Illinois;
Tom Truxtun McWade, Chicago, Illinois;
John Scotello, Chicago, Illinois;
E. A. Garrett, Chicago, Illinois;
F. L. Staszewski, Chicago, Illinois;
Frank L. Morgan, Bensenville, Illinois;
Ira E. Bishop, Homewood, Illinois;
Robert Kaforski, Chicago, Illinois;
Thomas V. Murphy, Chicago, Illinois;
James W. MacKenzie, Chicago, Illinois;
Stanley T. Deering, Chicago, Illinois;
Robert H. Salvesen, Franklin Park, Illinois;
Charles W. Wilson, Chicago, Illinois;
Chester L. Ratowski, Chicago, Illinois;
Obie A. Hawker, Senior, Washington, District of Columbia;
Art Payne, Chicago, Illinois;
William G. May, Chicago, Illinois;
Alfonso DeSoto, Chicago, Illinois;
Captain Daniel C. Green, Chicago, Illinois;
Robert J. Morgan, Skokie, Illinois;
Robert Broadhead, Elmhurst, Illinois;
Raymond E. Gongola, Elmwood Park, Illinois;
James Sheehan, Downers Grove, Illinois;
Willi Willis, Lake Forest, Illinois;
Terry Kenny, Chicago, Illinois;
Captain David A. Jones, Chicago, Illinois;
George Bean, Chicago, Illinois;
William Berkovitz, Chicago, Illinois;
John Dewar, Chicago, Illinois;
Frank Draper, Chicago, Illinois;
John S. Hambright, Chicago, Illinois;
Robert Kannberg, Chicago, Illinois;
George Laudermith, Chicago, Illinois;
John C. Mead, Chicago, Illinois;
Harry A. Skinner, Chicago, Illinois;
Captain Robert Ammon, Chicago, Illinois;
Jack Billow, Chicago, Illinois;
Captain Roy Christianson, Chicago, Illinois;
William J. Curtin, Chicago, Illinois;
Harry L. Siegler, Chicago, Illinois;
Captain Don K. McRae, Chicago, Illinois;
Richard Nowak, Chicago, Illinois;
Stanley M. Repel, Chicago, Illinois;
Roscoe J. Williams, Chicago, Illinois;
William Fitch, Chicago, Illinois;
Richard Christ, Chicago, Illinois;
George S. Cronk, Chicago, Illinois;
Joe Rosengard, Chicago, Illinois;
Joseph W. Zinn, Chicago, Illinois;
Louis Roskopf, Cicero, Illinois;
R. Wojcukiewicz, Chicago, Illinois;
Walter J. Hetzel, Chicago, Illinois;
Bertram W. Brown, Oak Park, Illinois;
Captain John J. Klocko, Junior, Prospect Heights, Illinois;
Louis B. Lambert, Claremont, Illinois;
Nick J. DeBrown, Franklin Park, Illinois;
Thomas F. Skahill, Elmhurst, Illinois;
William Bradley, Deerfield, Illinois;
R. B. Foryst, Olney, Illinois;
J. J. Fahrenbach, Glenview, Illinois;
Michael S. Morgan, Skokie, Illinois;
Vern Colvin, Lombard, Illinois;
Phil Provenzano, Addison, Illinois;
Sid Luckman, Highland Park, Illinois;
Reverend Arnold J. Parker, Riverdale, Illinois;
Harold Kowalski, Cicero, Illinois;
Bud Carlson, Lombard, Illinois;
Robert A. Carlsen, Berwyn, Illinois;
Steve Manookian, Melrose Park, Illinois;
Joseph M. Kerekes, Des Plaines, Illinois;
Robert E. Olson, Mount Vernon, Illinois;
E. E. Milde, Champaign, Illinois;
Ken Bruckelmeyer, Worth, Illinois;
K. J. Bailey, Gurnee, Illinois;
Charles W. Wright, Chillicothe, Illinois;
Thomas A. Ross, Chicago, Illinois;
John Miaso, Chicago, Illinois;
Paul Maresky, Chicago, Illinois;
Benjamin J. Linkus, Chicago, Illinois;
Anton A. Bernacki, Chicago, Illinois;
Vincent Flack, Chicago, Illinois;
Frank A. Mendyke, Chicago, Illinois;
August J. Goyke, Chicago, Illinois;
Ray Litterski, Chicago, Illinois;
Richard L. Anderson, Baltimore, Maryland;
Kenneth F. Clausen, Fond Du Lac, Wisconsin;
Ferdinand J. Simon, Lutcher, Louisiana;
Richard M. Stevenson, Groton, Connecticut;
Joe R. McAllister, Madison, South Dakota;
Paul H. Apmann, Saint Petersburg, Florida;
B. Alan Stone, Greeley, Colorado;
H. K. Martin, Muskegon, Michigan;
Richard A. Sells, Saint Louis, Missouri;
Charles J. Steichen, El Segundo, California;
Raymond L. Loftesness, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Martin Avignon, Junior, Laurel, Mississippi;
Clyde J. Beck, Minneapolis, Minnesota;
Arthur Chambless, Saralan, Alabama;
Laurence N. Holden, Lincoln, Rhode Island;
Glen M. Svaren, Ashland, Oregon;
Captain John L. Beebe, New Shrewsbury, New Jersey;
Frank Harveston, Augusta, Georgia;
Robert Hotchkiss, Sedley, Virginia;
Floyd W. Reed, Pickstown, South Dakota;
Richard R. Meurer, Billings, Montana;
Warren Peterson, Indianapolis, Indiana;
Eleuterio Rosa, Bronx, New York;
Vergil Patrick, Andrews, Texas;
Gordon Westford, Spokane, Washington;
H. S. Feay, Junior, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Robert J. Garvey, Roswell, New Mexico;
Wellington Coolidge, Saratoga, Wyoming;
David E. Fisher, Allentown, Pennsylvania;
Sterling Hayden, Nantucket, Massachusetts;
Frank A. Joslyn, Rock Rapids, Iowa;
H. S. Feay, Junior, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Robert E. Armstrong, Junior, Portland, Maine;
Richard R. Powers, Clarksville, Tennessee;
Joseph D. Kelly, Mansfield, Ohio;
Frank H. Throop, Marshall, Minnesota;
E. K. Verley, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Charles W. Bartlett, Valley City, North Dakota;
Elwin B. Benson, O'Neill, Nebraska;
Robert R. Shebal, El Cajon, California;
Lester Sampson, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Charles Shaw, Detroit, Michigan;
John Roscoe, Pueblo, Colorado;
Vicente R. Santos, Lake Placid, Florida;
Domenico Gallo, Danbury, Connecticut;
James Chrystal, New Orleans, Louisiana;

Eugene A. Peterson, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
James P. Grant, Washington, District of Columbia;
Captain William W. Clendaniel, Baltimore, Maryland;
Leonard A. Cernik, Milwaukee, Wisconsin;
Ralph S. Floyd, Boston, Massachusetts;
Philip Klein, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania;
Dale Dean, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Roy Myers, El Paso, Texas;
Captain Clair F. Bee, Cornwall on the Hudson, New York;
Charles R. Kluge, Gary, Indiana;
Dale A. Meurer, East of Billings, Montana;
William A. McGregor, North Providence, Rhode Island;
William Meyer, Volga, South Dakota;
George Holland, New Providence, New Jersey;
Robert A. Graves, Minneapolis, Minnesota;
Elton E. Dalin, Ashtabula, Ohio;
John B. Orrand, Nashville, Tennessee;
Earl D. Muhs, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Thomas J. O'Connor, Los Angeles, California;
Richard Kujawa, Alpena, Michigan;
Francis J. Brady, Clearwater, Florida;
Warren A. Ferguson, Falls Village, Connecticut;
Luverne P. Jorgenson, Flandreau, South Dakota;
Clyde M. Case, Mukwonago, Wisconsin;
Captain B. Ralph Ludy, Braintree, Massachusetts;
R. J. Bish, York, Pennsylvania;
R. A. Chambers, Buffalo, New York;
Richard M. Bielski, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
Evan O. Davis, Merriville, Indiana;
Richard J. Augsbach, Westwood, New Jersey;
Carl A. Peters, Minneapolis, Minnesota;
James H. Kruser, Toledo, Ohio;
Leland S. Sorensen, Viborg, South Dakota;
Raymond F. Hiltgen, Torrance, California;
Robert Raehl, Muskegon, Michigan;
M. M. Boker, Fort Pierce, Florida;
Orville M. Heemstra, Miwaukee, Wisconsin;
Paul H. Parsley, Brookings, South Dakota;
Edward P. Lyons, Fall River, Massachusetts;
William Grabiak, Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania;
Kenneth U. Marshall, Central Nyack, New York;
Edward M. Meagher, Hammond, Indiana;
Carroll D. Moeller, Naples, South Dakota;
Adrianus Van Ryn, Hoboken, New Jersey;
Florian P. Ritschel, Saint Paul, Minnesota;
Paul A. Hoiriis, Parma, Ohio;
Paul Gehris, Wyomissing, Pennsylvania;
Clifford Gartmann, Wausau, Wisconsin;
Captain C. J. Van Dongen, Muskegon, Michigan;
E. V. Zafft, Sioux Falls, South Dakota;
John G. Hanks, Lakewood, California;
Paul Aaron, Arlington, South Dakota;
Everett E. Robertson, Junior, Oakland City, Indiana;
Wayne Culbertson, Caledonia, New York;
Haroid R. Fredrickson, Sunnyvale, California;
James Swinford, Louisville, Ohio;
D. E. Bigler, North Bergen, New Jersey;
Arvid Olson, El Cajon, California;
J. H. Muellers, Robbinsdale, Minnesota;
Robert Nelson, Fort Dix, New Jersey;
Anthony P. Velligan, Gary, Indiana;
Orval Herington, Onamia, Minnesota;
Van Heflin, Beverly Hills, California;
Worrell Klaenhammer, Saint Paul, Minnesota;
Delbert W. Houts, Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin;
Walter Skotynski, Toledo, Ohio;
H. A. Kuns, Osseo, Minnesota;
G. J. Burdick, Daly City, California;
George L. Smokovitch, Escanaba, Michigan;
Ray J. Arkell, Iona, Minnesota;
Robert W. Forsberg, Watertown, South Dakota;
Clarence Bowes, Nekoosa, Wisconsin; and
William N. Walker, Junior, Ashtabula, Ohio;

and their successors, are hereby created and declared to be a body corporate of the District of Columbia, where its legal domicile shall be, by the name of the Merchant Marine War Veterans Association (hereinafter referred to as the corporation), and by such name shall be known and have perpetual succession and the powers, limitations, and restrictions herein contained.

It shall be the duty of the persons named in this section, jointly and severally, to file with the Commissioner of the District of Columbia or his designated agent a copy of ths Act within fifteen days after the date of its approval.

COMPLETION OF ORGANIZATION

SEC. 2. A majority of the persons named in the first section of this Act, acting in person or by written proxy, are authorized to complete the organization of the corporation by the selection of officers and employees, the adoption of a constitution and bylaws not inconsistent with this Act, and the doing of such other acts as may be necessary for such purpose.

PURPOSES OF THE CORPORATION

SEC. 3. The purposes of the corporation shall be to foster appreciation for the wartime services of veterans of the American merchant marine and the United States Maritime Service, and the betterment of the plight of said veterans, through recognized methods of obtainment; the perpetuation of the Memorial Day shipboard ceremony to honor the war dead of these services, as inaugurated on Memorial Day, May 30, 1958; to encourage the retention and availability of a modernized and adequate American merchant marine held in readiness at all times; to encourage the governmental formation of a United States Maritime Service Reserve, the acknowledged training branch of the wartime American merchant marine.

CORPORATE POWERS

SEC. 4. The corporation shall have power—

(1) to have succession by its corporate name;

(2) to sue and be sued, complain and defend in any court of competent jurisdiction;

(3) to adopt, use, and alter a corporate seal;

(4) to choose such officers, managers, agents, and employees as the activities of the corporation may require;

(5) to adopt, amend, and alter a constitution and bylaws, not inconsistent with the laws of the United States, the District of Columbia or any State in which the corporation is to operate, for the management of its property and the regulation of its affairs;

(6) to contract and be contracted with;

(7) to take by lease, gift, purchase, grant, devise, or bequest from any public body or agency or any private corporation, association, partnership, firm, or individual, and to hold absolutely or in trust for any of the purposes of the corporation any property, real, personal, or mixed, necessary or convenient for attaining the objects and carrying into effect the purposes of the corporation, subject, however, to applicable provisions of the law of any State or the District of Columbia (A) governing the amount or kind of property which may be held by, or (B) otherwise limiting or controlling the ownership of property by, a corporation operating in such State or the District of Columbia;

(8) to transfer, convey, lease, sublease, encumber, and otherwise alienate real, personal, or mixed property;

(9) to borrow money for the purposes of the corporation, issue bonds therefor, and secure the same by mortgage, deed of trust, pledge, or otherwise, subject in every case to all applicable provisions of Federal, District of Columbia, and State laws; and

(10) to do any and all acts and things necessary and proper to carry out the objects and purposes of the corporation.

MEMBERSHIP

SEC. 5. Eligibility for membership in the corporation and the rights, privileges, and designation of classes of members shall, except as provided in this Act, be determined as the constitution and bylaws of the corporation may provide. Eligibility for membership in the corporation shall be limited to male wartime veterans of the American merchant marine, and the United States Maritime Service, who are eligible for an honorable discharge from the United States Shipping Board recruiting service of World War I; a certificate of substantially continuous service from World War II; the equivalent discharge from the Korean conflict; and any similar type discharge from previous or subsequent conflicts.

GOVERNING AUTHORITY OF THE CORPORATION

SEC. 6. The supreme governing authority of the corporation shall be the national headquarters and national board of directors thereof, composed of such officers and elected representatives from the several States and other local subdivisions of the corporate organization as shall be provided by the constitution and bylaws: *Provided*, That the form of the government of the corporation shall always be representative of the membership at large and shall not permit the concentration of the control thereof in the hands of a limited number of members or in a self-perpetuating group not so representative. The meetings of the national headquarters may be held in any State or territory or in the District of Columbia.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS: COMPOSITION, RESPONSIBILITIES

SEC. 7. (a) Upon the enactment of this Act the membership of the initial national headquarters and the national board of directors of the corporation shall consist of the present members of the national headquarters and the national board of directors of the Merchant Marine War Veterans Association, the corporation described in section 18 of this Act, or such of them as may then be living and are qualified members of such national headquarters and national board of directors, to wit: Raymond Jacobs, Chicago, Illinois; Tom Truxtun McWade, Chicago, Illinois; John Scotello, Chicago, Illinois; E. A. Garrett, Chicago, Illinois; Frank Morgan, Bensenville, Illinois; Ira E. Bishop, Homewood, Illinois; Robert Kaforski, Chicago, Illinois; Thomas V. Murphy, Chicago, Illinois; James W. MacKenzie, Chicago, Illinois; Stanley T. Deering, Chicago, Illinois; and Francis L. Staszewski, Chicago, Illinois.

(b) Thereafter, the national headquarters and national board of directors of the corporation shall consist of such number as may be prescribed in the constitution of the corporation, and the members of such offices shall be selected in such manner (including the filling of vacancies), and shall serve for such terms, as may be prescribed in the constitution and bylaws of the corporation.

(c) The national headquarters and national board of directors shall be the managing body of the corporation and shall have such powers, duties, and responsibilities as may be prescribed in the constitution and bylaws of the corporation.

OFFICERS: SELECTION AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS

SEC. 8. The officers of the corporation shall be a national commander, national vice commander, national junior vice commander, national secretary, national treasurer, national master at arms, five members of the national board of directors, and such other officers as may be prescribed in the consti-

tution and bylaws. The officers of the corporation shall be selected in such manner and for such terms and with such duties and titles as may be prescribed in the constitution and bylaws of the corporation.

PRINCIPAL OFFICE: SCOPE OF ACTIVITIES; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AGENT

SEC. 9. (a) The principal office of the corporation shall be located in Chicago, Illinois, or in such other place as may be later determined by the national officers and national board of directors; but the activities of the corporation shall not be confined to that place, but may be conducted throughout the various States, the District of Columbia, and territories and possessions of the United States.

(b) The corporation shall have in the District of Columbia at all times a designated agent authorized to accept service of process, notice, or demand for the corporation, and service of such process, notice, or demand required or permitted by law to be served upon the corporation may be served upon such agent. The corporation shall file with the Commissioner of the District of Columbia or his designated agent a statement designating the initial and each successor registered agent of the corporation immediately following any such designation.

USE OF INCOME: LOANS TO OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, OR EMPLOYEES

SEC. 10. (a) No part of the income or assets of the corporation shall inure to any of its members, directors, or officers as such, or be distributable to any of them during the life of the corporation or upon its dissolution or final liquidation. Nothing in this subsection, however, shall be construed to prevent the payment of compensation to officers of the corporation or reimbursement for actual necessary expenses in amounts approved by the national officers and national board of directors of the corporation.

(b) The corporation shall not make loans to its officers, directors, or employees. Any member of the national headquarters and national board of directors who votes for or assents to the making of a loan or advance to an officer, director, or employee of the corporation, and any officer who participates in the making of such a loan or advance, shall be jointly and severally liable to the corporation for the amount of such loan until the payment thereof.

NONPOLITICAL NATURE OF CORPORATION

SEC. 11. The corporation and its officers and directors as such, shall not contribute to or otherwise support or assist any political party or candidate for public office.

LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF OFFICERS AND AGENTS

SEC. 12. The corporation shall be liable for the acts of its officers and agents when acting within the scope of their authority.

PROHIBITION AGAINST ISSUANCE OF STOCK OR PAYMENT OF DIVIDENDS

SEC. 13. The corporation shall have no power to issue any shares of stock or to declare or pay any dividends.

BOOKS AND RECORDS: INSPECTION

SEC. 14. The corporation shall keep correct and complete books and records of accounts and shall keep minutes of the proceedings of its national conventions, national headquarters, and national board of directors. All books and records of the corporation may be inspected by any member, or his agent or attorney, for any proper purpose, at any reasonable time.

AUDIT OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

SEC. 15. The provisions of sections 2 and 3 of the Act of August 30, 1964 (36 U.S.C. 1102, 1103), entitled "An Act to provide for audit of accounts of private corporations established under Federal law" shall apply with respect to the corporation.

REPORT TO THE CONGRESS

SEC. 16. On or before March 1 of each year the corporation shall report to the Congress on its activities during the preceding fiscal year. Such report may consist of a report on the proceedings of the national convention covering such fiscal year. Such report shall not be printed as a public document.

CERTAIN EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS OF CORPORATION

SEC. 17. The corporation and its subordinate divisions shall have the sole and exclusive right to use the name Merchant Marine War Veterans Association. The corporation shall have the exclusive and sole right to use, or allow or refuse the use of, such emblems, seals, and badges as have heretofore been used by the Illinois corporation described in section 18 and the right to which may be lawfully transferred to the corporation.

TRANSFER OF ASSETS

SEC. 18. The corporation may acquire the assets of the Merchant Marine and Maritime Service Veterans Association, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Illinois, upon discharging or satisfactorily providing for the payment and discharge of all the liability of such corporation and upon complying with all laws of the State of Illinois applicable thereto.

USE OF ASSETS ON DISSOLUTION OR LIQUIDATION

SEC. 19. Upon dissolution or final liquidation of the corporation, after discharge or satisfaction of all outstanding obligations and liabilities, the remaining assets, if any, of the corporation shall be distributed in accordance with the determination of the national headquarters and national board of directors and in compliance with the constitution and by-laws of the corporation and all Federal, District of Columbia, and State laws applicable thereto.

RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO AMEND OR REPEAL CHARTER

SEC. 20. The right to alter, amend, or repeal this Act is expressly reserved.

With the following committee amendments:

Page 10, line 6, strike out "War".

Page 14, beginning in line 8 strike out "the Merchant Marine War Veterans Association,".

Page 18, line 19, strike out "War".

The committee amendments were agreed to.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of the bill, H.R. 6105, is to provide for the incorporation of the Merchant Marine Veterans Association in the District of Columbia, where its legal domicile shall be.

The names of the incorporators, the corporate purposes, powers, procedures, membership requirements, and duties of the officers are set forth in various sections of the bill. Also, the corporation will be subject to the Federal law with respect to annual auditing of its financial transactions.

While the bill provides that the principal office of the corporation shall be located in Chicago, Ill., or such other place as may be determined, it is stipulated that the corporation shall have at all times a designated agent in the District of Columbia authorized to accept service of process.

The Merchant Marine & Maritime Service Veterans Association, numbering over 10,000 members in 50 States, was organized in 1957 in the State of Illinois and chartered under the "not for profit" corporation laws of that State.

Its purposes, as expressed in section 3 of the bill, are, first, to foster appreciation for the wartime service of veterans of the American merchant marine and the U.S. maritime service, and the betterment of the plight of said veterans, through recognized methods of obtainment; second, the perpetuation of the Memorial Day shipboard ceremony to honor the war dead of these services, as inaugurated on Memorial Day, May 30, 1958; third, to encourage the retention and availability of a modernized and adequate American merchant marine held in readiness at all times; and fourth, to encourage the governmental formation of a U.S. maritime service reserve, the acknowledged training branch of the wartime American merchant marine.

Eligibility for membership in the Merchant Marine & Maritime Service Veterans Association is limited to male wartime veterans of the American merchant marine and the U.S. maritime service who are eligible for an honorable discharge from the U.S. Shipping Board Recruiting Service of World War I, or who holds a certificate of substantially continuous service in World War II, or the equivalent discharge from the Korean conflict, or any similar type discharge from previous or subsequent conflicts.

This bill is substantially identical to H.R. 3864 of the 89th Congress, which passed the House on August 9, 1965, and also to H.R. 1944 of the 90th Congress, approved by the House on March 13, 1967.

COMMITTEE ACTION

At a public hearing August 2, 1965, on this legislation before Subcommittee No. 2 of our committee, testimony supporting the enactment of the bill was presented by Members of Congress, by the national commander of the association, and by a representative of the Corporation Counsel's office on behalf of the Board of Commissioners of the District of Columbia. No testimony was offered in opposition to the measure.

It was represented that more than 1.5 million men living in the United States are eligible for membership in the Merchant Marine & Maritime Service Veterans Administration; that the association, in line with its purposes, is dedicated to the betterment of the plight of the wartime veterans of the American merchant marine and the U.S. maritime ability of a modernized and adequate service and to the retention and availability of a modernized and adequate American merchant marine held in readiness at all times; and that it is dedicated to the encouragement of the governmental formation of the U.S. maritime service reserve as a training branch of the wartime American merchant marine.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The amendments to this bill, as reported, simply change the name for the proposed corporation from "Merchant Marine War Veterans Association" to "Merchant Marine Veterans Association". Our committee regards this modification as an appropriate distinction between the merchant marine wartime service and that of the strictly military Armed Forces, namely the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.

CONCLUSIONS

Our committee agrees with the proponents of this legislation that the Merchant Marine Veterans Association, as a public service organization, is deserving of charter by Congress and that the prestige of a Federal charter will assist it in informing the public of the highly important and necessary functions of the American merchant marine and U.S. maritime service.

This is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, and nonpolitical organization; it is self-supporting, its only income being membership dues; and it has been granted tax-exempt status by the Treasury Department.

The Congress on behalf of the American people owe the veterans of the maritime service a debt of gratitude which can be symbolized in the reported bill. They endured all of the terrifying hazards of war at sea and helped save America from invasion. This legislation will also provide recognition of our debt to the many thousands who gave their lives in defense of this Nation.

The legislation has the approval of the Commissioner of the District of Columbia.

A letter follows:

THE AMERICAN LEGION,
Washington, D.C., April 26, 1971.

HON. WILLIAM L. HUNGATE,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Judiciary, House Committee on the District of Columbia, Longworth House Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR CHAIRMAN HUNGATE: We note that H.R. 6105, a bill for the incorporation of the "Merchant Marine War Veterans Association", as amended and reported by the House Committee on District of Columbia, has deleted the word "war" wherever the same appears therein. The organization is now designated as "Merchant Marine Veterans Association".

In view of the amendment, The American Legion has no further objection to the measure.

Sincerely yours,

HERALD E. STRINGER,
Director National Legislative Commission.

Mr. DERWINSKI. Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased to see this bill, H.R. 6105, which would incorporate the Merchant Marine Veterans Association, brought to the floor and hope that the House will expedite passage of the bill. I feel enactment of this measure is long overdue.

May I direct the attention of Members to the fact that I introduced H.R. 10631 in the 88th Congress, H.R. 3864 in the 89th Congress, and H.R. 1944 in the 90th Congress, all identical to H.R. 6105 of this Congress. Members will certainly recall that H.R. 1944 was approved by the committee on the District of Columbia and passed the House on March 13, 1967. It was referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee but, unfortunately, no action was taken in that body.

I believe that the conclusions previously reached by the committee in support of this legislation are absolutely valid and, therefore, I reiterate my hope that expeditious action by the House will enhance prospects of final passage of this long overdue legislation.

Mr. Speaker, as you know, the Merchant Marine and Maritime Service Veterans Association is incorporated in the State of Illinois and now has members in all 50 States. It is dedicated to the service of wartime veterans of the American merchant marine and the U.S. maritime service and to the retention and availability of a modernized and adequate American merchant marine held in readiness at all times. The association is also dedicated to the encouragement of the governmental formation of the U.S. maritime service reserve as a training branch of the wartime American merchant marine. Eligibility for membership in the association is restricted to male wartime veterans of the American merchant marine and the U.S. maritime service who are eligible for an honorable discharge from the U.S. Shipping Board Recruiting Service of World War I, or who hold a certificate of substantially continuous service in World War II, or the equivalent discharge from the Korean conflict, or any similar type discharge from previous or subsequent conflicts.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly believe the Merchant Marine Veterans Association, a nonprofit, nonpartisan, and nonpolitical public service organization, is deserving of this recognition by the Congress. Passage of this legislation to incorporate the organization will give it added prestige which will assist it in informing the public of the highly important and necessary functions of the American merchant marine and U.S. maritime service.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to support the passage of H.R. 6105.

Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the bill.

The previous question was ordered.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed.

The title was amended so as to read: "A bill for the incorporation of the Merchant Marine Veterans Association."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FUNDS FOR THE COMMITTEE ON THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on House Administration, I call up House Resolution 28 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution as follows:

H. RES. 28

Resolved, That, effective January 21, 1971, the further expenses of the studies and investigations to be conducted pursuant to H. Res. 27 by the Committee on the District of Columbia, acting as a whole or by subcommittee, not to exceed $150,000, including expenditures for the employment of investigators, attorneys, and experts, and clerical, stenographic, and other assistants, and all expenses necessary for travel and subsistence incurred by members and employees while engaged in the activities of the committee or any subcommittee thereof, shall be paid out of the contingent fund of the House on vouchers authorized and signed by the chairman of such committee and approved by the Committee on House Administration.

SEC. 2. The chairman, with the consent of the head of the department or agency concerned, is authorized and empowered to utilize the reimbursable services, information, facilities, and personnel of any other departments or agencies of the Government.

SEC. 3. The official committee reporters may be used at all hearings held in the District of Columbia, if not otherwise officially engaged.

SEC. 4. No part of the funds authorized by this resolution shall be available for expenditure in connection with the study or investigation of any subject which is being investigated for the same purpose by any other committee of the House, and the chairman of the Committee on the District of Columbia shall furnish the Committee on House Administration information with respect to any study or investigation intended to be financed from such funds.

SEC. 5. Funds authorized by this resolution shall be expended pursuant to regulations established by the Committee on House Administration under existing law.

With the following committee amendments:

Page 1, line 1, strike out "January 21," and insert in lieu thereof "January 3,"

Page 1, line 5, strike out "$150,000," and insert in lieu thereof "$220,000,"

Page 1, line 6, immediately following "attorneys," insert "consultants,"

Page 2, line 2, add the following new sentence: "Not to exceed $90,000 of the amount provided by this resolution may be used to procure the temporary or intermittent services of individual consultants or organizations thereof pursuant to section 202 (i) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 72a(i)); but this monetary limitation on the procurement of such services shall not prevent the use of such funds for any other authorized purpose."

The committee amendments were agreed to.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, this matter was gone into very thoroughly and has been printed in the RECORD for some time.

The minority and the majority are in agreement with respect to the staffing and the provision for financing each of the respective subcommittees.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New Jersey for yielding.

My point in asking him to yield is to ask a question as to the increase of committee authorization. As I see the legislation, there has been an increase of some $70,000 in the committee authorization; is that correct?

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Yes, that is correct and I will say to the gentleman from Missouri that it is caused by the determination that each of the subcommittees shall be funded, and the chairman of the committee, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. MCMILLAN) and the ranking member, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. NELSEN) agreed.

The other increase is on a provision to provide for any future plans of the committee for the possible, and I emphasize possible, employment of consultants who may be needed.

Mr. HUNGATE. Is the gentleman from Missouri correct in his understanding

that there are some five subcommittees of this full District of Columbia Committee, and that the purpose of any increase in funds would be for allowances of something approximating $20,000 for the subcommittee for staff employment under Chairman HANSEN'S committee report?

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. That is an accurate statement.

Mr. Speaker, I note that the gentleman from South Carolina is about to ask me to yield. I discussed this matter with him and he expressed a willingness to have these moneys. Indeed, there was a degree of enthusiasm for it in order that the committee and its subcommittees may carry out their work.

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. McMILLAN. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from New Jersey is correct. We discussed this matter at a meeting of the House Administration Committee and when they were considering this bill. It was well understood that any subcommittee that had to have help, we were going to help them out. It is to our interest also to see that the legislation is expedited and gone into thoroughly.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me in order that I might thank the gentleman from South Carolina for his courtesy and cooperation shown on this staffing.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. I might say that I would like to join the gentleman from Missouri in commending the gentleman from South Carolina for his willingness to have the subcommittees not only funded as they will be, as he has just indicated, but also for his statement to the effect that the oversight responsibilities will be the responsibility of the subcommittees under the aegis of the full committee. There is a lot of work to be done. I think the funding level is quite proper and reasonable and I am assured, if by any chance there is any excess, none of it will be wasted and I think any excess will be returned. I think this is a relatively modest budget and I have confidence there will not be any excess if these oversight responsibilities are carried out.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING PAY COMPARABILITY ADJUSTMENTS FOR CERTAIN HOUSE EMPLOYEES WHOSE PAY RATES ARE SPECIFICALLY FIXED BY HOUSE RESOLUTIONS

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on House Administration, I call up House Resolution 282 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution as follows:

H. RES. 282

Resolved, That until otherwise provided by law, effective as of February 1, 1971, the per annum gross rate of pay of each employee (except an employee who is an elected officer of the House) whose pay is disbursed by the Clerk of the House and is fixed at a specific rate by House resolution is increased by an amount equal to 6 per centum of his per annum gross rate of pay. No rate of pay shall be increased by reason of the adoption of this resolution to an amount in excess of the rate of basic pay of level V of the Executive Schedule contained in section 5316 of title 5, United States Code. The contingent fund of the House is made available to carry out the purposes of this resolution.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the resolution be dispensed with and that it be printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION FUNDING

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on House Administration, I call up House Resolution 288 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution as follows:

H. RES. 288

Resolved, That effective from January 3, 1971, the expenses of the investigations and studies to be conducted pursuant to H. Res. 109, Ninety-second Congress, by the Committee on Foreign Affairs, acting as a whole or by subcommittee, not to exceed $380,580, including expenditures for the employment of investigators, attorneys, individual consultants or organizations thereof, and clerical, stenographic, and other assistants, shall be paid out of the contingent fund of the House on vouchers authorized by such committee, signed by the chairman of such committee, and approved by the Committee on House Administration. However, not to exceed $50,000 of the amount provided by this resolution may be used to procure the temporary or intermittent services of individual consultants or organizations thereof pursuant to section 202(i) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 72a(i)); but this monetary limitation on the procurement of such services shall not prevent the use of such funds for any other authorized purpose.

SEC. 2. No part of the funds authorized by this resolution shall be available for expenditure in connection with the study or investigation of any subject which is being investigated for the same purpose by any other committee of the House, and the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs shall furnish the Committee on House Administration information with respect to any study or investigation intended to be financed from such funds.

SEC. 3. Funds authorized by this resolution shall be expended pursuant to regulations established by the Committee on House Administration under existing law.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the resolution be dispensed with and that it be printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment: Page 1, line 5, strike out "$380,580," and insert in lieu thereof "$425,580,".

The committee amendment was agreed to.

Mrs. ABZUG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to comment on House Resolution 288, to authorize appropriations for the House Committee on Foreign Affairs. The appropriations request has been increased to $425,000 a sum not much below half a million dollars. In deciding to fund this amount, this body ought to comment on matters of foreign affairs it feels the committee ought to address itself to. The situation in Indochina—Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia—is the foreign affair most troubling the people of this country and most troubling to the Members of this Congress.

This is not a new subject of concern yet the committee record to date has been less than sterling. In the 91st Congress, of approximately 210 bills and resolutions referred to the committee on the subject of Vietnam alone, not to mention Laos and Cambodia, of these 210 pieces of legislation, only two were reported out in 1969: one inocuously entitled "Toward Peace through Justice in Vietnam," and another on POW's. In 1970 there was a third resolution concerning the war power of the President which must have obliquely dealt with the situation in Indochina. Of 49 hearings over the 2 years of the session, totaling approximately 210 days of hearings there were a possible six devoted to Indochina: 1 day hearing on the South Pacific Commission, 3 days on prisoners of War—those held captive by the North Vietnamese only—not those who United States and South Vietnamese forces hold captive, not all the American troops held captive by our very involvement in this war, and 2 days of ad hoc hearings on student views toward U.S. policy in Southeast Asia last May, held only after the student community of this country went on strike and came to Washington. Only the last seems to be remotely responsive to the desperation of the American people who are so overwhelmingly opposed to this war. And only the resolution on the nature of the war powers of the President and the Congress, House Joint Resolution 1355 seems remotely responsive to the problems besetting this Congress as a result of its abdication of and presidential usurpation of the war power.

I can think of no more important matter that this committee can address itself to than the situation in Indochina and the overwhelming sentiment against it that this country is expressing. I urge the Committee on Foreign Affairs, through its chairman, to make some commitment today to this body to hold hearings, and to report legislation out to the floor.

For starters I would suggest the acting on the resolutions referred to the committee this session on Vietnam, totaling

now over 100—holding hearings and reporting those pieces best and most expressive of the wishes of the majority of the American people who want to get out of Vietnam now.

Secondly, and also urgent because by June it will be a fait accompli, is the issue of granting of leases offshore Vietnam by the South Vietnamese for oil exploration and drilling. Thirty-two oil companies, mostly American, have expressed interest in the bidding. What implication does this vast potential American economic involvement in South Vietnam have for our military future there?

We do not know but we should know before it is too late. We should know what the President has told these companies about the security of their investments; what arrangements they have made with the State Department and the Department of Commerce about their bids. We do not know what these arrangements are, but we should know, and I strongly urge the holding of hearings before we are further tied into a situation out of congressional control.

I ask the chairman of the Committee to address himself to my remarks and to make a pledge today to deal with these overwhelmingly important and increasingly divisive issues. If he cannot make such a pledge, I cannot vote in favor of this appropriation.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey. I ask that all Members may have 1 day to revise and extend their remarks on the resolutions just agreed to.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

TRANSFERRING JURISDICTION OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON FOUNDATIONS OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS TO THE COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND CURRENCY

Mr. BOLLING. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 320 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution as follows:

H. RES. 320

Resolved, That clause 4, rule XI, of the rules of the House is hereby amended by—

(1) renumbering paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) as paragraphs (h), (i), and (j), and

(2) inserting a new paragraph (g) as follows:

"(g) Impact on the economy of tax-exempt foundations and charitable trusts."

SEC. 2. All files, records, documents, and papers in possession of the Subcommittee on Foundations of the Select Committee on Small Business are hereby preserved intact and transferred to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Missouri is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. BOLLING. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. QUILLEN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This is an entirely noncontroversial matter. This resolution is an agreed-upon method for solving a problem on one of the committees of the House, the Committee on Small Business. It is a change much desired by many Members of the House. It has been agreed by all concerned, the chairman and ranking minority member of both the Small Business Committee and the Committee on Banking and Currency, that there is no objection to this change in jurisdiction.

The change is that the jurisdiction of the present Subcommittee on Foundations of the Select Committee on Small Business be transferred to the Committee on Banking and Currency. Unless there be some objection from my friends on the minority side, I propose to proceed with the matter.

Mr. QUILLEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 320 would transfer the jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Foundations from the Select Committee on Small Business to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

The resolution has been approved by the Rules Committee and is cosponsored by the chairmen and ranking minority members of the Small Business Committee and the Committee on Banking and Currency. Thus, the interested parties agree that this change is desirable and we know of no opposition to the resolution.

The reason for this transfer of jurisdiction is, I am advised, that the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PATMAN) who is, of course, chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee and who has long been chairman of the subcommittee is resigning his position on the Small Business Committee. Also it is felt that future studies of the subcommittee are more closely related to the economic issues which are within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Banking and Currency.

It is the understanding of the Rules Committee that in the event House Resolution 320 is passed, there would be no transfer of any jurisdiction from the Committee on Ways and Means to the Committee on Banking and Currency. Legislation within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Ways and Means would, of course, be referred to it.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 320 would transfer the jurisdiction and records of the Subcommittee on Foundations from the Select Committee on Small Business to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

There is no objection that I know of to this resolution from any of the committee chairmen involved or any other members. The resolution is cosponsored by myself, Mr. EVINS, Mr. WIDNALL, and Mr. CONTE, the chairmen and ranking minority members of the two committees involved. In addition, Chairman MILLS of the Ways and Means Committee has advised me he has no objection to the transfer. I have in turn assured him that it is not our intention, by passing this resolution, to transfer any jurisdiction from the Committee on Ways and Means to the Committee on Banking and Currency. Obviously, any legislation which falls within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Ways and Means will be referred to it by the Parliamentarian.

Perhaps a few words of background with respect to the resolution are appropriate.

As you know, I have been chairman of the Subcommittee on Foundations since it was established by the Small Business Committee in 1962 for the purpose of conducting studies and hearings with respect to the impact upon small business of the activities and conduct of tax-exempt foundations and trusts. Over the past 9 years, the subcommittee has issued seven reports on the operations of U.S. foundations and has held numerous hearings. Important evidence of improper activities by certain foundations as well as loss of benefits and delay of benefits to charity was developed. Much of this information was furnished to the Committee on Ways and Means, together with legislative recommendations for foundation reform. Such recommendations as limiting foundation control of corporations, stricter prohibitions on self-dealing, and an annual payout of foundation income to operating charities originated with the subcommittee. Many recommendations of the subcommittee were eventually incorporated in the Tax Reform Act of 1969.

The subcommittee is currently in the process of producing a comprehensive study on the activities of all U.S. foundations. We believe this is a pioneering effort which will assist Congress and the executive branch in evaluating the effectiveness of the new statutory rules on foundations and the need for future action to insure that foundations function exclusively in the public interest.

The reason for the transfer of the subcommittee at this time is twofold:

First, in accord with the policy of the Speaker, I have indicated I would be willing to give up my position as the ranking majority member of the Select Committee on Small Business in order to permit the advancement of some of our younger members. At the same time, it was felt that in view of my interest in this area, the subcommittee should then be transferred to the Committee on Banking and Currency, of which I am chairman.

Secondly, over the years the subcommittee has attempted to look primarily at the impact of foundations and other tax-exempt organizations on small business. Naturally, it was unavoidable that we would get into related areas where foundation activities affected other groups and other parts of the economy. From this experience, we found that these organizations clearly have a significant impact on our Nation's economy generally. With the recent changes in the law in this area, we now feel it is appropriate that a broader look be taken at the impact of foundations and other tax-exempt organizations on the national economy. We believe the Committee on Banking and Currency is an appropriate committee for such a study.

Mr. BOLLING. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ORGANIZED CRIME

(Mr. RAILSBACK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks, and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. RAILSBACK. Mr. Speaker, at the request of President Nixon, the 91st Congress considered and passed the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 which is now Public Law 91–452. In that legislation, Congress made the finding that "organized crime in the United States is a highly sophisticated, diversified, and widespread activity that annually drains billions of dollars from America's economy by unlawful conduct and the illegal use of force, fraud, and corruption."

The Justice Department since 1954 has had an organized crime and racketeering section. In 1965 a task force on organized crime began a study of the problem and 2 years later issued a report containing over 20 recommendations. President Nixon carried on the impetus and sent Congress a special message on April 23, 1969. In that message, President Nixon stated:

As a matter of national "public policy," I must warn our citizens that the threat of organized crime cannot be ignored or tolerated any longer. It will not be eliminated by loud voices and good intentions. It will be eliminated by carefully conceived, well-funded and well-executed action plans.

Mr. Speaker, Attorney General John Mitchell has done a superb job in providing the "carefully conceived and well-executed action plans" to combat organized crime. Not enough can be said concerning the great job that the Justice Department under Attorney General John Mitchell has done in this area.

One of the best reports on the Justice Department's successful activities against organized crime is that which appeared in the Wall Street Journal of April 27, 1971. In order that my colleagues may each have the opportunity to read this article, I have asked unanimous consent that it be printed at this point in the RECORD:

CRIME AND PUNISHMENT: HOW "MAMA" AND "ANDY" HELPED TO BREAK UP A MAFIA ORGANIZATION

(By Monroe W. Karmin)

NEWARK, N.J.—How do you break a Mafia organization?

By getting help from people like "Mama" and "Andy." They used to work for Sam "the Plumber" De Cavalcante, identified by a congressional committee in 1969 as boss of the biggest New Jersey-based crime syndicate. Now the Plumber is in jail, convicted on gambling charges growing out of a numbers racket. And Mama and Andy are living under assumed identities far from Newark. By spilling the inside story of a $20 million-a-year gambling business, the former employes and other witnesses enabled an elite crime-fighting corps to net its fattest catch yet.

In mid-March a federal judge handed out prison terms and fines to De Cavalcante and nine of his Mafia "family" as the capstone of nearly two years of effort by Attorney General John Mitchell's Newark strike force against organized crime. "It's the first time that a family head and a substantial portion of his family have been convicted in one indictment," declares John Bartels, the attorney in charge of the strike force.

NEWARK FORCE FAR OUT IN FRONT

The verdict thrusts the Newark strike force far out in front of its 16 counterparts in other cities. The Newark score since creation of the force in January 1969: 37 indictments, involving 179 defendants. Of these 53 have been convicted. Among those indicted but not so far convicted is a second Mafia family chieftain, Joseph "Bayonne Joe" Zicarelli.

This record highlights the burgeoning success of an innovative law-enforcement approach begun under President Johnson and expanded greatly by President Nixon. The strike force approach teams up agents from various federal law-enforcement agencies including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Internal Revenue Service and the Narcotics Bureau. This combined effort, it is agreed, is proving to be a much more effective way of attacking the organized crime that gnaws at the nation's cities.

A step in easing urban problems is elimination of rackets, says Will Wilson, the assistant attorney general in charge of the Justice Department's criminal division. The rackets, he says, "keep people in poverty and exact a toll on all moneys expended to aid them."

ADVANTAGES OF A STRIKE FORCE

Besides Newark, strike forces are at work in Philadelphia, Baltimore, Pittsburgh, Cleveland, Chicago, Detroit, Buffalo, Miami, New Orleans, St. Louis, Kansas City, Los Angeles, San Francisco and Boston, with two in New York City. In each city, a federal attorney, freed from the demands of a trial docket, is directing his multi-agent team into deep probes of suspected Mafia operations and municipal corruption, with these tactical advantages over ordinary law enforcement:

—First, concentration of effort. In the De Cavalcante case, Mr. Bartels and his agents singled out the Plumber's Mafia family as a target and aggressively searched for evidence of illegal activity until they uncovered a break. Beginning in June 1969, they were able to obtain an indictment in six months and a conviction in less than two years. (The defendants pleaded guilty, but, because a separate extortion case against them was dismissed for insufficient evidence, they are asking the court to let them withdraw the guilty plea.)

—Second, cooperation among normally separate and competing law-enforcement agencies. Many crime investigations fail simply because jealous sleuths won't share information with others or because interagency red tape hinders teamwork. The strike-force technique brings a variety of specialized investigators (the Newark force has about 80) under a single command, swapping information and informants freely. The De Cavalcante case was developed initially by a narcotics agent who turned Mama over to the FBI, all under the guidance of Mr. Bartels.

—Third, the persuasion of family insiders to come around and cooperate with the law. "The code of silence in the Mafia is a great myth," Mr. Bartels declares. "When the squeeze is put on the little guys, they end up as willing to talk as anybody. So will the ordinary citizen who has been victimized by the Mafia, if he knows he will be protected." Protection for the crucial witnesses, starting from first contact with federal agents and continuing even after the case is closed, is the essential ingredient. The strike force, because of its command position, is especially adept at convincing witnesses that they will be secure.

RELOCATING MAMA AND ANDY

Not only does the force grant these witnesses immunity from prosecution but it also practices a law-enforcement art known as relocation, giving the witness and his family a new home, a new job and a new identity (in some cases, even plastic surgery).

Simple though it may appear, this technique hasn't been used extensively in the past. But in 1967, President Johnson's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice did recommend greater use of the tactic, and President Nixon's strike forces are following this advice.

Relocation is what Bartels & Co. arranged for Mama and Andy, both of whom worked in the De Cavalcante numbers racket and tattled. They are living under assumed identities elsewhere in the country, but their stories—and the story of how the Newark strike force broke the De Cavalcante family—can now be told. Mama's comes from the agent who found her and kept her "thinking straight" until the sentences were handed down. Andy's comes from Andy himself via telephone from his new home.

Mama's story starts with a narcotics agent who can be called John De Stefano, a fictitious name he sometimes uses. One day in 1959, as a member of the newly-formed Newark strike force, he slipped behind the wheel of his government auto for a drive to the state prison in Trenton, where a young man picked up in Rhode Island for auto theft had been shipped to face various New Jersey charges.

Because he couldn't make bail, the prisoner asked to see an agent in hopes of trading information for freedom. Because the prisoner said he "knew people in junk," narcotics agent De Stefano was dispatched to conduct the interview. During an hour-long conversation in the prison chapel, Mr. De Stefano recalls, the young man offered to be "helpful" in a number of crime areas but especially in illegal drug traffic.

Promising the prisoner nothing, agent De Stefano arranged for his release in his custody. Together they "worked the streets" of Newark for more than two months; the idea was that the youth would lead the agent to drug pushers and help pin incriminating evidence on them. But, Mr. De Stefano says, "we came up with goose-eggs." In retrospect, the agent declares:

"He was a bull-thrower. He told me anything I wanted to hear just to get out of the can. But after two months in the street with him, we got nothing. In our last interview, he told me he 'really knew' the guys he said he did, but he didn't want to hurt them."

THE EFFORT PAYS OFF

As things turned out, however, the effort wasn't fruitless. Several weeks after the young man was returned to prison, agent De Stefano received a phone call from the prisoner's mother. "I want you to help my son," she demanded. Agent De Stefano, thoroughly disgusted with him, refused. But then Mama dangled a plum.

"Do you want Sam De Cavalcante?" she teased. Figuring Mama wasn't any better than her son, Mr. De Stefano refused again. But Mama called insistently several times thereafter, and finally the agent, bringing a colleague with him, decided to visit her home.

"She turned out to be a 'sitter' (or banker) for the De Cavalcante numbers racket," Mr. De Stefano relates. "She had been getting about $150 a week to record the bets taken on numbers in various locations in New Jersey and New York. But she had gotten sick and had quit. When we walked in, she handed us a telephone book with all the code names and numbers of the people she did business with, including the name of her replacement, as sitter."

"Now will you help my son?" Mama asked. Again no promises, but agent De Stefano turned the case over to the FBI members of the strike force who, with the help of Mr. Bartels, obtained a court order to tap the telephone lines mentioned in Mama's book.

"The FBI took over the case, but not my informant," Mr. De Stefano recalls. "I had to put up with her, and she's a rough broad, to keep her thinking the way we do until she testified." The agent also was in charge of Mama's relocation and security.

He found her a house in another part of the country, arranged a new name for her and her family, shipped them all to their new home, got her a job, and, because of her illness, arranged government medical attention and supplies.

THE PROBLEMS OF A DOG

The caper almost became a cropper over a little detail, however. Mama refused to leave Newark unless her dog went along, and government regulation don't provide for the cost of shipping pets. "So I paid the money to crate and ship the damn dog out of my own pocket," Mr. De Stefano relates.

Mama posed another problem. Because she no longer had a husband, she called upon Mr. De Stefano to assume a protective role—long-distance. "She called me constantly—every time a strange car appeared in the neighborhood or something disturbed her," the agent reports. "Once she hear a 'ping-ping-ping' in her backyard and called me, saying excitedly that 'they're coming to get me.' I called local agents who checked and found it was her kid shooting a BB gun."

While federal agents were tapping the DeCavalcante syndicate's telephone lines, good fortune provided the strike force with additional evidence. Enter Andy, whose real name, Andres De La Portilla, was made public in the De Cavalcante indictment.

Andy served as a "comptroller," or bet collector, for the De Cavalcante racket. He turned himself in to local police because, as he tells it, "it was either that or blow my brains out." His is a classic tale of a poor boy caught in the clutches of the Mafia.

Andy's introduction to the numbers game came at his mother's grocery store, where the neighborhood youths congregated. They bet on the numbers and induced Andy to do likewise. Soon Andy began to carry bets for others, and the syndicate man, "Big Ralph," offered him the "comptroller's" job to solicit bets in his neighborhood.

EXPANDING THE FIELD

Successful in the neighborhood, Andy expanded his bet-collecting to his job at the General Motors assembly plant in Linden, N.J. But as he devoted more and more time to collecting, his GM superiors grew suspicious. So he quit to open a gas station to serve as a front for his gambling activities.

Then the trouble begin. Andy, big-time now, plied his illegal trade with honesty; he paid off winning bets promptly. The syndicate, however, grew slower in its reimbursements. "I was a sucker," he snaps. "I paid out of my own money, when I needed to, to the winners, and collected later from the the syndicate. They dragged on me."

It got so bad, and Andy had so little luck in persuading the syndicate to pay what it owed him, that he decided to raise a mortgage on his home. The syndicate explained its "dragging" by saying that it, too, had been hit hard by winners and was in a hole. And it offered to help.

The syndicate graciosuly put Andy in contact with a finance company that lent him mortgage money at exorbitant rates. The company was controlled by the De Cavalcante family, but Andy didn't know that.

"The whole thing was nothing but a vicious circle," Andy now says angrily. "I was the only jerk who didn't know what was going on."

Meantime the syndicate continued to "drag" on Andy. Bettors were demanding payment, and so was the finance company. "It all got out of hand," he remembers. "What I owed the people in the streets plus what I owed the Shylock amounted to more than I could handle. I bought a rifle and was going to blow my brains out."

GETTING IN TOUCH WITH AUTHORITIES

A cooler head, his wife's, prevailed. She persuaded Andy to contact the police. Eventually he was turned over to the strike force, which arranged to have first his family and then Andy relocated. "They found me a job, a place to live, a new name, a new life, a second chance," Andy says now. "I'm a human being again, and I don't have anything to do with gambling."

Andy has been living his new life for about 15 months now, and there's evidence that the underworld still seeks him out. Reports have come to strike-force headquarters that "anonymous inquiries" have been made at the New Jersey school that Andy's children attended before they were whisked away.

"The first thing the Mafia will do to track down someone is check the schools," Mr. Bartels says. It will have no luck at the school Andy's children attended; the FBI, not the school board, transferred the records.

In all, Mr. Bartels figures it cost the government about $7,000 to relocate Mama and Andy, and he considers that a bargain price to break a $20 million-a-year numbers racket. But is the racket really wiped out?

Probably not, Mr. Bartels concedes. "The backbone of the De Cavalcante family has been busted," he declares. "Now there'll be a fight for power to determine who'll take over the remains. You'll never wipe out gambling or narcotics entirely, but you can control it by continually striking at them."

THERE SHOULD BE A FULL REVIEW OF THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER

(Mr. SIKES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. SIKES. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to be listed as a cointroducer of a resolution calling for a full review of the United Nations Charter.

It is a task which this Congress should undertake at once. Whether we like it or not, much of the foreign policy of the United States is centered on our relationships within the United Nations. Yet the charter for this organization was drafted in an age far different from that in which we live today, and the workings of the U.N. have little, if any, similarity to those of that age.

When the U.N. first came into being, it was in a post-World War II era. The world was concerned with reconstruction from that conflict. The world was concerned with the control of atomic energy. The world was concerned with making certain that no new war would begin based on misunderstanding and a lack of communication between governments.

In the interim, the world has rebuilt itself from the devastation of the Second World War. The harnessing of atomic energy, at one time the sole responsibility of the United States as the only atomic power, now has become the responsibility of many nations. Some of these show little or no concern for the future of mankind.

Communication between nations has come far since the days of the 1940's. So-called hot lines now exist between world capitals. Satellites hover in the skies carrying messages to entire populations.

The United Nations has grown far beyond the expectations of its sponsors. Dozens of nations which were not in existence at the time of its formation now, through common interests, exercise a majority of the votes. They learned long ago to play off the United States against the Soviet Union, and frequently collect from both sides. All too often we find ourselves bound by policies which are not in our own best interests. Particularly is this evident in our attitudes toward Portugal, South Africa, and Rhodesia.

The U.N. itself seems unable to reflect a positive attitude against aggression by communism. In fact, it seldom expresses a positive attitude on anything. It debates, and debates, and debates. While this often futile procedure is in progress, we pay a bigger share of the bills than anyone else.

In short, we live in a far different world today than was the case when the United Nations Charter was first drafted.

It is time this Congress took a long, hard look at the charter as it stands reflected in light of the present-day situation. There are some who doubt there is even justification for the continuation of the U.N. If it is to be continued with us as a member, it is time for new ground rules.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE RELATIONS

(Mr. DENT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. DENT. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, after many, many years of fighting sometimes a lonely battle, and ofttimes condemned for it, I am happy to accept a little assist from out in left field when the Secretary of the Treasury, the Honorable John B. Connally, put into words some of the feelings that many of us have had over the years about the deplorable situation that obtains with reference to the policy of international trade in this country.

Mr. Speaker, John Connally has for the first time expressed a view like no other person attached to any administration in a responsible position has expressed in my entire tenure in this body.

He said one thing ought to be remembered by all during the coming months, and I think it is a healthy and new approach both by the administration and in substance by this membership, he said:

> The United States is in bad shape in world trade and a point of decision fairly soon must be reached as to the direction we are going to proceed in this decade and in the time hereafter.

Never in our history have we allowed ourselves to become the international doormat for exploitation of the little peoples all over the world.

This Nation which prides itself on freedom for its peoples, its institutions and its industries, allow the grasping, greedy importers of slave labor products to exploit U.S. consumers.

U.S. consumers are in a practical sense also producers.

Without producers there will be no

consumers for world minded traders to exploit.

The material referred to follows:

DENT HAILS CONNALLY SPEECH AS POSSIBLE BREAKTHROUGH FOR CHANGE IN U.S. FOREIGN TRADE POSITION

WASHINGTON.—Rep. John H. Dent (D-Pa.) hailed today as a possible "breakthrough" in international trade relations a suggestion by Secretary of the Treasury John B. Connally that the United States withdraw its overseas support for nations failing to give this country a fair break on trade opportunities.

"The jobless and economic depreciation because of imports finally has reached this Administration and in my opinion President Nixon has begun to realize that unless a change is made in the attitude toward cheap labor products flooding the United States market there is no hope of an economic recovery," Dent said.

Addressing the 22nd annual Legislative Institute of the Textile Workers Union of America, AFL–CIO, Dent said that in addition to calling on the Republican Administration to review and amend the present United States international trade policy he also has talked with "certain ambitious Democratic candidates for the Presidency".

He said he told them that he "personally will support any candidate on either ticket who makes first priority in next year's campaign a return to industrial solvency by preserving the integrity of the American market for American-made goods."

Perhaps Treasury Secretary Connally's statement, he said, is the "long looked-for breakthrough to a common sense approach giving full consideration to both the demand of our own economy and the problems we have created by encouraging foreign countries to tailoring their production to sales in the American market and not looking inwardly to solving their own difficulties.

"For over a decade I have implored and begged for consideration by two previous administrations as well as the current administration to consider the necessity for a change in our trade posture and policies," said Dent.

"The Connally statement indicates clearly that we finally are getting at least some questioning by the Administration over the soundness of the worn-out, antiquated international trade program of our nation."

Dent, who is Chairman of the Labor Subcommittee of the House Committee on Education and Labor, asserted that the major part of unemployment today in the industrial can sustain, without creating unemployment in the agricultural field, is the impact of imports on employment.

He noted that Secretary Connally had declared that a "radical change" is needed in the United States' basic international trade position and that this country "now in bad shape in world trade, will reach a point of decision fairly soon on how we're going to proceed in this decade and thereafter."

"The standard of living of the U.S. is at stake—no less than that, Secretary Connally has said," Dent noted.

He said he does not believe it possible to cut off foreign trade without giving consideration to the exporting nations who were misled into a firm belief that the American market was going to be able to absorb products of all other nations and still maintain an economic balance of its own.

As a result, he said, he will introduce shortly legislation to establish an orderly program that will cut back on a reasonable schedule those products impacting American production to a level the American market can sustain, without creating unemployment in any industry in this country.

In addition to introduction of such legislation, he said, he is considering a group action court case to protect the jobs of workers and the investment of stockholders injured by a severe impact of imports on their particular product line.

[From the Washington Post, Apr. 26, 1971]

CONNALLY URGES TOUGH TRADE STANCE

(By Don Oberdorfer and Frank C. Porter)

Secretary of the Treasury John B. Connally has suggested that the United States withdraw its overseas support for countries that fail to give it a fair break on trade opportunities.

"We can't continue to hold a military, economic and political umbrella over the free world by ourselves as we have been doing," Connally said during a luncheon at The Washington Post last Thursday. We need "a radical change" in our basic trade position he said.

Connally made clear this is not necessarily the official government view but that he is pushing it hard within the Nixon administration.

The only Democrat in Mr. Nixon's cabinet and a former governor of Texas, Connally even suggested that this was one of the reasons for his surprise appointment to the Treasury post.

He said he and Roy Ash, president of Litton Industries and chairman of a presidential commission on governmental reorganization, had presented strong arguments for this thesis while conferring with Mr. Nixon at San Clemente last summer. Connally took office about two months ago.

"The United States is in bad shape" in world trade, Connally said, and will reach "a point of decision fairly soon on how we're going to proceed in this decade and hereafter."

Getting down to specifics, the Secretary cited trade concessions made by Western European nations to smaller states in North Africa and the Middle East—concessions that presumably would discriminate against American exports.

When the United States protested the action, Connally said, the European countries explained apologetically that the concessions were made to neighbors within the Mediterranean family of nations.

If that's the way they feel, Connally said with some feeling, the United States should pull its Sixth Fleet out of the Mediterranean and let the Europeans arrange for their own defense.

He was similarly blunt about the rising industrial giant of the Pacific. "We're going to have to say to Japan, 'My friends, no more.' We've got to get tough with those countries," the Secretary said.

Japan has been increasingly criticized for violating the rules of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade by maintaining illegal barriers to scores of imported commodities while pushing its export penetration of freer markets, such as that of the United States.

"We're confronted with fierce competition," Connally said. "We're not facing up to it . . . The standard of living in the United States is at stake—no less than that.

"We did well when we were a lean, hungry nation. There are lean, hungry nations around the world. They're going to be wanting what we have."

The Secretary explained that he didn't begrudge other nations wanting or attaining the affluence reached by the United States nor did he blame them for pressing any trade advantage against this country. But the United States must defend its own interests, he maintained.

Connally said the United States depends upon four categories for export earnings: farm products, raw materials and fuels, simple manufactured goods, and products with a high technology input. The nation suffers a trade deficit on the first three, he said, and the $9 billion annual surplus in the high technology sector "saves us."

"That has kept our head above water," he said, but Japan, West Germany and a number of other nations are hard on our heels now in this sector.

MESSAGE TO HANOI

(Mr. MOORHEAD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MOORHEAD. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to inform the House that all of the North Vietnamese prisoners of war have been removed from that infamous island prison of Con Son—which for many years has been known as the Devil's Island of the Pacific. This word was relayed to my colleagues, the gentleman from California (Mr. Moss), the gentleman from New York (Mr. REID), and myself by the State Department this morning. My two colleagues have been trying for several months to accomplish this transfer.

The 28 prisoners have been moved to the Chi Hoa National Corrections Center at Saigon. We understand further that no more prisoners of war from the other side will be sent to Con Son Island prison, which has become a symbol throughout Vietnam of the worst in penal institutions. Mothers even chide their children to be good or they will be sent to such a place.

We are calling this to the attention of the House with the hope that this message will be noted by the government in Hanoi and that it will have a beneficial and immediate effect upon the treatment of American prisoners of war. In response to this move, we ask that the government in Hanoi make some similar gesture of conciliation and humanity and then inform us of what that action has been.

Mr. Speaker, perhaps this will set off a chain of events which will bring better treatment and the possibility of faster repatriation to all prisoners of war held by both sides in this unfortunate conflict. Last fall, Mr. Moss and Mr. REID, in a bipartisan joint statement—as the chairman and the ranking minority member of the House Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee—pledged that they would strive to accomplish measures designed "to clean our house" on the prisoner-of-war issue. At the same time they properly called upon officials in Hanoi to "clean their house." As the new chairman of the Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee, I plan to seek the same objective. So it is entirely appropriate that we now ask some word from the other side that they also have taken some positive action in this regard.

EGG PRICING

(Mr. ANNUNZIO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks, and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. ANNUNZIO. Mr. Speaker, one of my valued constituents, Mr. Ben Zemel of Morris Zemel & Co. of Chicago, wrote me several months ago about plans which

appeared to be underway for discontinuing the USDA Market News Service reports on wholesale egg prices in Chicago and New York City, daily egg carton prices, and the Market News daily comment describing trading activity and egg market conditions.

I was indeed disturbed to learn that the Department of Agriculture was giving serious consideration to discontinuing these essential market information services. It is my understanding that cash trading on the Chicago and New York Mercantile Exchanges already has been discontinued but that the Department of Agriculture has delayed until May 1, decisions regarding discontinuation of its Market News reports.

Apparently the Department of Agriculture is giving a great deal of weight to the recommendations of a National Egg Pricing System Committee, which is not fully representative of all segments of the egg industry.

In the interests of maintaining these important market news reports, I have written to Secretary of Agriculture Clifford M. Hardin and to Phillip Alampi, chairman of the National Egg Pricing System Study Committee.

At this time I include in the RECORD Mr. Zemel's reply to the questionnaire on this topic sent out by the Consumer and Marketing Service of the Department of Agriculture:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE, POULTRY DIVISION

1. Should USDA discontinue reporting wholesale egg prices?

Continue

At Chicago---------- (X)
At New York City---------- (X)

Discontinue

At Chicago---------- ( )
At New York City---------- ( )

What are the reasons for your views: Information provides basis for knowledge that is education, serving beneficial interests for all groups involved producing, distributing and marketing eggs. Prices are reported by this agency standing as present impartial faculty having a history of long and valued experience sifting the important and credible information received. Void of financial ties, USDA acts as gov't. reporter.

2. Should Market News *discontinue* reporting all daily carton egg prices to retailers and only release a report on Monday to cover market activity which occurred the previous week?

Continue (X) *Discontinue* ( )

What are the reasons for your views: A diversified knowledge re selling prices must enlighten into broad perspective conditions that exist, beyond the confined, restricted area that sellers and producers concentrate their interest. Greater information proves road towards progress. The industry gains most through understanding of conditions in widest scope. We must learn all elements of this very sensitive industry. USDA agents reporting the conditions, provide a picture pr vate means cannot afford, in expense.

3. Should Market News *discontinue* releasing a daily comment describing egg trading activity and market conditions and only release a summary on Monday covering the previous week's marketing activity? No.

Continue (X) *Discontinue* ( )

What are the reasons for your views: Comment's authority possesses reliability through experience that qualify the position. The impartial commentator serves all elements composing egg business with truth. The statements produce news not slanted in direction of personal financial benefit. The USDA scrupulously avoids disseminating non factual publicity. The facts printed prove a guideline of observing true conditions. Business relies upon these reports as church going folks their Bible.

Name, address, and firm:

Morris Zemel & Co., Inc. (Ben Zemel), 1115 W. Randolph St., Chicago, Ill. 60607.

ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

The National Egg Pricing System Study Committee, Phillip Alampi, Chairman, issued its one report as of May 20, 1970. In membership of twelve, nine are affiliated with egg production. Page 7 lists 9 points in recommended steps for improving and correcting what shortcomings egg pricing systems then contained.

Point #4 provides "Broadening the Market Information Available." Expanding discussion on this recommendation, emphasis on wider and more representative news of supply and demand data is urged as imperative. The USDA is commended for the programs and plans initiated to offer enlarged scope of information to industry. Market news information dissemination by State and Federal agencies, with all corners lighted to clear observance stands holds paramount urgency, vital to progress that will provide great benefits for all participating in egg business avenues. Particular praise worth notice is paid to USDA Market News Service.

Expansion of all services stands forward through the report. The Committee extolls the USDA particularly. Government knowledge becomes indispensable for progress. The means to function USDA has allotted never may be matched by a Co-Op or private business.

Most impressive, in light of current debate, is the Committee plea, wary of regression that would stall forward progress in the egg field. Sound and platform permeates a momentum for greater information. This plea erects solid platform holding beliefs of discovery by committee. In such firm and adherent virtuous foresight *nine* members when with financial investments having particular sensitivity for the egg producer, rested secure belief. USDA with stressed emphasis, became focal point for the committee with a united front toward the objective—progress with knowledge, spread news of conditions, for educating the egg industry towards winning goals yet on the distant horizon.

Serious concern expressed freely by free egg entrepreneurs, and members of UEP owning flocks held unimportant with under 100,000 travels by conversations and discussions fearful wondering at the power Demonstrated by the Executive Committee of UEP. Producers, brokers, dealers, and wholesalers rise warily in face of the fact, the Federal agency USDA yielded to UEP demand that the three issues deserve discussion, in face of elementary evidence these requests will, should they prevail over USDA, total monopolization becomes reality.

The Executive Committee demands destruction of free enterprise. Sacrifice into obliteration of the lesser egg producer must result. The public as *consumer* will bleed forever, irregardless that times when supply exceeds demand exists. The private business in eggs at country points and city will succumb under crushing power USDA may choose to lend the green light.

Bow before the demands and egg industry recedes from progress. Should USDA turn off the lights that display facts, illuminate knowledge all egg trades are placed in control of United Egg Producers governed by flock owners with hundreds of thousands, even millions of birds ownership. Principles lending credence for Cooperatives become absolutely violated. Under mantle of such privilege the Federal Government, through USDA, may present authority with blessing, to sacrifice all segments comprising the egg industry, at the altar of the ruling number planning over-all supremacy.

Accede to the three outlined demands and USDA is party to violating laws prohibiting monopolies. The nature of these requests prove the concession must provide access towards complete powers that will encircle simple *consumer* and average business man.

NEWS IDENTIFICATION BILL

(Mr. WHITEHURST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WHITEHURST. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing a bill that results directly from the CBS program, "The Selling of the Pentagon." The documented distortions in the program are noted elsewhere in my other remarks in the RECORD. I have given consideration to the comments of CBS President Dr. Frank Stanton; Julian Goodman, president of NBC; Leonard Goldenson, president of ABC; Paul Porter, former Chairman of the FCC; and other news officials both on the national and local level, and I am convinced a new approach is needed on this issue.

The news media themselves have become the center of controversy, not the stories they carry. This has come from the treatment given the facts on the issues. Intentionally or not, bias, distortion, subjective reporting, commentary, or whatever label you put on it has altered the transmission of information to the people. But as CBS has so recently demonstrated in its "Selling of the Pentagon," the viewer is not always aware of what has happened to what he is seeing.

I want to make it absolutely clear that I am not proposing, supporting, favoring, or recommending censorship by the Government of the news media, nor does my bill do so. Censorship is not the answer to the media's ills, but I believe my bill will help alleviate some of its problems.

There are those concerned that the public be protected from deliberate staging and distortion of purportedly bona fide news. But who is to determine what is distorted? Disagreement is so easily labeled bias. Eliminating such comment is against the first amendment, and most certainly would be a disservice to the people. A free flow of accurate, reliable information is needed.

Only the news media can insure its accuracy. To attempt it in any other manner would, I believe, be treading on dangerous ground. They should regulate such actions themselves.

But an item that can be accomplished now and not violate the letter or spirit of the Constitution is informing the public about the news they are receiving. It is in this spirit and intent that I introduce my news identification bill.

PAUL HOFFMAN'S 80TH BIRTHDAY

(Mr. MORGAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks, and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. MORGAN. Mr. Speaker, yesterday marked the 80th birthday of Mr. Paul Hoffman, a truly distinguished American who is presently serving as an Under

Secretary of the United Nations and administrator of the U.N.'s development program.

To all those who have been involved in one way or another with legislation that has shaped the course of U.S. foreign policy since World War II, the name Paul Hoffman has stood for integrity, devotion to public service and extraordinary ability to achieve what frequently seemed impossible to other men.

For nearly a quarter of a century, Mr. Hoffman has labored industriously and with tremendous effect in building the foundations for lasting peace in the world—first as the administrator of the Marshall plan in Europe, then as a key architect of the United Nations' expanding involvement in promoting social and economic progress in the developing countries.

As the Manager of the United Nations special fund, and then of its successor, the U.N. development program, Mr. Hoffman has played a major part in the creation of international machinery for the provision of technical and pre-investment assistance.

He has worked tirelessly raising funds for these U.N. activities, developing patterns of peaceful international cooperation in development, and aiding governments to realize their people's aspirations for better life.

Today, as he celebrates his 80th birthday, Mr. Hoffman still travels as much as 150,000 miles a year in pursuit of those objectives.

The United States, the United Nations, and millions of people in all parts of the world owe a tremendous debt of gratitude to this remarkable man.

On this occasion, I would like to join with his many friends and well-wishers in congratulating Mr. Hoffman on his birthday and wishing him good health and many more years of constructive endeavors.

I hope that the cause of peaceful human advancement will continue to benefit from his devotion, his tireless energy and his vast experience for a long time to come.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday's New York Times paid a well-deserved tribute to Mr. Hoffman, I include it in the RECORD and commend it to the attention of my colleagues:

[From the New York Times, Apr. 25, 1971]

HOFFMAN, AT 80, THRIVING AT U.N.—THE BEST YEARS OF MY LIFE, DEVELOPMENT EXPERT SAYS

UNITED NATIONS, N.Y., April 24.—Paul G. Hoffman, who gave up executive rank in the automobile industry to run the Marshall Plan, will be 80 years old Monday—and he is still working as an international civil servant.

Mr. Hoffman is an Under Secretary in the United Nations and administrator of the United Nations Development Program. He has been in that and similar positions for 12 years—"the best years of my life," he said in an interview.

"The most fascinating job I've ever had is the job I'm in now," he said.

Speaking of current problems, he said he thought most Americans wanted United States forces out of Vietnam, and that the division in America was somewhat artificial.

"I would say that there is only a very small percentage of the people in the United States who don't really believe a dissent," he observed. "Sometimes they don't practice what they believe, but nevertheless dissent is recognized as an essential in any democracy."

SIDESTEPS VIETNAM QUESTION

Noting his position with the United Nations, he sidestepped a direct answer as to how the Vietnam problem should be resolved.

"I have my own strong views," he asserted, "but there is a question whether I should express them. I think my views today are the views of most Americans: We'd like to get out of Vietnam."

Mr. Hoffman began his United Nations career at the age of 68, eight years past the organization's normal retirement age. He travels 150,000 miles a year and spends a quarter of his time abroad.

"You can't have hobbies and be on this job," he said.

Before leaving the business world, Mr. Hoffman spent 40 years in the automobile industry, 13 as president of the Studebaker Corporation. He was the first administrator of the Marshall Plan in Europe and later was president of the Ford Foundation and chairman of the Fund for the Republic.

In his present job he raises $250-million a year through voluntary contributions from governments. It is spent on technical assistance and preinvestment surveys in the less developed countries.

He retired once, at 65, "but I found retirement very difficult to take," he said. Mr. Hoffman looks much younger than his 80 years. He plays golf occasionally.

The Administrator said he has never had time to give much thought to his own philosophy. About the nearest thing he has to a guiding principle, he said, is the Bible admonition: Do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

"I think birthdays are a matter of little consequence," he observed. "I don't feel any celebration coming on." He added that he had told Mrs. Hoffman, the former Anna Rosenberg: "Don't plan any surprise party for me, or anything like that, because I won't be there if you do."

ADVISES YOUNG TO WORK

Asked whether he had any advice for the younger generation, he commented:

"I think the young people will find out sooner or later that work is most desirable. That doesn't necessarily mean digging ditches. It might be intellectual work. But one has to keep busy."

"I have believed for a great many years," he said, "that the thing America has to realize is the seriousness of a simple little phrase 'regardless of race, creed or color'. Until we recognize that as the essential of a first-class country, we are not going to make the progress we should make."

Mr. Hoffman asserted that progress toward bringing the world closer together economically would help eventually to ease political tensions.

"We are one economic world," he said, "but I don't think anyone now on this planet will live to see one political world."

One of Mr. Hoffman's favorite stories is about his appointment in 1948 as head of the Marshall Plan. When President Truman offered the job, Mr. Hoffman turned it down. He finally agreed to consider it and to let the President know in a few days.

"I didn't want the job," he recalled, "because Studebaker was just coming into its own. It was the first time I had a chance to make some real money."

Two hours after Mr. Hoffman left the White House he heard on the radio that President Truman had announced his appointment.

"That was the most important event in my life," he declared. "I had been drafted. No man ever did a bigger favor for another man than Harry Truman did for me."

Mr. Hoffman, a Republican, said Mr. Truman impressed him more than any man he ever met. "He had great courage," Mr. Hoffman said. "He wasn't always right, but nevertheless when he'd made up his mind what to do he would do it regardless."

He put Gen. George C. Marshall in the same class. "He was a very selfless man," he said.

PROPOSED INSTRUCTION TO THE PRESIDENT TO RELEASE IMPOUNDED FUNDS

(Mr. O'NEILL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. O'NEILL. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing a joint resolution instructing the President to release more than $12 billion of funds he has had impounded by the Office of Management and Budget.

Last Thursday, the Democratic whip organization, on a motion of the distinguished majority leader, approved the resolution directing the President to release these funds that have been appropriated by the Congress for programs that have already been approved and in many cases have begun.

Many leading Members of the other body and of the House have been outspoken and concerned about the President's refusal to release these funds so that they may serve the people of the United States and provide for their needs. I know that you, Mr. Speaker, have been active in seeking to have these funds released.

Unemployment throughout the United States has reached disastrously high levels. The level of unemployment has remained high for an extended period of time. Approximately 175,000 people used up their unemployment insurance benefits in January. That means that these people have been unemployed for so long that they are no longer eligible for unemployment compensation from their States. The entire economy is sagging and the recession that we have experienced for the past 2 years continues. The Congress, after a great deal of deliberation, has appropriated funds for various programs in an effort to provide for the needs of the American people, to stimulate economic development, to provide employment opportunities and to construct improvements in our rural and urban areas.

The President did not veto these appropriations and signed them into law. He now refuses to spend this money and, in effect vetoes these important programs without telling the American people that he has done so. He gives the appearance of supporting these vital projects and yet destroys them by refusing to fund them. He has ordered the Office of Management and Budget to sit on these funds while he gives the appearance of seeking to help the cities and States of the Nation. All of these funds will benefit the cities and States.

The programs that the President is destroying are not frivolous nor are they extraneous. They include some very small, but to us important, programs. While the President talks of the great need for sharing Federal revenue with

the cities and the States, while he talks about his revenue sharing as a panacea for the Nation's ills, he has truly shown a callous indifference to the real needs of the Nation.

I will cite only a few examples, although my colleagues may consult the list which they will find at the end of my remarks and find other items that demonstrate this disregard for the wishes of the Nation and the Congress.

Two million dollars for a special milk program has been withheld by the President's Office of Management and Budget. Eight million dollars has been withheld from community education programs, and $10 million from aid to schools in federally impacted areas. One hundred and ninety-one million dollars, earmarked for economic development, has been withheld from the Appalachian regional area and $34 million has been withheld from regional medical programs. Not one of these can be defended, and the President would not dare to veto these items for he knows that the public would not tolerate this. The President has, however, in effect vetoed certain items without telling the public and without taking responsibility for the veto. For, indeed, how could anyone veto $2 million for a special milk program?

The Nation is concerned about the problems of pollution, yet the President has held up $56 million in grants for rural water and waste disposal in the Farmers' Home Administration. My colleagues will find many other conservation and antipollution programs that are being curtailed by the President's impoundment of funds. Everyone of us here has been approached by mayors of cities in behalf of the President's revenue-sharing program. The President has promised that his program would turn $5 billion over to the cities, yet here are $12 billion that he is sitting on. First, of course, I think it is ludicrous to wait for something that may or may not happen; to refrain from building and working in the hope that a program that does not now exist will be brought about in the near future. But second, with a cynicism that I find annoying, the President and the Office of Management and Budget have withheld billions of dollars of funds for the cities, while at the same time, the President is wining and dining the Nation's mayors and telling them that all their problems will be solved when his revenue-sharing program is passed. He is in a sense willing to risk aggravating the serious situation in our cities and withhold funds from schoolchildren and the aged, for programs for health services, education, pollution control, and public works, while he sells his revenue-sharing program. Of course, if matters get much worse people will grab at any straw that promises aid. It is only in this way, in desperation, that the President's revenue-sharing program, which does not really share revenue, which does not really help the cities and does not provide any new money to the American people, will be accepted.

It is hard for me to believe the callousness that is demonstrated by the impoundment of funds for our Nation's cities. There is not a person in the country today that does not acknowledge the fact that our cities desperately need help and, if they are not helped soon, life in our urban centers will become unbearable and the cities will—in our lifetime—fall. But the cities are only pawns in the President's salesmanship of his revenue-sharing program.

He has withheld almost a billion dollars for low-rent public housing; he has withheld $583 million for the model cities program: programs that were to be the final test of whether we could truly help our cities survive. He has withheld $200 million for water sewerage facilities. We are not talking here about beautiful statues, of stadiums, of museums, or of parks—we are talking about the essentials of clean water and decent sewer facilities. There is nothing extravagant in this. We are talking about the basic needs of human beings, and the President has impounded these funds. He has withheld money for land and water conservation, for aid to fish and wildlife restoration. The President, who talks about the quality of American life and the quality of our environment, has withheld $64 million from the highway beautification program.

The President cannot have it both ways. If he wants to make an argument, a case for vetoing these programs, let him come before the Congress and the American people justifying his action. But he has not chosen to do that. He has chosen, instead, to bombard the public with the rhetoric of concern, with words of sympathy and understanding, to call for a "new program" that will solve our problems while he, himself, creates problems by holding on to these funds. There may be an argument for freezing some of these funds, for destroying one or two of these programs, and if that is the case, let him come to us and to the public with his evidence and we can discuss this. But to dissemble and to attempt to fool the public is not in the manner of a statesman.

Let the President face this issue squarely and face up to his responsibilities. We in the Congress have appropriated funds in the manner described by the Constitution and we have fulfilled our legal duty.

Let the President do the same.

Amounts withheld from obligation by agency and account (February, 1971)

[In millions of dollars]

	Amount
Funds Appropriated to the President:	
Appalachian Regional Development Program	$191
Military Assistance: Foreign Military Credit Sales	200
Economic Assitance:	
Supporting Assistance	14
Prototype Desalting Plant	20
Development Loans	47
Foreign Investment Guaranty Fund	110
Inter-American Social Development Institute	24
Economic Opportunity Program	38
Peace Corps: Salaries and Expenses	7
Department of Agriculture:	
Agricultural Research Service:	
Salaries and Expenses	5
Other	*
Food and Nutrition Service: Special Milk Program	2
Foreign Agricultural Service:	
Salaries and Expenses (Special Foreign Currency Program)	4
Other	*
Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service:	
Agricultural Conservation Program	46
Revolving Fund, Defense Production Act	1
Rural Electrification Administration: Loans	25
Farmers Home Administration:	
Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants	56
Rural Housing for Domestic Farm Labor	1
Mutual and Self-help Housing	*
Direct Loan Account	72
Self-Help Housing Land Development Fund	1
Emergency Credit Revolving Fund	49
Forest Service:	
Forest protection and Utilization	2
Forest Roads and Trails	217
Youth Conservation Corps	1
Expenses, Brush Disposal (permanent)	15
Other	*
Other Agriculture	*
Department of Commerce:	
Bureau of the Census: 19th Decennial Census	$12
Economic Development Assistance: Regional Development Programs	1
Participation in U.S. Expositions: Inter-American Cultural and Trade Center	6
Maritime Administration: Operating Differential Subsidies (Appropriations to Liquidate Contract Authority)	109
Department of Defense—Military:	
Procurement:	
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	957
Military Construction, Army	93
Military Construction, Navy	67
Military Construction, Air Force	114
Military Construction, Defense Agencies	49
Military Construction, Army National Guard	3
Military Construction, Air National Guard	1
Military Construction, Army Reserve	23
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	17
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	3
Family Housing: Homeowners Assistance Fund	6
Special Foreign Currency Program	8
Laundry Service, Naval Academy	*
Department of Defense—Civil:	
Corps of Engineers:	
Construction, General	38
Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries	4
General Investigations	*
Canal Zone Government: Operating Expenses	4
Ryukyus Islands, Army: General Fund	5
Wildlife Conservation, Military Reservations	*

*$500,000 or less.

	Amount
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:	
Health Services and Mental Health Administration:	
Regional Medical Programs	34
Buildings and Facilities	4
Medical Facilities Construction	2
National Institutes of Health:	
Neurological Disease and Stroke	2
General Medical Sciences	6
National Eye Institute	1
Construction of Health Educational Research and Library Facilities	15
Buildings and Facilities	7
Other	1
Office of Education:	
Higher Education	13
Community Education	8
School Assistance in Federally-Affected Areas	10
Social and Rehabilitation Service:	
Rehabilitation Services and Facilities	2
Programs for the Aging	1
Social Security Administration:	
Limitation on Construction	21
Department of Housing and Urban Development:	
Low Rent Public Housing	942
Basic Water and Sewer Facilities Grants	200
Model Cities Programs	583
Department of the Interior:	
Bureau of Land Management:	
Public Lands Development Roads and Trails	11
Other	(*)
Bureau of Indian Affairs: Road Construction	64
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation: Land and Water Conservation Fund	30
Bureau of Mines: Drainage of Anthracite Mines (permanent)	$4
Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife:	
Construction	9
Federal Aid to Fish Restoration (permanent)	2
Federal Aid to Wildlife Restoration (permanent)	2
Wildlife Refuge Fund (permanent)	4
National Park Service: Parkway and Road Construction (permanent)	71
Bureau of Reclamation:	
Construction and Rehabilitation	19
Loan Program	4
Upper Colorado River Basin Fund	3
Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund	1
Other	1
Department of Justice:	
Federal Prison System: Buildings and Facilities	16
Department of Transportation:	
Coast Guard: Reserve Training	1
Federal Aviation Administration:	
Facilities and Equipment	126
Construction, National Capital Airports	3
Grants-in-Aid for Airports	51
Facilities and Equipment (Airport and Airway Trust Fund)	10
Grants-in-Aid for Airports (Airport and Airway Trust Fund)	672
Federal Highway Administration:	
Forest Highways	38
Public Lands Highways	27
Highway Beautification	64
Highway-related Safety Grants	10
Territorial Highways	4
Inter-American Highway	*
Department of Transportation—Continued	
Federal Highway Administration—Con.	
Federal-Aid Highways Authorization	5,852
Right-of-Way Trust Revolving Fund	219
Forest Highways Trust Fund	33
Public Lands Highways Trust Fund	16
Federal Railroad Administration:	
Grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation	38
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration:	
State and Community Highway Safety	208
Other	*
Atomic Energy Commission:	
Operating Expenses	110
Plant and Capital Equipment	60
Environmental Protection Agency:	
Operations, Research and Facilities	11
General Services Administration:	
Construction, Public Buildings Projects	37
Sites and Expenses, Public Building Additional Court Facilities	12
National Aeronautics and Space Administration:	
Research and Development	18
Research and Program Management	2
Veterans Administration:	
Construction of State Extended Care Facilities	1
Atlantic-Pacific Interoceanic Canal Study Commission	1
District of Columbia:	
Loans to the District of Columbia for Capital Outlay	81
Farm Credit Administration:	
Short Term Credit Investment Fund	112
Banks for Cooperatives Investment Fund	148
National Science Foundation: Salaries and Expenses	10
United States Information Agency:	
Special International Exhibitions	$1
Other	*
Other Agencies	1
Total Amounts Withheld from Obligation	12,762

*$500,000 or less.

IMPOUNDING OF FUNDS BY PRESIDENTS OF THE UNITED STATES

(Mr. GERALD R. FORD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GERALD R. FORD. Mr. Speaker, the distinguished majority whip just indicated on the floor that he was introducing a resolution that would have the intent and the effect, in his judgment, of forcing the President to obligate and spend certain appropriations that have heretofore been made available by the Congress.

If my recollection is accurate, in previous administrations even larger portions of the total budget than are now withheld by this administration were impounded by the then Presidents of the United States. Incidentally, I will put those figures in the RECORD as a part of my remarks.

The following table, citing the Office of Management and Budget as its source, appeared in the April 19 issue of U.S. News & World Report:

President's "frozen funds"—a long tradition—amount of congressional appropriations impounded by the White House as of June in each year

[In billions of dollars]

Under Eisenhower:	
1959	6.9
1960	8.0
Under Kennedy:	
1961	7.6
1962	6.5
1963	4.5
Under Johnson:	
1964	4.2
1965	5.6
1966	8.7
1967	10.6
1968	9.9
Under Nixon:	
1969	9.6
1970	11.5
Latest	12.8

Commenting on the above table, U.S. News & World Report said:

Presidential withholding of funds is not new. It goes back at least to Franklin Roosevelt, according to Mr. Weinberger. He said that Mr. Roosevelt, in a 1942 letter to a congressional leader, said that "the mere fact that Congress by the appropriation process has made available specified sums for the various programs and functions of the Government is not a mandate that such funds must be fully expended."

The chart on this page shows that Presidents Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson impounded large amounts of appropriated funds. But the 11.5 billion dollars held back by President Nixon in 1970 was larger than the amount in any year under the three previous Presidents. The 12.8 billion on hand as of February, 1971, probably will be drawn down to about the 1970 level by the end of June, budget officials say.

Mr. Nixon's withholding of funds, however, has been smaller when measured as a percentage of total spending than the sums impounded in some years of previous Administrations. The 1970 reserve was 5.8 per cent of Government outlays for the year.

The amount held back by President Johnson in 1967 was 6.7 per cent of the total spending in 1967 and President Eisenhower's withholding was 8.7 per cent of 1960 outlays.

What concerns me, however, is that the distinguished majority whip—in the days when the same conditions existed under the two previous Democratic administrations—never indicated that he would take the course of action which he has indicated this morning.

If it was bad then it is bad now. If it was good then it is good now. The fact that the gentleman did not object to this practice when Presidents Kennedy and Johnson did it and is objecting now when President Nixon does it puts a rather political coloring on the comments made by the gentleman from Massachusetts.

IMPOUNDING OF FUNDS BY PRESIDENT NIXON

(Mr. BOGGS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, I listened with interest to the remarks made by the distinguished minority leader. I do not know whether it is true or not that

the previous Democratic administrations withheld as much as Preisdent Nixon is withholding. If so, it was wrong then and it is wrong now.

It is particularly wrong now because this President is asking for revenue sharing with no strings attached. He is talking about revenue sharing for the cities when at the same time he is holding up funds that have been appropriated that are needed desperately by the cities of this country. There are at least a billion dollars worth of urban programs that this Congress has appropriated for, and President Nixon refuses to spend the money.

The time has come to find out whether or not a President of the United States has a right to override the action of Congress simply by a pocket veto procedure.

As I understand it, under the Constitution Congress raises the revenues and spends the revenues. If the President does not like what we have done, he has a right to veto an appropriation bill, and we can either sustain or override that veto.

But in this case, to the extent of at least $12 billion, the President is saying he will not abide by the will of the Congress without any veto whatsoever.

I hope the resolution introduced by the distinguished majority whip will be passed by the House of Representatives and the other body.

IMPOUNDING OF FUNDS BY THE PRESIDENT

(Mr. CEDERBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. O'NEILL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CEDERBERG. I am delighted to yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. O'NEILL. I should like to ask the minority leader one question: Does he not recognize the difference in the economic situation? It seems to me that the economy of the United States at the time that Democratic Presidents may have withheld funds was extremely different, with around 3 percent unemployment. Now the national average of unemployment is over 6 percent. In some sections of the country it is as high as 15, 22, 28 or 30 percent, and is particularly high among the young men who have come back from Vietnam.

This is one of the ways in which we can stimulate the economy in this Nation. The President is definitely holding these funds back for one reason. What is that reason? The reason is he hopes to make these funds available sometime in September so that the economy of the Nation will be high. He has his eye on the election in 1972, and he wants to look good. That is why it is unfair. The situation now is very different, sadly different from the Johnson years.

Mr. CEDERBERG. I hesitate to yield further.

I believe it is time for Congress to be honest with itself. Congress passed a spending ceiling last year. Although it is true we did put an interesting gimmick on the spending ceiling—I believe we listed about $195 billion plus—the President says, "I will live with the ceiling Congress gave me."

This is the problem he is faced with.

IMPOUNDING OF FUNDS FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS

(Mr. HUNGATE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HUNGATE. Mr. Speaker, I have a resolution pending, in which I have been joined by over 78 Members of this body, relating to the public works funds frozen by the President. I would welcome the addition of any further Members on that particular resolution.

I did not go for the whole $12 billion but concentrated on public works. Certainly there are 31 States with public works projects, and spring floods on us, and I think we need to enact that legislation.

NEWS MEDIA ASSISTING DEMONSTRATORS

(Mr. BLACKBURN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to call the attention of the House and the country as a whole to the cooperation that the would-be anarchists are receiving from the news media here in Washington. I am sure many people throughout the land are wondering how it can be that great masses of people can suddenly appear at the Capitol and seem to have an uncanny ability to congregate at the right places at the right times during these demonstrations. The simple answer is that the news media in Washington are going out of their way to be of assistance to the demonstrators.

The two major newspapers in Washington are cooperating in the planning and execution of anarchy here by printing a complete schedule of events as to where the anarchists should meet for their day's activities. These schedules are printed a full week in advance.

Today the Washington Post was so strongly motivated to assist that it published a map showing the camping area. Now, the youthful anarchists, when they arrive in the city, if they are unfamiliar with the District, the map and directions are provided for them. Now they can avoid any confusion in knowing where the latest organized demonstration is to occur. The reason mass demonstrations can occur so successfully in Washington and with a minimum of confusion among participants is because they have the complete cooperation of the two largest daily newspapers here in the District.

Mr. Speaker, when the news media print a schedule of planned activities before the activities have occurred, it can be considered a public service in the case of school PTA or civic organization for entertainent or charity. When a newspaper goes further and publishes planned events having as their avowed purpose the destruction or hindrance of the machinery of Government, then the newspapers are not performing a public service, rather they are participating in the activities of the anarchists. They have gone beyond merely reporting an event into the area of cooperating in the planning of clear destruction. I can only hope that by taking the floor today I will have called this destruction to the attention of the public to the newspapers' participation.

For the convenience of the Members, I am inserting the schedule of events published on the front page of the Evening Star of Monday, April 26. The schedule was put in a special box so that it could be easily found, clipped from the paper and retained for future planning purposes by those interested. The Washington Post's schedule was printed on Sunday and is also shown below:

[From the Washington Star, Apr. 26, 1971]

SPONSORS OF DEMONSTRATION LIST 10 DAYS' ACTIVITIES

The People's Coalition for Peace and Justice and the Mayday Collective, the two primary sponsors of the 10 days of anti-war activities and lobbying that start today, have scheduled the following activities and locations:

Today: Lobbying and guerrilla theater on Capitol Hill and vigils in congressional offices.

Tomorrow: Selective Service headquarters protest.

Wednesday: Internal Revenue Service.

Thursday: Department of Health, Education and Welfare, joined by the National Welfare Rights Organization.

Friday: Justice Department.

Saturday: Arrival of Mayday participants. Rock festival and training in "non-violent mass action."

Sunday: Mass "soul rally" at Sylvan Theater at Washington Monument Grounds.

May 3: Disruption of government employe traffic on commuter arteries.

May 4: Traffic disruption continues.

May 5: Mayday Collective participants move to Capitol Hill to set up "siege."

[From the Washington Post, Apr. 25, 1971]

STARTING MONDAY, PROTESTERS' AIMS TURN TO DISRUPTION

With yesterday's mass march and rally over, more militant elements of the anti-war movement are now preparing for almost two weeks of gradually intensifying street actions here, including mass civil disobedience.

Beginning Monday, the Peoples Coalition for Peace and Justice, led by Chicago Seven defendant Rennie Davis and others, said thousands of protesters will surround and attempt to enter selected government buildings; "lobby" and "rap" with employees, and urge a general strike.

The program, according to planners, will reach its climax the following week on May 3 and 4 when thousands of "tribesmen" from the militant Mayday Movement, a constituent of the coalition, will attempt to block early morning commuter traffic at 21 locations in downtown Washington and suburban Virginia.

Simultaneously on May 3, thousands will march across 14th Street Bridge from the Washington Monument to the Pentagon in an attempt to close it down by mass sit-ins, coalition leaders said.

A similar mass march against the Justice Department will be staged early on May 4, they said. Both marches will be deliberately held during the morning rush period to disrupt traffic, they said. If police stop them, they will sit down in the streets, they added.

The planned actions signal a new order of militancy in the antiwar movement here.

Never before have antiwar leaders urged mass violations of the law and disruption of government functions.

Coalition leaders say such actions are now necessary to dramatize the intensity of antiwar feeling and are an irreversible outgrowth of the conviction among many antiwar supporters that traditional, democratic methods of redressing grievances—marches, picketing, writing your congressman—no longer work in this nation.

As distinct from yesterday's march and rally, which drew public endorsements from 10 senators and 29 representatives, the peoples coalition has no known congressional support.

Several endorsers of yesterday's demonstration have condemned the concept of civil disobedience or expressed reservations about the coming two weeks' activities.

Peoples coalition members have been permitted to use a portion of West Potomac Park near the Tidal Basin as a training ground and assembly area for their demonstrations.

This arrangement has put the government in the novel position of providing public ground for the launching of large scale law breaking.

The coalition had originally asked for Rock Creek Park, promising that up to 75,000 protesters were coming and needed camping space. The Interior Department turned the coalition down, and Rennie Davis warned that protesters would come anyway.

Late last week, the Justice Department reached an agreement with coalition leaders permitting protesters to use West Potomac Park as a staging and training area, but the government insisted that no "camping" or overnight sleeping could be allowed in violation of park regulations.

Davis has said that efforts are being made to house protesters in local churches and universities and that only a minimum number of people will stay at the park overnight. "We'll provide entertainment to keep them awake," he said.

This schedule of coalition actions has been announced:

Monday, April 26: Peoples Lobby at Congress. Protesters will enter the Capitol and congressional office buildings to talk with congressmen, office workers and janitors. Protesters will circulate a "Peoples Peace Treaty" to end the war and sit-in at congressional offices until seen by individual congressmen. (The Peoples Lobby is organized by the National Action Group (NAG), a constituent of the coalition consisting of pacifist and peace groups such as the War Resisters League, Fellowship of Reconcilitation and American Friends Service Committee.)

Tuesday, April 27: Peoples Lobby at Selective Service headquarters, 1724 F St. NW, with the promise of sit-ins if officials refuse to meet or speak with protesters.

Wednesday, April 28: Peoples Lobby at Internal Revenue Service headquarters, 1111 Constitution Ave. NW.

Thursday, April 29: Peoples Lobby at the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 330 Independence Ave. SW.

Friday, April 30: Peoples Lobby at the Justice Department, 10th Street and Constitution Avenue NW.

Saturday, May 1: Youth Festival in West Potomac Park.

Sunday, May 2: Soul Rally in West Potomac Park, stressing minortiy rights.

Monday, May 3: Traffic blocking at 21 downtown and suburban Virginia locations during the morning rush hour by thousands of demonstrators. Targets include bridges across the Potomac River, access roads to the Pentagon and major intown traffic circles and squares. Mayday Movement, the coalition group organizing the traffic tie-up, stresses that the purpose is to halt the functioning of government only, not to disrupt the rest of the city, especially the black community.

Tuesday, May 4: Traffic blocking at the same locations.

Wednesday, May 5: Peoples coalition nationwide "moratorium on business as usual." In Washington, this means a large-scale "vigil" at the Capitol.

The Star further in the same edition carried a story entitled "The Tribes Plan Their Campaign" in which they specify the manner in which the demonstrators will conduct their "Stop the Government" activities, thus providing a ready "manual of disorder" for the use of those who might otherwise be ignorant on the subject but anxious to participate.

THE TRIBES PLAN THEIR CAMPAIGN

(By Duncan Spencer)

The smoke was whipping flat across the windy reaches of West Potomac Park, bearing sounds of the flute, of pain and argument, of chopping. The freaks were digging in for a stay.

Yesterday the new campground of the anti-war movement, now the People's Coalition, was alive with new life. Tents went up, a soup kitchen was organized, wood was being gathered and bottles of apple wine were raised to the sky.

The tribes, as they call each other, picked themselves up yesterday morning with only a coat of Washington dust and perhaps a headache to remind them of the glories of the April 24.

The wind was still blowing, the air still chilly. Unlike the veterans, who came equipped with military know-how, the tribes hacked at bench slats with pocket knives, drove crude pegs with stones, and lit fires over and over to no avail.

Sunday was no holiday for the 300 to 400 camped in ragged rows between the river and the Tidal Basin. They formulated plans in "Tribal Council" to move through the city with guerrilla theater acts, personal confrontations, and a host of other tactics aimed at converting the workers and bureaucrats of Washington.

They will be in elevators, riding up and down all day talking loudly about the war. This is called "being overheard."

They will set up baskets at the Capitol, and fill them with play money—at the rate of $5,500 every three seconds, what they figure the war is costing.

They will sit in uninvited 24-hour vigils at lawmakers' offices.

They will be throwing themselves on the ground, howling and shrieking in cafeterias in short, spontaneous theater pieces.

They will mingle with crowds everywhere and talk to people face-to-face to win commitments against the war.

"That is what it takes," said Ralph Fanelli, "real personal contacts."

Fanelli, a Korean War veteran and an actor back in Cambridge, Mass., served yesterday as a kind of circus trainer for the willing but often inarticulate shock troops of the May Day gathering.

They are unlike the marchers of Saturday—more hairy, more hedonist and wilder by far.

Fanelli got some of them together in a circle and put them through sensitivity training exercises. He made them pair off and ask each other "Who are you?" over and over, he made them pretend the partner was a mirror, he had them hop like chickens, stretch like rubber bands.

"Get your politics straight," he shouted. "Get your themes clear. Get your social action. Get some creative ideas."

The circle of blue-jeaned freaks ate it up. "Go in and really freak out Congress," he said.

While some campers talked, others danced silently before their tents and others set out on forays for firewood.

Some slept, drank deeply or moodily sat, waiting for something else. A laconic youth offered "hash" at $4 a gram and sold some, coffee came and went, people drifted.

The piece de resistance will be the attempts to block the commuter roads to Washington—and this, too, was being prepared. The demonstrators will go to stoplights and traffic circles beginning tomorrow morning and warn motorists that the bridges will be closed May Day. "Just talk to people in their cars," Fanelli said.

These protests are having the effect desired by the government in Hanoi. The question arises—if some of the citizens of North Vietnam were to demonstrate a lack of enthusiasm for the war which has cost them so dearly, would the newspapers in that beleaguered country provide the same assistance? I am enclosing an article which displays the effect of the protests on the government in Hanoi.

ANTI-WAR PROTESTS HAILED BY HANOI

HONG KONG.—Antiwar protests in the United States are "a wave among the big waves which are rising higher and higher," North Vietnam said today.

It called the yesterday's widespread demonstrations in the United States "the big storm of the American people."

"Its characteristic is the very strong support from the wide participation of the various strata of American society. The American people know that the war is wrong and they want to end it," said Nhan Dan, the daily newspaper of the North Vietnamese Communist party.

The demonstrators, Nhan Dan said in a broadcast dispatch, "represent millions of American troops who have participated in the criminal war in Vietnam and were sent back to the United States to the ingratitude of the U.S. administration and the indifference of many of their compatriots. They are the various strata of people who are suffering because of inflation and unemployment, because of the economic crisis directly caused by the war in Vietnam."

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GRAY). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. SCHWENGEL) is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. SCHWENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer some comments in support of the joint resolution introduced last month by the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. FRASER) and myself, House Joint Resolution 553. We are reintroducing that resolution today with additional cosponsors. The resolution calls for designation of the month of May as Human Development Month, and specifically designates the weekend of May 8 and 9 as "International Walk for Development Weekend." The overall sponsor of these events is the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation. The following summary accurately portrays the purposes and goals of the foundation:

PURPOSE AND GOALS

The American Freedom From Hunger Foundation is dedicated to greater public understanding and support for programs to combat the causes of hunger and to promote development, both within and outside the borders of the United States. It was founded in 1961 at the suggestion of President Kennedy to cooperate with the Freedom From Hunger Campaign led by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, and to mobilize private sector support for

such programs and policies. Its current concern for action both at home and abroad is of special significance in today's interdependent world.

The Foundation seeks to arouse public awareness of the causes and extent of hunger, malnutrition and poverty within the nation and the world, and to encourage the American people to become personally involved in solving those problems.

COOPERATION

It seeks to work with other groups having similar goals. This includes cooperation with FAO and other appropriate multilateral bodies, with the various United States Government agencies that have programs in this field, with business and labor and agricultural organizations, with religious and civic efforts, and with voluntary agencies active in development programs. Rather than attempting to duplicate the efforts of other groups it seeks to assist and cooperate, to serve as a catalyst, and to encourage greater public support for all constructive efforts to combat hunger, malnutrition and poverty.

YOUTH

The Foundation is especialy concerned with providing a framework that will encourage the maximum participation of young people in constructive action for development. Youth has a great opportunity to build understanding and arouse concern at the grass roots level, where interest and support must be mobilized if effective and adequate policies and programs for development are to succeed.

THE WALK FOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Youth participation has become one of the most encouraging aspects of the Foundation's activities during the past few years. The Walk for Development program has involved scores of thousands of young people in "walks" ranging up to thirty miles to raise money for worthwhile projects, and has enabled hundreds of thousands of sponsors to become directly involved in action for development.

The young people themselves plan and organize the walks. Each participant secures one or more sponsors who pay specific sums for each mile covered by the walker. Money raised is used to support domestic and overseas projects that have been selected prior to the walk by the local organizing committee and to support the Foundation information and education program.

YOUNG WORLD DEVELOPMENT

As the youth component of the Foundation, Young World Development promotes further study and action programs in addition to the walks. Many young people, stimulated by their participating in a walk, are eager to learn more about the problems of the world and to seek meaningful ways to become involved in community activities.

The Foundation provides films, bibliographic and other study materials, publishes a newsletter, and holds workshops, seminars and conferences to promote contacts among the various Young World Development groups. It encourages further study by the young people of problems of hunger and poverty, population and environment, and the development of courses of study on these problems.

In these purposes and goals, Mr. Speaker, I think they have caught the vision and the idea of a great American whom many of us knew personally who was President, who was young also, who had a feeling for the right things, whom all men could admire personally, and did. His memory shall never leave us.

You will recall that he said, among other eloquent things:

Ask not what your country can do for you, Ask rather what you can do for your country.

Another American said in 1916 that Americanism must be more than the conservation of the individuals.

In this great fulfillment, this Republican President, President Harding said:

We must have a citizenship less concerned about what Government can do for them, and more anxious about what they can do for the Nation.

The American Freedom From Hunger Foundation has a well-known and impressive leadership. Their organization's honorary chairman is Harry S. Truman. The board chairman is P. Kenneth Shoemaker, senior vice president, H. J. Heinz Co. The president is Robert Nathan president of Robert Nathan Associates, and the executive director is L. David Brown. He succeeds a former Member of Congress who held that position, Mr. Leonard Wulf. Mr. Wulf was executive director until his untimely death last year. Mr. Wulf's efforts as director of the foundation were widely acclaimed. It would be useful, I feel, to insert in the RECORD at this point, a list of the current leadership of the foundation:

OFFICERS OF THE AMERICAN FREEDOM FROM HUNGER FOUNDATION FOR YEAR 1970–71

OFFICERS

Honorary Chairman of the Board, The Honorable Harry S. Truman, Independence, Missouri 64050.

Chairman of the Board, Mr. P. Kenneth Shoemaker, Senior Vice President, H. J. Heinz Co., 740 Osage Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15243.

Vice Chairman of the Board, Mr. Wallace Campbell, President, F.C.H. Services, 1012 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

President, Mr. Robert R. Nathan, President, R. R. Nathan Associates, 1200 18th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

First Vice President, Mr. Aled P. Davies, Vice President, American Meat Institute, 59 East Van Buren Street, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Vice President, Mr. Richard Reuter, Vice President, Kraft Foods, Inc., 500 North Peshtigo Court, Chicago, Illinois 60690.

Vice President, Mrs. Arthur L. Zepf, National Council of Catholic Women, 2035 Central Grove Avenue, Toledo, Ohio 43614.

Vice President, Mr. Edward J. Piszek, President, Mrs. Paul's 5830 Henry Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19128.

Secretary, Mr. Leslie Nichols, International Specialist, National 4–H Club Foundation, 7100 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20015.

Treasurer, Mr. Douglas R. Smith, President, National Savings & Trust Company, 15th Street & New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Historian, Mr. Arthur C. Ringland, 4722 Dorset Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20015.

Legal Counsel, Mr. Richard Schifter, Suite 300, 1700 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Executive Director, L. David Brown, Executive Director, American Freedom From Hunger Foundation, Suite 437, 1717 "H" Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN FREEDOM FROM HUNGER FOUNDATION FOR YEAR 1970–71

Dr. Russell Coleman, President, The Sulpher Institute, 1725 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Allie C. Felder, Executive Vice President, Cooperative League Fund, 1012 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Frank Goffio, Executive Director, CARE, Inc., 660 First Avenue, New York, New York 10016.

Dr. Flemmie P. Kittrell, Chairman, Dept. of Home Economics, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20001.

Mr. Robert M. Koch, President, National Limestone Institute, 1315 16th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Leon O. Marion, Executive Secretary, World University Service, 20 W. 40th Street, New York, New York 10018.

Rev. Henry A. McCanna, Field Director, Dick Ross Associates, 15 Columbus Circle, New York, New York 10023.

Mr. Michael D. McCoy, 1010 South Carolina Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003.

Mr. William C. Murphy, Washington Representative, Eli Lilly & Company, 1030 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Melvin B. Myers, Director, Material Resources Program, Church World Service, 475 Riverside Drive, New York, New York 10027.

Gerald D. Oakes, 8515 94th S.W., Tacoma, Washington 98498.

Anselm Rothschild, 22 Englewood, Buffalo, New York 14214.

Most Rev. Edward E. Swanstrom, Executive Director, Catholic Relief Services, 350 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10001.

Mr. Herbert J. Waters, Waters Consultant Service, Suite 402, 711 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Mr. Paul S. Willis, President Emeritus, Grocery Manufacturers of America, Suite 2830, 1270 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York, 10020.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE AFFHF FOR THE YEAR 1970–71

Mr. Dwayne O. Andreas, First Interoceanic Corporation, 315 Nicollet Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

Mr. R. Stewart Boyd, Good Housekeeping Magazine, 9th Avenue & 57th Street, New York, New York, 10019.

Mr. Thomas C. Butler, President, Grand Union Company, 108 Broadway, East Paterson, New Jersey 07407.

Dr. Earl Butz, Vice President, Purdue University, Lafayette Complex, Lafayette, Indiana 46207.

Mr. William Byler, Executive Director, Association of American Indian Affairs, 432 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10016.

Mr. Carlos Campbell, National Canners Association, 1133 20th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Mr. Bernard A. Confer, Executive Secretary, Lutheran World Relief, 315 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10010.

Mr. Tony Dechant, President, National Farmers Union, Box 2251, Denver, Colorado 80201.

Mr. John P. Duncan, Mgr. Agribusiness Services, Southern Ry. Systems, Box 1808, Washington, D.C. 20013.

Mrs. Orville L. Freeman, 2805 Daniel Road, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20015.

Rev. Edwin Geers, Executive Secretary, Agricultural Missions, Suite 624, 475 Riverside Drive, New York, New York 10027.

Senator Charles Goodell, United States Senate, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Mr. Fowler Hamilton, 625 Riversville Road, Greenwich, Connecticut 06830.

Mrs. Vance Hartke, 6500 Kerns Road, Falls Church, Virginia 22044.

Rev. Patrick Hughes, The Paulist Center, 5 Park Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108.

Miss Marsha Hunt, 13131 Magnolia Blvd. Sherman Oaks, California 91403.

Mrs. Dorothy Jacobson, 5438 Blair Road, Falls Church, Virginia 22041.

Mr. Marvin Jones, Senior Judge, U.S. Court of Claims, Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Frederick Jonker, Jonker Corporation, 26 North Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760.

Mr. Burton S. Joseph, President, I. S. Joseph Co., Flour Exchange, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415.

Miss Philomena Kerwin, Catholic Youth Organization, 1313 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Laura Krawetz, Freshman, Spen-Black Hall No. 406, 2400 Durant Street, Berkeley, California 94721.

Mr. Howard J. Lauhoff, Chairman of the Board, Lauhoff Grain Company, Danville, Illinois 61832.

Mr. Harald Malmgren, Sr., Fellow, Overseas Development Council, 1717 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Mr. Joseph M. McGarry, Vice President, Heublein, Inc., 330 Park Avenue, Hartford, Connecticut 06101.

Senator George McGovern, United States Senate, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Mr. Robert D. McMillen, Director of Information, New Jersey Dept. of Agriculture and Health, Agriculture Bldg., Trenton, New Jersey 08625.

Mr. George Meany, President, AFL–CIO, Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Raymond W. Miller, President, World Trade Relations, Dupont Circle Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Mr. James J. O'Connor, Executive Director, Academy of Food Marketing, St. Joseph's College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19131.

James G. Patton, Suite 500, 2029 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. John Perryman, Executive Director, American School Food Service Assn., 4101 Iliff Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80222.

Robert Peterson, 509 Togstad Glen, Madison, Wisconsin 53711.

Mr. Lionel Steinberg, P.O. Box 501, Thermal, California 92274.

Mr. Sterling Tucker, Executive Director, Washington Urban League, 1426 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Mr. Charles Tyroler II, 1028 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20026.

Mr. John Walker Scott, Master, National Grange, 1616 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Edwin M. Wheeler, President, National Plant Food Institute, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Mr. Franklin Williams, 22 East 54th Street, New York, New York 10022.

Mr. John F. Wood, Editor, York County Star, 1 High Street, Kennebunk, Maine 04043.

Mr. Francis J. Lyons, 320 North Harvard, Villa Park, Illinois 60181.

Mr. Aaron Yohalem, Senior Vice President, CPC International, International Plaza, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.

EX OFFICIO

Dr. Howard Cottam, North American Representative and Director of FAO Liaison Office, 1325 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20437.

Mr. Speaker, in addition, Dr. Norman Borlaug, a native Iowan and winner of the 1970 Nobel Peace Prize, and Fanny Lou Hamer, director of the Freedom Farms Co-Op in Ruleville, Miss., have agreed to serve as honorary cochairmen for U.S. participation in International Development Week.

One of the principal areas of concern to the foundation is that of "providing a framework that will encourage the maximum participation of young people in constructive action for development." It is in this area of the foundation's work that my major interest lies. Having just returned from the White House Conference on Youth, I feel even stronger about the need to provide our young people with these opportunities. The young people whom I met and talked with at the Conference are, contrary to some reports, not ready to tear the country apart. Their request is relatively simple; namely, that this Nation recognize and deal with the problems that it faces in an honest, straightforward, constructive manner, and that our young people be allowed to participate in a meaningful way in the efforts to resolve those problems. The Walks for Development are excellent vehicles for fulfilling this desire.

Walks for Development are currently being organized for the weekend of May 8–9 in the following cities in the United States:

U.S. WALKS FOR DEVELOPMENT—MAY 8–9

ALASKA

Fairbanks.

ARIZONA

Flagstaff.

CALIFORNIA

Beverly Hills.
Covina.
Fresno.
Glendale.
Inglewood.
Oakland-Berkeley.
Palmdale.
Palo Alto.
Pleasant Hills.
Roseville.
San Diego.
San Jose.
San Mateo.
Santa Cruz.
Whittier.

COLORADO

Adams County.
Arapahoe & Cherry Creek Counties.
Boulder.
Brush.
Colorado Springs.
Denver.
Erie.
Fort Collins.
Gunnison.
Jefferson County.

CONNECTICUT

Clinton.
Danbury-Brookfield.
Danielson-Putnam.
East Hampton.
East Windsor.
Elvertol.
Hartford.
Litchfield.
Middletown.
New Canaan-Norwalk.
New Haven.
New London.
Norwich.
Ridgefield.
Storrs.
Westbrook.
Willimantic Area.

FLORIDA

Hollywood.
Orlando.
Tallahassee.
Tampa.

GEORGIA

Atlanta.

IDAHO

Idaho Falls.

ILLINOIS

Champaign-Urbana.
Chenoa.
Dekalb.
Edwardsville.
Elgin.
Far North Suburban Chicago.
Joliet.
Kankakee.
La Grange.
Moline.
Morton Grove.
North Suburban Chicago.
Park Forest.
Rock Island.
Rockford.
South Chicago (Hyde Park).
South Suburban Chicago.
Southwest Chicago.
Southwest Suburban Chicago.
West Suburban Chicago.
Springfield.

INDIANA

Angola.
Columbia City.
Decatur.
Elkhart-Fort Wayne.
Goshen.
Huntington.
Kendalville.
Indianapolis.
Logansport.
Michigan City.
Munster.
New Castle.
Rensselaer.
South Bend.
Valparaiso.

IOWA

Andover.
Ames.
Audubon.
Bryant.
Clinton.
Cresco-Ridgeway.
Davenport.
Fort Dodge.
Lytton.
Des Moines.
Jackson County.
Mason City.
Pierson.
Rutvien.
Ryan-Manchester.
Sioux City.
Sumner.
Waukon.
Wonona.
Oskaloosa.
Grinnell.

MAINE

Portland-Gorham.
Robbinston.
Seacoast Area.
Waterville.

MARYLAND

Baltimore.
Cumberland.
Harford County.

MASSACHUSETTS

Abington.
Amherst.
Arlington-Winchester.
Barnstable.
Billerica.
Boston.
Braintree.
Bridgewater.
Brockton.
Brookline.
Chicopee.
Dennis-Yarmouth.
Fall River.
Fitchburg.
Kingston-Plymouth.
Lawrence.
Lynn-Swampscott.
Malden.
Medford-Somerville.
Milton.
Newton.
North Adams.
North Shore.
Provincetown.
Quincy.
Westfield.
Weston.
Winthrop.
Worcester.

MICHIGAN
Battle Creek.
Brighton.
Coldwater.
Detroit.
East Jordan.
East Lansing.
Muskegon.

MINNESOTA
Alexandria.
Albert Lea.
Brainard.
Duluth.
Marshall.
Twin Cities (Minneapolis-St. Paul).
Morris.
Red Wing.
St. Cloud.
Wells.
Winona.

MISSOURI
Berkeley.
St. Louis.
N. St. Louis.

NEBRASKA
Blair.

NEVADA
Elko.
Reno.

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Dover.
Exeter.
Manchester.
Rindge.

NEW JERSEY
Bergen County.
Union County.
Hudson County.
Morris County.
Vineland.
Woodbury Heights.

NEW MEXICO
Alamogordo.
Albuquerque.
Las Cruces.

NEW YORK
Hudson River Valley.
Binghamton.
Buffalo.
Corning.
Cortland.
Mahopac.
Milbrook.
New York City.
Rockland County.
Southern Dutchess County.
New Rochelle.
Syracuse.
Town of New Hempstead.
Troy.

NORTH CAROLINA
Cary.
Charlotte.
Southern Pines.
Winston-Salem.

NORTH DAKOTA
Bismarck.

OHIO
Bucyrus.
Cleveland (Teach-In).

OREGON
Corvallis.
Eugene.
Myrtle Point.
Portland.
Salem.
Scappoose.
Pendleton.
Albany.

PENNSYLVANIA
Bucks County.
Easton.
Lancaster County.
Montgomery County.
Philadelphia.
Pittsburgh.

RHODE ISLAND
Barrington.

SOUTH CAROLINA
Lancaster.

TEXAS
Alamo.

TENNESSEE
Knoxville.
Nashville.

UTAH
Salt Lake City.
Utah Valley.

VERMONT
Essex Junction.

VIRGINIA
Harrisonburg.
Milford.
Newport News.
Norfolk.
Northern Virginia.

WASHINGTON
Bellevue.
Bellingham.
Bremerton.
Chehalist.
Cowlitz County.
Ellensburg.
Seattle.
Tacoma.
Spokane.
Tri Cities.
Longview.
Yakima.

WEST VIRGINIA
Charleston.

WISCONSIN
Adele.
Appleton, N.E. Area.
Ashland, N.W.
Beaver Dam, S.E.
Beloit, S.W. (Teach-In).
Cambridge, S.W.
Chippewa Falls, N.W.
Eau Claire, N.W.
Fond Du Lac, S.E.
Green Bay, N.E. Areas
Hartford.
Janesville, S.W.
La Crosse, S.W. (Teach-In).
Lodi, S.W.
Madison.
Maufton.
Milwaukee, S.E.
Oconomowoc, S.E.
Oshkosh, N.E.
Prairie Du Chien.
Plattville.
Richland Center.
Sheboygan, S.E.
Sturgeon Bay, N.E.
Washburn, N.W.
Wattertown, S.E.
Wausau, N.E. Area.
West Bend, S.E.
Whitewater, S.W.
Woodman.

WYOMING
Casper.

Participants in these walks obtain sponsors who agree to contribute a certain amount for each mile which the participant walks. The funds thus contributed go for various domestic and international development programs selected by the local walk committees. In 1970, over 135 walks conducted across the Nation resulted in contributions of over $2.5 million.

I have agreed to participate personally, if somewhat indirectly in two Walks for Development to be held in Iowa. The walks will be held in Davenport, and at Iowa State University in Ames. I have agreed to jog an extra one-half mile each day for the Davenport Walk, and to jog 10 miles for the Iowa State Walk. In this small way, I want to offer my encouragement to these young people.

I urge my colleagues to support this very worthwhile effort both by cosponsoring our resolution, and by participation in the various walks around the country. A copy of a very timely article contained in the May 1 issue of Saturday Review further explains the Walks for Development. The article, by Robert R. Nathan, president of the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation, follows:

[From the Saturday Review, May 1, 1971]

YOUTH, DOLLARS, AND DEVELOPMENT

(By Robert R. Nathan)

Next weekend, May 8 and 9, more than a million-and-a-half young people in nearly 400 U.S. cities will be walking twenty to thirty miles, collecting dollars—and blisters—for something they call development.

Walking for development? What does this mean? What makes these young people, seemingly all of a sudden, excited by an idea that the rest of America has virtually forgotten? Some of the answer lies in the natural contagion of a successful experience. The walks in this country will be part of an international event that began in 1963 when the U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization appealed to students in Britain, the Netherlands, and Scandinavia to help raise money for the fight on world hunger. The students responded by organizing walks with a unique fund-raising technique: Each walker solicited one or more sponsors who promised to give a certain sum for every mile he walked. The idea spread first to Canada, and later, in 1968, to the United States. Since then, under the auspices of the Young World Development division of the American Freedom from Hunger Foundation, teen-agers in this country have held 195 walks and raised over $3-million.

Now, next weekend, for the first time a coordinated, nationwide walk will coincide with walks in forty other countries. Some ten million sponsors, including Congressmen and ambassadors, have pledged to back the U.S. effort. The more than $5-million that the organizers confidently expect to raise will be divided equally between overseas and domestic self-help projects.

Why do these young people walk? In the small cities of Moorhead, Minnesota, and Fargo, North Dakota, where the first U.S. walks began three years ago, the participants might have answered: "We're walking to help the Indians in North Dakota get a nutrition project and to give agricultural training to the farmers in Dahomey." In Washington, at the buzzing Young World Development headquarters, youth leaders put it a different way. They're walking to raise not only money but an issue. They want to surface the whole question of development, in all its complexity. Around this issue, they want to organize an active constituency. They want all Americans to understand that "'development' is the new word for 'peace.'" To achieve this understanding will hardly be easy. Development is currently the most submerged, the most confused, the most unfocused issue of our times—almost ignored in its international aspects and completely misunderstood in terms of its domestic implications.

Last October, delegates of both developed and developing countries agreed that the most significant event of the 25th anniversary of the United Nations would be the launching of the Second Development Decade. Although the entire U.N. press corps covered the ceremony at which the development strategy was announced, apparently in the minds of most U.S. newspaper editors it had little news value. *The New York Times* ran only a brief advance story under a one-column headline; the influential *Washington Post* carried nothing at all.

Similarly, it is now more than a year since President Nixon received and welcomed recommendations for a complete reorganization of international development agencies. At this writing, he has yet, however, to send specific proposals to Congress. Moreover, those Congressmen who once viewed the urgency of poverty at home and abroad in full perspective and called for simultaneous action on both fronts now rally around only such specific domestic issues as health, education, and housing.

To the extent that present U.S. development policy can be defined, it is tragically out of date. Grounded in a concept that too often equates development with handouts, it measures progress mostly in quantitative physical terms, as if the human spirit were a numerical factor in the Gross National Product. Perhaps most important, it persistently fails to recognize that domestic and international interests are complementary rather than conflicting.

Unhappily, were one to judge strictly by the actions of our government, the prospects for a coherent, more rational policy would be slim indeed. Over the past decade, the United States has gradually reduced its share in the flow of international development funds until today—in terms of dollars contributed as a percentage of GNP—we rank sixteenth among the sixteen member nations of the Development Assistance Committee. There is a danger, moreover, that we may reduce our commitment even more, all the while appearing impressively magnanimous and international-minded. In accord with recommendations from two prestigious commissions, official Washington is pressing openly to increase our multilateral aid, through such agencies as the World Bank, by, say, $200- to $300-million a year. Covertly, however, these forces are arguing for a decrease in bilateral aid by as much as $1-billion. These forces, moreover, are of the same neoisolationist mind that last fall successfully engineered withdrawal of U.S. support from the International Labor Organization and that almost succeeded in returning us to the protectionist trade policies of the Thirties. In sum, we enter the Second Development Decade far worse off than we were ten years earlier.

Why this seeming disaffection with development and the cause of the have-nots? The reasons are many.

First, we are afflicted with a political leadership that, even when it talks about priorities, does not believe in them enough to educate the public on the necessity of paying for them. During the past fifteen years, we have had many opportunities to direct surplus revenues toward the overcoming of deficiencies in schools, hospitals, urban housing, and environmental matters both here and abroad. Instead, on each occasion our leadership has chosen to soothe us with lollipops in the form of reduced taxes. In 1964, for example, a time of relative prosperity, we took a $13-billion bite out of federal revenues. Had the 1964 tax rates prevailed, Uncle Sam would today be receiving an additional $25-billion every year.

Despite the fact that ours is the highest per capita income in the world—and taxation *ought* to be at least proportionate to per capita income—our tax burden, relative to our national income, ranks sixteenth among the major industrial nations. The disparity is naturally reflected in our fouled-up domestic priorities. In New York, for instance we willingly spend $4 per car per day to build and maintain new superhighways; we steadfastly decline to raise the taxes necessary to improve rapid transit, even though we know it would carry us where we want to go faster, cheaper, and with less pollution.

Such a misguided sense of priorities has its effect overseas not only in reduced U.S. dollars for loans and technical assistance but also on the internal policies of struggling young governments. In 1963, I was in El Salvador trying to persuade officials that higher taxes constituted the only hope for financing critically needed public services. When news came that President Kennedy had proposed a big federal tax cut, my carefully prepared argument was promptly rejected by El Salvador's highly prosperous, hold-the-line business community.

But even a committed political leadership would have a tough job cutting through the prejudices and ambiguities that characterize current U.S. opinion on the overseas development issue. "We've done well in Taiwan, Turkey, Korea, Israel, and other places," goes one fashionable line. "The job of development is really over, so let's quit." Curiously, another breed of neoisolationist is arguing for an end to foreign aid on the grounds that it has been a costly failure. One of this group's most frequent charges is that our aid dollars never reach the people they're supposed to but invariably go to line the pockets of corrupt local officials. From personal experience, I would judge that at most 10 to 15 per cent of foreign-aid funds might be lost or diverted in this fashion, and part of this amount represents "hidden salaries." In many developing countries, the pay of government administrators is so low that modest "rake-offs" have become an acceptable and necessary means of subsistence.

It should go without saying that whatever they are spent for, aid funds should be spent wisely. Still, what many critics fail to understand is that for development programs to work at all they must, above all, be indigeneous. This means, among other things, that if Burma thinks it critical to spend a million dollars rehabilitating a Buddhist temple, it may represent the best possible allocation of U.S. aid dollars, no matter how contrary it may seem to our own sense of values.

But what disturbs me most are the simplistic attitudes emerging among many friends of mine, including twenty-year veterans in the field of economic development. They should know better. They have, I fear, become so reconciled to the steady cutbacks in U.S. funds that they have talked themselves into believing in costless solutions. Instead of fighting for more foreign aid, they rationalize that bootstrap operations can succeed without it—or worse, that progress is not necessarily dependent on material assistance. I understand their frustration. For a long while, we were inclined to assume that all we needed for successful overseas development was more machinery, more capital, more seed, more fertilizer, and a liberal sprinkling of technical know-how. Now we have come to understand that speeding up the pace of economic development can create complex and pervasive problems, especially for individuals.

In Colombia, for example, the GNP increased during the Sixties at an annual rate of 8 per cent. But this growth rate came about partly through modernization in agriculture, which sparked a rural-to-urban migration. The result was a sharp increase in unemployment, for as fast as it was, industrialization could not keep pace with the burgeoning supply of workers. But to conclude from this that economic development is bad and should be ended is absurd. What this and other like experiences should have taught us is that economic development must go hand in hand with social, human, and political development.

On the domestic front, there has occurred a similar disenchantment among professionals in the so-called—and dubiously conceived—War on Poverty. Our domestic anti-poverty effort began with the same materialistic conviction that we held regarding international development—that all our problems would vanish if we put enough money into every man's pocket. Had we acted on this conviction, which is to say, had we worked out a guaranteed-income formula, the problem of deprivation would surely be more manageable today than it is, though it would hardly have disappeared. When we abandoned this idea as unrealistic, we vested our hopes in an array of special programs but provided none of them with adequate dollars. We then discovered that education worked its wonders slowly; that Head Start didn't change family circumstances immediately; that jobs for the deprived couldn't be created overnight, even with the help of the National Alliance of Businessmen. Now, in our rush to solve the welfare mess, we are swinging back to the only-money-will-do-it school of thinking. The move to provide an income floor is a good one, and it is long overdue. But it is also inadequate. When we pass the Family Assistance Plan, we will still need to concentrate—in fact, even more so—on the pathology of discrimination and poverty. Otherwise we will end up a decade from now with millions of Americans still undernourished spiritually, if not materially.

Equally simplistic is the increasingly popular view that holds economic growth to be incompatible with a healthy environment. Interestingly, I have heard this argument advanced with fervor both at a meeting on land use in Denver and at a conference of economic planners in Malaysia. The Malaysians, like many people in the developing countries, are questioning seriously whether they should follow the American pattern of industrialization, fearing that it leads inescapably to a fouling of the air, land, and water. I told them that industrialization need not inevitably mean pollution; that rather, they could profit from our mistakes. I urged them to establish at the very start the needed standards and regulations that we are only now getting around to enforcing. I could not, however, be altogether convincing, for even as I was talking they were reading arguments from conservationists in this country demanding a sharp deceleration in the growth of our own GNP.

It is, I think, terribly important that neither we nor our friends in the developing countries accept the cultist view that increased production per se is anti-development. Were production in this country to be stalled at its present level, the number of unemployed would go from the current five million persons to twelve or fifteen million over the next five years (unless we drastically cut our work week). By the same token, to declare a moratorium on increased production and do nothing about cleaning up the environment would merely perpetuate the pollution we already have. The critical determinants are: 1) the conditions we set on how we produce, and 2) the priorities we set for the use of our increased resources.

Unquestionably, we would have a better chance of changing present priorities if we were able to reduce our defense budget. But I am troubled by the either/or mentality which holds that as long as military expenditures are at today's level, development will be impossible. For instance, the understandable disillusionment over the war moves many otherwise liberal civil rights and welfare leaders not only to say, "We're wasting money in Vietnam," but to argue, "We're wasting money *abroad;* let's use it all at home."

Their assumption—that we don't have enough money to engage in development both here and abroad—would be valid only if we were to gauge our resources exclusively in terms of the federal budget, which now runs $200-billion. The true index is our Gross National Product, which exceeds a thousand billion dollars. Subtracting from the GNP the $70-billion-plus that we spend for defense still leaves us with more than $900-billion worth of goods and services. If we had a more reasonable balance between public and private expenditures, I have no doubt that we could meet our domestic needs and

at the same time provide as much help abroad as could be effectively invested; in realistic terms, we are talking about no more than $5- to $10-billion a year.

During the more than twenty years that the United States has been working in international development, we have acquired the technical knowledge and mechanisms that for the first time in the history of mankind could bring into realistic focus the age-old dream of peace and abundance. The great tragedy is that official U.S. policy is still foundering on the two polarized views that motivated the Marshall Plan in 1948; one essentially charitable—to help the poor and displaced, even if they were our former enemies; the other frankly self-serving—to keep communism at bay.

Such a rationale was enough to sustain us in our postwar effort to rehabilitate the advanced industrialized nations of Western Europe. But much more is required for success in the poor countries of Asia, Africa, and Latin America, a fact that many of us have yet to acknowledge. While Europe needed funds chiefly to get back on its feet and had the skilled administrators to handle them, developing countries not only need good management and resources that will flow over a long and carefully scheduled time frame; they also need programs grounded in a respect for cultural diversity.

In the past, our political leaders might have recognized the need for a new philosophy; they would have seen that an old Marshall Plan cannot be successfully overlaid on newly developing countries. But we are at a perilous time in our history when our leaders seem to act only after a change in public attitude. And if we cannot, through reason and debate, change our attitude, then we must expect the international equivalent of such tragedies as Watts and Newark, Detroit and Washington. In a world made much smaller by jets and much closer by the miracle of satellite communications, the deprived, depressed, and exploited are no longer content to live under conditions that man clearly has the means to correct.

Where lies the hope for a massive change in attitude? I believe that it may lie with the million-and-a-half young people who will be walking for development next weekend. For the last few years, I have worked with many of these "walkers," most of whom are still in high school. I have come to admire not only their idealism and their ability to ask the right questions but their organizational skills as well. In my opinion, they constitute an exciting and promising new current in our public life. Neither of a revolutionary mentality nor inclined to withdraw from a world they deem too messy, they are convinced that the U.S. system can yet be made to work, not merely for Americans but for all the peoples of the world.

Although the youth of Young World Development come mostly from reasonably well-to-do, suburban, white, middle-class families, they are reaching out deliberately and sensitively to involve blacks and other minorities. The walks planned for Southern cities have, almost without exception, been organized by integrated local teams. In this sense, the young people are trying to make them not only walks for international development but dramatic examples of development here at home.

The crucial question is: Will the U.S. adult majority get their message?

Mr. RUPPE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to speak today in strong support of the proposed joint resolution designating the May 8–9 weekend as International Walk for Development Weekend and the month of May as Human Development Month. This legislation endorses the program of Young World Development, a branch of the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation, which raises funds for domestic and international development programs. Young World Development is comprised of young men and women who organize walks in local communities and obtain financial backing based on the number of miles walked.

In an age when we are surrounded with the inconsistencies of material abundance coupled with starvation in many parts of the world, the purposes and goals of this legislation are particularly significant. It is encouraging to see the determination of individuals who refuse to accept these inconsistencies and who are working to change the patterns for the future. Recognizing the problem is the first step, taking action to rectify the situation is the second. The Young World Development organization has made this second step a reality.

We are living in a time in our Nation's history when individuals are disillusioned with the effectiveness of Government efforts in dealing with the crucial problems of our society. This organization is striving to gain individual involvement and public recognition in support of development programs in this country and around the world. This affords individuals the opportunity to have a collective impact on matters of vital importance to this country. It is particularly important, in my view, that we in the Government support such worthwhile efforts, and I fully endorse the passage of this resolution.

WALK FOR DEVELOPMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. FRASER) is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. FRASER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. SCHWENGEL) and I cosponsored House Joint Resolution 553 declaring May 8 and 9 International Walk for Development Weekend and the month of May as Human Development Month. This resolution focuses attention on the problems of poverty and its accompanying evils, hunger, and malnutrition. It recognizes the commitment of the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation and its youth branch, Young World Development to help eliminate these scourges. Expressing the views of the youth connected with the planning, organizing and coordinating of the Walks for Development, this resolution is a positive and, more importantly, an optimistic statement of their commitment.

On the weekend of May 8 and 9 an estimated 1 million young and old Americans in over 350 communities will walk up to 30 miles in order to collect money for domestic and international developmental and experimental projects. These walkers, all of whom must locate local sponsors, are reimbursed by these sponsors depending on how far they walk. The funds collected by the walkers are in turn given to the projects chosen by the local organizing group prior to the walk. A small percentage of the funds is earmarked for the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation to assist in their important educational and nutritional program.

Last year over $2.5 million was raised. In the Twin Cities alone, $161,000 was raised to help three domestic and two foreign projects. This year the local organizers decided to double their effort and are raising money for 10 projects. Included in this total are experimental school projects, programs to help unemployable individuals in urban and rural settings and cooperative agriculture organizations. Domestically Twin Cities innovative educational programs receive emphasis. The foreign projects are geared to assisting in the effort to reduce unemployment and its accompanying poverty. In Tanzania construction materials are provided as one means of employing the people of Tanzania in the building of schools in order to reduce unemployment and to provide basic buildings for education. In Guatemala, assistance is provided to those unskilled men and women in rural areas who are forced to migrate to the city, exchanging rural for urban poverty. As is becoming more and more evident human development in many areas of the world will ultimately depend on the ability of individuals to find meaningful work. Concurrent with these other efforts is a program in Zambia helping to provide nutritionally balanced meals.

As these youth show by their walking, human development is a concern of all—governments, political parties, and individuals. The youth are, however, demonstrating their concern in a direct people-to-people way, a manner which usually is missing when development is the exclusive province of governments.

The message from our youth expresses clearly their concern with the problems besetting our Nation and the world. Hunger, racial tension, pollution, the agonies of war, foolish and harmful national priorities, and what appears to be an increasing inflexible governmental process are their concerns. It is essential that these youthful concerns become the Nation's.

Mrs. MINK. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join in introduction and support of legislation calling for the designation of May as "Human Development Month" and the weekend of May 8 and 9 as "International Walk for Development Weekend."

The walk, in which thousands of school children participate, is certainly a worthy and health-building project. It is entitled to our fullest support.

The effort is carried out by the Young World Development organization and its parent unit, the American Freedom from Hunger Foundation. This group of young men and women raises funds for domestic and international development programs. The funds are raised through walks in local communities, with those involved taking walks of specified distances after obtaining a commitment from supporters of the program to make contributions based on the number of miles walked by the person they are sponsoring. The local walk groups, typically composed of students, determine which development efforts the money they raise will support.

Last year more than 135 walks were conducted across the Nation, raising more than $2.5 million for numerous worthy causes. The young are by this walk constructively working against poverty and hunger and other problems facing our world.

As one who shares in the spirit and purpose of this outstanding effort by our young people, I call on my colleagues and others across the country to generously contribute to those participating in all areas. By this means we will enlist youth power in an effective and timely means, and help teach them participatory democracy.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to join my colleagues today in expressing my deep concern over the intolerable national scandal of hunger. In this land of plenty, it is a national disgrace that some people should have to send their children to bed hungry every night.

The hurt of hunger has swallowed our Nation. Substantial numbers of the newborn die between their second month and second year. We can trace the deaths of these infants directly to malnutrition. America also ranks 23d in infant mortality, meaning in 22 countries a baby has a better chance to live than in affluent America. Teachers in cities like Chicago make widespread reports of hungry children who must be sent home because of their inability to learn and their feeling of extreme pain. The Citizens Board of Inquiry reported that 30 million impoverished Americans subsist on incomes below the poverty level. Of these, 10 million—let me repeat—10 million are hungry and malnourished. That is more than the entire combined populations of Iowa and Minnesota, the home States of the two sponsors of this special order.

The Young World Development of the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation is doing an outstanding job in raising funds and bringing to public attention the tragic crisis. I heartily support the hunger walks that are being sponsored by them on May 8 and 9. Last year, over 135 walks were conducted, which raised over $2.5 million. It is encouraging to see young people constructively working for a better world. I know that the behavior of their elders, both within government and without, is sometimes disappointing or even exasperating. Too many of my generation have neglected to uncover and eradicate the sources of hunger in America. It is to their credit that they have not waited for others to act, but have begun their own fight against hunger. Hopefully those efforts will spur further congressional action to completely eradicate the scourge of hunger and malnutrition in affluent America.

PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

The SPEAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, there is widespread concern in the House for what has been called "one of the most critical shortcomings" of medicare—its failure to ease the burden of out-of-the-hospital prescription drug costs.

As a measure of their concern, 85 Members have joined me in sponsoring legislation to establish a comprehensive drug insurance program for the 20 million Americans covered by medicare. In the Senate, similar legislation has been introduced by Senator JOSEPH MONTOYA of New Mexico and now has 18 cosponsors.

It is fitting, then, to take time today to focus on the medical and financial problems of our older Americans and their need for outpatient prescription drug coverage. I wish to thank the Members joining in this discussion today, and ask permission that they be allowed to revise and extend their remarks.

Mr. Speaker, recently my hometown newspaper, the Wausau Daily Record-Herald, carried a series of articles on problems facing the elderly. As a human profile, they summed up very well the frustration and almost desperation experienced by many of our senior citizens. One of the articles introduced us to this very real but necessarily anonymous Mr. X:

> Mr. X, 76, has Parkinson's disease. His 74-year-old wife has a heart condition. Between the two of them, they require more than $40 a month in medication.
>
> In the past, they received their medicine through Medicaid. Tightening of the financial eligibility standards, coupled with increased assessment on their home, changed that and the couple must now buy their medicines.
>
> "I had to drop one prescription that was $7 a month for refills. The doctor said I need it, but . . ." said Mrs. X.

The plight of Mr. and Mrs. X is all too common. They had bought themselves a modest home in 1946. He worked for a drugstore and later a dairy, while she clerked at grocery stores and baby-sat. They made their house payments and raised their only child to be a policeman:

> The years flew by . . . and suddenly there was retirement. That happened one year before it was planned. Mr. X was only 64, but illness forced him to quit work.

That was in 1958. But today:

> They want to spend the rest of their lives quietly and comfortably in their own home. Taxes and the cost of living make them fight for every day of that life.

Mr. Speaker, what has happened to Mr. and Mrs. X in Wausau, Wis., has been happening all over the country. The Senate's Special Committee on Aging noted in its report of last December 31:

> A most distressing fact—a disgrace in a Nation pledged to an allout war on poverty—is that there was an increase in both the number and the proportion of aged poor between 1968 and 1969. In 1969, there were approximately 4.8 million people aged 65 and older who were living in poverty, almost 200,000 more than in 1968. They represented 19.7 percent of all persons 65 and older in 1969, an alarming rise from the 18.2 percent for 1968. Alarming too was an increase in the number of poor aged 60 through 64.

To improve the health aspects of the elderly, the Senate committee said:

> We must expand and improve Medicare, particularly by including prescription drugs essential for the treatment of the chronically ill, and by covering disabled beneficiaries.

While the prescription drug coverage envisioned by our bill (H.R. 2355) would not be limited to the chronically ill, I believe the principle is clear. And it is a principle endorsed by the AFL–CIO Executive Council, which announced in February at its Bal Harbour, Fla., meeting that labor's social security program includes prescription drug coverage under medicare.

Mr. Speaker, the Health, Education, and Welfare Department's Task Force on Prescription Drugs issued a series of background papers in 1968–69 substantiating the need and feasibility of a drug insurance program.

Since then, the President's Task Force on the Aging filed a report entitled "Toward a Brighter Future for the Elderly," in which it recommended that medicare be modified in five ways, including coverage of out-of-hospital drugs at the earliest date administratively feasible.

Speaking for myself, and I am sure for many of my colleagues as well, I believe it is administratively feasible to match an outpatient prescription drug program to the needs of our senior citizens. By matching program with need, we will help millions of people like Mr. and Mrs. X live out their retirement in the quiet dignity they seek.

At this point, I should like to insert the text of a telegram from the American Pharmaceutical Association urging that the Ways and Means Committee give priority consideration to the inclusion of an out-of-hospital pharmaceutical benefit in medicare. The association specifically recommends adoption of the proposal embodied in H.R. 2355.

I should also like to insert that portion of the reports of the 1971 Advisory Council on Social Security—released earlier this month—in which the Council recommends expanding medicare to include coverage of out-of-hospital prescription drugs.

In conclusion, I include as summary of the program we are proposing:

> A formulary committee, composed largely of physicians, would select the drugs to be covered. Each year it would send physicians and pharmacists a list of these qualified drugs (arranged alphabetically by their established, or generic, names), as well as—
>
> An indexed listing of trade or other names by which these drugs are known, together with the maximum allowable cost for various quantities, strengths or dosage forms.
>
> Supplemental lists arranged by diagnostic, therapeutic or other classifications.
>
> Information which will promote—under professional supervision—the safe and effective use of these drugs.
>
> "Financing the program under the Part A portion of Medicare," Obey continued, "means that an individual will pay for his drug insurance during his working years, rather than later when his income is sharply reduced due to retirement.
>
> "It also assures that nearly everyone over 65 will benefit, without having to pay monthly premiums, keep records or file claims.
>
> "The beneficiary simply goes to the participating pharmacy of his choice. If the drug prescribed for him is listed in the formulary, he pays the pharmacist $1 to fill the prescription. If the prescribed drug is not listed in the formulary, he pays for it the same way he does now under Medicare—out of his own pocket.

"The pharmacist is then reimbursed by the program on the basis of maximum allowable cost plus professional fee. In determining the maximum allowable cost of multiple-source drugs, the formulary committee excludes prices of a drug which vary significantly from those of the lowest or lower-cost versions of it that are of proper quality and generally available."

"By choosing drugs carefully and taking into account their cost factors, the formulary committee can build savings into the program from the outset," he said. "It will list only medically necessary drugs, and do so in an economically reasonable way.

"Also, the co-payment feature stresses cost-effectiveness, because it reminds the drug purchaser that he is sharing in the cost of the program.

"And having nearly everyone over 65 covered means that the administrators of the program can quickly and inexpensively determine who is eligible for benefits."

APRIL 23, 1971.

DAVID R. OBEY,
House Office Building,
Washington, D.C.:

The American Pharmaceutical Association, the National Professional Society of Pharmacists in the United States, urges the House Committee on Ways and Means to give priority consideration to including an out-of-hospital pharmaceutical services benefit in Medicare as it continues work on the Social Security Amendments (H.R. 1). Specifically, we urge adoption of the proposal embodied in H.R. 2355, introduced by Rep. David R. Obey of Wisconsin for himself and numerous others of your House colleagues.

In February, 1969, the HEW Task Force on Prescription Drugs recommended inclusion of such a benefit in Medicare, recognizing that the cost of drugs, due to the increased need of Medicare beneficiaries for them, imposed a significant economic burden on those persons the Medicare program is intended to assist.

The Dunlop Committee, in July, 1969, affirmed the recommendations of the HEW task force. Two years have passed with no significant progress toward this thoughtfully established goal which is long overdue and the need for which is undiminished. Rep. Obey's bill incorporates features which APHA has concluded are necessary for a feasible out-of-hospital pharmaceutical services benefit under Medicare. We urge your particular attention to the drug formulary aspects of the bill which will ensure high quality pharmaceutical services and effective, before-the-fact cost controls.

If H.R. 1 is not an apposite vehicle for such a program, we further urge that Rep. Obey's bill be given prompt and careful consideration in connection with health care legislation which may in the future come before the committee for consideration.

LLOYD M. PARKS, Ph.D.,
President, American Pharmaceutical Association.

PART II. COVERAGE OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

Medicare should be expanded to include coverage of out-of-hospital drugs requiring a prescription. Such coverage should apply to all persons entitled to Medicare protection, including social security disability beneficiaries as well as those aged 65 and over. Medicare beneficiaries should be responsible for a flat copayment amount of $2 per new prescription and $1 per refill prescription, and the Medicare payment should be to the drug vendor, who should be responsible for maintaining necessary records and initiating claims.

NEED FOR COVERAGE

Under present law, Medicare covers the cost of drugs furnished to an inpatient of a hospital or extended care facility as part of his inpatient care, and the cost of drugs which are customarily provided by a physician in his office and which cannot be self-administered by the beneficiary.

The need for Medicare coverage of out-of-hospital prescription drugs and the administrative problems that must be solved before such coverage could be included have been the subject of extensive study by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. In 1967–69, a Department Task Force on Prescription Drugs, created in accordance with the 1967 social security amendments and charged with the responsibility of studying the question of covering prescription drugs under Medicare, concluded that such coverage should be provided. A committee of nongovernmental drug experts was appointed in March 1969 to review the conclusions of the Department's Task Force. This committee affirmed the need for coverage of out-of-hospital prescription drugs but recommended that the Department develop detailed administrative plans, data processing procedures, and cost computations before any legislative proposal was made. The committee concluded that considerable time would be required to develop all the necessary administrative mechanisms and that full implementation of an out-of-hospital drug benefit would require a substantial period after enactment of appropriate legislation.

The Council finds that there is widespread agreement about the need for some type of insurance protection for the aged against the cost of prescription drugs. Such drugs now represent the largest single personal health expenditure that the aged must meet almost entirely from their own resources. About 20 percent of all private health care expenditures for the aged are for prescription drugs, and most of this drug expenditure is paid directly out-of-pocket by the aged. Annual per capita expenditures for drugs on the part of the elderly are more than 3 times those of people under age 65. Annual per capita expenditures for drugs on the part of the severely disabled are 6 times those of the population as a whole.

The Council believes that the coverage of out-of-hospital prescription drugs involves such problems of financing and administration that the introduction of such coverage should be timed to assure the effective solution of these problems. In recognition of the fact that the solution of these problems will require a period of time, the Council has assumed in the cost estimates for its recommendation that coverage of drugs would not be effective until January 1974.

SCOPE OF COVERAGE

The cost as well as the administrative complexity of an out-of-hospital prescription drug benefit would vary, of course, with the extent of protection provided. An examination was undertaken, therefore, of alternative approaches that might be followed in providing an out-of-hospital drug benefit, including coverage only of drugs determined to be important in the treatment of serious chronic illnesses ("maintenance drugs"), coverage only of drugs listed in a Federal formulary to be established for this purpose, and coverage of most prescription drugs but with limitations designed to reduce program costs, such as, for example, a relatively large annual deductible. Although these and other alternative approaches to the scope of coverage of drugs would be less costly and in some ways less difficult to administer, the Council has concluded that it would be unwise to curtail significantly the scope of drug coverage.[1] The Council believes that comprehensive coverage of out-of-hospital prescription drugs is essential to truly effective protection against health care costs.

COST-SHARING

Program costs and claims volume also would vary with the extent to which the beneficiary is obliged to share the cost of individual prescriptions obtained under the program. Among the alternatives considered by the Council were: the limitation of reimbursement to costs which exceed an annual deductible; reimbursement of all claims, with a percentage of the cost to be paid by the beneficiary ("coinsurance"); and reimbursement of all claims, with a fixed-dollar amount to be paid by the beneficiary for each drug obtained ("copayment"). The Council has concluded, as did the Department's Task Force and the nongovernmental committee of drug experts, that cost-sharing through copayment is the most desirable and advantageous approach. (The Council recognizes that under a copayment approach physicians might have an incentive to help a patient by ordering a drug in larger quantities than may be immediately needed and that administrative mechanisms would have to be designed to minimize this possibility.) This method of cost-sharing not only lends itself more readily than the coinsurance and deductible approaches to relatively simple administrative arrangements but also avoids a problem that would arise under these other approaches—the problem of a burdensome recordkeeping task for beneficiaries. The Council recommends the use of a copayment amount of $2 per new prescription and $1 per refill prescription.[2]

REIMBURSEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE MECHANISMS

Two basic elements in a drug benefit program are the mechanisms of claims reimbursement and the methods for determining reimbursable costs. The Council has concluded that reimbursement should be made directly to vendors. This approach would relieve beneficiaries of the obligation to file individual claims; it would permit the greater use of automated data processing, it would provide ready access to claims information; it would facilitate coordination of the new drug benefit with existing drug payment programs—such as the Medicaid programs—which make payment directly to vendors; it would permit the program to establish for vendors of drugs, as is now done for providers of services under present Medicare law, health, safety, and other appropriate conditions for participation in the program; and it would permit far more effective implementation of a reimbursement formula related to cost than would be the

---

[1] Aside from the undesirability of limiting the scope of coverage, all of the alternatives to comprehensive coverage have certain basic disadvantages. One problem with respect to a "maintenance drug" approach, for example, is that a list of drugs used in the treatment of chronic illnesses might include a disproportionately large number of drugs that are sometimes used in the treatment of both chronic and acute illnesses, and thus a significant proportion of the prescriptions covered might be for the treatment of acute, short-term illnesses. Moreover, less-than-comprehensive coverage might interfere with effective drug prescribing by physicians by inducing them to prescribe a drug on the list of covered drugs even though it might not be the drug of choice.

[2] Mr. Burke, Mr. Seidman, and Mr. Tonelli favor the use of a flat copayment amount of $1 per prescription for both new and refill prescriptions. The late Mr. Young also favored it.

case if reimbursement were made to individual beneficiaries. The Council therefore recommends a method of reimbursement under which the vendor would be responsible for maintaining necessary records and initiating claims.

The Council believes it would be desirable to include in the legislation guidelines with respect to rembursement of providers of drugs, although the detailed reimbursement provisions should be established by the Secretary in regulations, after consultation with representatives of all affected groups. The guidelines in the law should state that reimbursement for out-of-hospital drugs would be made to qualified drug vendors on the basis of the "reasonable drug charge" for each drug, which would be calculated to take account of the vendor's costs in acquiring and dispensing the drug. The guidelines in the law should also permit the Secretary to take into account variations in the price at which drugs are made available to different classes of drug vendors and require regular review and analysis of the reimbursement amounts to assure their continuing adequacy.

Mr. ANNUNZIO. Mr. Speaker, I commend my distinguished colleague from Wisconsin, the honorable DAVID R. OBEY, for taking the lead in sponsoring H.R. 2355 to provide outpatient prescription drug coverage under medicare. As one of the cosponsors of this urgently needed legislation, I want to say that recent studies and surveys in this area clearly indicate that enactment of this legislation is long overdue.

What the studies reveal is astonishing. As a group, the elderly comprise approximately 10 percent of the population. Yet, the aged account for well over 20 percent of all outpatient prescriptions and for 25 percent of all outpatient drug expenditures. Medicare at present provides no relief from these enormous costs. For some of our senior citizens, drug outlays reach hundreds of dollars annually and few older people can budget for these costs on the meager income resources available to most of them.

Recent surveys also show that poverty has increased for older Americans while declining for all other age groups. The number of Americans over age 65 who live in poverty increased by 200,000 between 1968 and 1970, and by 12,000 for those aged 60 to 64. A total of 4.8 million people aged 65 and older were living in poverty in 1969. The number of all other age groups living in poverty declined by 1.2 million. These statistics were cited in a recent report on a 2-year Senate study, "The Economics of Aging," which provides a grim picture of income problems among elder Americans and indicates that our senior citizens are twice as likely as younger persons to be poor.

Many millions of Americans now nearing retirement age are very likely to be engulfed by this "poverty crisis" that already confronts our senior citizens. Adequate housing, properly balanced meals, and expert medical attention are usually regarded as vital needs in the later years of human life. Soaring costs have put most of these basic needs out of the reach of our elderly, and particularly, access to adequate prescription drugs is almost unattainable for a great many of our elderly citizens.

It has been suggested by some that private life insurance coverage, complementary to medicare, is available to the elderly and that drug insurance protection should be sought from this source. The facts indicate that the private sector fails to provide adequate protection at a cost that the aged can afford. Recent data shows that approximately 9½ to 10 million persons 65 or older have private protection supplementary to medicare for the cost of hospital and physician services. As for drug coverage, however, the statistics are even more alarming. Only about 3 million older people—or about 15 percent—have any protection against drug costs. Nearly 17 million have no private protection whatsoever in this area. As a result, drug outlays continue to represent the largest single out-of-pocket health expenditure among older Americans.

As general chairman for the Villa Scalabrini Development Fund, I was one of those in the Chicago community responsible for establishing the Italian Old Peoples Home in Melrose Park, Ill.—Villa Scalabrini.

I know for a fact that costs for drug prescriptions and medical care for the old people who live at the home are extremely expensive, and the average person who is living on a retired income simply cannot afford adequate medical care.

It is, in my judgement, absurd to underwrite—as medicare does—the costs of hospitalization and other institutional care, and not underwrite the cost of the very items which might prevent institutionalization altogether. It is also inconsistent to pay for drug costs when an older person is a patient in a hospital or extended care facility—as medicare does—but not pay for the same drugs outside of the high-cost institution.

As a supporter of the health security program, I also find it incongruous to call for a comprehensive revision in our Nation's health care system without demanding improvements in the existing programs we have. Older Americans, having contributed a lifetime to their families and their country, now face the future—the golden years—in fear, alone, and often with ill health as their only companion. Truly, these are among our most "forgotten Americans." We cannot, nor should they, be asked to wait any longer for this important improvement in our health program for the aged.

I feel that the test of any society is the way in which it treats its older members. We have the resources to pass this test—and our Nation must face up to the challenge of our older citizens. I am confident that a Nation as great as ours can meet this challenge, and therefore, Mr. Speaker, I urge early enactment of H.R. 2355, which would amend the Social Security Act to include qualified drugs among the items and services covered under the hospital insurance programs.

Mr. EILBERG. Mr. Speaker, I am a cosponsor of H.R. 2355 and rise in support of the contention by the able gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) that there is need for a new drug benefit for the aged as part of the medicare program.

Presently, medicare pays the cost of prescription drugs only when a patient is hospitalized or in an extended-care facility. Benefits are not paid during outpatient status, even though the drugs needed keep the patient from requiring the more costly forms of institutional care. I fail to see that this is a reasonable approach to insurance protection.

Expenditures for outpatient drugs are a major burden for many of the Nation's senior citizens. On the average, the elderly receive three times the number of prescriptions filled or refilled as those under 65. Their per capita expenses for prescribed medications are more than three times those of younger people. In fact, prescribed drug costs are now the largest single health care expenditure paid for by the aged out of their own pockets. Twenty percent of the private health outlays of senior Americans go for the purchase of needed medications. Medicare, in other words, fails to adequately protect the elderly as it can and should.

The bill we are proposing would provide coverage of outpatient prescription drugs under the part A, or hospital insurance portion of medicare. This is an approach which has significant advantages over others in terms of beneficiary eligibility, financing, and administration. To begin with, virtually all persons now 65 and over would be automatically insured against out-of-hospital drug expenses. This new protection would be provided without any concern over whether an aged person had elected to enroll in a voluntary program, such as part B.

If the new benefit were provided under part B, it would be financed in large part by the elderly themselves, since the part B premium would have to be increased well beyond present levels. Many aged persons might not be able to afford a higher premium cost and, in fact, such action might cause some to drop part B protection altogether. By providing the benefit under part A, the program will be financed through the regular contributory mechanism now used to finance hospital benefits and case benefits under the Social Security Act. Under this approach, individuals pay for protection during their working years, rather than at a time when they must live on low incomes, limited assets and high health care costs.

The use of the part A portion of medicare also greatly simplified many of the administrative problems often associated with drug benefit programs. A large portion of prescriptions are relatively inexpensive and only a fraction of the ordinary hospital or physicians' bill. Under these circumstances, it is difficult but vitally important that an administrative procedure be developed so that costs associated with claims processing not be large with respect to benefits paid. By using the part A program, it is possible first of all to relieve older persons from the burdens of recordkeeping and the

filing of large numbers of small claims. The bill makes community pharmacies and others vendors of pharmaceutical services and relies upon these vendors as the source for claims initiation. This enables vendors to file composite claims for services provided at regular intervals and permits reimbursements to be made in an orderly and efficient way.

I believe that the Members who review the provisions of H.R. 2355 will find that the specifications for the drug insurance program contained in the proposal have been carefully drawn and that they are based upon the best counsel available. The bill is not only necessary, if we are to provide meaningful relief to the aged for their prescription drug costs, but it is also a workable solution to the problems associated with drug insurance programs generally. I sincerely hope that it will receive thorough consideration by the Committee on Ways and Means and, in time, the House of Representatives.

Mr. CARNEY. Mr. Speaker, I share completely the views of many of our colleagues about the need for immediate amendment of the medicare program to provide some sort of insurance protection against the costs of outpatient prescription drugs. I commend the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and others who have joined in supporting H.R. 2355 and for demanding that we in the House address ourselves to this critical problem.

In 1964, 19 percent of all prescriptions written were for older persons. Total expenditures by the aged for these drugs amounted to more than $660 million. The average number of prescriptions acquired by persons aged 65 and over was 9.7, while the average annual cost amounted to $36.15. By 1967, however, 23 percent of all prescriptions were for older people and the aggregate drug expenditures for this group reached the astronomical sum of $1,044,800,000. Prescriptions per capita for the aged had grown from 9.7 to 13.6, while average annual expenditures jumped from $36.15 to $54.15.

The situation today is much worse. Preliminary studies by the Social Security Administration show that private expenditures for drugs and drug sundries purchased by the aged during fiscal year 1969 amounted to an unprecedented per capita amount of $70.25—or 36 percent of the private outlays for medical care made by people 65 and over. Naturally, these per capita figures fail to show the actual distribution of expenditures and, as others have noted, annual drug outlays for some elderly persons amount to hundreds of dollars each year.

It would be, in my judgment, a tragic oversight on the part of Congress to ignore any longer the gaping hole in medicare protection which now exists by reason of the omission of a drug insurance benefit. I intend to work wholeheartedly toward the enactment of this sorely needed amendment to medicare, which has the support of the AFL–CIO Executive Council, the National Council of Senior Citizens, the Adivsory Council on Social Security, the Senate Special Committee on Aging, and the pharmaceutical fraternity Alpha Zeta Omega.

Mr. EDWARDS of California. Mr. Speaker, as Members of Congress we are all concerned and disturbed by the slowness of the pace with which the Government has moved to meet the pressing needs of the elderly. When, as a deliberating and policymaking body, Congress fails to anticipate our social requirements, our minimal response must be the early resolution of our problems once they are recognized.

The problems of our senior citizens can wait no longer. Every passing day, the fight to live a decent life with dignity becomes harder as inflation continues to swallow up their fixed incomes. Generally, in meeting the rising cost of living, the only avenue that remains open to the elderly is to make further cuts in an already tight budget.

One major aspect of public concern for older Americans has been in the area of health coverage. The medicare program has been admirable in assuring that our aged have satisfactory medical care, but the existing program does not provide for out of hospital prescription drugs, which remain the largest personal health expenditure not covered by any public assistance program. At a time when our retired citizens must live on very limited budgets, their annual per capita expenditure for drugs is triple that which our younger people spend.

This hiatus in medicare coverage means that our aged are required to utilize their own meager monthly stipends in order to pay for a substantial portion of their health care expenditures. Mr. OBEY's proposed legislation to provide outpatient prescription drug coverage under medicare will eliminate this problem by designing an uncomplicated but effective comprehensive drug insurance program.

The bill successfully untangles knots and difficulties that can accompany any extensive Government program by simplifying the administrative arrangements as much as possible. Several years of study by Government agencies and interested groups have been undertaken in order to assure that all complications would be ironed out before the enactment of this legislation.

Since nearly everyone over 65 is eligible, few complications can arise over eligibility in the program.

The provision for cost sharing through copayment minimizes bureaucratic redtape while maximizing the cost effectiveness of the program.

Because vendors are reimbursed directly, automated data processing can be utilized to a greater extent, thereby simplifying the supervision of the program. In addition, beneficiaries would not be required to keep records, pay monthly premiums, or file claims. Moreover, this type of claims reimbursement permits the Government to raise pharmaceutical standards by requiring certain health and safety standards from participating vendors.

Financing the program under section A of medicare was a wise and practical decision, since it assures that an individual pays for his drug insurance while he is working, rather than after he is retired and his income has contracted.

I believe that this bill is a fine one, and I commend Mr. OBEY for bringing this matter to our attention. This bill provides an excellent program of drug insurance by combining administrative expertise with a workable and comprehensive solution. The result will be an effective extension of our health insurance system with a minimum of administrative difficulties.

Mr. FLOOD. Mr. Speaker, it is a generally accepted fact that the medicare program is, literally, a lifesaver. Much of the opposition that was quite prominent in the period that the Congress was legislatively putting this program together has now faded.

However successful medicare has been in delivering medical services to those millions over 65 years of age who otherwise would not have received badly needed medical attention, there is one more step needed, and that is outpatient prescription drug coverage for our senior citizens.

Figures show that the elderly, who comprise 10 percent of our population, shoulder an estimated 25 percent of all outpatient drug costs.

The unfortunate feature of this is that these costs come at a time when these citizens can least afford it—after their working days are over and their income has been sharply reduced due to retirement.

The mail that I receive from my constituents strongly indicates the need to amend the appropriate titles of the Social Security Act—titles 2 and 18. I have introduced in this Congress H.R. 4244 for that purpose.

All of us are aware of the serious impact high prescription drug costs can have on limited family budgets. In many cases it can be a devastating experience, an experience that can be softened by the implementation of my bill and those of other sponsors of this measure.

The Social Security Bulletin of March 1971, had a highly informative article on prescription drugs.

It said that about 15 million persons, representing almost four-fifths of the medical insurance enrollees, had prescriptions filled during 1967. Because the number of enrollees has increased since that time, it is safe to assume that the number of prescriptions has also been increased.

The 1967 figure represents an average of 14.1 prescriptions per person acquiring drugs.

About the same proportion of persons used prescription drugs as used medical services—78 percent—but average charges for drugs per person served was about one-third of the average charges for medical services.

It is interesting to note that, although differences existed between urban and rural areas in the use of medical care services, no such differences are indicated in the use of any charges for prescription drugs.

A task force on prescription drugs of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare issued a report in 1969 substantiating the need and feasibility of a prescription drug insurance program. As chairman of the Appropriations Subcommittee for HEW I am, of course, gratified with this support from experts in the field.

So, let us take this next step and ex-

tend the relief so badly needed by our retired citizens on medicare. Whenever we can lift the financial burden of our senior citizens on a sound basis, we should not hesitate to do so. Millions of our people are awaiting such relief so let us take the proper course and pass this legislation.

Mr. SEIBERLING. Mr. Speaker, in the 2-year period ending June 30, 1969, health expenditures for the aged rose by 42.2 percent. And while medicare paid nearly half—47 percent—of the total personal health care expenditures of the aged in fiscal 1969, the uncovered health bill was still considerably larger than the total health bill for the average younger person.

High prices are just one dimension of the health crisis facing this country—a crisis which is particularly acute for senior citizens. Shortage of health personnel and adequate facilities, along with poor delivery of health services combine to deprive thousands of senior citizens of any medical care at all.

This year the Congress will be considering the revision of our entire health industry, and I am hopeful that in the end we will adopt a form of National Health Insurance to guarantee the same level of quality health care to all.

But that will take many months—perhaps several years.

A significant step we can take immediately to lift the burden of the high cost of health care from senior citizens is to pass the bill introduced by my colleague from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) which I am pleased to support.

That bill would remedy a long-standing deficiency in the medicare program by including the cost of out-of-hospital prescription drugs under medicare.

The elderly comprise the largest single group of drug consumers, and, of course, for those living on fixed incomes, the high cost of prescription drugs is particularly hard to bear.

For many elderly people, drugs are a staple of their daily lives.

Diabetes is very common among the elderly. Yet the insulin needed to treat the disease is not now covered by medicare unless the patient is confined to a hospital bed. The list goes on and on.

Heart patients, released from the hospital, often need digoxin and diuretics. Many stroke patients need anticoagulants; ulcer patients need antipeptics. How can a diagnosis help a patient if he cannot afford the necessary therapy?

This bill gives us an opportunity to assist our senior citizens in a very major way, and I commend Congressman OBEY for his leadership in this field.

Mr. DRINAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend the distinguished Representative from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) for his efforts with regard to a most serious shortcoming and defect in the present program of Health Insurance for the Aged, namely the absence of any outpatient prescription drug benefits. I rise in support of H.R. 2355, the purpose of which is to eleminate that defect by providing outpatient prescription drug coverage under Medicare. I am pleased to be a cosponsor of the bill.

America's senior citizens, with limited income, limited savings and other sources, are obligated to face the heavy burden of drug costs which are far heavier on a per capita basis than those which weigh on their fellow citizens, who in most cases are not only younger, but also healthier and wealthier. Although the aged comprise only about 10 percent of the population, they account for approximately 25 percent of all expenditures made for prescribed drugs purchased outside of hospitals or other institutional facilities. This, of course, is understandable, since about 80 percent of the elderly—as opposed to only about 40 percent of those under 65—suffer from a chronic disease or other medical condition. Arthritis and rheumatism afflict 33 percent; heart diesase, 17 percent; high blood pressure, 16 percent; and so on go the depressing statistics. For these people, prescription drugs are essential. And, of course, these drugs represent an enormous recurring and presently unavoidable economic loss for individuals who often must exist on rather meager resources.

Although the burden of prescription drug costs falls disproportionately on the elderly, it does not fall evenly upon them. Evidence of this is found in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare's Task Force on Prescription Drugs' final report. I quote:

> A 1968 estimate, for example, indicates that 20 percent of the elderly will have no drug expenses, while the costs will be less than $50 for 41.5 percent, between $50 and $99 for 19 percent, between $100 and $249 for 15.5 percent, and $250 or more for 4 percent.

Medicare goes part of the way toward relieving the economic disasters wrought by illness and injury in later years; but not enough has been accomplished by that program. For those with acute health problems medicare is a vitally necessary insurance program. For others, however, and especially for those with long-continuing illnesses—the very citizens whose resources are most severely depleted—medicare provides only marginal protection. As has been vividly reported by many, long-term drug requirements can and do erode and dissipate the scarce assets and resources of thousands of older Americans. With this in mind the task force made the following recommendation in its final report:

> We therefore find that, in order to improve the access of the elderly to high quality health care, and to protect them where possible against high drug expenses which they may be unable to meet, there is a need for an out-of-hospital drug insurance program under medicare.

It is my belief that we should enact such a program without any further delay. H.R. 2355 offers us just such an opportunity.

The merits of this bill have earned it the support of the AFL–CIO Executive Council, the National Council of Senior Citizens, the Advisory Council on Social Security, the Senate Special Committee on Aging, and the pharmaceutical fraternity of Alpha Zeta Omega.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is imperative that we act now to provide some measure of relief for those who are dependent—literally for their lives—upon prescribed drugs. Had legislation such as H.R. 2355 been enacted during the last Congress, more than 600,000 older citizens in Massachusetts would now have drug insurance protection. Today, because of our failure to act, these retired persons must bear the full burden of ever-increasing pharmaceutical costs on their own. I sincerely hope that H.R. 2355 will receive the prompt, careful, and favorable consideration it most assuredly deserves.

Mr. SCHEUER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my distinguished colleague from Wisconsin and some 85 other Congressmen in cosponsoring H.R. 2355, a bill to include outpatient prescription drugs under medicare coverage.

Passage of this urgently needed measure would be an important step toward insuring that all elderly citizens have access to adequate health protection. This measure would provide immediate, interim relief to our senior citizens who are disabled and chronically ill, while congressional committees and task forces study and debate the longer range issue of establishing a national health insurance program.

The elderly are being literally priced out of the health-care market, particularly in the area of preventive measures to maintain health and avoid the costly hospital stays that are threatening to bankrupt our health-care system. The HEW Task Force on Prescription Drugs in its report of February 1969 acknowledged that high drug expenses for the elderly coupled with the inability of many to buy the drugs they need "may well be reflected in needless sickness and disability, unemployability, and costly hospitalization which could have been prevented by adequate out-of-hospital treatment."

Medicare, while it has been of considerable help to the elderly, covers less than half of their medical bills; they are left with an average bill to pay out-of-pocket that is considerably higher than the total health bill for a younger person. Moreover, the monthly premiums that the elderly must pay for part B out-of-hospital coverage under medicare are scheduled to increase to $5.60 on July 1st, nearly double the monthly premium when the program began 5 years ago.

As the costs of health care rise, so does the amount the elderly must pay. The burden is heaviest on the disabled and chronically ill, who are most likely to suffer severe financial strain. The HEW task force found that drug bills for those with severe disabilities are nearly three times greater than for those with none. The majority—over 60 percent—of the elderly spend less than $50 a year on prescription drugs, while costs for those with chronic conditions can go as high as $500, $800 or even $1,000 a year. Many senior citizens unable to meet the high costs of drugs are going without the medicines they need to fight chronic illnesses. Others go without enough food and become malnourished in attempting to pay for their drugs.

There is a tragic inequity here. Medicare provides much better coverage for the brief, serious illnesses that require hospitalization than for the long range disabling and chronic illnesses that plague many elderly people, gradually eroding their financial assets, their

health, and independence. Clearly it is time to pass the necessary legislation to provide older Americans with more even, dependable coverage under medicare. One elderly person testifying before a congressional committee said:

> Medicare to us is like a leaking umbrella. You go outside when it is raining and you think you have protection and you open it up and the rain comes right through it.

She could not have expressed the problem better. I urge my colleagues to join in supporting passage of this important proposal that can provide the elderly a measure of the protection they need for a rainy day.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleague, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), in urging prompt, favorable consideration of the legislation he has introduced for himself and some 85 other Members of the Congress, including myself, to establish a comprehensive drug insurance program for the 20 million Americans covered by medicare.

The current medicare program does not adequately provide assistance to the elderly in paying their outpatient prescription costs. I constantly hear that elderly constituents, who had been managing very well on their retirement income, have been reduced to near-poverty by the need for large quantities of an expensive drug. The legislation in question will take care of these people.

This legislation will permit the elderly to obtain their prescriptions at their own drugstore for $1 each. The balance of the cost will be financed under part A, the payroll tax portion, of medicare. The elderly will, in other words, have paid for this coverage during their working years.

This is a simple and quite feasible program which will provide much-needed assistance to countless senior citizens. I urge the Ways and Means Committee to report it out to the House for consideration without delay.

Mr. MIKVA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the truly "forgotten" Americans—those over 65 years of age. Forced to survive on fixed incomes in the midst of unyielding inflation, these elderly Americans faced a grim and persistent struggle in the years that should be marked by relaxation and reflection. H.R. 2355, introduced by my colleague from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), is an important step toward making retirement a secure, tranquil, and fruitful period for all Americans.

H.R. 2355 would alleviate the budgetary plight of older citizens by placing one of the fastest-rising medical costs, that of pharmaceutical, under the coverage of medicare. Even with medicare coverage, health expenses consume an unusually high portion of an elderly person's income, and drug costs are no exception. Thirty-six percent of private health outlays are for drugs and drug sundries, and 20 percent goes directly for prescriptions. In fiscal year 1969, every man and woman over 65 spent, on the average, $70.25 for drugs, more than three times the average for the rest of the population. In light of this evidence, it is not suprising that the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Task Force on Prescription Drugs concluded that medicare coverage should be extended to all pharmaceutical costs.

Presently, medicare pays for drugs only in conjunction with inpatient care, for persons convalescing either in a hospital or in an extended care facility. On the other hand, Congressman OBEY's proposal would finance the cost of all medically necessary drugs, as determined by a formulary committee of physicians, whether the patient is in a hospital or not. The sole remaining cost to the individual would be a $1 copayment charge for each prescription. Realizing that the average person over 65 has 14 prescriptions filled during the year, this proposal represents a reduction in drug expenditures from over $70 to $14. This savings of over $55 is a substantial one for these financially hard-pressed Americans.

H.R. 2355 is a sound and administratively feasible proposal by which to reduce the skyrocketing medical costs that the elderly must pay. I urge that the House act favorably on this measure, thereby giving older Americans the financial assistance they so desperately need.

Mr. GUDE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to have this opportunity to voice my support for H.R. 2355 which provides outpatient prescription drug coverage under medicare.

As a cosponsor of this bill, I feel that it will go a long way in meeting the needs of older Americans. We have all felt the increases in the cost of living, but the elderly are the real victims of the inflation for many of them are living on a fixed income, unable to keep pace with today's climbing costs. Todays' medical costs, as compared with yesterday's are astounding, and it is nearly impossible for many older people to meet this expense when they are barely able to subsist on their retirement income.

This bill provides that individuals pay for their drugs during their working years than after they are retired and so often struggling to make ends meet.

I urge all of my colleagues to rally to the needs of older Americans and pass this legislation. We owe it to a generation of people who have worked long and hard years for this country. Today, we are enjoying the fruits of their labor and the least we can do is share it with them.

GENERAL LEAVE TO EXTEND

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the subject of this special order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

CREDIT UNIONS SHOULD NOT BE CHARGED FOR SPACE IN FEDERAL BUILDINGS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PATMAN) is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, the Comptroller General of the United States, in mid-February of this year, issued a report entitled "Applying a Uniform Policy with Respect to Rental Charges for Credit Unions." The basic recommendation of this report is that the Administrator of the General Services Administration should "establish guidelines for use by Federal agencies in determining charges to be made to credit unions serving Federal employees for space, related services, and personal property furnished in those cases where the credit unions have attained a degree of financial stability that would enable them to pay for the space and other services." In short, the report recommends that credit unions, which for 34 years have been receiving free space in Government buildings, should now be charged for this space.

Before going any further, let me answer a question that is sure to arise, and that is, why should credit unions receive free space. In answer to this, it must be remembered that, first, credit unions are nonprofit organizations and, in the case of credit unions operating in Federal facilities, the credit unions are owned by the employees of that facility. Thus, it is not some outside, private concern getting a free ride from the Government.

Second, credit unions perform a large number of services for the Government and its employees, for which the credit union is not reimbursed by the Government. The credit unions cash payroll checks, normally without charge, which means that Government employees do not have to leave the building in order to get their checks cashed. Credit unions provide financial counseling services for Government employees and make loans available, not only for traditional purposes, but also to help out financially troubled employees.

On military installations, credit unions have saved untold military careers by providing financing for servicemen who were denied loans by all other financial institutions. Military credit unions provide financial counseling and consumer advice to servicemen and have been largely successful in driving out high-interest-rate lenders and loan sharks from the military community. In short, the credit unions are one of the fringe benefits that Government employees enjoy, both in civilian and military life.

ARMY RECOGNIZES VALUE OF CREDIT UNIONS

The memorandum from Maj. Gen. Robert C. Forbes, Director of Personnel, Training and Force Development, Army Materiel Command, clearly indicates the outstanding services performed by credit unions to members of our Armed Forces. In part, General Forbes writes,

> Federal Credit Unions perform a vital service to military and civilian personnel . . . Federal Credit Unions promote the morale and welfare of our personnel by extending assistance where a demonstrated need exists.

General Forbes pledges the complete support of the Army Materiel Command to credit unions, even to the extent of helping to collect delinquent accounts.

The memorandum follows:

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,
U.S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND,
Washington, D.C., March 1, 1971.

Subject: Credit Union Service.
See distribution.

1. Reference AR 210—24.

2. Federal Credit Unions perform a vital service to military and civilian personnel. They provide a convenient place for systematic savings and create a source of credit for worthwhile purposes. Many benefits such as good saving habits, low cost loans, and financial counseling result from joining these associations. Federal Credit Unions promote the morale and welfare of our personnel by extending assistance where a demonstrated need exists.

3. Since the membership of Federal Credit Unions at AMC installations is composed of personnel within the AMC family, it is especially important that these associations be supported to the best of our ability. Federal Credit Unions will be furnished advertising space in local publications consistent with the policies of the media concerned, use of bulletin boards for promotion or informational material, and use of the message center distribution system as means to publicize their services. Commanders will assist in the collection of delinquent accounts, provide available space in existing buildings without charge, and allow time for employee officials to attend to their required duties. These are positive ways in which the strength and service of Federal Credit Unions can be preserved.

For the commander:

ROBERT C. FORBES,
Major General, USA.

The question of charging credit unions for space is not so much the cost to the credit unions, although in many cases the cost could severely handicap the credit unions, but rather it would appear that credit unions are being punished for helping Government employees. This is not the way to treat a group of nonprofit organizations whose motto long has been "Not for Profit, Not for Charity, but for Service."

Mr. Speaker, I have long been a stanch supporter of the General Accounting Office, for I think it performs, on the whole, an outstanding service.

I do feel, however, that in its report dealing with credit unions, GAO has not spent enough time developing its facts. GAO has considered only the income that would be generated by charging credit unions rent without considering any of the benefits that credit unions provide to the Government and its employees.

When the original Federal Credit Union Act, of which I was an author, was signed on June 26, 1934, there was no provision for the use of space by credit unions in Federal buildings. In 1937, however, legislation was enacted which provided for free space in Federal buildings to credit unions which had memberships composed exclusively of Federal employees and members of their families. In 1959, the law was amended further so that free space could be provided in Federal buildings to credit unions having memberships composed of at least 95 percent Federal employees and members of their families.

Thus, there has been a clear legislative record supporting the right of credit unions to operate in Federal buildings without charge.

The General Accounting Office contends that Congress never intended for credit unions to have free space in Federal buildings except for the use of perhaps a desk and limited space in such buildings.

FREE SPACE IS A LEGISLATIVE MATTER

When Congress was first presented the proposition for free space, it is true that at that time it was felt that only a minimal amount of space would be needed in the buildings. However, since that time, the wide acceptance of credit unions by Federal employees has dictated an increase in the amount of space needed. GAO takes the position that since the space requirements for credit unions have increased since the passage of the 1937 law, credit unions should now pay for this space. In this situation GAO has substituted its judgment for that of the Congress. If Congress decided that credit unions should have free space in Federal buildings and made this determination based on certain space criteria, then it is up to Congress to pass new legislation if it feels that credit unions, because of their increased space demands, should now pay rent.

I expressed my feeling on this to GAO and added that I knew of no legislative proposals that have been introduced since 1937 to require the payment of rent. I cannot understand why GAO has pursued a legislative matter on an administrative basis.

My amazement is further compounded because, to my knowledge, there have been no complaints on the part of Members of Congress, at least publicly, about credit unions using Government space.

At the very time that GAO is proposing that nonprofit Government-employee-owned credit unions pay for space, the agency is completely overlooking another situation involving Government space that is costing the taxpayers millions of dollars a year.

BANKS RECEIVE MILLIONS IN GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES

It is not generally known, but the U.S. Government pays commercial banks in a large number of instances for operating on military installations, both in the United States and overseas. In a 5-year period ending in 1969, the U.S. Government reimbursed commercial banking facilities on military installations more than $10.3 million. More than $5 million of that subsidy was paid to the Nation's three largest banks, Bank of America, headquartered in San Francisco; and Chase Manhattan and First National City Bank, both of New York City. Bank of America has been paid more than half a million dollars for operating banking facilities in the State of California alone and, in addition, collected nearly $1 million for its overseas operations. The figures for the period 1969 to the present are currently being collected, but it is my understanding that they will be roughly the same as those that were obtained in 1969. That would mean that more than $2 million a year is being paid to commercial banks, which are profit motivated financial institutions, for operating on military installations.

Many of these banks that are receiving the Government subsidy operate on military installations which also have a credit union. The credit union does not receive any payment for serving military or civilian personnel, although, where space is available, they are given free use of the space. Now, however, GAO would deny even the free space to the nonprofit credit union, but has made no recommendation to stop the payments to the profitmaking bank operating on the same installation.

The credit unions have even come forward with their own proposal to save the Government millions of dollars. They have said they will perform all of the functions now being handled by the commercial banks on military installations and will do it without any subsidy from the Government.

Mr. Speaker, the GAO report consistently describes credit unions in terms of organizations that serve Federal employees. Not once in the entire report does it point out that these credit unions are owned and operated by Federal employees.

This point is brought out most clearly in a section of the report which states:

We have noticed that other organizations which serve Federal employees reimburse the Government for space and services furnished.

The GAO report does not mention that these other organizations are not owned and operated by Government employees and, for the most part, these other organizations operate on a profitmaking basis. This is an extremely important point that the GAO report has consistently overlooked.

GAO SURVEY IS NOT REPRESENTATIVE

The factual accuracy of the GAO report, unfortunately, leaves much to be desired. For instance, the report attempts to show that significant numbers of credit unions operating in private industry pay for space. In order to back up this point, GAO surveyed 365 credit unions in New York and California, and 297 of the credit unions responded to the survey. These credit unions reported that about 59 percent of the space that they occupied was being paid for by the credit unions.

I do not think that even GAO would contend that this is in any way a representative sampling, since only 297 credit unions were surveyed and all of these were in two States. It should also be pointed out that there are nearly 24,000 credit unions in the United States and GAO only questioned 365.

The GAO report states that 59 percent of the space occupied by the credit unions in the survey was paid for by the credit unions. However, in a table accompanying the report, it shows that of the 297 credit unions that responded, 204 received free space in buildings of the industry that the credit union served. Thus, 67.3 percent of the credit unions received free space. That figure is much closer to a factual representation of the overall credit union picture.

In a survey conducted by CUNA International, the worldwide credit union trade association, 16,699 credit unions serving private industry reported that 72.32 percent received free space. The accompanying chart shows, on a State-by-State breakdown, the percentage of credit unions receiving free space.

ATTACHMENT II

TYPE OF OFFICE SPACE USED BY U.S. CREDIT UNIONS, 1969

	Free (percent)	Rented (percent)	Owned (percent)	Total (percent)	Number of credit unions reporting	Total number of credit unions	Percent of credit unions reporting
Alabama	80.19	11.32	8.49	100.00	212	371	57.14
Alaska	75.00	25.00		100.00	28	39	71.79
Arizona	62.07	24.14	13.79	100.00	116	166	69.87
Arkansas	89.68	4.76	5.56	100.00	126	161	78.26
California	66.12	27.00	6.88	100.00	1,396	1,866	74.81
Colorado	58.27	31.66	10.07	100.00	278	325	85.53
Connecticut	84.69	13.75	1.56	100.00	320	507	63.11
Delaware	76.36	18.48	5.40	100.00	55	79	69.62
District of Columbia	87.26	11.76	.98	100.00	102	177	57.62
Florida	82.15	11.21	6.64	100.00	437	660	66.21
Georgia	85.40	10.08	4.02	100.00	244	416	58.65
Hawaii	68.67	24.10	7.23	100.00	166	168	98.80
Idaho	71.65	23.62	4.73	100.00	127	185	68.64
Illinois	81.18	15.15	3.67	100.00	1,089	1,736	62.73
Indiana	75.92	17.14	6.94	100.00	461	604	76.32
Iowa	75.76	17.08	7.16	100.00	363	421	86.32
Kansas	66.10	24.46	9.44	100.00	233	332	70.18
Kentucky	87.23	6.92	5.85	100.00	188	270	69.62
Louisiana	84.85	9.85	5.30	100.00	264	487	54.20
Maine	59.88	27.68	12.43	100.00	177	196	90.30
Maryland	78.57	14.29	7.14	100.00	154	245	62.85
Massachusetts	73.42	22.59	3.99	100.00	301	787	38.24
Michigan	42.55	32.36	25.09	100.00	1,060	1,145	92.57
Minnesota	67.20	28.07	4.91	100.00	285	444	64.18
Mississippi	88.97	8.09	2.94	100.00	136	212	64.15
Missouri	75.98	20.53	3.49	100.00	458	528	86.74
Montana	61.19	32.09	6.72	100.00	134	140	95.71
Nebraska	68.60	27.27	4.13	100.00	121	161	75.15
Nevada	51.35	29.73	18.92	100.00	37	64	57.81
New Hampshire	72.06	25.09	7.35	100.00	68	71	95.77
New Jersey	80.83	15.04	4.13	100.00	339	605	56.03
New Mexico	72.22	22.22	5.56	100.00	108	138	86.40
New York	38.46	11.54	50.00	100.00	26	1,194	2.17
North Carolina	86.32	11.05	2.63	100.00	190	321	59.19
North Dakota	62.04	24.07	13.89	100.00	108	125	87.20
Ohio	70.37	23.62	6.01	100.00	999	1,442	69.27
Oklahoma	73.58	20.13	6.29	100.00	159	198	80.30
Oregon	59.76	26.69	13.55	100.00	251	257	97.66
Pennsylvania	70.85	25.73	3.42	100.00	1,403	1,428	98.24
Puerto Rico	63.77	18.84	17.39	100.00	138	138	100.00
Rhode Island	67.59	23.15	9.26	100.00	108	145	74.48
South Carolina	91.38	5.17	3.45	100.00	174	178	97.75
South Dakota	88.89	8.33	2.78	100.00	108	116	93.10
Tennessee	89.47	8.77	1.76	100.00	513	559	91.77
Texas	73.14	17.20	9.66	100.00	1,087	1,424	76.33
Utah	75.72	16.93	7.35	100.00	313	322	97.20
Vermont	73.97	17.81	8.22	100.00	73	78	93.58
Virginia	87.05	10.12	2.83	100.00	247	344	71.80
Washington	62.20	25.00	12.80	100.00	328	405	80.98
West Virginia	81.74	11.30	6.96	100.00	115	202	56.93
Wisconsin	72.64	21.87	5.58	100.00	598	780	76.66
Wyoming	71.70	22.64	5.66	100.00	53	55	96.36
Total	72.32	20.27	7.41	100.00	16,669	23,701	70.33

By sheer weight of numbers, the CUNA table is far more representative than the meager survey of the General Accounting Office.

Perhaps the most distressing part of the GAO report is that it omitted any agency comments that did not agree with the report. GAO solicited comments from the Director, Office of Management and Budget, as well as from the Administrator of the General Services Administration, both of whom agreed with GAO's position. However, the report does not include comments from the National Credit Union Administration, the Federal regulatory agency for credit unions, nor from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, which, until March of 1970, was responsible for Federal credit union supervision. There is, perhaps, a very good reason why GAO overlooked these agencies. In September of 1969, HEW, in commenting on the draft report of GAO, took a strong position in favor of providing credit unions free space in Federal buildings. Only passing mention is made of the HEW position in the final GAO report, and even though the National Credit Union Administration had been in operation for nearly a year when the GAO report was issued, that agency was not asked for comments. In checking with the National Credit Union Administration, I find that that agency is strongly opposed to the GAO report and feels that existing policy of providing rent-free space where available should be continued.

WHY HAS GAO IGNORED BALANCED VIEW?

In the past, the General Accounting Office has always tried to present a balanced picture in its reports, giving all concerned agencies a chance to make their comments public with the report. I cannot understand why GAO, in this instance, has presented such a one-sided view. Because the GAO report provides such a one-sided view, I think it is important that the complete legislative history surrounding the granting of free space should be reviewed.

The Comptroller General's recommendation is based upon the assumption that the Federal Credit Union Act permits an agency to decide whether it has available space which may be used by a credit union and then determine if a charge will be imposed for use of the space. That this assumption is totally incorrect is clearly established by the language and the legislative history of this section of the act.

In part, the act provides:

Upon application by any credit union . . . addressed to the officer or agency of the United States charged with allotment of space in Federal buildings . . . such officer or agency may in his discretion allot space to such credit union if space is available *without charge for rent or services.* (Emphasis supplied.)

The act's language itself provides that if the agency exercises its discretion in favor of allotting available space to the credit union, it must not impose a charge for the use of such space. Contrary to the Comptroller General's interpretation of the act, it does not provide that the agency has discretion to determine if a charge should be imposed for available space that is allotted.

As originally enacted in 1934, the Federal Credit Union Act did not provide for the allotment of free Government office space to credit unions. However, as credit unions of Government employees became operational, they began to occupy substantial amounts of space in Federal buildings rent free. Nevertheless, in 1936, with an approach similar to that involved in his report in the present situation, the Comptroller General ruled that such office space could not be occupied legally under the existing law. The public outcry was sufficiently strong against the ruling that its effective date was delayed until June 1, 1937, in order to provide the Congress with an opportunity to pass remedial legislation.

On May 26, 1937, the House of Representatives Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds began hearings on H.R. 6287, which provided:

Be it enacted, etc., That upon application, by any Federal Credit Union a majority of the shares of which are subscribed for by officers and employees of the Federal Government, to the officer or agency of the United States charged with the allotment of space in the Federal building in the community or district in which the union does business, such officer or agency shall allot adequate space in such building to such credit union. *No rent shall be charged for the use of such space, but a reasonable charge shall be collected for heat, light, care, and services furnished to the union.* (Emphasis supplied.)

In the Senate, the companion bill, S. 1306, used similar language:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Federal Credit Union Act is amended by inserting at the end thereof the following new section:

Sec. 21. Upon application by any credit union organized under State law or by any Federal credit union organized in accordance with the terms of this Act, the membership of which is composed of Federal employees, which application shall be addressed to the officer or agency of the United States charged with the allotment of space in the Federal buildings in the community or district in which said credit union or Federal credit union does business, such officer or agency shall allot space in such credit union if space is available. *No rent shall be charged for the use of such space.* (Emphasis supplied.)

It is particularly significant that when the bill was reported out of the committee as a single sentence, there was no desire or attempt to change the original meaning of the "no rent shall be charged for the use of such space" phrase. As reported by the committee, and subsequently passed by the Congress, the bill read:

Upon application by any credit union organized under State law or by any Federal Credit Union organized in accordance with the terms of this Act, the membership of which is composed exclusively of Federal employees, which application shall be addressed to the officer or agency of the United States charged with the allotment of space in the Federal buildings in the community or district in which said credit union or Federal Credit Union does business, such officer or agency may in his or its discretion allot space to such credit union, if space is available, without charge for rent or services.

During the House committee hearings several changes were made to the bill's

language consistent with the recommendations made by various executive agencies. For example, the Treasury Department suggested that it would be "preferable to confine the use of space in Federal buildings to activities of the Government and those conducted for its employees only" in lieu of the original "majority of the shares of which are subscribed for by officers and employees of the Federal Government" language.

In addition, the Treasury Department wanted to include a provision for administrative discretion as to whether space should be allotted—but not as to whether rent should be charged. A letter by the Acting Secretary of the Treasury to the chairman of the House committee explained:

With regard to the form of the bill, it may also be noted that its terms impose a mandatory duty to assign space, upon application, and do not take into account the possibility that there may be no space available without displacing a Government activity. It is believed that there should be administrative discretion to decide *whether the space conditions in particular buildings are such as to permit the allotment of space to credit unions without undue interference with Government activities.* (Emphasis supplied.)

In a letter dated May 11, 1937, to the committee, the Acting Postmaster General made a similar suggestion, again confining the exercise of an agency's discretion to the issue of whether space was available for the credit union, and not to whether the credit union should pay rent.

HOUSE COMMITTEE FAVORED FREE SERVICES

During the House committee hearings, the bill's sponsor, Representative John J. Cochran, engaged in a colloquy with several committee members that clearly establishes the committee's intent to "eliminate any charge whatsoever" for space or services furnished by an agency pursuant to the bill's exemption.

The CHAIRMAN. Would any members of the committee like to ask any questions?

Mr. EBERHARTER. The Senate has a bill somewhat similar, introduced by Senator Sheppard.

The CHAIRMAN. The House bill makes provision that a reasonable charge shall be collected for heat, light, care, and services furnished to the union.

Mr. EBERHARTER. The Senate bill does not contain that.

Mr. COCHRAN. I would be absolutely opposed to charging anything if they are going to give them any space in Federal buildings. You do not charge anything to the individual that sells fruit, papers, and so forth, to the employees in Federal buildings for the space they occupy. Why should we charge the Federal Credit Union for any space they might occupy?

The CHAIRMAN. I wondered why you put that provision in the bill.

Mr. COCHRAN. I put it in so that no rent shall be charged.

The CHAIRMAN. But a reasonable charge for heat, light, and service.

Mr. COCHRAN. That was put in at the suggestion of the Department. Personally, I would like to see it eliminated.

The CHAIRMAN. You do not favor any charge for heat and light?

Mr. COCHRAN. I do not think we ought to have any charge whatsoever. I think we should give that space and encourage the employees to do business with the Federal Credit Union rather than go to a money lender.

The CHAIRMAN. My understanding is that these letters from the Department do not insist on that feature, because heat and light are part of their supplies for the building generally, and there would be no appreciable extra cost, so I do not see any particular reason why that charge should be in the bill. It seems to me we might eliminate that.

Mr. COCHRAN. I spoke to one man about it in the Procurement Division, and he said that he thought that the Department would approve it with that provision in it, and that is the reason I put it in there, but I would like to see it eliminated. I wanted to get a favorable report out of the Department on the bill, and that is the reason I put that language in, because I knew it would be referred to the Procurement Division if it went to the Treasury Department.

The CHAIRMAN. May I quote here from the letter of the Acting Postmaster General:

". . . and this Department feels that the advantage to the Government in locating their activities in close proximity to the members they serve is sufficiently substantial to justify their use of the small amount of space needed without charge for rent or services."

So the Post Office Department very clearly makes the statement that they think it could be done and should be done without charge either for rent or services.

Mr. COCHRAN. I certainly hope the committee will accept their recommendation and amend the bill to eliminate any charge whatsoever.

Toward the conclusion of the hearings, the committee's chairman, Representative Fritz G. Lanham of Texas, marked up one version of the bill, S. 1306, and then read it aloud in modified form. The chairman explained that his revisions were designed solely to encompass the suggestions of the executive agencies; explaining:

The CHAIRMAN. I have tried to modify this in accordance with the suggestions of the Departments, because when we pass the bill, we want it to meet with Executive approval, and the Departments will doubtless be consulted. Let me read this as I have altered it a little in accordance with those suggestions, filling in the new section:

"SEC. 21. Upon application by any credit union organized under State law or by any Federal Credit Union organized in accordance with the terms of this act, the membership of which is composed exclusively of Federal employees, which application shall be addressed to the officer or agency of the United States charged with the allotment of space in the Federal buildings in the community or district in which said credit union or Federal Credit Union does business, such officer or agency may in his or its discretion allot space to such credit union if space is available, without charge for rent or services."

Mr. STEWARD. That is entirely satisfactory.

The CHAIRMAN. That seems to be satisfactory entirely to the Department and that is carrying out the recommendations they make.

* * * * *

I will say for the record that a representative of the Treasury Department telephoned that it would be unnecessary for any one to appear from that Department as the letter they have sent would be sufficient, and the suggestions made here comply with the recommendations they have made, also with the recommendation the Post Office Department has made.

Mr. STEFAN. I am very much in favor of holding this down exclusively to Government employees. I favor the elimination of the charge for light and heat, and as long as it is exclusively a Government organization, I think they are entitled to it.

When the exemption statute was passed by the Congress in 1937, Chairman Lanham's revised language was accepted, and his committee's intent became the intent of the Congress.

Similarly, when the statute was amended in 1959 to permit credit unions to qualify for the exemption if they were composed at least 95 percent of Federal employees or former Federal employees, no change was made in the "without charge for rent or services" clause.

On the basis of the express wording of the present statute, and the foregoing legislative history, the exemption statute—12 S.C. section 1770—cannot be construed to permit a Federal agency to allot available space to a credit union and to impose a charge for the use of such space. Such discretion as exists under the statute is limited to a determination of whether space is available and allotable.

NO OTHER BASIS FOR GAO POSITION

The Comptroller General's report relies upon Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952—31 U.S.C. 483(a)—as supplemental authority for the issuance by the General Services Administration of guidelines for the establishment of charges for space and other services. Apparently recognizing the weakness in a position that relies exclusively upon the Independent Offices Act, the report attempts to cite the Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 as its basic authority for assessing charges:

In our opinion, the Federal Credit Union Act of 1934, as amended, contains sufficient authority to charge credit unions for space occupied in Federal buildings and for personal property furnished by the agencies.

Since the invalidity of this opinion as to the Federal Credit Union Act has already been discussed, is there any other statutory basis for the Comptroller General's recommendation?

The Independent Offices Act provides in part that:

". . . anything of value . . . furnished by a Federal agency to . . . any person (including groups, associations, organizations, partnerships, corporations, or businesses) except those engaged in the transaction of official business of the Government, shall be self-sustaining to the full extent possible, and the head of each Federal agency is authorized . . . to prescribe therefore such fee . . . as he shall determine . . . to be fair and equitable . . . *Provided, That nothing contained in this section shall repeal or modify existing statutes prohibiting the collection . . . of any fee, charge or price . . .* (Emphasis supplied.)

As the Comptroller General's report appears to recognize the proviso in the Independent Offices Act incorporates by reference the prohibition against collecting any rent or charge for space in Federal buildings occupied by credit unions. Therefore, the Act cannot provide an independent or supplemental basis for levying a charge for the use of such space, and one does not even have to reach the question of whether Congress intended credit unions to be "self-sustaining to the full extent possible."

The Comptroller General believes that the establishment of charges for credit unions using Federal space would be consistent with a congressional philosophy under the Independent Offices Appro-

priation Act—31 U.S.C. 483(a)—that Federal activities which provide special benefit to recipients above and beyond those accruing to the public at large be self-sustaining. What the Comptroller General appears to overlook is the congressional finding, as expressed in the exemption statute—12 U.S.C. 1770—that credit unions made up of Federal employees provide benefits to the public at large that far outweigh any revenue that could be derived from the Government's receipt of rent.

In a letter dated May 11, 1937, to Chairman Lanham, the Acting Postmaster General stated:

> Credit unions of this type conduct their business for the sole benefit of Federal employees, and this Department feels that the advantage to the Government in locating their activities in close proximity to the members they serve is sufficiently substantial to justify their use of the small amount of space needed without charge for rent or services.

Since 1937, the services provided by credit unions in Government buildings for their members have grown substantially, and perhaps their space needs have grown proportionally—although the Comptroller General's statistics would indicate to the contrary. Whatever their space requirements may be, the Congress has recognized that the Government pays a relatively small price for the benefits it derives as a result of their presence in Federal buildings.

During the initial consideration of the exemption statute, the president of the National Association of Federal Employees, Luther Steward, testified:

> There is no opposition to the credit unions. Of course, it is obvious that they are very beneficial to the employees. They are, however, equally beneficial to the administrators, because anyone who has had direction either of a public or a private business is thoroughly familiar with the troubles between employees and loan sharks.
>
> It has been our experience without exception that every administrative officer who comes into contact with Federal employees' credit unions considers them so vitality important to his administrative duty whatever function he is charged with, that he will go to any reasonable effort to give them space.

Furthermore, Federal employee credit unions provide so many substantial services to agency administrators and their employees, that they can be deemed to be engaging in the "transaction of the official business of the Government," and therefore are subject to the additional exemption from paying for space afforded by the Independent Offices Act. For example, the budget and loan counseling services provided by Federal employee credit unions would otherwise have to be performed by a personnel or financial services office within the agency. The check cashing, money order and related services provided on the Federal agency's premises save the Government from having to establish such services, or in the alternative from suffering the loss of the employee's working time required for a trip to a bank or other facility off the premises.

For these reasons, the Independent Offices Act does not provide the Comptroller General with an independent or supplemental basis for his recommendation that guidelines be established for the collection of rental charges.

Neither Executive Order 11035, dated July 9, 1962, cited in the Comptroller General's report, nor Executive Order 11512 of March 3, 1970, which superseded it, provide an independent basis for the General Services Administration's guidelines concerning the allocation and charges for space occupied by credit unions. Both Executive Orders state that they are "subject to applicable provisions of law", i.e., subject to the exemption statute and the Independent Office Appropriation Act of 1952 which exempt credit unions from paying for available space.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS FAIL TO SUPPORT GAO

The Comptroller General's report also fails to make a good case for charging credit unions rent when it is looked at in light of judicial precedents in this area. During the House committee hearings on the exemption statute in 1937, several witnesses and Congressmen reflected upon the value to the agency or administrator of retaining or establishing an employees' credit union within a Federal building. This public benefit theory of supporting Government employee credit unions has been recognized and affirmed by at least two appellate State courts.

In *State ex rel. Leach* v. *Price*, 168 Ohio 499, 156 N.E. 2d 316 (1959), a mandamus action was brought against a city auditor seeking to require him to make payroll deductions for municipal employees and to transmit such deductions to the employees' credit unions. Rejecting the auditor's contentions that the payroll deductions were analogous to wage assignments, and that the cost of making the deductions would outweigh any public benefits to be derived, the Ohio Supreme Court explained:

> It must also be noted that today the credit union has come to be one of the accepted incidental job-benefits offered to employees, and that it is beneficial to both employer and employee.
>
> It is beneficial to the employer in that it is to his interest to promote the security and well being of his employees. An employee who has a feeling of security is more content and efficient, and the existence of a credit union in which he can save money and to which he can turn in case of financial need is conducive to a feeling of security. The existence of a credit union composed of governmental employees is especially beneficial to the governmental employer, since it is one of the few incidental benefits which may be offered by such employer as an inducement to obtaining and keeping competent employees. This, of course, is to the advantage of the public which such governmental employer serves.

The Court also noted that an employees' credit union was unlike a private corporation because it has special characteristics that make it beneficial to both employer and employee:

> We conclude that the slight increase in the cost of bookkeeping and clerical work in handling the salary deductions and disbursements contemplated here, as part of an already complex and expensive payroll procedure, does not constitute an invalid expenditure of public money for a nonpublic purpose or in aid of a corporation in contravention of Sec. 6, Art. VIII of the Constitution of Ohio.

It appears that the Congress both in 1937 and 1959 pursued a similar public benefit theory. While the Federal Government would have to bear the additional expense associated with allotting space to employee credit unions without payment of rent, a part of its enormously larger rental or ownership expenses, the public benefits outweigh these losses of rental income.

In New Hampshire in 1961, the Governor and Executive Council asked that State's Supreme Court whether they were bound to honor wage assignments of State employees. The Court replied in the negative but, citing State ex rel. Leach against Price, supra, with approval, created a special exception for payroll deductions made to employee credit unions.

There have been no State or Federal cases which reach conclusions contrary to the ones discussed.

In summary, State judicial precedents support the Congress' theory in exempting Federal employee credit unions from payment of rent when space is determined to be available by an agency or an administrator.

Perhaps through oversight, or inadequate analysis of the relevant statutory and judicial authorities, the Comptroller General's report recommends precisely the type of action that prompted the enactment of the exemption statute in 1937. That statute's amendment and reenactment in 1959 provides evidence of a continuing congressional intent to exempt credit unions from paying the charges suggested in the Comptroller General's 1936 report. Passage of time alone does not provide justification for the Comptroller General's circumvention of the exemption statute; imagine the congressional outcry that would have ensued if such a report had been issued in 1938 or 1960, immediately after the exemption statutes were passed and amended.

Whatever may be the merits of the reasoning in the report, the Comptroller General recommends the wrong forum for consideration of his arguments. If the issue of whether Federal employee credit unions should pay rent for available space in Federal buildings is to be considered, it must be considered by the Congress.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I want to impress upon credit unions the importance of this matter and suggest that they should bring it to the attention of their elected representatives in Congress. I urge credit unions affected by the GAO report to write to the two U.S. Senators from their State and the Congressman from their district, bringing this matter to their attention.

The credit union should point out the service that they render to Government employees and the benefits derived by the Government from these services.

Because of the urgency of this matter, I urge the credit unions to write these letters immediately so that action may be taken to see that credit unions can continue to receive space for their operations in Federal buildings without charge.

FARM SUBSIDY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-

man from Massachusetts (Mr. CONTE) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. CONTE. Mr. Speaker, a year ago after I inserted a list of farm subsidy recipients getting over $25,000 in 1969—CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, volume 116, part 7, page 9129—I commented that the list amounted to "an incredible scandal sheet, clearly demonstrating the bankruptcy of our farm program."—RECORD, volume 116, part 7, page 9480.

Since then, we have seen the adoption of the first subsidy payment ceiling at a level of $55,000 per crop. While this represents a step in the right direction, many of us who have long fought for a ceiling remain convinced that it should be set at a much lower level. And it will be recalled that when I fought for a $20,000 ceiling a year ago, I warned that the $55,000 ceiling would produce little savings unless it also included tough provisions against evasion.

The indications so far, as I have pointed out earlier this month—RECORD, April 6, 1971; 9778—are that the Agriculture Department's administration of the present ceiling will do little to prevent evasion. There are even those who claim that its present administration is, in fact, encouraging evasion. I will include at the close of my remarks an excellent recent article by Mr. George Anthan of the Des Moines Register, supporting this claim.

These disappointing developments make it even more likely that a serious effort will be made to lower the ceiling further when the 1972 agriculture appropriations bill reaches the floor. And students of House rules will undoubtedly agree that the new recorded teller vote procedure greatly improves the chances for success in this effort.

So as the author of amendments to set a $20,000 subsidy ceiling which passed the House in 1968 and 1969, only to be defeated in the other body, I am seriously considering offering such an amendment again this year. For this reason I requested the Department of Agriculture to furnish me a list of all those who received subsidies of $20,000 or more in 1970. I will insert this list in the RECORD today at the close of my remarks.

Mr. Speaker, this list is not affected by the new ceiling which is only now going into effect for the present year. While it therefore does not reflect the new situation, it is a useful reference for two reasons.

First, the list may be referred to to identify those who would likely be affected by a $20,000 ceiling.

Second, the list also provides further confirmation of the need to reform this program. Let us just take a look at how some of 1970's millionaire farmers fared.

Once again the leader of the field was J. G. Boswell Co. of California which collected a whopping $4,429,484. Business was up just a bit for Mr. Boswell who received only $4,370,657 in 1969.

In No. 2 position, Giffen, Inc. of California also fared better, moving up from $3,333,385 in 1969 to $4,095,114. Other giant California corporate farmers also fared better in 1970, proving that subsidies have been a useful device for the big crop boys to help them ride through periods of economic slowdowns. South Lake Farms went from $1,788,052 in 1969 to $1,875,454 in 1970.

Two other corporations joined the ranks of the million dollar club: Vista del Llano went from a paltry $778,624 to $1,105,762; and Kern County Land Co. underwent a name change, taking the name of its parent corporation, Tenneco, and moved from $974,163 to $1,317,051.

None of us here, Mr. Speaker, need reminding that these giants are not the people this program was designed to help. While millions of Americans remain hungry and malnourished, and dozens of vitally important domestic needs remain unmet, it is a shameful commentary on our priorities that some 23 farm corporations each collected more than half a million dollars last year.

All this, finally, is beginning to change. I hope this list I present today will convince all of us of the urgent need to follow through last year's initial effort to reach the goal of a truly fair and reasonable farm program—one that is not only fair to all farmers but also fair to the American taxpayer.

The article and list referred to follow:

BYPASS LIMIT ON SUBSIDIES FOR COTTON—DOUBT EFFECTIVENESS OF $55,000 RULE

(By George Anthan)

WASHINGTON, D.C.—Officials of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) in California have confirmed that large cotton producers there are effectively bypassing the new federal limitation on cotton subsidy payments.

Officials of the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS) in Kern, Fresno and Kings counties said records show that large cotton producers are leasing their federal cotton allotments to smaller growers.

One Fresno County ASCS official said that as a result of the new $55,000 subsidy limitation imposed last year by Congress "won't have much effect. The money is just being spread around among more people. It's going around in circles."

USDA officials said it is believed large cotton producers in other states also are taking effective steps to bypass the subsidy limitation. Many of the nation's largest cotton producers are in California.

The California officials told the Register in telephone interviews that the ASCS county units have been deluged with requests for approval of agreements to lease federal cotton allotments. They said most of the requests have been approved.

The ASCS officials explained that under these agreements, the large producers get a cash payment from the small growers for the use of the allotment.

ELIGIBLE FOR SUBSIDIES

Then, the small growers who lease these allotments are eligible for federal cotton subsidies which, in part, finance their original leasing fees to the large producers.

Thus, despite the new $55,000 limitation on subsidy payments, the government's total outlay will be about the same.

The big producers who hold the federal cotton allotments still will be getting a big share of the federal money, but, indirectly now, through the leasing fees.

It's all legal, according to USDA officials here. In fact, leasing of cotton allotments has been legal since 1965, and was inserted into the 1970 farm bill that also included the subsidy limitation.

The situation prompted Representative Neal Smith (Dem., Ia.) to reaffirm Tuesday his long-standing position that the 1970 farm bill was "a cotton bill."

"Cotton got all the advantages," said Smith. "They paid off the cotton people, to the disadvantage of feed grain farmers."

Most of the big federal subsidies traditionally have gone to major cotton producers in the South and West, and efforts to install iron-clad rules to prevent the big producers from by-passing the regulations have been frustrated in Congress, where lawmakers from the cotton states dominate the agriculture committees and subcommittees.

ABOUT 1,200 PRODUCERS

Some 1,200 cotton producers last year received more than $55,000 in federal subsidies, sharing a total of $132 million. In contrast, only 94 feed grains farmers got more than $55,000, sharing a total of $9.4 million.

Some of the nation's largest cotton producers are in California's Kern, Fresno, and Kings counties. They hold thousands of acres of cotton allotments, given to them by the USDA on the basis that these large producers historically have produced large amounts of cotton.

USDA officials here said one acre of cotton allotment means that the holder is eligible to receive a federal subsidy of 15 cents a pound on cotton grown on one acre of land.

The small grower who leases the allotments from the big producers uses them to qualify for subsidies on cotton grown on his own land, for which he previously was not eligible for federal payments. Also, he may rent additional land.

The large producers in many cases are continuing to grow cotton on their own land, but are not eligible for direct federal payments in excess of $55,000.

But their allotments, handed out annually by the USDA, will be a major source of income, a kind of indirect federal subsidy.

HOW IT WORKS

Here is how it works in Fresno County, for example:

One of the biggest cotton producers in the United States, Giffen, Inc., of Fresno County, was assigned a 1971 cotton allotment by the USDA of 11,554 acres. This means, USDA officials said, that Giffen, Inc., was eligible for subsidies of 15 cents a pound on cotton grown on those 11,554 acres. But because of the $55,000 limitation, the firm would have gotten no benefit from most of the allotment.

Fresno County ASCS officials said they have approved requests by Giffen to lease all but a few acres of the company's allotment to smaller growers.

They said the leasing fee, although not part of the approval procedure, was believed to be from 5.5 cents to 6 cents for each pound of cotton estimated to be yielded from each acre.

The ASCS officials said the average yield in Fresno County has been about 1,100 pounds an acre. Thus, a producer who leases his cotton allotment for 6 cents a pound would receive $66 for each acre of his allotment. A big producer who leases an allotment of 11,550 acres, for example, would receive more than $762,000 a year in leasing fees.

Giffen, Inc. last year received $4,095,000 in federal subsidies, through cotton allotments held by the firm and through allotments it leased from others.

NEW OUTCRY

The bypassing of the subsidies limitation by big cotton producers is expected to result in a new congressional outcry for more stringent regulations, especially those dealing with cotton allotments.

The allotment system itself is expected to come under heavy fire because, in effect, it means the federal government provides big cotton producers with a highly salable item.

It had been estimated last year that the $55,000 subsidy limit would save $30 million a year, and its passage was hailed as a historic step.

But USDA officials now concede privately that the actual savings will be minimal, partly as a result of loopholes in both the law

and in the department's regulations enforcing the limitation.

1970 ASCS PROGRAM PAYMENTS OF $20,000 OR MORE EXCLUDING PRICE SUPPORT LOANS

ALABAMA

Autauga County

McQueen Smith Farms, Prattville, $216,524.
Autauga Farming Co., Autaugaville, $55,310.
J. D. Dismukes, Autaugaville, $47,272.
J. A. Rainwater, Billingsley, $42,828.
Wadsworth Brothers, Prattville, $36,087.
Milton Wendland, Autaugaville, $34,602.
M. S. Murfee, Prattville, $33,638.
Carson W. Johnson, Selma, $29,464.
D. L. Yarbrough Estate, Prattville, $27,955.
W. P. Jones, Autaugaville, $25,101.
Total payees in county (10), $548,781.

Baldwin County

D. E. Dean, Jr., Atmore, Al., $20,960.

Barbour County

Ben. F. Bowden, Eufaula, Al., $94,069.
W. L. Corcoran, Eufaula, Al., $35,353.
Allen C. Lane, Eufaula, Al., $30,224.
Clarence Grubbs, Comer, Al., $21,182.
Jimmy Sanders, Eufaula, Al., $21,131.
Ronnie Scroggins, Eufaula, Al., $21,131.
Total payees in county (6), $273,090.

Bibb County

James Brothers, Brent, Al., $67,760.
Young Brothers, Brent, Al., $55,082.
J. C. Goodson, Brent, Al., $38,601.
McArthur Tidwell, Brent, Al., $29,198.
M. C. Murphy, Brent Al., $25,133.
Total payees in county (5), $215,774.

Blount County

Dean Pruett, Altoona, $74,582.
Johnny Whitley, Altoona, $25,938.
Total payees in county (2) $100,520.

Bullock County

Bobby R. Mooring, Box 22, Roba, $28,183.
Rutland Bro., Fitzpatrick, $22,606.
Total payees in county (2), $50,789.

Butler County

Harry Poole, Forest Home, $45,155.
J. N. Poole, Jr., Forest Home, $25,528.
William Poole, Greenville, $25,199.
John K. Sherling, Greenville, $20,305.
Total payees in county (4), $116,187.

Calhoun County

Sorrell Bruce Wesson, Glencoe, $27,548.
Louis Hammonds, Wellington, $22,700.
Total payees in county (2), $50,248.

Chambers County

Fred Kendrick, Lafayette, $30,104.

Cherokee County

Ellis Bros., Centre, $47,061.
Sewell Brothers, Leesburg, $34,468.
Jeff D. Jordan & Co., Centre, $32,165.
Sanford L. Flynt, Box 186, Centre, $28,064.
Woodrow W. Rains, Box 207, Centre, $27,789.
Lowe Brothers c/o B. Lowe, Box 59, Centre, $25,854.
Robert Emory Chesnut, Gaylesville, $24,874.
John B. East, Leesburg, $24,768.
Robert E. Black, Gaylesville, $24,105.
Millard Lecroy & Son, Centre, $21,663.
Lewis Earl Acker, Piedmont, $20,323.
Total payees in county (11) $311,134.

Chilton County

C. R. Childress, Jemison, $41,120.
J. Robert Cox, Billingsley, $35,511.
Charles F. Culp, Jemison, $23,049.
Total payees in county (3) $99,680.

Choctaw County

W. H. Spuarrow, Lavaca, $21,898.

Coffee County

J. A. Wise & Son, Samson, $24,275.

Colbert County

Edward F. Mauldin, Leighton, $147,924.
Herbert C. Harris, Jr., Cherokee, $74,858.
William Elbert Reid, Cherokee, $55,080.
Billy Pullen, Town Creek, $52,484.
Eugene V. Blythe, Leighton, $50,903.
R. Gordon Preuit, Tuscumbia, $50,231.
Ben Fennel, Jr., Leighton, $47,332.
J. J. Johnson, Leighton, $46,589.
Daniel Counts, Tuscumbia, $46,351.
Willie Posey, Cherokee, $39,932.
J. W. Jeffreys, Leighton, $39,284.
Price Counts, Jr., Tuscumbia, $37,687.
Howard Keeton, Cherokee, $37,606.
W. Carter Reid, Cherokee, $36,753.
Bill Blackburn, Cherokee, $33,498.
Paul Reid, Cherokee, $30,239.
Charles Keeton, Cherokee, $28,877.
James M. Underwood, Leighton, $27,500.
Hollis Isbell, Tuscumbia, $27,399.
Kenneth Uhlman, Tuscumbia, $27,083.
Hubert R. Stanley, Tuscumbia, $25,116.
Aaron Patterson, Town Creek, $24,288.
O'Neal Sockwell, Tuscumbia, $24,214.
J. H. Johnson, Leighton, $23,815.
Bobbie O. Wright, Leighton, $23,736.
Howard Gargis, Leighton, $22,641.
T. W. Masterson, Russellville, $22,450.
Total payees in county (27), $1,103,870.

Conecuh County

J. T. Ward, Evergreen, $32,548.
E. C. Foshee, Level, $26,043.
E. E. Darby, Owassa, $24,579.
William W. Ward, Evergreen $23,387.
Total payees in county (4), $106,557.

Covington County

W. G. Foshee, Jr., Level, $46,457.

Cullman County

T. J. Pate, Bremen, $48,702.
W. F. Blackwood, Vinemont, $32,791.
Forrest Ingram, Cullman, $25,922.
Total payees in county (3), $107,415.

Dale County

Borland Bros., Pickard, $34,387.

Dallas County

Joe I. McHugh, Orrville, $137,887.
J. W. Suttle, Orrville, $67,440.
James A. Minter, Jr., Tyler, $56,669.
W. H. Watts & Son, RR 1, Box 2, Sardis, $46,317.
Thomas E. McHugh, Box 127, Orrville, $45,789.
James A. Minter, III, P.O. Box 322, Tyler, $41,069.
B. F. Jr., and G. R. Beers, III, Tyler, $40,953.
Cedar Creek Farms, c/o Don Smith, Prattville, $40,541.
Carl Henderson, R 2 Bx 127, Orrville, $38,170.
R. Furniss Ellis, RR 2 Box 10, Orrville, $37,211.
Nelson Norris, RT 1, Sardis, $34,745.
W. J. Neighbors, 3315 Old Montgomery Road, Selma, $34,523.
George Wood, RR 1, Box 44, Tyler, $31,467.
Robert Culpepper, RR 1, Sardis, $30,455.
Tyler G. Moore, RR 3, Box 157, Selma, $27,729.
Jewell Farms, Orrville, $27,263.
Edwin R. Moore, RR 1 Box 6, Tyler, $26,051.
J. C. Gresham, RR 1 Box 229, Selma, $24,940.
Beers Brothers, Tyler, $23,444.
Thomas J. Traylor, Jr., RR 1, Sardis, $21,720.
J. B. Hain Co., Sardis, $21,680.
C. & H. Farms, RR 1 Box 134, Minter, $21,581.
Robert Levi McHugh, RR 2 Box 269, Orrville, $21,327.
Total payees in county (23) $898,971.

Elmore County

J. Melvin Taylor, RR 2, Tallassee, $68,947.
Henry Owen Harper, East Tallassee, $46,858.
State of Ala. Bd. Corr., 101 S. Union St., Montgomery, $37,565.
George Douglas McCartha, Jr., RR 2, Tallassee, $37,176.
Wood T. Dozier, RR 2, Tallassee, $32,871.
Garnand and Thornton, RR 4, Wetumpka, $30,052.
John M. Hann, RR 1, Mountain Crk, $26,283.
Ralph Till, Elmore, $23,588.
Riley Mask, Jr., RR 1, Eclectic, $21,554.
Lane and Cruise, Deatsville, $21,257.
James T. Brown, RR 2, Deatsville, $20,662.
Total payees in county (11) $366,813.

Escambia County

George Prestwood, McCullogh, $56,056.
Board of Corrections, Montg., $44,956.
Curtis L. Chavers, Brewton, $24,712.
George H. Jackson, Perdido, $24,027.
Total payees in county (4), $149,751.

Etowah County

Emory Johnson, Centre, $24,481.

Fayette County

J. C. Randolph, RR 3, Fayette, $43,229.

Franklin County

Charles Hammock, RR 2, Red Bay, $23,560.

Geneva County

Elmer Joe Motley, Samson, $29,653.
Clifford J. Fondren, RR 2, Hartford, $21,677.
Total payees in county (2), $51,330.

Greene County

N. G. Garth, Gainesville, $39,096.
Elam Smith, Rt. 2, Eutaw, $31,786.
William M. Steele, Jr., Rt. 1, Box 117, Eutaw, $26,873.
Bigbee Farm, P.O. Box 61, West Greene, $24,270.
Curtis I. Eatman, Mantua, $23,921.
Curtis R. Taylor, Rt. 1, Box 117, Forkland, $23,671.
W. M. Steele & Son, Rt. 1, Eutaw, $23,291.
Charles S. King, Box 105, Eutaw, $22,590.
K. R. Sanders, Rt. 2, Gordo, $22,323.
J. F. Cameron, Jr., Boligee, $21,641.
H. E. Carpenter, Eutaw, $20,874.
Total payee in county (11) $278,336.

Hale County

W. J. Chandler and Sons, Moundville, $101,755.
Waller E. Wedgworth III, Akron, $40,196.
Turpin Vise, Greensboro, $29,550.
Marvin D. Johnson, Greensboro, $29,058.
Morrison Bros. Company, Greensboro, $29,003.
Henry A. Bailey, Akron, $24,487.
John D. Bolling, Jr., Greensboro, $23,110.
Albert D. Rogers, Moundville, $22,946.
Spencer C. Langford, Jr., Stewart, $21,086.
Johnnie Bedsole, Moundville, $20,756.
Total payees in county (10) $341,947.

Henry County

Billy J. Armstrong, Headland, $23,871.
Kirkland Brothers, Newville, $23,500.
Herman C. Hicks, Headland, $21,569.
Total payees in county (3) $68,940.

Houston County

E. R. Solomon, Headland, $20,351.

Jackson County

W. C. McCord, Scottsboro, $23,837.
Dewayne Medlock, Pisgah, $23,204.
C. E. Cornelison, Scottsboro, $20,144.
Total payees in county (3) $67,185.

Jefferson County

Jimmy M. Bagwell, Empire, $26,092.
Jacob V. Pate, Empire, $24,537.
Total payees in county (2), 50,629.
Total payees in county (2), $50,629.

Lamar County

W. A. Austin, Sulligent, $24,229.
Arie H. Humbers, Sulligent, $21,162.
Total payees in county (2), $45,391.

Lauderdale County

Andrew C. Walker, Florence, $41,586.
Roe S. Woodis, Cherokee, $36,809.
Theo Scott, Florence, $32,383.
Robert L. Winters, Florence, $29,882.
Howard T. Walker, Florence, $28,873.
Hugh L. Rice, Jr., Florence, $28,072.
James E. Hale, Florence, $26,963.

Alfred J. Buffler, Florence, $26,659.
Harvell J. Walker, Florence, $25,112.
Homer E. Liles, Florence, $24,567.
Jeff Winters, Florence, $24,558.
G. E. Barringer, Rogersville, $24,062.
Bobby L. Green, Florence, $23,093.
Billy D. Rhodes, Florence, $22,346.
Charles P. Vaughn, Jr., Florence, $21,750.
James Walker, Florence, $21,616.
Rufus W. Bratcher, Cloverdale, $21,469.
Bonnie Burgess, Jr., Muscle Shoals, $21,301.
Ray Smith, Florence, $20,831.
William L. Rice, Florence, $20,569.
Total payees in county (20), $522,501.

Lawrence County

Albermarle, Corporation, Courtland, $90,293.
James Blythe, Jr., Courtland, $79,149.
G. T. Hamilton, Hillsboro, $77,289.
Dan Claborn, Courtland, $55,959.
Samuel R. Letson, Moulton, $54,298.
D. L. Martin Jr., Courtland, $53,460.
J. C. Claborn, Box 242, Courtland, $52,967.
Daniel Gilchrist, Courtland, $52,372.
W. J. Lee and Son, Town Creek, $43,972.
Wheeler Farms Inc., RR. 2, Hillsboro, $42,260.
W. W. Hamilton, RR. 2, Hillsboro, $41,529.
Jack C. Davis, Town Creek, $41,163.
Hugh J. Posey, Box 452, Town Creek, $38,582.
Grady B. Rose, RR. 2, Town Creek, $37,923.
Ossie L. Armstrong, Town Creek, $36,436.
Benjamin A. Rose, RR. 4, Moulton, $35,334.
George Collins, RR. 1, Trinity, $34,443.
Harold Green, Courtland, $33,800.
Hubert Coffey, Wheeler, $33,454.
V. Dewees Crockett, Leighton, $33,392.
W. J. Dewberry, RR. 2, Courtland, $32,182.
Claude Dyar, RR. 1, Courtland, $30,827.
Lynn Cross, Courtland, $30,803.
Roland Cross, RR. 1, Courtland, $30,111.
J. R. Knouff, Town Creek, $29,908.
O. C. Lansford, RR. 2, Mt. Hope, $29,744.
O. D. Brackin, Tuscumbia, $28,238.
Paul Henry Kirby, RR. 3, Town Creek, $26,383.
Neal Williams, Mt. Hope, $24,762.
Guy Parker, Courtland, $23,682.
Hood Harris Jr., RR. 2, Copeland, $23,294
W. C. Brady, RR. 3, Moulton, $23,179.
Hollis O. Green, Courtland, $23,151.
Brown Bradford, RR. 2, Town Creek, $22,061.
Oneal Rose, RR. 2, Courtland, $21,572.
Lum Owens, RR. 2, Hillsboro, $21,462.
Fred O. Terry, P.O. Box 271, Courtland, $21,263.
W. C. Aday, Hillsboro, $21,150.
Total payees in county (38) $1,431,847.

Lee County

T. W. Collier, RR. 1, Auburn, $28,231.
Nelson Hillyer, Rt. 1, Bx. 132, Opelika, $27,499.
Robert E. Gullatte, RR. 1, Salem, $25,964.
Collier & Littrell, Rt. 1, Auburn, $21,160.
Total payees in county (4) $102,854.

Limestone County

Walter B. Shaw, Tanner, $63,593.
Joe R. Murphy, Tanner, $63,297.
Ned Johnson, Madison, $60,081.
Gene Thomas Burgreen, Madison, $51,989.
Rowe B. Sanderson, Tanner, $47,927.
Hargrave Brothers, Madison, $46,438.
Dan Atkinson, Madison, $45,662.
Thomas H. Vann, Capshaw, $41,851.
Glennes V. Moore, Belle Mina, $40,672.
R. W. Anderson & Sons, Decatur, $40,638.
Fred William Hays, Athens, $37,735.
Grover C. Lawson, Jr., Athens, $36,586.
John D. Anderson, Madison, $36,489.
Lucille F. Bowles, Belle Mina, $36,436.
C. D. Downs, Madison, $34,328.
Henry Charles Baucom, Madison, $33,688.
Robert Horace Marks, Athens, $32,101.
Darden Bridgeforth, Tanner, $32,052.
McDonald Farms, Inc., Madison, $30,090.
Thomas C. Downs, Tanner, $29,520.
Vester M. Leonard, Tanner, $29,413.
L. V. Moore, Tanner, $29,134.
Glenn Black, Athens, $28,835.
James T. Sanderson, Harvest, $28,820.
Winfred Barron, Athens, $28,127.
James P. Grooms, Athens, $27,815.
Olin E. Shaw, Athens, $27,148.
Ephraim L. Laxson, Athens, $27,074.
James Morris Black, Athens, $26,842.
J. Howard Hobbs, Jr., Elkmont, $26,634.
Stanley H. Menefee, Athens, $26,239.
Pettus Menefee, Harvest, $26,201.
William Henry Stewart, Jr., Madison, $23,922.
Anderson Farms, Inc., Decatur, $23,341.
Kenneth Carter, Elkmont, $21,516.
Horace L. Devaney, Madison, $20,897.
Joe D. Anderson, Madison, $20,560.
Withers C. Peebles, Mooresville, $20,512.
Total payees in county (38) $1,304,2033.

Lowndes County

B. C. Rhyne, Benton, $72,690.
G. T. Meadows, Sr., St. Clair, $65,659.
Harrell, Hammonds, Hayneville, $49,601.
O. P. Woodruff, Lowndesboro, $44,411.
Howard Payne Meadows, Lowndesboro, $27,542.
C. H. Rhyne, Benton, $27,276.
Fred, W. Holladay, Tyler, $26,911.
George Marlow Reese, Jr., Burkville, $25,251.
Mattie Ruth Mealing, Hayneville, $21,741.
Total payees in county (9) $361,082.

Macon County

A. L. Lazenby, Jr., Auburn, $44,906.
Mrs. H. A. Torbert & Sons, Opelika, $36,011.
F. C. Thompson, Shorter, $35,385.
Robert F. Walters, Jr., Auburn, $28,270.
Segrest Bros., Shorter, $28,036.
S. T. Sego, Jr., Tuskegee, $27,908.
Ben W. Walker, Shorter, $27,480.
James L. Kirby, Notasulga, $23,916.
Total payees in county (8) $251,912.

Madison County

Douglass & Vandiver, Madison, $74,185.
Ray Vandiver, New Market, $71,915.
Wilburn B. Douglass, Huntsville, $65,856.
John W. McCrary, Madison, $64,894.
W. Homer Tate, Huntsville, $58,140.
Carl A. Williams, Madison, $53,236.
Hunter Brothers, Fayetteville, $48,610.
Robert L. Pickens, Madison, 46,357.
James E. Patterson, Jr., Huntsville, $44,431.
John W. League, Toney, 40,328.
Donald Sublett, Harvest, $39,422.
Tyler Denton, Harvest, $39,216.
Dock Murphy, Madison, $38,829.
Tom E. Lowery, Madison, $35,990.
Everett Jones, Flintville, $33,363.
Wade A. Hubert, New Market, $30,986.
M. E. Moore, Toney, $30,786.
Jack T. Clift, Madison, $30,369.
Samuel J. Darwin III, Huntsville, $29,143.
Wesley Thomas, Huntsville, $28,576.
Sam Harris, Jr., Huntsville, $28,086.
Clayton Spencer & Charles Patt, Meridianville, $27,835.
Kenneth Pike, Harvest, $27,686.
John T. Acuff, Madison, $27,108.
Don Martin, Madison, $26,464.
Claude N. Buchannan, Huntsville, $26,410.
Eugene G. Lowery, Madison, $26,134.
Robert M. Davis, New Market, $26,121.
Orville H. Esslinger, Elora, $25,981.
John W. Hays, Gurley, $25,672.
Glenn Murphy, Madison, $25,400.
W. R. Spears, New Hope, $25,127.
Donald Spencer, Madison, $25,110.
Grady Abernathy, Toney, $25,083.
Allen Bragg, Toney, $24,633.
William R. Rodman, Huntsville, $24,378.
Robert L. Taylor, Harvest, $24,377.
William A. Brockway, Huntsville, $24,258.
Dennis O. Bragg, Toney, $24,112.
A. D. Whitworth, Madison, $24,071.
Carlton Ikard, Madison, $24,066.
Millard L. Sanderson, Toney, $23,659.
N. L. Reynolds, Huntsville, $23,276.
E. R. Howard, Toney, $22,992.
Glenn Campbell, Toney, $22,659.
Howard Gray Co. Inc., New Market, $22,562.
Ronald Vaughn, Huntsville, $21,926.
Aaron Fleming & Sons, Huntsville, $21,069.
New Market Agriculture Chemical Co., New Market, $20,954.
Edmund Payne, New Market, $20,865.
W. L. Sanderson, Jr., Harvest, $20,838.
William H. Gray, New Market, $20,817.
C. A. Smith, Summertown, $20,565.
Wallwood Farm, Huntsville, $20,506.
C. Q. Lowery, Madison, $20,134.
Total payees in county (55) $1,745,566.

Marengo County

James W. Glass, Faundale, $38,934.
Ed Bobbitt, Browns, $29,536.
William G. Rentz, Myrtlewood, $22,575.
Allan Ramsey, Sweet Water, $22,270.
Stewart D. McKnight, Dayton, $20,010.
Total payees in county (5), $133,325.

Marion County

B. W. Rollins, Hamilton, $25,508.

Marshall County

Carman Maze, Arab, $34,798.
Harold Burnett, Guntersville, $25,977.
Robert L. Haynes, Arab, $25,957.
Total payees in county (3), $86,732.

Monroe County

Maston & Bibbs Mims, Uriah, $27,918.
Owen Dawson, Excel, $20,598.
Carson House, Jr., Uriah, $20,017.
Total payees in county (3), $68,533.

Montgomery County

W. H. McLemore, Montgomery, $69,210.
T. O. McLemore, Montgomery, $35,778.
A. J. McLemore, Jr., Montgomery, $25,346.
T. W. Oliver, Montgomery, $21,592.
Total payees in county (4), $151,926.

Morgan County

Percy Sharp, Decatur, $28,269.
Royce Sims, Decatur, $24,387.
Gorman M. Braswell, Decatur, $23,599.
Howard Millsap, Danville, $23,196.
Billy J. Crowe, Danville, $22,978.
W. Aaron Beaver, Somerville, $22,507.
John B. Sewell, Trinity, $21,795.
Curtis Stroup, Danville, $21,659.
Total payees in county (8), $188,390.

Perry County

J. C. Moore Mercantile Co., Marion, $66,672.
T. J. Jones, Sprott, $30,257.
James Taylor, Sprott, $20,735.
Total payees in county (3), $117,664.

Pickens County

J. A. Hanson, Hamilton, $42,639.
W. B. Peebles, Jr., Aliceville, $28,029.
Thomas Parker, Aliceville, $23,943.
Kirksey Co., Aliceville, $23,208.
Roy Goodwin, Aliceville, $22,682.
Jamie M. Summerville, Aliceville, $22,433.
Abrams & Garriss, Aliceville, $21,272.
Total payees in county (7), $184,206.

Russell County

J. Wayne Mott, Pittsview, $24,349.
George M. Adams, Columbus, $21,748.
James M. Miles, Fort Mitchell, $21,205.
Total payees in county (3), $67,302.

Shelby County

D. E. Morris, Harpersville, $40,820.
L. B. L. Ranch, Wilsonville, $33,611.
John D. Kidd, Harpersville, $27,966.
John M. Thompson, Vincent, $25,634.
Total payees in county (4), $128,031.

St. Clair County

Norris K. Waites, Harpersville, $30,401.

Sumter County

W. A. Ganguet Estate, Cuba, $20,268.

Talladega County

Robert M. Gambrell, Talladega, $35,078.
James D. Robinson, Sylacauga, $28,668.
Clyde A. Walker, Cropwell, $26,521.
French Junior Pruitt, Talladega, $22,023.
Glenn M. Hawkins, Oxford, $21,117.
S. F. Tanner, Sylacauga, $20,422.
Total payees in county (6), $153,824.

Tallapoosa County

William M. Wisener, East Tallassee, $75,261.
James E. Davis, East Tallassee, $27,723.
William E. Crockett, East Tallassee, $23,660.
O. W. Bradshaw, Camp Hill, $20,306.
Total payees in county (4), $146,950.

Tuscaloosa County

J. L. Harper, Northport, $48,362.
Darden D. Barton, Ralph, $43,246.
Joe Rice, Jr., Northport, $40,333.
Henry Rice, Northport, $25,981.
Fletcher Barnes, Northport, $24,807.
Spiller Farms, Tuscaloosa, $22,178.
J. E. Walker, Jr., Berry, $21,572.
Floyd Hughes, Jr., Samantha, $20,814.
Total payees in county (8), $247,293.

Walker County

Hoyt Bagwell, Empire, $21,227.

Washington County

Nelson Bedsole Moseley, Leroy, $26,945.

Wilcox County

H. H. Wilkinson & Son, Gastonburg, $26,254.
Raymond Pharr, Coy, $25,395.
Total payees in county (2) $51,649.
Total payees in State (397), $13,263,179.

ARIZONA

Cochise County

Bill Hilburn, Bowie, $135,041.
Alf B. Claridge, Safford, $110,499.
Kinard Bros., Willcox, $74,437.
E. V. Hart, Willcox, $71,124.
Montierth Farms, Bowie, $68,114.
Smith Bros., Pearce, $65,696.
Floyd Robbs, Willcox, $64,684.
Edward Barnes, San Simon, $63,492.
Buckner & Kidd, Willcox, $54,488.
Alvin E. Ratliff, San Simon, $51,046.
Jack Robison & Sons, Willcox, $48,717.
Wicks Ranches, Bowie, $45,919.
Leroy Pierce, Willcox, $44,777.
Charles Wade, Bowie, $42,542.
Delbert Motes, Willcox, $42,284.
Frank C. Hale, Eloy, $41,782.
Roy Lee Young, Willcox, $41,570.
Ernest L. White, Jr., Elfrida, $41,333.
F. L. Heidel, Lovington, $37,192.
W. R. Grimes, Willcox, $37,175.
Horace Jackson, San Simon, $36,642.
A. L. Reznik, Friona, $36,450.
Eaton, Fruit Co., Inc., Willcox, $36,284.
V. C. Smith, Elfrida, $35,602.
Louis Cooper, Elfrida, $34,839.
J. C. Dunagan, Willcox, $34,786.
J. B. Stevenson, Pearce, $34,598.
Marvin Holmes, Willcox, $33,701.
Noel Curry, Elfrida, $33,198.
Boyd Smith, Willcox, $29,954.
Gerald P. Schoenfelder, Minneapolis, $27,924.
Jack Graham, Cochise, $27,200.
Gareth R. Hardy, San Simon, $26,847.
Ray Yamasaki, Willcox, $26,074.
Tx. Gr. Storage Co., Willcox, $25,855.
Barney Farms, Safford, $25,366.
Charles Kimzey, Willcox, $23,985.
J. F. Schmelzla, Willcox, $23,887.
David Fowler, Willcox, $23,666.
Rober A. Hale, Eloy, $22,957.
Clyde Richins, Animas, $22,870.
Thomas W. Cooke, Jr., Bowie, $21,601.
Harlan E. Schaffert, Willcox, $21,539.
Marvin Lamar Barnes, San Simon, $21,255.
Joe H. Hedges, Elfrida, $20,919.
Total payees in county (45) $1,889,911.

Coconino County

Red Hill Sheep Co., Wickenburg, $29,110.

Graham County

Melvin R. Bryce, Pima, $62,537.
Angel Escobedo, Safford, $39,431.
Ewart T. Lee, Thatcher, $34,532.
Norman Welker, Safford, $32,647.
Glen Layton, Thatcher, $31,594.
Howard and Carter, Pima, $31,289.
Ray Alder and Sons, Pima, $29,822.
Omer J. Smith, Central, $29,548.
Daley Brothers, Thatcher, $28,915.
Zenos Howard, Safford, $27,657.
Ned Daley, Thatcher, $27,450.
Allred Brothers, Thatcher, $26,449.
Arden J. Palmer, Thatcher, $25,167.
Von and Norman Hancock, Pima, $24,705.
Eldon Palmer, Thatcher, $24,408.
Verle Palmer, Thatcher, $23,126.
Verdell Howard, Thatcher, $22,675.
F. X. Farm & Ranch, Safford, $22,424.
Guy Anderson, Safford, $21,027.
Total payees in county (19) $565,403.

Greenlee County

Adrian Richins, Duncan, $38,311.

Maricopa County

Farmers Inv. Co., Sahuarita, $865,776.
Youngker Farms, Buckeye, $431,541.
Goodyear Farms, Litchfield Park, $333,724.
Southmountain Farms, Inc., Laveen, $246,231.
Shumway Enterprises, Queen Creek, $203,336.
Community Gin, Scottsdale, $190,225.
Roscoe Farm Corp, Scottsdale, $174,115.
Martori Bros., Glendale, $171,479.
Waddell Ranch Co., Waddell, $169,957.
Carl Weiler, Phoenix, $163,697.
J. L. Hodges Farming Co., Buckeye, $162,464.
Leyton Woolf, Glendale, $149,490.
Bruce B. Hardesty, Buckeye, $140,147.
Hugh Bennett, Buckeye, $138,507.
Arena Co. of Ariz., Glendale, $133,068.
Travis H. Jones, Buckeye, $131,812.
J. L. Golightly, Jr., Mesa, $131,671.
Morrison Bros., Higley, $130,273.
Jordan & Coplen, Laveen, $122,862.
W. A. Heiden & Son, Buckeye, $119,580.
Harris Cattle Co., Queen Creek, $119,189.
Chatham Farms Inc., Glendale, $119,127.
Davis Ranch Co., Chandler Hts., $113,343.
Woodrow Lewis, Chandler, $113,242.
L. R. Layton, Chandler, $110,100.
Gladden Farms, Cashion, $107,428.
Hardesty Bros., Buckeye, $104,282.
Barney Mecham, Queen Creek, $99,485.
Power Ranches, Inc., Higley, $99,105.
Wallace Bales, Buckeye, $96,893.
Skousen & Hastings, Queen Creek, $96,390.
C. W. Neely, Gilbert, $95,437.
Alan B. Melton, Buckeye, $95,327.
F. H. Hogue Prod. Co., Litchfield Park, $94,101.
F. C. Layton, Tolleson, $94,006.
Baskett Farms, Inc., Glendale, $92,503.
Pierce & Pierce Farms, Gila Bend, $92,457.
J. V. Pace, Chandler, $92,387.
H. L. Anderson, Peoria, $91,856.
Rhodell Farms, Inc., Avondale, $91,060.
John M. Williams, Jr., Laveen, $90,548.
William Hardison, Palo Verde, $85,756.
CCG Farms, Inc., Glendale, $84,781.
Ben Riggs & Son, Chandler, $84,301.
Allen F. Belluzzi, Avondale, $82,643.
Gomez & Pitrat, Phoenix, $80,196.
Delmar & Erwin John, Buckeye, $79,244.
King Farms, Buckeye, $79,071.
Earl S. Dobson, Chandler, $79,060.
Sutton Bros, Phoenix, $77,822.
Gila River Farms Inc., Buckeye, $77,049.
Myrtle L. Bartson, Mesa, $76,996.
F. M. Gorrell, Buckeye, $74,346.
S. & P. Farms, Inc., Bend, $74,325.
Jacob S. Stephens, Buckeye, $73,929.
Lee Wong Farms, Inc., Glendale, $73,503.
D. & R. Farms, Chandler, $72,504.
Dougherty Ranch, Phoenix, $72,163.
Paul Smith, Buckeye, $71,054.
Moore & Taylor, Waddell, $67,750.
Phil Ladra, Glendale, $67,683.
Fridenmaker Farms, Phoenix, $67,678.
J. S. Hoopes, Chandler, $64,829.
Bell, Road Farms, Peoria, $63,241.
George Knapple, Phoenix, $63,050.
Ed Ambrose, Buckeye, $62,437.
Donald Wiechens, Glendale, $61,843.
Joe A., Sheely, Tolleson, $61,611.
Gertrude Conway, Phoenix, $61,537.
Ted Hazen, Buckeye, $61,450.
Jon A. Tucker, Buckeye, $59,574.
James M. Hamilton, Chandler, $58,152.
D. L. Hadley, Chandler, $57,591.
Arrowhead Ranches, Glendale, $57,367.
A. Tumbling T. Ranch, Goodyear, $56,862.
J. R. Tucker, Buckeye, $56,376.
Sossaman Farms, Higley, $55,732.
Joe Bickman, Peoria, $55,538.
Jewell Turner Farms, Buckeye, $55,415.
Tommy Wheelis, Maricopa, $54,745.
Sam Cambron, Buckeye, $54,497.
Holly, Ranch, Buckeye, $54,373.
Arid Zone Farms, Phoenix, $54,357.
Percy L. Smith, Peoria, $54,180.
Garcia Farms Ptns., Tolleson, $52,690.
Dobson & Patterson, Mesa, $52,409.
Wh. G. Brandon, Chandler, $51,546.
G. R. Rogers, Laveen, $51,534.
United Fruit Prod., Inc., Glendale, $51,370.
Lone Butte Ranches, Gilbert, $50,708.
W. H. Jarnagin, Peoria, $50,650.
R. & J. J. Blasdell Ptshp., Phx., $49,811.
Maricopa Stake Los, Queen Creek, $49,678.
R. D. Layton, Goodyear, $49,179.
F. D. Layton, Thatcher, $49,179.
Turner Ranches, Mesa, $49,133.
Earl C. Recker Co., Mesa, $47,174.
Az Cottonseed, Phoenix, $46,950.
Win Farms, Phoenix, $46,860.
Ray & Wayne Vanosdell, Cashion, $46,623.
Kenly Farms, Phoenix, $46,535.
Jerome Thompson, Dateland, $46,511.
Ed Post Realty, Phoenix, $45,796.
Reed J. Kerby, Chandler, $45,284.
Desert Carmel Dev, Casa Grande, $45,168.
Rudolph Johnson, Glendale, $45,084.
Queen C. R. Pot & Cold St. Co., Queen Creek, $44,806.
J. L. Woolf, Phoenix, $44,699.
John D. Hamilton, Chandler, $43,922.
Wallace Thelander, Tempe, $43,863.
Stanley McDaniel, Tolleson, $43,216.
W. H. Miller, Chandler, $42,734.
W. P. Haggard & Son, Laveen, $42,719.
Trimble Farms, Tempe, $42,701.
Germain H. Ball, Phoenix, $42,497.
G. K. Sasser, Phoenix, $42,004.
Litchfield Land & Dev. Co., Phoenix, $41,579.
H. W. Porterfield, Buckeye, $41,461.
Polly Getzurller, Casa Grande, $41,431.
Lehi B. Palmer, Mesa, $41,270.
J. A. & R. Blasdell, Litchfield Park, $40,780.
John Fornes, Buckeye, $39,369.
Versluis Ranches, Chandler, $38,901.
Hayden Farms, Inc., Buckeye, $38,780.
Richard Evans, Tempe, $38,238.
Gorden Cameron Estate, Buckeye, $37,993.
R. R. Wood, Avondale, $37,763.
Jack Barnes, Chandler, $37,422.
West Valley, Stratford, $37,328.
Leo C. Smith Farms, Phoenix, $36,851.
Henry F. Backer, Chandler, $36,708.
Kempton & Snedigar, Tempe, $36,627.
O. E. McGinty, Buckeye, $36,091.
Grant Vaughn Ellsworth, Mesa, $35,984.
James Marioneaux Buckeye, $35,861.
C. H. Willis, Est., Chandler, $35,601.
Cheatham, Dairy, Inc., Laveen, $35,126.
Joe Bob Neely, Tempe, $34,700.
Robert L. Cook, Glendale, $34,630.
Kenneth R. Burnett, Glendale, $34,129.
Bartlett Heard Co., Phoenix, $33,803.
R. M. Hume, Tolleson, $33,540.
Chet John & Sons, Glendale, $33,455.
Jack Palmer, Chandler, $33,363.
Nichols Farms, Buckeye, $32,868.
Max Fuller, Chandler, $32,512.
Paradise Sheep Co., Phoenix, $32,252.
Howard & Ronald Henry, Buckeye, $32,228.
Byron Patterson, Peoria, $32,216.
Fremon Coker, Jr., Buckeye, $31,704.
Marion I. Vance, Tempe, $31,694.
Howard Lydic, Peoria, $31,412.
Norman Knox, Chandler, $31,357.
Rainbow Ranches, Scottsdale, $31,298.
Lloyd Martin, Buckeye, $30,966.

Rala Singh, Glendale, $30,386.
Radius A. Hudson, Laveen, $30,168.
Delbert Beyer, Buckeye, $30,168.
Fisher Miller Hay & Dev. Co., Gilbert, $30,088.
Carl Mumme, Arlington, $29,954.
Espil Sheep Co., Litchfield Park, $29,634.
Gilbert Turner, Buckeye, $29,595.
William Wade, Goodyear, $29,513.
Robert Ellsworth, Queen Creek, $29,459.
A Lazy T Cattle Co., Arlington, $29,355.
Geo. & Myrtle Veit, Peoria, $29,232.
Otto B. Neely, Gilbert, $29,057.
Sanders Farms, Higley, $28,999.
Gary Feezor, Mesa, $28,986.
Jack M. Schnepf, Queen Creek, $28,932.
Sands Trading Co., Glendale, $28,909.
J. B. Hill, Buckeye, $28,681.
Wayne Weiler, Phoenix, $28,406.
L. M. Pace, Gilbert, $28,276.
Roach & Baker, Peoria, $27,815.
Richard Mayfield, Buckeye, $27,792.
James M. Shahan, Gilbert, $27,783.
Citrus Hts. Farms, Temple, $27,737.
Robert W. Edwards, Glendale, $27,654.
Centennial Farms, Delano, $27,105.
E. G. Rhodes, Avondale, $27,060.
Raymond Cook, Glendale, $26,993.
R. W. Cheatham, Laveen, $26,902.
John Tolmachoff, Glendale, $26,162.
Hugh L. Gieszl, Gilbert, $26,153.
Waddell Farms, Glendale, $25,851.
M. B. Sanders, Palo Verde, $25,774.
John D. Vance, Chandler, $25,741.
Alex & John Conovaloff, Tolleson, $25,578.
E. W. Hudson, Jr., Tempe, $25,482.
Richard Rogers, Tolleson, $25,163.
Emory J. Hurley Est., Phoenix, $25,070.
L. M. Conner, Glendale, $25,067.
Wayne Freeman, Mesa, $25,049.
W. T. Jarnagin, Sr., Avondale, $24,956.
John T. Sharritt, Phoenix, $24,891.
Melvin J. Curtis, Mesa, $24,823.
Kubelsky Est., Scottsdale, $24,808.
Ernest E. Hawes, Queen Creek ,$24,223.
Ohaco Sheep Co., Phx, $24,010.
Chris Peterson, Chandler, $23,797.
E. Garth Lamb, Gilbert, $23,593.
Donald L. Bissinger, Peoria, $23,152.
John James Anderson, Chandler, $23,033.
Sheep Spring Sheep Co., Mesa, $22,830.
J. A. Wood Co., Tolleson, $22,612.
Arthur E. Price, Chandler, $22,531.
S. W. Hansen, Buckeye, 22,431.
B. F. Youngker, Sr., Buckeye, $22,381.
Chas F. Youngker, Buckeye, $22,374.
Ben F. Youngker, Jr., Buckeye, $22,374.
John Butler & Son, Phoenix, $22,324.
Ivy Estate, Phx, $22,257.
Collier Schulke, Cashion, $22,173.
Bob Stump, Phoenix, $21,927.
George Pew, Mesa, $21,864.
Peterson Farms, Inc., Gilbert, $21,671.
The Taylor Co., Tolleson, $21,553.
F. A. Wilden, Goodyear, $21,535.
Bill R. Moore, Glendale, $21,530.
Russell Badley, Peoria, $21,269.
Milford Hutchison, Glendale, $21,220.
Aja Sheep Co., Buckeye, $21,193.
H. C. McGarity, Peoria, $21,050.
Ray Killian, Mesa, $20,857.
Tom Waddell, Glendale, $20,836.
Verl Peterson, Chandler, $20,636.
Ivan Rex, Avondale, $20,552.
Basilio Aja, Buckeye, $20,428.
Jerald Carpenter, Gilbert, $20,363.
Jackson Bogle, Chandler, $20,328.
Wayne A. Enloe, Chandler, $20,231.
John S. McDaniel, Tolleson, $20,204.
Geo. D. Ellingson, Tempe, $20,161.
Total payees in County (234), $14,167,865.

Mohave County

Eldon K. Parish, Mohave Valley, $58,667.

Pima County

BKW Farms Inc., Marana, $423,377.
John Kai, Marana, $189,505.
Growers Finance Gin, Marana, $167,543.
C & W Ranchers, Inc., Marana, $105,413.
J. Boyd White, Marana, $105,221.
Avra Land/Cattle Co., Tucson, $92,093.
David Anway, Marana, $81,272.
Ludd Payne, Marana, $72,628.
Dan W. Clarke, Tucson, $59,922.
Midvale Farm Co., Tucson, $59,842.
Gladden Farms, Marana, $55,906.
Harvey Davison, Eloy, $54,414.
Wallis Farms Inc., Marana, $53,592.
J. R. Norton Co., Glendale, $50,470.
Watson Farms, Marana, $50,413.
Buck Sam Chu, Marana, $44,189.
Pat Tucker, Jr., Marana, $41,201.
Woodrow Jarvis, Tucson, $41,124.
Evco Farms, Inc., Synd., Marana, $37,017.
James Kuen Wong, Marana, $34,164.
R. G. Buckelew, Tucson, $33,941.
E. C. Barnett, Marana, $33,493.
James B. White, Jr., Marana, $27,220.
Vessey Co. of Arizona, Inc., El Centro, Calif., $26,625.
Flying E Bar Cattle Co., Marana, $24,865.
Apex Farms, Inc., Synd., Marana, $24,345.
Calyco Farms, Inc., Marana, $22,755.
Edward Anway, Marana, $22,408.
H. W. Brittain, Marana, $22,086.
Silverbell Farms, Tucson, $21,890.
Iola Cady, Marana, $20,159.
Total payees in county (31), $2,099,03.

Pinal County

Kirby Hughes, Tucson, $797,428.
C & V Growers, Inc., Maricopa, $543,577.
Hamilton Farms, Eloy, $470,834.
Red River Land Co., Stanfield, $416,280.
Ak Chin Farms, Maricopa, $363,921.
John D. Singh, Casa Grande, $359,340.
Arizona Farming Co., Eloy, $315,460.
Bogle Farms, Inc., Chandler, $302,351.
Gila River Farms, Sacaton, $264,042.
L 4 Ranches, Inc., Queen Creek, $257,126.
Rancho Tierra Prieta, Eloy, $250,127.
Southdown McCarthy, Eloy, $223,020.
Talla Farms, Inc., Stanfield, $195,426.
W. T. Golston Farms, Stanfield, $188,923.
Thunderbird Farms, Phoenix, $175,361.
Sun Valley Growers, Inc., Phoenix, $171,893.
Greater Arizona Ranch, Inc., Arizona City, $170,357.
Finley Bros., Gilbert, $166,469.
Jack Ralston, Maricopa, $154,305.
Picacho Land & Cattle Co., Casa Grande, $152,635.
J. A. Roberts, Casa Grande, $147,359.
Raymond Schnepf, Queen Creek, $144,234.
R. P. Anderson, Coolidge, $142,973.
Wilbur Wuertz, Casa Grande, $139,346.
Isom & Isom, Casa Grande, $138,347.
Glenn Lane, Collidge, $137,098.
Marcus Vanderslice, Casa Grande, $128,846.
Dunn Farms, Maricopa, $125,942.
Duane Ellsworth, Chandler, $123,077.
Martin Talla, Stanfield, $122,307.
Empire Farms, Eloy, $113,524.
Peter J. Robertson, Coolidge, $108,298.
Combs & Clegg Ranchs, Inc., Queen Creek, $105,185.
L. Z. Farms, Inc., Casa Grande, $103,713.
Fred Enke, Casa Grande, $102,867.
Shumway Enterprises, Queen Creek, $102,458.
Independent Gin Co., Casa Grande, $99,831.
H. L. Holland, Coolidge, $95,559.
Chas. Urrea & Sons, Mesa, $94,839.
Couch Bros., Maricopa, $94,334.
Diwan Ranches, Inc., Casa Grande, $93,794.
C. J. & L. Farms, Stanfield, $90,069.
Arnold Hill, Chandler, $88,116.
Sunset Ranchs, Inc., Eloy, $87,874.
Anderson Palmisano Farms, Maricopa, $87,087.
El Dorado Ranch, Inc., Stanfield, $86,601.
Alex & Norman Pretzer, Eloy, $86,463.
M. & W. Farms, Inc., Coolidge, $82,041.
Dan C. Palmer, Coolidge, $80,310.
Robert D. Bechtel, Coolidge, $80,016.
C. Ray Robinson, Eloy, $79,930.
Rogers & Rogers, Eloy, $79,113.
P. S. Thompson, Eloy, $76,454.
A. C. T. Ranches, Inc., Eloy, $75,942.
John Smith, Maricopa, $75,425.
Frank W. Shedd, Jr., Eloy, $74,911.
Pinal Farms, Inc., Stanfield, $74,734.
John Dermer, Casa Grande, $74,438.
M. & S. Ranchs, Inc., Stanfield, $74,109.
Bottleneck Farms, Stanfield, $71,275.
Robert W. Brooks, Queen Creek, $71,223.
Howard Arthur Wuertz, Coolidge, $71,033.
Frank Abate, Maricopa, $69,901.
J. H. Farms, Coolidge, $69,886.
J. O. Burns, Eloy, $69,096.
Cameron Sides, Casa Grande, $69,065.
Margie L. Hanna, Coolidge, $68,955.
Era Mae Barnes, Chandler, $68,402.
P. W. Vensel, Coolidge, $67,706.
Rodney Kleck, Coolidge, $67,338.
Wm E. Foster, Casa Grande, $66,713.
Otice Self, Stanfield, $66,635.
K. K. Skousen, Chandler, $66,320.
Ernest McFarland, Royal Palms, $65,638.
Marathon Farms, Casa Grande, $65,430.
Maricopa Growers Gin, Maricopa, $65,382.
Merlin Hamilton, Florence, $65,251.
Tolby & Boulais, Tolleson, $65,123.
Noel E. Martin, Casa Grande, $64,725.
McFarland & Hanson Rnchs, Coolidge, $63,625.
Buckshot Farms, Inc., Stanfield, $63,493.
Brown & Hurt, Casa Grande, $62,175.
England & England, Coolidge, $60,789.
Paul Ollerton, Casa Grande, $60,692.
Daley & Bogle, Casa Grande, $60,554.
J. R. & Velma Urton, Coolidge, $60,369.
Rex Neely Trst, Chandler, $60,356.
CSC Farms, Inc., Florence, $59,411.
D. H. Cole & Son, Coolidge, $58,512.
R. W. Neely, Florence, $58,360.
Jack Nichols Est., Maricopa, $58,007.
C&A Equipment Co., Casa Grande, $56,860.
Phil Taber, Casa Grande, $56,819.
Bianco Bros., Casa Grande, $56,508.
Buford Gladden, Casa Grande, $56,238.
J. B. Johnston, Phoenix, $56,185.
Koenig Aviation, Inc., Casa Grande, $55,405.
Harold L. Earley, Sr., Casa Grande, $54,542.
Earl Lane Estate, Stanfield, $53,970.
Clifford Hess, Casa Grande, $53,046.
Sunshine Valley Rnchs., Eloy, $52,863.
Max Nichols Farms, Casa Grande, $51,926.
Richard Cruz, Coolidge, $51,878.
Chanan Singh Est., Casa Grande, $51,564.
Red Eye Farms Inc., Stanfield, $51,388.
Picacho Buttes Farms, Casa Grande, $50,547.
Bartlett Farms, Inc., Coolidge, $49,812.
Korisen & Korisen, Stanfield, $49,790.
Elsberry & Nowell, Coolidge, $49,549.
W. D. Storie, Maricopa, $49,207.
Florence Farms Inc., Coolidge, $49,123.
H. D. Conner, Peoria, $48,907.
Clyde Lane, McAllister, $48,837.
Paul Pearce, So. Eloy, $48,773.
C. P. Honeycutt, Maricopa, $48,707.
C. W. England, Florence, $48,205.
Grant E. Peterson, Coolidge, $48,110.
Dr. P. F. Hartman, Maricopa, $47,789.
Desert Growers, Inc., Queen Creek, $47,710.
Jack Crain, Casa Grande, $47,014.
Barbara Earley, Casa Grande, $46,282.
Herman & Carl Myers, Casa Grande, $46,147.
Robert Ellsworth, Queen Creek, $46,127.
Delbert Lewis, Florence, $45,820.
Avra Plantations, Inc., Marana, $45,443.
Robert A. Taylor, Florence, $45,132.
Santa Cruz Farms, Inc., Eloy, $44,988.
Eloie Farms, Inc., Tucson, $44,110.
W. A. Ladd, Randolph, $43,064.
Howell Wadsworth, Casa Grande, $43,048.
Jim Pate, Casa Grande, $42,395.
Aulton S. Harris, Stanfield, $42,227.
Patrick Talla, Stanfield, $42,091.
Warren E. Cox, Eloy, $42,069.
W. & J. Farms, Stanfield, $42,052.
Roger Goff, Casa Grande, $41,424.
C. L. Skousen & Son, Coolidge, $41,366.
Robert M. Davis, Casa Grande, $41,144.
Carlton Farms, Inc., Casa Grande, $41,091.
Rio Bravo Ranches, Inc., Stanfield, $40,736.
Agnes K. Beggs, Casa Grande, $39,921.
Debb Stephens, Casa Grande, $39,862.
R. F. Cunningham, Coolidge, $39,393.

Luis Flores, Arizona City, $39,368.
H. L. Kendrick, Eloy, $39,137.
Larry R. Scott, Arizona City, $38,991.
S. C. McFarland, Coolidge, $38,984.
Claude H. Evans, Casa Grande, $38,889.
James K. Henness, Casa Grande, $38,877.
Sheba I. Macey, Scottsdale, $38,275.
C. B. Shiflet, Coolidge, $37,915.
Leonard Anderson, Casa Grande, $37,668.
Irene McCown Waugh, Casa Grande, $37,447.
Fred R. North, Eloy, $37,315.
Thayer A. White, Red Rock, $37,257.
Echeverria Feeding Co., Casa Grande, $37,179.
Wootan & Casey, Eloy, $36,711.
Stanley Ellis, Coolidge, $36,685.
Black Land Farms, Inc., Eloy, $36,271.
Kortsen Bros., Stanfield, $35,902.
Wayne Ray, Casa Grande, $35,854.
Parke Gilbert DBA Elaine Farms, Casa Grande, $35,687.
Tracy Hutchins, Casa Grande, $35,668.
Bill Warren, Eloy, $35,657.
Paul Deconinck, Maricopa, $35,347.
Norman Hagen, Eloy, $35,342.
Do Bar Farms, Casa Grande, $35,189.
Mickey A. Clark, Florence, $34,778.
Veco Ranches, Inc., Tolleson, $34,495.
Duncan Butler, Casa Grande, $34,350.
F. O. Barnes, Coolidge, $34,252.
Mrs. Dale Combs Queen Creek, $33,987.
Guy Gilbert Farms, Casa Grande, $33,482.
Wales Farms, Inc., Queen Creek, $33,361.
Wales Ranches, Inc., Queen Creek, $33,361.
Ben Scott Est., Coolidge, $33,354.
Hamilton Farms, Inc., Chandler, $33,259.
Storey Ranches, Inc., Casa Grande, $33,194.
David Pretzer, Eloy, $32,991.
Raymond Ford, Coolidge, $32,885.
James Condon, Jr., Stanfield, $32,280.
Ronald Miller, Maricopa, $31,403.
M. C. Montgomery, Scottsdale, $30,968.
Marie White, Eloy, $30,933.
MH Montgomery Est., Scottsdale, $30,722.
Jay Wilson, Casa Grande, $30,515.
Louis L. Johnson, Stanfield, $30,305.
Harlan Russell, Casa Grande, $30,266.
R. C. Goree, Coolidge, $30,134.
Janie Poonian, Yuba City, $30,044.
R. B. Elsberry, Coolidge, $29,789.
John W. Quaid, Tucson, $29,728.
G. Robert Ralston, Stanfield, $29,683.
Richard La Paglia, Coolidge, $29,681.
Richard M. Daley, Casa Grande, $29,109.
Manterola Trust, Casa Grande, $28,929.
Thomas M. Carlton, Casa Grande, $28,586.
R. W. Tripp, Coolidge, $28,005.
Emmett Jobe, Jr., Chandler, $27,794.
Carl Tomkinson, Casa Grande, $27,731.
Wesley D. Hood, Coolidge, $27,675.
John Payne, Florence, $27,645.
Emmett Jobe, Chandler, $27,237.
Edward J. Farrell, Maricopa, $27,195.
J. E. Robinette, Casa Grande, $26,791.
James K. Pew Farms, Queen Creek, $26,779.
Anco Dist., Casa Grande, $26,754.
H. H. Hanna, Casa Grande, $26,441.
Chester Ethington, Casa Grande, $26,157.
E. L. Farms, Inc., Stanfield, $26,061.
Santa Cruz Ent., Inc., Eloy, $25,981.
Edward C. Pratt, Maricopa, $25,939.
Edward, Nevitt, Queen Creek, $25,879.
Virgil Chandler, Chandler, $25,466.
Walter Gantzel, Queen Creek, $24,935.
Don D. Trekell, Casa Grande, $24,467.
Mutual Farms, Inc., Eloy, $24,410.
Philip C. Hanson, Coolidge, $24,384.
Lynn Morrill, Casa Grande, $24,375.
Mitchell Maddock, Florence, $24,057.
R. S. Dixon, Sr., Coolidge, $23,902.
Ellsworth & Kortsen, Stanfield, $23,733.
Earl E. Chandler, Florence, $23,687.
Charles E. Wright, Eloy, $23,477.
Woodman Moore, Coolidge, $23,015.
Paul E. Sexton, Coolidge, $23,015.
Alvin T. Ethington, Casa Grande, $22,830.
Cross Mtn Sheep Co., Casa Grande, $22,429.
Arthur J. Faul, Coolidge, $22,389.
Austin Weddle, Eloy, $21,954.
M. W. Neely, Florence, $21,576.
S. T. Pottebaum, Casa Grande, $21,495.
J. W. Blake, Scottsdale, $21,287.
D. & D. Farms, Inc., Queen Creek, $21,206.
Frank Graham, Coolidge, $21,177.
Robert Barnes, Casa Grande, $21,098.
J. M. Self, Jr., Casa Grande, $21,018.
Paul J. Prechel, Coolidge, $20,897.
H. L. Tom Earley, Jr., Chandler, $20,143.
Total payees in county (240), $17,334,523.

Yuma County

Barkley Co. of Ariz., Somerton, $364,269.
Bruce Church, Inc., Yuma, $307,668.
Desert Ginning, Blythe, Cal., $199,404.
Ben Simmons, Parker, $176,856.
Arthur Blohm Wellton, $161,035.
Sherrill Lafollette, Phoenix, $155,558.
Texas Hill Farms, Yuma, $147,868.
Glen Holt, Parker, $141,218.
Oral Hunter, Salome, $136,715.
W. J. Scott, Yuma, $133,406.
D. M. Brown, Phoenix, $111,510.
Earl Hughes, Gadsen, $126,756.
Woods Co., Yuma, $111,150.
Jr. & J. W. Cullison, Wellton, $103,150.
Mauldin Mauldin, Yuma, $99,777.
Winston Farms, Parker, $98,328.
C. M. S. Farming Co., Phoenix, $87,262.
Dunn Farms, Yuma, $85,799.
California Sturges Gin Co., Yuma, $84,190.
Fred Nussbaumer, Wellton, $79,501.
J. W. Olberg Cattle Co., Yuma, $78,411.
Arizona Western Ranches, Inc., Bouse, $77,539.
Stanley Snitzer, Phoenix, $76,889.
M. E. Lee, Somerton, $76,737.
Wenden Farming Co., Salome, $65,988.
M. and V. Farms, Ehrenberg, $64,820.
Wm. M. Harrison, Yuma, $64,406.
O. K. Weeks and Son, Somerton, $64,109.
J. W. Olberg and Son, Yuma, $63,918.
Clayton Farms, Ehrenberg, $62,530.
S. H. Sturges, Yuma, $60,256.
Cuming and Sons, Somerton, $60,049.
Peters & Nall, Blythe, Cal., $58,155.
Ferguson and Sons, Yuma, $56,429.
Clyde Curry, Somerton, $55,495.
Robert Cockrill, Phoenix, $54,756.
Maricopa Dust Spray, Maricopa, $51,443.
Wide River Fms, Casa Grande, $51,245.
C and V Growers, Inc., Maricopa, $49,215.
Chrismer Farms, Parker, $49,199.
T. W. Williams, Yuma, $49,163.
Hoffman Enterprises, Roll, $48,485.
Thom Blohm, Wellton, $48,322.
W. M. Wootton, Wellton, $48,105.
Henry Leivas, Poston, $46,981.
Wm. Thacker, Yuma, $45,481.
Pete Pasquinelli, Yuma, $43,943.
Cuming Farms, Inc., Somerton, $43,080.
C. L. Stephens, Dateland, $42,126.
Keller Farms, Salome, $40,871.
Jack Studer, Parker, $49,852.
Oscar Walls, Wellton, $39,563.
Mcelhaney Farms, Wellton, $38,022.
Don Andersen, Wenden, $37,752.
Tom Powell, Roll, $36,856.
Manuel Romo, Parker, $36,820.
L. W. Simmons, Parker, $35,711.
Mark and Howard Moore, Yuma, $35,045.
Loule Kehl, Yuma, $34,075.
A. C. Cockrill, Eloy, $33,185.
George Silva, Yuma, $32,705.
Gunther & Shirley, Yuma, $32,366.
Clarence Phillips, Yuma, $31,682.
Moenk Fletcher, Wellton, $31,616.
Larry Wisby, Roll, $31,546.
Phil and H. D. Sibley, Somerton, $31,505.
Marion Griffin, Somerton, $29,455.
Robert Woodhouse, Roll, $28,981.
Glen Sturges, Roll, $28,061.
Burnis, Williams, Yuma, $27,741.
Dillard Engler, Yuma, $26,979.
S. E. Musgrove, Somerton, $25,809.
John and Jud Ott, Yuma, $25,733.
Mike Martinez, Jr., Parker, $25,595.
Ben Matthews, Salome, $25,394.
D. S. Brosie, Wellton, $25,225.
Jon Nickerson, Wellton, $24,116.
Bill Alcaida, Parker, $23,805.
Jack Ramsey, Yuma, $22,551.
J. L. Heard, P.O. Box 4578, Yuma, $21,820.
Jim Naquin, P.O. Box 28, Roll, $21,801.
Lee Consaul Co., Box 751, Yuma, $21,223.
S. and W. Ranches, Box 1590, Yuma, $21,204.
J. L. Breech, R.R. 1, Box 665, Yuma, $20,726.
D. S. Phillips, 2410 4th St., Yuma, $20,699.
L. A. Johnson, Somerton, $20,621.
Total payees in county (86), $5,655,356.
Total payees in State (658), $41,838,240.

ARKANSAS

Ashley County

Gus Pugh Sons, Inc., Portland, $104,281.
W. W. & Earl Cochran, Portland, $74,429.
Bobby Foster, Wilmot, $52,278.
Billy E. Scroggins, Parkdale, $46,811.
William B. Deyampert, Wilmot, $46,436.
Kenneth Rice Farms Inc., Montrose, $46,238.
Victor Edwards, Montrose, $44,444.
Jim Young Farms Inc., Portland, $43,080.
R. E. Lee, Montrose, $42,204.
Jack Edwards Inc., Montrose, $38,602.
E. D. Gregory Company, Parkdale, $38,562.
CRC Lynn Inc., Montrose, $37,969.
W. C. Streeter, Montrose, $37,957.
Guy Botsford Inc., Portland, $37,801.
Kimball Estate, Little Rock, $37,371.
James H. Gay, Portland, $35,884.
Charles E. Bridewell, Montrose, $34,794.
Edith Robinson & Martha Rye, England, $33,225.
John B. Currie, Wilmot, $33,174.
Norsworthy & Moyers, Portland, $31,590.
John H. Ralph, Parkdale, $31,196.
Bill Gibbs, Portland, $31,074.
Leroy Haynes, Wilmot, $30,023.
Austin Bordeaux, Wilmot, $28,428.
B. E. Fisher, Portland, $27,449.
William P. Holland, Portland, $25,819.
William L. Johnson, Wilmot, $25,562.
W. W. & L. M. Brooks, Montrose, $25,373.
Frances D. Wilson, Montrose, $22,943.
W. T. Files, Parkdale, $22,103.
James Baugh, Boydell, $21,943.
Ray Maglothin, Montrose, $21,859.
Madison Gilliam, Portland, $21,758.
Fisher Farms Inc., Portland, $21,538.
Henry S. Morschheimer, Parkdale, $20,651.
John W. Spivey, Hamburg, $20,176.
Total payees in county (36) $1,295,025.

Chicot County

Handley & Dunavant, Greenville, $67,959.
Keith Brothers, Lake Village, $62,306.
Jimmy R. Pylate, Eudora, $54,617.
Rosamond Carpenter, Lake Village, $49,261.
B. & V. Pieroni, Lake Village, $39,579.
T. P. Borgognoni, Lake Village, $39,393.
Oscar L. Crowe, Greenville, $37,632.
Yellow Bayou Plt. Inc., Lake Village, $37,528.
Don Pylate, Eudora, $35,907.
W. R. Smith, Lake Village, $32,977.
W. T. Dixon, Eudora, $32,586.
Alvin Ford, Sr., Lake Village, $32,517.
Fred Woodall, Eudora, $30,718.
Ray Black, Lake Village, $30,342.
A. S. Rocconi, Lake Village, $29,746.
Woodson B. Hays, Eudora, $27,138.
A. C. Mazzanti, Lake Village, $26,745.
Burchfield Farms Inc., Lake Village, $26,519.
W. B. Bynum Cooperage Co. Inc., Dermott, $26,310.
Jerry G. Clark, Lake Village, $26,254.
Jerry Ford, Lake Village, $26,000.
Harper Bros., Eudora, $25,542.
W. J. Allums, Eudora, $25,500.
A. G. Rocconi, Lake Village, $25,191.
Ed Lawler, Lake Village, $24,537.
J. H. Hall Farms Inc., Readland, $23,670.
Charles D. Crawford, Eudora, $23,229.
Gillison Brothers, Lake Village, $22,822.
Dawson Brothers, Eudora, $21,419.
Sam Epstein Est., Lake Village, $20,644.
Total payees in county (30), $984,588.

Clark County

Sewel Daniell, Arkadelphia, $26,745.

Craighead County

Aubrey Wood, Caraway, $33,027.
Paul Owens, Lake City, $29,380.
Albert Johnston, Jonesboro, $28,127.
Dudley Brothers, Monette, $25,967.
Herbert Wood, Caraway, $24,747.
George C. Robertson, Jr., Caraway, $24,561.
Larry Burns, Jonesboro, $24,556.
H. V. Holden, Lake City, $24,154.
Gipson Bros., Monette, $28,715.
Virgil Booth, Caraway, $22,291.
Jack Zook, Monette, $21,725.
C and C Farms, Inc., Bay, $21,557.
T. W. Norwood, Trumann, $21,074.
Ray Cooley, Brookland, $20,430.
Gibson & Stewart, Lake City, $20,217.
Total payees in county (15) $365,528.

Crittenden County

Bloodworth & English Farms, Crawfordsville, $158,729.
Jack W. Ray, Crawfordsville, $137,906.
Carlson Brothers, Marion, $131,604.
Rodgers Bros., West Memphis, $127,297.
Bruins Plng Co., Hughes, $120,240.
N. S. Garrott & Sons, Proctor, $103,938.
M. K. & J. F. Rieves, Jr., Marion, $102,414.
Bond Pltg Co., Clarkedale, $99,987.
Pirani & Sons, Turrell, $92,339.
Pacco Inc., Turrell, $91,161.
J. F. Twist Plantation, Twist, $89,483.
A. Angeletti Inc., Crawfordsville, $88,215.
Allen B. Helms, Clarkedale, $74,729.
Lake Plantation, Hughes, $71,674.
E. H. Clarke & Co., Hughes, $70,993.
Alpe Farms Inc., Crawfordsville, $70,615.
Mallory Farms, Chatfield, $69,322.
Richland Plan, Inc., Hughes, $60,241.
Ray D. Ross, Gilmore, $57,485.
Middle Place Inc., Hughes, $57,035.
D & J Inc., Crawfordsville, $55,827.
Oliver Bros., Proctor, $54,431.
Morrison Bros., Earle, $53,420.
Nickey Eason Pltn., Stuttgart, $51,187.
William B. Rhodes Co., Marion, $50,146.
Charles S. Riggan, West Memphis, $49,998.
Handley Farms, West Memphis, $49,117.
Milton Lubin, Turrell, $48,767.
Carter Planting Co., Clarkedale, $48,392.
Bernard Farms, Earle, $47,465.
Sulcer Planting Company Inc., Marion, $46,864.
Ragland Plant Inc., Hughes, $46,686.
James W. Young, Jr., Crawfordsville, $46,520.
Joe Rodgers, West Memphis, $45,472.
Julian L. Hardin, Marion, $44,803.
Wapanocca Planting Co., Clarkedale, $43,006.
Fogleman & Son, Marion, $41,857.
O'Neal & Son Inc., Crawfordsville, $41,420.
Nugenco Inc., Turrell, $40,244.
John M. Swepston, Crawfordsville, $39,293.
F. H. Griffin, Clarkedale, $38,134.
F. G. Barton Cotton Co., Memphis, $37,706.
Johnny Greer, Earle, $37,224.
S & W Farms Inc., Blytheville, $37,104.
Beuford J. Wallace, Crawfordsville, $36,613.
H. P. Sisk, Parkin, $35,745.
Don Bell, West Memphis, $35,557.
Rembert & Miller Farms, Hulbert, $35,207.
E. J. Barham, Jr., Earle, $35,136.
Hester Parker, Marked Tree, $34,996.
Pirani Brothers, Marion, $34,696.
Dan Springfield, Jr., Crawfordsville, $33,373.
Bollinger Bros., Hulbert, $32,228.
Adolphi Pirani, Marion, $31,727.
Cupples Bros., Proctor, $31,405.
E. P. Rainey & Sons, Tyronza, $31,300.
Sammy Hatley, Marked Tree, $31,232.
Alton Grant Farms, Turrell, $30,287.
E. M. Ott, Crawfordsville, $29,034.
L. C. Smith, Hughes, $28,907.
L. G. Byford, Proctor, $28,587.
C. B. Britton, Crawfordsville, $28,378.
B. P. Kelley, Parkin, $28,211.
J. L. Currie, Crawfordsville, $28,112.
Aldo Marconi, Crawfordsville, $27,389.
C. L. Eubanks & Sons, Proctor, $27,301.
Cage Farm, Turrell, $27,286.
H. E. & Billy R Cupples, Hughes, $27,137.
E. J. Baker, Jr., Turrell, $26,990.
Earl White, Earle, $26,812.
Sam Crowder, Memphis, $26,466.
Vernon Felker, Crawfordsville, $26,005.
Olga S. McNeely, West Memphis, $24,631.
Joe Currie, Marion, $24,261.
James R. Stockley, Marion, $24,126.
Henry Craft, Memphis, $23,012.
Primo Baioni, Marion, $22,984.
Julia B. Goodwin, Marion, $22,874.
L. D. Marcellini, West Memphis, $22,675.
Howard Atkins, Earle, $22,486.
Joe E. Looney, Proctor, $22,398.
Charles L. Williams, Marion, $22,138.
Billy Jack Looney, Proctor, $21,309.
Bruce Scifres Co., Turrell, $21,221.
T. Lester Holmes, Turrell, $20,981.
Joe & Willie Bramucci, Earle, $20,393.
C. Minnis Sr. & Son, Edmondson, $20,198.
Manuel T. Sharp, Crawfordsville, $20,160.
Robert A. Caldwell, Proctor, $20,117.
Total payees in county (89), $4,202,571.

Cross County

McKnight Farms, Parkin, $83,238.
John H. Johnston, Wynne, $51,481.
W. M. Smith & Sons, Birdeye, $45,960.
Paul and Beene, Parkin, $44,148.
Twist Grain Co., Twist, $40,983.
Cherry Farms, Parkin, $39,761.
Ruston Farms, Parkin, $37,847.
Gene Thompson, Parkin, $36,080.
Abner Clements, Cherry Valley, $33,317.
R. W. Byrd, Parkin, $32,750.
Ira F. Twist, Twist, $32,446.
Paul McCutchen, Parkin, $30,528.
Leslie Nix, Wynne, $29,687.
Hugh B. Proctor, Jr., Parkin, $29,522.
Gordon Morris, Parkin, $28,192.
Richard Twist, Earle, $27,841.
S. A. Atkinson, Parkin, $25,126.
Twist Parkin Co., Earle, $25,093.
Wallace Martin Inc., Parkin, $22,630.
John A. Brenner, Parkin, $22,527.
Bill Rucker, Parkin, $20,949.
Jimmy Wilkins, Parkin, $20,767.
Total payees in county (22)

Dallas County

Benny Taylor, Sparkman, $23,686.

Desha County

R. A. Pickins & Son Co., Pickens, $246,049.
Stimson Veneer & Lbr. Trust, Dumas, $62,792.
Reedville Farms, Dumas, $44,389.
P. W. Teeter & Sons Co., Tillar, $41,255.
Bickham Bros., Tillar, $33,637.
Brooks Griffin, Elaine, $32,006.
Cox Bros. & Sons, Watson, $31,413.
Clay Cross, Pdlt Route, Dumas, $29,342.
Holt Holt & Roddy, Snow Lake, $28,484.
Gary Michael Roberts, 301 S. John, Dumas, $28,241.
Edgar Norris, Dumas, $27,831.
Desha Farms, Inc., RR3, Elaine, $27,660.
Baxter Land Co., Dermott, $26,567.
Clifton L. Meador, Dumas, $24,874.
L. L. Boyette, Tyro Route, Dumas, $24,078.
Charles Raymond Day, Box 276, McGehee, $23,805.
Martin Wood, Bx 34, Snow Lake, $23,629.
J. L. Britt, Snow Lake, $23,609.
M. L. Walt, 305 Adams, Dumas, $22,559.
T. J. New & Houston Lick, RR 1, McGehee, $22,440.
H. G. Leek, Jr., RR 2, Tillar, $22,000.
Cloyce B. Stevens, RR 1, Tillar, $21,558.
Dilbeck & Dilbeck, RR 1, McGehee, $21,532.
Cecil Tucker, Rohwer, $21,488.
Stimson Katterhenry Trust, Dumas, $21,306.
Chas. Dante & Son, Dumas, $21,304.
Leroy Johnson, Box 505, Elaine, $21,294.
Joe Cingolani, RR 1, Bx, 18A, McGehee, $21,126.
Cecil Wagner, Dumas, $20,649.
William Lamar Day, Tillar, $20,095.
Sims Bros., McGehee, $20,016.
Total (31 payees in county), $1,057,028.

Drew County

R. C. Greenway and Virgil Greenway, Jerome, $43,337.
John Arnn & Son, Dermott, $43,210.
Carl Pamplin, Portland, $42,920.
William Bulloch, Dermott, $32,881.
Bilgischer and Sponer, Dermott, $31,298.
H. P. Peacock and John Staudinger, Winchester, $26,134.
Tillar Livestock Co., Tillar, $25,415.
Charles S. Holloway, Winchester, $24,553.
E. R. Lambert, Jr., Pine Bluff, $24,217.
Donald M. Bulloch, Dermott, $24,163.
Curtis Lagrone, Dermott, $23,483.
William W. Gooch, Dumas, $23,402.
Total (12 payees in county), $365,013.

Faulkner County

J. W. Brown, Jr., Conway, $31,252.

Jackson County

Doyle and Wilmans Ptnrs., Diaz, $74,240.
Burton Merc. & Gin Co., Beedeville, $43,307.
Bell & Carson, Newport, $29,488.
Rock Island Planting Co., Newport, $27,202.
R. D. Wilmans & Sons Co., Diaz, $26,996.
Lindsey Nicholson, Diaz, $25,805.
O. R. Roden, Inc., Newport, $25,453.
Mack Bros., Inc., Newport, $25,018.
Village Creek Planting Co., Newport, $22,092.
J. D. Nicholson, Newport, $21,481.
Total (10 payees in county), $321,082.

Jefferson County

Arkansas State Penal Farm, Grady, $127,870.
Cornerstone Farm & Gin Co., Pine Bluff, $108,370.
Elms Planting Corporation, Altheimer, $79,712.
D. Stratton, Inc., Pine Bluff, $70,540.
B. N. Word Co., Inc., Altheimer, $60,343.
Pipkin Farm, Sherrill, $51,640.
Peek Planting Co., England, $50,583.
Richland Planting Company, Moscow, $50,415.
Lake Dick Elms Farming Co., Altheimer, $50,098.
Stillwater Farming Co., Inc., Altheimer, $48,780.
Hudgens Jeter, Altheimer, $48,769.
Jimmy Blair, Sherrill, $44,223.
Delton Edward Stratton, Pine Bluff, $43,545.
W. A. Albright, Sherrill, $41,285.
James Terkeurst, Pine Bluff, $40,597.
S. R. Baugh, Star City, $40,309.
Archer Farm, Wright, $39,830.
Earl Chadick & Sons, Sherrill, $39,153.
Lyons Planting Co., Inc., Altheimer, $38,225.
Parker Springer Pltg. Co., Pine Bluff, $37,915.
A. C. Hunter, Sherrill, $37,481.
W. W. West Company, Wabbaseka, $36,450.
New Gascony Elms Farming Co., Altheimer, $36,351.
Clarence Dutton & Son, Grady, $36,224.
Lester Carter, Pine Bluff, $34,118.
Twin River Farm, Newport, $33,368.
Richardson Elms Farming Co., Altheimer, $33,043.
Oscar Homer Jones, Jr., Wabbaseka, $31,113.
John Briggs, Jr., Stuttgart, $30,833.
Fish Lake Farms, Pine Bluff, $30,679.
Chambliss Farms, Pine Bluff, $30,418.
Collins A. Andrews, Jr., Pine Bluff, $29,238.
E. L. Burgess Est., Sherrill, $28,872.
R. E. Watkins, Altheimer, $28,842.
L. L. Dutton & Son, Moscow, $28,600.
Noble Lk. Pltg. Co., Inc., Pine Bluff, $27,245.
R. L. Bruton, Sherrill, $26,854.
Faver Brothers, Altheimer, $26,357.
Earle T. Phillips, Pine Bluff, $26,037.
Bobby Jones, Coy, $25,991.
Thomas O. Murchison, Jr., Coy, $25,969.
Jesse Page Walt, Altheimer, $25,926.
R. E. Watkins, Jr., Altheimer, $24,662.
Sam Luckie, Reydel, $24,582.
Lynch Brothers, Wabbaseka, $24,104.
M. A. Rose & Sons Inc., Altheimer, $23,941.
Thomas D. Dial, Pine Bluff, $23,936.
Collier, McAlexander, McCrory, $23,922.

Rob Roy Plantation Inc., Little Rock, $23,727.
L. W. Francis, Pine Bluff, $23,654.
Frank H. Lyons, Jr., Altheimer, $23,609.
Earl H. Jr., and Nonie Clemmons, Tamo, $23,498.
Morris Allred, Pine Bluff, $23,410.
Dan Fratesi & Son, Pine Bluff, $22,953.
Lyons Farms Inc., England, $22,715.
Stone Planting Co., Inc., Altheimer, $22,344.
L. J. Rogers Inc., Tucker, $22,076.
Gray Farms Inc,, Altheimer, $21,655.
J. L. Burgess III, Coy, $20,795.
Kenny Mitchell Bonds, Moscow, $20,692.
R. S. Barnett, Jr., Altheimer, $20,503.
Jim Ruggeri, Pine Bluff, $20,480.
Paul Blackwell, Altheimer, $20,462.
Eugene M. Rice, England, $20,228.
Elmos Johnson, Wabbaseka, $20,081.
Total payees in county (65), $2,300,240.

Lafayette County

Lawrence E. Taylor, Bradley, $60,064.
Andrew Whisenhunt, Bradley, $50,365.
Troyce E. Endsley, Bradley, $47,546.
William L. Goza, Lewisville, $47,063.
Carl Adams, Jr., Bradley, $40,995.
James R. Murphy, Bradley, $35,582.
J. G. Allen, Jr., Bradley, $34,178.
Mickey Walding, Bradley, $32,421.
Moore Properties Inc., Lewisville, $26,686.
Horace M. Burks, Bradley, $25,549.
Total payees in county (10), $400,449.

Lee County

Robert May, Brickeys, $94,918.
H. T. Dillahunty & Sons, Hughes, $88,120.
Sweet Brothers, Widener, $86,495.
Sherman Brothers, Brickeys, $58,869.
Buster Allen, Marianna, $57,779.
Barker Farms, Inc., Marianna, $54,260.
Dan Felton & Co., Marianna, $51,365.
Earl Stiles, Jr., Marianna, $51,212.
Miller Farms, Inc., Marianna, $46,483.
Elmwood Plantation, Inc., Hughes, $42,631.
C. M. Cooke, Brickeys, $41,645.
McGinnis Bros. Farms, Inc., Marianna, $40,094.
Eldon Reed, Marianna, $38,806.
C. E. Yancey & Sons, Marianna, $38,487.
Dick Ed Thomas, Marianna, $37,887.
Lindsey Farms, Inc., Marianna, $36,841.
Mann Farms, Inc., Marianna, $34,401.
W. E. Stiles, Marianna, $33,699.
Sisk Farms, Inc., Marianna, $30,576.
Jerry P. Hicky, Marianna, $28,121.
Ellis Evans, Marianna, $27,666.
Parten Farms, Inc., Marianna, $27,484.
Stanfield & Barber, Brickeys, $27,109.
Lawson Hughes, Forrest City, $26,592.
George L. Ballard, Marianna, $26,449.
J. E. Ivy, Marianna, $25,343.
Wooten Brothers, Lexa, $25,125.
Milon Mitchell, Brickeys, $24,805.
Ed Whitehead, Marianna, $22,331.
Jones Farms, Inc., Marianna, $21,528.
E. W. Reynolds, Marianna, $21,360.
Alton Weeks, Forrest City, $20,075.
Total payees in county (32) $1,288,556.

Lincoln County

H. R. Wood & Son Inc., Grady, $60,955.
Frizzell Farms Inc., Star City, $59,983.
N. M. Ryall & Sons Inc., Star City, $55,717.
Marion F. Baugh, Star City, $51,148.
C. H. Clowers & Co., Star City, $43,676.
J. L. McEntire & Sons, Inc., Pine Bluff, $38,679.
Harry D. Fratesi, Gould, $35,036.
Bruce Norton, Pine Bluff, $35,010.
Richard Jones, Gould, $31,251.
Wood Brothers, Gould, $30,720.
Boscoe Blagg, Dumas, $26,861.
W. H. Venable, Grady, $25,140.
Knox W. Bitely, Gould, $24,855.
Johnson Brothers, Star City, $24,515.
Branson Brothers, Dumas, $24,293.
Knight Brothers, Gould, $23,059.
B. F. McGraw, Star City, $22,662.
K. Berzent Blagg, Dumas, $22,622.
Roy Baugh, Star City, $22,246.
Virgil Jones, Gould, $21,013.
Robert Venable, Grady, $20,562.
Jerry Price, Gould, $20,001.
Total payees in county (22), $720,004.

Little River County

E. C. Lavoice and Sons, Foreman, $31,559.
McGraw Brothers, Ashdown, $23,644.
Total payees in county (2), $55,203.

Lonoke County

R. W. Morris, Keo, $57,664.
James W. Phillips, Keo, $53,759.
Albert Eugene Yarbrough, England, $49,871.
Floyd H. Turner, England, $48,703.
Basel Henderson, Coy, $42,917.
Sam McNeil, England, $42,431.
Robert L. Dortch Jr., Scott, $41,074.
James C. Rollins, England, $37,978.
Charles Capps, England, $37,677.
Odes Perry, England, $32,731.
Luchen Walls, Pine Bluff, $32,084.
Wm. J. Bevis, Scott, $31,630.
Jimmie H. Boggess, Coy, $31,313.
Bobby Gene Wright, Coy, $29,503.
Triangle Farms, Lonoke, $28,422.
Waylon B. Sims, Scott, $26,175.
James Workman, Scott, $24,209.
Turner C. Johnson, England, $23,816.
J. J. J. Cook, Lonoke, $23,233.
Jack H. Case, England, $23,105.
Luid Jones, Coy, $23,053.
Loranzy Goerge Crouthers, England, $23,019.
Morris F. Hallum, England, $22,196.
Rex Warren Capps, England, $20,965.
H. B. Hayley, Keo, $20,912.
Joy, Leon Hill, Lonoke, $20,440.
Hervey L. Patterson, England, $20,108.
Total payees in county (27), $868.988.

Miller County

Doyle Stevens, Garland, $37,618.
Price Plantation Inc., Garland, $35,155.
S. & S. Farm, Texarkana, $22,915.
Queen Plantation Inc., Perryville, $20,809.
Rufus F. Eaton, Fouke, $20,275.
Total payees in county (5), $136,772.

Miss County

Wesson Farms Inc., Victoria, $181,079.
Harold Senter, Wilson, $118,662.
Armorel Planting Co., Armorel, $112,954.
Ben Wood Farms, Joiner, 100,143.
Wilbur F. Johns Farms, Joiner, $97,683.
Lee Wilson and Co., Wilson, $95,846.
Midway, Farms Inc., Osceola, $94,078.
R. Creecy & T. Tate, Osceola, $88,126.
Clide Barnett, Keiser, $84,820.
C. J. Lowrance & Sons, Driver, $83,296.
R. D. Hughes, Blytheville, $83,207.
Jack Hale, Blytheville, $76,094.
Evadale Planting Co., Wilson, $75,737.
W. J. Denton EST., Wilson, $73,753.
Lowrance Bros & Co., Driver, $70,610.
Taylor Bros., Blytheville, $66,579.
C. B. Robinson, Osceola, $66,456.
Fairview Planting Co., Wilson, $62,082.
Stanall Farms, Wilson, $60,080.
Crosthwait Farms Inc., Osceola, $59,987.
Sullivan Bros., Burdette, $59,702.
W. T. Metzger, Jr., Blytheville, $59,338.
Charles W. Bowles, Osceola, $57,762.
Marie Planting Co., Wilson, $57,195.
Langston Gin Co., Luxora, $55,375.
R. J. Gillespie, Luxora, $54,979.
Charles Nick & Richard Rose, Roseland, $54,693.
M. J. Koehler, Dell, $53,252.
John A. Edrington, R.R. 2, Box 605, Osceola, $52,630.
B. C. Land Co., Leachville, $51,002.
Ira G. Ashley, R.R. 2, Box 310, Osceola, $50,922.
Charles R. Moore, R.R. 1, Luxora, $49,072.
A. E. Teaford, Luxora, $48,893.
John E. Crain, Jr., Wilson, $47,348.
Dan & Chester Caldwell, 1808 Westgate, Blytheville, $45,607.
Larry Woodard Farms Inc., Box 477, Lepanto, $43,764.
John M. Stevens, Jr., Dell, $43,387.
J. H. Felts and Sons, RR. 1, Joiner, $42,461.
Keiser Planting Co., Box 108, Keiser, $41,898.
Charles Robert Jackson, R.R. 2, Blytheville, $40,421.
John M. Speck, Frenchman's Bayou, $39,818.
R. E. L. Wilson Trust Luxora, Wilson, $39,232.
Nancy M. Trimue, Frenchman's Bayou, $39,051.
Speck Bros., Frenchman's Bayou, $38,764.
Clifford Gillespie, 611 W. Union, Osceola, $38,649.
Glen A. Cook, R.R. 4, Box 235, Blytheville, $38,221.
C. L. Denton, Jr., R.R. 1, Tyronza, $37,224.
Amon, Eugene Holt, R.R. 2, Manila, $37,106.
W. M. Taylor, Jr., R.R. 2, Osceola, $36,668.
Cullom Bros., R.R. 1, Wilson, $36,488.
Golden Lake Farms, Wilson, $35,578.
Ashmore Bros. & Brown, RR. 2, Box 177, Blytheville, $35,245.
James H. Woodard, R.R. 2, Box 290, Osceola, $33,767.
L. T. Dillard, Betty Lynn St., Osceola, $33,562.
Chiles Planting Co., Box 191, Joiner, $33,558.
Jacksonville Farms Inc., Box 188, Osceola, $33,556.
Joe Dilldine, R.R. 3, Box 104, Blytheville, $33,372.
Earl H. Wildy, R.R. 2, Leachville, $33,253.
Don Brinn, R.R. 3, Box 68, Blytheville, $32,750.
Bryce Grant, R.R. 1, Manila, $32,672.
Robert Gammill & Son, R.R. 1, Box 182, Tyronza, $32,344.
McGuire & Son, Blytheville, $32,140.
Allen Metheny, Etowah, $31,980.
Crosthwait Gin & Merc. Inc., Osceola, $31,868.
Mary Ann Gill, Osceola, $31,791.
Ralph Bros., Joiner, $31,703.
John W. West, Blytheville, $31,527.
A. A. Banks, Tyronza, $31,475.
E. H. Riley, Osceola, $31,417.
H. T. Bonds Sons Inc., Lepanto, $30,559.
Clarence Crosskno, Blytheville, $30,489.
K. D. Main, Dyess, $30,440.
Richard Cromer Farm, Osceola, $30,303.
Lee Wilson Estate, Wilson, $29,979.
Willis Stutts, Manila, $29,957.
J. E. Crain Est., Wilson, $29,879.
John B. Wilson, Joiner, $29,658.
Oliver Coppedge, Jr., Blytheville, $29,200.
Charles R. Haynes, Blytheville, $29,169.
Ray Harrison, Blytheville, $28,995.
W. R. Middleton, Osceola, $28,752.
W. J. Smith, Tyronza, $28,518.
Paul Long, Blytheville, $28,440.
Vaughn L. Shownes, Manila, $28,375.
Buford Jarrett, Keiser, $27,986.
Moreland B. White, Osceola, $27,977.
Clyde Whistle, Osceola, $27,825.
Charles Wildy, Osceola, $27,512.
Florenden Plantation, Luxora, $27,186.
E. A. Stacy, Dell, $26,997.
Rose & Hitt, Teachville, $26,958.
R. C. Branch, Sr. Estate, Osceola, $26,683.
Charles D. & Donald L. Baker, Luxora. $26,570.
William H. Wyatt, Blytheville, $26,193.
Kelley Entp. Inc., Lepanto, $25,925.
Blythe Clark, Frenchmans Bayou, $25,658
F. B. Crews, Osceola, $25,092.
J. L. Gurley, Blytheville, $25,072.
M. E. Little, Keiser, $25,020.
Russell & Freddie Battles, Steele, $25,009.
Charles E. Crigger III, Blytheville, $24,863.
V. S. Johnson, Leachville, $24,754.
Murray Richardson, Jr., Osceola, $24,699.
C. C. & Marvin Elliott, Blytheville, $24,617.
W. H. O'Keefe, Blytheville, $24,550.
Russell Simpson, Dell, $24,247.
Jimmie Davis, Osceola, $24,161.
Eddie Thomas, Black Oak, $24,135.
J. H. Smart, Blytheville, $23,944.
Virgil Stewart, Blytheville, $23,584.

Wrenacres, Inc., Wilson, $23,368.
Wilbur Wildy, Osceola, $23,291.
Alex Goble, Jr., Bassett, $23,189.
E. M. Regenold, Armorel, $23,124.
J. R. Whistle, Dell, $23,036.
O. F. Hall, Keiser, $23,020.
Henry Battle, Joiner, $23,016.
Blackcat Plantation, Inc., Osceola, $22,922.
Kingsland Plantation, Inc., Osceola $22,922.
Trice Battle, Osceola, $22,834.
Dunkin Farms, Manila, $22,782.
Arthur Tusing, Dell, $22,764.
J. Lynn Tranum, Driver, $22,728.
M. G. Smith, Lepanto, $22,671.
Lester B. Gill Trust, Dell, $22,621.
Gerald Costner, Manila, $22,476.
Lyman Henson, Blytheville, $22,357.
Ed Hardin, Dell, $22,123.
Eric Waddell, Armorel, $22,080.
Aubrey Robertson, Joiner, $22,079.
Brothers & Cole, Osceola, $21,948.
R. B. Holthouse, Osceola, $21,846.
Edrington Bros., Osceola, $21,758.
Cromer & Segraves, Osceloa, $21,596.
Gaston James, Wilson, $21,365.
George Stanford, Osceola, $21,179.
George W. Dillahunty, Blytheville, $21,177.
John H. Timmons, Blytheville, $21,122.
Jonny Creecy, Blytheville, $21,103.
John E. Gann, Blytheville, $21,043.
John Ellis, Wilson, $20,849.
Gurley Bros., Blytheville, $20,795.
C. C. Councille, Blytheville, $20,720.
Billy Gann, Blytheville, $20,677.
Gus Morris, Luxora, $20,629.
Nick Patterson, Jr., Blytheville, $20,606.
Larry Joe Bell, Bassett, $20,547.
R. E. Cox, Osceola, $20,826.
J. H. Whitaker, Wilson, $20,083.
Total payees in county (149) $5,730,414.

Monroe County

Ralph Abramson, Holly Grove, $49,950.
Ray Fuller, Marvell, $40,639.
Amos Everett, Clarendon, $37,590.
Carter Clifton Company, Cotton Plant, $33,041.
Thomas Lawrence Coleman, Holly Grove, $30,924.
Floyd H. Shaw, Clarendon, $29,823.
Donald R. Dearing, Holly Grove, $29,106.
Jay Calloway and Son, Holly Grove, $26,134.
Rudolph Calloway, Holly Grove, $25,383.
Ciro Pardo, Brinkley, $24,125.
Bruce Crow, Holly Grove, $23,660.
L. W. Bullard, Cotton Plant, $23,207.
Decatur B. Jackson, Holly Grove, $21,112.
R. A. Banks, Jr., Cotton Plant, $20,318.
Total payees in county (14) $415,012.

Ouachita County

W. K. Henry, Holly Springs, $20,090.

Phillips County

Brooks Griffin, Elaine, $128,987.
Alexander Farms Inc., Helena, $120,035.
Highland Lake Farm, Helena, $117,872.
Hill Farms Inc., Indianola, $107,810.
Wood Sanderlin Farm, Crumrod, $80,688.
James Harold Byrd, Dundee, $68,093.
Sunset Farms Inc., Wabash, $63,214.
Waterloo Farms Inc., Wabash, $63,214.
White Oaks Farms Inc., Wabash, $63,214.
B. D. Alexander, Helena, $60,398.
Buron Griffin, Helena, $57,995.
R. J. & W. D. Suddath, Helena, $56,830.
King Wells Farm, Helena, $55,843.
Cypress Creek Farms, Inc., Wabash, $55,763.
E. T. Wells, Inc., Helena, $52,268.
Dave Inebnit, Holly Grove, $51,176.
Riverside Farm, Helena, $50,033.
Dixie Farm Company, Crumrod, $49,881.
Curtis Clark, Helena, $48,130.
Solomon Bros. Inc., Helena, $46,537.
David Solomon, Helena, $46,458.
Delta Plantation Inc., Helena, $45.791.
Ray Dawson, Lexa, $45,147.
R. J. Young, Poplar Grove, $42,994.
Tunney Stinnett, Elaine, $40,534.
J. E. Storey, Mellwood, $39,048.
J. O. Wheeler, Helena, $38,517.
Harry Stephens, West Helena, $38,362.
Joe Pillow, Helena, $38,058.
E. H. Harmon, Elaine, $37,249.
T. W. Keesee, Helena, $35,999.
James E. Yancey, Marvell, $35,211.
Catron Plantation, Helena, $34,786.
W. C. Brandon, West Helena, $34,497.
Jordan Farm Co., West Helena, $33,911.
Buford Culp Inc., Marvell, $33,647.
Wooten Epes Company, Helena, $33,572.
Billy Hill, Marvell, $32,788.
Winston Foster, Marvell, $32,535.
J. A. Hill, Marvell, $32,516.
M. M. Crisp, Elaine, $32,365.
J. D. Rohrscheib, Lexa, $32,268.
Chip Franklin, Jr., Helena, $32,206.
Charles Loeschner, Marvell, $31,930.
R. M. Hornor, Helena, $31,596.
Helton & Lake, Lexa, $31,350.
Oneida Planting Company, Oneida, $31,110.
F. O. Griffin, Jr., Helena, $30,413.
David Brooks Griffin Trust, Ratio, $30,211.
Thomas E. Young, Lexa, $29,900.
H. & L. Farm, Elaine, $28,207.
Jake Crow, Elaine, $27,803.
Woodrow Reed, Marvell, $27,433.
A. F. Gregory Jr., Lexa, $27,118.
Danny Baxley, Elaine, $25,303.
S. W. Cooke, West Helena, $25,203.
B. K. Allen, Jr., Elaine, $25,028.
N. R. Hosey, Marvell, $25,001.
H. W. Rohrscheib, Lexa, $24,982.
Caraway Bonner, Turner, $24,513.
Dean Roach, Elaine, $23,616.
Claude Warren, Lambrook, $23,530.
C. C. Simmons, Lexa, $23,189.
Walter A. Smith, Jr., Poplar Grove, $22,847.
Grauman & Bryant, Helena, $22,700.
Ralph Bunch, West Helena, $22,535.
F. O. Griffin, Sr., Helena, $22,511.
R. L. Carnathan, West Helena, $22,389.
Lester Crawford, Poplar Grove, $22,289.
Helen Barnes, Elaine, $21,825.
J. D. Smith, Marvell, $21,470.
Jack Patton, Poplar Grove, $21,378.
Henry Wooten, Marvell, $21,203.
C. C. Loveless, Elaine, $21,026.
Loveless Farms, Inc., Elaine, $21,026.
E. W. Higginbotham, Marvell, $20,514.
A. R. Keesee, Helena, $20,275.
William Robert Moore, Helena, $20,177.
Hornor Planting Co., Helena, $20,158.
Total payees in county (79), $3,138,199.

Poinsett County

E. Ritter & Co., Marked Tree, $148,920.
Bill L. Hannah, Marked Tree, $78,026.
Dan F. Portis, Lepanto, $59,248.
Fairview Farms Co., Tyronza, $51,816.
S. C. Chapin, Trumann, $49,524.
H. C. Bradford, Jr., Lepanto, $48,435.
Cecil H. Justus, Jr., Tyronza, $46,611.
Citizens Gin Co., Inc., Lepanto, $45,805.
Guy L. Prince, Marked Tree, $41,182.
James H. Moon, Trumann, $38,215.
Stuckey Bros., Inc., Lepanto, $37,363.
Moreland Barton, Tyronza, $36,841.
Am. Weona Farms, Inc., Trumann, $36,597.
D. V. Cravens, Lepanto, $35,135.
National Weona Farms Inc., Trumann, $32,306.
Hyneman Farms Inc., Trumann, AR, $32,302.
James E. McDaniel, Jonesboro Ar, $32,295.
Frank Dean, Marked Tree, $30,896.
Pioneer Farms Inc., Box 30, Trumann, $30,883.
Moon & Cash Inc., Trumann, $30,549.
J. D. Fletcher, Rt. 1, Box 192, Black Oak, $29,770.
Norcross Farming Company, Tyronza, $29,090.
Walter H. Provost, Marked Tree, $28,864.
Dixie Weona Farms Inc., Trumann, $28,845.
L. C. Barker, Rt. 2, Manila, $28,051.
Creodora Thompson, Rt. 2, Parkin, $26,870.
Paul Earnhart, Lepanto, $26,592.
Lloyd Shelton, Rt. 2, Osceola, $26,445.
R. H. Taylor & J. C. Stuckey, Rt. 3, Trumann, $26,153.
Donald Valentine, Rt. 1, Trumann, $25,692.
Woodrow Cummins, Marked Tree, $25,085.
Morris P. Lansdale, Rt. 2, Box 385, Tyronza, $24,482.
C. D. Walker, 605 Normandy Street, Marked Tree, $24,440.
Towhead Farms, Box 479, Lepanto, $23,920.
Charley G. Swindle, Rt. 3, Box 304, Trumann, $23,654.
Howington Bros., Lepanto, $23,619.
Morrisett Brothers, P.O. Box 236, Marked Tree, $23,384.
Chickasaw Oil Mill Inc., Lepanto, $22,876.
J. Melvin Young, P.O. Box 184, Tyronza, $22,785.
James O. Campbell, Trumann, $22,755.
W. H. Cross Jr., Lepanto, $22,072.
Emrich Bros & Sisters, Tyronza, $22,072.
Herbert S. Bingham, Marked Tree, $21,821.
Harry Juergens, Trumann, $21,386.
W. G. Craig, Tyronza, $21,301.
Tecota Farms, Trumann, $21,151.
Curtis E. Kent, Marked Tree, $20,969.
W. R. & Zula D. Payne Est, Marked Tree, $20,906.
James E. Wray, Trumann, $20,814.
Wallace George Willoughby, Marked Tree, $20,569.
J. P. Gooch, Lepanto, $20,136.
Total payees in count (51), $1,689,467.

Pope County

Carl E. Embry, Atkins, $20,304.

Prairie County

John D. Naill, Jr., Biscoe, $26,726.

Pulaski County

Reber McGhee & Son, Scott, $59,780.
Chapman Bros. Farm, Scott, $38,754.
Walter Isgrig, Little Rock, $33,518.
W. A. Ratcliffe, Jr., Sweet Home, $31,071.
Robert Earl James, Little Rock, $29,519.
Walter C. Estes, Scott, $29,444.
Walderns Bros., Woodson, $28,725.
R. S. Bredlow, England, $28,435.
Craig Planting Co., Scott, $28,258.
Hollis O. Nipps, England, $26,764.
J. B. Morgan, Little Rock, $23,675.
John Dougan, England, $22,901.
Henry Lanehart, Keo, $22,441.
Bob Dorsey, England, $22,201.
A. T. Henry, Scott, $21,967.
Gene Adams, Hensley, $21,335.
W. George Alexander, Scott, $20,933.
Total payees in county (17), $489,721.

St. Francis County

Miller Lumber Co., Marianna, $90,348.
Shannon Bros., Entp., Memphis, $78,194.
W. E. Biggs, Proctor, $69,473.
Robert Brewington, Forrest City, $62,305.
W. W. Draper, Jr., Forrest City, $58,086.
Vance and Bentley, Hughes, $55,937.
Kellogg and Hughey, Hughes, $55,081.
Luke E. Burch, Jr., Inc., Hughes, $53,997.
Chappell & Moore, Forrest City, $48,739.
B. & L. Farms, Inc., West Memphis, $47,930.
J. G. Adams and Son, Hughes, $46,562.
C. J. Beasley and Son, Heth, $45,934.
Red Gum Plantation, Hughes, $44,621.
Belle Meade Plantation, Hughes, $43,625.
Davis Biggs, Hughes, $42,803.
Wheatley Mill & Gin Co. Farm, Wheatley, $42,246.
Lindsey Brothers, Caldwell, $41,767.
Joe Oswalt, Hughes, $40,655.
S. C. Rose, Jr., Hughes, $38,507.
Earl Dean Williams, Widener, $38,477.
Troy W. Leoppard, Forrest City, $38,316.
J. C. Rice and E. Stough, Widener, $37,261.
B. M. McCollum, Jr., Hughes, $35,991.
Thomas McDaniel, Forrest City, $35,977.
Hammons Farms, Inc., Haynes, $35,910.
Higgins Brothers, Inc., Forrest City, $35,662.
Joe Burch, Hughes, $34,561.
A. B. Malkin, Jr., Heth, $33,886.
Claud Buford, Forrest City, $33,456.
McCain Farms, Inc., Widener, $33,372.
John C. Lindsey, Sr., Caldwell, $31,605.
C. D. Brown & Sons, Inc., Hughes, $31,405.
R. T. Landrum, Hughes, $30,378.

W. B. Burch, Hughes, $30,333.
Betty M. Stoddard, Hughes, $29,556.
F. W. Derositt & Son, Forrest City, $29,458.
458.
Rex Twist, Widener, $29,371.
Ida Mae Norsworthy, Widener, $28,929.
Freeman E. Nichols, Jr., Forrest City, $28,-
871.
Harold Trigger Wall, Hughes, $28,487.
Charles T. Adams, Jr., Hughes, $27,409.
E. L. Cupp, Widener, $26,454.
Wayne Campbell, Forrest City, $26,091.
France Bros., Heth, $25,756.
Floyd Martin, Widener, $25,395.
Carl H. Morris, Forrest City, $25,304.
Bob K. McKenzie, Hughes, $24,749.
Looney Brothers, Proctor, $24,604.
Willie Jones, Haynes, $24,336.
Sam Danehower, Forrest City, $23,482.
B. E. Beene, Hughes, $23,056.
Rod Bryan, Jr., Forrest City, $22,841.
Jack Vaughn, Jr., Forrest City, $22,365.
Bob Nimocks, Forrest City, $21,995.
E. Mitcheusson & R. Bellinger, Widener,
$21,657.
David Gates, Widener, $21,492.
Covie Thetford, Madison, $20,474.
Leon Vaughn, Forrest City, $20,295.
Sam Ashworth, Hughes, $20,041.
Clyde Sulcer, Wynne, $20,005.
Total payees in county (60) $2,165,873.

Woodruff County

Gerald L. Morris, Jr., McCrory, $72,152.
Gregory Farm, Inc., Augusta, $57,091.
McAdams & Stovall Farms, Augusta,
$44,323.
Bruce D. Tarkington, Cotton Plant, $42,749.
L. L. Cole & Son, Inc., Cotton Plant, $38,372.
Gum Ridge Corp., Augusta, $33,739.
Jennings and McAlexander, Augusta,
$25,826.
Burtons, Inc., Tupelo, $24,337.
Raymond Pruitt, McCrory, $21,767.
Bob R. Holder & Son, McCrory, $21,002.
Joe D. McGregor, Partnership, Cotton Plant,
$20,331.
Total payees in county (11) $401,689.

Yell County

W. H. McClure Trust, Dardanelle, $24,314.
Joe H. Vaughn, Dardanelle, $21,254.
Total payees in county (2) $45,568.
Total payees in State (797) $29,346,666.

CALIFORNIA

Butte County

Parrott Inv. Co., Chico, $98,360.
Donald W. Hayes, Chico, $45,881.
Shimizu Bros., Inc., Chico, $32,314.
Total payees in county (3), $176,555.

Colusa County

H. & E. Andreotti, Grimes, $51,881.
Wm. D. Struckmeyer, Arbuckle, $35,181.
A. Steidlmayer & sons, Colusa, $34,380.
Wallace Bros., Colusa, $33,217.
Louis Kaelin and Son, Arbuckle, $31,896.
O'Sullivan Ranch, Williams, $23,679.
C. William Johnson, Chico, $21,708.
Chas Yerxa, Colusa, $21,698.
Strain Ranches, Inc., Arbuckle, $21,456.
Myers Bros., Arbuckle, $20,061.
Total payees in county (10), $295,157.

Contra Costa County

William R. Baldwin, Byron, $38,205.
Buzz Lally, Stockton, $21,046.
Total payees in county (2), $59,251.

Fresno County

Giffen, Inc., Huron, $4,095,114.
Vista Del Llano Farms, Firebaugh,
$1,105,762.
Mt. Whittney Farms, Five Points, $804,583.
Boston Ranch Co., Lemoore, $677,225.
Telles Ranch, Inc., Firebaugh, $632,779.
Jack Harris, Inc., Coalinga, $500,068.
Raymond Thomas, Inc., Madera, $384,112.
Airway Farms, Inc., Fresno, $366,469.
Schramm Ranches, Inc., Joaquin, $262,022.
W. J. Deal, Mendota, $254,505.
Gragnani Bros., Tranquillity, $248,656.
J. E. O'Neill, Inc., Fresno, $236,320.
Sierra Dawn Farms, Firebaugh, $223,646.
M. J. and R. S. Allen, Coalinga, $222,711.
Clarence Matheson, Mendota, $210,443.
V. C. Britton Co., Firebaugh, $204,553.
Coit Ranch, Inc., Mendota, $203,386.
Redfern Ranches, Inc., Dos Palos, $191,351.
Comfort Farm, Inc., Dos Palos, $185,167.
Wood Ranches, Lemoore, $175,308.
Pilibos Bros., Inc., Fresno, $174,037.
Price Giffen Ranch, Firebaugh, $172,489.
Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc., Mendota, $153,-
677.
Ryan Bros., Mendota, $150,711.
Wayne Sniffin, Firebaugh, $141,281.
Coelho Farms, Riverdale, $140,799.
Hammonds Ranch, Inc., Firebaugh, $136,-
394.
Kriesant Operating Co., Inc., Mendota,
$131,587.
Britz Chemical Co., Five Points, $130,498.
Rabb Bros., San Joaquin, $121,671.
Giusti Farms, Inc., Fresno, $120,255.
Enrico Farms, Inc., Firebaugh, $114,857.
Weeth Ranches, Inc., Coalinga, $111,741.
Gordon Bros., Tranquillity, $107,385.
Linneman Ranches, Inc., Dos Palos, $105,-
538.
Michael Giffen Ranch., Inc., Firebaugh,
$105,214.
Griffin & Griffin, Coalinga, $104,646.
Pacific Farms Co., Firebaugh, $101,834.
Pappas & Co., Inc., Mendota, $101,063.
J. & J. Ranch, Firebaugh, $100,878.
Sam and D. M. Biancucci, Firebaugh,
$94,710.
Deavenport Ranches, Inc., Fresno, $94,551.
Gramis Bros., Mendota, $91,815.
Richard Swearingen, Riverdale, $89,190.
S. E. Lowrance Ranch, Tranquillity, $89,-
141.
John and Alex Kochergen, Fresno, $88,398.
Starkey & Erwin, Avenal, $86,780.
William E. Glotz, Tranquillity, $86,427.
Melcombs Ranch, Inc., San Joaquin, $84,-
242.
Aladdin Ranch, Fresno, $83,799.
Goodman Traction Ranch, Tranquillity,
$82,443.
Milo Erwin, Fresno, $82,067.
J. C. Andresen, Fresno, $76,484.
Willson Farms, Inc., Fresno, $76,330.
Wm. H. Noble, Kerman, $76,303.
Clayton Brown, Madera, $74,066.
Harold O. Banion, Dos Palos, $73,317.
Vernon Swearingen, Riverdale, $72,961.
S. P. Transportation, San Francisco, $72,404.
Robert Cardwell, Fresno, $72,367.
J. C. Conn, Inc., Coalinga, $71,566.
Wolfsen Bros., Los Banos, $71,453.
Rusconi Farms, San Joaquin, $71,441.
M. L. Dudley & Co., Fresno, $71,038.
Mouren Farming Co., Huron, $69,184.
Western Vly Ranches, Inc., Los Banos,
$65,037.
Marchini Bros., Tranquillity, $64,969.
Reuben Crosno, Selma, $63,903.
Arthur J. Cuelho, Riverdale, $63,879.
Hanson & Fortune, Firebaugh, $62,358.
John L. Errecart, Tranquillity, $61,418.
S. & S. Ranch, Inc., Mendota, $60,799.
C. H. & G. Farms, Inc., Fresno, $58,755.
Vincent Wovacevich, Fresno, $58,665.
Half MN. Fruit & Prod., Los Banos, $58,519.
Claremont Farms, Huron, $58,299.
Darrell Silveira, San Joaquin, $56,595.
Fred Rau, Fresno, $56,299.
D. T. Locke, Firebaugh, $55,405.
Poso Dairy Farms, Inc., Firebaugh, $55,171.
Raven Land Co., Selma, $54,898.
Bill & Ed Koda, So. Dos Palos, $54,810.
Drew Farms, Inc., Firebaugh, $54,745.
Sommerville Farms, Inc., Huron, $54,670.
Leavelle Bros., Fresno, $54,139.
Wm. Erickson, Fresno, $53,965.
Davis Drier & Elevator, Inc., Firebaugh,
$53,480.
Vierhus Farms, Coalinga, $53,220.
A. & H. Farms, Kerman, $52,680.
Rogers Ranches, Inc., Helm, $52,039.
Indart, Inc., Fresno, $50,229.
Ernes E. Sullivan, Kerman, $49,372.
Pucheu Ranch, Tranquillity, $49,306.
Irby Abercrombie, Kerman, $49,007.
Joe M. Lovelace, Coalinga, $47,579.
Fairless & Pifferini, San Joaquin, $47,639.
Donald Bellando, San Joaquin, $46,639.
Del Testa Farms, Tranquillity, $46,016.
Melvin Coelho, Fresno, $45,809.
Davis & Huey, Inc., Tranquillity, $45,756.
Edward Azhderian, Los Gatos, $44,356.
Edgar Wilkins Ranch, Fresno, $44,072.
Hale Bros., San Joaquin, $43,972.
Dodd Fam. Liv. Trust, Palos, $43,019.
Joe E. Yraceburu, Fresno, $42,825.
B. T. V. Farms, Tranquillity, $42,543.
Paul Crevolin, Firebaugh, $42,531.
Perez, Bros., Firebaugh, $42,324.
Bidegaray & Sagardia Bros., Fresno,
$42,307.
United Packing Co., Fresno, $41,190.
Ru Ann Dairy, Riverdale, $41,099.
Britz Fertilizer, Five Points, $40,823.
W. F. McFarlane, Clovis, $39,945.
Markarian Farms, Fresno, $39,941.
Reece Bros., Tranquillity, $39,788.
F. Silveira & J. Peterson, Tranquillity,
$39,730.
Delbert Morse, Caruthers, $39,331.
Francis A. Orff, Mendota, $39,035.
Des Jardins Bros., Palos, $38,532.
Cardella & Johnston, Inc., Firebaugh, $38,-
266.
J. Teore & G. Tavares, Fresno, $37,915.
Erskine Ranch, Dos Palos, $37,887.
Gerawan & Penner Ranches, Inc., Reedley,
$37,415.
Martens & Zalba & Azparren, Fresno, $36,-
495.
Ensher Alexander Barsoom, Mendota, $36,-
282.
Harnish Five Points, Inc., Five Points,
$36,196.
Martin Costales, Tranquillity, $36,030.
Albert Bufkin, Kerman, $35,746.
McKean Bros., Riverdale, $35,490.
Jarrott Ranch, Firebaugh, $35,452.
Milo Jacobsen, Fresno, $35,311.
Irwin R. Efird, Fresno, $34,854.
Jura Farms, Inc., Fresno, $34,761.
Nichols & Wood, Coalinga, $34,577.
D. E. Robison, Fresno, $33,496.
Levon Azhderian, Los Banos, $33,165.
Alex Maul, Fresno, $32,814.
Hubert Beene, Helm, $32,730.
Jack D. Jones, San Joaquin, $32,206.
Carvalho Farms, Inc., Tranquillity, $32,-
153.
Motte Ranches, San Joaquin, $32,075.
E. A. Wilkins, Fresno, $31,854.
D. J. Rowland, Reedley, $31,283.
Fernando Machado, Fresno, $31,281.
Joe Yribarren, Tranquillity, $31,236.
Alfred Russell, Madera, $31,213.
John Teixeira, Dos Palos, $31,176.
Earl Brinkley, Dos Palos, $30,701.
Philip Erro, Fresno, $30,677.
E. Weeth & Son, Coalinga, $30,299.
Diamond R Farms, Inc., San Joaquin,
$30,033.
Leroy Hardy, Kerman, $29,800.
Otis Horn, Tranquillity, $29,684.
John Wm. Kinnunen, San Joaquin, $29,635.
Frank Freitas, Fresno, $29,617.
Metzler & Metzler, Caruthers, $29,517.
Triangle Ranch, Mendota, $29,176.
Frank Ayerza, Tranquillity, $29,057.
Fairless Bros., Tranquillity, $28,933.
Frank Chounet Ranch, Firebaugh, $28,671.
P. R. Farms, Inc., Fresno, $28,654.
Eugene Nord, Kerman, $28,574.
Edward Wagenleitner, Fresno, $28,249.
Hans P. Gunlund, Caruthers, $28,192.
John A. Gentry Est., Kerman, $28,184.
Roy Green, Selma, $28,172.
Re Al Farms, Inc., Dos Palos, $27,757.
Frank J. Mendes, Jr., Kerman, $27,728.
C. L. Anderson, Kerman, $27,359.
Norman Fries, Raisin City, $27,337.
Roy Howard, Dos Palos, $26,940.
C. R. Wilkins, Dos Palos, $26,920.
Nino Groppetti & Sons, San Joaquin,
$26,758.

Echeveste & Elizalde, Firebaugh, $26,672.
Burnett Bros., Coalinga, $26,177.
L. H. & G. W. Hanneman, San Joaquin, $26,163.
Ernest Carvalho, Tranquillity, $26,141.
Clarence De Freitas, Caruthers, $26,080.
H. Carter & Son, Selma, $25,857.
James W. Wilson, Tranquillity, $25,797.
John Goulart & Sons, Fresno, $25,670.
A. & D. Koligian, Fresno, $25,527.
Jaurena Bros., Coalinga, $25,385.
Kenneth Peelman, Fresno, $24,841.
Walter Willms, Tranquillity, $24,600.
E. G. Rank, Jr., Fresno, $24,541.
Locke Bros., Firebaugh, $24,312.
Tai Hing & Co., Firebaugh, $24,270.
August Pereira, Jr., Tranquillity, $24,229.
Pucheu Bros., Tranquillity, $24,027.
Nicolini & Maitia, Firebaugh, $24,020.
Joe C. Machado, Fresno, $23,614.
Earl L. Carter, Selma, $23,600.
Jack Cardwell, Kerman, $23,567.
John & Jim Diedrich, Firebaugh, $23,239.
John Nobile, Fresno, $23,101.
The Desert Ranch, Firebaugh, $23,081.
Majarian Bros., Cutler, $22,973.
Rayona Farms, Fresno, $22,937.
Richard S. Burford, Fresno, $22,887.
Panoche Development Co., Mendota, $22,807.
Frank Lorenzetti, Dos Palos, $22,781.
Hyde Ranch, Dos Palos, $22,595.
Manuel & Jerry Pacheco, Kerman, $22,586.
Alfred Coelho & Sons, Fresno, $22,505.
Walter E. Lambrecht, Kerman, $22,392.
E. W. Chaney, San Joaquin, $22,300.
Geo. & Jn. & Walt Orlando, Tranquillity, $21,970.
Speakes Bros., Mendota, $21,831.
John Nakamura, Firebaugh, $21,735.
La Cuesta Verde Ranches, Coalinga, $21,667.
Del Ko Farms, Dos Palos, $21,651.
Wayne M. Hardy, Fresno, $21,532.
Armas Bros., Tranquillity, $21,517.
A. F. Mendes & Sons, Riverdale, $21,416.
Harold D. Weis, Kerman, $21,284.
A. J. Lombardini, Joaquin, $20,872.
Joseph L. Silva, Kerman, $20,832.
Miriam M. Silveira, Mendota, $20,685.
Hedman Ranches, San Joaquin, $20,611.
Carla Lee De Vries, Riverdale, $20,558.
Toshio Ihara, Sanger, $20,140.
Total payees in county (222), $21,268,998.

Glenn County

C. M. French, Willows, $54,797.
Shintaffer Farms, Marysville, $47,443.
J. S. Garnett Co., Willows, $37,417.
Ernest M. Michael, Artois, $32,863.
Baber Gibson Livestock, Willows, $32,595.
Elizabeth W. Eddins, Piedmont, $23,178.
Ernest W. Michael, Willows, $22,469.
H. E. Damon, Butte City, $22,156.
Kaiser Kaiser Gallo, Hamilton City, $21,139.
Total payees in county (9), $294,057.

Imperial County

H. B. Murphy Co., Brawley, $449,505.
W. E. Young & W. E. Young, Jr., Calipatria, $263,529
Elmore Co., Brawley, $233,846.
Jack Elmore, Brawley, $224,837.
Russell Bros. Rches, Inc., Calipatria, $203,291.
J. H. Benson Rchs, Inc., Brawley, $195,823.
George B. Willoughby, El Centro, $191,343.
Stephen H. Elmore, Brawley, $166,502.
Hugh Hudson Ranches, Calipatria, $160,861.
Denman & Schunk, Calipatria, $157.086.
C. T. Dearborn, Calipatria, $154,047.
Fifield Land Co., Brawley, $146,571.
Neil Fifield Co., Brawley, $141,543.
Adamek & Dessert, Seeley, $128,150.
River Ranch, Inc., Calipatria, $118,139.
Raymond O. Connell & Son, Brawley, $114,389.
Stafford Hannon, Brawley, $112,546.
Williams & Quick, Calipatria, $109,735.
Jack Bros. & McBurney, Inc., Brawley, $107,809.
Donald H. Cox, Brawley, $107,161.
Baretta & Little Farms, Calipatria, $106,594.
Fifield Farms, Brawley, $100,315.
Bonanza Farms, El Centro, $99,805.
Dearborn & Maraccini, Calipatria, $97,312.
Abatti Bros., El Centro, $92,889.
Griset Bros., Santa Ana, $92,426.
Preciado Bros., Imperial, $92,040.
Donald K. Donley, Yuma, $88,126.
Charles Vonderahe, Brawley, $84,184.
Kenneth Reynolds, Calipatria, $83,455.
Davis Beauchamp, Calipatria, $76,696.
Harry Schmidt Farms, Brawley, $76,370.
Hausmann & House Ranches, Brawley, $74,944.
John V. Merten, Holtville, $71,887.
Fritz Kuhn, Jr., El Centro, $71,404.
Hawk & Sperber, Holtville, $69,537.
House & Haskell, El Centro, $66,001.
Ed Wiest, Brawley, $65,002.
J. N. Osterkamp Ranches, Brawley, $64,772.
Don Cannon, Niland, $64,041.
Lerno Bros., El Centro, $62,716.
J. C. Reeves, Brawley, $62,076.
C. W. Sanders, Brawley, $61,370.
Homer Slater, Brawley, $60,985.
Edwin Chew, Imperial, $60,402.
Michael D. Ayala, Brawley, $59,874.
John H. Borchard, El Centro, $58,738.
Edward M. Wavers, Yuma, $57,474.
John V. Borchard, Holtville, $57,362.
Moiola Bros., Brawley, $57,180.
J. R. & B. R. Smith, Brawley, $56,515.
Valjon Trust, El Centro, $56,331.
J. Emanuelli & Sons, Brawley, $56,068.
R. B. Wilson Co., Brawley, $55,305.
James A. Taylor, Brawley, $54,913.
Charles K. Corfman, El Centro, $54,496.
Fleming & Jack, Brawley, $53,205.
Dessert Seed Co. Inc., El Centro, $52,683.
Berryman Farms, Bard, $51,692.
Correll Farms, Inc., Calipatria, $50,860.
Dixie Ranches, El Centro, $50,123.
Imperial Farms, El Centro, $49,840.
Deol & Sunghera, El Centro, $49,585.
Sweetwater Feeders, Brawley, $49,356.
R. S. Reese, Westmorland, $49,342.
Lloyd Heger, El Centro, $48,222.
Bryant Farms, Inc., Calipatria, $47,258.
Leroy Edwards, Holtville, $46,756.
Darwin Cohee, Brawley, $46,741.
Newside Farms, Inc., Holtville, $46,194.
Purex Corp., Ltd., El Centro, $45,443.
Charles C. Bowles, Calipatria, $44,811.
Delmar A. Brady, El Centro, $44,736.
Strahm & Sons, Holtville, $42,785.
San Pasqual L. & C. Co., Brawley, $42,666.
C. Alex Abatti, Heber, $42,652.
Allen B. Griffin, Brawley, $42,155.
Loma Farms, Brawley, $41,969.
Alvaro Deen, Brawley, $41,206.
George A. Mallory, Brawley, $40,604.
Fernando S. Sanga, Brawley, $40,594.
Earl W. Ashhurst, Brawley, $40,107.
Edward Dearborn, Calipatria, $40,095.
Sinclair Ranches, Calipatria, $39,271.
Martin Farms, Brawley, $38,220.
J. R. & Chas. & Chas, Jr., Kershaw, Brawley, $37,497.
Henrietta Farms, Brawley, $37,182.
V. Borchard Land & Cattle, Brawley, $37,151.
Three D. Cattle Co., Seeley, $37,039.
Harold Orff, Brawley, $37,013.
Dubois Ranch, Centro, $36,199.
E. E. Otto, Holtville, $36,107.
M. & B. Farms, Centro, $35,728.
C. S. Sandhu, Calipatria, $35,605.
Nilson Bros., Holtville, $35,495.
Robert Harp, Yuma, $35,131.
C. & C. Farms, Brawley, $35,015.
Perez & Morrell, Winterhaven, $34,821.
Guadalupe Deen, Calipatria, $34,754.
Andrew Andreotti, Centro, $34,486.
Harold Brockman, Calexico, $33,833.
Jack Rutherford, Brawley, $33,335.
William C. Moore, Brawley, $32,710.
Jean Layaye, Calipatria, $32,666.
M. Huffman & L. Allen, Calipatria, $32,469.
Brandt Bros., Brawley, $32,214.
W. M. Watkins, Calipatria, $31,604.
Kakoo & Rose Singh, Calipatria, $31,327.
L. L. Lyerly, Calipatria, $30,669.
R. E. Bedwell, Calipatria, $30,609.
F. P. Borchard Rchs., Brawley, $29,938.
Layton Farms, Winterhaven $29,591.
Elijah Bros., Brawley, $29,351.
Johnson Bros., Brawley, $29,291.
Harry Casey, Brawley, $29,163.
M. J. Labrucherie, El Centro, $29,115.
Luckey & Hellis, Brawley, $28,723.
Claverie Bros., Holtville, $27,833.
John Kubler, Calexico, $27,766.
Dahm Bros., Brawley, $27,395.
Valley Properties, Brawley, $27,027.
Antonio Rodriguez, Westmorland, $26,882.
Frank Augusta, Brawley, $26,205.
Green Valley Farms, Calipatria, $25,024.
James Adam, El Centro, $24,614.
Shaw Packing Co., El Centro, $24,392.
Samra & Thind, Heber, $24,292.
F. W. Schoneman, Brawley, $24,207.
Alvin Immel, Holtville, $23,990.
L. O. Power, Yuma, $23,785.
Nelson Correll, Calipatria, $23,747.
Niaz Mohamed, El Centro, $23,720.
Desert Edge Farms, Calipatria, $23,604.
Inter Harvest Inc., El Centro, $23,311.
Dwaine J. Little, Calipatria, $23,180.
M. J. Labrucherie Ranch, El Centro, $23,147.
Hoxie Smith, Brawley, $23,133.
Marlin E. Medearis, Brawley, $23,105.
Adolph Weinberg, Brawley, $22,708.
Jackson Produce Co., El Centro, $22,532.
John Lane, Brawley, $21,990.
Bisgaard Bros., Holtville, $21,900.
Sam H. Robinson, Calipatria, $21,733.
Robert C. Richter, El Centro, $21,532.
Bill Wiest, Brawley, $21,461.
Brady & Kellerman, El Centro, $21,215.
F. M. Riedman, Long Beach, $21,118.
Gerald R. Elmore, Calipatria, $20,771.
Ruegger & Ruegger, Westmorland, $20,470.
Lawrence B. Stewart, Calexico, $20,185.
Kline & Kline, El Centro, $20,147.
Total payees in county (152), $9,282,915.

Kern County

H. M. Tenneco, Bakersfield, $1,317,051.
S. A. Camp Farms Co., Shafter, $903,650.
W. B. Camp & Sons, Bakersfield, $375,980.
C. J. Vignolo, Shafter, $360,269.
Santiaga Ranch, Bakersfield, $322,687.
Houchin Bros. Farming, Buttonwillow, $275,530.
Buena Vista Farms, San Francisco, $275,471.
Joe Mendiburu, Oildale, $270,111.
Guimarra Vineyard Corp., Bakersfield, $252,059.
Mazzie Farms, Arvin, $226,289.
Killdeer Farms, Bakersfield, $221,464.
D. M. Bryant, Jr., Pond, $196,268.
Twin Farms, Buttonwillow, $196,120.
Tejon Ranch Co., Bakersfield, $180,406.
McKittrick Ranch, Inc., Bakersfield, $176,053.
Reynold M. Mettler, Bakersfield, $173,702.
Coberly West Co., Los Angeles, $170,751.
Palm Farms, Inc., Bakersfield, $159,226.
M & I Farms, Delano, $152,727.
Bidart Bros., Bakersfield, $150,910.
H & H Farms, Inc., Bakersfield, $136,610.
Standard Oil Co., Oildale, $132,139.
Wheeler Farms, Bakersfield, $129,962.
The Mirasol Co., Buttonwillow, $129,572.
Voth Farms, Wasco, $123,114.
Wedel Farms, Wasco, $122,936.
Cerros Bros, Bakersfield, $117,873.
Tracy Ranch, Inc., Buttonwillow, $113,581.
Paul Pilgrim, Shafter, $112,379.
Torrigiani Bros., Buttonwillow, $111,413.
L. A. Robertson Farms, Inc., Shafter, $111,304.
A. Haddad & Sons Farm, Inc., Encino, $109,689.
Sill Prop., Inc., Bakersfield, $107,269.
Belluomini Bros., Buttonwillow, $106,720.
Bloemhof Hay Co., Buttonwillow, $105,770.
Fredlo Farms, Arvin, $104,367.
Rossi Farms, Inc., Bakersfield, $100,669.
E. O. Mitchell, Inc., Arvin, $99,962.

Joe Garone, Bakersfield, $98,735.
Cotton Associates, Shafter, $96,714.
Melvin McConnell Farms, Wasco, $95,896.
M. Lane, Shafter, $95,633.
Barnard & Barnard, Bakersfield, $94,864.
Antongiovanni & Jarrard, Bakersfield, $94,504.
Gal Co. Farms, Bakersfield, $92,984.
C. Mettler, Bakersfield, $92,504.
Joe G. Fanucchi & Sons, Bakersfield, $91,878.
Parsons Ranch, Buttonwillow, $89,917.
Cattani Bros., Bakersfield, $89,746.
Bonanza Farms, Bakersfield, $89,658.
Sanders Farms, Bakersfield, $88,867.
S. E. Willis, Bakersfield, $88,215.
Kern Valley Farms, Lamont, $85,559.
Sanders & Sanders, Bakersfield, $85,034.
Henson & Sons, Bakersfield, $84,347.
Tollie Barton, Bakersfield, $84,032.
Em H. Mettler & Sons, Shafter, $83,172.
Three H Ranch, Bakersfield, $82,057.
F. W. Handel Farm Co., Shafter, $81,062.
Antongiovanni Bros., Bakersfield, $80,051.
El Tejon Cattle Co., Bakersfield, $78,058.
Costerisan Farms, Bakersfield, $78,000.
Angelo & Eugene Fanucchi, Bakersfield, $77,715.
J. Antongiovanni & Sons, Bakersfield, $77,220.
Porter Land Co., Bakersfield, $76,739.
James O. Payne, Wasco, $75,267.
Joseph E. Kurtz, Arvin, $74,677.
Barnard Bros., Bakersfield, $73,680.
Torigiani Farms, Buttonwillow, $73,611.
Milham Farms, Bakersfield, $72,440.
A. H. Wegis & Sons, Buttonwillow, $72,801.
Camp & Lachenmaier, Shafter, $71,450.
Chase & Harmon Farms, Arvin, $70,659.
Guido Romanini, Buttonwillow, $69,737.
Sam Andrews Sons, Holtville, $67,197.
B. S. Baldwin & Sons, Inc., Bakersfield, $66,362.
D. C. Crawford & Son, Wasco, $66,150.
A. H. Karpe, Bakersfield, $64,994.
Jack G. Thomson, Bakersfield, $64,884.
Jeffries & Lachenmaier, Shafter, $64,870.
Maple Leaf Farms, Wasco, $64,087.
Tejon Potato Co., Arvin, $62,880.
D & R Farms, Shafter, $62,617.
L. A. Grant & Sons, Wasco, $62,394.
Kenneth Twisselman, McKittrick, $62,270.
Livio Palla, Bakersfield, $61,660.
Jimmie Icardo, Bakersfield, $61,022.
E. L. Goodspeed, Buttonwillow, $60,931.
A. Palla, Bakersfield, $60,913.
Triple, J. Fms. Inc., Bakersfield, $60,039.
Bernard J. Bone, Wasco, $59,288.
Scarrone Bros., Arvin, $58,929.
O. Torigiani Farm, Buttonwillow, $58,892.
Ludy Bros., Pond, $58,504.
Claude Botkin Co. Inc., Arvin, $58,499.
Opal Fry & Son, Bakersfield, $57,780.
Little & Hanes, Wasco, $57,761.
Crettol Farms, Wasco, $57,528.
Elo & Vido Fabbri, Pond, $57,271.
R. H. Garlow Farms, Bakersfield, $57,248.
Baggiani & Isola Ranch, Buttonwillow, $56,967.
B. F. B. Farms, Bakersfield, $56,748.
Mason Snow, Buttonwillow, $56,265.
John Valpredo, Bakersfield, $55,719.
I & M Sheep Co., Oildale, $55,393.
B. L. Gibson, Arvin, $54,902.
Henson & Landers, Bakersfield, $54,892.
Weidenbach Bros., Shafter, $54,795.
Lowrimore & Wood, Wasco, $54,666.
Nickbow Managers, Bakersfield, $54,473.
Patterson & Hale, Bakersfield, $54,368.
Geo. Nordian, Bakersfield, $53,901.
G. H. Baumgardt, Bakersfield, $53,495.
M. Parker, Bakersfield, $52,769.
Far West Farming Co., Bakersfield, $52,588.
R. A. Jacobsen, Shafter, $52,515.
Fox & Williams Fms., Shafter, $52,312.
Dale Snell, McFarland, $52,211.
Barling Bros., Wasco, $52,075.
C. Valpredo, Bakersfield, $51,713.
K. Malofy & Son, Shafter, $51,589.
Woods Stone, Wasco, $51,413.
Joe Trino Farms, Bakersfield, $51,375.
J. Kroeker Sons, Shafter, $51,349.
Diablo Farms, Arvin, $51,192.
Westside Ranch 2, Bakersfield, $51,007.
Gammon Bros., Bakersfield, $50,719.
Destefani Farms Inc., Bakersfield, $50,570.
Shafter Wasco Ginn Co., Shafter, $50,442.
Mike Hankins, Wasco, $50,324.
Sandrini Bros., McFarland, $50,206.
Michel & P. Etcheverry, Bksfld, $50,163.
Morris Farms, Wasco, $49,827.
Russell J. O'Grady, Bksfld, $48,984.
Tazioli & Son, Buttonwillow, $48,507.
Johnston Farms, Edison, $47,981.
D & B Moore, Bakersfield, $47,562.
Rancho Trio, Bakersfield, $47,448.
V & C Farms, Bakersfield, $47,441.
Double L Farms, Arvin, $47,326.
Banducci & Son, Buttonwillow, $47,225.
Shafter Wasco Invest Co., Shafter, $45,867.
Costa Farms, Bakersfield, $45,349.
F. Palla, Buttonwillow, $45,289.
O. O. Portwood, Wasco, $45,140.
Harry Banducci, Bakersfield, $44,381.
W. D. Henry, Wasco, $43,313.
L. M. Betti, Buttonwillow, $43,071.
Grey Gammon Corp., Shafter, $42,726.
Waldrip Farms, Wasco, $42,411.
E. Neuman, Shafter, $41,996.
Edward Kraft, Delano, $41,790.
Pomeroy & Jewett, Bakersfield, $41,449.
Alfred Palla Jr., Buttonwillow, $41,351.
J. G. Garrison, Bakersfield, $41,181.
Merz Farms, Inc., Wasco, $41,154.
Icardo Bros. Inc., Bakersfield, $41,134.
Dave Bloemhof, Redlandos, $40,886.
Hudson Ranch, Maricopa, $40,866.
Roland M. Vallicella, Bakersfield, $40,794.
Allen Bottorff, Buttonwillow, $40,481.
E. D. Neufeld, Shafter, $40,131.
Precie Farms, McFarland, $39,978.
J. Pavlina, Bakersfield, $39,398.
Frank Franceschi, Buttonwillow, $39,383.
Security Pacific Nat. Bk., Bksfld, $39,276.
Rio Vista Fm. Co., Inc., Bksfld, $39,227.
Bruno Cauzza, Arvin, $39,140.
Derby Farms, Arvin, $38,728.
The West Ranch, Wasco, $38,401.
Rudnick Ld. & Cattle Co., Bakersfield, $38,287.
R. E. Ballengee, Buttonwillow, $37,979.
Mahoney & Mahoney, Bakersfield, $37,860.
H. Visser, Wasco, $37,836.
Richard Elrich, Bakersfield, $37,651.
Banducci Farms, Bakersfield, $37,508.
E. A. Yaksitch, Bakersfield, $37,330.
A. Neufeld Fms., Bakersfield, $37,145.
R. B. Tucker, Arvin, $36,824.
Kenneth McClanahan & Sons, Bakersfield, $36,669.
H. S. Jewett, Bakersfield, $36,605.
Stoller Bros., Inc., Bakersfield, $36,493.
Lester Neufeld & Son, Wasco, $36,438.
Joe Freitas, Jr., Bakersfield, $36,128.
Rhodes & Derington, Delano, $36,029.
C. D. Ross, Bakersfield, $35,848.
E. W. Suorez, Bakersfield, $35,733.
Pete Romanini Farms, Buttonwillow, $35,722.
Louis Ricci, Buttonwillow, $35,620.
M. B. McFarland & Sons, McFarland, $35,426.
Louis E. Borel & Sons, Bakersfield, $35,348.
F. Galainena & Et Ux, Bakersfield, $34,657.
Romanini Bros., Buttonwillow, $34,436.
Meadow Gold Farms, Bakersfield, $34,320.
W. P. Romero, Bakersfield, $34,239.
L. W. Bergman, Shafter, $33,633.
Clare Rexroth, McFarland, $33,366.
W. S. Kimmel, Bakersfield, $33,291.
C. Hair & Son, Buttonwillow, $33,159.
Victor J. Krause, Wasco, $32,930.
Vista Farms, Buttonwillow, $32,782.
Frank C. Bury, Bakersfield, 32,748.
Maple Ranch, Bakersfield, $32,663.
Bob Cauzza, Buttonwillow, $32,569.
Central Farms, Delano, $32,362.
Walter E. & Gladys Delfino, Buttonwillow, $32,263.
Warren McCulley, McFarland, $32,246.
Freeborn Bros., Buttonwillow. $32,120.
R. Forrest, Arvin, $32,113.
W. C. Handel & Sons, Inc., Shafter, $31,920.
Walker O. Fry, Bakersfield, $31,794.
L. K. Olsen, Buttonwillow, $31,641.
A. Perelli Minetti & Sons, Delano, $31,621.
F. L. Starrh, Shafter, $31,559.
Beck & Sons, Delano, $31,487.
K. & P. Farms, Inc., Edison, $31,343.
Buford L. Fox, Bakersfield, $30,851.
Delis Farms, Bakersfield, $30,703.
H. Spitzer, McFarland, $30,607.
So. Lake Ranch, Bakersfield, $30,517.
John Kovacevich, Arvin, $30,300.
Regan Farms, Buttonwillow, $29,856.
Regan Farms, McFarland, $29,571.
Merkel & Neufeld, Wasco, $28,998.
G. Pizzo, Arvin, $29,013.
Merkel & Neufeld, Wasco, $28,998.
Kirschenmann Farms, Bksfld, $28,469.
B. W. Duncan, Lamont, $28,434.
Ervin Baublitz, Buttonwillow, $28,057.
Wayne Kirschenmann, Bksfld, $28,023.
Roger Jessup, Bakersfield, $27,955.
Superior Farming Co., Bakersfield, $27,912.
R. Palla, Bakersfield, $27,570.
Jack L. Billington, Wasco, $27,506.
Stenderup Farms, Bakersfield, $27,411.
C. J. Sheperd & Sons, Buttonwillow, $27,375.
Opal Fry & Son 2, Bakersfield, $27,346.
Orlando Torigiani, Bakersfield, $27,301.
Neffs Ranch, Arvin, $27,095.
Kendrick B. King, Lamont, $27,083.
R. Pascoe Ranches, Bakersfield, $26,990.
Rose Ranch, Shafter, $26,843.
Cowan Farms, McFarland, $26,784.
Bermuda Ranch, Shafter, $26,758.
R. Williams, Buttonwillow, $26,703.
Wilbur Kruger, McFarland, $26,523.
F. Garone, Bakersfield, $26,477.
Columbus Rice, Bakersfield, $26,369.
R. Fallot & Son, Buttonwillow, $26,149.
Lee Herring, Arvin, $25,898.
Foothill Ranches, Terra Bella, $25,788.
Donald Molatore, Bakersfield, $25,781.
Elmer Andreotti, Buttonwillow, $25,543.
Bill Davis, Wasco, $25,523.
Raymond Castanchoa, McFarland, $25,450.
A. Ghilarducci, Buttonwillow, $25,367.
Dante Giannini, Buttonwillow, $25,217.
R. H. Hadlock, Wasco, $25,144.
Lloyd Bowman, McFarland, $25,129.
N. L. Ritchey, McFarland, $24,995.
Bernard Sasia, Bakersfield, $24,992.
J. C. Eyraud, Bakersfield, $24,958.
Joe C. Eyraud, Bakersfield, $24,958.
John G. Hill, Shafter, $24,939.
Coombs & Renfro Farms, Bakersfield, $24,928.
Miles H. Thomas, Bakersfield, $24,761.
Saldubehere Bros., Lancaster, $24,694.
Borda & Sons, Kern City, $24,562.
Antone Saldubehere, Lancaster, $24,342.
Albert Angus, Arvin, $24,312.
P. Spolsdoff, Wasco, $24,308.
Frank Del Papa, Bakersfield, $24,214.
Joe Ghilarducci, Buttonwillow, $23,989.
Sundown Farms, Buttonwillow, $23,844.
Kermeth Anderson, Shafter, $23,771.
Martin Farms, Delano, $23,608.
Bergman & Isaac, Shafter, $23,550.
Pandol & Sons, Delano, $23,549.
Barlow Farms, Bakersfield, $23,480.
R. E. Shick, McFarland, $23,421.
Ediger Farms, Wasco, $23,406.
Vernon Blackburn, Arvin, $23,389.
G. Emerson Farms, Inc., Wasco, $23,280.
Poznoff Farms, Shafter, $23,220.
John Camp Farms, Wasco, $23,217.
Bernal Sheep Co., Bakersfield, $22,707.
Frank & Paul Wiebe, Shafter, $22,689.
Clara P. Rexroth, Bakersfield, $22,611.
Blackwell Land Co., Bakersfield, $22,482.
Leonard Gaede, Shafter, $22,426.
Giovannetti Farms, Buttonwillow, $22,392.
Arnold T. Cattani, Arvin, $22,327.
Paul Pierucci, Buttonwillow, $22,191.
M. L. Rockwell, Wasco, $22,176.
Baroncini Bros., Bakersfield, $22,155.
M. & R. Farms, Buttonwillow, $22,088.
James S. Albright, Shafter, $22,004.
Allen E. Neufeld, Bakersfield, $21,709.
J. Riccomini, Bakersfield, $21,699.
Willis Snow and Son, Buttonwillow, $21,441.

Jonas L. Nickel, Shafter, $21,354.
D. M. Steele & Son, Inc., Delano, $21,331.
G. Chernabaeff, Wasco, $21,251.
James Kalpakoff, Wasco, $21,085.
Deno Fanucchi, Buttonwillow, $21,015.
Regal Farms, Bakersfield, $20,970.
Harley Barling Est., Shafter, $20,844.
B. J. Handel Co., Wasco, $20,688.
Camp & Johns, Shafter, $20,666.
Dan Tudor & Sons, Delano, $20,548.
Carl Johns & Sons, Bakersfield, $20,480.
Bell Farms, Shafter, $20,202.
Robert McClain, Shafter, $20,008.
Total payees in county (311), $20,308,792.

Kings County

J. G. Boswell Co., Corcoran, $4,429,484.
South Lake Farms, Fresno, $1,875,454.
Salyer Land Co., Corcoran, $1,547,174.
Westlake Farms, Stratford, $721,129.
Vernon L. Thomas, Inc., Huron, $416,627.
Gilkey Farms, Inc., Corcoran, $328,525.
J. G. Stone Land Co., Stratford, $293,416.
Borba Bros., Riverdale, $250,342.
Schwartz Farms, Inc., Stratford, $226,989.
West Haven Farming Co., Tulare, $207,567.
R. A. Rowan & Co., Stratford, $194,838.
Boyett Farming, Corcoran, $187,645.
Newton Brothers, Stratford, $170,128.
South Fork Ranch, Inc., Lemoore, $129,114.
Jones Farms, Stratford, $126,983.
Couture Farms, Huron, $125,316.
P. Hansen Ranch, Corcoran, $112,281.
Peterson Farms, Corcoran, $107,077.
Lone Oak Ranch, Corcoran, $94,732.
H. L. Yocum & Sons, Hanford, $87,976.
W. W. Boswell, Jr., Corcoran, $87,209.
Harp & Hansen, Corcoran, $80,031.
G. W. & W. G. Squire, Hanford, $76,649.
Tule Ranch A Pts., Corcoran, $75,441.
Wedderburn Bros., Lemoore, $74,541.
R. S. Barlow, Lemoore, $65,664.
R. Gonzalves, Hanford, $64,006.
L. E. Culp, dba Culp Ranches, Coalinga, $62,951.
Fresno Kings Farms, Inc., Huron, $62,598.
Chatom Co., Modesto, $60,149.
Avila Bros., JLC, Hanford, $56,437.
Morris Stuhaan, Visalia, $55,510.
Wesley Hansen, Corcoran, $53,364.
Inco Farms, Inc., Lemoore, $53,316.
Eastside Farms, Stratford, $52,433.
Malcolm P. Powers, Kettleman City, $51,396
M. Murray Farms, Inc., Hanford, $47,266.
Costa & Quintel, Inc., Lemoore, $46,927.
Murray Farms, Inc., Hanford, $46,676.
L. A. Hansen, Corcoran, $43,302.
Verburg Brothers, Hanford, $43,147.
Dunlop Farms, Corcoran, $38,645.
Barcellos & Wolfsen, Stratford, $38,298.
C. Elmer Spafford, Lemoore, $38,034.
Ralph Marshall, Hanford, $37,876.
J. B. Long, Corcoran, $36,454.
John Hild, Hanford, $35,521.
Double O. Ranch, Lemoore, $35,142.
L. E. Hansen Farms, Inc., Lemoore, $35,107.
Dufur & Castadio, Stratford, $33,862.
Basin Farms, Inc., Corcoran, $32,496.
Floyd Wisecarver, Hanford, $31,341.
Fabry Farms, Inc., Stratford, $31,220.
Ralph Gilkey, Corcoran, $31,088.
Franna Farms, Corcoran, $30,981.
B. J. M. Farms, Inc., Lemoore, $30,611.
Nunes & Pires, Lemoore, $29,480.
Gregory Stanton, Stratford, $29,479.
Fagundes Bros., Hanford, $28,978.
Tommy R. Avila, Hanford, $26,814.
Fleming & Frank Bettencourt, Hanford, $26,003.
James & Paul Avila, Lemoore, $26,002.
M. S. Simas, Est., Hanford, $25,568.
W. F. Turner & Sons, Corcoran, $25,262.
Hugh V. Johns, Hanford, $25,105.
Bill Walker, Hanford, $24,666.
John Victoria, Jr., Stratford, $24,341.
Mussel Slough Farms, Hanford, $24,313.
John Verboon, Hanford, $23,803.
Gilkey Farms Delta, Corcoran, $23,151.
Alvin Odle, Corcoran, $22,628.
Robert Wilkinson, Hanford, $22,402.
Marcy J. Silva, Hanford, $22,293.

Kings County

Uselton Ranch, 15654 9th Ave., Hanford, $22,190.
Circle L Farms, 19171 Manteca Ave, Stratford, $21,816.
Kenneth G. Walker, 302 Pine Ave, Long Beach, $21,658.
L. & R. Giacomazzi, Rt. 4 Box 353, Visalia, $21,569.
Albert Martin, 15250 12th Ave, Hanford, $21,551.
Tony Rodrigues, PO Box 36, Stratford, $20,950.
Daniel Fadenrecht, 422 N Douty St, Hanford, $20,891.
Tos Brothers, 130 Maple Way, Hanford, $20,500.
Richard & Ysusi A. Pts, 760 Harvard Ave, Dinuba, $20,094.
Larry Davis, 14095 14th Ave, Hanford, $20,025.
Total payees in county (83) $14,050,018.

Lassen County

Clyde Grafe, Rt 3 Oregon Slope, Weiser, $36,329.
Total payees in county (1) $36,329.

Los Angeles County

John Fuson, 2513 Elm St., Bakerfield, $141,119.
Godde & Ritter, 666 W Ave I, Lancaster, $134,795.
John Calandri, 42016 W Ivesgrove Dr, Lancaster, $37,049.
Kurt F. Ullman, 45201 N 120th St W, Lancaster, $27,246.
Healy Enterprises Inc, 7200 W Ave H, Lancaster, $26,581.
Larsen Bros, 16502 W Ave D, Lancaster, $21,058.
Munz Ranch Co., 12701 W Lancaster Rd, Lancaster, $20,110.
Total payees in county (7) $407,958.

Madera County

Newhall Land & Farming, 10302 Ave 7½ Firbgh, $347,534.
Schuh Bros, 377 Circle Dr, Chowchilla, $152,455.
Dave Mendrin & Sons, 23484 Ave 5, Madera, $124,066.
Larry Chapman, 22449 Rd 9, Chowchilla, $103,977.
Hooper Farms, Inc., 2983 Washington Rd., Chowchilla, $101,118.
Baker & Hansen, 13185 Ave 21, Chowchilla, $91,501.
Johnny Deniz, 19594 Ave 17, Madera, $81,663.
B. A. Watson, 22858 Rd 18½, Chowchilla, $60,987.
Fred Toschi, 13630 Rd 25, Madera, $60,429.
Red Top Ranch, PO Box 1, Red Top, $53,309.
J. A. & T. R. Hawkins, Chowchilla, $51,519.
Triangle T Ranch, Chowchilla, $51,259.
Logoluso Farms, Madera, $50,147.
Norman Kuhr, Chowchilla, $48,200.
El Peco Ranch, Madera, $47,776.
Bill G. Clay, Chowchilla, $46,173.
Henry Watson, Madera, $45,739.
George Andrew, Madera, $45,595.
H. M. Farming Company, Chowchilla, $45,316.
Burkhart Farms, Firebaugh, $43,807.
Howard Glantz, Madera, $40,797.
Ben Curutchet, Chowchilla, $40,390.
L. G. Beshears, Chowchilla, $36,323.
John M. Lasgoity, Madera, $35,353.
Robert Hansen, Chowchilla, $33,079.
Forrest Clayton, El Nido, $32,565.
Sam Mariani, Madera, $31,782.
Richard Johnson, Chowchilla, $31,683.
Frank J. Martin, Madera, $31,609.
Dan Branco, Chowchilla, $30,996.
L. D. McRee, Chowchilla, $30,498.
Adobe Ranch, San Francisco, $29,076.
Sagouspe Brothers, Madera, $28,847.
Willard Haynes, Chowchilla, $28,821.
Guy Houk, Firebaugh, $28,740.
Sherman Thomas, Madera, $27,704.
John Galleano, Madera, $27,608.
A. K. Baker, Madera, $27,592.
S. A. Upton, Chowchilla, $27,332.
Buffington Agri Ent, Chowchilla, $27,332
Curran Ranches, Madera, $27,169.
Kenneth Seibert, Madera, $26,949.
David Baker, Chowchilla, $26,695.
Jessup Farms, Pacoima, $26,466.
Dale Maddalena, Chowchilla, $26,375.
R. C. Weaver, Chowchilla, $26,317.
William Hagopian, Madera, $26,287.
Leonard Frazier, Firebaugh, $25,593.
Wm. Correia, Chowchilla, $25,415.
G. J. Schuh, Chowchilla, $25,399.
Alvin Gomes, Madera, $23,965.
Victor Kuhr, Chowchilla, $23,741.
Arthur W. Freeman, Madera, $22,996.
Wm. E. Roberts, 13434 Ave 19½, Chowchilla, $22,385.
John Farmer, 105 Canal Dr., Chowchilla, $21,646.
Floyd Williams, 18819 Ave 7, Madera, $21,172.
John Koretoff, Jr., 5470 Rd 22, Madera, $20,690.
Robert Saulsbury, Jr., 20783 Ave 12, Madera, $20,674.
James Q. Buffington, 14501 Ave 20½, Chowchilla, $20,390.
J. H. Austin, 14279 Rd 39½ N, Madera, $20,345.
Arvid Allen, 9806 Rd 5½, Firebaugh, $20,294.
Averill Ranch, P O Box 1079, Madera, $20,290.
Gerald Leach, 16886 Ave 17, Madera, $20,204.
Total payees in county (63), $2,822,154.

Merced County

Timco Div, PO Box 311, Los Banos, $362,120.
Sam Hamburg Farms, PO Box 547, Los Banos, $150,271.
Wolfsen Land and Cattle, Box 311, Los Banos, $140,863.
Bowles Farming Co., 11609 S Hereford, Los Banos, $115,918.
Vernon Porter, Rt 2 Box 203H, Dos Palos, $96,126.
San Juan Ranching Co., Rt 1 Box 171B, Dos Palos, $93,294.
Lindemann Farms Inc., 1420 11th St., Los Banos, $66,498.
Joe G. Machado, Jr., Rt 1 Box 176A, Dos Palos, $58,737.
Santa Rita Ranch Co., Rt 1 Box 164, Dos Palos, $57,513.
Brights Nursery Inc., 5246 Plainsburg, Le Grand, $51,305.
Favier Bros., 6049 S Lone Tree Rd., Merced, $50,847.
Clay Farms Inc., 4444 Ave 24, Chowchilla, $47,734.
Findley M. Upton, PO Box 506, Chowchilla, $46,396.
Carl V. Grissom, 6863, S. Plainsburg Rd, Le Grand, $44,656.
Woo Bros., 24477 S Woo Rd, Los Banos, $44,624.
Sorg Bros., 2502 Linden, Dos Palos, $40,721.
A. Hamburg Ranches Inc., PO Box 471, Los Banos, $40,616.
Bisignani Bros., 13816 W. Bisignani Rd., Los Banos, $39,902.
Ray Buie, Rt 2 Box 2028, Dos Palos, $38,517.
James Maiorino, Jr., 39470 W Oxalis, Firebaugh, $36,031.
A. Lobue Farms, PO Box 312, Los Banos, $35,953.
Vandenberg Bros., Rt 2 Box 203 D, Dos Palos, $34,137.
Lewis Maiorino, 2357 Linden, Dos Palos, $33,866.
Talbott Sheep Co., 1223 Calif St, Los Banos, $33,757.
Chas E. Andrews, RR 1 Box 21, Dos Palos, $32,436.

Cozzi Bros., RR 1 Box 90, Dos Palos, $31,358.
Harry Haynes, 10784 Ave 18, Chowchilla, $28,633.
Gino Pedretti, 1975 E Roosevelt Rd, El Nido, $28,578.
R. Palazzo and Co., Inc., Los Banos, $28,448.
Obanion Ranches, Dos Palos, $28,352.
F. Serrano, Legrand, $27,692.
Carl Sumpter, Dos Palos, $26,945.
Danco Farms, Los Banos, $22,543.
Ollie McDonald, Los Banos, $22,490.
Herman Willis, Dos Palos, $21,732.
Striblings Nurseries, Merced, $21,708.
Carl Bryant, Dos Palos, $21,344.
Pellissier and Sons, Merced, $21,281.
Pereira Bros., Los Banos, $21,025.
Etcheverry, Bros., Los Banos, $20,582.
Total payees in county (40) $2,165,549.

Modoc County

John Martin Espil, Eagleville, $25,928.
Lakeshore Ranch, Davis Creek, $20,768.
Total payees in county (2) $46,696.

Monterey County

Darrigo Bros, Co. of Calif., Salinas, $63,790.
Frank Taylor, King City, $47,316.
Jack A. Hayes, King City, $38,854.
Martinus & Martinus, Lockwood, $34,368.
Tom Hambey & Son, Soledad, $31,345.
Sciaroni Farms, Inc., Soledad, $29,979.
William D. Crinklaw, King City, $28,275.
Huntington Farms, Inc., Soledad, $27,412.
A. & M. Silveira, Greenfield, $26,848.
Consolidated Growers, Inc., Salinas, $26,404.
Porter Es. Co. Brdly Rch., Inc., San Francisco, $26,261.
United Farms Co., Salinas, $26,200.
Hansen & Fowler, San Ardo, $25,924.
Ruth Holme, Salinas, $25,000.
J. & A. Farms, Salinas, $25,000.
Hudson Ranch, Monterey, $25,000,
Arnold Bassetti & Sons, Greenfield, $24,322.
Fanoe Bros. & Sons, Gonzales, $24,222.
Calif. Land & Cattle Co., King City, $23,711.
J. M. Sharp Co., Saticoy, $23,519.
T. M. Bunn & EST of T Yuki, Salinas, $23,008.
Oshita, Inc., Salinas, $21,895.
William Whitney, Greenfield, $21,787.
Joe P. Camsuzou, San Miguel, $20,706.
Total payees in county (24) $691,146.

Orange County

The Irvine Co., Irvine, $151,084.

Placer County

Evans Bros., Roseville, $27,234.
Frank & Ross Riolo, Roseville, $25,061.
Floyd Bonnifield, Lincoln, $21,821.
Total payees in county (3) $74,116.

Riverside County

Freshpict Foods, Inc., Blythe, $426,047.
Riverview Farm & Cattle, Blythe, $318,455.
Clarence Robinson, Blythe, 138,254.
John Norton Farms, Blythe, $133,098.
Kennedy Bros., Indio, $125,420.
Wayne Barrett, Blythe, 114,396.
Delta Ranches, Inc., Blythe, $101,612.
Scott & Knappenberger, Blythe, $89,992.
Schindler Brothers, Ripley, $81,352.
P. I. Land & Cattle Co., Blythe, $67,448.
Fisher Ranch, Blythe, $62,205.
Verne Wuertz, Ripley, $60,061.
George Arakelian Farms, Inc., Blythe, $58,446.
Rummonds Bros. Ranches, Inc., Indio, $54,746.
Lawrence Chaffin, Blythe, $51,526.
BKM Ranchers, Romoland, $50,630.
E. C. Apodac EST, Indio, $47,067.
Joe H. Ulmer, Blythe, $45,947.
W. K. Kenworthy, Blythe, $45,266.
Sunrise Farms, Blythe, $42,523.
Dale Hull, Blythe, $42,072.
John Pavao, Indio, $36,973.
John Coudures Co., Perris, $35,729.
Harboe Ensley, Indio, $33,282.
Rheingans Bros., Winchester, $32,518.
Marshburn Bros., Thermal, $32,318.
Peter Rabbit Farms, Coachella, $29,840.
Hanna Farms, Inc., Blythe, $29,778.
Bud Antle, Inc., Redrock, $27,876.
Johnson Bros., Claremont, $27,107.
Sam Keoseyan, Coachella, $26,568.
Ford Underwood, Perris, $25,876.
Motte & Sons, Perris, $25,746.
Rancho Del Juan, Inc., Blythe, $22,415.
Harboe Ensley Assoc., Indio, $22,326.
John B. Mainvil, Riverside, $22,235.
J & R Enterprises, Blythe, $21,977.
Gary A. Bryce, Blythe, $21,811.
P. V. Land & Cattle, Los Angeles, $21,409.
Roy C. Smith, Perris, $21,111.
Nish Noroian Farms, Blythe, $20,641.
Bert Lauda, Perris, $20,623.
Jack E. Marlowe, Blythe, $20,383.
Total payees in county (43) $2,735,105.

Sacramento County

Charles Coidani, Galt, $25,705.
Amistad Ranches, Courtland, $25,197.
Total payees in county (2) $50,902.

San Diego County

Daley Enterprise, San Diego, $29,098.

San Joaquin County

M and T, Inc., Walnut Grove, $81,104.
J. & R. Bogetti, Tracy, $72,624.
Southn. Pac. Ld. Co., San Francisco, $71,757.
Jean Cubiburu, Stockton, $48,138.
Coleman Foley, Pleasanton, $33,400.
O. Narducci, Stockton, $30,455.
Dell Aringa Bros., Inc., Stockton, $29,651.
Reclaimed Island Lands, Lathrop, $28,722.
A. & M. Farms, Tracy, $26,581.
D. Olcomendy & Son, Stockton, $21,779.
Total payees in county (10), $444,211.

San Luis Obispo County

Camatta Ranch, Santa Margarita, $86,433.
Jackson & Reinert, Paso Robles, $59,459.
Miller Brothers, Paso Robles, $52,071.
Heilman Bros., Atascadero, $46,386.
Grayson Owen Co., Santa Margarita, $36,755.
Claude Arnold, Margarita, $28,351.
Ralph Shaw, Paso Robles, $27,091.
Iverson Ranch, Inc., Shandon, $26,942.
Ancho Vista Ranch, Santa Margarita, $26,262.
William P. Wreden, Palo Alto, $24,856.
Jon W. Cooper, Santa Margarita, $24,628.
Augustine Sanchez, Paso Robles, $24,544.
Van Horn Bros., Paso Robles, $21,158.
Ernest A. Hahl, Shandon, $21,072.
Total payees in county (14), $506,408.

Santa Barbara County

R. L. Calhoun, Taft, $76,924.
Sinton & Brown Co., Santa Maria, $46,125.
Andrew T. Petersen, Buellton, $40,301.
Owen T. Rice & Son, Inc., Santa Maria, $33,124.
Hanson Ranch, Santa Maria, $23,965.
H. James Hopkins, Los Alamos, $23,697.
Eskild Skytt, Lompoc, $21,839.
Gainey Ranch, Solvang, $20,392.
Total payees in county (8), $286,367.

Siskiyou County

Townley Bros., Montague, $26,859.
Dorman R. York, Montague, $23,477.
Total payees in county (2), $50,336.

Solano County

Chew Bros., Sacramento, $57,782.
Marion Sanchez Farms, Rio Vista, $50,388.
Peter Cook, Jr., Rio Vista, $44,481.
Gnos Bros., Dixon, $43,715.
Steve Shubin, Elmira, $38,109.
George M. Struve, Jr., Dixon, $37,608.
Tom Abel, Rio Vista, $32,386.
Anderson Bros., Dixon, $31,163.
Solano Farms, Inc., Dixon, $30,010.
Moore Bros., Lincoln, $29,917.
Albert Carrington, Vacaville, $29,632.
H. H. & J., Walnut Grove, $28,526.
Hastings Farms, San Francisco, $27,728.
Richard Emigh, Rio Vista, $26,815.
Bulkley Ranch, Dixon, $25,978.
Vassar Farms, Inc., Dixon, $25,708.
Holdener & Wiegand, Dixon, $24,451.
E. A. Anderson & Sons, Birds Landing, $23,717.
W. S. Pedrick, Davis, $20,956.
Robert C. Gill, Dixon, $20,950.
Mayhood Ranches, Rio Vista, $20,579.
Total payees in county (21), $670,599.

Stanislaus County

Arravan Farms, Modesto, $39,974.
Interstake Farms, Patterson, $27,776.
Thorkelson Ranches, Patterson, $24,144.
Ed E. Hagemann, Jr., Westley, $21,230.
Total payees in county (4), $113,124.

Sutter County

Newhall Land and Farming, Meridian, $52,813.
Broomieside Farms, Knights Landing, $45,658.
E. E. McPerrin and Son, Live Oak, $27,291.
Lamb Brothers, Meridian, $24,762.
Oji Bros. Farm, Inc., Yuba City, $24,608.
Yosuba Farms, Robbins, $24,232.
Agrivest Corp., Woodland, $22,754.
Siller Brothers, Yuba City, $21,969.
Total payees in county (8), $244,087.

Tehama County

Sutfin Bros., Corning, $51,003.
L. H. Vincent, Chico, $35,836.
Robert E. Mills, Corning, $34,917.
Anchordoguy & Co., Red Bluff, $33,901.
Raymond Dutro, Gerber, $25,823.
D. L. Williams & Son, Corning, $23,274.
George M. Stutz, Red Bluff, $20,142.
Total payees in county (7), $224,896.

Tulare County

Roberts Farms, Inc., Porterville, $233,013
Nichols Farms, Hanford, $227,447.
S. K. Ranch, Visalia, $200,720.
John Valov, Tulare, $169,180.
Marion Harris, Tulare, $143,777.
E. W. Merritt Farms, Porterville, $131,533
Correia Brothers, Visalia, $126,482.
C. J. Ritchie, Visalia, $122,526.
Shuklian Bros., Inc., Tulare, $105,464.
A. E. Panetta Farms, Tipton, $100,087.
T. V. Cardoza & Son, Tulare, $97,701.
Don & Vern Thiesen, Kingsburg, $93,263.
M. Curti & Sons, Waukena, $91,334.
Morris Stuhaan, Visalia, $87,365.
E. Batsch, Strathmore, $84,244.
C. J. Shannon & Sons, Tulare, $83,286.
R. E. Smith & Sons, Pixley, $83,254.
Carl & Paul Shannon, Visalia, $78,373.
McCarthy Bros., Tulare, $73,015.
Doe Cattle & Land Co., Visalia, $67,650.
R. A. Hildebrand, Bakersfield, $66,459.
G. L. Pratt, Visalia, $65,734.
Andy Wheat, Corcoran, $65,240.
G. E. Paxton, Tulare, $64,651.
Menezes Bros., Tulare, $63,644.
Mitchellinda Ranches, Alpaugh, $63,574
Glenn Schott & Sons, Pixley, $62,257.
Wilbur Ranch, Tulare, $61,553.
W. M. & D. L. Colson, Tulare, $61,176.
Watte Brothers, Tulare, $60,606.
H. A. Vossler & Sons, Porterville, $60,202.
Doyle Ritchie, Visalia, $59,071.
Sherman Land & Cattle Co., Tulare, $56,174.
Atilio Belezzuoli, Tipton, $55,838.
J. D. Andreas & Sons, Delano, $55,017.
Bill White, Pixley, $54,859.
Guthrie Farming Co., Porterville, $54,220.
Rogers Farming Co., Porterville, $53,239.
Jack Phillips, Delano, $52,630.
Marko Zaninovich Inc., Delano, $51,495.
Hochuli Bros., Terra Bella, $51,221.
Roy Kent, Porterville, $51,075.
Robert F. Bowman, Corcoran, $51,047.
L. W. Turk, Tipton, $50,800.
Sam W. Bell, Delano, $49,597.
Charlie Minoletti, Porterville, $49,575.
John Torrez, Jr., Tulare, $48,746.
Baker Bros., Earlimart, $47,805.
Clyde Quillin, Jr., Tipton, $46,624.
George A. Efseaff, Earlimart, $46,285.
A Caratan & Son, Delano, $45,681.
Glenn L. Cooper, Corcoran, $45,656.
Vernon Hutsell, Tulare, $45,372.
Jack C. Harris, Terra Bella, $45,057.

J. X. Bettencourt, Tipton, $45,011.
A. T. & J. R. Villard, Delano, $44,508.
Louie F. Morris, Alpaugh, $44,176.
Fisher Bros., Tulare, $44,153.
S & S Ranches, Tulare, $43,689.
Hash Nursery, Visalia, $42,859.
Mary Rocha, Tulare, $41,667.
Chas. E. Slaughter Farms, Tipton, $40,982.
James E. Kagler, McFarland, $40,064.
Don MacMillan, Tipton, $39,349.
Richard Berry & Sons, Cutler, $38,837.
Dick Anderson, Tulare, $38,628.
Melvin Miller, Visalia, $38,021.
A. M. Falconer & Sons, Pville, $37,547.
Lapadula Farms, Pixley, $37,561.
Don Eisner, Strathmore, $37,225.
S. A. Camp Ginning Co., Shafter, $37,041.
Benson Bros., Tulare, $36,648.
Overholt Bros., Pville, $36,441.
Howard Trimble & Son, Kingsburg, $36,160.
Nagatani Farms, Delano, $35,405.
Edward L. Irwin, Tulare, $35,088.
Hank Anderson, Tulare, $34,983.
Earl Royer, Strathmore, $34,866.
Theodore Eberlein, Delano, $34,628.
Hercal Corp., Lindsay, $34,420.
Lawrence Taylor, Cutler, $34,342.
Thad Dresser, Tulare, $34,298.
Clarklind Farms, Tulare, $34,214.
Schlitz & Twiford Farms, Delano, $34,186.
Gerald Lang, Visalia, $34,108.
Nickell Farms, Alpaugh, $34,036.
George Bassett, Jr., Tulare, $33,922.
Joe W. Ramos, Tipton, $33,820.
Clark Bros., Tulare, $33,766.
Rollis Friend, Pixley, $33,735.
Geo. C. Rising, Tipton, $33,585.
Roy D. Murray, Earlimart, $33,492.
Don Jackson, Kingsburg, $33,452.
Robert Taggart, Tulare, $33,285.
Harvey Lauritzen, Tulare, $33,140.
J. C. Ribeiro & Sons, Tulare, $32,906.
Serpa & Co., Tulare, $32,301.
Sam Perry, Earlimart, $32,086.
John E. Sola, Strathmore, $31,587.
C. D. Speer, Pixley, $31,545.
C. Paul Johnson, Visalia, $31,386.
Gobel Bros., Pville, $31,280.
Manuel Silveira, Tulare, $30,974.
S. K. Warkentin, Dinuba, $30,908.
Gist Farms, Tulare, $30,503.
Onel C. Jackson, Earlimart, $30,351.
Carl Hofmeister, Ojai, $30,233.
Manuel Picanso, Tular, $29,885.
Edgar Schieler & Sons, Terra Bella, $29,690.
Chas Neufeld, Kingsburg, $29,597.
Toomey Brothers, Visalia, $29,420.
W. A. Schwartz, Earlimart, $28,939.
Kirby Wyllie, Tulare, $28,856.
Manuel I. Rocha & Co., Tulare, $28,662.
E. L. Beaver, Pville, $28,199.
Donald Bergantz, Tulare, $27,880.
R. W. & G. Stadden, Jr., Tipton, $27,330.
J. H. & R. J. Cowart, Pixley, $27,170.
T. & R. Ranches Inc., Tulare, $27,091.
L. & W. Ellis, Tulare, $27,050.
Don Dollinger, Pixley, $27,033.
Coy M. Daffern, Tulare, $26,960.
Lowell Loftis, Tipton, $26,727.
Hillman Corporation, Tulare, $26,654.
Reynold M. Benson, Tulare, $26,504.
Richard Stuhaan, Visalia, $26,643.
Paul Hawthorne, Porterville, $26,394.
Anthony Rodrigues, Visalia, $26,363.
Geo. Shannon, Tulare, $26,202.
George P. Orisio, Tulare, $25,893.
James P. Mathias, Tulare, $25,802.
S. Trigueiro, Jr., Tulare, $25,560.
Manuel Martin, Jr., Tipton, $25,525.
L. Raviscioni Farms, Waukena, $25,472.
Troy Huckabay, Kingsburg, $25,384.
R. G. Burns, Porterville, $25,274.
Manuel Torrez, Tulare, $25,266.
J. L. Pires & Co., Tulare, $25,245.
Wm. & Jeff Hahesy, Tulare, $24,860.
Galbraith Brothers, Tipton, $24,820.
James Pryse, Alpaugh, $24,811.
Anton Simonich, Tulare, $24,705.
Ed Hanni, Strathmore, $24,411.
J. K. Frisch, Pixley, $24,408.
Jacob Ryser, Alpaugh, $24,387.
Pete Lawrence, Kingsburg, $24,351.
Ritchie & Ritchie, Visalia, $24,129.
Manuel Faria, Tipton, $24,097.
Louis J. Goeman, Visalia, $23,930.
Melvin Santry & Son, Pville, $23,723.
Julia de Campos, Hanford, $23,664.
Nielsen Farms, Delano, $23,497.
Bill Faria, Tulare, $23,449.
William Flores, Dinuba, $23,199.
Elmer Jost, Dinuba, $23,145.
John D. Finni, Visalia, $22,999.
Bill Beshears, Corcoran, $22,936.
Cody Noel, Lindsay, $22,591.
Guido Lombardi, Porterville, $22,524.
Pradera Del Lago, Tipton, $22,159.
Elliott Farms, Visalia, $22,134.
Barton L. Smith, Tulare, $21,898.
Bill Westbrook, Pixley, $21,880.
Donald Marshall, Delano, $21,804.
Theodore Smith, Alpaugh, $21,716.
Garth Cobbs, Delano, $21,397.
M. J. Silva, Sr., Tulare, $21,392.
Raymond Donaldson, Tipton, $21,286.
Poplar Grape Growers, Terra Bella, $21,251.
Joe Bettencourt, Visalia, $21,173.
Bertorelli Brothers, Swarthmore, $21,141.
Kotchevar Bros., Porterville, $21,098.
Glenn H. Reed, Tipton, $21,074.
L. J. Chroman, Delano, $21,058.
Cecil McClure, Tulare, $21,030.
Chas. Westbrook, Pixley, $20,970.
Bob & Lyle Barnett, Visalia, $20,929.
G. L. Bennetts, Visalia, $20,723.
Piepgrass Brothers, Visalia, $20,550.
Francis & Paul Johnson, Visalia, $20,526.
Mueller & Miller, Visalia, $20,526.
Joseph J. Lerda, Tulare, $20,525.
Allan Jones, Pville, $20,522.
Darrell Taylor, Earlimart, $20,383.
George G. Efseaff, Earlimart, $20,360.
Johnson & Johnson, Visalia, $20,320.
A. Ekizian, Visalia, $20,309.
Ronald J. Castro, Visalia, $20,246.
Uchita Bros., Tulare, $20,232.
C. F. Dessel & Sons, Inc., Visalia, $20,154.
F. R. Miller, Tipton, $20,142.
Henry Finck, Earlimart, $20,140.
Wallace Hopper, Visalia, $20,069.
John P. Gilbert, Tipton, $20,058.
Total payees in county (194) $8,225,558.

Ventura County

Rancho Ventura, Somis, $60,021.
Rancho Sespe, Fillmore, $25,850.
Arboleda Corp., Santa Paula, $21,453.
Santa Pla Rchs., Santa Paula, $20,340.
Total payees in county (4) $127,664.

Yola County

E. L. Wallace, Woodland, $82,463.
C. Bruce Mace Ranch, Inc., Davis, $72,324.
Heidrick Farms, Inc., Woodland, $61,301.
E. L. Wallace and Sons, Woodland, $56,996.
Layton Knaggs, Woodland, $53,241.
Kirtlan Bros., Clarksburg, $50,104.
G. A. Hanks & Sons, Sacramento, $49,052.
John B. Anderson, Knights Landing, $32,802.
E. D. Willey and Sons, Sacramento, $31,912.
Lloyd Eveland, Woodland, $31,700.
Spreckels Sugar Co., Woodland, $31,010.
Meek and Memaitre, Woodland, $26,568.
Scott Yamamoto, Dixon, $22,854.
W. C. Payne, Woodland, $22,169.
Buchignani and Hughes, Woodland, $22,012.
Hatanaka Bros., Winters, $21,100.
Regents of Univ. of Calif., Davis, $20,776.
Nishimura Bros., Sacramento, $20,014.
Total payees in county (18) $708,398.
Total payees in state (1,269) $86,547,528.

COLORADO

Adams County

Monaghan Farms, Inc., Commerce City, $49,203.
Danford & Champlin, Ltd., Watkins, $49,054.
Box Elder Farms Co., Denver, $39,629.
Sakata Farms Inc., Brighton, $32,690.
Alfred J. Linnebur, Byers, $24,860.
Fred Bath, Ft. Morgan, $22,294.
Charles T. Heinricy, Strasburg, $20,830.
Total payees in county (7), $238,560.

Arapahoe County

Lawrence Cowell, Deer Trail, $28,245.
Robert E. Carlson, Byers, $26,015.
Tom Bradbury, Littleton, $25,798.
Ed Fischahs, Aurora, $22,849.
Total payees in county (4), $102,907.

Baca County

R. R. Rutherford, Springfield, $218,427.
William Greathouse, Walsh, $119,163.
C. V. Cogburn, Walsh, $51,508.
R. D. Jones, Elkhart, $38,315.
T. F. Arbuthnot, Springfield, $35,527.
Brownie Farms, Buttes, $34,922.
F. M. Swanson, Springfield, $34,716.
Bernard Neill, Springfield, $31,789.
Ervin L. Hancock, Walsh, $31,746.
Lewis Robbins, Walsh, $30,426.
Earl Crane and Sons, Springfield, $30,205.
Russell, Loflin, La Junta, $29,388.
Wayne Orebaugh, Buttes, $28,007.
A. B. Hefley, Springfield, $26,955.
Homsher, Farms and Ranchs, Springfield, $26,742.
Samuel Thompson, Walsh, $26,204.
W. E. Tolbert, Walsh, $25,432.
Derral Schroder, Campo, $24,629.
Harry Hall, Springfield, $23,658.
Clyde McEndree, Springfield, $22,714.
Roy B. Hanes and Delano Alley, Keyes, $22,551.
Laramie Burson, Walsh, $22,415.
Lynn Bitner, Walsh, $22,219.
E. S. Benson, Two Buttes, $22,073.
Harvey L. Henderson, Satanta, $21,411.
O. H. Vail, Lycan, $21,321.
Warren Konkel, Walsh, $20,489.
Sam Ellis, Two Buttes, $20,370.
Total payees in county (28), $1,063,322.

Bent County

Jake Broyles, Lamar, $40,461.
George C. Camilli, Animas, $37,613.
Geo. Tempel and E. H. Tempel, Jr., Wiley, $32,126.
G. Swift Est., Las Animas, $31,012.
Fred Rohlman, Lamar, $28,102.
Cordes and Oberlander, Las Animas, $22,428.
Scranton Bros., Lamar, $22,090.
Kasza Bro., McClave, $21,915.
George Reyher, McClave, $21,448.
Frank K. Buster, Wm. M. Leebron, Cheyenne, $20,467.
Dale Schnabel, Granada, $20,042.
Total payees in county (11), $297,704.

Boulder County

Don Echeverria, Longmont, $64,256.

Cheyenne County

Baughman Farms, Inc., Liberal, $194,170.
John Kriss, Colby, $56,626.
Dale Mitchek, Cheyenne Wells, $43,431.
Allen Bros., Arapahoe, $38,628.
James McCormick, Jr., Stratton, $35,927.
Knudsen Ranch, Arapahoe, $34,581.
August Kern & Sons, Cheyenne Wells, $34,379.
Marvin Lowe, Cheyenne Wells, $33,665.
Archie M. Lowe & Sons, Cheyenne Wells, $32,998.
A. D. Reed, Ackerly, $30,065.
M. K. White, Arapahoe, $28,322.
White Woman Creek, Inc., Garden City, $26,988.
Jeanne L. James, Burlington, $26,728.
Henry Funk, Arapahoe, $26,210.
Raymond Aldridge, Arapahoe, $25,289.
William L. White, Arapahoe, $24,292.
Gail Woodard, Wichita, $22,553.
JH Bar Ranch Co., Cheyenne Wells, $21,801.
John E. Harker, Arapahoe, $21,631.
Harold Rother, Arapahoe, $21,341.
Total payees in county (20), $779,625.

Crowley County

Crowley Land & Dev. Co., Ordway, $239,862.

Delta County

McIntyre Livestock Corp., Hotchkiss, $21,372.

Dolores County

Snyder, Inc., Dove Creek, $22,328.

Elbert County

Andy Kochis, Jr., Matheson, $23,907.

Jefferson County

Wilson and Co., Inc., Denver, $78,590.
Anschutz Lvstck Co., Denver, $60,222.
Total payees in county (2), $138,812.

Kiowa County

James S. Garvey, Colby $59,009.
Harold Wyckoff, Arlington, $54,449.
Wayne E. Tallman, Brandon, $34,105.
M. E. Templer, Eads, $32,849.
Wayne E. Tallman Farms Co., Brandon, $31,019.
C. W. Schwerdfeger, Syracuse, $30,969.
W. Harold Tuttle, Towner, $30,940.
Stum & Schuler, Towner, $30,788.
Garvey Properties, Inc., Colby, $28,937.
Aubrey Shotton, Eads, $26,931.
Gene Schwerdfeger, Coolidge, $26,159.
Harold Cloyd, Weskan, $25,564.
Jagee Corporation, Colby, $25,511.
Clyde E. Buck, Eads, $25,494.
B. A. Brixey, Hooker, $24,516.
Eddie C. Templer, Eads, $24,363.
George A. Jacobs, Eads, $24,355.
Bill Marx, Haswell, $23,047.
Jake Boehs, Eads, $22,864.
William J. Weber, Sheridan Lake, $21,830.
John A. Stavely, Haswell, $21,791.
Anton F. Dreiling, Holly, $21,763.
La Vern Buck, Eads, $20,945.
Ira Jay Paulin, Holly, $20,372.
Charles E. Tallman, Brandon, $20,197.
Total payees in county (25), $708,767.

Kit Carson County

Hinkhouse Bros., Burlington, $102,602.
Penny Ranch, Burlington, $77,564.
Kenneth Hitchcock, Burlington, $72,575.
Dannie Weaver, Burlington, $64,113.
Dale D. Hanna, Burlington, $58,035.
Raymond Schulte, Stratton, $52,080.
Leonard Feldhousen, Burlington, $50,800.
Raymond Duell, Flagler, $47,489.
Russell Scott, Burlington, $46,834.
Orville Chapin, Burlington, $45,501.
Paul Gergen, Burlington, $45,474.
G. F. Bollwinkel, Burlington, $37,022.
Byron G. Jarnagin, Burlington, $36,445.
George Andrews, Burlington, $35,019.
Iron Mueller, Inc., Bird City, $34,703.
Hargrove Farms, Inc., Seibert, $32,931.
Don Scheierman, Burlington, $32,881.
Britt Bell, Burlington, $32,290.
Buol Farms, Inc., Burlington, $31,321.
Kenneth Harrel, Burlington, $31,030.
Marvin Grusing, Burlington, $30,989.
Melvin Gross, Burlington, $30,350.
Rex Hitchcock, Burlington, $30,235.
Louis Henry Nider, Burlington, $29,222.
Ernest Pottorff, Stratton, $27,980.
John R. Zurcher, Stratton, $27,895.
Edgar Pratt, Burlington, $27,839.
Francis McCaffrey, Sr., Flagler, $27,121.
Q. G. Demmitt, Meade, $27,024.
Raymond Thomas Downey, Stratton, $27,003.
Plautz Corporation, Burlington, $26,185.
Harold Pickard, Vona, $25,895.
C. E. McCartney, Burlington, $25,889.
Herman Ridder, Burlington, $25,617.
Chester E. James, Kanorado, $25,449.
Bess Jones, Amarillo, $25,350.
Leon Silkman, Burlington, $25,338.
Louis Loeffler, Seibert, $25,278.
Mae Belle Crouse, Burlington, $24,526.
William Paul Hornung, Stratton, $24,207.
Edwin Rainbolt, Kanorado, $24,081.
James H. Witt, Flagler, $23,973.
Reed Ranches, Burlington, $23,683.
O. E. Powell, Bethune, $23,611.
Eldon E. Smith, Kanorado, $23,565.
Marvin W. James, Broomfield, $23,517.
Lyle Bedford, Goodland, $23,395.
Virgil Unruh, Burlington, $23,370.
Simon Brothers, Stratton, $23,321.
Miltenberger Bros., Stratton, $23,313.
Schutte Farms, Burlington, $23,189.
Ewald Hartman, Burlington, $23,174.
Wayne A. Davis, Kanorado, $22,860.
Arthur C. Wilks, Burlington, $22,712.
Eugene Fasse, Kanorado, $22,591.
Alvin C. Johnson, Burlington, $22,559.
Leo M. Mulch, Scott City, $22,400.
W. B. Coryell, Flagler, $22,033.
Three Rivers Ranch, Inc., Grant, $21,844.
Lillian Carter, Akron, $21,803.
Ernest Cure, Stratton, $21,777.
Warren Hodge, Burlington, $20,255.
E. E. Morrell, Kanorado, $20,124.
W. T. Pottorff, Stratton, $20,099.
Russell Davis, Burlington, $20,098.
Total payees in county (65), $2,069,448.

La Plata County

Chris A. Baker, Ignacio, $20,745.

Larimer County

Buckeye Land & Lvstk. Co., Eaton, $44,282.
Kenneth Thayer, Fort Collins, $21,299.
Total payees in county (2), $65,581.

Lincoln County

F. Poss Farms, Inc., Hugo, $34,585.
Orval F. Parker, Inc., Arriba, $25,682.
Total payees in county (2), $60,267.

Logan County

Geo. Henderson Co., Sterling, $32,307.
Wood Land Co., Haxtun, $25,537.
Stephen J. Breidenbach, Iliff, $24,233.
John L. Nelson, Sterling, $20,290.
Raymond Hernandez, Iliff, $20,132.
Total payees in county (5), $122,499.

Mesa County

J. Perry Olsen, Grand Junction, $33,862.
Colorado Utah Livestock, Fruita, $26,654.
C. R. Franklin, Fruita, $24,749.
Irving C. Beard, Fruita, $23,807.
Total payees in county (4), $109,072.

Moffat County

Smith Rancho Inc., Craig, $47,519.
Cross Mountain Inc., Craig, $40,059.
Two Bar Ranch Company, Craig, $30,881.
Visintainer Sheep Company, Craig, $28,228.
Total payees in county (4), $146,687.

Montrose County

Chuchuru Bros., Montrose, $20,373.

Morgan County

Andrew Blake, Woodrow, $42,761.
Raymond H. Roark, Wiggins, $26,345.
Carl D. Iungerich, Morgan, $20,722.
R. G. Weninger, Akron, $20,464.
Clyde E. Foiles, Snyder, $20,383.
Total payees in county (5), $130,675.

Otero County

Holbrook Mutual Irrigators, Junta, $47,992.
C. R. Lusk and Sons, Swink, $27,038.
Total payees in county (2), $75,030.

Phillips County

Edwin Axe Farms Inc., Holyoke, $67,292.
Dudden Elevator Inc., Venango, $40,659.
Deden Inc., Venango, $35,830.
Joy Sporhase, Holyoke, $34,872.
Paloucek Bros., Holyoke, $31,666.
Harry Brinkema, Holyoke, $22,738.
W. O. Krueger, Holyoke, $22,272.
Marvin Fuesz, Haxtun, $22,140.
Galen Bamford, Haxtun, $21,534.
Norman Kramer, Holyoke, $21,329.
Dale Colglazier, Holyoke, $20,814.
Total, payees in county (11), $341,146.

Pitkin County

Christensen Bros., Snowmass, $26,113.

Prowers County

Reyher Farms, McClave, $69,972.
X. Y. Ranch Co., Granada, $63,458.
C. H. Fletcher, Lycan, $59,603.
C. E. Willhite, Holly, $49,209.
C. A. & Robert Barth, Holly, $48,757.
Lamar Farms, Lamar, $38,844.
Sun Vue, Inc., Lockney, Tex., $38,627.
L. W. Bailey, Manter, $38,532.
Curtis Duvall, Granada, $36,967.
Jack Herrin, Lamar, $36,114.
Howard A. Ragsdale, Lamar, $35,915.
J. Marvin Willhite, Holly, $33,530.
Gene and Claude Hammit, Holly, $31,262.
J. Willhite and Son, Holly, $30,946.
Frank Duvall, Granada, $30,824.
John Gentz, Lamar, $29,217.
C. Hart Farms, Inc., Holly, $29,051.
Harry Heath Estate, Lamar, $27,439.
Steve L. Stroud, Weatherford, Okla., $26,325.
Creamer Ranch, Lamar, $25,887.
Dorenkamp Farms, Inc., Holly, $25,329.
Eugene Rundell, Lamar, $24,892.
Cottonwood Ranch, Holly, $24,474.
Horsecreek Farms, Hooker, Okla., $23,558.
George E. Holmes, Enid, $23,077.
C. G. Cruikshank, Granada, $21,995.
Clifford Verhoeff, Holly, $21,121.
Leonard Hart, Holly, $20,852.
August Reyher Trust, McClave, $20,814.
Total payees in county (29), $986,591.

Pueblo County

R. Lewis Fillmore, Boone, $51,699.

Rio Grande County

Pete Plank, Monte Vista, $22,445.

Routt County

Maneotis Sheep Co., Oak Creek, $24,947.
Hockett Farms, Hayden, $21,817.
Total payees in county (2), $46,764.

San Miguel County

Hughes Bros., Inc., Norwood, $22,330.

Sedgwick County

Hodges & Sons, Julesburg, $23,218.
Ricker Farms, Inc., Haxton, $22,402.
Wm. Stretesky, Julesburg, $20,339.
Total payees in county (3), $65,959.

Washington County

Alfred Ward and Son, Akron, $34,272.
V. V. Davie, Akron, $29,555.
Robert Thomas, Lindon, $24,410.
X. Bar 4 Ranch, Inc., Akron, $24,405.
Duane Kjeldgaard, Otis, $24,304.
Joseph Kejr Test Tr. No. 2B, Woodrow, $24,294.
Floyd Starlin, Akron, $24,281.
Jay Hickert, Akron, $23,064.
Ben Gay, Inc., Brush, $22,371.
Earl Anderson, Anton, $20,279.
Total payees in county (10), $251,235.

Weld County

Kalcevic Farms, Inc., Aurora, $72,789.
Jean Eichheim, Nunn, $51,049.
J. Burton Tuttle, Platteville, $46,595.
Howard W. Reid, Denver, $37,206.
Larry Rule, Brighton, $30,850.
Dorothy S. Linnebur, Roggen, $30,650.
Monfort Feed Lots, Inc., Greeley, $29,133.
Lyle V. Cooksey, Roggen, $29,075.
Walter John Peters, Grover, $28,923.
Epple Brothers, Roggen, $22,801.
J. Ben Nix, Eaton, $21,544.
Archie Mellon, Yuma, $21,000.
Blehm Land & Cattle Co., Milliken, $20,554.
Ben Blake, Hereford, $20,480.
William Hoff, Keenesburg, $20,184.
Total payees in county (15), $482,833.

Yuma County

Leonard L. R. Dutton, Idalia, $78,092.
Chester Whomble, Wray, $44,553.
Thomas Brophy, Eckley, $43,361.
George W. Wingfield, Idalia, $38,648.
M. A. Dickson, Idalia, $38,477.
A. R. Crossland, Yuma, $38,066.
Leroy Deterding, Vernon, $33,332.
Gordon Sipple, Yuma, $32,397.
Regal Farms, Inc., Yuma, $30,048.
Delford L. Bowman, Wray, $29,492.
R. M. R. Ranch, Yuma, $29,309.
Mill Iron Diamond Cattle Co., Yuma, $29,099.

Harper Dairy, Yuma, $28,841.
Big Creek Farms Co., Joes, $27,698.
Edward Trautman, Yuma, $27,596.
Curtis Franson, Yuma, $26,803.
Henry Wiltfang, Vernon, $26,689.
Ralph & Jack Bowman, Wray, $26,228.
Maurice Hahn, Yuma, $26,072.
Ray Max Wiley, Wray, $24,860.
Earl Rehor, Yuma, $23,327.
Kenneth Rogers, Wray, $23,083.
Donald Warren, Yuma, $22,933.
Dale Brueggeman, Wray, $21,960.
Helling Bros., Idalia, $21,894.
Cleo A. Brown, Yuma, $21,885.
Perry J. Blach, Yuma, $21,151.
Carroll Josh, Yuma, $20,783.
Melvin Stults, Vernon, $20,499.
Campbell & Matthews Inc., Wray, $20,206.
Total payees in county (30), $897,382.
Total payees in State (297), $9,716,296.

DELAWARE

Kent County

Short Farms, Smyrna, $30,939.

New Castle County

S. Rodmond Smith, Jr., Middletown, $22,101.
Total payees in State (2), $53,040.

FLORIDA

Alachua County

Carlton O. Steen, Alachua, $26,399.

Columbia County

I. C. Terry Farms, Lake City, $20,890.

Glades County

Arbank Farms Inc., Crl. Bcls., $45,027.
John Tiedike, Clewiston, $42,040.
Click Farms Inc., Palm Beach, $38,791.
Hicpochee Farms, Clewiston, $25,926.
J. E. Frierson, Clewiston, $23,507.
R. D. Yoder, Moore Haven, $21,691.
Diamond J. Sugar Co., Moore H'ven, $21,511.
Total payees in county (7), $218,493.

Hendry County

U.S. Sugar Corp., Clewiston, $1,073,980.
A. F. Saunders Inc., Belle Glade, $60,995.
Ov Land Co., Clewiston, $58,025.
Three Rs. Inc., Clewiston, $25,569.
Witt Bros. Farm, Moore Haven, $25,047.
M. L. Bishop, Clewiston, $24,465.
Ewell Farms, Lakeland, $20,719.
Evi Sugar Company, Lakeland, $20,031.
Total payees in county (8), $1,308,831.

Highlands County

Lykes Bros. Inc., Tampa, $28,496.

Jackson County

J. G. Williams, Malone, $22,120.

Jefferson County

Pinckney Hill Plant, Monticello, $34,941.

Leon County

Loveridge Pltn., Thomasville, $24,973.

Levy County

R. S. Graham, Chiefland, $32,733.

Palm Beach County

South Puerto Rico Sugar Co., Bay, $522,899.
Talisman Sugar Corp., Belle Glade, $388,602.
Florida Sugar Corp., Belle Glade, $189,724.
S. N. Knight Sons, Inc., Belle Glade, $136,547.
Closter Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $131,836.
Su Cane Farms Co., Palm Beach, $130,672.
New Hope Sugar Co., Palm Beach, $126,705.
A. Duda Sons, Inc., Oviedo, $121,802.
715 Farms Ltd., Pahokee, $84,656.
Billy Rogers Farms, So Bay, $74,189.
Wedgworth Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $72,588.
Double D. Ranch, Inc., Loxahatchee, $65,464.
Eastgate Farms, Inc., Orlando, $58,453.
Sam Senter Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $57,396.
Seminole Sugar Corp., Pahokee, $53,782.
Hatton Brothers, Inc., Pahokee, $52,856.
Vandergrift Wlms. Fms., Inc., Pahokee, $51,826.
J. T. Boyton Farms, Inc., Pahokee, $50,249.
C. A. Thomas, Lake Harbor, $49,845.
South Bay Growers, Inc., So. Bay, $47,799.
Flava Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $38,154.
Vinegar Bend Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $36,882.
Camayen Farms, West Palm Beach, $33,218.
Harley Watson Farms, Lake Harbor, $32,176.
Okeechobee Farms Co., West Palm Beach, $32,075.
Hayes Quackenbush, Inc., Belle Glade, $28,038.
F. W. Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $27,612.
Stein Sugar Farms, Inc., Belle Glade, $26,063.
R. W. Bishop, Ft. Lauderdale, $25,689.
Miami Sod Co., Miami, $25,659.
Chase Company, Pahokee, $23,858.
Beardsley Farms, Clewiston, $23,158.
Manatee Plantations, Inc., Belle Glade, $22,901.
Melear Jersey Dairy, Inc., Lake Worth, $20,840.
Total payees in county (34), $2,863,673.

Santa Rosa County

J. E. Golden, Jay, $43,645.
Lem Strickland, Brewton, $32,850.
Willie H. Jones, Jay, $28,004.
James Thomas, Jr., Brewton, $26,799.
Wayne Godwin, Jay, $26,257.
J. W. Bauldree, Jay, $20,853.
Total payees in county (6), $178,408.

Suwannee County

Sid Allen Jr., Live Oak, $22,318.
Total payees in State (63), $4,782,275.

GEORGIA

Baker County

Newberry Angus Farms, Inc., Colquitt, $30,853.
W. C. Newberry, Newton, $22,211.
Total payees in county (2), $53,064.

Baldwin County

Herman Archer, Milledgeville, $32,573.

Barrow County

P. R. Smith, Winder, $20,226.

Bartow County

W. P. Lanier, Taylorsville, $49,349.
H. E. Harris, Taylorsville, $36,187.
J. M. Maxwell/W. Smith, Rydal, $32,309.
Glenn Nelson, Kingston, $28,632.
J. C. Evans, White, $28,409.
Noble Maxwell, Taylorsville, $25,619.
Neal C. Dabbs, Cartersville, $23,982.
William Carl Maxwell, Taylorsville, $23,846.
Bobby Maxwell, Taylorsville, $22,130.
Smith Gin Company, Cartersville, $20,813.
Total payees in county (10), $291,276.

Ben Hill County

J. H. Dorminy, Jr., Fitzgerald, $34,317.

Bleckley County

L. L. Phillips, Cochran, $26,502.
James E. Perry, Cochran, $24,759.
Jerry Dykes, Cochran, $24,116.
Allentown Warehouse Co., Allentown, $23,679.
Theo. Williams, Cochran, $21,059.
Charles Williams, Cochran, $20,166.
Total payees in county (6), $140,281.

Brooks County

H. R. Crosby, Pavo, $39,483.
River Bend Plantation, Quitman, $21,710.
Charles M. Gay, Pavo, $21,621.
Total payees in county (3), $82,814.

Bulloch County

W. H. Smith, Jr., and Son, Statesboro, $34,856.
H. S. Blitch and Son, Statesboro, $29,104.
Iverson Anderson, Register, $21,085.
Total payees in county (3), $85,045.

Burke County

Eliz. O. Barefield, Alexander, $85,709.
Quinton Rogers, Waynesboro, $70,255.
James Beall, Waynesboro, $63,297.
B. G. Collins, Waynesboro, $56,169.
Kitchen and Land, Gough, $55,429.
R. L. Webster, Waynesboro, $55,054.
Robert W. Spencer, Earle, $51,157.
T. R. Rowland, Vidette, $50,303.
Frank C. Griffin, Waynesboro, $48,086.
A. H. Sandeford, Midville, $45,028.
Jimmie R. Robinson, Sardis, $43,075.
J. H. Rowland, Midville, $42,316.
Ray Delaigle, Waynesboro, $39,266.
H. W. Mobley, Waynesboro, $38,538.
Paul Shivers, Louisville, $37,915.
J. B. Walden, Gough, $37,578.
W. T. McBride, Vidette, $37,491.
Percy Dixon, Girard, $35,997.
Farris L. Wren, Louisville, $33,784.
T. W. and R. W. Mobley, Waynesboro, $32,957.
R. L. Quick, Midville, $32,115.
Lamar Prescott, Waynesboro, $31,913.
Porter W. Carswell, Waynesboro, $30,870.
J. O. Cates, Sardis, $30,741.
B. K. Anderson, Midville, $30,667.
W. R. Chance, Waynesboro, $28,779.
George F. Williams, Louisville, $27,858.
Hammond Blanchard, Waynesboro, $27,224.
Jackie B. Lovett, Waynesboro, $26,444.
Irvin Reeves, Waynesboro, $26,333.
Q. U. Lively, Waynesboro, $25,961.
J. T. Cooper, Waynesboro, $24,515.
Julian H. Johnson, Waynesboro, $23,381.
O. C. Netherland, Jr., Louisville, $22,684.
Roy R. Dixon, Waynesboro, $22,026.
Carolyn Dixon, Girard, $22,010.
J. M. Dixon, Girard, $21,794.
G. F. Agerton, Jr., Waynesboro, $21,706.
J. C. Palmer, Jr., Waynesboro, $20,256.
Total payees in county (39), $1,456,681.

Calhoun County

David Bruner, Edison, $32,098.
J. S. Cowart, Jr., Arlington, $30,133.
Ralph M. Jordan, Jr., Leary, $27,339.
Chauncey Taylor, Edison, $25,794.
R. L. Perryman, Leary, $22,660.
Julian Lane, Edison, $22,011.
J. R. Brooks, Edison, $21,040.
Total payees in county (7), $181,075.

Candler County

M. J. Bowen, Metter, $56,438.

Clay County

Jack Hattaway, Bluffton, $30,670.
J. D. Thursby, Edison, $25,757.
John T. and J. L. Ingram, Edison, $20,291.
Total payees in county ((3), $76,718.

Coffee County

R. B. Evans, Douglas, $22,096.

Colquitt County

Jacob Summerlin, Moultrie, $42,850.
Horace Parker, Moultrie, $27,230.
Joe Parker, Doerun, $26,508.
Donald Horne, Doerun, $24,417.
Jimmy Strickland, Moultrie, $23,425.
R. C. Moss, Doerun, $23,345.
Neal Clark, Moultrie, $22,947.
Donald Parker, Moultrie, $22,720.
L. L. Tucker, Moultrie, $22,696.
Taylor Farms, Doerun, $21,810.
J. Mike Horne, Doerun, $20,733.
R. L. Sumner, Omega, $20,708.
Total payees in county (12), $299,389.

Coweta County

W. J. Estes, Haralson, $47,224.

Crawford County

N. L. Halley, Reynolds, $22,131.

Crisp County

Cecil Bagwell, Cordele, $142,062.
L. E. Williams, Jr., Cordele, $56,154.
Malcolm Lilly, Vienna, $37,048.
Harold Jackson, Cordele, $31,442.
W. H. Williams, Cordele, $29,645.
J. R. Barry, Jr., Cordele, $29,003.
C. A. Sargent, Pitts, $28,821.
G. E. McCay, Cordele, $28,304.
H. W. McKinney, Cordele, $24,661.
J. R. Dowdy, Jr., Cordele, $23,260.
R. M. McKinney, Cordele, $22,699.
Charles C. Williams, Cordele, $22,275.
John F. Haynie, Cordele, $21,554.
Owen Bagwell, Arabi, $21,453.

L. M. Smith, Arabi, $20,450.
Total payees in county (15), $538,831.

Dodge County

James J. Mullis, Chester, $70,644.
Candler Farms, Eastman, $40,303.
Stuckey Timberland, Inc., Eastman, $36,083.
Norman Hardy, Eastman, $34,627.
S. C. Cadwell, Chauncey, $25,773.
Watson Hardy, Eastman, $24,660.
Wilton Woodward, Eastman, $23,468.
Doyle Yawn, Eastman, $23,023.
S. A. Rogers, Chester, $22,109.
C. L. McCranie, Eastman, $21,074.
Total payees in county (10), $321,764.

Dooly County

Asbury Wright, Pinehurst, $121,591.
R. H. A. McCleskey, Jr., Pinehurst, $63,996.
Van Peavy, Pinehurst, $62,625.
Eugene McCleskey, Pinehurst, $59,661.
Madison B. Coley, Jr., Vienna, $56,694.
W. H. and C. V. Cross, Unadilla, $56,309.
Ike Everett, Pinehurst, $51,861.
Roy Noble Co., Vienna, $49,414.
Millard Peavy, Pinehurst, $46,537.
W. R. Jackson, Jr., Vienna, $43,696.
Olen J. Burton, Vienna, $42,014.
F. H. Sparrow, Pinehurst, $40,515.
Ronney Ledford, Vienna, $39,694.
Leon Broome, Lilly, $39,426.
Anderson Reed, Pinehurst, $38,853.
Warren Taylor, Byromville, $38,738.
Otha Peavy, Pinehurst, $36,702.
Rudolph Royal, Unadilla, $36,426.
Marvin Capus Jones, Unadilla, $34,259.
Carl Bowen, Pinehurst, $34,019.
Richard Doster, Pinehurst, $33,679.
Tippett Peavy, Vienna, $33,667.
R. L. Calhoun, Unadilla, $33,634.
J. W. Sanders, Vienna, $32,688.
Lee Bailey, Jr., Pinehurst, $32,350.
Mercer Porter, Pinehurst, $32,117.
Ben T. Ambrose, Vienna, $31,828.
W. G. Joiner, Unadilla, $31,794.
Raymond Davis, Pinehurst, $31,714.
William Lloyd Horne, Jr., Pinehurst, $30,999.
J. W. Sparrow, Pinehurst, $30,703.
Marshall Davis, Pinehurst, $30,243.
John S. Williams, Pinehurst, $29,328.
Dewitt Clewis, Unadilla, $28,917.
Ossie T. Spradley, Jr., Pinehurst, $27,726.
Alton Draughon, Pinehurst, $26,165.
Ellis H. Davis, Pinehurst, $25,954.
Ralph Bowen, Pinehurst, $25,916.
Gary Peavy, Vienna, $25,540.
H. E. Dunaway, Unadilla, $25,259.
Lamar Smith, Pinehurst, $24,206.
Herbert E. Roney, Vienna, $24,091.
Ernest L. Taylor, Unadilla, $24,001.
Marion A. Thompson, Pinehurst, $23,755.
James Kinard, Vienna, $23,038.
J. D. Lester Residuary Trust, Montezuma, $22,932.
Carl F. Williams, Pinehurst, $22,431.
M. C. McKinney, Vienna, $22,366.
Raymond E. Nutt, Unadilla, $22,262.
Bamon L. Hill, Montezuma, $22,256.
W. C. Griggs, Pinehurst, $21,869.
L. M. Joiner, Unadilla, $21,852.
R. L. Bowen, Vienna, $21,691.
J. O. Cross, Jr., Unadilla, $21,477.
Danny West, Byromville, $20,941.
D. W. Smith, Pinehurst, $20,836.
Claude Bowen, Pinehurst, $20,821.
Rubin Christmas, Vienna, $20,696.
W. G. Smith, Pinehurst, $20,682.
Wayne Peavy, Vienna, $20,448.
Sidney S. Bledsoe, Perry, $20,340.
Jerry Vaughan, Vienna, $20,235.
Walter Franklin Cason, Vienna, $20,108.
J. P. Deloach, Unadilla, $20,065.
Total payees in county (64), $2,116,650.

Early County

Singletary Farms, Blakely, $64,633.
C. B. Everson, Colquitt, $60,369.
Leonard White, Blakely, $35,210.
G. S. Pickle, Colquitt, $33,728.
H. L. Martin, Blakely, $31,317.
Sam Clinkscales, Blakely, $28,667.
Sanford Ferguson, Edison, $25,875.
P. H. Clinkscales, Blakely, $23,263.
C. W. Shierling, Blakely, $22,081.
W. E. McDowell, Damascus, $21,204.
Charlie Fincher, Arlington, $20,263.
Total payees in county (11), $366,610.

Elbert County

Calvin Hill, Elberton, $25,879.
S. N. Brown, Elberton, $25,625.
Ralph King, Bowman, $23,325.
Total payees in county (3), $74,829.

Emanuel County

Frank Flanders, Swainsboro, $52,227.
D. E. Brown, Garfield, $36,283.
D. J. & G. D. Hooks, Swainsboro, $28,204.
Billie Walden, Twin City, $25,276.
John E. Whetsell, Twin City, $25,085.
James L. Moore, Twin City, $20,997.
Total payees in county (6), $188,072.

Floyd County

Charles Smith, Cedar Bluff, $27,386.
Jerry Looney, Rome, $24,299.
Total payees in county (2), $51,685.

Glascock County

John Everett Hadden, Gibson, $31,754.
Murray Hadden, Stapleton, $25,727.
Roy Johnson, Stapleton, $20,031.
Total payees in county (3), $77,512.

Gordon County

Gus G. Moore, Resaca, $38,433.
Frank Erwin, Adairsville, $29,734.
Moss Land Co., Calhoun, $27,342.
Hansel Greeson, Resaca, $26,760.
W. P. Hunt, Calhoun, $25,863.
Total payees in county (5), $148,132.

Grady County

J. L. McClelland, Pelham, $21,526.

Hancock County

Grover A. Walls, Sr., Hampton, $41,664.
L. S. McDonald, Warthen, $30,712.
Total payees in county (2), $72,376.

Hart County

Hubert Cheek, Jr., Bowersville, $125,549.
L. M. York, Hartwell, $44,309.
Frank & Charles Crook, Canon, $20,153.
Total payees in county (3), $190,011.

Henry County

J. D. McGarity & Sons, McDonough, $21,379.

Houston County

Charlie T. Kersey, Elko, $89,819.
L. E. Hicks, Perry, $50,564.
Gunn Farms, Byron, $42,182.
Clint Shugart, Unadilla, $34,155.
W. V. Brannen, Unadilla, $31,973.
Lamar E. Deloach, Elko, $24,538.
Total payees in county (6), $273,231.

Jefferson County

Bryants Incorporated, Bartow, $72,925.
G. C. and Johnny McGahee, Stapleton, $57,027.
Harry and A. P. Jones, Louisville, $47,352.
Judson McNair, Wrens, $46,440.
T. B. Kelly Estate, Louisville, $46,034.
R. J. Jones, Matthews, $45,566.
S. W. McNair, Stapleton, $35,576.
W. P. Smith and Sons, Wadley, $34,602.
Paul and Larry Cobb, Bartow, $33,926.
A. H. Barswell, Wrens, $33,277.
Lewis Alford, Bartow, $31,472.
R. M. Samples, Jr., Louisville, $25,349.
Luie Godowns, Louisville, $34,667.
J. E. Nikkel, Louisville, $24,606.
Clifford Williams, Louisville, $23,510.
P. A. Rheney, Wadley, $23,468.
Ashley Stavely, Bartow, $22,745.
Hugh L. Salter, Bartow, $21,780.
Ernest and Woodrow Smith, Bartow, $21,719.
Kermit D. Bird, Louisville, $20,037.
Total payees in county (20), $692,078.

Jenkins County

T. L. Black, Millen, $44,439.
Virgil H. Black, Millen, $40,456.
Buck Brinson, Millen, $30,355.
W. R. Roberts, Millen, $29,479.
Lamar Black, Millen, $27,277.
Charles W. Lanier, Millen, $24,837.
A. S. Newton and Son, Inc., Millen, $24,182.
Total payees in county (7), $221,025.

Johnson County

W. R. & J. L. Jackson, Wrightsville, $52,874.
T. E. Jordan, Jr., Kite, $35,173.
Tarver Price, Bartow, $30,104.
F. B. Jackson, Wrightsville, $23,269.
Lester R. Johnson, Wrightsville, $22,788.
Gladys J. Hendry, Wrightsville, $22,308.
B. L. Price, Jr., Wrightsville, $20,831.
Total payees in county (7), $207,347.

Laurens County

W. A. Rountree, Dublin, $92,738.
W. H. Lovett, Dublin, $72,348.
Ed Roche, Dublin, $39,690.
E. S. Swinson, Dublin, $33,564.
Jack Cook, Montrose, $32,664.
John C. Young, Lollie, $28,200.
A. L. Parker, Montrose, $28,109.
H. L. Harper, Montrose, $27,731.
Estate of E. B. Claxton, Dublin, $26,676.
B. Moorman and B. F. Watson, Dublin, $26,126.
Herman L. Hall, Dexter, $25,399.
William Slade, Dublin, $23,166.
Gurvice A. Manning, Alamo, $22,673.
James Rawls, Wrightsville, $22,269.
Marshall R. Lord, Dudley, $21,772.
Thomas J. and Ray Walker, Dublin, $21,575.
Fred Hobbs, Dexter, $21,497.
Total payees in county (17), $566,197.

Lee County

Plez Hardin, Smithville, $24,435.
Geise Usry, Smithville, $23,975.
J. R. Johnson, Leesburg, $22,513.
Wilmar Timber Corp., Albany, $20,848.
Total payees in county (4), $91,776.

Macon County

C. J. Harp, Jr., Oglethorpe, $34,383.
J. D. Lockerman, Byromville, $33,364.
Ralph Raper, Byromville, $28,898.
Buck Creek Farms, Oglethorpe, $25,157.
Hixson Guest, Oglethorpe, $24,448.
S. H. Bryan, Jr., Reynolds, $23,898.
Harp Farms, Reynolds, $23,185.
B. B. Murph Estate, Marshallville, $22,995.
Rumph Farms, Montezuma, $22,381.
F. A. Ricks Estate, Reynolds, $21,600.
Gordon Sutton, Ideal, $21,395.
Franklin Collier, Augusta, $20,813.
Total payees in County (12) $302,517.

Madison County

Whitehead Farms, Comer, $41,159.

Marion County

Thomas Miller, Vista, $42,465.

McDuffie County

George Reeves, Thomson, $22,812.

Meriwether County

Gay and Keith, Gay, $56,909.
A. G. Estes, Inc., Gay, $37,729.
W. R. Arnall, Luthersville, $24,879.
A. C. Garner, Alvaton, $21,320.
Total payees in county (4), $140,837.

Miller County

J. E. Tabb, Jr., Colquitt, $41,749.
Lonnie Wesley Tabb, Colquitt, $31,043.
Total payees in county (2), $72,802.

Mitchell County

H. G. McGahee, Camilla, $33,456.
James & Harry Holton, Inc., Camilla, $29,073.
Dan Palmer, Jr., Camilla, $26,577.
Billy Hatcher, Sale City, $26,491.
C. B. Cox, Camilla, $25,294.
Harry Frosteg, Pelham, $22,604.
Total payees in county (6), $163,495.

Morgan County

Bonny Shepherd, Rutledge, $47,391.
Otis Whitlock, Bostwick, $44,472.
Eugene & Marvin Ruark, Bishop, $36,602.
D. W. & B. H. Malcom, Bostwick, $29,109.
Bonnie Hawk, Madison, $28,640.
Bennie Malcom, Madison, $27,257.
Barnett H. Malcom, Good Hope, $27,255.
Brown & Wells, Newborn, $25,820.

E. A. Hanson, Bishop, $23,763.
Total payees in county (9), $290,309.

Newton County

J. H. Anderson, Covington, $28,215.

Oconee County

Fonnie F. Dickens, Jr., Watkinsville, $72,944.
Joe D. Murrow, Farmington, $30,472.
Total payees in county (2), $103,416.

Oglethorpe County

Carl C. Culbertson, Colbert, $71,516.

Peach County

Marion Maddox, Fort Valley, $29,063.
Bateman Co., Inc., Macon, $28,191.
Total payees in county (2), $57,254.

Pike County

R. D. Crawford, Concord, $42,462.
Floyd Turner, Concord, $28,543.
Cochran Caldwell, Concord, $25,145.
R. F. Strickland Co., Concord, $20,459.
Total payees in county (4), $116,609.

Polk County

Geston Womack, Cedartown, $23,196.
Ivan Evans, Taylorsville, $20,701.
Total payees in county (2), $43,897.

Pulaski County

John W. Dawson, Hawkinsville, $76,935.
Dunaway Brothers, Hawkinsville, $72,106.
B. E. Dunaway, Unadilla, $40,213.
C. L. Mays, Pineview, $27,460.
Lorin S. Williams, Hawkinsville, $21,759.
George P. Anderson, Hawkinsville, $21,752.
Total payees in county (6), $260,225.

Randolph County

E. E. Morris, Shellman, $48,100.
James Riley Curry, Jr., Shellman, $32,054.
Grubbs Bros., Cuthbert, $30,995.
K. D. Beard, Shellman, $23,848.
Tom Allen, Shellman, $22,346.
Melvin H. Peavy, Cuthbert, $20,284.
Total payees in county (6), $177,627.

Richmond County

R. A. McElmurray, Jr., Hephzibah, $26,758.
Wayne Rhodes, Hephzibah, $25,678.
Total payees in county (2), $52,436.

Screven County

G. L. Rouse, Sylvania, $55,809.
Paul K. Newton, Sylvania, $32,834.
Millhaven Co., Sylvania, $30,689.
John L. Murray Farm, Girard, $30,063.
T. V. Parker, Rocky Ford, $29,293.
L. E. Pryor, Newington, $28,907.
Raymond Parker, Rocky Ford, $27,194.
J. A. Thompson, Jr., Sylvania, $24,546.
C. M. Austin, Newington, $23,201.
W. W. Wyant, Sylvania, $23,074.
R. E. Oliver, Jr., Sylvania, $22,796.
W. P. Sanders, Sylvania, $22,771.
F. F. Glisson, Sylvania, $22,679.
Total payees in county (13), $373,856.

Seminole County

Raymond Odom, Iron City, $64,039.
John I. Spooner, Donalsonville, $20,920.
Total payees in county (2), $84,959.

Stewart County

W. C. Bradley Co., Columbus, $87,844.
M. J. Lane, Omaha, $42,738.
Total payees in county (2), $130,582.

Sumter County

Farrow Baker, Cordele, $38,601.
George E. and Roy F. Daniel, Americus, $36,322.
Floyd J. Frazier, Americus, $32,827.
T. E. Stephens Jr., Cobb, $32,287.
Neill Hodges, Andersonville, $29,181.
J. C. Jones, Smithville, $28,260.
Pat Crumpton, Leslie, $26,319.
W. C. Cornwell, Americus, $25,132.
Carl Story, Rochelle, $24,691.
Harold J. Israel, Smithville, $24,226.
Total payees in county (10), $297,846.

Taylor County

D. W. Payne Jr., Reynolds, $36,107.
E. B. Swearingen, Reynolds, $27,470.
Ed Richardson, Reynolds, $25,972.
Williard Brunson, Reynolds, $25,802.
William A. Simpson, McDonough, $22,999.
F. W. Smith, Reynolds, $22,727.
J. O. Albritton, Butler, $21,995.
Total payees in county (7), $183,072.

Telfair County

William H. Evans, Milan, $23,161.

Terrell County

Wilbur Gamble, Dawson, $70,466.
Don Bridges, Dawson, $60,773.
W. K. Jones, Dawson, $56,321.
Alston Brothers, Parrott, $40,150.
Hugh Lee, Dawson, $38,268.
W. B. Johnson, Bronwood, $32,921.
J. P. Orndorff, Dawson, $32,628.
W. H. McLendon, Dawson, $32,270.
B. C. Arthur, Dawson, $28,611.
McLendon Brothers, Dawson, $26,452.
Lavonne Speir, Bronwood, $26,231.
Huson Brim, Sasser, $23,001.
W. J. Martin, Dawson, $21,938.
Charles McLendon, Dawson, $21,350.
James G. Raines, Dawson, $20,717.
R. T. Brim, Dawson, $20,176.
Total payees in county (16), $552,273.

Thomas County

Griffin Farms, Inc., Meigs, $38,673.
L. D. West, Meigs, $27,393.
Perry Bell Enterprise, Thomasville, $21,501.
C. M. Anderson, Meigs, $20,478.
Total payees in county (4), $108,045.

Toombs County

A. S. Newton, Lyons, $20,888.

Treutlen County

Manning Mimbs, Adrian, $34,169.

Turner County

Pirkle Farms, Sycamore, $34,110.
Kenneth Smith, Ashburn, $30,825.
J. F. Gibbs, Ashburn, $26,819.
Total payees in county (3), $91,754.

Twiggs County

Sam Floyd, Sr., Danville, $28,548.

Walton County

Alex Harden, Social Circle, $48,559.
Garland Kilgore, Social Circle, $37,599.
T. J. Chandler, Good Hope, $32,752.
T. H. Adcock, Monroe, $32,396.
Robert B. Chandler, Good Hope, $31,129.
Robert M. Hawk, Monroe, $29,946.
Carl Lemonds, Social Circle, $29,247.
Robbie Adcock, Monroe, $24,048.
Byron Mitchem, Social Circle, $20,994.
C. N. Johnson, Lithonia, $20,934.
Total payees in county (10), $307,604.

Warren County

Guy H. Shivers, Jr., Norwood, $131,649.
Harrison Farms, Thomson, $26,309.
John W. Hart, Gibson, $22,782.
Loyd Langford, Warrenton, $21,584.
Jamie L. Gunn, Warrenton, $21,026.
Total payees in county (5), $223,350.

Washington County

E. B. Price, Harrison, $75,371.
L. A. Garrett, Bartow, $46,267.
Olen Price, Wrightsville, $45,891.
Gilmore Bros., Sandersville, $40,625.
Raymond & Grover Cobb, Davisboro, $28,204.
Arthur Hartley, Sandersville, $27,857.
C. W. Harrison, Harrison, $27,671.
Washington Ginning Co., Tennille, $27,243.
Jewell Bridges, Sandersville, $23,779.
Thomas S. Jones, Tennille, $26,228.
Ernest S. Smith, Bartow, $22,999.
B. W. Lord, Harrison, $21,640.
Allen T. Veal, Tennille, $20,517.
Total payees in county (13), $431,292.

Webster County

G. W. Jones, Preston, $26,663.

Wheeler County

J. M. Johnson, Alamo, $26,036.

Wilcox County

Whelchel & Whelchel, Cordele, $32,861.
D. F. Veal, Abbeville, $25,857.
William Worley, Cordele, $25,711.
Marcus Reeves, Rochelle, $24,731.
Total payees in county (4), $109,160.

Worth County

Samuel Brewer, Moultrie, $55,969.
J. R. Odom, Sylvester, $28,673.
William Sledge, Sumner, $25,926.
Cecil L. James, Sylvester, $25,289.
James R. Young Jr., Poulan, $23,901.
Mercer Mill Plantation, Oakfield, $21,753.
E. B. Conger, Norman Park, $20,684.
A. L. Sutton, Sumner, $20,275.
Lenwood Sutton, Ty Ty, $20,275.
Total payees in county (9), $242,744.
Total payees in State (445), $14,425,972.

HAWAII

Hawaii County

Hawaiian Com. & Sugar Co., Puunene, $1,232,166.
Waialua Sugar Co., Inc., Waialua, $1,111,060.
Oahu Sugar Co., Ltd., Waipahu, $528,884.
Lihue Plantation Co., Ltd., Lihue, $516,684.
Kekaha Sugar Co., Ltd., Kekaha, $450,700.
Pioneer Mill Co., Ltd., Lahaina, $449,783.
Ewa Sugar Co., Inc., Ewa, $448,156.
Mauna Kea Sugar Co., Inc., Hilo, $402,367.
Kohala Sugar Co., Hawi, $383,958.
Hawaiian Agricultural Co., Pahala, $366,048.
Grove Farm Co., Inc., Lihue, $360,566.
Honokaa Sugar Co., Haina, $357,965.
Pepeekeo Sugar Co., Pepeekeo, $354,700.
Laupahoehoe Sugar Co., Papaaloa, $352,327.
Puna Sugar Co., Ltd., Keaau, $323,581.
Hutchinson Sugar Co., Ltd., Naalehu, $321,663.
Hamakua Mill Co., Paauilo, $317,808.
Olokele Sugar Co., Ltd., Kaumakani, $297,543.
Wailuku Sugar Co., Wailuku, $290,129.
Paauhau Sugar Co., Ltd., Paauhau, $216,468.
Kilauea Sugar Co., Ltd., $213,125.
Kahuku Plantation Co., Kahuku, $209,906.
Gay and Robinson, Makaweli, $181,819.
Robert M. Yamada, Hilo, $37,078.
K. Mizuno and Sons., Inc., Pahala, $21,823.
Total payees in county (25) $9,746,307.
Total payees in State (25) $9,746,307.

IDAHO

Ada County

Carl E. Nicholson and Sons, Boise, $33,957.

Bannock County

Dale Koester, Lava Hot Springs, $36,585.

Bear Lake County

Demar Romrell, Star Rt. 1, Montpelier, $22,346.
R. K. Nelson, Montpelier, $20,296.
Total payees in county (2) $42,642.

Benewah County

George B. Mills, Jr., Tekoa, $26,516.
Richard R. Lawson, Tekoa, $23,552.
Gerald R. Haas, St. Maries, $21,889.
Total payees in county (3) $71,957.

Bingham County

Lenard Schritter, Aberdeen, $54,729.
Matsuura Bros. Co., Inc., 1132 E Walker, Blackfoot, $46,984.
J. Walt Vanderford, Aberdeen, $46,574.
D. & R. Parks Ptrshp, 121 N Shilling, Blackfoot, $41,167.
Lloyd Stolworthy, Inc., 182 Hartert Av, Idaho Falls, $35,914.
Cooks Heglar Ranch, RR 2 Box 167, Shelley, $30,430.
C. Leslie Williams, RR 2 Box 263, Blackfoot, $29,958.
Vincent R. Benson, RR 5 Box 101, Blackfoot, $28,901.
Pahl Ruff Ptrshp, c/o Sherman Ruff, Aberdeen, $28,059.
Behrend Farms Inc., c/o Charles Behrend, Aberdeen, $26,286.
Joe Sainz, Springfield, $26,253.
Vern Duffin, Aberdeen, $24,736.

Gerald Stolworthy & Son, RR 1 178, Firth, $23,510.
Carl E. Johnson and Sons, RR 1, Box 209, Blackfoot, $22,393.
Arvel W. Fielding, RR 1, Shelley ,$22,112.
Curtis W. Holland, 309 9th St, Idaho Falls, $20,455.
John L. Smith, Blackfoot, $20,444.
Total payees in county (17), $528,905.

Blaine County

Campbell Land & Livestock Co., Bellevue, $26,020.

Bonneville County

Jess Croft & Son, Idaho Falls, $64,933.
E. Bud Johnson, Ririe, $60,899.
Clements & Jackson, Lewisville, $39,776.
Karl Brown & Merle Brown, Rigby, $36,846.
Weeks Brothers & Sons, Swan Valley, $33,-771.
Ernest Martin, Idaho Falls, $29,919.
J. R. Hays & Son, Inc., Idaho Falls, $27,597.
Elmer N. Jensen, Idaho Falls, $27,015.
Schwieder Brothers, Iona, $26,085.
George Lovell, Ririe, $24,175.
Ivan Ashment, Idaho Falls, $23,772.
Lynn Brown, Idaho Falls, $22,614.
William Lavern Judy, Idaho Falls, $22,517.
L. B. Holden Farm Co., Idaho Falls, $22,237.
Neal Sauer, Idaho Falls, $21,679.
G. W. Thompson, Idaho Falls, $21,672.
Total payees in county (16), $505,507.

Boundary County

Tucker Farms, Inc., Porthill, $53,870.

Camas County

Gwinn F. Rice, Hill City, $28,017.
James E. Kevan, Fairfield, $22,359.
William A. Simon, Fairfield, $21,223.
Total payees in county (3) $71,599.

Canyon County

Farm Development Corp., Boise, $200,041.
Kondo Farms, Inc., Nampa, $31,683.
Allen T. Noble Farms, Inc., Boise, $28,464.
Elmer H. Tiegs, Nampa, $28,352.
Flying H Farms, Inc., Boise, $28,097.
Walter C. Russell, Caldwell, $24,144.
Skogsberg Sky Ranch, Nampa, $23,688.
Total payees in county (7), $364,469.

Caribou County

Barker Brothers, Soda Springs, $64,913.
King Creek Graz. Assn., Bancroft, $25,291.
Robert W. Hubbard & Sons, Inc., Soda Springs, $23,284.
Max D. Rigby, Bancroft, $23,100.
Christman & Hopkins, Soda Springs, $22,-530.
Corbridge Brothers, Malad City, $20,625.
Total payees in county (6), $179,743.

Cassia County

Heglar Ranch, Inc., Burley, $79,242.
Parr Bros., Inc., Rupert, $65,134.
Riviera Farms, Inc., Burley, $58,440.
Anderson Bros., Oakley, $42,486.
Pickett Ranch & Sheep Co., Oakley, $35,-334.
Raymond H. Johnson, Burley, $32,983.
Rex Taylor, Burley, $32,316.
Cold Springs Farm, Newton, $30,915.
Critchfield Land & Lvstk., Oakley, $29,714.
Adelle Toevs Brim, Burley, $29,548.
Bell Bingham and Associates, Malta, $27,969.
Gorringe Bros., Oakley, $27,356.
Jack Duncan, Rupert, $27,052.
Vernon C. Phillips, Paul, $26,306.
6 S Ranch, Declo, $25,676.
William Rehn, Burley, $24,823.
Vernon Rehn, Burley, $24,673.
John A. Pierce, Malta, $23,915.
Leonard Rehn, Burley, $23,479.
Harlan Jensen, Burley, $23,412.
Matthews Bros, Oakley, $22,945.
O. M. Johnson, Burley, $20,338.
Total payees in county (22), $734,056.

Clark County

Blaine Grover, Dubois, $30,857.
D. V. Hagenbarth, Dillon, $22,715.
Laird and Jones, Inc., Dubois, $22,681.
U. L. Grover and Sons, Dubois, $20,315.
Total payees in county (4), $96,568.

Elmore County

Bruneau Sheep Co., Grandview, $49,184.
Hammett Livestock Co., Hammett, $26,026.
Bill Smith, Boise, $24,553.
Wayne M. Hodson, Mountain Home, $21,-292.
Tri C Ranch, Glenns Ferry, $21,271.
Total payees in county (5), $142,326.

Franklin County

Kenton G. Fredrickson, Weston, $24,810.
Evan O. Koller, Weston, $23,102.
Total payees in county (2), $47,912.

Fremont County

Siddoway Sheep Co. Inc., St. Anthony, $27,947.
Max D. Parkinson and Son Inc., St. Anthony, $25,242.
Total payees in county (2), $53,189.

Gem County

Highland Lvstk & Land Co., Emmett, $26,-212.

Gooding County

Ralph Faulkner Land, Inc., Gooding, $40,344.
Arkoosh & Zidan, Inc., Gooding, $29,104.
Idaho Hereford Ranch, Gooding, $22,177.
Total payees in county (3) $91,625.

Idaho County

Green Bros., Grangeville, $61,097.
T. E. Robinson, Kamiah, $28,663.
Sam Alm & Sons, Inc., Grangeville, $25,260.
George E. Wilson, Lucile, $23,172.
John McBoyle, Grangeville, $22,006.
Hauger Ranches, Inc., Fenn, $21,952.
Norman Dean, Grangeville, $20,905.
Total payees in county (7), $203,055.

Jefferson County

Snake River Equipment, Idaho Falls, $55,570.
Wm. A. Munnell, Montebello, $36,245.
Ball Bros., Lewisville, $33,324.
Dale Suitter, Idaho Falls, $31,150.
Browning Grain & Produce, Idaho Falls, $25,489.
Orie W. Robison, Roberts, $23,532.
Miller Farms, Inc., Roberts, $20,004.
Total payees in county (7), $225,314.

Kootenai County

Drechsel Bros., Couer d'Alene, $29,931.
Idaho Irrigation, Inc., Spokane, $25,927.
George Thayer, Rathdrum, $21,930.
Total payees in county (3), $77,788.

Latah County

Pfaff Bros., Inc., Garfield, $54,537.
Jensen Bros., Genesee, $23,608.
Gary Morris, Potlatch, $21,921.
Total payees in county (3), $100,066.

Lewis County

Joe Lux, Nez Perce, $43,751.
W. W. Riggers & Sons, Craigmont, $30,101.
Aubrey Pratt, Craigmont, $25,213.
Zenner Farms, Nez Perce, $23,970.
Joe A. Baldus, Nez Perce, $22,192.
Richard Renner, Craigmont, $21,027.
Total payees in county (6), $166,254.

Lincoln County

Onedias, Inc., Shoshone, $23,187.
Darwin Neibaur, Paul, $20,831.
Total payees in county (2), $44,018

Madison County

Parkinson Farms, Inc., Rexburg, $31,882.
Howard and Robinson, Newdale, $24,860.
Summers Bros., Sugar City, $23,718.
O. J. Neeley Ranch, Inc., Rexburg, $20,999.
Total payees in county (4), $101,459.

Minidoka County

Vernon B. Clinton, Rupert, $99,524.
Morgan Shillington Farms, Co., Rupert, $86,801.
Flat Top Sheep Co., Rupert, $40,459.
Warren W. Heins, Rupert, $32,258.
Richard D. Blincoe, Heyburn, $30,196.
G. L. Dean and Sons, Inc., Rupert, $27,880.
Mack Neibaur, Paul, $25,041.
Wilbert H. Moller, Rupert, $21,500.
Garro Sheep Co., Inc., Rupert, $20,397.
Total payees in county (9), $384,056.

Nez Perce County

Meacham Land and Cattle Co., Lapwai, $60,084.
Herndon Farms, Culdesac, $43,103.
Stanton Becker Farms, Genesee, $37,839.
McGregor Farms Inc., Lewiston, $35,504.
Wittman Farms, Lapwai, $29,193.
Happy Ridge Land, Co., Lewiston, $28,622.
George Brammer, Lenore, $27,076.
Edward Bozarth Estate, Culdesac, $26,765.
Winston Mader, Genesee, $24,762.
Gary Schwank, Lewiston, $23,005.
Van Sullivan, Culdesac, $22,381.
E. J. McCann, Lewiston, $22,318.
Loren Crow, Lenore, $22,134.
Orvelle Konen, Lewiston, $22,054.
Charles Gabby, Lewiston, $21,275.
Thiessen Ranch, Lewiston, $20,309.
Zenner Brothers, Genesee, $20,309.
Total payees in county (11), $486,453.

Oneida County

Jones Sheep Company, Malad, $29,362.
W. L. Baker Company, Malad, $25,601.
J. F. Fredrickson, Co., Malad, $23,280.
Total payees in county (3), $78,243.

Owyhee County

Delbert Clampitt, Kimberly, $26,402.
E. Quintana Estate, Homedale, $26,127.
Karl Steiner, Mountain Home, $25,650.
Spring Valley Livestock Co., Caldwell, $21,114.
Total payees in county (4), $99,293.

Payette County

American Fine Foods, Payette, $27,786.

Power County

Fred G. Mayer & Sons, American Falls, $42,980.
Zimmerman Brothers, American Falls, $38,638.
Wallace Hayes, Rockland, $35,660.
Jay H. Hulet, Murphy, $30,238.
W. E. Barkdull & Sons, Inc., American Falls, $28,816.
Ronald Garner, American Falls, $26,991.
Dean Meadows, American Falls, $26,793.
Melvin R. Funk, American Falls, $24,898.
Lynn Thompson, American Falls, $24,841.
Weston Kramer, American Falls, $23,396.
Joe F. Allen, American Falls, $23,344.
Austin Woodworth, American Falls, $21,-228.
Elmer Funk, Jr., Aberdeen, $21,223.
Clover Club Farms, Inc., Idaho Falls, $20,-931.
George L. Funk, American Falls, $20,452.
Total payees in county (15) $410,429.

Teton County

Shayne Linderman, Rexburg, $40,078.
Rammell Brothers, Driggs, $29,448.
Demar Bott, Newdale, $24,808.
J. Clint Hoopes, Rexburg, $24,275.
Earl N. Hoopes, Rexburg, $24,026.
Total payees in county (5), $142,635.

Twin Falls County

W. T. Williams, Inc., Twin Falls, $33,673.
W. B. Savage Ranches, Kimberly, $28,691.
Heil Land/Livestock, Inc., Castleford, $20,-253.
Total payees in county (3), $82,617.

Washington County

Soulen Livestock Co., Weiser, $43,461.
Total payees in state (187), $5,780,069.

ILLINOIS

Adams County

Richard Wittler, Quincy, $25,600.
E. A. Meyer % H. B. Ihnen Agt. Quincy, $22,211.
Cyril Elbus, Mt. Sterling, $21,196.
Total payees in county (3), $69,007.

Alexander County

Dowco Land Co., Cairo, $58,213.
Eliott Raffety Farms, Inc., Wyatt, Mo., $40,454.
E. J. Showmaker, Charleston, Mo., 32,949.
Total payees in county (3), $131,616.

Boone County

Frank Bullard, Poplar Grove, $21,990.
Total payees in county (1), $21,990.

Brown County

Rolla Colclasure, Mt. Sterling, $20,502
Total payees in county (1), $20,502.

Bureau County

Claude Bailey, Princeton, $29,775.
Raymond J. Fitzpatrick, Ohio, $20,702.
Total payees in county (2), $50,477.

Christian County

Donald Linton, Edinburg, $22,610.
Donald L. Kuhle, Assumption, $22,067.
Total payees in county (2), $44,677.

Cook County

Marvin Dunteman, Barrington, $25,659.
Total payees in county (1), $25,659.

De Kalb County

O. M. Johnson, Shabbona, $40,136.
Millard, Sawyer, Leland, $26,724.
Raymond Katz, De Kalb, $20,286.
Total payees in county (3), $87,146.

De Witt County

C. H. Moore Trust, Est., Clinton, $97,023.
Total payees in county (1), $97,023.

Douglas County

Ray Wax, Newman, $28,596.
Total payees in county (1), $28,596.

Edwards County

Chester, Siegert, Jr., Grayville, $20,616.
Total payees in county (1), $20,616.

Ford County

John P. Gallahue, Piper City, $21,190.
Total payees in county (1), $21,190.

Fulton County

Meadowlk Fms c/o I. H. Reiss, Sullivan, Ind., $113,897.
Lowell Wier, Canton, $26,669.
Total payees in county (2), $140,566.

Gallatin County

Pat Scates, Sr., Shawneetown, $56,807.
Lawrence Rollman, Shawneetown, $33,261.
James A. Raben, Ridgway, $27,644.
Charles Hish, Ridgway, $23,140.
W. L. Bradley and Sons, Omaha, $22,595.
Total payees in county (5), $163,447.

Greene County

James G. Esarey, White Hall, $25,444.
Hartwell Ranch, Hillview, $23,869.
McWilliams Trust, Chicago, $21,621.
Henry Longmeyer, Greenfield, $21,430.
Total payees in county (4), $92,364.

Hancock County

Calvin Leffler, Sutter, $22,665.
Hancock Land Co., Warsaw, $20,613.
Total payees in county (2), $43,278.

Henry County

George Larson, Geneseo, $21,701.

Iroquois County

Andrew Meister, Ashkum, $23,450.

Jackson County

Roger Novack, Murphysboro, $22,758.
D. L. Bess, Sr., Bloomfield, Mo., $22,512.
D. L. Heine & A. Behnken, Ptrp., Gorham, $21,902.
Total payees in county (3), $67,172.

Jefferson County

Fletcher Farrar, Mt. Vernon, $21,292.

Jersey County

Eugene Beiser, Jerseyville, $24,367.
Floyd Isringhausen, Fieldon, $22,262.
Total payees in county (2), $46,629.

Kane County

Arthur Hagemann, Elgin, $38,429.
John A. Gorenz, Hampshire, $32,218.
Harry Schoger, Aurora, $26,222.
Leon Meredith, Maple Park, $25,829.
Baert Bros. C/O F. Baert, St. Charles, $22,974.
Long Bros. C/O A. Long Agt., Elburn, $22,870.
Lyle Lawson, Elburn, $22,036.
Total payees in county (7), $190,578.

Kankakee County

Tallmadge Ranch Inc., Momence, $76,852.
Cote Farms Inc., St. Anne, $53,527.
Sunset Farms Inc., Manteno, $24,105.
Loitz Bros., Grant Park, $23,938.
Tunis Hoekstra, St. Anne, $23,188.
Total payees in county (5), $201,610.

Lake County

Tempel Farms, Wadsworth, $29,414.
Total payees in county (1), $29,414.

Lawrence County

Earl Minderman, Lawrenceville, $37,224.
Total payees in county (1), $37,224.

Lee County

A. H. Nichols, Daytona Beach, Fla., $41,306.
Martin Ravnaas, Rochelle, $24,256.
Total payees in county (2), $65,562.

Livingston County

Martin Farms, Bloomington, $23,626.
Total payees in county (1), $23,626.

Logan County

John L. White, New Holland, $28,526.
Harold Park, Lincoln, $25,181.
Total payees in county (2), $53,707.

Macoupin County

Randall Loveless, Carlinville, $20,385.
Total payees in county (1), $20,385.

Massac County

Henry W. Schmidt, Belknap, $20,220.
Total payees in county (1), $20,220.

McHenry County

Meyer & Schuring, Marengo, $52,466.
Perfection Farms, Chicago, $26,177.
L. O. Napier, Marengo, $23,870.
Total payees in county (3), $102,513.

McLean County

Robert D. Whitwood, Hudson, $22,308.
John O'Neall, Cooksville, $20,968.
Total payees in county (2), $43,276.

Mercer County

Scott Farm Co., Alexis, $23,584.
J. and J. Russell, New Boston, $20,589.
Total payees in county (2), $44,173.

Montgomery County

W. Darrell Kilton, Litchfield, $50,729.
Total payees in county (1), $50,729.

Morgan County

James O. Harris, Alexander, $22,896.
Total payees in county (1), $22,896.

Ogle County

Swift & Co., Rochelle, $63,950.
Terry Petry, Rochelle, $25,183.
Emil Fisher & R. Allen, Rochelle, $21,151.
Total payees in county (3), $110,284.

Perry County

Southwestern Coal Co., Cutler, $53,062.
Total payees in county (1), $53,062.

Piatt County

Lynn H. Clarkson, Cerro Gordo, $22,763.
J. E. McCoppin, Ivesdale, $20,657.
Total payees in county (2), $43,420.

Pike County

Bob Richter, Hannibal, $21,614.
Total payees in county (1), $21,614.

Pope County

Dixon Springs, Simpson, $27,666.
Total payees in county (1), $27,666.

Randolph County

Behnken & Behnken, Modoc, $33,986.
Michael Kertz, Genevieve, $20,452.
Total payees in county (2), $54,438.

Rock Island County

Donald Rursch, Taylor Ridge, $20,089.
Total payees in county (1), $20,089.

Sangamon County

Dowson Bros. A PTRP, Divernon, $29,389.
Lillie E. Mayfield Adm., Sherman, $26,100.
Total payees in county (2), $55,489.

Scott County

Karl W. Meisenbach, Pearl, $31,916.
Total payees in county (1), $31,916.

Shelby County

Smith Farm Inc., Shelbyvl, $24,144.
Total payees in county (1), $24,144.

St. Clair County

Floyd A. Schlueter, Belleville, $26,517.
Total payees in county (1), $26,517.

Union County

Gerald Cain, Jonesboro, $22,526.
Total payees in county (1), $22,526.

Vermilion County

L. J. Larry, Danville, $27,304.
Evert E. Berglund, Rossville, $25,584.
Total payees in county (2), $52,888.

Warren County

George Gaskill, Jr., Monmouth, $24,694.
Walter Gayman & Sons, Smithshire, $20,342.
Total payees in county (2), $45,036.

White County

Frank H. Ackerman, Carmi, $33,387.
Wilburn Duvall, Carmi, $33,146.
L. R. Brown, L. A. Brown, Carmi, $29,720.
Ford Turkey Island, New Harmony, Ind., $24,962.
C. E. Brehm, Mt. Vernon, $20,467.
Total payees in county (5), $141,682.

Whiteside County

Wilder Farms, Inc., Erie, $39,645.
Hugh J. Hermes, Sterling, $28,390.
William Mencarow, Moline, $23,303.
Elmer Larson, Prophetstown, $23,213.
Jay P. King, Rock Falls, $23,072.
Rosengren Bros., Rock Falls, $22,295.
Total payees in county (6), $159,918.

Will County

Daniel T. Walker, New Lenox, $29,260.
Total payees in county (1), $29,260.

Winnebago County

Dwight Jewell, Winnebago, $24,502.
Edw A. Gummow, Rockton, $24,374.
Lester Gummow, Rockton, $23,439.
Harold E. Nelson, Rockford, $21,383.
Leroy Benson, Belvidere, $21,021.
Total payees in county (5), $114,719.

Woodford County

Martin Bros. Implement Co., Roanoke, $47,055.
Dorothy Bally Adm., Roanoke, $26,183.
Ben Hodel, Roanoke, $25,740.
Total payees in county (3), $98,978.
Total payees in State (112), $3,277,957.

INDIANA

Benton County

Herschbach, c/o Doane, Logansport, $24,935.

Daviess County

Graham Farms, Inc., Washington, $51,615.
Aden Michael Sprinkle, Plainville, $23,090.
Floyd E. Lee, Elnora, $20,933.
Total payees in county (3), $95,638.

Fountain County

Dr. Lee Maris, Attica, $30,407.
Total payees in county (1), $30,407.

Franklin County

Calvin Kolb, Oxford, $25,015.
Total payees in county (1), $25,015.

Gibson County

John C. Blood, Mt. Carmel, $27,871.
Total payees in county (1), $27,871.

Hamilton County

Dan Taylor, Noblesville, $25,914.
Total payees in county (1), $25,914.

Henry County

Sam Goldman, Straughn, $23,550.
Total payees in county (1), $23,550.

Jasper County

James D. Green, Chicago, $36,783.
Savich Farms, Rensselaer, $35,827.
R. and D. Farms, Francesville, $28,240.
Stewart Farms, Rensselaer, $23,449.
Robert Schoon, Wheatfield, $20,984.
Total payees in county (5), $145,283.

Knox County

Thompson Farms, Inc. Decker, $58,330.
Schenk Farms, Inc., Vincennes, $48,434.
G. A. & E. C. Steckler, Vincennes, $31,813.
Robert Carnahan, Edwardsport, $30,423.
Ernest Vieke, Vincennes, $30,236.
William R. Huey, Sandborn, $23,781.
Bower Corp., Evansville, $23,048.
George R. Wilson, Decker, $20,881.
Holscher Brothers, Vincennes, $20,715.
Billy H. Barmes, Vincennes, $20,470.
Henry A. Hagemeier, Carlisle, $20,130.
Total payees in county (11), $328,261.

Kosciusko County

Creighton Bros., Warsaw, $55,726.
Herbert Fervida, Milford, $24,165.
Total payees in county (2), $79,891.

La Porte County

Wolff Farms, Union Mills, $27,328.
Total payees in county (1), $27,328.

Lake County

Charles Drewry, Lowell, $23,335.
Verle Little, Hebron, $22,070.
John Brown, Son, Lowell, $20,074.
Total payees in county (3), $65,479.

Newton County

Robert A. Churchill, Lake Village, $51,058.
Albert Molson, Jr., Morocco, $29,531.
James Printy, Jr., Morocco, $21,663.
Total payees in county (3), $102,252.

Parke County

Adams Farm Co., Inc., Rockville, $41,525.
Kenneth Ramsay, Waveland, $26,822.
Total payees in county (2), $68,347.

Posey County

New Harmony Realty, New Harmony, $48,012.
Edward C. Culley, Mount Vernon, $31,453.
Total payees in county (2), $79,465.

Pulaski County

Overmyer Farms, Francesville, $118,572.
Arthur P. Gumz, North Judson, $68,094.
Joseph Smolek, North Judson, $23,930.
Otto Wuethrich, Francesville, $23,785.
Total payees in county (4), $234,381.

St. Joseph County

E. Gumz, Inc., North Liberty, $24,873.
Kenneth Sebasty, New Carlisle, $23,606.
Fisher Olive Farm, North Liberty, $20,820.
St. Joseph Farm, Notre Dame, $20,115.
Total payees in county (4), $89,414.

Starke County

Richard Gumz, North Judson, $53,423.
Wm. R. Solmos, Knox, $30,695.
Benjamin O. Bierly, Walkerton, $24,910.
Jerome Gumz, Medaryville, $23,627.
Jack Brown, North Judson, $23,140.
Total payees in county (5), $185,795.

Sullivan County

John Gray Kelly, Fairbanks, $60,466.
Mann Seed Farms, Merom, $25,637.
Leland Phegley, Carlisle, $20,544.
Total payees in county (3), $106,647.

Tippecanoe County

Watland Farms, Inc., Fowler, $34,809.
James Kellerman, Romney, $25,302.
Maddux Farms, Inc., New Richmond, $24,448.
Agnes S. Banta, West Point, $21,328.
Total payees in county (4), $105,887.

Tipton County

Longview Farms, Windfallw, $21,836.
Total payees in county (1), $21,836.

Vanderburgh County

Donald E. Kolb, Evansville, $39,274.
Total payees in county (1), $39,274.

Vermillion County

Harvey Estate, Newport, $33,019.
Total payees in county (1), $33,019.

Vigo County

James Harlan, Terre Haute, $40,649.
Burch Harlan, Terre Haute, $32,516.
Peter A. Farmer, Terre Haute, $27,151.
N & N Farms Inc., Terre Haute, $25,833.
Lyman Pendergast, Rosedale, $23,563.
Edward E. Laswell, Terre Haute, $20,317.
Total payees in county (6), $170,029.

Warren County

Richard Clark, West Lebanon, $26,746.
Total payees in county (1), $26,746.

Warrick County

Alcoa Warrick Works, Newburgh, $30,101.
Total payees in county (1), $30,101.

White County

Lloyd Lehe, Wolcott, $23,976.
John Warner, Brookston, $22,370.
Total payees in county (2), $46,346.
Total payees in State (71), $2,239,111.

IOWA

Adair County

Audra Gibbs, Greenfield, $23,087.

Appanoose County

Munsterman Bros., Centerville, $23,784.

Audubon County

Melville Farms, Audubon, $40,535.
Garst Co., Coon Rapids, $36,754.
Elmer G. Carlson, Audubon, $25,782.
Total payees in county (3), $103,071.

Benton County

Ray Van Steenhuyse, Vinton, $27,312.

Black Hawk County

Wellman Farms, Inc., La Porte City, $36,186.
Robert Rottinghaus, Jesup, $26,221.
Total payees in county (2), $62,407.

Boone County

George Uthe, Madrid, $30,853.
Last Hope Farm, Dayton, $20,530.
Total payees in county (2), $51,383.

Buchanan County

Charles Hoffman, Walker, $24,539.
Vernon Hoffman, Aurora, $22,592.
Total payees in county (2), $47,131.

Butler County

Alfred McWilliams, Aredale, $27,313.

Calhoun County

Earl R. Strand, Lohrville, $22,319.

Cass County

Theodore Wickman, Jr., Atlantic, $23,005.
Gene Leslie, Atlantic, $20,130.
Total payees in county (2), $43,135.

Cerro Gordo County

Hebel Fritz Farms, Inc., Mason City, $45,829.
Wayne Van Duzer, Mason City, $30,417.
Warwick Land Co., Sioux City, $23,458.
American Crystal Sugar Co., Mason City, $23,396.
Kinney Lindstrom Foundation, Mason City, $23,233.
William L. Nicholas, Clear Lake, $22,155.
George H. Wendel, Mason City, $20,793.
Total payees in county (7), $189,281.

Cherokee County

John Heline, Pierson, $31,038.

Crawford County

Leonard Heistand, Dow City, $25,033.

Decatur County

Richard L. Coultas, Garden Grove, $23,900.

Des Moines County

Robert L. Gabeline, Morning Sun, $62,249.

Dickinson County

Harold G. Reiter, Spirit Lake, $22,558.

Fayette County

Agricultural Investors Inc., Farmersville, $25,273.
Max Janssen Jr., Sumner, $23,302.
Edwin A. Hoehne, Maynard, $20,874.
Total payees in county (3) $69,449.

Floyd County

Beverly Land Co., Sioux City, $41,509.
Rottler & Rottler, Greene, $29,119.
Leon Koebrick, Charles City, $28,733.
Julius Huxsol, Chas. City, $26,882.
Hoyle Ginther, Rockford, $24,567.
D. L. Trowbridge, Charles City, $23,750.
Total payees in county (6) $174,560.

Franklin County

Staley Farms Inc., Hampton, $33,131.
John H. Ricke, Williams, $26,451.
Lawrence W. Hamilton, Hampton, $22,837.
M. Staley Est., Hampton, $22,006.
Total payees in county (4), $104,425.

Fremont County

Payne Valley Farms Inc., Hamburg, $82,683.
Reeves Farms, Hamburg, $41,897.
Lyle Taylor, Sidney, $33,524.
Walter M. Doyle, Hastings, $30,545.
Schaaf Farms, Randolph, $25,936.
J. E. Good, Hamburg, $24,597.
Jack Stanley Farms Inc., Thurman, $21,578.
Interstate Nurseries, Hamburg, $20,345.
Total payees in county (8), $281,105.

Greene County

Delmar Van Horn, Jr., Jefferson, $29,505.

Grundy County

Stewart & King Inc., Dike, $24,499.
W. L. Mooty, Grundy Center, $21,072.
Total payees in county (2), $45,571.

Hancock County

Fraser Farms Inc., Humboldt, $46,672.

Hardin County

Thelma L. Warman, Alden, $31,049.

Howard County

Gerald Sovereign & Sons, Cresco, $27,518.

Ida County

William Piper, Grove, $24,584.
L. D. Johnson Partnership, Cushing, $24,459.
Richard Schmidt, Battle Creek, $22,333.
Alston Parker, Holstein, $20,102.
Total payees in county (4), $91,478.

Iowa County

Amana Society, Amana, $146,497.

Jasper County

William Snook, Newton, $23,087.

Jones County

Louis H. & Max H. Levsen, Clarence, $20,584.

Kossuth County

Joe Krieps, Wesley, $20,415.

Lee County

Edward H. Holtkamp, Madison, $27,524.

Linn County

Ralph Blackford, Marion, $23,559.

Madison County

Baur Farms Inc., Van Meter, $27,214.

Mills County

R. C. Good, Glenwood, $27,980.
Herman Stortenbecker, Glenwood, $25,760.
Luther Gammon, Glenwood, $24,375.
R. Goy Est., Malvern, $21,934.
Harry E. Paul, Henderson, $20,448.
Total payees in county (5) $120,497.

Mitchell County

E. B. Mohr, Dallas, $28,387.

Monona County

Charles Lakin, Dodge, $131,509.
Franklin D. Seitzinger, Onawa, $46,788.

Lakin Ranch Inc., Onawa, $43,895.
Hanson Bros., Little Sioux, $24,427.
R. H. Chrisman, Chicago, $20,509.
Total payees in county (5) $267,128.

Montgomery County

Marvin Hunt, Imogene, $29,981.

Palo Alto County

Wegener Bros., Fenton, $23,742.
Kroeker Wood Inc., Pocahontas, $20,989.
Harley Rouse, Ayrshire, $20,388.
Total payees in county (3) $65,119.

Poweshiek County

Raymond Schilder, Malcom, $26,244.

Shelby County

Zeno Lapke, Dunlap, $20,802.

Taylor County

H. Dean Beemer, Gravity, $24,586.

Union County

Russell Cheers, Afton, $25,703.

W. Pottawattamie County

Stanley Danker, Minden, $34,780.
Thomas Thomsen, Bluffs, $25,853.
Raymond Kenkel, Bluffs, $24,904.
Total payees in county (3) $85,537.

Washington County

Robert M. Flynn, Keota, $26,946.

Webster County

Litchfield Realty Co., Des Moines, $21,936.

Winnebago County

Merle L. Durby, Leland, $23,860.

Woodbury County

W. O. Rundall, Moville, $24,227.
O. P. Bennett, Sr., Mapleton, $20,881.
Total payees in county (2), $45,108.

Worth County

Robert E. Nack, Grafton, $23,243.

Wright County

Hilltop Ranch, Inc., Dows, $32,413.
Joe Keller, Blairsburg, $26,904.
Total payees in county (2), $59,317.
Total payees in State (96), $2,869,607.

KANSAS

Allen County

Harold Whitaker, Humboldt, $48,985.

Barber County

Junior Dumler, Kiowa, $30,541.
Fred Schupbach, Jr., Kiowa, $27,674.
Z Bar Cattle Co., Kansas City, $26,869.
Riedel Co. Inc., Kiowa, $20,829.
Total payees in county (4), $105,913.

Barton County

Schartz Bros., Great Bend, $29,770.
Richard C. Brining, Great Bend, $26,227.
L. F. Harper, Great Bend, $21,597.
Total payees in county (3), $77,594.

Brown County

Wilson Bros., Hiawatha, $22,531.

Butler County

Albert A. Entz, Newton, $23,529.

Cheyenne County

Earnest O. Bressler, Bird City, $20,962.
Don Kehlbeck, Bird City, $20,733.
Total payees in county (2), $41,695.

Clark County

Dwight D. Klinger, Ashland, $34,427.
Henry C. Gardiner, Ashland, $22,916.
Clarence E. Lewis, Minneola, $20,011.
Total payees in county (3), $77,354.

Coffey County

Evans & Nee Ptr., Lebo, $38,938.

Comanche County

Richard Bird, Coldwater, $27,583.
Vernon Huck, Coldwater, $26,987.
Glen Woolfolk and Sons, Protection, $23,521.
Ernest J. Oller, Coldwater, $22,568.
Total payees in county (4), $100,659.

Decatur County

Mumm Brothers, Selden, $20,410.

Dickinson County

Roy Clemence, Abilene, $31,090.
Ted P. Lahr, Abilene, $28,528.
Laurence Clemence, Abilene, $26,294.
Total payees in county (3), $85,912.

Doniphan County

Donald Manville, Wathena, $23,517

Edwards County

David Britton, Lewis, $30,878.
McLean Bros., Lewis, $27,086.
Slentz Bros., Lewis, $23,283.
Total payees in county (3), $81,247.

Ellis County

Leo J. Dreiling, Victoria, $28,506.

Finney County

Garden Cy Company, Garden City, $94,019.
Andrew E. Larson, Garden City, $64,171.
John Miller, Holcomb, $58,074.
G. R. Byrd, Holcomb, $43,513.
Leland L. Crist, Holcomb, $42,638.
Emanuel Doll, Ingalls, $39,027.
Thomas W. Linville, Holcomb, $36,077.
Roger Ramsey, Garden City, $32,791.
Clarence Gigot, Garden City, $30,911.
Francis Schreibvogel, Garden City, $30,052.
Lawrence Miller, Holcomb, $29,615.
Carson J. Davis, Holcomb, $29,539.
Frank McClure, Garden City, $29,448.
Max Engler, Jr., Holcomb, $28,728.
Leroy F. Cooley, Garden City, $28,477.
C. C. Spikes, Garden City, $26,370.
Eugene F. Ware, Garden City, $25,584.
Raymond G. Morris, Garden City, $25,374.
Marion L. Russell, Garden City, $25,028.
Raymond Crist, Holcomb, $24,997.
Ralph A. Greathouse, Garden City, $24,994.
Kleystuber & Sons, Pierceville, $24,877.
Leigh Warner, Cimarron, $24,632.
Cyril Schiffelbein, Holcomb, $21,979.
J. D. Hewes, Ingalls, $21,797.
Wiebe & Son ptrnship, Garden City, $21,490.
W. D. Daniels, Cimarron, $21,466.
Wm. M. Turrentine, Garden City, $20,489.
Total payees in County (28), $926,157.

Ford County

George Herrmann, Dodge City, $24,839.
Eleanor O. Rost, Topeka, $23,457.
Everett Steele, Dodge City, $22,950.
Dwight E. Winger, Dodge City, $22,648.
Stephen P. McManaman, Fowler, $20,233.
Total payees in county (5), $114,127.

Gove County

R. S. Coberly, Gove, $28,358.
Karlin Farms, Grinnell, $25,460.
A. L. Swart, Oakley, $23,939.
Ray G. Beesley, Quinter, $20,814.
Glenn Wristen Coberly, Gove, $20,392.
Total payees in county (5), $118,963.

Graham County

Lindenman Bros., Morland, $21,838.

Grant County

H. N. Hobart, Jr., Hutchinson, $46,143.
Tuttle & Tuttle & Mawhirter, Ulysses, $42,830.
J. David Sullivan, Ulysses, $37,108.
Higgs Farms, care of Carl Higgs, Ulysses, $30,271.
C. M. Dew, Ulysses, $29,096.
Herman Cockreham, Johnson, $28,745.
W. Jarvis & G. Jarvis, Ulysses, $27,228.
Lysle Davidson, Johnson, $26,855.
C. E. Battin, Ulysses, $26,778.
Wiebe Bros., Inc., Ulysses, $26,397.
Wendell Cheek, Ulysses, $26,080.
Dan C. Sullivan, Jr., Ulysses, $25,023.
Rollin P. Hagerman, Ulysses, $24,070.
J. F. Boylan, Ulysses, $23,804.
Howard L. Miller, Satanta, $23,762.
Gary & Iva Baker, Ulysses, $23,589.
C. L. Helwing, Johnson, $21,981.
Harry Caldwell, Ulysses, $21,487.
Arthur B. Williams, Ulysses, $20,785.
Earl B. Williams, Ulysses, $20,497.
Total payees in county (20), $552,529.

Gray County

Sidney Warner, Cimarron, $53,802.
Cecil Obrate, Ingalls, $26,728.
W. D. Brady, Dodge City, $24,542.
Stephen Irsik, Ingalls, $24,365.
James R. Adams, Copeland, $23,744.
Lester Clark, Garden City, $23,324.
Diane Janof, Cimarron, $23,019.
Total payees in county (7), $199,524.

Greeley County

Dale Steele, Ford, $97,238.
Kleymann Brothers, Tribune, $63,024.
Smith Ranch Co., Tribune, $44,962.
Jay A. Hoffman, Tribune, $35,840.
Myron E. Sell, Tribune, $34,659.
Ervin Schneider, Tribune, $28,032.
Garfield Ochsner, Tribune, $24,200.
Floyd Tuttle & Son, Tribune, $23,174.
George Nickelson, Penokee, $22,404.
J. V. Kuttler, Tribune, $22,270.
H. C. Wear, Tribune, $21,282.
Lemon & Maness, Tribune, $21,242.
Dick Holland, Leoti, $20,985.
Total payees in county (13), $459,312.

Hamilton County

Richard Plunkett, Syracuse, $35,544.
Lawrence Herrmann, Kendall, $25,313.
W. T. Hughs, Syracuse, $24,216.
Louis J. Eddy, Coolidge, $23,721.
Floyd Haslett, Syracuse, $22,202.
Herbert E. Ramsey, Jr., Hutchinson, $22,072.
Clarence Simmonds, Syracuse, $21,792.
Harold Guldner, Syracuse, $21,019.
Total payees in county (8), $195,879.

Haskell County

Paul J. Brown, Sublette, $46,042.
Haskell Land Co., Sublette, $39,589.
Kirby B. Clawson, Satanta, $34,732.
Randall Bird, Sublette, $34,016.
Ed Hall, Sublette, $33,706.
Forrest Cox, Sublette, $28,983.
Leslie A. Alexander, Satanta, $27,512.
Lewis & Decker Cattle Co., Satanta, $24,872.
Dorsey Elliott, Garden City, $22,623.
Laverne Smith, Copeland, $22,190.
Murphy & Murphy, Sublette, $20,972.
Total payees in county (11), $335,237.

Hodgeman County

O. T. Salmans, Hanston, $23,429.

Jewell County

Neil Durham, Scottsville, $28,211.
Laura Spiegel & Sons, Formoso, $21,120.
Total payees in county (2), $49,331.

Johnson County

James R. Mills, Olathe, $26,195.

Kearney County

Ethel M. Martin, Syracuse, $42,152.
Charles Eskelund, Deerfield, $38,932.
Raymond Dienst, Sr., Lakin, $37,319.
Gordon W. Crone, Lakin, $33,315.
Vernon G. Kropp, Est., Winfield, $28,749.
Max R. Miller, Deerfield, $27,571.
Edward E. Wiatt, Lakin, $24,732.
Loy R. Frank, Attica, $24,378.
B. E. Miles, Lakin, $24,230.
Ray Rohlman, Syracuse, $23,606.
D. E. Steenis, Est., Deerfield, $21,908.
Lawrence N. Graham, Deerfield, $21,882.
Fritz Schreibvogel, Lakin, $21,403.
Vernon E. Eberhart, Deerfield, $21,013.
Candy Ochoa, Lakin, $20,987.
Bob L. Landon, Deerfield, $20,767.
Taylor & Englert, Syracuse, $20,323.
Total payees in county (17), $453,267.

Kiowa County

Pleasant Valley Farm & Ranch, Mullinville, $35,470.
Elmer E. Davis, Haviland, $24,268.
Ernest E. Davis, Mullinville, $21,274.
Total payees in county (3), $81,012.

Labette County

George Ruttgen, Oswego, $20,806.

Lane County

Lawrence Richards, Dighton, $23,266.
Edwin A. Borell & Sons, Shields, $23,125.

Kuhlman Farms, Inc., Dighton, $21,896.
Sharp Bros., Healy, $21,779.
Gilbert Shelfridge, Shields, $20,937.
John A. Hineman, Dighton, $20,887.
Kalo A. Hineman, Dighton, $20,399.
Total payees in county (7), $152,289.

Logan County

Keller Bros, Page City, $50,651.
J. Ernest Bertrand, Oakley, $47,672.
John W. & V. A. James, Oakley, $37,235.
Charles Bertrand, Holdrege, Nebr., $35,576.
Delton W. Hubert, Monument, $31,768.
Henry Kuhlman, Oakley, $29,005.
Don Lintel, Monument, $27,475.
A. F. Hueftle, Oakley, $25,454.
Total payees in county (8), $284,836.

Marion County

Vestring Bros., Burns, $36,378.

Meade County

W. R. Cottrell, Meade, $64,159.
Maud Collingwood Estate, Plains, $39,552.
Holmes Cattle Co., Plains, $29,377.
John E. Frazier, Fowler, $27,797.
Holmes C. Bender, Plains, $24,445,.
Jenkinson Bros., Meade, $21,438.
G. H. Tschudy, Kansas City, Mo., $21,337.
Chester Rexford, Montezuma, $20,389.
Total payees in county (8), $248,494.

Miami County

Lloyd M. Barkis, Spring Hill, $20,313.

Mitchell County

Paul Mears, Beloit, $36,027.
Neil Fuller & Sons, Beloit, $21,439.
Guy Noller, Elder, $20,427.
Total payees in county (3), $77,893.

Morton County

W. J. Light, Rolla, $43,348.
J. E. Light, Rolla, $33,095.
William E. Tucker, Elkhart, $28,296.
Gwyn Thrash, Rolla, $27,712.
Leon Jackson, Phoenix, $26,964.
Glenn Sipes, Manter, $26,093.
Ralph Breeding, Rolla, $25,409.
Harold Anderson, Rolla, $25,225.
Charles Friend, Rolla, $23,868.
John Baughman, Jr., Liberal, $23,340.
Otis L. Daniels, Rolla, $21,592.
Charles Breeding, Rolla, $21,577.
Paul Light, Rolla, $21,517.
Hayward Farms, Elkhart, $20,159.
Total payees in county (14), $368,195.

Neosho County

Wesley Kroeker, Enid, $74,200.

Ness County

R. T. McCreight, Ness City, $24,277.
Frank G. Davison, Dighton, $21,333.
John Pfaff, McCracken, $20,790.
Total payees in county (3), $66,400.

Norton County

Barney Hickert, Lenora, $20,639.

Osage County

John R. Evans, Lebo, $29,718.
David W. Evans, Jr., Lebo, $29,139.
David W. Evans, Lebo, $21,607.
Total payees in county (3), $80,464.

Osborne County

Adrian Schweitzer, Osborne, $26,473.
Est. G. H. Wolters, Portis, $21,698.
O. C. McFadden, Osborne, $20,601.
Max D. Remus, Osborne, $20,513.
Baughman Ranches, Inc., Liberal, $20,455.
Total payees in county (5), $109,740.

Ottawa County

Dick Diedrick, Culver, $20,651.
H. Francis Meiller, Minneapolis, $20,557.
Total payees in county (2), $41,208.

Pawnee County

Glee Smith, Rozel, $37,823.
Milford Zook, Larned, $21,086.
Total payees in county (2), $58,909.

Phillips County

John B. Wyrill, Jr., Kirwin, $54,043.
Cox Bros. Farming Trust, Long Island, $36,554.
John B. Wyrill, Sr., Kirwin, $33,226.
Gus Rahjes & Sons, Ptnrship., Agra, $20,133.
Total payees in county (4), $14,956.

Pottawatomie County

Peddicord Bros., Wamego, $21,838.
Conrad Eriksen, Manhattan, $21,669.
Pessemier Co., c/o R. Royer, St. Marys, $20,547.
Total payees in county (3), $64,054.

Pratt County

Don Fincham, Pratt, $23,393.

Republic County

Swen E. Carlson, Jamestown, $32,030.
Dorman Clark, Hardy, $23,719.
Dwayne M. Dahl, Hardy, $22,223.
Total payees in county (3), $77,972.

Rice County

Arthur Dobrinski, Geneseo, $22,720.

Rooks County

Wayne McClellan, Zurich, $20,736.

Rush County

Clyde E. Bryant, LaCrosse, $20,168.

Russell County

Brown Farms, Lucas, $20,822.
Herman A. Krug & Sons, Russell, $20,732.
Total payees in county (2), $41,554.

Scott County

Floyd M. Krebs, Scott City, $47,589.
Hattendorf Bros., Scott City, $41,806.
Marion Hutchins, Scott City, $33,997.
G. & D. Farms, Scott City, $26,520.
C. A. Steele & Sons, Scott City, $26,407.
Bernard I. Rose, Scott City, $25,916.
Charles Socolofsky, Scott City, $24,328.
Felt Farms, Jackson, $23,827.
Keith Janzen, Scott City, $23,676.
Hughes Land & Livestock, Scott City, $23,310.
William D. Krebs, Scott City, $21,923.
Loren Janzen, Scott City, $21,686.
Robinson Farms Inc., Scott City, $21,307.
Luke & Son, Scott City, $20,093.
Glen E. Ryan, Scott City, $20,059.
Total payees in county (15), $402,444.

Sedgwick County

Albert Gruenbacher & Sons, Andale, $22,-209.

Seward County

Martin Bros., Moscow, $38,684.
Hitch Lnd Cttl. Co., Guymon, $31,354.
Robert L. Hatcher, Liberal, $30,654.
Harold & Leroy Stapleton, Sublette, $25,392.
Circle Bar Ranch, Sublette, $24,550.
Gene & Gordon Shuck, Moscow, $24,164.
Dean Printz, Liberal, $22,648.
Total payees in county (7), $197,446.

Sheridan County

E. A. Baalman & Sons, Menlo, $64,970.
Murray J. Baalman, Grinnell, $25,457.
Herb Teeter, Oakley, $23,433.
Mark Hueftle, Selden, $22,863.
Total payees in county (4), $136, 723.

Sherman County

Wm. A. Davis and Sons, Goodland, $69,427.
Lloyd Kontny, Goodland, $52,268.
C. Wilber White, Goodland, $51,611.
Kenneth House, McDonald, $51,507.
Golden Wheat Ranch, Goodland, $46,518.
Vernon Irvin, Goodland, $46,094.
Charles L. Silkman, Goodland, $41,734.
Harland J. Taylor, Goodland, $35,924.
Keith Cook, Edson, $35,415.
Harold L. Bair, Goodland, $33,468.
Everett L. Craft, Edson, $32,515.
Walker Briney, Goodland, $32,002.
Robert W. Helman, Goodland, $31,260.
John H. Golden, Goodland, $31,216.
Arnold Schields, Goodland, $29,396 .
John Raile, Edson, $29,382.
Robert Irvin, Goodland, $28,927.
Robert J. Hayden, Goodland, $28,813.
John T. Nelson, Kanorado, $28,040.
Fred H. Schield, Goodland, $27,838.
Iron H. Mueller, Bird City, $27,572.
Jesse L. Craft, Edson, $26,202.
Hugh B. Armstrong, Goodland, $25,277.
Donald K. Schwendener, Goodland, $25,-072.
D. M. Daily, Kanorado, $24,355.
Joe D. Gutsch, Goodland, $24,269.
Harry M. Armstrong, Goodland, $23,498.
W. A. Franklin, Edson, $23,061.
Earl Finegan, Edson, $22,402.
Jim Flanders, Edson, $22,087.
B. E. Gannon, Goodland, $21,119.
Norman J. Bauman, Goodland, $20,366.
Total payees in county (32), $1,048,635.

Smith County

Ferguson Bros., Kensington, $42,750.

Stafford County

Carl McCune Sons, Stafford, $27,455.

Stanton County

G. H. J. Farms, Ltd., Johnson, $87,763.
Clarence Winger & Sons, Johnson, $78,953.
Max Hume, Manter, $77,859.
Theodore J. Julian, Johnson, $41,527.
Kans. Univ. Endow Assoc., Lawrence, $40,-141.
Paul E. Plummer and Sons, Johnson, $39,-564.
Milton Julian, Johnson, $39,008.
Walter Herrick, Johnson, $38,702.
Lester Canny, Johnson, $37,915.
Edna E. Collingwood, Johnson, $37,446.
Guy Rorick, Manter, $35,210.
Kendrick Farms, Johnson, $34,479.
John Shore, Johnson, $33,533.
John Lewis, Syracuse, $32,833.
Charles Lucas, Johnson, $31,748.
Rozella M. Van Brunt, Johnson, $27,782.
Milt Graber, Manter, $27,488.
Lester Stanton and Son, Johnson, $27,237.
Paul E. Plummer, Agt., Johnson, $27,199.
Stanley A. Julian, Johnson, $26,361.
Hobert Stewart, Manter, $26,128.
G. D. Troutman, Est., Johnson, $25,932.
Robert A. Seger, Johnson, $23,041.
Richard C. Campbell, Big Bow, $22,983.
David M. Roach, Johnson, $22,271.
Olen Warner, Syracuse, $22,057.
Virgil Amos Shepard, Johnson, $21,769.
Irvin Pauls, Johnson, $21,408.
Plummer Hall Farm, Johnson, $20,599.
Herbert Shironaka, Johnson, $20,363.
Hoopingarner Brothers, Manter, $20,283.
Total payees in county (31), $1,069,582.

Stevens County

Warren Spikes, Hugoton, $70,286.
Carl N. Brollier, Moscow, $51,766.
Lawrence J. Lightcap, Hugoton, $50,885.
Gerald L. Schmidt, Rolla, $41,779.
Thurow Miller Ptnr., Hugoton, $37,100.
Gordon Bane, Hugoton, $34,760.
Fred Walkemeyer & Son, Hugoton, $31,864.
Vincent Youngren, Hugoton, $31,545.
A. E. Kramer, Agent, Pelajo Prop., Hugoton, $27,881.
James Ford, Hugoton, $27,718.
T. R. Gooch, Hugoton, $25,649.
Wayne Parsons, Hugoton, $25,005.
Harry C. Morris, Hugoton, $24,311.
Charles Dudley, Moscow, $23,551.
Ray A. Morgan, Hugoton, $23,492.
Laurence W. Brower, Moscow, $22,505.
Gillespie Bros., Hugoton, $21,020.
B. Garmon & C. Horner, coadministrator, Hugoton, $20,423.
Frank J. Keefer, Hugoton, $20,210.
Total payees in county (19), $611,750.

Sumner County

Stewart Farm Account, Wellington, $41,618.
John L. Larsen, Wellington, $23,472.
Total payees in county (2), $65,090.

Thomas County

Marshall Farms, Brewster, $40,336.
B. A. Hutton, Brewster, $25,359.
Rex Cranston, Brewster, $22,244.
W. E. Cooper, Colby, $21,805.
Eugene J. Karlin, Oakley, $21,312.
Melvin L. Barrett, Colby, $20,549.
H. & H. Farms, Inc., Colby, $20,433.
Total payees in county (7), $172,038.

Wabaunsee County

Howard Deiter, Maple Hill, $24,160.

Wallace County

J. E. Ely Estate, Garden City, $58,078.
Orville Walker, Sharon Springs, $52,287.
Edward M. Waugh, Weskan, $42,590.
Harry Voth, Sharon Springs, $37,504.
Harold E. Shuman, Sharon Springs, $33,614.
Frasier Farms, Sharon Springs, $31,803.
Gareth J. McFadden, Goodland, $30,666.
Wm. H. Akers, Sharon Springs, $29,062.
Arthur R. Mai, Sharon Springs, $28,954.
Vernon L. Popp Agt., Popp Bros., Sharon Springs, $27,893.
Russell I. Unruh, Wallace, $27,255.
Robert McKinney, Weskan, $26,814.
N. D. Sexson, Weskan, $25,339.
Alan Waugh, Sharon Springs, $24,743.
John F. Stoskopf, Great Bend, $24,607.
Vernon D. Schemm, Sharon Springs, $24,453.
Floyd Larson, Sharon Springs, $23,751.
Robert M. Waugh, Weskan, $23,276.
William Pletcher, Sharon Springs, $23,102.
R. D. Walker, Inc., Sharon Springs, $22,408.
H. C. & M. Wilson, Los Angeles, $20,969.
Herbert Peterman, Alva, $20,432.
Total payees in county (22), $659,600.

Washington County

Herman Bott, Palmer, $59,422.

Wichita County

Jaeger Farms, Leoti, $35,882.
J. W. Zellner, Marienthal, $29,998.
Leo Harkness, Leoti, $22,683.
Total payees in county (3), $88,563.

Wilson County

Max Neill, Fredonia, $20,190.

Woodson County

Eldon Kee, Jr., Yates Center, $37,124.
H. W. Pierpoint, Yates Center, $34,858.
Total payees in county (2), $71,982.
Total payees in State (377), $11,128,946.

KENTUCKY

Ballard County

James Wilson, Wickliffe, $25,605.

Breckinridge County

J. D. Tobin, Irvington, $27,696.

Christian County

Loyd W. Ford, Hopkinsville, $20,963.

Daviess County

William Miles, Owensboro, $23,972.

Fulton County

James E. White, Hickman, $27,704.
Sanger Farms Inc., Hickman, $26,124.
Robert Sanger, Hickman, $26,044.
Clyde Morrow & Sons, Hickman, $24,545.
Stone & Ledford, Hickman, $21,940.
Weatherly Bros., Hickman, $20,913.
Jesse Whitson, Tiptonville, $20,456.
M. B. Major, Hickman, $20,230.
Total payees in county (8), $187,956.

Hardin County

Dixie Stock Farms, Sonora, $23,249.

Henderson County

Reynolds Metals Co., Henderson, $53,380.
Charles Rettig, Henderson, $35,450.
Charles B. Smith, Henderson, $21,141.
Total payees in county (3), $109,971.

Hickman County

Larkins Bros., Clinton, $27,096.

Trigg County

S. D. Broadbent, Jr., Cadiz, $35,758.
Cundiff Farms, Cadiz, $22,214.
Total payees in county (2), $57,972.

Union County

Wm. T. Duncan, Clay, $24,936.
Total payees in State (20), $529,416.

LOUISIANA

Acadia County

Ashton S. Pettijean, Rayne, $24,800.
Clarence L. Richard, Church Point, $20,598.
Total payees in county (2), $45,398.

Ascension County

Lemann & Thibaut, Inc., Donaldsonville, $24,730.
Peytavin Fm. Co. & Minnie, Inc., Donaldsonville, $23,218.
Palo Alto, Inc., Donaldsonville, $22,795.
Total payees in county (3), $70,743.

Assumption County

Churchill & Thibaut, Donaldsonville, $70,201.
Savoie Industries, Belle Rose, $57,609.
Tex Emma, Inc., Thibodaux, $44,961.
Dugas & Leblanc, Ltd., Paincourtville, $44,241.
Est E. G. Robichaux, Labadieville, $38,038.
B. & D. Thibaut, Napoleonville, $30,657.
Lillie Belle Arcement, Napoleonville, $23,273.
Harang Farms, Inc., Thibodaux, $21,784.
Dugas & Leblanc, Ltd., Belle Rose, $20,915.
Total payees in county (9), $351,679.

Avoyelles County

Haas Inv. Co. Inc., Bunkie, $49,458.
Darrell Lemoine, Plaucheville, $29,301.
Marion B. Townsend, Bunkie, $26,738.
J. B. Luke, Bunkie, $26,518.
C. W. Tebow, Bunkie, $25,115.
E. A. Townsend & F. C. Townsend, Bunkie, $23,613.
Lloyd & Burton Newton, Bunkie, $23,553.
Orney Dauzat, Marksville, $22,680.
Fred Marvin Durwood Newton, Bunkie, $22,670.
Marion Goudeau, Goudeau, $21,683.
Alfred C. Riche, Bunkie, $20,638.
Total payees in county (11), $291,967.

Bossier County

L. H. Woodruff, McDade, $61,507.
Rosedale Plantation, Inc., Benton, $59,039.
R. J. Viola, Bossier City, $48,329.
Elm Grove Plantation, Inc., McDade, $43,820.
Curtis Planting Co., Bossier City, $41,628.
C. E. Roemeriii, Bossier City, $41,139.
Joe Clark, Bossier City, $39,283.
E. B. Barnett, Plain Dealing, $37,340.
Franklin D. Roemer, Bossier City, $35,424.
I. W. Whittington, Jr., Benton, $31,987.
Maggie C. Mayer, Bossier City, $29,491.
Scopena Plantation, Bossier City, $29,386
R. T. Stinson, Bossier City, $27,223.
Milton Pittman, Plain Dealing, $25,463.
Joe Rich, Plain Dealing, $25,433.
J. N. Averett, Bossier City, $25,366.
Tim White, McDade, $25,090.
Henry L. Cox, Plain Dealing, $25,087.
Marvin McCalman, Bradley, $25,055.
J. T. Rodgers, Shreveport, $24,100.
W. F. Nicholson, Shreveport, $22,538.
Elmer L. Spears, Plain Dealing, $22,399.
Atkins and Sonnier, Benton, $21,799.
A. L. Keoun, Plain Dealing, $20,280.
Total payees in county (24), $788,206.

Caddo County

Mission Planting Co., Ida, $91,766.
J. W. Lynn Plantation, Gilliam, $78,780.
Dalton R. Pittman, Shreveport, $76,017.
Sam W. Smith, Jr., Shreveport, $75,808.
A. C. Dominick, Jr., Mira, $66,510.
Robison Co., Shreveport, $64,424.
L. R. Kirby, Jr., Belcher, $60,537.
G. A. Frierson, Shreveport, $59,745.
W. J. Hutchinson Sons, Caspiana, $58,763.
Dan P. Logan, Gilliam, $58,695.
Webb & Webb, Shreveport, $56,602.
C. N. Frierson, Shreveport, $56,205.
Stinson Brothers, Gilliam, $54,699.
L. S. Frierson, Jr., Shreveport, $49,844.
G. W. Van Hoose, Jr., Belcher, $45,307.
C. M. Hutchinson, Jr., Shreveport, $44,826.
G. W. Vanhoose, Sr., Shreveport, $44,488.
T. A. Tinsley, Shreveport, $43,824.
Bryan Connell, Belcher, $40,934.
Carroll W. & Malcolm W. Feist, Sport, $38,902.
Paul Dominick, Mira, $37,525.
E. R. Cupples, Shreveport, $37,264.
A. L. Sentell, Dixie, $36,569.
C. C. Whittington, Shreveport, $35,102.
R. L. Nance, Jr., Dixie, $34,578.
M. A. Roberson, Gilliam, $34,028.
Yearwood Bros., Shreveport, $33,217.
Tom P. Moore, Jr., Vivian, $32,392.
J. W. Glassell, Belcher, $31,205.
W. M. Yarbrough, Belcher, $30,029.
J. L. Teer, Dixie, $28,722.
Paul Dominick, Jr., Mira, $28,325.
J. H. Gardner, Shreveport, $26,802.
Clifton Dodson, Dixie, $26,744.
Malcolm Dutton, Shreveport, $26,437.
Doles Brothers, Plain Dealing, $25,988.
R. R. McKinney, Hosston, $24,463.
F. E. Volentine, Shreveport, $24,347.
Wayland Carlisle, Dixie, $24,087.
Dan P. Logan, Jr., Gilliam, $23,777.
E. H. Gleason, Jr., Shreveport, $22,963.
M. P. Connell, Belcher, $22,687.
R. McL. Jeter, Shreveport, $22,570.
W. L. Sibley, Shreveport, $22,433.
W. H. Thompson, Hosston, $20,831.
W. J. James, Shreveport, $20,579.
Adrian Douglas, Belcher, $20,319.
Total payees in county (47), $1,920,659.

Caldwell County

Rowland Bros., Monroe, $60,878.
Lelon Kenney, Columbia, $43,780.
Mann and Jackson, Columbia, $32,083.
Jack Keahey, Columbia, $31,921.
Olan L. Rucker, Columbia, $27,099.
R. B. McClanahan, Herbert, $26,827.
Leroy Clark, Hebert, $25,306.
U. M. Youngblood, Columbia, $23,826.
Donald Greer, Columbia, $21,570.
Total payees in county (9), $293,290.

Catahoula County

George Yarbrough, Sicily Island, $155,900.
Jimmy Goode, Sicily Island, $57,525.
Gregory C. West, Wisner, $30,857.
Wayne Crawford, Harrisonburg, $24,740.
Smithland Pltg. Co., Jonesville, $24,149.
Jackson & Purvis, Jonesville, $21,625.
Total payees in county (6), $314,796.

Claiborne County

B. G. Sanders, Minden, $20,150.

Concordia County

Harriss and Sons, Vidalia, $60,442.
Panola Land Dev. Co., Ferriday, $55,198.
Vaucluse Pltn., Vidalia, $31,670.
Lucerna Planting Co., Vidalia, $30,552.
R. S. Rife, Jr., Ferriday, $27,591.
D. A. Biglane, Natchez, $26,990.
Sam Calvert Sons Inc., Frogmore, $25,842.
Maxie M. Miller, Ferriday, $22,988.
B. U. Miller, Ferriday, $22,988.
Martha J. Rabb, Ferriday, $22,756.
P. L. Rountree, Jr., Vidalia, $22,383.
Rota Quinta Pltn., Clayton, $21,323.
Max Huff, Ferriday, $21,316.
Ballina Farm Inc., Alexandria, $20,016.
Total payees in county (14), $412,055.

De Soto County

James E. McMullen, Mansfield, $42,254.
R. C. Legrand, Coushatta, $33,136.
Total payees in county (2), $75,390.

E. Carroll County

Epps Plantation, Epps, $113,946.
J. E. Brown & Sons, Lake Providence, $69,688.
Hollybrook Land Co., Inc., Lake Providence, $66,396.
Gilfoil Farms, Inc., Lake Providence, $57,371.
H. D. Harvey, Lake Providence, $49,787.
J. P. Brown, Lake Providence, $47,527.
Wendell Downen, Lake Providence, $45,484.
Buckmeadow Plantation, Lake Providence, $44,486.
W. H. Bullock, Epps, $43,135.
Jessie M. Blair, Lake Providence, $42,164.
Edward Burgess, Epps, $39,688.
J. B. McPherson, Sr., Lake Providence, $38,976.
James Shepherd, Lake Providence, $37,160.
F. H. Schneider, III, Lake Providence, $36,111.
Thomas H. Shields, Jr., Transylvania, $36,097.

J. H. Crews, Lake Providence, $36,058.
W. H. Crews, Lake Providence, $35,972.
Howington Bros., Lake Providence, $35,954.
Bernard Rosenzweig, Lake Providence, $35,925.
Keener Howard, Lake Providence, $34,960.
Jack S. Hamilton, Inc., Lake Providence, $34,657.
Clinton Fortenberry, Transylvania, $34,295.
Jeff Marsh, Sondheimer, $33,753.
Quitman Fortenberry, Transylvania, $33,557.
Doris Pltn., Lake Providence, $33,047.
Philip Brown, Lake Providence, $32,446.
W. T. Presley, Lake Providence, $32,100.
John Hankins, Lake Providence, $31,668.
Reese Coleman, Epps, $31,516.
Troyce B. Dukes, Transylvania, $31,356.
Pearson Farming Corp., Transylvania, $31,122.
Rodney Fortenberry, Transylvania, $30,909.
H. H. Howington, Jr., Lake Providence, $30,800.
Oliver Baker & Oliver, Lake Providence, $29,470.
David Harvey, Sondheimer, $28,887.
Richard Howard, Lake Providence, $28,203.
A. J. Hawsey, Epps, $27,867.
Elaine C. Fortenberry, Transylvania, $27,653.
Earl Stanley, Lake Providence, $27,016.
George R. Wise, Lake Providence, $26,902.
Benton W. Fortenberry, Transylvania, $25,975.
Roy Burgess Jr., Lake Providence, $25,478.
Robert Frith Jr., Transylvania, $25,143.
R. W. Fletcher, Transylvania, $23,893.
Howell Pippin, Lake Providence, $22,721.
Houston Condrey, Epps, $22,359.
Wallace N. Oliver, Sondheimer, $21,920.
Amos Kent Amacker III, Lake Providence, $21,790.
Robert D. Martin, Transylvania, $21,668.
John Add Cantrell, Lake Providence, $21,303.
Purvis Thomas, Lake Providence, $20,726.
John Howard, Lake Providence, $20,226.
Total payees in county (52), $1,837,311.

Franklin County

Yarbrough Brothers, Sicily Island, $77,953.
Riley H. Graham, Wisner, $54,824.
Gerald Sadler, Wisner, $47,605.
Carl D. Batey, Wisner, $47,208.
C. J. Grayson, Ft. Necessity, $38,667.
Earl Carroll, Gilbert, $34,620.
Carroll Jordan, Winnsboro, $30,526.
W. M. Snyder, Jr., Winnsboro, $26,076.
G. A. Price, Winnsboro, $25,623.
Donnie McMurry, Winnsboro, $24,803.
L. A. Braswell, Wisner, $24,124.
J. B. Eley Farms, Winnsboro, $23,524.
Charles C. Feltus, Winnsboro, $23,159.
Lantz Womack, Winnsboro, $22,173.
L. Aubrey Kincaid, Ft. Necessity, $22,150.
H. C. Stokes, Mangham, $21,594.
M. E. McKee, Wisner, $20,975.
Earis Polk, Winnsboro, $20,868.
Wade Bufkin, Gilbert, $20,813.
Allen Gilmore, Extension, $20,800.
James M. Valentine, Mangham, $20,414.
J. W. Ballance, Winnsboro, $20,116.
Total payees in county (22), $668,615.

Grant County

Ceres Devp., Inc., Colfax, $55,118.
Gordon Randolph, Colfax, $44,088.
Wallace E. Summerford, Colfax, $21,726.
Leon & George Dean, Colfax, $21,354.
Richard S. Thompson, Colfax, $21,165.
Total payees in county (5), $163,451.

Iberia County

Duhe Bros. Pltg. Co., New Iberia, $33,676.
M. A. Patout & Son Ltd., Jeanerette, $33,490.
W. C. Lanie & Sons, Inc., Jeanerette, $20,023.
Total payees in county (3), $87,189.

Iberville County

A. Wilberts Sons L/S Co., Plaquemine, $66,831.
J. Supples Sons Pltg. Co., Ltd., Goula, $31,227.
E. J. Gay P/M Co., Ltd., Plaquemine, $25,007.
J. G. Rivet/Sons, Inc., Rosedale, $23,910.
Wm. T. Burton Inds, Inc., White Castle, $23,830.
Total payees in county (5), $170,805.

Lafayette County

Youngs Industries, Inc., Youngsville, $25,326.
Eugene Rosinski, Scott, $24,046.
Curtis Decou, Youngsville, $21,445.
Total payees in county (3), $70,817.

Lafourche County

Valentine Sugars, Inc., Lockport, $47,581.
J. B. Levert Land Co., Thibodaux, $29,927.
Wilson Melancon, Raceland, $24,986.
Webre Farms, Thibodaux, $20,644.
Legendre & Webre, Thibodaux, $20,283.
Total payees in county (5), $143,421.

Madison County

Dudley Pillow, Jr., Delta, $116,100.
Ashly Plantation, Tallulah, $70,960.
Elton Fortenberry, Tallulah, $64,896.
E. C. Woodyear, Mound, $48,783.
James B. McPherson, Jr., Waverly, $42,608.
Harrison Evans, Shuqualak, $41,556.
E. S. Moberley, Tallulah, $34,202.
Jeff Marsh & Sons, Sondheimer, $34,094.
Howard Sevier, Tallulah, $31,910.
Killarney Plantation, Mound, $30,817.
Maxwell Plantation, Mound, $30,812.
Albert H. Paxton, Tallulah, $30,682.
Robert Graves, Tallulah, $30,429.
J. M. Gilfoil, Tallulah, $28,293.
Jim S. Folk, Tallulah, $27,286.
Richland Foster, Inc., Tallulah, $25,083.
Waymon Coody, Delhi, $24,862.
M. C. Sevier, Tallulah, $24,501.
Bobby Joe Lee, Tallulah, $23,788.
Harry Barrett, Tallulah, $23,736.
Hapaca Plantation, Monroe, $21,575.
Jesse Anderson, Tallulah, $21,433.
Carlton E. Gregory, Tallulah, $20,854.
C. B. Miller, Jr., Tallulah, $20,854.
Total payees in county (24), $870,114.

Morehouse County

Barham, Inc., Oak Ridge, $131,967.
James U. Yeldell, Jr., Mer Rouge, $79,514.
Davenport Bros., Mer Rouge, $65,875.
F. Earl Hogan, Oak Ridge, $53,549.
Duke Shackelford, Bonita, $53,144.
Erle M. Barham, Oak Ridge, $51,245.
Conrad Stallings, Bonita, $50,770.
Kelly Bros., Collinston, $40,785.
David Doles, Jr., Bonita, $39,025.
Wesley Bunch, Jones, $38,879.
Don Sims, Mer Rouge, $37,136.
M. R. Bolin, Bonita, $37,131.
Herbert Johnson, Bonita, $35,982.
C. L. Clark, Jr., Mer Rouge, $35,558.
Bob Erle Clark, Mer Rouge, $35,081.
C. E. Shepard, Oak Ridge, $34,314.
Henry Ferrell, Jr., Jones, $33,580.
Rachael N. Shackelford, Jones, $32,700.
J. B. Newman, Bastrop, $31,978.
Ivan Morris, Jr., Bonita, $31,513.
W. C. Norsworthy, Mer Rouge, $30,789.
Luther Allen Day, Jr., Bastrop, $30,088.
Joe S. Carter, Jr., Oak Ridge, $27,565.
John Knox Nunn, Jones, $27,297.
James A. Brown, Oak Ridge, $27,238.
George E. Eckles and Son, Bastrop, $27,128.
Wayne J. McDonald, Jr., Oak Ridge, $26,777.
Norman McDuffie, Oak Ridge, $26,548.
H. A. Pipes, Jr., Oak Ridge, $26,177.
N. W. Mott, Oak Ridge, $25,478.
B. B. Hunt, Jr., Jones, $25,339.
W. H. Parker, Mer Rouge, $24,330.
Warren White, Mer Rouge, $24,320.
G. L. Shepard, Oak Ridge, $24,249.
Jeff L. McCain, Bastrop, $24,132.
Rudie Mardis, Bastrop, $23,958.
David Pipes, Collinston, $23,498.
Neil W. Mott, Jr., Oak Ridge, $22,991.
Thomas H. B. Rankin, Mer Rouge, $22,039.
T. E. Barham Co., Oak Ridge, $21,977.
Cecil Harp, Bonita, $21,890.
King Mott, Oak Ridge, $21,217.
Joe Monroe Mott, Oak Ridge, $21,217.
Johnie T. Kovac, Mer Rouge, $21,191.
Caleb Pipes, Oak Ridge, $20,818.
Charles S. Rosier, Mer Rouge, $20,732.
Edward Johnson, Jones, $20,629.
Robert F. Doles, Jones, $20,324.
James McKoin, Bonita, $20,097.
J. D. McAdams, Mer Rouge, $20,025.
Total payees in county (50), $1,669,784.

Natchitocs County

J. H. Williams, Natchitoches, $207,077.
J. Alton Lambre, Natchez, $80,545.
Prudhome Bros., Natchez., $68,964.
Murry Lambre, Natchez, $62,183.
Est. Sarah J. Hertzog, Natchez, $44,396.
Lewis E. Jones, Jr., Natchez, $40,908.
Bayou Camitte Plantation, Derry, $40,062.
Est. of H. Cohen & Sons, Natchez, $38,300.
Riverside Plantation, Natchez, $36,937.
Starlight Plantation, Natchitoches, $35,636.
Est. of J. C. Carnahan, Cloutierville, $39,582.
Paul E. Messenger, Robeline, $33,897.
James R. Stacy, Natchitoches, $32,554.
G. C. Messenger, Boyce, $31,997.
Deloy Blewer, Campti, $21,972.
Henry Lemoine, Natchitoches, $28,516.
Richard L. Williamson, Natchez, $28,219.
Sam H. Hill & Son, Natchitoches, $27,610.
Herman Taylor, Jr., Natchitoches, $26,494.
Island Cattle Co., Inc., Natchitoches, $24,522.
T. H. McNealy III, Natchitoches, $22,322.
Roque Brothers, Natchez, $20,938.
Total payees in county (22), $999,631.

Ouachita County

Mason & Godwin, Monroe, $101,717.
W. A. & T. A. Calloway, Bosco, $88,435.
J. A. Moore, Monroe, $55,044.
J. M. Pratt, Sr., Monroe, $53,875.
Travis, Howard, West Monroe, $53,736.
George P. Smelser, Monroe, $53,466.
J. B. Johnston, Jr., Sterlington, $50,975.
A. C. Ransom, Monroe, $49,247.
C. M. McMullen, Monroe, $39,950
Frank Mardis, Monroe, $32,822.
Fred W. Huenefeldt, Monroe, $32,660.
Bruce F. Gregg, Sterlington, $32,595.
J. W. Perry, Perryville, $31,449.
John H. Filhiol, Monroe, $31,091.
Emmit Lee, Monroe, $29,755.
Glen Crossley, Bastrop, $27,554.
R. Z. Johnson, Monroe, $27,037.
James H. Pender, Monroe, $25,256.
Wm. King Stubbs, Monroe, $23,469.
R. T. Faulk, Monroe, $22,966.
Total payees in county (20), $863,099.

Pt. Coupee County

Alma Plantation Ltg., Lakeland, $38,623.
Walter P. Hobgood, Lettsworth, $33,547.
J. G. Beaud, New Roads, $32,106.
Major Bros., New Roads, $23,319.
Richard Glynn, Ventress, $21,794.
Total payees in county (5), $149,389.

Rapides County

Inglewood Ld & Dev. Co., Alexandria, $55,487.
Henry C. Boone, Jr., Lecompte, $33,931.
Greenwood Plantation, Cheneyville, $32,917.
Frank J. Dautremont, Lecompte, $31,946.
Lucian A. Branch, Jr., Alexandria, $31,036.
Weil Company, Inc., Alexandria, $30,696.
John H. Robert, Boyce, $30,656.
Woodrow Dewitt, Alexandria, $29,685.
Edward W. Lyles, Cheneyville, $27,186.
Louis A. Marien, Alexandria, $26,950.
Ferdinand Vandevelde, Jr., Boyce, $26,051.
Carpenter and Jackson, LeCompte, $25,775.
Jack D. Odom, Cheneyville, $25,565.
Witchwood Plantation, Cheneyville, $24,515.
R. M. C. Duncan, Boyce, $24,252.
Nutwood Plantation, Cheneyville, $23,500.
James W. Dyer, Lecompte, $22,566.
Charles F. Gaiennie, Lecompte, $22,558.
H. K. Bubenzer Farms Inc., Bunkie, $22,198.
Roy A. James, Boyce, $21,199.
Total payees in county (20), $568,669.

Red River County

F. I. Waltman, Shreveport, $38,823.
J. T. Bierden, Jr., Coushatta, $33,761.
Woster Anderson, Coushatta, $33,362.
Larry Bundrick, Shreveport, $32,454.
Paul Bundrick, Shreveport, $29,627.
Ed F. Lester, Jr., Coushatta, $28,822.
Tom Bolan, Shreveport, $22,915.
Cleo G. Wood & Son, Coushatta, $22,535.
William Prince, Coushatta, $22,310.
Clarence H. Smith, Hanna, $20,486.
R. F. Marston, Mansfield, $20,273.
Total payees in county (11), $305,368.

Richland County

C. W. Pardue, Alto, $54,801.
Goldmine Plt, Mangham, $46,309.
Robertine R. Cobb, Rayville, $41,907.
Jane R. Oliver, Rayville, $41,051.
K. E. McDonald, Jr., Mangham, $38,889.
C. L. Morris, Rayville, $35,701.
Charles D. Ware, Rayville, $35,688.
J. E. Tarver, Mangham, $34,150.
Union Producing Co., Monroe, $33,111.
W. F. Finley, Jr., Rayville, $31,488.
Edward E. Holley, Mangham, $31,474.
Francis V. Jordan, Rayville, $31,462.
Dallas Thomason, Rayville, $31,280.
C. W. Earle Estate, Rayville, $30,814.
Geo. B. Franklin & Son, Inc., Holly Ridge, $29,860.
Riverbend Farms, Inc., Rayville, $29,364.
Ralph Powell, Rayville, $29,168.
J. B. Ratcliff, Rayville, $28,980.
Claude Morgan, Rayville, $28,866.
R. L. Bell and Sons, Mangham, $27,201.
Noah W. Robinson, Rayville, $25,951.
Guy C. Pardue, Mangham, $25,882.
Donald Chapman, Archibald, $25,451.
W. H. Hubbard, Rayville, $24,655.
Roy Weston, Mangham, $24,436.
Alton L. Welch, Pioneer, $24,258.
R. L. Bell, Jr., Mangham, $24,157.
C. M. Noble, Jr., Rayville, $24,106.
J. U. Douciere, Rayville, $23,920.
Richland Farms, Inc., Tallulah, $23,732.
Pat H. Earle, Rayville, $23,071.
William H. Mercer, Jr., Mangham, $21,908.
E. C. Landrum, Mangham, $20,985.
L. B. Loftin, Delhi, $20,974.
O. G. Morris, Rayville, $20,608.
W. W. Holley, Rayville, $20,487.
Cleto Yates, Delhi, $20,410.
Stark Properties, Mangham, $20,353.
G. C. Hill, Jr., Rayville, $20,324.
N. E. Thames, Baton Rouge, $20,156.
Total payees in county (40), $1,147,388.

St. Charles County

Douglas J. Arabie, Luling, $21,259.

St. James County

Southdown, Inc., New Orleans, $187,164.
Caire & Graugnard, Edgard, $48,277.
Graugnard Farms, St. James, $41,141.
Uncle Sam Pltg. Co., Gramercy, $20,220.
Total payees in county (4), $296,802.

St. John Baptist County

T. Lanaux Son, Lucy, $28,338.
Terre Haute Pltn., Inc., Reserve, $27,014.
Gulf States Land & Ind., Inc., La Place, $26,076.
Total payees in county (3), $81,428.

St. Landry County

J. A. Pickett, Morrow, $64,917.
Lee Bert Fontenot, Bunkie, $40,131.
Nick D. Dubuisson, Opelousas, $39,024.
Hudspeth Bros., Rosa, $31,522.
Tonas Guillory, Port Barre, $28,966.
Richard Sanders, Morrow, $24,110.
Stanley Doucet, Church Point, $23,352.
Furdy Lafleur, Opelousas, $23,136.
Martile G. Cannatella, Melville, $22,635.
Joe J. Artall, Melville, $21,664.
Daniel and Lunic Richard, Sunset, $21,607.
John Vidrine, Bunkie, $20,752.
Total payees in county (12), $361,816.

St. Martin County

Levert St. John, Inc., Martinville, $53,220.
Willis Brothers, Arnaudville, $32,498.
Regis Lagrange, Arnaudville, $31,578.
Total payees in county (3), $117,296.

St. Mary County

Sterling Sugars, Inc., Franklin, $105,802.
Harry L. Laws & Co., Inc., Brusly, $58,349.
Cypremort Farms Inc., Louisa, 30,404.
Katy Plantation, Franklin, $30,210.
A. V. Allain & Sons Inc., Jeanerette, $28,504.
Sunrise Planting Corp., Franklin, $28,487.
Shadyside Co., Ltd., Franklin, $22,320.
Patout Roane Inc., Jeanerette, $20,647.
Frank Martin Farms Inc., Franklin, $20,244.
Total payees in county (9), $344,967.

Tensas County

E. R. McDonald & Sons, Newellton, $99,377.
Panola Co., Newellton, $88,969.
Franklin Burnside, Newellton, $61,772.
Somerset Planation, Newellton, $58,196.
G. C. Goldman, Waterproof, $49,977.
Cypress Grove Plantation, Newellton, $44,230.
H. C. Miller III, Waterproof, $37,472.
J. C. Crigler, St. Joseph, $32,876.
Russell Ratcliff, St. Joseph, $32,578.
Wade Vinson Jr., Newellton, $31,093.
Ed Y. Berry, Waterproof, $30,504.
Jim Huff, Ferriday, $29,657.
R. E. Lancaster Jr., Clayton, $28,682.
J. R. Coor, St. Joseph, $28,004.
Osceola Pltn, St. Joseph, $27,641.
Lake Bruin Development Corp, St. Joseph, $26,160.
Jack Day, Newellton, $26,001.
Lakeplace Pltn, Newellton, $25,669.
Monticello Plt Co., Waterproof, $24,531.
Virginia Wilkerson, Newellton, $24,122.
George Bagley, St. Joseph, $24,090.
Elk Ridge Pltn, Newellton, $21,590.
Bobby Jolly, Newellton, $21,298.
McKinney Land Corp., Newellton, $20,062.
Total payees in county (24), $894,551.

Terrebonne County

The South Coast Corp., Houma, $302,839.

Union County

E. R. Rogers, Farmerville, $30,132.

West Baton Rouge County

Milliken & Farwell Inc., Port Allen, $74,023.
Poplar Grove Pltg./Ref. Co., Port Allen, $44,483.
Margaret Pltn., White Castle, $32,085.
Devall Co. Inc., Port Allen, $25,258.
Allendale Pltn, Port Allen, $20,368.
Total payees in county (5), $196,217.

W. Caroll County

Larry Crowe, Forest, $29,665.
Eugene A. Harper, Oak Grove, $23,196.
S. A. & Lloyd Neal, Epps, $21,863.
Total payees in county (3), $74,723.

W. Felicana County

Louisiana State Penitentiary, Angola, $111,254

Washington County

C. W. Contractors, Inc., Bogalusa, $26,969.
Total payees in State (483), $17,159,637.

MAINE

Aroostook County

Mainstay Corporation, Easton, $31,712.

MARYLAND

Cecil County

Walter Drummond, Middletown, $32,496.
George C. Fry, Earleville, $20,342.
Total payees in county (2), $52,838.

Kent County

Edwin C. Fry, Chestertown, $36,339.

Montgomery County

E. Brooke Lee, Damascus, $21,755.
R. T. White, Mt. Airy, $20,412.
Total payees in county (2), $42,167.

Queen Anns County

O. A. Schmidt and W. Schmidt, Sudlersville, $21,698.

Talbot County

I. R. Swann, Easton, $43,506.
E. Boyd, St. Michaels, $21,891.
R. G. Brooks, Sr., Easton, $20,272.
Total payees in county (3), $85,669.
Total payees in State (9), $238,711.

MICHIGAN

Cass County

Donald McKenzie, Marcellus, $30,076.
Gerald Wright, Cassopolis, $28,080.
M. Kenneth Peterson, Niles, $20,266.
N. P. Beebe Farms, Inc., Niles, $20,170.
Total payees in county (4), $98,592.

Ingham County

Diehl Fields, Dansville, $27,735.
Jerry Jorgensen, Webberville, $20,236.
Total payees in county (2), $47,971.

Kalamazoo County

Randel Burson, Schoolcraft, $23,494.

Lenawee County

Leland Bush & Sons, Tecumseh, $39,883.
Dusseau Farms, Erie, $27,234.
George Vanhaerents, Deerfield, $25,761.
Richard Walters, Blissfield, $24,805.
Schwyn Bros., Blissfield, $23,881.
H. H. Driggs Dairy, Palmyra, $21,171.
Total payees in county (6), $162,735.

Monroe County

Albert Heath, Milan, $38,967.

Saginaw County

Melvin Price, Saginaw, $22,834.
Walter Walat, St. Charles, $22,823.
Total payees in county (2), $45,657.

Van Buren County

Mentha Plantation, Gobles, $21,051.
Total payees in State (17), $438,467.

MINNESOTA

Becker County

Loren Jetvig, Lake Park, $31,992.

Big Stone County

Lismore Stock Farm, Ortonville, $28,395.
Vincent Stegner, Ortonville, $20,945.
Total payees in county (2), $49,340.

Blue Earth County

Dale Duncanson, Mapleton, $29,276.
Kenneth Hiniker, Mankato, $20,028.
Total payees in county (2), $49,304.

Chippewa County

Wayne Schwitters, Clara City, $25,066.
Alvin Payne Agt, Degraff, $23,874.
Lester Terwisscha, Clara City, $22,344.
Harold T. Petersen, Murdock, $20,740.
Total payees in county (4), $92,024.

Clay County

Paul Horn Farms, Inc., Moorhead, $35,234.
E. J. Dullea, Jr., Georgetown, $32,170.
Ronald Offutt, Jr., Moorhead, $23,260.
Frank Thompson & Sons, Inc., Baker, $22,936.
Valan Seed Farm, Inc., Moorhead, $22,558.
Raymond Grover, Glyndon, $21,280.
John Olness, Moorhead, $20,585.
Harold Helmeke, Felton, $20,102.
Total payees in county (8), $198,125.

Dodge County

Owatonna Farms Co., Owatonna, $26,147.

Faribault County

Thomas Legred and Sons, Bricelyn, $34,630.
Alvin Kluender, Walters, $23,160.
Duwayne Evenson, Minnesota Lake, $22,470.
Total payees in county (3), $80,260.

Freeborn County

R. Peter Louters, Hollandale, $23,750.

Jackson County

Hans Nielsen, Jackson, $27,391.
T. E. Barkeim, Lakefield, $21,121.
Total payees in county (2), $48,512.

Kandiyohi County

Harry Zimmer, Raymond, $26,495.
Frank O. Christianson, Blomkest, $22,827.
Clarence Mages, Lake Lillian, $20,798.
Earl Youngkrantz, Lake Lillian, $20,176.
Total payees in county (4), $90,296.

Kittson County

Prairie Farms, Kennedy, $31,507.
John Bogestad, Karlstad, $29,647.
Ray I. Moose, Stephen, $25,588.
Wiese Bros. and Bahr Farms, Humboldt, $25,216.
Byron G. Hanson, Hallock, $24,765.
Kiene Farms, Inc., Kennedy, $23,292.
N. A. Bogestad Farms, Inc., Donaldson, $23,251.
Ell Kiene, Kennedy, $20,288.
Total payees in county (8), $203,554.

Le Sueur County

George Weaver, Waterville, $26,610.

Marshall County

E. G. Melo Est., Stephen, $53,606.
Vernon J. McFarland, Argyle, $32,185.
Kuznia Farms, Inc., Stephen, $29,849.
Art Howard, Warren, $28,359.
Harry Howard, Warren, $25,481.
Lynn Benson Farms, Stephen, $25,389.
Sczepanski Brothers, Stephen, $22,979.
Total payees in county (7), $217,848.

Martin County

Marlo Maschoff, Fairmont, $29,938.
Merwin Moore & Sons, Partnership, Guckeen, $26,756.
Gary Miller, Welcome, $26,644.
James P. Scholl, Trimont, $24,987.
Raymond Wolf, Winnebago, $24,488.
H. Boler Farms, Truman, $24,152.
Merwin E. Thompson, Granada, $21,273.
E. & R. Redenius Partnership, Welcome, $21,090.
Total payees in county (8), $199,328.

Mower County

Martin Bustad, Austin, $26,083.
Raymond Ratcliff, Austin, $25,724.
Ronald Radloff, Grand Meadow, $20,761.
James Caldbeck, Jr., Spring Valley, $20,632.
Total payees in county (4), $93,200.

Norman County

C. H. Underlee and Sons, a Partnership, Hendrum, $36,127.
Bertrand Borgen, Perley, $28,330.
Donald Kroshus, Perley, $27,668.
Silas Strand, Ada, $23,120.
Eugene Engel, Hendrum, $21,410.
Total payees in county (5), $136,655.

Redwood County

John H. Reque, Redwood Fall, $35,411.
John Clair Larson, Clements, $29,659.
Jerome J. Green, Morgan, $27,965.
Eugene B. Hook, Tracy, $20,831.
Total payees in county (4), $113,866.

Renville County

Frank Farms, Inc., Olivia, $52,921.
Frank O. Johnson, Hector, $32,247.
George Rauenhorst, Olivia, $31,813.
Cale Rudeen, Bird Island, $24,960.
David L. Johnson, Hector, $24,840.
Vernon Hoffman, Danube, $24,788.
James Brazil, Morton, $24,145.
Parks Dahlgren, Bird Island, $23,852.
Miloyd Dolezal, No. Redwood, $22,554.
Duane Taylor, Buffalo Lake, $22,489.
Virgil Mellies, Hector, $21,971.
Ruebel Bros., Olivia, $21,789.
Marvin Pape, Renville, $21,358.
Donald Melberg, Hector, $20,572.
Total payees in county (14), $370,299.

Sibley County

William L. Turtle, Gibbon, $21,980.

Steele County

Cashman Nurseries, Inc., Owatonna, $29,027.
Joseph J. Springer, Owatonna, $26,310.
Total payees in county (2), $55,337.

Swift County

Minn Farms Co., Appleton, $44,178.
Sheldon Rieppel, Holloway, $31,276.
David Hughes, Danvers, $29,276.
J. Burton Johnson, Appleton, $26,844.
Thomas Hughes, Danvers, $24,019.
C. R. Koehl & Sons, Inc., Hancock, $21,595.
Total payees in county (6), $177,188.

Waseca County

George Byron, New Richland, $25,400.
Wm. Schue, Jr., New Richland, $21,668.
Geo. W. Arneman, New Richland, $21,322.
Total payees in county (3), $68,390.

Watonwan County

Gordon Kelly, St. James, $20,776.

West Polk County

Keith & Raymond Driscoll, E. Grand Forks, $45,664.
Francis Spokely, Nielsville, $36,042.
Useldinger Brothers, East Grand Forks, $33,448.
Anderson Farms, Fisher, $31,275.
James and Joe Fanfulik, Angus, $31,250.
Armin Ross and Son, Fisher, $30,718.
Leonard Driscoll & Son, E. Grand Forks, $27,464.
Wentzel Brothers, Fisher, $26,431.
Angus Acres, Inc., Euclid, $25,491.
Brekke Brothers, Nielsville, $22,232.
Eggebraaten Farms, Fisher, $21,367.
Vernon Hagen, East Grand Forks, $21,118.
Thomas and Gordon Torgerson, Warren, $20,949.
Allan Dragseth, Eldred, $20,763.
Jess and Louis Andringa, Crookston, $20,735.
Total payees in county (15), $414,947.

Wilkin County

James J. Walton, Breckenridge, $62,696.
Ivan Miller, Wahpeton, $38,808.
Total payees in county (2), $101,504.

Yellow Medicine County

Kenneth & John Velde, Inc., Clarkfield, $22,269.
Total payees in State (110), $2,933,501.

MISSISSIPPI

Adams County

Ivanhoe Assoc., Inc., Natchez, $106,538.
Joseph W. Parker, Jr., Natchez, $26,677.
Total payees in county (2), $133,215.

Alcorn County

J. E. Marsh, Corinth, $20,222.

Attala County

H. S. Smithson, Sr., Goodman, $45,903.
Gideon W. Atwood, Kosciusko, $32,199.
Hugh B. England, Jr., Sallis, $30,857.
Charles Donald, Goodman, $30,698.
H. S. Smithson, Jr., Goodman, $26,153.
Maurice Crowder, Sallis, $24,791.
Clyde S. Hester, Jr., Sallis, 23,724.
Willie B. McCrory, Goodman, $22,662.
Horace G. McMillan, Kosciusko, $21,586.
Alvin McCrory, Goodman, $20,196.
Total payees in county (10), $278,769.

Benton County

Wyatt, Thomas, Michigan City, $22,616.
Leak Brothers, Lamar, $22,482.
C. F. Bryan, Michigan City, $21,909.
Total payees in county (3), $67,007.

Bolivar County

Delta and Pine Land Co., Scott, $814,646.
J. and M. Planting Co., Box 484, Shaw, $209,908.
Allen Gray Estate, Benoit, $164,658.
J. A. Howarth, Jr., Cleveland, $120,546.
Charles A. Russell, Beulah, $101,492.
J. G. Gourlay, Rsedale, $101,029.
McMurchy Farms, Box 181, Duncan, $100,072.
Dossett Plantation, Inc., Beulah, $98,045.
Lewis Barksdale, Jr., Duncan, $90,082.
Sunrise Dairy, Cleveland, $89,955.
D. A. and J. E. Williams, Cleveland, $85,362.
Carr Planting Co., O. C. Carr, Jr., Clarksdale, $84,271.
Zumbro Planting Co., Cleveland, $72,692.
A. D. Murphree, Jr., Shelby, $71,840.
Robbins & Long, Rosedale, $69,367.
L. B. Pate and Sons, Cleveland, $69,303.
Maryland Plantation, Inc., Shelby, $69,001.
H. H. Lawler, Rosedale, $65,494.
T. E. Pemble Farms, Inc., Merigold, $62,507.
James House, Rosedale, $60,413.
R. C. Malone, Pace, $60,193.
A. & B. Farms, Inc., Shelby, $59,150.
H. B. Hood, Duncan, $57,359.
Rogers Hall, Cleveland, $56,075.
B. E. McDearman, Jr., Cleveland, $55,978.
Shelby Farms, Shelby, $55,047.
L. & R. Plantation, Inc., Rosedale, $53,773.
Rainbow Plantation, Inc., Shelby, $53,773.
Charles Speakes, Benoit, $53,551.
M. J. Dattel, Rosedale, $53,499.
W. F. and W. H. Hardin, Duncan, $52,801.
E. M. Walton, Beulah, $51,783.
Curtis E. Presley, Jr., Alligator, $51,755.
H. & H. Farms, Benoit, $51,112.
Max Dilworth, Shelby, $50,394.
John C. Hendon, Shaw, $48,447.
Joe R. Ross, Benoit, $47,669.
James L. Maxwell, Benoit, $47,612.
S. D. Long, Shelby, $47,431.
J. & V. Aguzzi, Cleveland, $46,198.
J. R. Parkinson, Benoit, $45,878.
Gerald D. Denton, Gunnison, $45,208.
H. R. Childress, Shelby, $44,818.
C. T. Stanford, Skene, $44,698.
B. H. Bass, Jr., Clarksdale, $44,662.
Charles J. Wilson, Beulah, $44,277.
Warfield Brothers Farms, Inc., Gunnison, $44,210.
Allendale Planting Co., Shelby, $43,894.
L. E. Murphy, Pace, $43,715.
W. H. Howarth, Skene, $43,331.
W. J. Sumrall, Cleveland, $43,209.
Clear Lake Farms, Inc., Shelby, $43,018.
Elmer Prewitt, Shaw, $42,786.
Boyd Lane Plantation, Gunnison, $42,224.
H. H. Harrison, Pace, $41,854.
Alfred Butler, Alligator, $41,431.
Albert Day, Gunnison, $41,032.
Ralph W. Ray, Benoit, $40,570.
F. H. Nance, Cleveland, $40,502.
R. P. Herbison, Shaw, $40,411.
Sidney Levingston, Ruleville, $40,383.
J. P. Riley & Son, Merigold, $39,728.
Hillard Plantation, Lamont, $39,435.
George B. Noland, Clarksdale, $38,621.
M. A. Bell, Duncan, $37,766.
Rudolph Massey, Deeson, $37,639.
Dominic Rizzo, Cleveland, $37,590.
J. W. Reynolds, Shelby, $37,451.
G. P. Short, Shaw, $37,174.
Alex Belenchia, Shelby, $37,150.
Cowan & Franklin, Shelby, $37,020.
M. L. and W. M. Payne, Lamont, $36,979.
Huddleston Farms, Inc., Lamont, $36,382.
Triumph Farms, Merigold, $35,585.
W. A. Welshans, Rosedale, $35,441.
Patterson Planting Co., Benoit, $35,394.
W. C. Brown, Rosedale, $35,376.
A. J. Cowart, Duncan, $35,085.
Hiter Farms, Merigold, $34,901.
Parks Place, Inc., Shelby, $34,754.
Don Robertson, Gunnison, $34,567.
Norman Brown, Duncan, $33,782.
James B. McGowan, Shelby, $33,775.
Dalton Taylor, Gunnison, $33,583.
J. A. Harris, Shelby, $33,440.
H. A. Wellman, Shelby, $33,324.
A. R. Mann, Jr., Skene, $33,229.
E. E. and D. T. Jones, Gunnison, $33,160.
F. R. Armstrong, Gunnison, $33,055.
The Wolfe Co., Duncan, $32,839.
J. R. Taylor, Pace, $31,592.
G. W. Litton, Shaw, $31,199.
W. S. Coleman, Merigold, $31,160.
John F. Watts, Duncan, $30,720.
A. & N. Fioranelli, Cleveland, $30,063.
W. F. Ervin, Duncan, $29,986.
Charles Hicks, Cleveland, $29,714.
Delbert Farmer, Benoit, $29,645.
Dr. D. Tuminello, Cleveland, $29,409.
F. B. Aylward, Pace, $29,334.
Andrew Sanders, Bayou, $29,311.
W. S. Adams, Rosedale, $29,287.
J. H. Avritt, Jr., Shaw, $29,253.
Pete Bramuchi, Shelby, $29,124.
Denton Mfg. Co., Inc., Shelby, $28,971.
M. L. Turpin, Jr., Shaw, $28,745.
Bolivar Farms, Duncan, $28,521.
J. R. Turner, Rosedale, $28,363.

W. E. Pentecost, Jr., Shaw, $28,279.
A. D. Murphree, Sr., Shelby, $28,272.
J. B. Lowe, Benoit, $28,257.
Garnett K. Scott, Gunnison, $27,991.
Tavaleti Farms, Inc., Clarksdale, $27,734.
J. P. Skelton, Shaw, $27,425.
Alvin Horton, Merigold, $27,217.
H. R. Wilson, Beulah, $27,061.
W. T. Tullos III, Pace, $26,779.
M. and R. Sandroni, Shaw, $26,763.
Homer Jones, Benoit, $26,413.
I. C. Rayner, Jr., Merigold, $26,203.
A. C. Wiggins, Cleveland, $26,057.
Butler Planting Co., Alligator, $26,010.
James Walker, Cleveland, $26,008.
Harry Featherston, Boyle, $26,007.
J. T. Reed, Boyle, $25,498.
Bostick Brothers, Benoit, $25,372.
Bizzell Farms, Pace, $26,206.
Lawrence Gibson, Alligator, $25,065.
Allen L. Edwards, Shaw, $25,065.
Lamar Stanford, Skene, $24,967.
Earl E. Jones, Gunnison, $24,626.
Sue Rayner Trust, Merigold, $24,259.
J. L. and J. V. Newman, Pace, $24,241.
Pleasant and Co., Shaw, $24,147.
J. K. Walker, Cleveland, $23,833.
P. D. Young, Benoit, $23,805.
H. A. Hood, Duncan, $23,773.
Hugh Swindoll, Duncan, $23,638.
Hard Scramble Plantation, Shelby, $23,621.
Bedwell and Evans, Boyle, $23,527.
Denton Gin Co., Inc., Shelby, $23,518.
J. E. Dedford, Duncan, $23,410.
Clayton Holder, Shelby, $23,368.
William Peacock, Cleveland, $23,257.
F. R. Trainor, Lambert, $23,093.
Carolyn Rayner Trust, Merigold, $22,848.
E. F. Abel, Cleveland, $22,842.
Harris Brothers, Gunnison, $22,242.
Lyle Dilworth Farms, Shelby, $21,674.
E. J. Conn, Benoit, $21,570.
W. H. Frederick, Cleveland, $21,455.
Louis Bassi, Gunnison, $21,423.
E. D. Rayner, Merigold, $21,367.
J. R. Dodson, Hillhouse, $21,301.
Pam Farms, Inc., Boyle, $21,290.
H. R. Boschert, Duncan, $21,219.
Charles W. Jones, Cleveland, $21,189.
R. B. Flanagan, Shaw, $21,170.
D. Truitt Jones, Gunnison, $21,071.
Tony Antici, Clarksdale, $20,760.
M. D. Dunn, Duncan, $20,756.
H. L. Dilworth, Shelby, $20,747.
Denton Brothers, Shelby, $20,633.
W. P. Skelton, Pace, $20,457.
Henry T. McGarrah, Merigold, $20,337.
W. E. Adams, Shelby, $20,332.
Frank Salley, Shaw, $20,093.
Total payees in county (167), $7,531,557.

Calhoun County

Grady Easley, Calhoun City, $78,219.
Harold Hamilton, Vardaman, $47,342.
Carter Edmondson, Vardaman, $28,356.
W. A. Jennings, Bruce, $24,448.
H. L. Hardin, Pittsboro, $22,689.
Total payees in county (5), $201,054.

Carroll County

J. W. Hobgood, Holcomb, $40,548.
B. B. Sanders, Vaiden, $40,543.
R. C. Colvin, Greenwood, $32,115.
M. B. Whitten, Avalon, $28,240.
Ralph Redditt, Sidon, $28,163.
Oklahoma Farms, Greenwood, $28,087.
Wilton L. Nash, Trustee, Avalon, $27,239.
Russell Hoop, Cascilla, $24,002.
John C. Calhoun, Vaiden, $22,103.
Roy Meeks, Carrollton, $21,150.
Fon T. Wixon, Cruger, $20,694.
J. W. Fancher, Greenwood, $20,458.
Total payees in county (12), $333,342.

Chickasaw County

Coleman Farms, Okolona, $74,739.
W. J. Linn, Houston, $52,244.
J. Q. Demoville, Okolona, $42,422.
W. H. Gregory, Okolona, $29,310.
J. T. Brand, Prairie, $25,486.
Preston Sullivan, Okolona, $24,169.
Larry Carter, Van Vleet, $23,543.
R. J. Lueth, Okolona, $23,096.
Samuel E. Wade, Egypt, $23,008.
Y. B. Gore, Eupora, $21,272.
Total payees in county (10), $339,289.

Choctaw County

Rex Swindle, Eupora, $22,416.

Claiborne County

James Beesley, Port Gibson, $31,595.
L. B. Allen, Jr., Port Gibson, $25,037.
Jim S. Middleton, Pattison, $21,363.
E. A. Porter, Pattison, $21,114.
F. L. Cappaert, Vicksburg, $20,756.
Total payees in county (5), $119,865.

Clay County

John E. Judson, West Point, $49,444.
J. T. Brand, Prairie, $32,732.
J. S. Brand, Prairie, $27,510.
Ralph M. Dexter, West Point, $26,107
B. Bryan Farms, Inc., West Point, $20,601.
Total payees in county (5), $156,394.

Coahoma County

John B. McKee, Jr., Friars Point, $307,865.
Roy Flowers, Mattson, $232,275.
Oakhurst Co., Clarksdale, $172,938.
Roundaway Planting Co., Alligator, $157,078.
Kline Planting Co., Alligator, $141,818.
King & Anderson, Inc., Clarksdale, $138,597.
J. H. Sherard & Son, Sherard, $115,637.
W. S. Heaton, Jr., Lyon, $104,731.
Garrett & Son, Clarksdale, $94,233.
Mohead Planting Co., Lula, $92,408.
Leon C. Bramlett, Clarksdale, $88,072.
Graydon Flowers, Mattson, $87,713.
Johnson Brothers, Friars Point, $84,447.
Dan Crumpton, Jr., Clarksdale, $24,226.
H. H. Twiford, Alligator, $82,771.
Carr Mascot Planting, Inc., Clarksdale, $81,927.
Fox Brothers, Lyon, $81,889.
Flowers Brothers, Dublin, $79,224.
J. F. Humber, Jr., Farrell, $77,232.
Connell & Company, Clarksdale, $74,099.
Maryland Planting Co., Clarksdale, $69,131.
Sigmon Planting Company, Sherard, $66,925.
Kirk Haynes, Jonestown, $66,078.
P. F. Williams & Sons, Clarksdale, $63,952.
R. W. Jones & Sons, Inc., Lula, $62,233.
Prairie Planting Company, Stovall, $59,755.
J. W. Henderson, Clarksdale, $59,450.
T. M. Luster, Clarksdale, $58,980.
Fant Brothers, Coahoma, $58,542.
Viney Ridge Farms, Clarksdale, $58,376.
Morris Eason, Mattson, $58,028.
M. C. Stovall, Inc., Stovall, $58,020.
Allen & Ritch, Lyon, $58,007.
H. M. Haney Estate, Jonestown, $57,919.
Scrugg & Count, Lula, $57,562.
Young Farms Inc., Bobo, $55,048.
W. H. Maynard Jr., Clarksdale, $53,873.
C. M. Allen, Lula, $53,788.
King & Anderson Ent., Clarksdale, $53,257.
R. L. Perryman, Lula, $53,239.
Dulaney Farms, Inc., Clarksdale, $53,210.
Rives & Brewer, Coahoma, $52,126.
G. L. McWilliams Sr., Clarksdale, $51,599.
Stribling Planting Co., Clarksdale, $50,932.
J. L. Stribling & Son, Clarksdale, $50,917.
A. L. Brady, Lula, $50,862.
Weeks Plantation, Inc., Clarksdale, $50,609.
Omega Planting Co., Lyon, $50,253.
Stribling & Smith, Clarksdale, $50,209.
B. O. Tedford & Son, Lyon, $50,046.
Lucille & C. W. Fyfe Jr., Lula, $49,518.
R. N. McWilliams, Clarksdale, $49,433.
Oscar Connell Farm, Clarksdale, $49,071.
Ellendale Planting Co., Clarksdale, $48,966.
J. B. Laney, Lyon, $48,918.
David B. Mullens, Clarksdale, $48,507.
Wilbur Welch, Rich, $47,622.
Allen C. Evans, Lula, $47,368.
M. J. Commer, Jonestown, $46,971.
Robert G. Johnson, Clarksdale, $46,931.
R. M. Aust, Clarksdale, $45,762.
W. C. Luckett Farms, Dublin, $45,728.
Edwin J. Mullens, Clarksdale, $45,108.
J. H. Pruett, Lyon, $44,990.
J. T. Longino Jr., Jonestown, $44,896.
Massey Farms Inc., Lyon, $44,750.
Eaglenest Pltg Co., Lyon, $44,488.
Weakley Brahan, Lula, $44,320.
L. B. Shipp, Lula, $43,172.
W. W. Cooper Est., Alligator, $42,964.
John H. Garmon, Jr., Clarksdale, $42,869.
Lea Planting Co., Dublin, $40,997.
John T. Hays & Son, Dublin, $40,595.
Simmons Planting Co., Clarksdale, $40,018.
Floy J. Haney, Rome, $39.276.
Andrew J. Donelson, Clarksdale, $38,759.
B. B. Smith, Dublin, $38,573.
L. S. Powell Estate Inc., Dundee, $38,529.
Harry L. Black, Clarksdale, $38,460.
Travis H. Taylor, Jr., Clarksdale, $38,417.
E. V. Catoe, Jr., Lyon, $38,067.
Mattie B. Boone, Lyon, $37,203.
Charles Monty, Jr., Clarksdale, $36,681.
Preston P. Bennett, Vance, $36,618.
L. T. Payne, Clarksdale, $36,514.
Rocco G. Morris, Friars Point, $36,438.
Parolli Farms Inc., Clarksdale, $36,148.
Graham Bramlett, Bobo, $35,961.
Dana Haynes, Jonestown, $35,953.
Robert A. Boyce, Jonestown, $35,223.
John P. Pelegrin, Stovall, $34,549.
Richard Russell, Jonestown, $32,902.
H. J. McMillian, Mattson, $32,771.
W. E. Meredith, Lyon, $32,606.
Heaton Investment Co., Lyon, $32,482.
Ellington Massey, Lyon, $32,371.
Marlon Farms, Jonestown, $31,986.
Tony Morris, Friars Point, $31,498.
Monty Planting Co. Inc., Clarksdale, $31,379.
Montgomery Farms, Clarksdale, $30,966.
Carson Pelegrin, Clarksdale, $30,964.
William P. Howell, Clarksdale, $30,729.
L. A. Ross, Jr., Clarksdale, $30,579.
W. T. Salmon, Coahoma, $30,414.
Vito Sbravati, Clarksdale, $29,719.
Jennie W. Haynes, Jonestown, $29,575.
Mac Wan Farms, Clarksdale, $29,402.
Billy Campbell, Marks, $29,289.
Lake Roberson, Jr., Lyon, $29,288.
Clinton Bailey, Clarksdale, $29,233.
Elsie W. Heaton, Lyon, $29,086.
Belmont Inc., Stovall, $29,060.
Henry Cobb, Jr., Clarksdale, $28,757.
Adams Farms, Clarksdale, $28,407.
Joe Garrison, Jonestown, $27,710.
J. P. Fisher, Jonestown, $27,707.
Lawrence Malone, Rena Lara, $27,510.
H. A. Greene, Tutwiler, $27,458.
G. W. Butler & Son, Coahoma, $27,306.
John F. Rodgers, Lyon, $26,973.
McKenzie & Keesee, Lula, $26,942.
Joe & Richard Noe, Clarksdale, $26,822.
River Bend Pltn. Inc., Clarksdale, $26,440.
Mike Antici, Clarksdale, $25,885.
Waterloo Planting Co., Stovall, $25,847.
W. H. Rainey, Rich, $24,763.
Frank Aderholdt, Clarksdale, $24,732.
Paul Clark, Inc., Clarksdale, $24,387.
J. J. Plantation, Jonestown, $24,386.
James A. Russell, Jr., Jonestown, $24,336.
Gerald Ives, Coahoma, $24,105.
Panther Lake Pltn., Inc., Clarksdale, $23,807.
Jennie Dana & Kirk Haynes, Jonestown, $23,671.
Manker Pltg. Co., Inc., Clarksdale, $23,553.
Robert Louis Demilio, Lyon, $23,468.
James Spaggiari, Clarksdale, $23,209.
Alfred Stewart, Jonestown, $23,188.
Ruck Jose, Lyon, $23,136.
W. W. Vaught & Son, Coahoma, $22,509.
J. W. Gray, Jr., Dublin, $22,000.
W. H. Ellis, Clarksdale, $21,972.
T. O. Fulton, Lyon, $21,578.
Anthony Garst, Clarksdale, $21,410.
Malcolm Mabry Farms, Inc., Dublin, $21,298.
F. R. Ashley, Rich, $20,934.
E. G. Larson, Friars Point, $20,727.
Roy & Donald Tackett, Lyon, $20,697.
J. L. Mills, Lambert, $20,695.
Van Meek, Jr., Clarksdale, $20,692.
Malavassi Farms, Farrell, $20,640.
James Agostinelli, Clarksdale, $20,251.

Harold Mosby, Coahoma, $20,155.
T. R. Rodgers, Lyon, $20,021.
Total payees in county (153), $7,423,820.

Copiah County

W. S. Reed, Utica, $44,382.
C. E. Strong, Carpenter, $30,595.
Allen Hood, Utica, $27,228.
Cloyd E. Bailey, Edwards, $24,070.
James West, Hazlehurst, $20,953.
Duane Newman, Carpenter, $20,701.
Total payees in county (6), $167,929.

Covington County

Toxie Allen, Mount Olive, $28,212.
Vester Bond, Mount Olive, $28,031.
Burnham Bros., Collins, $22,112.
Total payees in county (3), $78,355.

De Soto County

Topanga Caine Farm, Lake Cormorant, $213,698.
Banks & Co., Hernando, $116,876.
Herman Koehler, Robinsonville, $94,372.
Howard & Blythe Plt., Lake Cormorant, $88,547.
Warren W. Sullivan, Walls, $66,371.
E. M. Upchurch, Walls, $60,884.
Red Bud M. W, Jefcoat, Lake Cormorant, $59,181.
R. S. Jarratt, Walls, $57,150.
M. C. Sparks & Son, Lake Cormorant, $50,214.
Tract O Land Pltn., Lake Cormorant, $47,625.
J. S. Dollahite, Lake Cormorant, $43,164.
C. E. Clifton, Drawer B, Hernando, $39,829.
J. A. Earnheart, Nesbit, $38,767.
Pidgeon Roost Ranch, Memphis, $36,02'.
Dudley Bridgforth, Nesbit, $35,717.
John Graves, Lake Cormorant, $34,440.
A. A. Whitten, Nesbit, $32,192.
Richard Leatherman, Jr., Robinsonville, $30,853.
Ulrich O. Bibb, Jr., Tunica, $29,290.
D. F. Wilson, Lake Cormorant, $28,274.
J. H. Miller, Hernando, $26,751.
Paul Allison, Olive Branch, $26,012.
J. R. Summers, Nesbit, $24,557.
R. M. Treadway, Hernando, $24,448.
George B. Banks, Hernando, $24,094.
Milton W. Jones, Hernando, $23,175.
Otto Koehler, Robinsonville, $21,968.
H. H. Hawks, Hernando, $21,460.
Carthel Todd, Coldwater, $21,391.
Readus Hudson, Coldwater, $20.718.
Total payees in county (30), $1,438,039.

Grenada County

Kraetzer Cured Lumber Co., Greenwood, $43,239.
B. A. Little, Holcomb, $43,129.
Wesley R. Oneal, Duck Hill, $40,665.
Paul L. Gray, Holcomb, $31,486.
John M. Little, Grenada, $27,494.
Reginald E. Forrest, Grenada, $23,353.
Earl L. Gillon, Gore Springs, $21,853.
Bobby E. Gillon, Grenada, $21,110.
Total payees in county (8), $252,329.

Hancock County

Floyd Wallis, New Orleans, $23,199.

Hinds County

Gaddis Farms, Inc., Bolton, $102,862.
Gilkens Farms, Inc., Edwards, $92,629.
B. H. Virden, Jr., Jackson, $57,952.
C. D. Noble, Edwards, $56,691.
J. A. Tucker, Sr., Estate & J. A., Jr., Utica, $54,897.
C. C. Floyd, Edwards, $49,024.
Gaddis & McLaurin, Inc., Bolton, $41,412.
Robert Mashburn, Bolton, $30,028.
J. C. Logan, Edwards, $29,672.
Ward Carraway, Utica, $29,302.
J. S., Sr., J. S., Jr. & R. C. Mellon, Bolton, $25,890.
Harold Simmons, Utica, $25,872.
R. E. Floyd, Edwards, $25,828.
Ray R. Cannada, Edwards, $25,808.
Tom Virden, Jackson, $24,780.
Total payees in county (15), $672,647.

Holmes County

Egypt Planting Company, Cruger, $133,111.
Wayne Watkins, Cruger, $83,213.
Lynchfield Planting Company, Tchula, $79,528.
J. E. Cunningham, Tchula, $73,937.
Stonewall Planting Company, Thornton, $72,847.
Pulto Planting Company, Thornton, $65,761.
W. J. Watts, Goodman, $64,959.
J. E. Hays, Tchula, $64,454.
Bardin Reddett, Greenwood, $63,725.
Paul Wilson, Tchula, $63,302.
E. W. Hooker, Lexington, $59,481.
J. P. Love, Tchula, $58,043.
Shotwell Plantation, Inc., Tchula, $55,377.
Byron B. Sharpe, Tchula, $54,494.
Charley Wade, Cruger, $54,365.
George D. Wynn, Pickens, $52,199.
R. T. Hardeman, Cruger, $51,309.
A. B. Archer, Jr., Cruger, $49,244.
J. A. Killebrew, Cruger, $47,451.
R. L. Peaster Company, Tchula, $46,272.
Donald Parrish, Lexington, $45,628.
Graves Planting Company, Tchula, $45,559.
H. H. Howard, Cruger, $44,024.
James F. Jacks, Thornton, $43,190.
Gum Grove Planting Co., Tchula, $42,830.
Humphrey Farm, Ebenezer, $41,172.
J. A. Barrett, Cruger, $38,346.
M. K. Shute, Tchula, $37,956.
Keirn Switch Planting Co., Cruger, $36,802.
D. C. Conn, Tchula, $35,049.
Bonanza Plantation, Thornton, $34,410.
S. J. Foose, Jr., Tchula, $32,088.
W. G. Gwin, Tchula, $31,514.
C. R. Logan, Jr., Tchula $31,092.
H. F. Flemming, Cruger, $30,368.
J. R. Peaster, Jr. Estate, Tchula, $29,248.
Frank Gwin, Jr., Tchula, $29,167.
E. E. Orellly, Ebenezer, $28,128.
Frank A. Jones, Lexington, $27,860.
Paul F. McCain, Pickens, $26,378.
D. S. Shuttleworth, Jackson, $24,100.
N. B. Parrish, Tchula, $23,706.
J. E. Cunningham, Jr., Thornton, $23,373.
T. C. Watkins, Cruger, $22,493.
W. J. Watts Jr., Goodman, $22,115.
E. O. Peterson, Goodman, $21,653.
E. I. Harris, Tchula, $21,477.
Oklahoma Farms, Greenwood, $20,696.
Annie M. Smith, Thornton, ¢20,683.
F. C. Fleming, Cruger, $20,619.
J. E. Mathias, Cruger, $20,018.
Total payees in county (51), $2,244,814.

Humphreys County

The Delta Company, Belzoni, $139,921.
James E. Coleman, Yazoo City, $129,906.
C. B. Box Company, Midnight, $107,996.
O. B. Nerren, Isola, $86,015.
Spencer H. Barret, Belzoni, $85,920.
R. D. Hines, Yazoo City, $78,201.
Wm. L. Dillard, Louise, $74,411.
B. W. Smith Jr., Louise, $72,429.
S. U. Smith, Louis, $72,429.
B. A. Holaday Company, Louise, $71,828.
A. B. Jones Jr., Belzoni, $67,564.
Halbrook Farms, Belzoni, $62,089.
R. D. Bearden, Isola, $61,958.
T. M. Simmons Jr., Belzoni, $60,648.
C. B. Aycock Jr., Belzoni, $58,392.
Stoner Farms, Yazoo City, $53,066.
Curtis F. Johnson, Midnight, $52,261.
D. W. King, Inverness, $50,879.
Gammons Farm, Belzoni, $50,762.
R. P. Hairston, Silver City, $50,690.
O. J. Turner III, Belzoni, $50,649.
James S. Reed, Silver City, $49,238.
Jack Cash, Batesville, $49,194.
B. W. Smith Planting Co., Louise, $47,045.
Jones Planting Co., Tchula, $47,042.
Bearden Bros., Isola, $46,030.
Irby Turner, Belzoni, $45,359.
Homer Martin Jr., Belzoni, $44,884.
T. N. Turner Farms, Inc., Belzoni, $44,583.
G. H. Hairston and Son, Silver City, $44,228.
Gladstone B. Mortimer, Belzoni, $43,360.
Rodgers Farms, Belzoni, $41,965.
R. R. Roberts, Belzoni, $41,583.
Seward & Harris, Midnight, $41,407.
T. J. Corley, Belzoni, $40,818.
Edwards Farms, Belzoni, $40,174.
S. R. Pinson, Belzoni, $39,626.
Powell Farms, Louise, $39,542.
R. P. & Tom P. Ellis, Belzoni, $38,900.
B. A. Seward, Louise, $37,634.
Hillsdale Plantation, Yazoo City, $37,475.
Rokeby Plantation, Yazoo City, $37,192.
O. M. Parker, Jr., Belzoni, $37,178.
E. L. Parker, Midnight, $36,461.
B A Planting Co., Louise, $36,430.
G. H. Hairston, Jr., Silver City, $36,185.
James R. Walker, Jr., Isola, $35,959.
D. G. Rutledge, Louise, $35,618.
W. T. Turner, Belzoni, $35,554.
T. E. Fouche, Benton, $34,632.
Crawford Brothers, Isola, $34,629.
J. D. Upshaw, Louise, $34,127.
R. E. Coleman, Yazoo City, $33,099.
James G. Outlaw, Silver City, $32,121.
L. G. Shelton, Belzoni, $31,788.
W. A. Pearson, Isola, $31,393.
Hollowell Bros., Yazoo City, $31,356.
Blue Sack Plantation, Inc., Belzoni, $30,760.
Fred Champion, Belzoni, $30,418.
E. L. Nerren, Isola, 30,087.
S. W. Gardner, Silver City, $30,062.
Dave Bobbitt, Belzoni, $28,363.
W. Denon Braswell, Belzoni, $28,203.
John H. Daniels, Jr., Belzoni, $27,818.
Lone Star Plantation, Belzoni, $27,741.
Del P. Lott, Jr., Isola, $26,853.
Tom Hill and Sons, Isola, $26,567.
Mound Lake Plantation, Belzoni, $24,026.
Royal Plantation, Midnight, $23,873.
Wesley W. Hester, Belzoni, $23,411.
R & G Farm, Silver City, $22,539.
B. J. Barrier III, Yazoo City, $22,298.
William J. Lea, Belzoni, $22,049.
R. Y. Wright, Invernes, $21,242.
Pickell Brothers, Belzoni, $21,196.
Martin Farms, Silver City, $20,464.
W. A. McMcMorrough, Belzoni, $20,461.
Childs Brothers, Silver City, $20,393.
R. B. Stanley, Isola, $20,230.
J. A. Bridgers, Jr., Belzoni, $20,194.
W. H. Allen Estate, Belzoni, $20,187.
Total payees in county (81), $3,541,258.

Issaquena County

W. T. Touchberry, Glen Allan, $132,894.
Bear Kelso Plt., Inc., Valley Park, $87,466.
Levee Plt., Inc., Rolling Fork, $59,428.
Issaquena Farms Corp., Fitler, $55,635.
Loyd M. Heigle, Mayersville, $54,052.
Harper R. Myres, Mayersville, $42,080.
W. C. Woodruff, Grace, $41,466.
Rudy Plt., Glen Allan, $36,324.
W. E. Fleeman, Jr., Valley Park, $30,912.
Charles Leon Delaney, Grace, $29,537.
Esperenza Plt., Glen Allan, $28,011.
Jenny Plantation, Inc., Mayersville, $25,258.
W. I. Robertson, Mayersville, $24,147.
L. T. Wade, Rolling Fork, $24,044.
W. W. Heigle and Son, Rolling Fork, $23,-662.
W. Rife Wade, Sr., Est., Rolling Fork, $22,-094.
O. J. Sharpe, Madison, $21,235.
Total payees in county (17), $738,245.

Jefferson Davis County

Hubert Rutland, Jayess, $30,819.

Jones County

Roscoe Owens, Jr., Olive, $20,789.

Lafayette County

R. B. Anderson, Oxford, $40,825.
Jt Smith, Oxford, $29,241.
James S. Downs, Oxford, $24,705.
D. H. Surrette, Water Valley, $24,689.
Ralph Roy, Abbeville, $22,918.
Donald A. Waller, Oxford, $21,914.
Walker E. Downs, Oxford, $21,069.
Total payees in county (7), $185,361.

Lamar County

Agnes M. Claughton, Lumberton, $28,632.

Leake County

Glen Allen, Carthage, $39,994.
Joseph W. Langford, Lena, $36,751.

John H. Evans, Carthage, $20,949.
Total payees in county (3), $97,694.

Lee County

H. M. Scruggs, Saltillo, $35,434.
H. R. Morgan, Plantersville, $29,815.
J. C. Holland, Plantersville, $24,224.
Earl N. Loftin, Baldwyn, $23,234.
Joe Bucy, Saltillo, $22,917.
Total payees in county (5), $135,624.

Leflore County

West Inc., Sidon, $148,384.
Annapeg Inc., Minter City, $146,804.
Wildwood Plantation, Greenwood, $142,178.
Fourth Fifths Plantation, Greenwood, $140,549.
Race Track Plantation, Greenwood, $124,303.
McShan & Benz, Schlater, $122,815.
O. F. Bledsoe Pltn. Est., Greenwood, $121,650.
The Brown Farm, Schlater, $119,996.
Hobson Gary, Schlater, $110,758.
Roebuck Plantation, Sidon, $105,978.
Buckhorn Planting Co., Greenwood, $97,860.
Whittington Planting Co., Inc., Greenwood, $93,517.
Ruby Planting Co., Money, $87,091.
D. E. Reynolds, Jr., Glendora, $87,002.
L. W. Wade Farms, Inc., Greenwood, $85,750.
Joe Pugh, Itta Ben., $84,341.
Runnymede Plantation, Itta Bena, $83,882.
King Plantation, Greenwood, $82,421.
William C. Maloney, Jr., Itta Bena, $81,681.
W. H. Morgan and Son, Inc., Greenwood, $78,928.
F. T. Leavell, Minter City, $73,460.
Ray Tribble, Money, $70,483.
Hugh M. Arant, Ruleville, $70,127.
W. J. Roberson, Minter City, $65,253.
B. B. Provine, Jr., Greenwood, $59,594.
I. T. McIntyre, III, Itta Bena, $59,100.
Robert L. Pillow, Greenwood, $57,846.
Sturdivant & Bishop, Minter City, $56,792.
B. G. McGeary, Sidon, $55,893.
W. L. Craig, Greenwood, $55,843.
Landrum & Leavell, Minter City, $52,916.
J. Wayne Bush, Schlater, $52,673.
W. D. Bradford, Itta Bena, $52,630.
French Bend Pltn., Greenwood, $52,393.
Jeff L. Cole, Greenwood, $52,104.
C. L. Patridge, Schlater, $51,370.
Lake Henry Pltn., Greenwood, $50,239.
Hugh A. Warren, III, Sidon, $49,490.
Fort Loring Plantation, Itta Bena, $48,639.
Holly Grove Plantation, Sidon, $48,425.
E. H. Neill, Greenwood, $46,770.
Ed Hunter Steele, Morgan City, $46,509.
M. C. Tillman, Schlater, $44,450.
Hunter Chitton and Co., Inc., Schlater, $43,602.
James Morgan, Jr., Greenwood, $43,464
Hayward Jacks, Philipp, $43,366.
R. T. Wade, Minter City, $43,138.
Tupelo pltn., Greenwood, $42,247.
L. L. Walker & Sons, $41,819.
Sam Balkin Trust Estate, Schlater, $41,761.
B. C. S. Corporation, Minter City, $41,438.
F. R. Morgan, Jr, Morgan City, $41,353.
T. J. Carter, Money, $41,192.
Murphey Bros., Itta Bena, $40,710.
Shoe String Plantation, Greenwood, $40,046.
W. G. Somerville, Minter City, $39,028.
E. D. Strain, Jr., Morgan City, $38,912.
Carrie P. Avent & Jones, Minter City, $38,558.
J. E. Gwin, Jr., Minter City, $37,668.
Bishop Cottonland, Glendora, $36,659.
Leo Murphree, Greenwood, $36,400.
Lamar Makamson, Sidon, $35,661.
Robert L. Pillow Farms, Inc., Greenwood, $35,623.
Lock Eleven, Swiftown, $35,287.
Glen Burr Plantation, Greenwood, $34,541.
W. E. Taylor, Greenwood, $33,683.
D. C. Branham, Jr., Itta Bena, $33,104.
Dudley Pillow, Schlater, $32,478.
Lundy Bros., Cruger, $31,475.
Frank H. Coleman, Itta Bena, $31,363.
Kimble Makamson, Morgan City, $31,213.
W. P. Kimbrough, Jr., Itta Bena, $31,179.
Davis and Young, Money, $30,986.
MBG Farms, Inc, Leland, $30,842.
Walter Pillow III, Itta Bena, $30,832.
Jerry Falls, Webb, $30,771.
Wier Bros., Greenwood, $30,432.
Loyce Makamson, Sidon, $30,394.
L. E. Rowland, Greenwood, $30,217.
Lake Side Plantation, Itta Bena, $29,870.
James Stowers, Itta Bena, $29,069.
John Ellis Williams, Jr., Morgan City, $28,629.
Wilford R. Webb, Minter City, $28,185.
Harold Coleman, Schlater, $28,007.
N. W. Carver, Jr, Ruleville, $27,458.
Rickswood Planting Co., Greenwood, $27,013.
P. M. Kimbrough III, Morgan City, $26,940.
R. C. Colvin, Greenwood, $26,489
James D. Green, Itta Bena, $26,085.
Derwood Strain, Morgan City, $26,015.
Riverside Plantation, Sidon, $25,940.
Walter Walt, Greenwood, $25,852.
A. J. Wilson, Sidon, $25,610.
James R. Kent, Greenwood. $25,505.
Reese Makamson, Sidon, $25,401.
Black Bros., Sidon, $25,372.
H. C. Pleasants, Minter City, $25,342.
W. C. Haynes, Greenwood, $25,263.
Bailey and Kinney, Bena, $25,060.
Wilson and Smith, Glendora, $24,871.
E. L. Gilliland, Bena, $24,205.
John F. Bratton, Bena, $23,684.
Gleeson and Jones, Schlater, $23,494.
Rex Makamson, Bena, $23,244.
John Bariola, Itta Bena, $22,646.
J. A. Bennett, Itta Bena, $22,567.
C. J. Hammond, Itta Bena, $22,391.
Black Cat Pltg. Co., Cruger, $22,301.
Mike Kelly, Itta Bena, $22,244.
A. H. Beckham, Swiftown, $22,102.
C. S. Ware, Sidon, $21,619.
Jodie Hearon, Drew, $21,209.
Don Corley, Sidon, $20,887.
James Holeman, Ruleville, $20,394.
Total payees in county (114), $5,601,292.

Lincoln County

C. C. Clark, Ruth, $44,093.

Lowndes County

James C. Richards, Caledonia, $43,522.
Gilmer Plantation, Columbus, $33,054.
Saunders B. Carson, Columbus, $33,042.
B. E. Aldridge, Caledonia, $26,766.
Gene D. Holliman, Caledonia, $23,661.
Trenton Sheffield, Columbus, $21,610.
Total payees in county (6), $181,655.

Madison County

J. D. Rankin, Canton, $134,600.
Bozeman Farms, Inc., Flora, $114,137.
George H. Moore, Canton, $76,414.
S. C. Dulaney & Son, Canton, $74,949.
Ben H. Stribling, Canton, $59,127.
M. S. Cox, Jr., Madison, $55,789.
Thomas L. James, Canton, $55,646.
E. D. Mansell, Pickens, $54,813.
Charlie Williams, Canton, $44,129.
W. S. Patrick, Canton, $42,423.
E. K. Bardin, Flora, $41,807.
Julius Edgar, Flora, $40,904.
J. R. Tate, Kathy Circle, Canton, $35,632.
Roy E. Tate, Canton, $33,272.
James A. Cook, Canton, $32,393.
A. B. & W. Mansell, Canton, $30,297.
J. S. Harris, Jr., Madison, $29,234.
George Heindl, Jr., Canton, $27,974.
Billy McMullen, Pickens, $27,282.
L. A. Millsaps, Jr., Canton, $27,260.
J. E. Richardson, Sr., Ridgeland, $26,065.
Billy Ray Stribling, Canton, $25,719.
Linn Hart, Canton, $24,645.
Carl Murphy, Canton, $23,768.
Robert Willis, Flora, $23,262.
A. W. Hardy, Jr. & Sr., Canton, $23,112.
F. C. Tyner, Canton, $22,351.
James Stewart, Pickens, $20,643.
Clyde Edwards, Canton, $20,402.
Donald J. Powell, Ridgeland, $20,336.
C. H. Galloway, Canton, $20,269.
Total payees in county (31), $1,288,654.

Marion County

W. E. Walker, Jr., Columbia, $25,826.

Marshall County

Howard Carpenter, Moscow, $61,625.
L. E. Devore, Rossville, $59,993.
Odell J. Wilson, Holly Springs, $59,130.
Johnny Taylor, Holly Springs, $51,149.
McClatchy & Son, Red Banks, $45,410.
John L. Lofin, Mt. Pleasant, $44,339.
Byron Hurdle, Lamar, $42,366.
Jack McClatchy, Red Banks, $41,919.
C. B. Robinson, Waterford, $40,878.
Tom C. Lacey, Holly Springs, $32,766.
Everett Edlin, Waterford, $32,731.
Hugh McClatchy, Red Banks. $31,760.
Russell G. Houston, Holly Springs, $31,626.
J. W. Cocke, Holly Springs, $30,809.
J. M. Ash, Holly Springs, $30,313.
Jobe Whaley, Potts Camp, $27,015.
Artie Edlin, Waterford, $26,327.
Wayne Briscoe, Red Banks, $26,038.
Freddie Johnson, Holly Springs, $25,846.
Richard Carrington, Collierville, $25,551.
Janie C. Hurdle, Mt. Pleasant, $25,550.
Jeston Bolden, Ashland, $24,851.
Steven Hurdle, Lamar, $24,463.
Hargis Hurdle, Holly Springs, $24,161.
C. S. Hurdle, Moscow, $24,071.
Edgar Lee Bolden, Holly Springs, $23,950.
Egbert Jones, Holly Springs, $23,642.
Wallace Briscoe, Holley Springs, $23,537.
Chester Hurdle, Lamar, $22,076.
Marvin St. John, Byhalia, $21,837.
Guy K. Shaw, Waterford, $20,744.
William S. Payne, Holly Springs, $20,463.
Total payees in county (32), $1,046,936.

Monroe County

Sid T. Sanders, Hamilton, $58,578.
Fay Nevins, Hamilton, $36,647.
Richard S. Cole, Amory, $32,799.
Frank R. Millender, Aberdeen, $31,538.
Thomas E. Millender, Aberdeen, $30,823.
Fred Bell, Aberdeen, $30,355.
Robert C. Stovall, Columbus, $28,727.
Delmer Holloway, Hamilton, $27,356.
Lee H. Harrington, Aberdeen, $25,485.
L. C. Sanders, Hamilton, $22,582.
W. B. Watkins, Aberdeen, $22,562.
Joe E. Carnathan, Okolona, $22,315.
Total payees in county (12), $369,767.

Montgomery County

Melvin W. Kirk, Duck Hill, $33,989.
Sidney Branch, Winona, $31,614.
James W. Latham, Winona, $21,032.
Total payees in county (3), $86,635.

Noxubee County

E. F. Nunn and Co., Shuqualak, $170,218.
Circle M Ranch, Inc., Paulette, $49,572.
Valley Farm, Bigbee Valley, $38,033.
Ralph Spurgeon, Jr., Cliftonville, $29,177.
A. B. Stevens, Jr., Macon, $27,803.
Paula M. Robertson, Brooksville, $23,815.
Total payees in county (6), $338,618.

Panola County

W. S. Taylor, Jr., Como, $67,125.
J. H. Magee & Sons, Batesville, $63,312.
Donald Bartlett, Como, $52,172.
Robert McMillan, Batesville, $46,586.
Hays Bros. & Hall, Sardis, $45,523.
F. F. Lunceford Farms, Inc., Batesville, $44,865.
Raymond Birdsong, Batesville, $40,726.
W. P. Lemaster, Sardis, $38,082.
M. H. Sumner or C. N. Sumner, Como, $37,558.
Crenshaw Bros. Inc., Crenshaw, $35,964.
Short Brothers, Crenshaw, $35,638.
Whitworth Farms Inc., Batesville, $35,427.
Alton Milam, Batesville, $34,707.
David Ross Craig, Batesville, $31,517.
Clarence Taylor, Como, $30,664.
Maury R. Harris & Sons, Inc., Sledge, $30,061.
Marion K. Short, Sledge, $29,591.

James Montieth, Batesville, $28,156.
Dave Pointer, Como, $28,030.
Estate of Fred Taylor, Sr., Como, $27,879.
Leon Crigler, Crenshaw, $26,120.
Hosey White, Batesville, $25,880.
Evander Smith, Como, $25,190.
Taylor Bros., Clarksdale, $25,017.
W. D. Craig, Como, $24,955.
Emily J. Pointer, Como, $24,905.
E. C. Darby, Enid, $24,405.
H. G. Short, Sardis, $24,304.
Triple H. Farm, Como, $23,780.
Curtis McNemar, Como, $23,394.
Sanford McNemar, Sardis, $21,787.
Tom Pugh, Batesville, $21,302.
V. R. Sanford, Como, $20,931.
Otis Jenkins, Sardis, $20,726.
Derryal Glen Gates, Batesville, $20,709.
W. S. Hamer, Dyersburg, $20,690.
James W. Sorrells, Batesville, $20,423.
Total payees in county (37), $1,178,101.

Pearl River County

Robert Tonner, Bogalusa, $34,952.
Pearl River Plantation, Inc., Poplarville, $34,952.
Gulf Tung Corp., Poplarville, $27,496.
Thom. H. Oconner, Poplarville, $25,609.
L. O. Crosby, Jr., Picayune, $24,888.
Total payees in county (5), $147,897.

Quitman County

John B. Ford, Darling, $108,893.
G. H. Barker, Marks, $83,126.
L. J. Barksdale, Marks, $80,096.
Self & Co., Marks, $79,741.
P. M. B. Self Estate, Marks, $79,259.
F. R. Trainor, Lambert, $73,207.
Ben E. Pittman, Darling, $69,434.
Garmon Farm, Marks, $64,145.
Posey Mound Planting Co., Marks, $60,697.
Thomas B. Barnes, Darling, $57,303.
Joe L. Davidson, Sledge, $57,288.
Wise Bros., Jonestown, $56,836.
H. T. Pittman, Marks, $52,497.
Arthur Fulmer, Marks, $50,450.
Fletcher S. Haynes, Lambert, $49,693.
Belen Planting Co., Marks, $48,911.
C. W. Denton, Belen, $48,895.
T. C. Potts, Crenshaw, $48,619.
E. Q. Vance, Jr., Vance, $48,359.
Buckskin Plantation, Lambert, $47,934.
Joe E. Benson, Marks, $45,215.
Miss. Penitentiary Board, Parchman, $43,576.
Noel Wilborn, Lambert, $42,653.
Lent E. Thomas, Jr., Batesville, $42,509.
Starr Farm, Sledge, $42,116.
I. L. Henry, Darling, $41,320.
McBride Planting Co., Vance, $40,715.
Echo Planting Co., Vance, $40,353.
Clifton Planting Co., Vance, $39,300.
F. R. Wright, Jr., Lambert, $39,007.
L. M. Green, Jr., Lambert, $38,654.
Shannon Planting Co., Vance, $37,941.
Bob Crenshaw, Crenshaw, $36,670.
Cotton Dixie Starnes, Webb, $36,834.
B. O. Tedford & Sons, Lyon, $36,330.
T. C. Haley, Vance, $35,858.
Allen Est. & T. G. Powell, Clarksdale, $35,291.
A. L. King, Jr., Vance, $34,866.
L. P. Butler est., Belen, $34,837.
B. H. Cobb, Lambert, $32,568.
Thomas A. Harris, Lyon, $32,343.
Clifford Cobb, Jr., Lambert, $31,719.
Fred Bailey, Lambert, $31,689.
B. D. Mason, Clarksdale, $30,498.
H. W. Howze, Jr., Sledge, $30,427.
Hubert Haynes, Lambert, $29,756.
V. L. Howell, Coahoma, $29,583.
E. H. Anderson, Lambert, $29,435.
James A. Jackson, Darling, $29,082.
Hubert L. Respess, Lambert, $28,059.
C. B. Sigler, Crenshaw, $27,332.
J. E. Furr, Jr., Lyon, $26,735.
Wadlington Bros., Sledge, $26,338.
Smith Planting Company, Clarksdale, $26,230.
Gordon Farms, Sledge, $25,421.
V. A. Furr, Jr., Marks, $25,015.
Jim Gordon, Sledge, $24,766.
N. H. Sutton, Lambert, $24,526.
Victor Bailey, Lambert, $23,111.
Mark N. Ham, Lyon, $23,040.
W. A. Gee, Marks, $22,953.
O. A. Davis, Jr., Marks, $22,876.
Essex Planting Company, Sledge, $22,577.
Richard Shellman, Lambert, $22,438.
C. L. Mills, Coahoma, $20,201.
Total payees in county (65), $2,707,696.

Rankin County

J. W. Patrick, Jr., Brandon, $41,501.
Paul Cross, Pelahatchie, $27,483.
J. B. Williamson Brandon, $23,478.
Tommy Long, Brandon, $22,910.
Ray Gordon, Florence, $20,826.
J. D. McKay, Pelahatchie, $20,774.
Total payees in county (6), $156,972.

Scott County

Dan R. Boyce, Pelahatchie, $24,992.

Sharkey County

Pantherburn Co., Pantherburn, $235,216.
Murphy Jones, Nitta Yuma, $145,612.
Cameta Planatation, Inc., Anguilla, $122,638.
H. G. Carpenter, Rolling Fork, $112,125.
Little Panther Plantation, Leland, $110,046.
Raymond Brown and J. M. Brown, Anguilla, $85,382.
M. C. Ewing Co., Inc., Anguilla, $81,481.
Moore Planting Co., Inc., Cary, $76,999.
Carter Brothers, Rolling Fork, $76,449.
Baconia Plantation, Inc., Cary, $76,184.
Powers Company, Inc., Cary, $72,621.
Evanna Plantation, Inc., Cary, $66,741.
Reality Plantation, Inc., Rolling Fork, $66,568.
Lynndale Planting Co., Inc., Cary, $64,478.
Martin Planting Co., Inc., Anguilla, $63,840.
James A. Boykin, Delta City, $61,361.
S. M. Montgomery, Rolling Fork, $60,312.
G. C. Cortright, Rolling Fork, $54,458.
Council Bend, Inc., Rolling Fork, $50,421.
Greer Incorporated, Anguilla, $50,339.
Rebekah Fields Billy Fields, Rolling Fork, $47,700.
Charles Kline, Anguilla, $45,444.
Patton Planting Co., Nitta Yuma, $45,313.
Darden Company, Inc., Onward, $44,756.
June F. Grundfest, Cary, $44,552.
Louise Planting Co., Louise, $40,183.
Grosvenor Farms, Inc., Holly Bluff, $38,089.
Kelso Plantation, Inc., Rolling Fork, $37,760.
Touchberry and Quarm, Glen Allan, $36,406.
Neff Farms, Inc., Hollandale, $35,424.
A. B. Williams, Jr., Delta City, $34,443.
T. W. Harris, Cary, $32,081.
Ben Lamensdorf, Cary, $30,672.
Pat R. Dunaway, Rolling Fork, $30,199.
McKinney and Son, Anguilla, $30,089.
Dudley Moore, Rolling Fork, $30,057.
W. A. Chase, Rolling Fork, $29,932.
Clinkscales Bros., Hollandale, $29,840.
Sojamax, Inc., Rolling Fork, $28,430.
Shiloh Plantation, Inc., Rolling Fork, $28,268.
A. B. Williams, Delta City, $27,754.
Robert H. Moses, Cary, $27,143.
Carl Dunaway, Inc., Anguilla, $26,531.
S. W. Bennett, Anguilla, $26,194.
W. E. Patterson, Jr., Delta City, $25,945.
G. M. Baggett, Rolling Fork, $24,872.
Boykin and Patterson, Delta City, $24,258.
Egremont Plantation, Cary, $23,893.
Omega Plantation Inc., Rolling Fork, $22,522.
Charles Huff, Holly Bluff, $22,021.
Savery Farms, Inc., Holly Bluff, $20,472.
Total payees in county (51), $2,724,514.

Smith County

Homer Rutland, Mt. Olive, $67,628.
Clinton Phipps, Taylorsville, $37,780.
Total payees in county (2), $105,408.

Sunflower County

Parchman Farms, Parchman, $451,164.
Eastland Plantation Inc., Doddsville, $164,048.
Duncan Farms Inc., Inverness, $147,298.
Billups Pltn. Inc., Indianola, $142,025.
J. Levingston, Est. Inc., Ruleville, $136,724.
Kelly R. Mahan, Drew, $118,282.
William M. Pitts, Indianola, $108,274.
Allen & Brashier Pltg. Co., Indianola, $106,067.
W. E. Austin, Indianola, $97,834.
W. D. Patterson, Rome, $97,739.
Frank T. Brumfield, Inverness, $87,174.
Dick Barrett, Indianola, $86,760.
Lipe Farms Inc., Indianola, $86,667.
W. D. Simmons, Indianola, $84,314.
H. T. Bonds, Shelby, $83,434.
J. M. Montgomery, Jr., Inverness, $83,153.
Pecan Grove Pltn., Indianola, $81,832.
P. H. B. Inc., Indianola, $81,414.
Billy Joe Waldrup, Drew, $79,407.
Fletcher Brothers, Indianola, $78,404.
Garrard Est, Indianola, $77,982.
W. L. Prichard, Inverness, $77,727.
J. B. Baird, Inverness, $77,417.
Pauline V. Adair, Doddsville, $75,267.
P. & C. Planting Co., Drew, $73,343.
Kansas Plantation Inc., Holly Ridge, $73,235.
Pitts Pltg. Co. Inc., Indianola, $69,593.
Kay Planting Co., Indianola, $66,926.
Poindexter Brothers, Inverness, $66,838.
Holly Ridge Planting Co., Holly Ridge, $66,098.
Rubye Morgan & Sons, Sunflower, $65,272.
Brewer Morgan, Sunflower, $64,509.
Shurden and Owens, Drew, $64,493.
Carl T. Harkins, Cleveland, $63,387.
W. C. Chrisco, Tutwiler, $60,354.
Phillip Fratesi, Indianola, $60,336.
R.M. & C.H. McClatchy, Indianola, $58,932.
J. H. Hill, Indianola, $58,876.
H. P. Watson, Lexington, $57,063.
F. L. Tindall, Indianola, $56,404.
F. E. Mitchell, Rome, $55,653.
Lucy B. Hill, Rome, $55,549.
St. Rest Plantation Inc., Holly Ridge, $55,414.
H. T. Miller Pltg. Co. Inc., Drew, $55,127.
J. P. Fisher, Jr., Sunflower, $54,503.
W. O. Shurden, Drew, $54,030.
Douglas Mallette, Indianola, $54,024.
Dyche Plantation, Inc., Blaine, $53,706.
A. K. Maxwell, Moorhead, $51,887.
J. L. Hall, Indianola, $50,202.
W. O. Shurden, Inc., Drew, $49,871.
N. H. McMath, Isola, $49,238.
Ethel Lyon, Shaw, $48,769.
J. A. Ely, Jr., Shaw, $47,320.
Mike B. Fratesi, Leland, $47,055.
J. S. Parker, Jr., Sunflower, $46,752.
Brashier Allen, Indianola, $46,570.
Bruce Brumfield, Inverness, $46,481.
H. W. King, Drew, $46,326.
Bobby Clark, Drew,$46,276.
Allen Brothers, Indianola, $46,176.
W. E. Jefcoat, Doddsville, $46,139.
Jones Brothers, Inverness, $45,391.
Maury McIntyre, Jr., Doddsville, $45,098.
P. Myers III & R. B. Myers, Greenville, $44,328.
A. E. Schuyler, Shelby, $44,271.
C. W. Barrett, Indianola, $44,241.
Patterson Brothers, Merigold, $43,961.
W. E. Lamastus, Jr., Drew, $43,698.
R. K. Clark, Drew, $43,617.
Louis Millen, Drew, $43,582.
Leroy Anthony, Indianola, $43,467.
W. T. Skelton, Indianola, $43,346.
W. D. Marlow III and Son, Ruleville, $42,428.
J. D. Pennebaker, Isola, $42,404.
Robert Mullins, Blaine, $41,995.
J. T. McGregor, Indianola, $41,685.
Lake O. Lindsey, Doddsville, $41,635.
C. S. Simmons, Jr., Inverness, $41,621.
A. E. Moody, Drew, $41,553.
George C. Scroggins, Baird, $41,234.
F. T. Clark and Son, Ruleville, $41,149.
J. B. Failing, Indianola, $41,142.
Will A. Price, Inverness, $40,602.
Dar Bayou Pltg. Co., Drew, $40,142.
C. A. McGregor, Inverness, $39,817.
Parker Planting Co., Drew, $39,787.
James Bradshow, Sunflower, $39,781.
Max A. Hodges, Indianola, $39,587.

V. E. Lester, Isola, $39,043.
Robert Barnett, Indianola, $38,951.
W. H. Baird, Indianola, $38,654.
Hugh Medders, Shaw, $38,085.
Billy Brewer, Inverness, $36,046.
L. A. Safley, Rome, $37,729.
J. R. Dockery, Cleveland, $37,704.
Joseph A. Oglesby, Jr., Indianola, $37,391.
Grittman and Adams, Drew, $37,316.
L. D. McCoy, Jr., Drew, $37,254.
Hugh G. Fisher, Indianola, $37,244.
Lakewood, Inc., Inverness, $36,977.
F. T. Clark, Ruleville, $36,877.
Jack Griffith, Sunflower, $36,426.
W. T. Timbs, Jr., Moorhead, $36,112.
McWilliams & Pyron, Holly Ridge $35,976.
Gorden Dement, Indianola, $35,952.
Sidney Shurden, Drew, $35,838.
Roberts Brothers, Moorhead, $35,813.
J. W. Stowers, Inverness, $35,308.
John Brewer Reed, Inverness, $35,232.
Hubert Robertson, Indianola, $35,067.
Louis Fratesi, Leland, $34,586.
Braswell Farms, Shaw, $34,390.
George L. & Charles W. Evans, Boyle, $34,237.
H. C. Eastland, Doddsville, $34,083.
Miller Bros., Ruleville, $33,852.
Jessie Russell, Itta Bena, $33,574.
George W. Bowles, Inverness, $33,381.
E. E. Shurden, Drew, $32,544.
J. C. Haney, Drew, $32,446.
Carl M. Prewitt, Benoit, $31,957.
Prichard Brothers, Inverness, $31, 695.
James L. Beckham, Inverness, $31,585.
Glenn McCoy, Clarksdale, $31,543.
W. B. Lovett, Ruleville, 31,480.
Dodd Brothers, Sunflower, $31,327.
Berryhill Bros. Pltg. Co., Rome, $30,921.
Mrs. Nannie S. Parks, Drew, $30,383.
Ciro Nobile, Moorhead, $30,279.
W. C. Lester, Inverness, $29,798.
George E. Baird, Jr., Inverness, $29,291.
J. W. Baker, Indianola, $29,182.
C. F. McGraw, Doddsville, $29,081.
Thomas B. Givens, Cleveland, $28,838.
Black Bayou Pltn., Inc., Inverness, $28,432.
A. C. Tidmore, Sr., Merigold, $28,402.
Powell Poe, Indianola, $28,066.
Charles E. Boyer, Jr., Indianola, $27,818.
Fred V. Jones, Inverness, $27,545.
T. E. Cooper, Shaw, $27,514.
W. E. Coleman & Son, Drew, $27,396.
Earl W. Pittman, Sunflower, $26,799.
C. T. Ellis, Indianola, $26,793.
Harris Russell, Moorhead, $26,791.
Parker Bros. & Cummins, Inc., Drew, $26,625.
Jim Muzzi, Shaw, $26,323.
B. R. Ammons, Inverness, $26,305.
B. N. Marlow Est., Ruleville, $26,279.
Roy & John Dickerson, Drew, $26,232.
David Woods, Drew, $25,897.
C. K. Fisackerly, Jr., Sunflower, $25,751.
Herman Guest, Drew, $25,610.
Ted Borodofsky, Ruleville, $25,381.
Curtis A. Smith Farming Co., Drew, $25,303.
William L. Ervin, Inverness, $25,096.
E. A. Stansel, Jr., Ruleville, $24,972.
Tommy Ellis, Shaw, $24,787.
A. W. Shurden, Drew, $24,578.
J. B. Lee, Indianola, $24,490.
J. R. Martin, Indianola, $24,403.
Watts Planting Co., Ruleville, $24,273.
James Simpson, Shaw, $24,252.
Bobby Joe Sykes, Indianola, $24,210.
Sheffield Farms, Inverness, $24,151.
B. & L. Farm, Rulesville, $24,783.
Howard L. Grittman, Jr., Drew, $23,765.
Odell Mustain, Indianola, $23,742.
T. A. Murtagh, Moorhead, $23,427.
H. I. Clark, Doddsville, $23,407.
P. K. McGregor, Inverness, $23,400.
W. D. Baird, Inverness, $23,349.
A. E. B. Britt, Indianola, $23,192.
Fitts, Fitts & Pate, Moorhead, $23,145.
J. O. and H. C. Eastland, Doddsville, $22,975.
Tommy Hall, Indianola, $22,952.
C. R. Skelton, Indianola, $22,798.
B. L. Kirk, Doddsville, $22,687.
R. J. Vanlandingham, Inverness, $22,516.
Robert Eiland Farms, Drew, $22,246.
V. A. Johnson Co., Indianola, $21,737.
W. E. Wright, Tutwiler, $21,710.
Hyman Turner, Ruleville, $21,699.
Sterling Dugger, Ruleville, $21,677.
J. R. Stinson, Moorhead, $21,619.
George J. Rice, Indianola, $21,198.
J. F. Barbour II, Indianola, $20,900.
Edward M. Lyon, Shaw, $20,748.
G. W. Moody, Drew, $20,737.
N. S. Toler, Inverness, $20,717.
Robert Doty Mallette, Indianola, $20,708.
J. J. Stevens, Tutwiler, $20,543.
John Burrell, Ruleville, $20,528.
D. R. Mallette, Cleveland, $20,504.
Everett Williams, Moorhead, $20,437.
Cleveland S. Jones, Inverness, $20,364.
H. M. Allison, Sunflower, $20,328.
Frank Mixon, Drew, $20,306.
Ottis H. Reed, Drew, $20,221.
M. G. Walker, Cleveland, $20,133.
Fletcher Clark, Ruleville, $20,110.
J. B. Sykes, Jr., Indianola, $20,050.
Total payees in County (201), $9,112,970.

Tallahatchie County

Mike P. Sturdivant, Glendora, $114,514.
Hoparka Plantation, Sumner, $102,728.
E. C. Fedric, Glendora, $98,272.
E. D. Graham, Sumner, $95,383.
M. T. Hardy, Webb, $95,104.
A. A. Mabus, Philipp, $92,937.
J. L. Hill & Co., Webb, $90,839.
Cotton Dixie, Inc., Webb, $85,183.
Twilight Planting Co., Glendora, $80,599.
Equen Planting Co., Minter City, $80,385.
T. C. Buford, Glendora, $78,597.
Ralph T. Hand, Jr., Glendora, $77,611.
Jerry Falls, Webb, $76,832.
Rainbow Planting Co., Webb, $74,723.
Triple M Planting Co., Sumner, $73,746.
S. M. Fewell & Co., Vance, $70,044.
Evans Townes Plantation, Minter City, $69,764.
Frank & Dorothy Sturdivant, Minter City, $69,028.
Mitchener Farms, Inc., Sumner, $67,455.
H. T. Bond, Jr., Shelby, $64,073.
R. W. Mabry, Tutwiler, $61,009.
Benford Brown, Charleston, $60,939.
Martha B. Lowe, Glendora, $60,687.
Frank Sayle, Charleston, $60,121.
James Brothers, Charleston, $57,569.
T. B. Abbey, Jr., & Co., Webb, $57,054.
Friendship Road, Inc., Sumner, $55,418.
John W. Sherman, Enid, $53,996.
J. Noel Reed, Greenwood, $49,359.
Phil Thornton, III, Tutwiler, $48,463.
M. S. Dale, Greenwood, $48,176.
Murphey & Hardy, Inc., Sumner, $47,367.
J. C. Hardy, Charleston, $44,116.
M. L. McMillan, Minter City, $43,764.
Billy G. Flautt, Swan Lake, $43,759.
Murphey Plantation, Tippo, $42,891.
Jack G. Flautt, Swan Lake, $42,279.
D. A. Tubbs, Sumner, $42,249.
Ray Roberson Farm, Inc., Philipp, $39,966.
Bailey Brake Farm, Charleston, $39,109.
Herbert Rice, Webb, $38,070.
William Tribble, Charleston, $37,250.
Paul Melton, Tutwiler, $36,727.
Mike Fortenberry, Sumner, $36,025.
Melton Bros., Tutwiler, $35,973.
Casburn Bros., Sumner, $35,180.
Steve Worley, Sumner, $35,171.
C. S. Rankin, Sumner, $35,008.
Wesley Wolfe, Charleston, $34,470.
Don Allison, Cascilla, $33,500.
Margaret M. Norman, Cleveland, $33,440.
W. A. Worsham, Charleston, $33,132.
Bilbo Pennington, Vance, $32,324.
Thornton, Inc., Tutwiler, $32,236.
Gene T. Fleming, Minter City, $30,770.
C. E. Waldrup, Drew, $30,425.
B. A. Marley, Sumner, $29,639.
Greenfield Pltg. Co., Tutwiler, $29,551.
J. J. Webb, Jr., Sumner, $29,397.
G. C. Trout, Charleston, $29,245.
Joe T. Wolfe, Cascilla, $29,062.
Ernest Brasher, Jr., Cascilla, $28,461.
R. E. Seawright, Glendora, $27,986.
G. B. Williams, Drew, $27,772.
Frank B. Swearengen, Philipp, $27,417.
Maggie W. McLellan, Charleston, $26,985.
Davis Bros., Cascilla, $26,928.
J. W. House, Enid, $26,810.
Jim Pennington, Webb, $26,762.
Mikoma Grain, Inc., Charleston, $26,679.
Bend Plantation, Swan Lake, $26,538.
W. W. Pearson, Webb, $26,314.
Dry Bayou Planting Co., Vance, $26,098.
W. C. Vinson, Webb, $25,951.
Billy Heath, Philipp, $25,168.
Ellett & Sandifer Farms, Charleston, $24,713.
Raymond Roberson, Charleston, $24,456.
Edward Dyess, Webb, $24,191.
W. D. Newton, Enid, $24,166.
Strider Bros., Charleston, $24,068.
B & S Planting Co., Inc., Glendora, $23,932.
James Brown, Charleston, $23,849.
William H. Morrow, Webb, $23,242.
Tom Rice, Sumner, $23,039.
Turner Brothers, Crowder, $23,002.
J. W. Fennell, Philipp, $22,267.
Stuart Denman, Charleston, $21,874.
A. O. Chatham, Tutwiler, $21,676.
W. G. Burkhalter, Enid, $21,523.
George D. Hightower, Webb, $21,429.
James H. Morrow, Webb, $21,034.
Finke Brothers, Charleston, $20,943.
H. C. Strider, Jr., Philipp, $20,707.
R. W. Lee, Philipp, $20,599.
Total payees in county (94), $4,159,282.

Tate County

M. P. Moore, Senatobia, $69,738.
Jim Presley, Senatobia, $60,582.
E. E. Moore, Senatobia, $51,359.
Tom Wilson, Senatobia, $31,587.
R. G. Roseborough, Senatobia, $29,457.
J. V. Moore, Arkabutla, $29,021.
Thomas E. Smith, Jr., Coldwater, $28,350.
David B. Tyer, Senatobia, $26,648.
W. L. Livestock Ranch, Senatobia, $24,139.
T. P. Veazey III, Senatobia, $23,380.
Harry B. House, Coldwater, $23,356.
J. F. Watson, Senatobia, $23,097.
S. M. Allison, Sarah, $22,917.
Ester L. Howell, Senatobia, $22,899.
H. S. Marby, Senatobia, $22,401.
Robert P. Thompson, Senatobia, $22,254.
I. F. Hyde, Senatobia, $21,959.
Melvin Crockett, Senatobia, $21,907.
Total payees in county (18), $555,051.

Tippah County

Bonnie Mauney, Blue Mountain, $20,561.
Roy Janes, Walnut, $20,430.
Total payees in county (2), $40,991.

Tunica County

Indian Creek Planting Co., Robinsonville, $150,132.
Abbay and Leatherman Inc., Robinsonville, $140,422.
Carl C. May, W. Helena, $123,127.
Bibb Inc., Tunica, $116,297.
S. A. Arnold Jr., Tunica, $83,745.
Arnold Farms Inc., Tunica, $82,004.
Whiteoak Farms Inc., Tunica, $79,464.
M. L. Earnheart Co., Tunica, $78,133.
D. C. Parker, Tunica, $75,975.
Clinton P. Owen, Robinsonville, $75,463.
Oaklawn Plantation Inc., Dundee, $73,951.
McClintock Farms Inc., Tunica, $72,878.
Withers & Seabrook, Tunica, $67,656.
S. C. Wilson & Son, Dundee, $67,103.
Boyd Bros., Dundee, $66,503.
A. S. Perry & Sons, Inc., Tunica, $65,741.
Sterling W. Owen, III, Tunica, $60,528.
John W. Owen, Tunica, $60,510.
Will W. Owen, Tunica, $60,510.
T. O. Earnheart Co., Inc., Tunica, $57,841.
Hood Farms Inc., Tunica, $57,723.
Irwin Company, Inc., Robinsonville, $55,907.
A. C. Caperton, Tunica, $55,519.
W. C. McLean Inc., Tunica, $55,163.
C. H. Block & Co., Tunica, $54,918.
Austin Brothers, Dundee, $54,081.
Flowers & Parker, Tunica, $53,936.
C. Buck Graves, Sarah, $53,070.
B. R. Smith, Dundee, $51,656.
Youngblood Co., Dundee, $50,773.

A. T. Earnheart, Tunica, $47,486.
R. I. Abbay, Tunica, $42,513.
Lloyd E. Ryals, Dundee, $41,099.
Johnson & Frank, Dundee, $39,359.
Swindoll Bros., Tunica, $38,883.
Alterra Planting Co., Tunica, $38,377.
Clyde J. Perry, Tunica, $37,612.
Vance Moore, Dundee, $37,371.
Pea Patch Plantation, Inc., Dundee, $35,917.
William Godbold, Tunica, $35,054.
W. H. Houston, Jr., Tunica, $34,830.
Wayne McDonald, Dundee, $33,238.
Ransom A. Myers, Dundee, $32,437.
William H. Houston III, Memphis, $31,762.
Richard Watson, Tunica, $31,039.
Jack W. Perry & Co., Inc., Tunica, $30,970.
M. H. Harris, Tunica, $30,211.
James Pegram, Tunica, $29,707.
R. C. Smith, Dundee, $29,450.
E. J. Lake, Inc., Dundee, $27,880.
H. R Watson & Sons, Tunica, $27,762.
Edgar Hood III, Tunica, $27,057.
B. M. Martin, Jr., Dundee, $26,687.
J. E. Sides & Son, Inc., Dundee, $26,645.
Harvey Richard Watson, Tunica, $26,433.
John Canon, Dundee, $26,430.
W. C. Bynum, Tunica, $23,813.
Joe Watson, Clarksdale, $23,732.
Roger Johnson, Lula, $23,081.
Doright Plantation, Inc., Dundee, $23,061.
M. L. Earnheart, Tunica, $22,889.
Two Sisters Plant, Crenshaw, $22,775.
D. R. Houpt, Tunica, $22,558.
L. A. Gidden, Tunica, $22,024.
Billy Pegram, Dundee, $21,732.
Walter D. Wills, Jr., Memphis, $21,396.
Clarence H. Pegram, Tunica, $20,610.
O. S. Woods & Son, Inc., Tunica, $20,290.
Ewing & Son, Inc., Robinsonville, $20,160.
Frank M. Perry, Tunica, $20,081.
Total payees in county (70), $3,375,140.

Union County

Hugh Stephens, New Albany, $95,251.

Warren County

Aden Brothers, Inc., Valley Park, $110,822.
B. N. Simrall and Son, Inc., Redwood, $59,461.
H. A. Downey, Jr., Vicksburg, $46,005.
W. O. Miller, Vicksburg, $38,068.
Laura Brown, Valley Park, $36,049.
Ferris Farms, Inc., Vicksburg, $30,052.
Ratliff Farms, Inc., Vicksburg, $24,005.
E. R. McKnight, Redwood, $20,118.
Total payees in county (8), $364,580.

Washington County

Potter Bros., Inc., Arcola, $280,990.
Trail Lake Plantation, Tralake, $188,650.
H. K. Hammett and Sons, Greenville, 171,312.
Torrey Wood and Son, Hollandale, $170,965.
R. A. Ingram, Leland, $150,861.
Franklin Trotter, Greenville, $133,196.
Baker Planting Co., Leland, $119,153.
Walker Farms, Inc., Stoneville, $113,668.
Hagan and Bruton Farm, Hollandale, $112,917.
Gilnockie Planting Co., Leland, $111,644.
Clark and Pendergrass, Arcola, $107,095.
Fairfax Planting, Tribbett, $101,669.
Leota Planting W. T. Robertson, Holly Ridge, $97,522.
W. G. Trotter and Son, Winterville, $94,848.
Cope and Neff, Hollandale, $90,479.
Alex Curtis, Leland, $89,440.
F. L. Gerdes, Jr., Leland, $85,417.
Dan L. Smythe, Tribbett, $85,230.
J. C. Reed, Leland, $82,816.
Ganier Brothers, Hollandale, $82,743.
Morro and Hawkins, Winterville, $82,465.
Lakeland Farms, Hollandale, $81,447.
W. E. Taylor, Greenville, $79,471.
W. C. Skates & Son, Avon, $78,825.
M. H. Rich, Jr., Chatham, $78,438.
Refuge Planting, Greenville, $76,801.
Faith Planting, Tribbett, $76,617.
Arcola Planting Co., Arcola, $76,286.
Highland Planting, Greenville, $72,463.
Glen Crowe, Hollandale, $71,993.
Donald Crowe, Hollandale, $71,697.
Dean and Co., Tribbett, $70,186.
Word Planting Co., Leland, $69,007.
Raymond Clark, Leland,$68,958.
Arcola Plantation, Inc., Hollandale, $67,981.
John T. Dillard, Leland, $66,912.
Barton Ingram, Arcola, $66,303.
Deandale, Tribbett, $65,601.
Gordon Crowe, Hollandale, $64,424.
E. J. Ganier, Percy, $64,056.
Walnut Bayou Pltg. Co., Leland, $63,096.
Stevens Brothers, Glen Allan, $62,636.
Clyde V. Gault, Leland, $62,616.
Glen Taylor, Greenville, $61,576.
Trail Lake Gin Co., Tralake, $61,029.
Robert N. Aldridge & Sons, Hollandale, $60,999.
H. T. Cochran & Son, Hollandale, $60,570.
Four C. Land Co., Gordon Crowe, Hollandale, $60,558.
James Middleton, Darlove, $59,928.
Montgomery and Grissom, Leland, $59,857.
Metcalfe and Wathers, Metcalfe, $58,158.
James A. Petty, Wayside, $55,888.
Osceola Plant Co., Arcola, $55,023.
Isola Pltn. H. W. Branton, Leland, $53,585.
E. W. and G. W. Stone, Chatham, $51,448.
A. G. Mahalitc, Rolling Fork, $51,302.
Marathon Pltn., Glen Allan, $51,034.
V. L. & L. A. Nunnery, Greenville, $50,763.
Harris and Harris, Inc., Hollandale, $50,339
Wilmot Planting Co., Arcola, $49,990.
W. H. Neill and Sons, Leland, $49,858.
John J. Hall, Jr., Leland, $46,933.
Munn and Morgan, Leland, $46,774.
David B. Flanagan, Leland, $46,137.
C. D. Verner, Leland, $46,031.
Ralph Owens, Metcalfe, $46,011.
Thomas A. Hollingsworth & Co., Hollandale, $45,908.
Gus Piersalisi, Leland, $45,828.
E. E. Cooper, Leland, $45,791.
C. R. Horton, Hollandale, $45,432.
Winston Walker, Greenville, $44,975.
W. T. Robertson, Jr., Ridge, $43,372.
Reggie C. Kellems, Greenville, $42,989.
Saldorose Pltn., Indianola, $42,970.
Don O. Baker, Leland, $40,433.
Ray F. Beckham, Leland, $40,378.
J. P. Wilkerson, Winterville, $40,337.
Hampton Collier, Hollandale, $40,173.
Delta Experiment Station, Stoneville, $39,687.
W. O. Hester, Greenville, $38,473.
Tony and Larry Fratesi, Leland, $38,023.
Frank Rochelle, Indianola, $37,999.
Park Farms, Winterville, $37,816.
Everhope Pltn, Chatham, $37,462.
Peebles and Poss Farm, Winterville, $36,712.
Edward P. Vieh, Hollandale, $36,211.
T. R. Pittman, Greenville, $35,984.
D and S Plant Co., Inc., Greenville, $35,036.
F. C. Crowe, Hollandale, $35,000.
W. A. Dunaway and Son, Hollandale, $34,950.
J. M. Brown Pltg. Co., Leland, $34,487.
Henry Hemphill, Leland, $34,363.
William J. Ireland, Greenville, $33,950.
V. L. Sandifer, Hollandale, $33,248.
A. L. Andrews, Leland, $33,141.
W. B. Andrews, Leland, $33,072.
Edward Trotter, Greenville, $33,058.
Max Phillips, Greenville, $33,052.
Kirk Pltn., Greenville, $32,862.
G. G. & G. W. McCool, Glen Allan, $31,303.
E. A. Currey, Jr., Hollandale, $30,994.
Milam Planting Co., Hollandale, $30,994.
Edward Shackelford, Hollandale, $30,818.
Jessie McDonald, Hollandale, $30,322.
J. E. Bratton, Hollandale, $30,121.
George F. Stock, Hollandale, $30,059.
Bob Ainsworth & Son, Glen Allan, $29,926.
R. M. Pritchett, Arcola, $29,864.
D and W Jones, Inc., Isola, $29,685.
Auburn Plantation, Hollandale, $29,553.
Guido Palasini, Leland, $29,475.
Hollywood Pltn., Greenville, $29,088.
Deerfield Farms Co., Hollandale $28,936.
Loughborough Pltn., Winterville, $28,931.
Howard New, Chatham, $28,199.
Robbie Reed, Hollandale, $28,123.
E. A. Lafoe, Jr., Metcalfe, $28,078.
Malvina Pltn., Inc., Winterville, $27,877.
Sam Sabatini, Leland, $27,871.
Cicil Davis, Avon, $27,456.
Foch Barnes, Glen Allan, $26,929.
Laverne Hyer, Darlove, $26,842.
Marcus Treadway, Hollandale, $26,804.
McLaurin Brothers, Hollandale, $26,787.
Marvin Jennings, Hollandale, $26,749.
C. D. Hobart and Son, Avon, $26,364.
Southern Drnage. & Const. Co., Hollandale, $26,231.
Harry M. Key, Avon, $25,787.
Cecil Wingfield, Glen Allan, $25,346.
Elizabeth Jeffreys, Glen Allan, $25,257.
Fred Ballard, Leland, $25,247.
Collier Pltn., Leland, $25,052.
L. & B. Pltn., Rolling Fork, $24,652.
Elmo Gerald, Leland, $24,585.
J. A. Oglesby, Chatham, $24,017.
Stovall Farms, Leland, $23,744.
Lando and Charlie E. Fratesi, Leland, $23,519.
Mrs. J. R. Kiker & Son, Hollandale, $23,482.
Chester P. Smith, Greenville, $23,431.
Charlie L. Fratesi, Leland, $23,331.
J. P. Tonner, Glen Allan, $23,113.
Walcott Planting Co., Hollandale, $22,740.
Leo E. Frankel, Leland, $22,223.
Wright Planting Co., Inc., Hollandale, $22,193.
Floyd Wayne Reed, Glen Allan, $22,001.
Jack Middleton, Glen Allan, $21,938.
Palmetto Plant Co., Glen Allan, $21,724.
Tony Zepponi, Leland, $21,524.
T. W. Hays, Hollandale, $21,402.
M. P. Neff, Hollandale, $21,154.
Jerry B. Miller, Hollandale, $21,025.
J. A. and Anna Nipper, Chatham, $20,973
V. C. Hammett Jr., Greenville, $20,902.
F. M. Wigley, Hollandale, $20,819.
James E. Bennett, Leland, $20,524.
William L. Watson, Leland, $20,428.
Susie B. Law, Hollandale, $20,403.
James W. Kiker, Hollandale, $20,169.
William E. Avis, Wayside, $20,155.
Vernon Ward, Leland, $20,062.
Total payees in county (160), $8,153,387.

Wayne County

Leroy E. Singley, Buckatunna, $20,731.

Webster County

Jack Gary, Eupora, $24,001.
C. C. Hemphill, Gore Springs, $23,886.
Harold Finnell Dorroh, Bellefontaine, $23,676.
Floy H. Skelton Farms, Mantee, $20,933.
Total payees in county (4), $92,496.

Yalobusha County

J. C. Sides, Sr., Coffeeville, $104,165.
W. C. Hall, Water Valley, $52,247.
John N. Covington, Jr., Coffeeville, $46,283.
H. H. White, Water Valley, $44,335.
J. R. Magee, Oakland, $29,964.
Joe N. Bailey, Jr., Coffeeville, $29,112.
A. R. Ingram, Water Valley, $25,174.
W. V. Moore, Oakland, $23,602.
D. R. Ingram, Water Valley, $20,264.
Total payees in county (9), $375,146.

Yazoo County

Lakeview Pltg. Co., Yazoo City, $122,858.
E. T. Jordan & Sons, Yazoo City, $121,592.
D. H. Dew, Jr., Eden, $94,226.
Ruby Walker, Bentonia, $92,107.
H. S. Swayze, Benton, $80,409.
Ray Scroggins, Yazoo City, $76,581.
Coleman Planting Co., Yazoo City, $73,783.
E. T. Schaefer, Yazoo City, $68,037.
D. H. Dew, Sr., Eden, $67,994.
Kinkead Plantation, Yazoo City, $65,604.
Seward & Son, Louise, $61,518.
R. E. Coker, Yazoo City, $59,135.
James L. Dixon, Benton, $54,987.
L. M. Phillips, Holly Bluff, $49,273.
Barrier & Barbour, Yazoo City, $48,989.
W. T. Clark, Jr., Yazoo City, $48,334.
John S. Howie, Benton, $47,034.
Sam E. Dixon, Jr., Vaughan, $46,643.
John J. Peaster, Yazoo City, $46,554.
Eldorado Planting Co., Yazoo City, $44,413.
Barrier Farms, Inc., Yazoo City, $43,411.

Frazier Thompson, Bentonia, $42,091.
W. T. Hegman, Jr., Holly Bluff, $41,247.
Barrier Bros., Yazoo City, $41,082.
J. N. Hart, Satartia, $40,141.
D. H. Shipp, Benton, $39,069.
J. V. Whitaker, Satartia, $38,648.
Thomas R. Stricklin, Satartia, $37,404.
Buford Atkinson, Yazoo City, $36,017.
A. S. Nichols, Vaughan, $34,732.
Norway Plantation, Yazoo City, $34,447.
M. S. Johnson, Jr., Bentonia, $34,285.
Allen Bridgeforth Est, Vaughan, $34,256.
Jack F. Phillips, Yazoo City, $33,601.
F. W. Sharbrough, Holly Bluff, $33,355.
E. E. Ables, Yazoo City, $33,071.
Jerry B. Barrier, Yazoo City, $32,933.
Leon W. Rice, Bentonia, $32,255.
Clayton Swayze II, Benton, $31,030.
Cedar Grove Plantation, Benton, $29,806.
Martin Farms Inc., Yazoo City, $29,562.
Stricklin & Lewis, Yazoo City, $29,326.
Burdette Boyd, Holly Bluff, $29,068.
Johnson Farms Inc., Bentonia, $28,627.
E. R. Seward, Yazoo City, $28,470.
Morrison Farms Inc., Yazoo City, $28,306.
W. F. Cresswell, Satartia, $27,556.
H. O. Hicks, Benton, $27,094.
Hamel Farms Inc., Yazoo City, $27,066.
W. R. Coleman, Yazoo City, $26,943.
Nabers Planting Co., Holly Bluff, $26,857.
R. M. Bridgforth & Sons, Pickens, $26,680.
Curran & Clark, Yazoo City, $26,353.
Frank Carter, Louise, $26,336.
E. E. Nixon, Jr., Yazoo City, $26,215.
McGraw Farms, Yazoo City, $26,110.
R. Hancock, Bentonia, $25,873.
Sam S. Fisher, Jr., Vaughan, $24,778.
H. R. Crowder & Son, Yazoo City, $24,756.
M. D. Carter, Jr., Benton, $24,494.
Travis Fouche, Benton, $23,693.
Pearson Brothers, Holly Bluff, $23,181.
C. W. Ward & Sons, Benton, $23,166.
H. T. Barrier, Jr., Yazoo City, $22,840.
Roy L. Plunkett, Jr., Yazoo City, $22,581.
M. A. Strickland & Son, Eden, $22,435.
Charles Ables, Yazoo City, $22,374.
David T. Slack, Pickens, $21,559.
Kirkland Inc., Bentonia, $21,556.
C. C. Phillips, Yazoo City, $21,434.
H. R. Crowder, Sr., Yazoo City, $21,280.
John W. Odum, Benton, $21,237.
E. L. Coleman, Yazoo City, $21,126.
Edward Williams, Benton, $20,730.
J. L. Dixon, Vaughan, $20,681.
W. W. Wyatt, Yazoo City, $20,585.
C. H. Vaughan, Benton, $20,584.
M. E. Kelly, Satartia, $20,428.
A. P. Crawford, Jr. Eden, $20,277.
Total payees in county (79), $3,045,169.
Total payees in State (1,624), $71,701,934.

MISSOURI

Atchison County

Scamman and Co., Tarkio, $34,641.
Wm. M. Griffin, Rock Port, $32,131.
Dean Bolton, Fairfax, $28,986.
Jimmie Low, Tarkio, $26,431.
Donald Wolf or Orville Wolf, Rock Port, $26,226.
Charles C. Martin, Watson, $25,848.
Bass Farms, Tuscola, $23,959.
Marvin Leseberg, Rock Port, $23,910.
Ed Bass, Fairfax, $23,690.
Total payees in county (9), $245,822.

Audrain County

A. C. Farms, Inc., Mexico, $33,518.

Bates County

E. A. Brewer, Butler, $32,923.
Howard Eastland, Sr., Rich Hill, $28,486.
True Beisly, Nevada, $27,369.
Irvin Ranch, Gage, $22,455.
Don Gretzinger, Urich, $21,783.
Bill Ingram, Rich Hill, $21,569.
Total payees in county (6), $154,585.

Boone County

Dorsey M. Bass, Columbia, $43,884.
John S. Williamson, Columbia, $24,913.
Smith Bros., Columbia, $21,582.
Total payees in county (3), $90,379.

Buchanan County

Sonnenmoser Bros., Weston, $35,088.
Frederick L. Schuster, Gower, $31,710.
Total payees in county (2), $66,798.

Callaway County

Raymond Atkinson, Kingdom City, $26,553.
Wise Bros. Inc., Kingdom City, $25,971.
Total payees in county (2), $52,524.

Carroll County

R. E. Wiese Farms, Inc., DeWitt, $39,538.
Kipping Brothers, Carrollton, $34,435.
D. N. M. Grain Co., Kansas City, $34,094.
Famuliner Brothers, Carrollton, $28,089.
Ronald Gibson, Norborne, $23,184.
Jimmie D. Leighty, Carrollton, $20,850.
Total payees in county (6), $180,190.

Charlton County

Quinn Bros., Salisbury, $61,986.
W. L. Dickinson, Keytesville, $27,240.
C. S. Bramble and Sons, Glasgow, $26,349.
Total payees in county (3), $115,575.

Clay County

Woodrow Wren, Kansas City, $25,353.
Curry Angus Farms, Inc., Kansas City, $22,031.
Total payees in county (2), $47,384.

Clinton County

Harold J. Hales, Plattsburg, $21,612.

Cooper County

John W. Stahl, Otterville, $22,033.

Daviess County

L. L. Cook & Son, Gallatin, $29,235.

Dunklin County

Albert Hall, Holcomb, $33,203.
J. F. Baker, Kennett, $31,548.
M. C. Collins, Holcomb, $26,513.
Harris Farms, Inc., C/o Paul Harris, Senath, $24,869.
Arthur Robinson, Clarkton, $24,844.
V. M. Jones, Sr., Hornersville, $23, 847.
Bill Wilburn, Kennett, $23,455.
Loyd Robertson, Clarkton, $22,826.
J. T. Moody, Clarkton, $21,851.
Bond Rouse, Hornersville, $21,729.
M. H. Collins, Holcomb, $21,719.
H. W. Droke, Hornersville, $21,377.
Charles Pelts, Linden Circle, Kenneth, $20,788.
Matthews Agency Account, C/o Ted York, Jr., Senatath, $20,749.
Audie Grsham, Kennett, $20,260.
Jack Rollins, Holcomb, Mo., $20,022.
Total payees in county (16), $379,600.

Harrison County

Johnson Land and Devl., Gallatin, $26,493.

Henry County

Labahn Sloan Corp., Green Ridge, $29,834.
Joe V. Beaty, Blairstown, $20,695.
Cecil E. Gray, Clinton, $20,387.
Total payees in county (3), $70,916.

Holt County

Donald E. Morris, Fortescue, $64,212.
Patterson Farms, Inc., % G. D. Patterson, Maitland, $54,872.
Jack Windle, Nebraska City, $42,077.
Haer Farms, Emmett Haer, Craig, $29,802.
W. R. Pulliam, 4411 Frederick, St Jo, $21,218.
Total payees in county (5), $212,181.

Howard County

Roy J. Davis, Boonville, $55,486.

Jackson County

Rids Church, Independence, $88,953.

Knox County

James B. Washburn, La Belle, $32,643.
Frances McSorley, Edina, $22,725.
Total payees in county (2), $55,368.

Lafayette County

Batman Farm, Kansas City, $25,659.
William R. Meyer, Norborne, $20,368.
Total payees in county (2), $46,027.

Lawrence County

Jackie L. Thomas, Miller, $22,498.

Lewis County

J. Oren Reid, Lagrange, $26,940.
H. J. Richter, Inc., Lagrange, $25,602.
Jerry Spicknall, Labelle, $23,540.
Ben Casebier, Jr., Lagrange, $21,671.
Total payees in county (4), $97,753.

Linn County

Mary Wheatcraft & Sons, Brookfield, $21,619.
Roy B. Quinn, Chillicothe, $21,237.
Total payees in county (2), $42,856.

Livingston County

Marshall Meservey, Chula, $31,658.
L. O. Girdner, Chillicothe, $23,114.
Mervyn Jenkins, Dawn, $22,070.
Total payees in county (3), $76,842.

Mercer County

Alfred Ryder, Princeton, $27,181.

Mississippi County

Dearmont Oliver, Prairie, $101,987.
Marshall Lands, Inc., Charleston, $83,122.
Wolf Island Farms, Wolf Island, $64,016.
Burke Bros. & Giltz, Inc., Charleston, $61,859.
Bryant Farms, Inc., Prairie, $46,682.
Big Oak Farms, Prairie, $45,066.
H. & D. Duenne Farms, Charleston, $39,539.
Carl Simpkins, East Prairie, $37,818.
Lankheit Plantation, Charleston, $33,039.
Choate Farms, Inc., Charleston, $32,648.
Richard Pike, Charleston, $32,025.
Thurmond Farms, Inc., Charleston, $30,879.
Stallings Bros., Charleston, $30,340.
William Love, Charleston, $29,354.
Babb & Deline, Charleston, $28,708.
Ray Hillhouse, Charleston, $27,375.
Mt. Level Farms, East Prairie, $27,280.
Sam G. Jones, East Prairie, $26,429.
Heath Farms, East Prairie, $26,390.
Moxley Farms, Wyatt, $25,757.
Sam Cox Goodin, Charleston, $25,686.
Robert C. Jackson, Charleston, $25,587.
James C. Moreton, Charleston, $25,585.
Shelby Farms, Inc., Charleston, $25,320.
Billy G. Johnson, Bertrand, $25,035.
Paul Stallings, Charleston, $24,564.
M. & M. Farms, Bertrand, $23,821.
A. C. Drinkwater Farms, Charleston, $23,354.
Elbert Hanor, Charleston, $23,234.
Rolwing Bros., Charleston, $22,447.
Finley Thompson, Charleston, $22,084.
A. Vernon Goodin, Charleston, $21,959.
Ault Co., Charleston, $21,898.
Leslie S. Fox, Charleston, $21,794.
David B. Brewer, Charleston, $21,673.
C. E. Vowels & Co., Charleston, $21,510.
Terry Hequembourg, Charleston, $21,244.
Al S. Burnett, Charleston, $21,069.
Billy G. Brown, Charleston, $20,164.
Total payees in county (39), $1,268,341.

Moniteau County

Norman Schoenthal, Prairie Home, $21,923.

New Madrid County

Charles Pikey & Son, Conran, $62,892.
Byars Orton, Portageville, $53,797.
Ernest Carpenter, New Madrid, $50,562.
Fletchers Gin, Inc., Gideon, $42,720.
Aubra Wrather, Portageville, $40,722.
Raymond Ashley, Catron, $39,914.
David Barton, Catron, $38,893.
A. C. Riley, New Madrid, $37,415.
Lorwood Plantation, Parma, $36,690.
Bert Latham, Matthews, $36,027.
Lawrence Warren, Portageville, $35,659.
S. L. Hunter, Jr., c/o Arline Avery, Agt., New Madrid, $35,059.
James B. Crouthers, Catron, $35,027.
W. V. Riley, New Madrid, $33,858.
Nesselrodt and Campbell, Lilbourn, $33,610.
F. S. Hunter, New Madrid, $30,589.
B. & C. Harvesting Co., Parma, $29,608.
Doyle Hicks, Risco, $29,522.
E. B. Gee, Jr., c/o John Bailey, Agt., Portageville, $28,587.
Robert McCoy, Marston, $28,243.

Barry Richardson, Marston, $27,596.
Donald Fay, Underwood, Portageville, $27,367.
Oren Ross, Lilbourn, $26,772.
Collis Maltbia, New Madrid, $26,475.
C. J. Stancil, East Prairie, $26,111.
E. B. Gee Frailey Gin Inc., Portageville, $25,357.
Reno Ashley, Catron, $25,322.
Lavalle Farms, New Madrid, $24,931.
L. B. Wolfe, Lilbourn, $24,789.
George Dawson, New Madrid, $24,634.
Paul Bradley, New Madrid, $24,469.
D. K. Lape, Sikeston, $24,120.
Jimmy Baugher, Manila, $24,092.
Nolley Farms Inc., Sikeston, $23,946.
James L. Doster, Sikeston, $23,805.
Grape Ridge Farms, Marston, $23,714.
R. A. Nesselrodt, Lilbourn, $23,478.
W. W. Burlison, Wardell, $23,125.
Billy G. Swiney, Catron, $22,714.
James Overton Swiney, Catron, $22,692.
Clyde H. Swiney, Catron, $22,692.
Michael Estes, Matthews, $22,610.
J. J. Bloomfield, New Madrid, $22,531.
Robert Riley, New Madrid, $22,496.
Priggel Bros., Portageville, $22,293.
Charles Barnett, Sikeston, $22,185.
W. M. Shaw, Tallapoosa, $22,172.
N. J. Nowell, New Madrid, $21,514.
Malden Grain Co., Malden, $20,131.
Jay Blankenship, Kewanee, $20,000.
Total payees in county (50), $1,473,527.

Nodaway County

RSR Ranch, Burl Jct., $27,427.

Pemiscot County

R. O. Pierce, Caruthersville, $71,573.
O. H. Acom Farms Inc., Wardell, $64,443.
Coy Wilson, Portageville, $44,408.
Dolphin Land Company, Steele, $44,169.
Orton and Toton, Portageville, $43,407.
L. Berry Farms, Inc., Holland, $41,028.
Mehrle Farms, Caruthersville, $46,632.
Watkins Farms, Inc., Hayti, $39,537.
Donald Rone, Portageville, $38,485.
Lloyd Massey, Hayti, $34,426.
James O. Taylor, Caruthersville, $33,864.
T. R. Cole & Sons, Pascola, $32,678.
Gene Wingfield, Steele, $32,539.
James S. Bruton, Caruthersville, $30,932.
Ansel Underwood, Portageville, $30,524.
J. E. Ward, Deering, $29,771.
Howard Fike, Caruthersville, $28,727.
Lee Dorroh, Caruthersville, $28,681.
John R. Franklin, Hayti, $28,229.
W. E. Smith, Jr., Portageville, $28,217.
S. Crews Reynolds, Caruthersville, $27,165.
Gary Bruton, Braggadocio, $26,446.
Billy C. Palmer, Hayti, $26,231.
Willard D. Long, Braggadocio, $26,069.
Stillman and Bean Fr., Peach Orchard, $25,132.
J. D. Long, Braggadocio, $25,033.
Jeff Wade, Jr., Bragg City, $24,232.
Royal Sanders, Steele, $24,205.
George T. Killion, Portageville, $24,172.
C. W. Reed III, Hayti, $24,056.
Sides Bros., Hayti, $24,023.
Tommy Pate, Hayti, $23,364.
Talmadge Burgess, Portageville, $22,826.
Haggard Farms, Steele, $22,553.
Boyd McCrary, Hayti, $22,276.
D. J. Merideth, Jr., Caruthersville, $21,582.
Sherley Lovins, Steele, $21,501.
J. E. Jones Est., Steele, $21,482.
Kneibert, Stillman, Peach Orchard, $21,450.
Clifford Jackson, Holland, $21,314.
Cleo Brents, Pascola, $21,201.
John L. Atwill, Deering, $21,200.
Witt Smith, Blytheville, Ark., $21,094.
Lawrence W. Barnes, Steele, $21,073.
T. O. Shelly, Hayti, $20,962.
Douglas Riddick, Portageville, $20,816.
Able Rushing, Cooter, $20,637.
J. W. Bradford, Hayti, $20,623.
Clinton Caudle, Steele, $20,594.
Earl W. Gill, Hayti, $20,544.
Jerry McHugh, Wardell, $20,351.
Total payees in county (51), $1,470,477.

Perry County

O. F. Gremaud, Perryville, $79,839.
N. H. Bruckerhoff, Perryville, $55,125.
Total payees in county (2), $134,964.

Pike County

Pike Grain Co., Louisiana, $38,770.
Dundee Cement, Clarksville, $33,332.
Marion Mackey, Clarksville, $29,974.
Total payees in county (3), $102,076.

Platte County

I. R. Kirk Farm, Inc., Weston, $26,642.

Ray County

Green Top Farms, Inc., Richmond, $71,793.
F. O. Handley, Richmond, $27,730.
Wilbert Matthews, Richmond, $23,091.
Luman Offutt Farms, Inc., Orrick, $20,324.
Richmond Loan & Realty Co., Richmond, $20,206.
Total payees in county (5), $163,144.

Saline County

Eugene Elson, Miami, $57,329.
Jim Franklin, Slater, $33,175.
L. J. Rasse Est., Marshall, $29,668.
Saline Co. Farms, Marshall, $21,362.
J. I. Akeman, Slater, $21,340.
John Stonner, Miami, $20,485.
Total payees in county (6), $183,359.

Scott County

E. P. Coleman, Jr., Sikeston, $59,299.
J. Handy Moore, Sikeston, $36,448.
Wm. B. Moore Farm, Sikeston, $35,234.
Wm. H. Deane III, Sikeston, $32,109.
Taylor Farms, Benton, $31,944.
Heckemeyer Farms, Sikeston, $26,776.
United Ridge Co., Sikeston, $24,394.
E. L. Tongate, Sikeston, $24,069.
B. F. Marshall and Sons, Sikeston, $23,122.
A. D. and J. G. Johnson, Vanduser, $22,277.
J. M. Wallace, Charleston, $22,032.
Elvert Evans, Oran, $21,466.
Jackson Hunter, Sikeston, $21,193.
W. R. Lewis, Jr., Sikeston, $21,183.
John Engram, Vanduser, $20,359.
Total payees in county (15), $421,905.

Shelby County

GSG Inc., Leonard, $22,445.

St. Charles County

Saale Bros. Farm & Grain Co., West Alton, $55,404.

Ste. Genevieve County

Bartels Farms, Inc., St. Marys, $59,971.
Alan W. Schaefer, Ste. Genevieve, $41,183.
Loida Bros., Ste. Genevieve, $38,089.
Aubuchon Farms, Ste. Genevieve, $29,911.
Total payees in county (4), $169,154.

St. Louis County

Emil Hoechst, St. Louis, $30,792.
City of St. Louis, St. Louis, $20,873.
Total payees in county (2), $51,665.

Stoddard County

W. P. Hunter, Bell City, $87,990.
Mahan & Radcliffe, Parma, $69,168.
Taylor Bros., Essex, $61,626.
W. O. Swiney, Morehouse, $60,731.
H. A. Recker, Essex, $45,331.
A & H Planting Co., Bell City, $43,970.
Claude Keasler, Parma, $39,146.
Gary Crump, Bernie, $38,700.
Dwayne Smith, Sikeston, $30,221.
Earl Anthony, Poplar Bluff, $27,693.
Hermon Smith, Sikeston, $27,425.
Avra Cox, Perkins, $25,809.
Lane Brothers, Dexter, $25,589.
Harold Lumsden, Essex, $22,133.
Alice L. Scherer, Bell City, $21,185.
E & H Farms, Dexter, $20,593.
Mack Bain, Parma, $20,587.
T. E. Campbell, Bell City, $20,397.
Total payees in county (18), $688,294.
Total payees in State (279), $8,540,556.

MONTANA

Beaverhead County

Jones Ranches, Inc., Dillon, $47,333.
Matador Cattle Co., Dillion, $24,597.
Total payees in county (2), $71,930.

Big Horn County

Campbell Farming, Hardin, $194,699.
Pryor Land Company, Billings, $63,659.
Floyd Warren Inc., Hardin, $57,170.
A. G. Ballensky, Hardin, $41,206.
Robert Formanack, Lodge Grass, $35,565.
Torske Farm Inc., Hardin, $32,460.
Erwin Schnad, Billings, $31,474.
Clyde L. Hawks Inc., St. Xavier, $25,161.
Henry Schneider, St. Xavier, $24,556.
Raglands Incorporated, Hardin, $23,213.
Murry J. Brown, Lodge Grass, $21,565.
Shirasago Farming, Hardin, $20,530.
Total payees in county (12), $571,258.

Blaine County

Rasmussen Farming Corp., Harlem, $32,471.
James R. Billmayer, Hogeland, $20,780.
Total payees in county (2), $53,251.

Broadwater County

V. R. Cazier & Sons, Toston, $52,879.
Hunsaker Bros. Inc., Toston, $28,607.
W. D. Rankin Est., Helena, $21,422.
Total payees in county (3), $102,908.

Carbon County

Stanley Arthun, Joliet, $22,115.

Carter County

Adolph Fix, Ekalaka, $39,307.
Ralph Bruski, Ekalaka, $21,085.
Total payees in county (2), $60,392.

Cascade County

R. & C. Farming Co., Great Falls, $70,209.
R. S. Oday, Jr., Great Falls, $51,467.
Donald Bowman, Belt, $34,844.
Zoller Farms, Great Falls, $29,073.
J. Edwin Lassila, Great Falls, $26,541.
Sylvia & Robert Gruel, Great Falls, $25,857.
Anderson & Hovland, Great Falls, $25,256.
Angus Hunt Ranch, Inc., Great Falls, $25,130.
Clayton Sweeney, Belt, $25,096.
Malvin Merja, Sun River, $24,854.
L. Johnson, Inc., Great Falls, $24,338.
Carl J. Kantorowicz, Wolf Creek, $22,969.
Sieben Livestock Co., Helena, $22,026.
Lawrence Suek, Floweree, $21,572.
Dawson Ranch, Inc., Belt, $21,140.
Carl Mehmke, Great Falls, $21,080.
C. Bovey, Great Falls, $20,924.
Lewis Hunt, Floweree, $20,246.
Total payees in county (18), $512,622.

Chouteau County

Onstad Grain Co., Carter, $56,359.
Robertson Ranch Co., Carter, $41,141.
Melvin Batchelor, Carter, $39,982.
R 5 Ranch, Carter, $39,648.
Phil F. Schafer, Great Falls, $38,020.
Raymond Romain, Fort Benton, $36,912.
George Gray, Carter, $36,590.
J. G. Robertson Corp., Great Falls, $36,067.
Oscar A. Kalgaard, Big Sandy, $35,770.
Wyley P. Good, Carter, $32,199.
Ronald M. Upham, Loma, $31,936.
Morris B. Stewart, Fort Benton, $30,453.
Witt Ranch, Carter, $29,031.
Paul Onstad, Carter, $29,014.
Forrest Charlson, Carter, $29,002.
Royce Applegate, Geraldine, $28,906.
Birkeland & Son Inc., Fort Benton, $28,188.
Macdonald Farms Inc., Fort Benton, $27,211.
Helland Land Co., Fort Benton, $26,239.
Leeper Land Co., Fort Benton, $25,890.
ABN Ranch Inc., Fort Benton, $25,657.
Rossmiller Farms, Brady, $25,498.
Molinaric Farms, Carter, $24,881.
Marcus V. Nichols, Lakeside, $24,802.
Retting Farms, Highwood, $23,888.
W/M Wheat/Livestock, Fort Benton, $23,433.
Perry Farms, Fort Benton, $22,436.
Harold & Charles Knudson, Fort Benton, $22,246.
J. Norris Owen, Geraldine, $21,746.
M. & K. Farm Inc., Floweree, $21,708.
Ted Worrall, Loma, $21,631.
J. R. Katzenberger Inc., Highwood, $21,593.
Lawrence Romain, Great Falls, $21,198.
Andrew Trunk, Geraldine, $21,189.

Henry E. and Ruth E. Goldhahn, Geraldine, $21,135.
George A. Stevens, Loma, $21,045.
J. A. Gottfried, Fort Benton, $20,760.
Paul C. Patton, Great Falls, $20,625.
George H. Streit, Fort Benton, $20,224.
Lloyd Cline, Fort Benton, $20,039.
Lyle McKeever, Loma, $20,004.
Total payees in county (41), $1,144,296.

Custer County

W. M. Nefsy, Miles City, $27,917.
John E. Blackburn, Lodge Grass, $22,765.
Mugglie Brothers Inc., Miles City, $21,834.
Hirsch Ranch Inc., Miles City, $21,580.
Total payees in county (4), $94,096.

Daniels County

State of Montana, Helena, $666,757.
Frederick Brothers, Flaxville, $24,840.
Hellickson Brothers, Scobey, $23,880.
Ramon Trower, Scobey, $23,591.
Oglesby Farms, Inc., Peerless, $21,435.
Dewayne Linder, Flaxville, $21,184.
Chas. L. J. & N. L. Fouhy, Richmond, $21,090.
Total payees in county (7), $802,777.

Dawson County

Wolff and Sons, Inc., Lindsay, $37,369.
Norman Anderson, Glendive, $31,095.
Frank Klempel, Bloomfield, $26,313.
D. W. & R. D. Tague, Intake, $24,998.
Gordon Kolberg, Glendive, $24,362.
Kenneth Edwards, Glendive, $23,811.
August Sobotka, Glendive, $21,016.
Bernard Goosen, Richey, $20,253.
Total payees in county (8), $209,217.

Fallon County

Robert L. Cox, Baker, $36,867.
Darrell Johnson, Baker, $31,888.
Sikorski & Sons, Inc., Willard, $31,336.
Mobile Pellet Co., Baker, $25,161.
Delane Beach, Baker, $24,831.
Schweigert Ranch, Baker, $24,385.
Fred Buerkle, Plevna, $22,172.
A. L. Meccage, Baker, $21,847.
Ernest Bechtold, Baker, $21,419.
Total payees in county (9), $239,906.

Fergus County

Robert & Gerald Barber, Denton, $27,327.
Ralpt Lee, Buffalo, $23,225.
Deerfield Ranch Col., Moore, $20,175.
Deerfield Ranch Col., Danvers, $23,000.
Wright Ranch Incorp., Moore, $20,175.
Total payees in county (4), $93,727.

Flathead County

Paul Grosswiler, Kalispell, $32,144.
Edmiston Land & Cattle Co., Kalispell, $21,511.
Total payees in county (2), $53,655.

Gallatin County

Henry Dehaan, Manhattan, $22,539.

Garfield County

Gerald Gibbs, Jordan, $27,765.

Glacier County

Glendale Colony, Cut Bank, $34,877.
Warren Swenson, Cut Bank, $33,443.
E. O. Peterson, Cut Bank, $32,289.
Lyman Denzer, Conrad, $29,613.
J. W. & Bob Smith, Cut Bank, $28,952.
John Lewis, Cut Bank, $27,611.
Stufft Farms Inc., Cut Bank, $25,292.
William McAlpine, Cut Bank, $24,522.
Jenro Ranch Co., Browning, $24,406.
Treasure Acre Farms, Cut Bank, $23,733.
Jerry Johnson, Cut Bank, $21,428.
Glacier Farms, Cut Bank, $21,029.
John V. Anderson, Cut Bank, $20,300.
Total payees in county (13), $347,495.

Hill County

John Romain, Havre, $58,170.
Leo M. Kraft, Havre, $42,037.
Spicher Bros., Hingham, $37,491.
Marlin Spicher & Sons, Hingham, $36,950.
Miller Bros., Gildford, $35,790.
Lineweaver Farms, Inverness, $33,415.
Dees Brothers, Kremlin, $29,461.
Jack Swinney, Gildford, $28,608.
Leonard Miller, Havre, $25,848.
J. P. Verploegen, Havre, $25,555.
Kraft & Martin, Havre, $25,482.
Hilldale Colony, Havre, $25,471.
Lloyd Twedt, Hingham, $25,294.
Stuart McIntosh, Simpson, $24,261.
John McIntosh, Havre, $23,579.
Kenneth G. Axvig, Kremlin, $23,483.
D. O. Koefod Agent, Havre, $22,608.
Harold R. Wanke, Rudyard, $22,303.
Herbert G. Bitz, Elder, $22,168.
Arvin, Aageson, Gildford, $22,138.
Lloyd W. Kaercher, Havre, $21,844.
Dow Bros., Havre, $21,777.
Rodney Spicher & Sons, Hingham, $20,725.
Glennon H. Watson, Gildford, $20,010.
Total payees in county (24), $674,468.

Jefferson County

Robert N. Price, Three Forks, $20,777.
Donald C. Dykema, Manhattan, $20,065.
Total payees in county (2), $40,842.

Judith Basin County

Glenn Woodbury, Geyser, $21,280.
Evans Bros., Stanford, $20,255.
William Henry Ward, Hobson, $20,056.
Roger Petersen, Buffalo, $20,016.
Total payees in county (4), $81,607.

Lake County

Jaye Johnson, Ronan, $20,904.
Curtis Van Voast, Polson, $20,276.
Total payees in county (2), $41,180.

Lewis and Clark County

Diehl Ranch Co., East Helena, $30,314.
Sieben Ranch Co., Helena, $29,564.
Milford Colony, Inc., Wolf Creek, $28,377.
Total payees in county (3), $88,255.

Liberty County

Allen C. Kolstad, Ledger, $47,760.
Raymond Fritz, Chester, $43,611.
Clarence Romain, Chester, $41,572.
McNutt Brothers, Springfield, $41,275.
Bill W. Fraser, Chester, $33,748.
John Wanken, Chester, $32,881.
O. M. Skari & Sons, Inc., Chester, $31,369.
Galen Kolstad, Lothair, $30,752.
Carl Brown, Chester, $28,534.
Brown & Brown, Inc., Great Falls, $28,425.
Oliver C. Morkrid, Chester, $27,047.
Leo Jensen, Chester, $26,301.
Skierka Brothers, Chester, $25,940.
Dayton Kolstad, Ledger, $25,753.
Leonard Staudacher, Jr., Chester, $24,528.
Gordon or John Kammerzell, Chester, $24,282.
Clark Diemert, Lothair, $22,443.
William H. Earl, Jr., Chester, $22,052.
Semenza Farm, Fort Benton, $21,512.
Hi-Line Farms, Joplin, $21,508.
Ole Jensen, Chester, $21,407.
Bert W. Alley, Joplin, $21,044.
William H. Skinner, Lothair, $20,611.
McClellan Farms, Inc., Joplin, $20,407.
Total payees in county (24), $684,762.

Madison County

R. C. Rice, Harrison, $30,585.
Gilbert Lvstk Co., Dillon, $25,088.
Mariner Ballard, Dillon, $24,526.
Total payees in county (3), $80,199.

McCone County

Otis S. Waters, Richey, $52,933.
Bodray Hagestad, Wolf Point, $30,337.
Dreyer Bros., Inc., Circle, $28,922.
Melvin P. Johnson, Vida, $21,617.
Kudrna & Witte, Inc., Circle, $21,035.
Total payees in county (5), $154,844.

Meagher County

Springdale Colony, Wht Slphr Sprg, $31,272.
Russell Manger, Townsend, $25,717.
Total payees in county (2), $56,989.

Petroleum County

Ray Bohn, Winnett, $25,207.

Phillips County

Matador Ranch, Inc., Dodson, $25,583.
Stanford Boothe, Wagner, $25,403.
Roger Simonson, Saco, $22,621.
North Bench Farms, Whitewater, $22,063.
Clyde Waters, Malta, $21,917.
Total payees in county (5), $117,587.

Pondera County

John Keil & Sons, Inc., Ledger, $54,359.
Tom McCracken, Ledger, $38,905.
Loren Warwick, Conrad, $35,723.
New Miami Colony, Pendroy, $34,440.
Ralph Bouma, Ledger, $29,871.
Birch Creek Colony, Valier, $28,315.
John Wood, Conrad, $27,500.
Donald Keil, Conrad, $26,926.
Anderson Lahr Land & Cattle, Conrad, $24,977.
Thomas W. Boucher, Valier, $24,810.
Bjelland & Robinson, Conrad, $23,290.
Russell Wikstrom, Brady, $23,123.
Eliz Seifert, Valier, $22,394.
S. T. McFarland, Conrad, $21,967.
Keil Ranch, Inc., Conrad, $21,659.
Gordon Matheson, Ledger, $20,189.
Total payees in county (16), $458,448.

Powder River County

Dale V. Benge, Volborg, $24,882.

Prairie County

Frank Eaton and Sons, Lindsay, $23,142.
Brandenthaler & Lee, Terry, $20,915.
Total payees in county (2), $44,057.

Richland County

Allen Verschoot, Richey, $29,472.
Covered Wagon Ranch, Inc., Savage, $28,185.
Basta Ranches, Savage, $23,889.
Frank Gladowski, Lambert, $21,745.
Paul Vaira, Culbertson, $21,179.
Calvin Steppler, Brockton, $20,648.
Bernard Pease, Enid, $20,498.
Total payees in county (7), $165,616.

Roosevelt County

Schnitzler Corp., Froid, $46,242.
Moe Farm Corporation, Poplar, $31,409.
A. A. Werner, Poplar, $29,269.
Louis Toavs, Wolf Point, $28,051.
Swank & Son, Poplar, $24,299.
Wheeler Bartley Ranch, Inc., Froid, $23,980.
George Stensland, Wolf Point, $23,243.
Wm. Harmon Farms, Bainville, $21,555.
Dean Harron, Bainville, $20,690.
Total payees in county (9), $248,738.

Rosebud County

Cliff Wright Ranch, Inc., Forsyth, $23,886.
Ivan Dahlman, Forsyth, $23,190.
Total payees in county (2), $47,076.

Sheridan County

Nash Brothers, Redstone, $57,420.
Richard McCarty, Plentywood, $29,754.
Larsen Farms, Inc., Plentywood, $23,656.
E. J. Lander and Co., Grand Forks, $23,352.
Howard Holtan, Plentywood, $23,287.
Lund Hereford Ranch, Reserve, $21,381.
Total payees in county (6), $178,850.

Stillwater County

Arnold Ranch, Broadview, $35,525.
Yellowstone Grain Co., Molt, $29,821.
M. E. Davey, Columbus, $23,700.
Mosdal Bros., Rapelje, $22,164.
Total payees in county (4), $111,210.

Sweet Gras County

Donna J. Cremer, Big Timber, $30,336.
Leo J. Cremer, Jr., Melville, $25,352.
Total payees in county (2), $55,688.

Teton County

Van Horn Ranch Co., Dutton, $31,074.
Habel Bros., Dutton, $25,609.
New Rockport Colony, Choteau, $25,096.
Rockport Colony, Pendroy, $24,200.
Miller Colony, Inc., Choteau, $24,146.
Robert Stephens, Dutton, $23,996.
James Stephens, Dutton, $23,954.
Eugene W. Johnson, Dutton, $23,576.
Herman G. Bouma, Choteau, $21,675.
Robert Kropp, Conrad, $21,241.
Christman Ranch, Dutton, $20,457.
Herman Maurer, Dutton, $20,439.
David Maurer, Dutton, $20,215.
Total payees in county (13), $305,678.

Toole County

S. A. Adaskavich, Shelby, $72,030.
Bill McCarter, Galata, $57,065.
Kenneth Leck, Galata, $38,758.
Hellinger, Bros., Devon, $30,973.
John McCarter, Shelby, $29,236.
Wigen Bros., Devon, $28,804.
Leo Flesch, Shelby, $28,024.
Robert Sisk, Jr., Galata, $26,870.
Hjartarson Partnership, Ethridge, $26,668.
David Ratzburg, Ledger, $26,482.
Miles Burd, Galata, $26,147.
Westermark Bros., Devon, $25,875.
Raymond Tomsheck, Ethridge, $25,632.
Gary Aklestad, Galata, $24,155.
Lloyd McLean, Shelby, $24,073.
Dale Kinyon, Galata, $23,897.
Munson Bros., Devon, $23,455.
Pleasant View Farm, Ferdig, $23,424.
Wm. Judisch & Sons & James Judis, Ledger, $22,788.
Boxwell Farms, Sunburst, $22,307.
McAlpine Bros., Sunburst, $22,104.
Lindberg Bros., Ledger, $21,240.
Charles Wiegand, Jr., Shelby, $20,790.
Total payees in county (23), $670,797.

Treasure County

Ted Kallen, Hysham, $26,825.
Harold Zent, Hysham, $24,384.
Total payees in county (2), $51,209.

Valley County

Francis Tarum, Richland, $28,622.
Mogan Ranch Inc., Hinsdale, $26,967.
F. L. Bailey, Glentana, $22,460.
Otto Zerbe, Lustre, $22,025.
Elvin Olfert, Frazer, $20,830.
Robert J. Sweeting, Frazer, $20,619.
C. R. Tvedt, Glasgow, $20,305.
Total payees in county (7), $161,828.

Wheatland County

Martinsdale Colony, Martinsdale, $20,991.

Wibaux County

Harry Begger, Wibaux, $31,353.

Yellowstone County

Pearlie Lee, Billings, $33,515.
Lazy K. T. Ranch, Billings, $25,796.
Kemph Land & Livestock Co., Custer, $24,306.
Vale Creek Ranch, Billings, $23,303.
Glenn E. Potts, Custer, $20,837.
Glenn Frey, Billings, $20,812.
Orville Lane, Molt, $20,426.
Walter John Baxter, Laurel, $20,192.
Total payees in county (8), $189,187.
Total payees in State (309), $9,241,497.

NEBRASKA

Adams County

Ruth Hunt, Hastings, $49,909.
Mary Lanning Hosp., Hastings, $28,947.
Total payees in county (2), $78,856.

Antelope County

Dwight Morrison, Clearwater, $22,435.

Boone County

Choat & Sons, Inc., Albion, $40,970.
Dobson Brothers, Cedar Rapids, $39,051.
Wesley Mansfield, Tama, $31,993.
Wolf Bros. & Reich, Albion, $29,013.
Thomas Kennedy, Newman Grove, $25,906.
Kayton & Kayton Farms, Cedar Rapids, $23,592.
H. E. Nore, Genoa, $21,909.
Total payees in county (7), $212,434.

Box Butte County

Jessen Farms, Inc., Lodgepole, $42,045.
Edward Jelinek, Alliance, $41,919.
Garland Fisher, Hemingford, $34,074.
Robert R. Elliott, Solvang, $28177.
Eugene Schefcik, Alliance, $25,561.
Harry Cullan, Hemingford, $22,677.
Gary M. Benda, Alliance, $22,611.
Nagaki Bros. and H. Nakatsu, Alliance, $20,102.
Total payees in County (8), $237,166.

Brown County

Kenneth O'Hare, Ainsworth, $25,575.
Warren L. Walz, Ainsworth, $22,214.
Francis E. Bejot, Ainsworth, $22,183.
Total payees in county (3), $69,972.

Buffalo County

Mark Randall, Gibbon, $29,812.
Ernest Moss, Shelton, $25,619.
Western Alfalfa Corp., Odessa, $24,993.
Kearney Munn. Airport, Kearney, $24,355.
Delbert Lewis, Gibbon, $21,921.
Harold Moles, Elm Creek, $21,751.
Holtz Farms, Shelton, $20,620.
Loren Niemack, Shelton, $20,405.
Total payees in county (8), $189,476.

Burt County

John Tobin & Sons, Tekamah, $55,697.
Hundahl Farms, Tekamah, $49,465.
Tobin Ranch, Decatur, $37,726.
Chatt Bros. Renters, Tekamah, $31,235.
Van Newell, Tekamah, $27,840.
Cecil Ives,, Tekamah, $27,610.
Lillie Schnieder, Tekamah, $23,370.
Leonard Eriksen, Craig, $23,217.
Mariane Tobin, Tekamah, $22,321.
Richard A. Goll, Tekamah, $21,224.
Tobin & Englert, Tekamah, $20,962.
Harel Corp., Lyons, $20,906.
Elliott Bros., PTNP, Tekamah, $20,872.
Lowell Devasure, Tekamah, $20,117.
Total payees in county (14), $402,562.

Cass County

Walter Marolf, Waverly, $20,450.

Chase County

Leslie E. Smith & Sons, Inc., Imperial, $46,578.
Lester Mort, Venango, $39,090.
Yaw Farms, Inc., Champion, $37,681.
Bernard O'Neil, Wauneta, $32,483.
Maddux Cattle Co., Wauneta, $30,826.
Hughes Farms, Inc., Imperial, $27,446.
Harold D. Hughes, Venango, $27,200.
Stenger Pribbeno, Lt., Imperial $25,621.
J. K. Cox and Aryln Cox, Champion, $22,227.
Jay Dean Krueger, Amherst, $20,227.
Total payees in county (10), $309,379.

Cheyenne County

Robert R. Rauner, Sidney, $23,154.

Clay County

A. J. Skalka and Sons, Deweese, $33,333.
Woods Bros. & Ells, Fairfield, $29,910.
Harold F. Smith, Harvard, $25,853.
Russell Swanson, Clay Center, $25,198.
Robert H. Kinyoun, Clay Center, $22,904.
Ralph Wilson and Son, Edgar, $22,596.
Grove Corporation, Edgar, $22,388.
Hajny Brothers, Clay Center, $22,343.
Rolland Hakanson, Fairfield, $20,631.
Total payees in county (9), $225,156.

Colfax County

F. J. Higgins Farms, Inc., Schuyler, $87,347.
A. Glenn Kluck, Richland, $29,987.
L. and Wagner Co., Schuyler, $29,597.
Clifford McBurney, Rogers, $23,044.
Total payees in county (4), $169,975.

Cuming County

Albers Dehy Co., Wisner, $44,326.

Custer County

Reuben R. Squier, Anselmo, $39,375.
Pirnie Brothers Cattle Co., Weissert, $32,411.
Harry W. Henry, Oconto, $31,332.
G. C. Hostick, Merna, $23,986.
Clyde Bartak, Merna, $23,583.
John S. Koubek, Arnold, $21,348.
Total payees in county (6), $172,035.

Dakota County

Leo C. Andersen, Dakota City, $60,838.
Beermann Farms Inc., Dakota City, $52,776.
Total payees in county (2), $113,614.

Dawes County

William J. Iodence, Hemingford, $29,459.
Peddicord Cattle Co., Chadron, $26,018.
Pepper Creek Ranch Inc., Hay Springs, $25,252.
Clifford Bergfield, Chadron, $23,819.
Total payees in county (4), $104,548.

Dawson County

Central Alfalfa Inc., Lexington, $38,469.
Dawson County Feed Prod. Inc., Lexington, $37,312.
Platte Valley Products Inc., Lexington, $32,938.
Noel Cover, Cozad, $28,390.
George Maloley & Sons, Lexington, $26,220.
Allan Armbruster, Cozad, $24,827.
Aden Bros., Gothenburg, $24,616.
Gruber Land & Cattle Co. Inc., Cozad, $23,182.
Harold W. Prosser Company, Lexington, $21,134.
Circle B. Farms Inc., Cozad, $20,638.
Juhl Smith, Cozad, $20,035.
Total payees in county (11), $297,761.

Deuel County

W. H. Palser Farms, Inc., Big Springs, $54,690.
Grace Land and Cattle Co., Lewellen, $33,680.
Kjeldgaard Farm, Inc., Big Springs, $25,499.
Joe Hertje, Big Springs, $25,041.
Bailey Partnership, Big Springs, $22,733.
Carlson Ranch, Inc., Chappell, $21,701.
Total payees in county (6), $183,344.

Dodge County

Harland S. Milligan, Hooper, $29,927.
Donald Flamme, North Bend, $23,137.
Stanley F. Jaiser, Fremont, $22,274.
Total payees in county (3), $75,338.

Douglas County

B. Johnson Exec, Elkhorn, $27,507.
Wedak Farms, W. R. Wedberg, Valley, $25,336.
Hans Neumeyer, Bennington, $25,010.
Tony Vencil, Waterloo, $20,911.
Bruhn Packing Co., Elkton, $20,466.
Total payees in county (5), $119,230.

Dundy County

Dan Ellis, Benkelman, $26,119.
B. E. Gottschalk, Benkelman, $20,863.
Total payees in county (2), $46,982.

Filmore County

Dale Lovegrove, Est., Fairmont, $40,787.
Karl C. Brinkman, Geneva, $40,167.
Alfs Imp. & Well Drlg. Co., Shickley, $39,472.
Robert Bettger, Fairmont, $38,503.
Robert D. Lovegrove, Fairmont, $25,945.
Keith Burt, Grafton, $25,297.
Harold F. Roush, Shickley, $24,272.
Robert B. Waring, Jr., Geneva, $23,896.
John W. Wilkins, Geneva, $23,582.
Bruce Nedrow, Fairmont, $23,077.
Ashby Farms, Geneva, $22,970.
Ernest Stengel & Sons, Shickley, $21,661.
Ralph Softley, Fairmont, $20,977.
O'Connor Brothers, Fairmont, $20,933.
Chet Chaney, Geneva, $20,666.
Total payees in county (15), $412,205.

Franklin County

Kahrs Farms, Inc., Bloomington, $32,879.
W. J. Bach, Riverton, $30,576.
Wayne Ziebarth, Wilcox, $26,427.
Calvin Soucie, Upland, $25,862.
John Gardels, Wilcox, $23,579.
Charles R. Harrison, Naponee, $21,850.
Total payees in county (6), $161,173.

Furnas County

Johnson Bros. & Jones, Cambridge, $76,258.
Lusking Farm & Ranch Crop., Oxford, $30,250.
Kenneth Carpenter, Sr., Arapahoe, $27,272.
Ten Bensel & Sons, Cambridge, $24,118.
Eldin Haussermann, Arapahoe, $20,352.
Total payees in county (5), $178,250.

Gage County

Flo Wal Farms, Inc., Dewitt, $33,179.
John Krause & Sons, Adams, $24,969.
Total payees in county (2), $58,148.

Garden County

Leo Jessen, Oshkosh, $64,519.

Gosper County

Henry Schilling, Elwood, $20,135.

Greeley County

James H. Dugan, Greeley, $44,510.
Marvin W. Dugan, Greeley, $31,045.
Harry E. Cockerill, Spalding, $23,345.
Raymond Dugan, Greeley, $20,292.
Total payees in county (4), $119,192.

Hall County

Wm. Packer and P. H. Packer Ptnrs, Wood River, $36,570.
Loren Walter Ivan Bilslend Ptn., Wood River, $32,762.
Ralph Mettenbrink, Grand Island, $22,454.
Donald L. Rohrich, Wood River, $21,956.
Leo F. Thelen, Wood River, $21,753.
Clifford R. Woodman and Son, Kenesaw, $21,588.
Hayse and Derr Partners, Wood River, $21,406.
Dobesh Farms, Inc., Wood River, $20,975.
Robert Boeka, Wood River, $20,569.
Total payees in county (9), $220,033.

Hamilton County

Farm, Inc., Aurora, $44,975.
Kreutz Bros., Inc., Giltner, $42,574.
Ed. L. Ediger, Hampton, $20,116.
Total payees in county (3), $107,665.

Harlan County

Bernard Lueking, Oxford, $31,870.
Brown Cattle Company, Oxford, $22,738.
Total payees in county (2), $54,608.

Holt County

Fred Horne, Jr., Atkinson, $54,086.
Wm. A. Curry, Columbus, $29,451.
Total payees in county (2), $83,537.

Jefferson County

A. L. Rosener and Sons, Daykin, $58,348.
Stanley A. Snyder, Fairbury, $33,576.
Glenn Ebbers, Daykin, $20,119.
Heasty W. Reesman, Falls City, $20,099.
Total payees in county, (4), $132,142.

Kearney County

Wells Brothers, Axtell, $34,995.
Kermit Felzien, Minden, $25,704.
Stadler Bros., Minden, $21,520.
Herbert Swanson, Wilcox, $20,886.
Paul Warp Enterprise, Minden, $20,723.
Total payees in county (5), $123,828.

Keith County

Palser and Palser, Big Springs, $41,755.
McGinley Schilz Co., Brule, $37,231.
Milford Krajewski, Ogallala, $32,773.
Walter Armstrong, Brule, $28,862.
Beal Enterprises, Inc., Brule, $28,191.
Rankin Prochaska, Inc., Ogallala, $28,188.
Norbert Krajewski, Ogallala, $27,561.
Haldeen, Inc., Brule, $23,371.
George Vasa, Ogallala, $22,547.
Nichols and Son, Inc., Ogallala, $21,637.
Marion Kenfield, Big Springs, $21,063.
Total payees in county (11), $313,179.

Kimball County

Raymond Jessen, Lodgepole, $32,869.
Wayne Kennedy, Kimball, $24,467.
Earl Yung, Kimball, $21,006.
Total payees in county (3), $78,342.

Lancaster County

Warner Hereford Farm, Waverly, $32,690.
Schwaninger Bros., Hallam, $25,387.
Loren E. Schwaninger, Princeton, $23,247.
Total payees in county (3), $81,324.

Lincoln County

Miron Moore, Sutherland, $31,477.
Gothenburg Feed Products Co., Gothenburg, $28,655.
Stewart H. Evans, Wallace, $25,561.
Paul Weinman, Arnold, $23,892.
Total payees in county (4), $109,585.

Madison County

Elkhorn Valley Cattle Co., Norfolk, $25,554.

Merrick County

Dinsdale Bros., Inc., Palmer, $44,343.
Harry Preisendorf, Grand Island, $23,178.
Clark Williams, Clarks, $21,458.
Total payees in county (3), $88,979.

Morrill County

Abel Inv., Co., Gering, $29,287.

Nance County

Sonderup Bros., Genoa, $25,375.
Johnnie Bruna, Belgrade, $25,050.
Total payees in county (2), $50,425.

Nemaha County

A. B. Ritchie, Jr., Auburn, $33,464.
Wm. E. Rogge, Brownville, $25,124.
Lehr Farms, Auburn, $23,847.
Ward G. Adams, Peru, $23,684.
Total payees in county (4), $106,119.

Nuckolls County

Darrell L. Johnson, Edgar, $24,751.
Bruce and L. K. Scroggin, Oak, $22,885.
Boyd or Wilma Jones, Edgar, $22,803.
Vernon L. Corman, Edgar, $21,266.
Waldine Jones, Nora, $20,455.
Total payees in county (5), $112,160.

Otoe County

Forrest Binder, Table Rock, $35,350.
Hammond Farms, Nebraska City, $34,597.
Wirth Bros., Nebraska City, $24,582.
H. Novak, H. Novak, Nebraska City, $20,916.
James Partnership, Nebraska City, $20,640.
Total payees in county (5), $136,085.

Pawnee County

L. T. Lewis, Est., Summerfield, $27,144.
Richard Binder, Table Rock, $20,087.
Total payees in county (2), $47,231.

Perkins County

Svoboda & Hannah, Ogallala, $46,206.
Gerald Krajewski, Venango, $36,933.
Herman Regier, Madrid, $32,635.
Vernon L. Parker, Madrid, $28,760.
Knipp Land Co., Big Springs, $26,494.
G. D. Schneider, Elsie, $26,419.
Charles Washa, Grant, $24,317.
Total payees in county (7), $221,764.

Phelps County

Sam T. Schrock, Jr., Holdrege, $41,577.

Platte County

Arthur Sprunk, Columbus, $20,524.

Polk County

Dewey Anderson, Jr., Omaha, $38,991.
Albertus Larson, Stromsburg, $31,748.
K. D. Strong, Stromsburg, $25,852.
C. R. Carlson, Silver Creek, $22,984.
Van T. Perry, Shelby, $20,228.
Total payees in county (5), $139,803.

Red Willow County

Myers Bros., McCook, $41,269.
Driftwood Farms, Inc., McCook, $33,622.
Friehe Farms, Inc., McCook, $29,217.
Charles Barber, Indianola, $26,800.
Leslie Ruggles, McCook, $21,866.
Total payees in county (5), $152,774.

Richardson County

Stalder Cattle Co., Salem, $48,1o6.
Elmer Duerfeldt, Falls City, $30,263.
Keith Sherburne, Humboldt, $27,412.
Herr Brothers, Humboldt, $24,984.
Nemaha Realty Co., Inc., Falls City, $23,120.
Total payees in county (5), $153,965.

Saline County

Duane Hendrickson, Dewitt, $22,722.

Saunders County

Arthur Gifford, Fremont, $39,545.
Walter E. Adams, Fremont, $27,344.
R. Brown DBA Centinial Farms, Fremont, $26,066.
Foxley & Co., Omaha, $21,414.
Total payees in county (4), $114,396.

Scotts Bluff County

Delmar Zweygardt, Burlington, $123,697.
Everett Dennis, Scottsbluff, $42,609.
Kehn Brothers, Gering, $21,546.
Total payees in county (3), $187,852.

Seward County

A. H. Rolfsmeier, Seward, $23,350.

Thayer County

Ted Currey, Ohiowa, $24,380.
Lewis Duensing, Byron, $20,292.
Total payees in county (2), $44,672.

Thurston County

Willis Leinart, Walthill, $31,599.

Valley County

Melvin Swanson, Arcadia, $24,091.

Washington County

Gilbert Potadle, Herman, $29,059.
Rowe, Inc., Nickerson, $23,804.
Total payees in county (2), $52,863.

Webster County

Delbert Lewis, Red Cloud, $52,685.

York County

Broadwell, Inc., York, $66,862.
Harrington Farms Co., Bradshaw, $61,198.
R. L. Kaliff Ranch Co., York, $46,636.
Logan Otto, York, $43,549.
Wm. H. Otto, York, $23,882.
Total payees in county (5), $242,127.
Total payees in State (256), $7,558,635.

NEVADA

Elko County

Robison & Sorensen, Elko, $46,115.
Magnuson Ranch, Elko, $43,274.
Allied Lnd. & Lvstk., San Francisco, $38,398.
Ellison Ranching Company, Tuscarora, $35,321.
Fernando Goicoechea & Sons, Elko, $24,923.
Total payees in county (5), $188,031.

Humboldt County

Rio King Land & Inv. Co., San Francisco, $28,229.
Clarno Bros., Winnemucca, $22,593.
Total payees in county (2), $50,822.

Nye County

Mizpah Ranch, Pahrump, $63,636.
Tim Hafen Ranches, Inc., Pahrump, $53,-433.
Gordon Mason, Pahrump, $27,697.
Perry L. Bowman, Pahrump, $27,045.
Carberry Crop Dusters, Inc., Fresno, $24,-013.
Alvin L. Bells, Pahrump, $20,968.
Total payees in county (6), $216,792.

Pershing County

Brinkerhoff Ranch, Inc., Lovelock, $60,884.
Herman Dennler, Reno, $50,390.
Total payees in county (2), $111,274.

White Pine County

Copper Sheep Co., Ely, $29,128.
Burton H. Robison, Ely, $26,074.
Henriod Land & Livestock Co., Ely, $22,887.
Total payees in county (3), $78,089.
Total payees in State (18), $645,008.

NEW JERSEY

Monmouth County

William Snyder, Englishtown, $23,046.

NEW MEXICO

Catron County

Frank A. Hubbell Co., Albuquerque, $33,124.

Chaves County

A. W. Langenegger, Hagerman, $76,481.
Hal Bogle, Dexter, $65,105.
J. P. White, Jr., Roswell, $54,533.
H. C. Berry, Dexter, $54,420.
Lincoln County Livestock Co., Roswell, $52,932.
Jack Patterson, Roswell, $42,340.
Willard Watson, Hagerman, $39,009.
Flying H Ranch, Roswell, $37,453.
Clardy Farms, Inc., Roswell, $35,727.
James Norris, Lake Arthur, $34,663.
Jimmy Pack, Roswell, $34,133.
Melvin Pearson, Lake Arthur, $33,561.
R. and B. Co., c/o Bronson Corn, Roswell, $32,887.
Weldon Wagner, Dexter, $31,633.
Poe W. Corn, Roswell, $31,338.
Bonham Farms, Inc., Roswell, $31,260.
Rosendo Casarez, Roswell, $30,609.

Barney E. Green, Jr., Hagerman, $29,974.
Crawford Associated, Roswell, $29,083.
Fletcher Brothers, Dexter, $27,991.
Berry F. Heine, Jr., Roswell, $27,315.
James Grassie, Dexter, $26,076.
Carl Day, Roswell, $25,610.
Inez F. Bogle, Roswell, $25,079.
John H. Trigg, Roswell, $24,907.
Wayne Shipman, Hagerman, $24,623.
F. V. Cauhape, Hope, $24,245.
Marley and Whitney, Roswell, $23,880.
Noling and Noling, Roswell, $23,818.
Larry Waggoner, Roswell, $23,375.
B. F. Crawford, Roswell, $23,300.
Max Wiggins, Hagerman, $22,953.
Schrimsher Bros., Roswell, $22,762.
Delbert M. Robinson, Lake Arthur, $22,229.
H. H. McGee, Roswell, $22,099.
Charles Wagner, Roswell, $22,065.
Donald W. Hatch, Roswell, $21,464.
Robert Hatch, Roswell, $21,322.
John Divine, Roswell, $20,965.
Leroy Miles, Hagerman, $20,848.
Glenn Marshall, Dexter, $20,444.
Total payees in county (41) $1,294,511.

Colfax County

Roy M. Wood, Farley, $31,583.

Curry County

John Garrett and Sons, Clovis, $187,421.
Garrett Corporation, Clovis, $135,640.
James E. and John Garrett, Clovis, $128,465.
Eldon Blackburn, Melrose, $112,530.
George Hammond, Clovis, $111,920.
Lockmiller and Son, Clovis, $102,994.
Lee Ross Hammond, Clovis, $96,388.
C. Elton Green, Clovis, $88,546.
John H. Spearman, Clovis, $83,505.
John Garrett, Jr., Clovis, $82,496.
Dale Elliott, Clovis, $81,609.
John C. White, Clovis, $77,431.
L. R. Talley, Texico, $72,681.
O. H. Pattison, Clovis, $71,547.
Marion Inman, Farwell, $71,459.
Leon Marks, Clovis, $69,440.
Esther L. Van Soelen, Clovis, $68,846.
Crest Agro Inc., Texico, $65,116.
Frank Wicks, Clovis, $64,849.
The Hecht Company, Clovis, $63,948.
Ronnie Mitchell, Clovis, $61,821.
Harold House, Clovis, $61,399.
Malcolm Garrett, Clovis, $60,596.
J. W. Graham, Dimmitt, $59,067.
M. M. Snell Est., Clovis, $58,958.
Sid Pipkin, Clovis, $58,529.
D. D. Myrick, Clovis, $57,943.
J. R. Shumate, Jr., Clovis, $57,936.
John W. Gunter, Jr., Muleshoe, $57,638.
Virgle Harrison, Clovis, $56,456.
Fern Castor, Clovis, $54,597.
Frank Blackburn, Clovis, $54,286.
Haney Tate, Clovis, $53,455.
Cecil Porter, St. Clovis, $51,516.
J. L. Wall, Clovis, $48,617.
Leslie Pattison, Clovis, $48,034.
L. E. Davis, Clovis, $47,827.
Russell Downey, Clovis, $47,359.
C. E. Christian, Farwell, $47,024.
Willie O. Wall, Texico, $46,007.
John W. McIntosh, Clovis, $44,711.
Elbert Mitchell, Clovis, $44,481.
W. W. Bomar, Clovis, $44,431.
Edgar Campbell, Texico, $44,313.
Vernon S. Andrus, Tulia, $44,086.
Otha Lockmiller, Clovis, $43,096.
Archie Baker, Clovis, $42,455.
Joe Paul Cobb, Clovis, $42,002.
Fred Northcutt, Clovis, $41,957.
Dudley Bailey, Clovis, $41,740.
Hershel L. Hughes, Texico, $41,434.
Calvin Stout, Grady, $40,724.
Donald R. Rucker, Clovis, $40,542.
Jack D. Garrett, Clovis, $39,940.
Tom Cobb, Jr., Clovis, $38,984.
Francis L. Decker, Broadview, $38,898.
Ray E. Castleberry, Farwell, $38,817.
Walter Kaltwasser, Farwell, $38,303.
Lester Merrill, Clovis, $37,899.
E. L. Smith, Clovis, $37,675.
Billy J. Dodd, Melrose, $37,298.
N. E. Thompkins, Clovis, $37,228.
Michael Garrett, Clovis, $36,488.
Bobby Wilhite, Clovis, $36,364.
Gilbert Smith, Clovis, $36,184.
Joel Sealey, Clovis, $35,528.
Wayne Martin, Jr., Clovis, $35,524.
R. Wayne Leonard, Clovis, $35,450.
J. C. Eshleman, Clovis, $34,928.
Four Lane Farms, Inc., Clovis, $34,911.
Max L. Kelso, Clovis, $34,637.
George H. Trimble, Texico, $34,464.
Paul P. Harrison, Texico, $34,033.
Jackie Roberts, Clovis, $34,000.
Johnnie Rucker, Texico, $33,858.
Carrel Dennington, Clovis, $33,703.
Michele Demaio, Clovis, $33,178.
L. T. Hanes, Clovis, $33,178.
W. G. Patton, Clovis, $32,907.
Jimmy J. Wallace, Clovis, $32,490.
A. R. Kleeman, Clovis, $32,479.
Gorman Hand, Clovis, $32,329.
L. Conrad Pippin, Clovis, $32,278.
John Fury, Grady, $32,210.
Joe Byron Burford, Texico, $32,068.
Clinton C. Burnett, Broadview, $32,001.
W. E. Thornton, Bovina, $31,879.
E. C. Murrell, Clovis, $31,803.
Jerry Paul, Clovis, $31,777.
Oscar Fryar, Broadview, $31,759.
Eldon Jones, Clovis, $31,454.
Don Harmon, Clovis, $31,009.
Buford Qualls, Clovis, $30,594.
Robert D. Martin, Clovis, $30,491.
J. G. Palmateer, Clovis, $30,473.
Ray Starbuck, Clovis, $30,209.
Elzie Jones, Clovis, $30,153.
Elwin Bailey, Clovis, $30,139.
Herbert Jones, Melrose, $30,029.
B. P. Davis, Clovis, $29,890.
A. Lloyd Grau, Grady, $29,839.
D. Smith Day, Texico, $29,670.
James E. Garrett, Albuquerque, $29,461.
James C. Woods, Grady, $29,378.
Melvin Estes, Melrose, $29,254.
Waymon Mitchell, Clovis, $29,248.
H. W. Harmon, Clovis, $29,082.
Lane K. Goar, Clovis, $28,781.
Edward W. Turner, Clovis, $28,717.
Edith A. Lewis, Clovis, $28,713.
Burns Bros., Clovis, $28,712.
Howard Duncan, Texico, $28,674.
Arvin B. Wood, Broadview, $28,601.
Edgar H. Cooper, Clovis, $28,473.
Phillip Tate, Clovis, $28,189.
Floyd Lofton, Texico, $28,188.
Richard Lee Miller, Clovis, $27,798.
Frank R. Meier, Texico, $27,593.
Charles Petty, Clovis, $27,564.
A. C. Nystel, Abernathy, Tex., $27,448.
Gibbs Rucker, Broadview, $27,430.
Jim Brooks & Sons, Clovis, $27,088.
Clarence Meeks, Farwell, Tex., $26,719.
Robert K. Goar, Clovis, $26,702.
Joe Clark, Jr., Texico, $26,510.
Wayne Burford, Clovis, $26,489.
Roy Williams & Son, Clovis, $26,163.
Steve Myrick, Clovis, $26,052.
Leroy Bailey, Broadview, $25,948.
Sterling Lockmiller, Texico, $25,875.
S. Wayne Snyder, Clovis, $25,745.
G. H. Laney, Melrose, $25,696.
Albert L. Martin, Clovis, $25,192.
James L. Moss, Clovis, $25,141.
Vachrel Ridley, Bellview, $25,100.
W. T. Meeks, Farwell, Tex., $25,091.
John R. Hadley, Jr., Texico, $25,033.
Wilburn Brooks, Clovis, $24,991.
Troy L. Lovett, Texico, $24,770.
Harold S. Holland, Clovis, $24,721.
E. C. Fish, St. Vrain, $24,274.
W. R. Cloud, Clovis, $24,040.
Hubert Qualls, Jr., Clovis, $23,883.
Albert Matlock, Clovis, $23,869.
Andrew Don McCasland, Clovis, $23,851.
Carl Williams, Clovis, $23,744.
Jack Muse, Clovis, $23,647.
N. O. Carpenter, Clovis, $23,625.
C. C. Jackson, Clovis, $23,603.
Jerry Bell, Clovis, $23,527.
H. B. & J. H. Moberly, Clovis, $23,511.
Dean Myers, Clovis, $23,445.
Billy Meeks, Farwell, Tex., $23,433.
Jerry Gale Walker, Texico, $23,175.
Williams Farm & Ranch Corp., Grady, $22,943.
G. H. Harrington, St. Clovis, $22,894.
Victor Stout, Clovis, $22,696.
Wesley Engram, Texico, $22,687.
Albert Chiles, Clovis, $22,666.
Claude Lee Est., Clovis, $22,658.
Arvell Branscum, Portales, $22,584.
Jim Starbuck, Clovis, $22,425.
J. Willard Patterson, Clovis, $22,379.
Elmer White, Grady, $22,272.
Bob Johnson, Clovis, $22,250.
Bob G. Howard, Clovis, $22,230.
J. Ralph Burnett, Broadview, $22,076.
Thomas F. Martin, Clovis, $22,073.
L. A. Hutchins, Broadview, $22,071.
Richard Bain, Clovis, $22,061.
Dwight, Sheriff, Clovis, $21,999.
Gabe Thompson, Vrain, $21,793.
Edwin Ford, Clovis, $21,708.
Ollie Damron, Clovis, $21,674.
Kenneth Davis, Clovis, $21,629.
Glenn Bell, Clovis, $21,617.
W. Earl Willmon, Clovis, $21,587.
John Reid, Clovis, $21,432.
Ronald Minyen, Bovina, $21,291.
Richard Motl, Clovis, $21,211.
Clyde W. Gunnels, Melrose, $21,201.
Ken White, Clovis, $21,191.
W. D. Eshleman, Clovis, $21,024.
Curtis Lay, Melrose, $20,968.
Buddy Pearce, Texico, $20,868.
J. M. White, Texico, $20,823.
L. C. Herington, Farwell, $20,775.
Eugene Scott, Clovis, $20,653.
Joe Roark, Clovis, $20,482.
George B. Luder, Bellview, $20,384.
H. G. Kelley, Texico, $20,351.
Monte Matlock, Clovis, $20,342.
Billie Stout, Clovis, $20,268.
Jack Mixon, Clovis, $20,163.
Total payees in county (194), $7,359,524.

De Baca County

Ramon Perez Ranch Inc., Vaughn, $26,181.

Dona Ana County

Stahmann Farms, Inc., Las Cruces, $55,549.
John R. Tomlin, Las Cruces, $41,688.
J. K. Nakayama & Sons, Las Cruces, $39,227.
J. F. Apodaca Farms Co., Inc., La Mesa, $38,192.
Tony Salopek, La Cruces, $37,756.
Robert S. Hayner Est., Hatch, $37,503.
Carl Wiley, Las Cruces, $35,051.
William F. Hayner, Las Cruces, $33,043.
Sammie Singh, La Mesa, $32,830.
Elias Dominguez, Mesquite, $32,236.
Will Holt, El Paso, $30,548.
Clyde Simpson, Las Cruces, $29,901.
W. E. Esslinger, La Mesa, $29,350.
Ralph R. Hackey, Rincon, $28,675.
P. R. Kuykendall, Las Cruces, $27,665.
Bickley Farms, Anthony, $27,129.
Ernest Harris, Las Cruces, $27,097.
Manuel Morales, Anthony, $26,362.
Chelten Farms Op. Co. Inc., Las Cruces, $26,129.
Jesus Acosta, Berino, $25,860.
Diary Farms Co., Anthony, $25,656.
Thomas S. White, Anthony, $24,729.
Juan V. Navarette, Anthony, $24,265.
Brady B. Porter, Rincon, $23,796.
Harvey Investment Co., El Paso, Tex., $23,548.
W. G. Ikard II, Mesquite, $23,515.
Sergio Mendez, Anthony, $23,264.
Koenigs, Inc., Mesilla Park, $23,185.
Raymundo G. Enriquez, Anthony, $23,163.
David Salopek, Las Cruces, $22,245.
Fred L. Franzoy & Sons, Salem, $22,140.
Charles L. Deerman, El Paso, Tex., $21,853.
Colonia Farms, Las Cruces, $21,661.
C. C. Woodward, La Mesa, $20,883.
Los Puentes Farms, Inc., Las Cruces, $20,879.
Julius P. Archer, Rincon, $20,787.
Frontera Farms, Canutillo, Tex., $20,755.
S. Y. Wilson, El Paso, Tex., $20,032.
Total payees in county (38), $1,068,147.

Eddy County

Don Snodgrass, Roswell, $70,739.
Roy Ingram Co., Inc., Artesia, $50,667.
Bob Morgan, Artesia, $47,351.
Draper Brantley, Carlsbad, $46,414.
Tom E. Vandiver, Artesia, $39,907.
W. I. Johnson, Lake Arthur, $38,785.
Carter Farms, Carlsbad, $33,695.
W. D. Bounds and Son, Carlsbad, $33,530.
S. G. Karr, Artesia, $32,618.
Quentin Rogers, Artesia, $32,382.
Cottonwood Cattle Co., Lake Arthur, $32,263.
B. C. Aaron, Artesia, $29,305.
J. S. Waldrip, Artesia, $27,951.
Tom O. Lee, Jr., Carlsbad, $27,300.
James Thigpen, Lake Arthur, $27,139.
Haldeman Farms, Artesia, $25,132.
Henry H. Grandi, Carlsbad, $23,387.
Floyd Howard, Artesia, $23,260.
James C. Ogden, Loving, $22,856.
Donald Fanning, Artesia, $22,390.
Menefee Bros., Artesia, $21,502.
Walterscheid Bros., Carlsbad, $21,180.
Ralph Pearson, Lake Arthur, $20,976.
G. G. Armstrong & Son, Roswell, $20,817.
Total payees in county (24), $771,546.

Guadalupe County

Eugenio Perez Ranch, Vaughn, $26,803.

Harding County

Jack Lewis, Sedan, $22,959.

Hidalgo County

Weldon Shumaker, San Simon, $87,622.
Richins Brothers, Inc., Animas, $68,036.
H. and W. Farms, Lordsburg, $57,569.
Sidney O. Wright, Animas, $41,262.
Harriet Veck, Animas, $36,510.
R. H. Wamel, Animas, $29,438.
A. G. Ramos, Animas, $28,551.
Massey Farms, Animas, $26,435.
Harry Turner, Lordsburg, $23,400.
Archie Payne, Animas, $22,560.
Total payees in county (10), $421,383.

Lea County

Emma Lawrence, Hobbs, $160,303.
Elvis Jones, Lovington, $65,467.
Hawthorne Bros., Lovington, $50,610.
John Richardson, Lovington, $44,960.
Taylor & Heidel, Inc., Lovington, $43,068.
C. O. Price, Lovington, $40,113.
W. G. Turnipseed, Lovington, $38,104.
G. Bradford Feed Pens, Lovington, $34,964.
Brady Lowe, Lubbock, $32,856.
C. E. Alexander & Sons, Lovington, $32,830.
Joe C. McKown, Lovington, $32,051.
Boyd Bros., Lovington, $28,942.
McClish Farms, McDonald, $30,490.
J. W. Spears, Lovington, $25,943.
Dwain F. Woody, Lovington, $24,706.
R. L. Byrum, McDonald, $24,449.
Harada Bros., Lovington, $21,785.
L. D. Patman, Lovington, $21,441.
J. B. Jeffrey, Lovington, $21,011.
E. L. Richardson, Lovington, $20,996.
Total payees in county (20) $795,089.

Lincoln County

W. R. Lovelace Livestock Co., Corona, $22,804.
Kap D. Kelley, Roswell, $22,011.
Total payees in county (2) $44,815.

Luna County

Jerry Lynn Hilburn, Columbus, $124,382.
Jerry Zachek, Columbus, $58,833.
L. G. Guaderrama, Las Cruces, $51,302.
Teddy Zachek, Deming, $44,528.
Keeler Brothers, Deming, $33,557.
Leo W. Koenig, Deming, $30,462.
H. S. Jackson, Deming, $26,498.
Edward Ray Hooper, Columbus, $25,507.
Hal B. Keeler, Deming, $24,620.
Charlie Ligocky, Deming, $23,655.
G. X. McSherry, Deming, $22,948.
James W. Donaldson, Deming, $20,506.
James E. Keeler, Deming, $20,375.
Total payees in county (13), $507,173.

Otero County

Truett L. Maddox, El Paso, $28,628.
Gene Lutrick, Dell City, $23,655.
Total payees in county (2), $52,283.

Quay County

Odus Rush, McAlister, $50,884.
Ivan Rush, Melrose, $49,506.
D. F. McCasland, McAlister, $47,612.
Charley Roy Best, Grady, $46,630.
Jack Gunn, St. Vrain, $42,577.
J. V. Curtis, Melrose, $40,688.
Edward O. Sumrall, Grady, $38,399.
Jennings Bros., McAlister, $37,806.
Olen L. Yocom, Tucumcari, $32,368.
Bruce A. Runyan, House, $31,615.
H. J. Lee & Sons, Inc., McAlister, $31,442.
J. L. Jordan, Jr., Tucumcari, $26,003.
Northcutt & Sons, Cuervo, $25,736.
Akin & Akin Corp., McAlister, $24,702.
James J. Harman, Dallas, $24,623.
R. C. Tillman, Grady, $23,924.
R. N. Farmer, Tucumcari, $23,805.
Cecil E. Moon, McAlister, $22,930.
Lewis O. Caton, McAlister, $22,351.
F. B. Terry, McAlister, $21,519.
L. L. Poe, Tucumcari, $20,745.
Luther Bunch, Melrose, $20,539.
Total payees in county (22), $706,404.

Rio Arriba County

Clyde Cheek, La Mesa, $23,532.

Roosevelt County

Brown and Brown, Texico, $40,734.
Harvey L. and Ava L. Balko, Lingo, $40,651.
Thetford and Massey, Rogers, $39,770.
Hadley H. Kern, Morton, $36,631.
Glenn W. Thompson, Morton, $36,583.
Sidney E. Pool, Lingo, $33,313.
Allen Chapman, Portales, $30,941.
C. H. Wall, Portales, $28,304.
Claudie W. Reeves, Portales, $27,868.
E. B. Robbins, Jr., Portales, $27,612.
Pete Field Estate, Portales, $26,385.
Damon B. Lieb, Portales, $24,478.
Harding Burris, Elida, $24,292.
Kermit Gardner, Causey, $23,459.
M. A. Tanner, Morton, $23,445.
Rodney Oak, Portales, $23,171.
William Karl Cox, Rogers, $22,351.
Johnie B. Gammill, Portales, $22,204.
Cary V. Watson, Rogers, $22,168.
James D. Usrey, Portales, $21,461.
Kenneth Victor, Rogers, $21,117.
Loy Kern, Morton, $20,446.
Total payees in county, $617,384.

Santa Fe County

Simmons Brothers, Sandia Park, $24,817.

Sierra County

Price Black Farms, Inc., Arrey, $28,336.

Socorro County

Brawley Bros., Socorro, $40,576.

Torrance County

Hindi Sheep Company, Duran, $22,663.

Union County

Arthur Jernigan, Amistad, $41,120.
Matt D. Irwin, Texline, $29,508.
Rode Dimitroff, Grenville, $25,043.
Wayne Wallace, Clovis, $23,503.
H. D. Newman, Dalhart, $22,670.
Total payees in county (5), $141,844.
Total payees in State (403), $14,060,677.

NEW YORK

Genesee County

My T Acres, Batavia, $36,184.
L. Brooke Farm, Byron, $20,884.
Total payees in county (2), $57,068.

Niagara County

Holman O. Connor, Ransomville, $29,360.

Orleans County

Kludt Brothers, Kendall, $26,791.
Lamont Fruit Farm, Albion, $23,029.
Total payees in county (2), $49,820.

Schoharie County

Shaul Farms, Inc., Fultonham, $28,690.
Total payees in State (6), $164,938.

NORTH CAROLINA

Anson County

Edwin J. Wall, Morven, $34,134.
Norwood E. Teal, Wadesboro, $29,157.
G. Manley Thomas, Morven, $26,040.
John Robinson, Morven, $23,524.
Thomas S. Rhyne, Cheraw, $23,401.
George P. Capel, Wadesboro, $21,275.
Total payees in county (6), $157,531.

Beaufort County

J. B. Bell, Pantego, $52,619.

Bertie County

E. R. Evans & Sons, Inc., Ahoskie, $20,889.

Cleveland County

Morgan & Co., Inc., Shelby, $54,172.
Edwin Y. Harrill, Jr., Shelby, $50,272.
A. M. Boggs & Sons, Fallston, $36,013.
Billy Wilson, Shelby, $28,879.
Roy Cochran, Shelby, $25,851.
Glenn Sperling, Waco, $23,615.
James A. Blalock, Boiling Springs, $20,388.
Total payees in county (7), $239,190.

Currituck County

James H. Ferebee & Son, Shawboro, $41,373.
Total payee in county (1), $41,373.

Edgecombe County

M. C. Braswell Farms, Battleboro, $62,174.
W. J. Eason, Jr., Tarboro, $30,377.
R. R. Brake, Jr., Battleboro, $27,487.
Peoples Bank, Rocky Mount, $22,016.
Wiley Bulluck, Battleboro, $21,739.
W. S. Clark & Sons, Inc., Tarboro, $20,949.
Total payees in county (6), $184,742.

Halifax County

Carolina Peanut Co., Enfield, $40,837.
Charles L. Tillery, Halifax, $25,200.
L. Graham Whitehead, Scotland Neck, $24,718.
A. H. & W. C. Taylor, Gumberry, $24,639.
Cecil Moone, Enfield, $23,221.
Bill Pickette, Scotland Neck, $22,111.
Ronald C. Fleming, Scotland Neck, $21,706.
Jack Fleming, Scotland Neck, $20,782.
Total payees in county (8), $203,214.

Harnett County

First National Bank of East North Carolina, Dunn, $35,148.
R. A. McLamb, Dunn, $22,263.
Total payees in county (2), $57,441.

Hoke County

J. G. Balfour, Lumber Bridge, $37,092.
R. L. Gibson, Red Springs, $33,592.
W. S. Thomas, Raeford, $31,647.
Earl Hendrix, Raeford, $30,920.
Dundarrach Trading Co., Laurinburg, $30,812.
Robert A. Wright, Raeford, $27,850.
J. K. McNeill Farms, Raeford, $26,717.
James D. McGougan, Lumber Ridge, $26,571.
F. C. McPhaul, Red Springs, $23,025.
R. E. Neeley, Raeford, $21,612.
Julian Love, Raeford, $20,833.
Total payees in county (11), $310,671.

Lenoir County

Parrott Farms, Kinston, $25,056.

Lincoln County

Joe Kiser, Vale, $21,367.

Montgomery County

Robert Chappell, Candor, $41,496.
Mack Chappell, Candor, $25,327.
Total payees in county (2), $66,823.

Moore County

Earl R. Parsons, Candor, $23,100.

Northampton County

John G. Burgwyn, Jackson, $32,634.
M. C. Dunlow, Gaston, $32,084.
Reuben Turner, Garysburg, $29,797.
William R. Johnston, Weldon, $27,494.
Henry Bennett, Rich Square, $26,472.
J. C. Edwards, Jr., Pendleton, $21,046.
J. Bennett Stephenson, Garysburg, $20,312.

Bill Turner, Seaboard, $20,242.
Total payees in county (8), $210,081.

Pitt County

F. L. Blount, Jr., Greenville, $23,747.

Richmond County

Myers Waddell, Rockingham, $25,281.
Cecil Sikes, Wadesboro, $21,388.
Total payees in county (2), $46,669.

Robeson County

McNair Farms, Laurinburg, $372,739.
Waccamaw Bank and Trust Co., Lumberton, $70,428.
Allen M. Shook, Red Springs, $67,953.
D. D. McColl, St. Pauls, $66,169.
Maxton Supply Co., Maxton, $57,147.
Bassil Gentry, Maxton, $37,258.
Neil Watson, Maxton, $36,602.
Arthur Norman Emanuel, Shannon, $34,178.
W. A. Taylor, Lumberton, $30,909.
William A. Davis, Rowland, $30,256.
Lewis R. McNeill & Davis, Red Springs, $29,513.
Bill Herndon, Parkton, $27,979.
K. M. Biggs, Inc., Lumberton, $27,485.
Tom McCrimmon, Rowland, $25,885.
E. D. McGougan, Lumber Bridge, $25,677.
B. E. Simpson, Shannon, $25,219.
David Dunn, Jr., Maxton, $24,684.
R. E. Parnell, Parkton, $24,349.
James M. McNair, Maxton, $23,267.
Samuel Britt, Lumberton, $22,793.
W. B. Townsend Farms, Red Springs, $21,099.
D. M. Lytch, Maxton, $20,494.
J. P. Buie, Red Springs, $20,448.
Total payees in county (23), $1,122,531.

Scotland County

Southern National Bank, Laurinburg, $161,260.
J. T. John Co., Inc., Laurinburg, $88,123.
A. R. McMillan, Jr., Wagram, $60,570.
Z. V. Pate, Inc., Laurel Hill, $60,431.
John Carmichael, Laurinburg, $54,683.
James R. McKenzie, Laurinburg, $53,279.
J. N. Gibson, Jr., Gibson, $42,634.
Sinclair Corp., Laurinburg, $38,485.
Evans Brothers, Laurinburg, $35,388.
John M. & Archibald McLean, Wagram, $30,590.
Jesse Snead, Laurinburg, $30,475.
Richard Tatum, Laurinburg, $26,875.
Edwin Snead, Laurinburg, $25,648.
William P. Carmichael, Laurinburg, $25,357.
Howard E. Gibson, Laurinburg, $24,928.
Blue Investment Co., Laurinburg, $24,909.
Myrtle C. Snead, Laurinburg, $23,958.
Emerson Langley, Laurinburg, $23,316.
A. D. Gibson Store, Laurel Hill, $22,996.
Ralph Usher, Laurinburg, $22,422.
James P. Wall, Wagram, $21,109.
P. B. and J. M. McGregor, Gibson, $21,001.
Total payees in county (22), $918,437.

Union County

D. L. Kimbrell, Indian Trail, $20,974.
Wade H. Howey, Waxham, $20,021.
Total payees in county (2), $40,995.
Total payees in State (106), $3,766,446.

NORTH DAKOTA

Adams County

Harold Arndorfer, Hettinger, $26,493.
Russell E. Bohne, Reeder, $22,361.
Morris C. Merwin, Haynes, $21,772.
Robert SRB, Mott, $21,557.
Total payees in county (4), $92,183.

Barnes County

Vernon Triebold, Oriska, $24,030.

Benson County

Dwayne & Ardon, Brinsmade, $27,975.
Leo Studness, Churchs Ferry, $22,254.
James McCarty, York, $21,634.
John R. Beckstrand, Warwick, $20,904.
Mervin Logan, Sheyenne, $20,011.
Total payees in county (5), $112,778.

Bottineau County

Ballantyne Brothers, Westhope, $37,542.
The Witteman Company, Mohall, $35,390.
Willis Glinz, Newburg, $34,823.
Norman Glinz, Bottineau, $32,523.
Glenn Sletto, Willow City, $23,320.
Pearson Bros., Sherwood, $23,233.
George C. Adams, Lansford, $20,759.
Total payees in county (7), $207,590.

Bowman County

William Stegner, Rhame, $22,951.
Melvin Miller, Rhame, $22,780.
Joe & James Bagley, Rhame, $21,611.
Total payees in county (3), 67,342.

Burke County

Earl Schwartz, Kenmare, $23,257.
Durick Brothers, Columbus, $20,793.
Total payees in county (2), $44,050.

Burleigh County

Walter Krueger, McKenzie, $46,409.
Patterson Land Company, Bismarck, $33,206.
Monty Burke, McKenzie, $20,559.
Total payees in county (3), $100,174.

Cass County

Wm. & Geo. Sinner/E. Bresnahan, Casselton, $32,448.
Donald E. Warner, Mapleton, $25,785.
Giermann Partnership, Wheatland, $22,111.
Brandt Bros., Moorhead, $21,609.
Ruliffson Brothers, Mapleton, $20,259.
Total payees in county (5), $122,212.

Cavalier County

Marvin Mikkelsen, Langdon, $24,566.

Dickey County

Alamo Farms, Oakes, $40,127.

Divide County

David Jensen, Grenora, $35,351.
Lawrence Hagen, Alamo, $30,782.
Total payees in county (2), $66,133.

Eddy County

Norman Birkeland, Sheyenne, $25,308.

Foster County

Louis S. Reimers, Carrington, $34,022.
Botts Feed Lots, Inc., Carrington, $23,966.
James P. Carr, Carrington, $22,499.
Total payees in county (3), $80,487.

Golden Valley County

Lloyd Weinreis, Golva, $40,279.
Donald Kittelson, Beach, $32,900.
Richard Nagel/Werner Kippley, Beach, $23,544.
Lawrence Kukowski, Beach, $22,180.
Total payees in county (4), $118,903.

Grand Forks County

John W. Scott, Gilby, $43,050.
Ryan Farms, East Grand Forks, $32,625.
Art Greenberg, Grand Forks, $27,162.
Elk Valley Farms, Larimore, $27,053.
Alfred M. Helland, Fordville, $25,517.
J. A. Cronquist, Gilby, $22,987.
George Stadstad, East Grand Forks, $22,327.
Forest River Colony, Fordville, $21,593.
John S. Dean, Hatton, $21,206.
Total payees in county (93), $243,520.

Hettinger County

Swindler Bros., Mott, $62,341.
Benjamin Schaible, Mott, $56,844.
John F. Swindler, Mott, $54,459.
Milton Hertz, Mott, $45,928.
Marion Swindler, Mott, $41,900.
August C. Kirschemann, Mott, $37,363.
Nick & Barbara Rettinger, New England, $25,973.
Arthur Schaible, Mott, $24,896.
Jakie Hummel, Mott, $24,012.
Fred J. Hoherz, Bentley, $23,742.
Raymond K. Bieber, Mott, $23,435.
Jos. P. Grundhauser, New England, $22,349.
Andrew J. Rettinger, New England, $22,152.
W. H. Hertz, Mott, $21,801.
Larry Stang, Regent, $21,575.
Carl Schauer, Dupree, $21,074.
Ronald L. Swindler, Mott, $21,054.
Wilmer Zenker, New England, $20,546.
Ralph P. Lutz, New England, $20,259.
Allan & Willa Rustan, New England, $20,206.
Total payees in county (20), $611,909.

Kidder County

Ward Whitman, Robinson, $41,359.
Fred A. Bon, Robinson, $25,553.
Total payees in county (2), $66,912.

LaMoure County

Rott Bros., Nortonville, $33,623.
Reuben L. Schlenker, Edgeley, $29,323.
Total payees in county (2), $62,946.

McHenry County

Nick Haman, Towner, $22,505.

McKenzie County

Peter A. Nygaard, Williston, $40,964.
L. A. Powell, Alexander, $30,056.
Joe Gudbranson, New Town, $27,660.
Lester Wisness, Keene, $21,974.
Loyd Stevens, Williston, $21,063.
Total payees in county (5), $141,717.

McLean County

Earl Hanson, Emmet, $22,451.
Richard Kerzman, Roseglen, $20,847.
Joe Rensch, Makoti, $20,000.
Total payees in county (3), $63,298.

Morton County

Wachter Ranch, Bismarck, $54,777.
Burton Hoovestol, New Salem, $25,720.
Total payees in county (2), $80,497.

Mountrail County

Otto Engen, Minot, $55,198.

Nelson County

J. P. Lamb Land Co., Michigan, $26,082.
Raymond F. & Frances C. Lamb, Michigan, $22,195.
Roscoe W. Kelly, Niagara, $20,879.
Louis J. Hamel, Mapes, $20,111.
Total payees in county (4), $89,267.

Pembina County

Bert Johnson, Walhalla, $40,333.
Bert Olson & Sons, Glasston, $35,198.
Warner Bros., Pembina, $32,186.
Morrison Bros., Neche, $30,720.
F. Kent Vosper, Neche, $30,428.
Hector Walleghem, Bowesmont, $27,959.
Laurence Gapp, Walhalla, $27,062.
J. G. Hall & Sons, Edinburg, $26,799.
Leonard Schwartz, Hensel, $25,586.
Gary Longtin, Backoo, $25,580.
Tucker & Green, St. Thomas, $24,988.
Stanley Metelmann, Walhalla, $24,396.
Gunderson Bros., Bathgate, $24,293.
Gordon Halcrow, Drayton, $23,314.
Kathryn Grube, Pembina, $23,034.
Patrick Mahar, Cavalier, $22,512.
Aaland and Robbins, Cavalier, $22,379.
W. Ross Brown, Bathgate, $22,379.
Jack Karel, Walhalla, $21,729.
Mevin Juhl, Bowesmont, $21,350.
Whelan Bros., St. Thomas, $21,117.
Total payees in county (21), $553,342.

Pierce County

Archie Lindseth, Silva, $21,002.

Ramsey County

John F. Elsperger, Cando, $36,120.
Leo Langton, Southam, $32,990.
Robert L. Weed, Devils Lake, $27,073.
Herman Dimmler, Crary, $26,917.
Duane Bauerle, Churchs Ferry, $24,777.
John & Henry Mertens, Devils Lake, $24,422.
J. Braaten, H. Braaten, R. Braaten, Crary, $23,020.
Leo Labarre, Webster, $20,066.
Total payees in county (8), $215,385.

Ransom County

William R. Huether, Lisbon, $29,031.
L. J. L. Ranch, Engelvale, $25,138.
William D. Huether, Lisbon, $24,357.
Roland D. Magill, Verona, $23,711.
Total payees in county (4), $102,237.

Richland County

Dotzenrod Bros., Wyndmere, $29,801.
Darrell R. Miller, Wahpeton, $29,357.
Ray Kummer, Colfax, $23,899.
Richard Ista, Fargo, $20,871.
Jubert Malme, Wahpeton, $20,579.
Total payees in county (5), $124,507.
Ben Mickelson, Rolla, $20,963.

Rolette County

Ben Mickelson, Rolla, $20,963.

Sargent County

G. A. Klefstad, Forman, $24,977.
Ralph Breker, Cayuga, $20,204.
Total Payees in county (2), $45,181.

Sioux County

Peter Sittner, Lemmon, $26,343.

Slope County

Frank Dilse, Scranton, $56,997.
Maurice O'Connell, New England, $48,344.
Harold Brooks & Sons, Bowman, $32,468.
Stuber Ranch, Bowman, $31,711.
H. J. Burke & Sons, Bowman, $25,125.
Teddy Dale Dilse, Scranton, $23,757.
Leroy Sadler, Amidon, $21,926.
Thomas G. Powell, Bowman, $21,509.
Gordon Teske, Scranton, $20,646.
Total payees in county (9), $282,483.

Stark County

Ray Reich, Richardton, $21,041.

Steele County

E. A. Brag, Hope, $29,659.

Stutsman County

Hoggarth Brothers, Courtenay, $64,702.
Arvel Glinz, Jamestown, $53,180.
David Glinz, Pingree, $52,281.
Eddy Farms, Daytona Beach, $46,962.
Freddie Mutschler, Wimbledon, $30,722.
Lawson Posey, Edmunds, $26,497.
Eugene Rott, Jamestown, $23,289.
Carl Frey, Jamestown, $22,813.
Kropp Farms, Jamestown, $20,989.
Total payees in county (9), $341,435.

Towner County

W. A. Schmidt, Bisbee, $33,273.
Neil S. Gibbens, Calvin, $22,033.
Total payees in county (2), $55,306.

Traill County

John S. Dalrymple, Jr., Hillsboro, $50,800.
Viker Farms, Halstad, $24,153.
Total payees in county (2), $74,953.

Walsh County

Boone Farms, Grafton, $36,489.
Dolan Brothers, Grafton, $36,101.
Harley Kingsbury Co., Grafton, $35,623.
L. E. Tibert Co., Voss, $32,032.
John D. Miller, Minto, $30,900.
Ardell Fortier, Drayton, $26,329.
Campbell Bros., Grafton, $20,590.
James Johnston, Grafton, $20,546.
Norman Burns, Grafton, $20,534.
Total payees in county (9), $259,144.

Ward County

Earl Schwartz Co., Kenmare, $45,582.
C. Morris Anderson, Minot, $44,538.
Henry Neshem, Jr., Berthold, $26,954.
Total payees in county (3), $117,074.

Wells County

Weckerly Bros., Hurdsfield, $30,781.
Carlton Larson, Sykeston, $26,112.
Total payees in county (2), $56,893.

Williams County

Earl Bauste, Buford, $38,511.
James Alfson, Williston, $32,637.
George & Thomas Layon, Williston, $24,519.
Hodenfield Bros., Ray, $24,065.
Total payees in county (4), $119,732.
Total payees in State (176), $5,030,352.

OHIO

Allen County

Moeller Land & Cattle, Spencerville, $21,332.

Butler County

Glenn R. Bonham, Hamilton, $26,802.

Champaign County

Roy Laughman, Urbana, $34,917.
Avery Linville, Urbana, $28,930.
Total payees in county (2), $63,847.

Clark County

Michael Sons, Urbana, $27,129.
Roger Thompson, Charleston, $23,949.
Total payees in county (2), $51,078.

Clinton County

Midwest Farms John Goings, Sabina, $33,320.

Delaware County

Delca Inc., Leonardsburg, $20,128.

Erie County

Wensink Brothers, Monroeville, $20,534.

Fairfield County

George Neeley, Lancaster, $21,991.

Fayette County

John Leland, Washington, $23,036.
B. B. Pettit, Bloomingburg, $22,512.
Total payees in county (2), $45,548.

Franklin County

S. Hartman, London, $21,760.

Hardin County

Phoenix Mutual Life Ins. Co., Davenport, $34,680.

Henry County

Eugene Brubaker, Hamler, $34,964.

Lucas County

Wolf Farms, Oregon, $39,049.

Madison County

AG Lands, London, $36,210.
Orleton Farms Co., London, $20,661.
Bill Miller, London, $20,030.
Total payees in county (3), $76,901.

Marion County

Ward Walton and Asso., Inc., Sandusky, $101,577.

Miami County

Mark Knoop, Troy, $24,296.

Muskingum County

Cox Brothers, Mills, $20,139.

Paulding County

Donnel L. Dangler, Cecil, $23,602.

Pickaway County

Jean Clow Crites, Ashville, $31,211.

Preble County

Artie Cain, Eaton, $22,167.

Putnam County

A. P. Diller, Ottawa, $21,080.

Sandusky County

Gries Seed Farms, Frmnt., $25,272.
William I. Warner, Vickery, $23,650.
Total payees in county (2), $48,922.

Van Wert County

Marsh Foundation, Van Wert, $20,291.
Total payees in county, $20,291.

Wyandot County

Norman Gottfried, Sandusky, $25,359.
David J. Needs, Upper Sandusky, $24,910.
Mildred Walton, Upper Sandusky, $24,227.
Charles McCarthy, Upper Sandusky, $21,199.
Total payees in county (4), $95,695.
Total payees in State (33), $920,914.

OKLAHOMA

Alfalfa County

Mark Ginder, Cherokee, $28,833.

Beaver County

Howard Franz, Turpin, $27,474.
Leroy Hughes & Sons Ptn., Booker, Tex., $20,930.
Total payees in county (2), $48,404.

Beckham County

W. A. Kennemer, Carter, $24,589.
Bobby Ray Reeves, Carter, $22,996.
A. W. Phillips, Sayre, $22,942.
R. E. Jones, Carter, $20,764.
Total payees in county (4), $91,291.

Bryan County

Alvie Grossman, Jr., Chouteau, $21,377.
Ralph Wells, Bennington, $20,958.
Total payees in county (2), $42,335.

Caddo County

S. G. Stevens, Gracemont, $35,368.
O. M. Ulery, Apache, $23,654.
Wayne Krehbiel, Hydro, $20,561.
Total payees in county (3), $79,583.

Canadian County

Margaret Petree, Reno, $33,078.
Nelson A. Davison, Hinton, $27,364.
Doyal Selvidge, Hinton, $20,291.
Total payees in county (3), $80,733.

Cimarron County

L. J. & Clovis Stafford, Keyes, $53,285.
Billy R. Gowdy, Boise City, $38,785.
Dayle M. Oyler, Griggs, $35,035.
Harold J. Froning, Wichita, $34,659.
Lee D. Rice, Boise City, $32,992.
E. C. Mingenback, McPherson, $31,986.
Ocie Smith, Felt, $30,767.
Eugene Sizemore, Boise City, $29,353.
Clifford Hinds, Boise City, $29,112.
Raymond Smalts, Eva, $28,680.
John D. Burrow, Keyes, $27,877.
E. G. Bearden, Boise City, $27,743.
Craig Pugh, Jr., Keyes, $27,662.
Junior J. Heppard, Boise City, $26,720.
Merritt S. Swinburne, Felt, $25,487.
E. H. Allen, Wheeless, $23,938.
Jerrie Thrash, Griggs, 23,336.
George C. Camilli, Jr., Boise City, $23,118.
E. W. Payne, Boise City, $22,300.
J. Nelson Moore, Elkhart, $21,498.
Grover D. Smith, Boise City, $21,309.
Clyde E. Adams, Keyes, $21,244.
W. S. Hinton, Boise City, $20,729.
Lloyd J. Holloway, Wheeless, $20,644.
Guy L. Ottinger, Boise City, $20,139.
Total payees in county (25), $698,398.

Comanche County

Mark Scherler, Faxon, $20,905.
Total payees in county (1), $20,905.

Cotton County

Hertis Baber, Randlett, $38,666.
Royce McGee, Chattanooga, $27,822.
Marvin Scherler, Walters, $26,285.
Total payees in county (3), $92,773.

Custer County

George Fransen, Clinton, $46,495.
J. G. Stratton, Sr., Clinton, $42,068.
Isaac Fransen, Clinton, $34,817.
J. R. Martin, Clinton, $27,904.
Willard Eagan, Arapaho, $26,151.
Boyd Miller, Thomas, $26,151.
Gary Donley, Butler, $22,852.
Lorenz Farms, Clinton, $21,552.
Total payees in county (8), $247,990.

Dewey County

H. L. Christensen, Jr., Custer City, $23,012.

Garfield County

Eddie Zaloudek, Kremlin, $38,014.
Ediger Farms, Jefferson, $23,145.
Louis Zaloudek, Enid, $20,753.
Total payees in county (3), $81,912.

Grady County

Virgil Voigt, Tuttle, $31,117.
Verle Barnes, Tuttle, $30,923.
Earl Campbell, Tuttle, $25,731.
Ralph Jacobs, Okarche, $25,493.
Joe Humphrey, Chickasha, $20,742.
Total payees in county (5), $134,006.

Grant County

C. W. Leforce and Son, Nash, $35,606.

Greer County

Milton Vaughan, Blair, $30,421.
Clinton Nesmith, Mangum, $28,822.
Frankie Johnson, Duke, $27,798.
Jimmy Heatly, Willow, $26,191.

Fite & Fite, Mangum, $20,390.
Total payees in county (5), $133,622.

Harmon County

Paul & Chas. Horton, Hollis, $59,861.
F. E. Motley, Hollis, $49,280.
Shelby & Kirby, Hollis, $41,580.
Elmo Jones, Hollis, $38,099.
Carrick Brothers, Hollis, $36,136.
Arlis Motley, Hollis, $34,025.
David Rath, Hollis, $33,050.
G. D. Payne, Gould, $31,825.
Harold Nichols, Eldorado, $29,631.
Paul Lee, Hollis, $28,164.
Beanland & Sons, Gould, $27,597.
Lee Roy Crawford, Hollis, $27,367.
W. R. Jones, Hollis, $26,512.
Olan Farris, Dodson, $25,880.
H. E. Moore, Hollis, $25,674.
Clay Whorton, Hollis, $24,887.
R. S. Moran, Jr., Hollis, $24,863.
L. H. Christian, Hollis, $24,706.
G. W. Strawn, Eldorado, $24,032.
Tapley P. Jordon, Kealakekula, Hawaii, $23,423.
C. B. Carter, Hollis, $21,819.
W. C. Williams, Jr., Gould, $20,903.
Bourland & Bourland, Hollis, $20,746.
A. C. Mayhugh, Hollis, $20,231.
Regie Lewis, Hollis, $20,192.
Total payees in county (25), $740,483.

Harper County

Robert McClung, May, $25,463.
John P. Tunder, Buffalo, $23,029.
Total payees in county (2), $48,492.

Haskell County

John L. Horn, Webbers, Falls, $22,962.

Jackson County

Wayne Q. Winsett, Altus, $83,007.
Harold Worrell, Altus, $74,691.
Murray R. Williams, Altus, $62,008.
Brewer Bros., Eldorado, $47,348.
Glenn Southall, Altus, $45,109.
Carthal F. Mock & Son, Altus, $45,094.
Roy A. Holsey, Altus, $37,324.
Robert Robbins, Altus, $36,553.
Gordon Thomas, Altus, $36,029.
Monroe B. Karcher, Crowell, $30,999.
Clayton Tinney, Altus, $30,341.
Rodney Hughes, Olustee, $27,894.
John Boyd McMahan, Altus, $27,794.
E. E. & J. P. Weber, Olustee, $27,337.
Laverne A. Fessenden, Olustee, $27,185.
Elton Talley, Altus, $26,725.
Earl E. Abernathy, Altus, $26,420.
Mock Brothers, Altus, $26,078.
Travis Walls, Altus, $25,956.
Harvey R. Pitzold, Altus, $25,755.
Jess N. Walker, Altus, $25,413.
John McLeod, Altus, $23,730
Joseph C. Talbot, Olustee, $23,658.
J. M. Bailey, Altus, $22,345.
Hopson E. Pickett, Altus, $21,493.
Shirley R Dillingham, Blair, $21,473.
Samuel C. Shultz, Altus, $20,998.
Ewell F. Russell, Altus, $20,465.
John A. Richardson, Eldorado, $20,074.
Total payees in county (29), $969,296.

Kay County

Jack Lane, Newkirk, $26,091.

Kiowa County

Charles & Gayl Portwood Planters, Snyder, $34,434.
Glenn & Sam Pfenning Planters, Hobart, $31,350.
E. F. Bunch, Lone Wolf, $27,432.
W. C. Pfenning, Hobart, $26,876.
Paul Edge, Hobart, $25,225.
Total payees in county (5), $145,317.

McLain County

Billy P. Adkins, Norman, $26,194.
Harry S. Phillips, Norman, $23,530.
Freeny Hopkins, Idabel, $21,136.
W. R. Griffith, Newcastle, $20,941.
Total payees in county (4), $91,801.

McCurtain County

Mike Columbus, Harris, $28,815.

Muskogee County

Dick Sheffield, Webbers Falls, $60,742.
Pearson Bros., Webbers Falls, $46,756.
M. C. Finklea Estate, Warner, $21,810.
Total payees in county (3), $129,308.

Noble County

Burdette Garvie, Morrison, $24,394.

Roger Mills County

Bob Allee, Hammon, $21,236.

Sequoyah County

Sloan Farms, Gore, $43,351.

Texas County

H. C. Hitch, Jr., Guymon, $175,541.
Worth Jeffus, Guymon, $61,537.
Irvin Clark, Texhoma, $56,412.
Walter Anderson Estate, Turpin, $49,432.
R. Lee Jr. & R. Lee Sr., Guymon, $47,805.
Fred R. Sweet, Texhoma, $37,032.
Long Brothers, Optima, $36,722.
Paul Weeden, Guymon, $34,882.
Joyce H. Gray, Guymon, $34,438.
Gustav Dencker, Eva, $30,489.
G. G. Freeman, Texhoma, $30,453.
C. F. Webb, Eva, $29,796.
George T. McDaniel, Texhoma, $28,890.
Ivan Joe Simmons, Eva, $25,791.
Roy Mitchell, Elkhart, $25,655.
Lewis Mayer, Hooker, $25,041.
Ralph Grounds, Hooker, $24,746.
Fischer Brothers, Hooker, $23,420.
A. L. Jackson, Jr., Guymon, $23,047.
James Tharp, Hardesty, $22,828.
Christine W. Hitch, Guymon, $21,874.
Brooks Spence, Texhoma, $21,459.
Lester Wiggains, Hooker, $21,369.
Russell Weeks, Guymon, $21,140.
Nash Farm Company, Guymon, $20,128.
Virgil Craig, Guymon, $20,109.
Total payees in county (26), $950,036.

Tillman County

John Wade Kent, Frederick, $37,481.
Kenneth Kimmel, Tipton, $37,205.
H. W. Campbell, Frederick, $32,895.
Galen P. Briggs, Frederick, $32,488.
Dewayne Stout, Davidson, $28,419.
T. G. Jennings, Jr., Tipton, $27,910.
John M. Kinder, Manitou, $26,824.
R. L. Lindsey, Grandfield, $25,332.
Clarence Adams, Frederick, $25,270.
Lester Dickey, Frederick, $23,777.
L. D. Due, Grandfield, $22,590.
W. W. Purdy, Frederick, $22,115.
Edd Kinder, Chattanooga, $21,918.
H. L. Akin, Frederick, $21,441.
Archie W. Gottschall, Frederick, $21,396.
Harry Dotson, Frederick, $21,274.
Smith Land Co., Frederick, $20,493.
Total payees in county (17), $448,828.

Wagoner County

Francis M. Chesbro, Coweta, $23,197.
Clifford C. Hatfield, Coweta, $23,160.
Fred Metzger, Braggs, $22,784.
Total payees in county (3), $69,141.

Washita County

Ridling Bros., Sentinel, $39,311.
Othal L. Bond, Colony, $30,542.
J. L. Celsor, Sentinel, $23,903.
A. W. Dugger, Foss, $20,478.
Total payees in county (4), $114,234.

Woods County

Bouziden Bros., Alva, $75,945.
W. C. Diacon, Alva, $25,313.
Gene McGill, Alva, $24,078.
Ritter Bros., Inc., Alva, $21,925.
Roland Kletke, Alva, $21,669.
Total payees in county (5), $168,930.
Total payees in State (196), $5,882,118.

OREGON

Baker County

Sieg and Sieg, Inc., Baker, $30,639.
Clyde Ward and Sons, Baker, $29,187.
Warren Weishaar, Haines, $22,289.
Total payees in county (3), $82,115.

Benton County

Horning Brothers, Corvallis, $22,840.

Gilliam County

Floyd Anderson, Arlington, $32,281
Sidney Seale, Jr., Condon, $28,638.
Frank Anderson, Heppner, $25,344.
Dyer Farms, Mayville, $21,870.
Steinke Bros., Arlington, $20,347.
Roy Philippi, Jr., Arlington, $20,212.
Van Rietmann, Condon, $20,023.
Total payees in county (7), $168,715.

Jefferson County

Haycreek Range & Cattle, Madras, $65,244.
Kenneth Binder, Madras, $26,537.
Total payees in county (2), $91,781.

Klamath County

O'Connor Livestock Co., Klamath Falls, $51,395.
Tulana Farms, Klamath Falls, $47,344.
Total payees in county (2), $98,739.

Lake County

Renner Ranch, Lakeview, $22,408.
Raymond Padget, Lakeview, $20,515.
Total payees in county (2), $42,923.

Malheur County

Skyline Farms, Ontario, $56,266.
Fine Sheep Co., Nyssa, $32,681.
Hyline Farms Co., Ontario, $30,483.
Shigeno Farms, Inc., Ontario, $27,123.
Murakami Farms, Inc., Ontario, $26,074.
Total payees in county (5), $172,627.

Marion County

Jensen Bros., Silverton, $25,634.

Morrow County

Porter Peringer, Inc., Echo, $48,672.
Ralph S. Crum, Ione, $45,424.
Frank Anderson, Heppner, $30,980.
Marquardt Ranch, Lexington, $30,810.
Amanda S. Duvall, Heppner, $27,213.
Campbell Ranches, Inc., Echo, $26,960.
Krebs Bros., Inc., Cecil, $26,432.
Patrick Cutsforth, Lexington, $24,636.
Lee Petty John, Ione, $24,033.
Shirley Rugg, Heppner, $22,319.
Charles Carlson, Ione, $21,418.
McElligott Brothers, Ione, $20,482.
Total payees in county (12), $349,379.

Polk County

R. L. Walker Farms, Amity, $25,018.
Robert E. Scharf, Amity, $22,766.
Total payees in county (2), $47,784.

Sherman County

W. F. Wallace, Wasco, $21,978.
K 3 Ranches, Wasco, $21,258.
C. A. or Lorraine Tom, The Dalles, $20,224.
Total payees in county (3), $63,460.

Umatilla County

Cunningham Sheep Co., Pendleton, $78,962.
B. L. Davis Ranch, Inc., Adams, $77,039.
Robert G. Bafus, Adams, $61,862.
Johns Smith & Beamer, Athena, $45,807.
Glenn Thorne, Pendleton, $42,612.
McCormmach Bros., Adams, $40,445.
Barnett Rugg, Inc., Athena, $32,692.
Faye Mann, Adams, $32,579.
H. & L. Barnett, Inc., Pendleton, $31,988.
Hill Ranches, Inc., Pendleton, $31,894.
Coppinger & Son Ranches, Echo, $30,401.
Timmermann and Co., Pendleton, $30,353.
Hawkins Co., Inc., Pendleton, $30,296.
T. M. Campbell, Helix, $30,123.
Richard Hampton, Pendleton, $28,819.
H. T. Rea, Inc., Walla Walla, $27,298.
V. R. Ranch, Helix, $27,126.
John P. Weidert, Athena, $26,433.
Roy Howard & Son, Inc., Freewater, $25,863.
R. & T. Ranches, Athena, $25,521.
Don A. Woodward Farms, Inc., Pendleton, $25,344.
Raymond & Son, Inc., Helix, $23,153.
Hoeft Ranches, Inc., Pendleton, $22,899.

Les King, Inc., Helix, $22,793.
O. L. Straughman & Sons, Adams, $22,621.
Robert Rothrock, Adams, $22,598.
S. E. Brogoitti, Helix, $22,067.
Wernsing Coffman, Athena, $20,880.
Price & Ledbetter, Cayuse, $20,370.
Hansell Farms, Inc., Athena, $20,324.
Edwin L. Lawrence, Waitsburg, Wash., $20,-141.
Martin H. Buchanan, Milton Freewater, $20,119.
Total payees in county (32), $1,021,422.

Union County

William H. Cooper, La Grande, $22,258.

Wasco County

The Miller Ranch Co., Bend, $33,179.
Underhill Ranch, Dufur, $24,144.
Total payees in county (2), $57,323.
Total payees in State (75), $2,267,000.

PENNSYLVANIA

Dauphin County

Hyles Hagy, Jr., Dauphin, $39,010.

Lehigh County

Charles J. Haaf, Breinigsville, $20,881.
Trexler Farms, Allentown, $20,633.
Total payees in county (2), $41,514.

Northampton County

Schoeneck Farms, Inc., Nazareth, $72,745.
Howard Seiple, Easton, $38,752.
Green Acre Farms, Nazareth, $28,646.
Louis Yautz, Easton, $25,173.
Keystone Dehydrators, Nazareth, $24,275.
Total payees in county (5), $189,591.

York County

Layola Federal Bldg., Bel Air, $70,652.
Tri Co. Foods Crop, Hanover, $23,039.
Total payees in county (2), $93,691.
Total payees in State (10), $363,806.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Abbeville County

S. F. Sherard, Sr., Calhoun Falls, $26,423.

Aiken County

Robert E. Scott, Decatur, Ga., $38,146.
Mackey Scott & Sons, Aiken, $32,400.
Bennie Willing, Johnston, $31,020.
Milton Stack, Batesburg, $30,688.
McElmurray Farm, Inc., Beach Is., $27,820.
H. C. Coward & Son, Aiken, $25,245.
W. J. Dunbar, Salley, $25,069.
John Anderson, Aiken, $24,576.
K. L. Flanders, Aiken, $23,287.
Garvin Brothers, Wagener, $23,049.
Weeks Farm, Inc., Montmorenci, $22,751.
Total payees in county (11), $304,051.

Allendale County

J. E. Kirkland, Jr., Ulmer, $81,682.
W. M. Terry, Martin, $76,913.
G. L. Rouse, Luray, $42,772.
J. V. Spigener, Allendale, $41,765.
Cecil L. Dunbar, Martin, $38,556.
W. R. Johns, Jr., Allendale, $29,905.
W. Ben Oswald, Allendale, $29,662.
N. B. Loadholt, Fairfax, $29,136.
J. S. McMillan, Allendale, $26,813.
J. C. Oswald, Allendale, $25,844.
H. A. Kearse, Ulmers, $22,433.
W. H. Handberry, Martin, $22,171.
G. M. Brabham, Sycamore, $22,132.
Robert E. Connelly, Ulmer, $21,662.
J. D. Rouse, Luray, $20,842.
S. M. Rice III, Allendale, $20,442.
Total payees in county, $552,730.

Anderson County

Lee Dobbins, Anderson, $47,391.
Thomas E. Garrison, Anderson, $24,414.
Fred Dobbins, Townville, $24,217.
E. J. Wright, Jr., Belton, $21,086.
W. M. Bolt, Anderson, $20,580.
J. E Earle, Anderson, $20,051
Total payees in county (6), $157,745.

Bamberg County

H. D. Free, Bamberg, $51,663.
H. W. Herndon, Bamberg, $45,674.
Francis M. Kearse, Ehrhardt, $30,510.
H. L. Free, Bamberg, $29,592.
George Cogburn, Bamberg, $28,655.
Henry F. Bamberg, III, Bamberg, $27,858.
Otto Boltin, Norway, $22,663.
Total payees in county (7), $236,615.

Barnwell County

Harold R. Lott, Blackville, $45,328.
Bird and Keel, Williston, $40,264.
J. B. Weeks, Jr., Denmark, $27,088.
W. H. Hutto, Jr., Blackville, $26,812.
J. David Bodiford, Blackville, $26,593.
Ted W. Craig, Blackville, $24,908.
Hagood and Richardson, Barnwell, $22,922.
Bruce O. Bates, Williston, $20,311
Total payees in county (8), $234,226.

Berkeley County

Robert F. Marion, Pineville, $28,601.
R. M. and R. C. Dennis Ex., Moncks Corner, $25,817.
Total payees in county (2), $54,418.

Calhoun County

W. W. Wannamaker Seed Farms, St. Matthews, $58,885.
Wiles Farm, Ft. Motte, $51,546.
Calhoun Agri and Inv Co., St. Matthews, $51,165.
Felkel and Hungerpiller, Elloree, $47,064.
Blackwoods Farm, Ft. Motte, $39,700.
W. Wesley Stabler, St. Matthews, $34,137.
D. S. Davis, Swansea, $34,097.
John C. Bickley, Elloree, $31,893.
L. B. Wannamaker, St. Matthews, $28,286.
J. D. Rast and Sons, Cameron, $27,400.
Raymond B. Ayers, St. Matthews, $26,512.
Lang Syne Farm, Ft. Motte, $26,084.
Haigler Bros., Cameron, $25,441.
J. Hubert Robinson, Swansea, $24,582.
G. C. Bull, Cameron, $23,893.
T. D. Arant Jr., Ft. Motte, $20,867.
Total payees in county (16), $551,552.

Cherokee County

Robert G. Scruggs, Gaffney, $65,263.

Chester County

H. H. Robinson, Chester, $47,625.
John H. Neely Jr., Rock Hill, $26,124.
J. H. Chappell, Chester, $23,481.
Total payees in county (3), $97,230.

Chesterfield County

Burr Farms, Cheraw, $43,172.
J. Calvin Rivers Farms Inc., Chesterfield, $31,724.
James Chappell Hurst, Chesterfield, $30,376.
King Farms Inc., McBee, $28,635.
J. Calvin Rivers Jr., Chesterfield, $28,616.
Hector R. McLeod, McBee, $26,434.
James C. Phillips, Cheraw, $25,144.
K. Stewart Laney, Cheraw, $25,010.
J. L. Sutton Jr., Pageland, $24, 997.
Vonelm Crawford, Chesterfield, $24,745.
T. R. and G. E. King, Jr., McBee, $22,435.
Robert C. Burr, Cheraw, $22,173.
James F. Teal, Chesterfield, $21,332.
Benjamin L. Norwood, McBee, $20,767.
William E. Eutsler, Cheraw, $20,655.
Total payees in county (15), $396,215.

Clarendon County

H. Fox Tindal, Pinewood, $65,404.
Samuel E. Durant, Gable, $58,432.
Jack Witherspoon Farms, Inc., Alcolu, $46,-031.
Durant Brothers, Gable, $45,774.
D. C. Elliott, Summerton, $45,572.
Charles N. Plowden, Summerton, $44,638.
D. Leslie Tindal, Pinewood, $39,989.
Paul Burke, Alcolu, $39,281.
W. D. Harrington, Manning, $36,971.
R. V. Elliott, Summerton, $33,225.
Bob Finney, Santee, $32,490.
J. R. Briggs, Summerton, $32,266.
Rickenbacker and Sconyers, Summerton, $31,669.
Felder Farms, Inc., Summerton, $31,161.
C. D. Smith, Jr., Lake City, $30,687.
L. E. Richardson, Summerton, $30,083.
James B. Tidwell, Summerton, $27,257.
Ralph Bell, Jr., Summerton, $26,794.
Nelson Bros., Gable, $25,944.
M. E. Dubose, Manning, $24,922.
L. E. Elmore, Alcolu, $24,610.
R. N. Johnson, Sardinia, $24,376.
John K. Brogdon, Alcolu, $24,342.
Henry T. Everett, Summerton, $23,953.
Leo J. Berry, Manning, $23,649.
O. D. Harvin, Pinewood, $23,295.
Joe Alderman, Jr., Manning, $22,214.
James H. McFaddin, Manning, $22,180.
C & S Farms, Manning, $22,144.
Rickenbacker Gin, Inc., Summerton, $21,-858.
W. W. Rowe, Summerton, $21,827.
R. L. Gayle, Summerton, $21,531.
W. J. Jackson, Manning, $20,916.
W. J. Rawlinson, Manning, $20,257.
Total payees in county (34), $1,064,742.

Colleton County

W. H. Varn, Jr., Inc., Smoaks, $33,601.

Darlington County

Cokers Ped. Seed Co., Hartsville, $91,542.
A. R. Mims, Lydia, $48,995.
William Howard, Jr., Darlington, $47,179.
Posey D. Kelley, Hartsville, $39,931.
Gary E. Byrd, Jr., Hartsville, $36,815.
Raymond Amerson, Lamar, $30,648.
L. L. Gandy, McBee, $30,071.
DeWitt Farms, Inc., Darlington, $28,798.
G. Graham Segars, Hartsville, $27,315.
Gene Ousley, McBee, $26,536.
J. T. Ross, Society Hill, $21,398.
Laurie C. Lawson, Darlington, $21,384.
Total payees in county (12), $450,612.

Dillon County

L. S. McColl, Jr., Little Rock, $55,232.
R. L. McLaurin, Dillon, $48,982.
Henry C. Quick, Jr., Dillon, $48,327.
Lloyd Page, Mullins, $42,129.
H. F. Price & Sons, Hamer, $36,489.
Brown & Marion R. McCallum, Dillon, $32,204.
Bruce Price, Dillon, $31,671.
Pee Dee Growers, Inc., Latta, $30,502.
F. C. Howell Est., McColl, $27,366.
A. M. McDonald, Little Rock, $26,691.
Floyd Johnson, Latta, $26,546.
Neil J. McRimmon, Little Rock, $26,213.
Neil D. McLaurin, Clio, $22,894.
Grady Jones, Dillon, $22,075.
Bernice M. Coleman & John D. Col., Latta, $20,451.
Total payees in county (15), 497,772.

Dorchester County

W. S. and J. D. Canaday, Reevesville, $27,-289.

Edgefield County

Cecil Yonce, Jr., Johnston, $43,009.
C. L. Clark, Trenton, $34,858.
G. C. Holmes & Sons, Johnston, $25,639.
D. C. Herlong, Johnston, $21,720.
Total payees in county (4), $125,226.

Florence County

Billy E. Graham, Olanta, $84,931.
E. S. Willis, Jr., Florence, $62,116.
C. Allan Young, Jr., Timmonsville, $56,574.
Harllee Brothers, Florence, $28,208.
R. M. Fraley, Florence, $21,804.
Total payees in county (5), $253,633.

Greenville County

Allen M. Knight, Belton, $23,198.

Greenwood County

L. B. Adams, Greenwood, $29,608.
Calvin W. Kinard, Ninety Six, $28,503.
Fred P. Henderson, Ninety Six, $22,127.
Total payees in county (3), $80,238.

Hampton County

Hugh T. Lightsey, Brunson, $65,303.
Corrin Bowers, Luray, $31,523.
C. P. Barnes, Estill, $29,711.
David McKenzie, Garnet, $22,382.
W. L. Long, Estill, $21,937.

Jimmie McMillan, Brunson, $20,347.
L. H. Youmans & Sons, Furman, $20,087.
Total payees in county (7), $211,290.

Kershaw County

Lugoff Farms, Inc., Lugoff, $68,415.
Robert M. Marsh, Camden, $36,618.
Total payees in county (2), $105,033.

Laurens County

Billy Deshields, Enoree, $45,030.
J. T. Hollingsworth, Cross Hill, $36,338.
John M. Simmons, Mountville, $26,793.
Total payees in county (3), $105,161.

Lee County

C. E. Atkinson, Bishopville, $97,376.
J. E. Mayes, Mayesville, $96,896.
E. B. McCutchen, Bishopville, $89,253.
H. W. Shaw & Son, Bishopville, $64,194.
C. B. Player, Jr., Bishopville, $63,959.
Marion J. Barnes, Bishopville, $61,848.
H. B. Turner, Mayesville, $60,226.
Richard and Julia Hearon, Bishopville, $59,393.
E. Brad McCutchen, Bishopville, $56,440.
M. N. White, Bishopville, $53,762.
W. A. Berry, Bishopville, $50,968.
R. V. Segars, Sr. Oswego, $47,535.
Willis Woodham, Bishopville, $43,287.
James W. Scott, Jr. Lynchburg, $43,020.
Calvin Joyner, Camden, $41,937.
Clyburn Bros. & Son, Bishopville, $38,696.
Jack Woodham, Bishopville, $37,126.
L. M. Chewning, Bishopville, $37,008.
S. McBride Rhodes, Jr., Mayesville, $36,384.
J. E. Atkinson, Mayesville, $34,994.
C. R. Woodham, Bishopville, $32,820.
Sarah C. Tyndall, Mayesville, $31,353.
B. K. Phillips, Lynchburg, $31,268.
Annette C. Tiller, Mayesville, $30,306.
Wallace Deschamps, Wisacky, $29,133.
Lee West, Jr., Wisacky, $28,170.
B. J. Barnett, Bishop' ille, $27,585.
Wilfred Davis, Oswego, $26,965.
Katherine P. Stokes, Bishopville, $26,918.
Don D. Grant, Lamar, $25,538.
D. D. Grant & Son, Lamar, $24,985.
H. R. Colclough, Bishopville, $24,799.
Harris Hicks, Hartsville, $24,599.
H. W. Atkinson, Elliott, $22,500.
H. L. Johnson, Bishopville, $22,230.
J. E. McCutchen, Jr., Bishopville, $22,188.
Don R. McDaniel, Bishopville, $20,566.
A. L. McCaskill, Jr., Bishopville, $20,474.
Richard Scarborough, Bishopville, $20,469.
Dendy K. Josey, Florence, $20,294.
Total payees in county (40), $1,627,462.

Lexington County

Don W. Jeffcoat, Woodford, $28,655.
John H. Dowd, Swansea, $26,800.
Fogle Brothers, Pelion, $23,697.
Total payees in county (3), $79,152.

Marion County

E. J. Wiggins, Jr., Marion, $51,348.
Hubert Baxley, Marion, $23,059.
D. M. Wiggins, Marion, $22,244.
Total payees in county (3), $96,651.

Marlboro County

Claude P. Polston, Jr., Blenheim, $166,913.
Lawrence E. Pence, McColl, $115,429.
Hubbard W. McDonald, McColl, $96,423.
Thomas A. & Charles O'Neal Blenheim, $83,559.
Charles E. Lynch, Bville, $80,837.
E. M. Otuel, Bville, $56,142.
Ernest C. McInnis Est., Clio, $55,513.
J. Frank McLaurin, Jr., Bville, $47,065.
A. L. Calhoun, Jr., Co., Clio, $46,445.
Frank B. Rogers, Jr., Bville, $45,212.
Hugh Driggers, Blenheim, $43,866.
Maxie H. Kinard, Bville, $42,387.
Jimmy P. Wallace, Bville, $41,697.
Richard M. Adams, Gibson, N.C., $41,059.
Marion F. Wright, Clio, $38,578.
George B. Kerr Inc., Bville, $37,536.
William P. Wallace, Wallace, $36,663.
F. M. Hinson, Bville, S.C. $36,051.
William C. Covington, Clio, $35,466.
Jimmie C. Baker, Bville, $34,680.
Frank R. Quick, Bville, $32,651.
Noah A. Usher Jr., McColl, $32,584.
Brooks Odom, Bville, $32,026.
Julian M. Drake, Blenheim, $31,553.
Leon Driggers, Blenheim, $30,956.
John F. Everett, Bennettsville, $29,937.
John W. Liles, Bville, $29,881.
J. G. Hubbard, Clio, $29,210.
W. Alex Hinson, Bville, $29,022.
Jimmy B. Hinson Jr., Tatum, $26,551.
D. H. McQuage Jr, Clio, $26,359
John McInnis, Clio, $25,728.
Bloomfield D. Rogers Est., Clio, $25,551.
Angus G. Newton, Clio, $25,485.
W. Lee Carabo, Blenheim, $25,170.
Joseph P. Hodges, Bville, $24,841.
Lawrence S. Norton, Clio, $24,392.
G. M. H. Jr., J. D. Hunsucker Part, Gibson, $22,029
Robert Stillwell, McColl, $21,436.
Johnny G. Newton, Bennettsville, $20,940.
Total payees in county (40), $1,727,823.

Orangeburg County

Rowesville Farmers Gin, Inc., Rowesville, $75,989.
E. E. Gasque and Son, Elloree, $58,976.
W. B. Bookhart, Elloree, $49,318.
John David Clark, Holly Hill, $47,661.
Hugo L. Felkel, Elloree, $47,614.
J. W. Williamson Co., Norway, $44,625.
Earl J. Smoak, Orangeburg, $41,879.
Thomas B. Jackson, Jr., Orangeburg, $40,486.
Magnolia Lane Farm, Cope, $38,869.
Vallentines, Cope, $38,538.
Woodford Gin Co., Inc., Woodford, $35,492.
C & S Storage Co., Inc., Norway, $33,587.
Rossie P. Hughes, Neeses, $32,733.
Norman V. Hughes, Rowesville, $32,397.
Julian C. Crum, Orangeburg, $31,460.
T. J. Wiggins and Son, Eutawville, $30, 981.
Vance Farm Center, Vance, $30,256.
L. M. Ott, Elloree, $29,602.
M. L. McIver, Neeses, $29,005.
W. G. and F. H. Irick, Elloree, $27,322.
J. M. Russell, Jr., Holly Hill, $25,906.
J. Walter Whisenhunt, Orangeburg, $24,836.
R. L. Zeigler, Jr., Orangeburg, $24,162.
Robert L. Polin, Elloree, $23,405.
E. S. Bonnett, Jr., Cope, $22,491.
Fred Lawton Irick, Vance, $22,223.
Robert B. Shuler, Elloree, $21,489.
O. M. Williamson, Jr., Norway, $21,350.
Dan E. Walker, Norway, $20,285.
Kenneth W. Bickley, Elloree, $20,218.
Garrick Brothers A. Part, Cope, $20,001.
Total payees in county (31), $1,043,156.

Richland County

Willis M. Clarkson, Hopkins, $27,912.
Robert Lee Scarborough, Eastover, $25,901.
Alfred Scarborough, Sumter, $22,997.
H. C. Hill, Eastover, $20,459.
Total payees in county (4), $97,269.

Saluda County

R. M. Watsons Sons, Ridge Spring, $34,706.
Harold E. Frick, Ridge Spring, $23,111.
Harry S. Bell, Ward, $20,009.
Total payees in County (3), $77,826.

Spartanburg County

James W. Cox, Reidville, $31,553.
Albert Jackson, Inman, $22,630.
Gary Brockman, Greer, $20,643.
Total payees in county (3), $74,826.

Sumter County

J. E. Mayes, Mayesville, $136,700.
J. F. Bland, Jr., Mayesville, $116,645.
W. R. Mayes, Mayesville, $81,434.
J. M. Edens and Son, Dalzell, $67,744.
Marvell W. Goza, Mayesville, $63,213.
W. A. Dabbs, Mayesville, $47,880.
B. J. Barnett, Inc., Sumter, $47,092.
T. D. Keels, Sumter, $38,973.
H. R. Barnett et al., Sumter, $38,832.
H. C. & H. C. Edens, Jr., Dalzell, $32,952.
C. O. Gulledge, Wedgefield, $29,333.
Clayton Lowder, Sumter, $28,937.
Frances I. Davis, Sumter, $27,427.
J. T. Brogdon, III, Manning, $27,285.
I. W. Brunson, Alcolu, $26,952.
S. A. Harvin, Sumter, $26,106.
McBride Dabbs, Mayesville, $24,263.
Riverdale Farms, Inc., Oswego, $24,109.
Booth Farms, Inc., Sumter, $23,625.
Sam W. Gillespie, Sumter, $22,719.
Ashton Phillips, Charleston, $21,777.
J. H. Montgomery, Jr., Gable, $21,704.
E. S. Godbold, Rembert, $21,648.
J. C. Britton, Alcolu, $21,171.
Thomas C. McLeod, Rembert, $20,817.
Total payees in County (25), $1,039,338.

Williamsburg County

S. Wayne Gamble, Lane, $59,335.
Leroy S. Epps, Jr., Greeleyville, $40,988.
T. V. Ligon, Lane, $30,575.
Troy H. Lamb, Lake City, $25,705.
Total payees in county (4), $156,603.

York County

Gene Daves, York, $35,251.
Roy J. McFadden, Catawba, $33,726.
Poe Daves, York, $23,644.
Total payees in county (3), $92,621.
Total payees in State (333), $11,766,990.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aurora County

Glissendorf Brothers, White Lake, $20,240.

Beadle County

Gt. Plains Fd. Lt. Inc., Huron, $24,970.

Bennett County

Elkhorn Farm, Martin, $38,003.
John Hippen, Martin, $24,817.
Robert Pich, Martin, $23,806.
John F. Knecht, Tuthill, $23,524.
Total payees in county (4), $110,150.

Brown County

Clark Bros. Inc., Putney, $40,301.
Verl Cutler, Claremont, $25,223.
Ott Brothers, Verdon, $24,240.
Hanson Farms Inc., Columbia, $22,547.
Rodney Barnes, Claremont, $21,163.
Alfred Locken, Bath, $20,052.
Total payees in County (6), $153,526.

Brule County

Helen Christiansen, Kimball, $22,888.

Buffalo County

James S. Brown, Dows, $20,988.

Butte County

McLeod Smith Ranches & Farms, Nisland, $62,078.
Goeringer Farm, Castle Rock, $27,619.
Earl W. Clarkson Co., Inc., Belle Fourche, $25,929.
Total payees in county (3), $115,626.

Charles Mix County

Pete Olson, Academy, $21,008.

Clay County

Jensen Bros., Vermillion, $36,570.

Corson County

Iro A. Hager, McIntosh, $27,255.
Young, Inc., McLaughlin, $21,416.
Weston L. Wolff, Lemmon, $20,993.
Dorus J. Mollman, Watauga, $20,002.
Total payees in county (4), $89,666.

Day County

Hansmeier & Son, Inc., Bristol, $36,872.
Peterson, Inc., c/o Jerry Peterson, Andover, $35,195.
Earl Morehouse, Bristol, $30,166.
Total payees in county (3), $102,233.

Dewey County

Almond D. Hunt, Eagle Butte, $33,377.
Bill Owen, San Saba, $24,060.
Total payees in county (2), $57,437.

Edmunds County

Petersen Farms, Inc., Ipswich, $34,675.
Plainview Hutterian Assn., Ipswich, $22,385.
Total payees in county (2), $57,060.

Fall River County

W. Tom Beebe, Edgemont, $27,585.

Faulk County

State of S. Dak., Pierre, $31,579.
Hogg Hereford Farms, Miranda, $30,646.
Lloyd Harmon, Chelsea, $22,479.
Total payees in county (3), $84,704.

Gregory County

Harold Kaupp, Gregory, $20,815.

Haakon County

J. Tipps Hamilton, Kirley, $28,246.
Bernard Armstrong, Midland, $23,016.
W. A. Hickman, Kirley, $22,257.
Eugene Armstrong, Midland, $20,866.
Vern Foland, Ottumwa, $20,543.
Total payees in county (5), $114,928.

Hand County

Leo Veskrna, St. Lawrence, $26,224.

Harding County

Arthur L. Reitz, Ralph, $21,276.

Hughes County

Leon Crain, Aline, $37,831.
John Spaid, Pierre, $28,341.
Clyde Colson, Blunt, $24,387.
Guy F. Barnes, Inc., Blunt, $22,861.
Total payees in county (4), $113,420.

Jones County

Daniel M. Parish, Murdo, $30,275.
Daum Brothers, Murdo, $26,609.
Nielsen Ranches, Inc., Draper, $21,037.
Total payees in county (3), $77,921.

Lincoln County

Dwight Clark, Worthing, $22,250.

Lyman County

Alfred Ehlers, Presho, $37,176.
Leo J. Terca, Presho, $25,867.
Garnos Ranches, Inc., Presho, $25,271.
Verdun Stanley, Presho, $23,496.
Gary Halvorson, Kennebec, $22,287.
Leon Thomas, Kennebec, $21,097.
Rex C. Brown, Presho, $20,886.
John Urban, Jr., Presho, $20,217.
Total payees in county (8), $196,297.

Marshall County

Dalton Docter, Amherst, $40,731.
Ray S. Jarrett, Britton, $32,126.
Penrhos Farms, Inc., Britton, $21,961.
Donald Jarrett, Britton, $21,928.
Welby Moeckly, Britton, $21,093.
Richard Moeckly, Britton, $20,527.
Total payees in county (6), $158,366.

McPherson County

Albert Fischer, Eureka, $21,227.

Meade County

Russell Burmeister, Elm Springs, $21,150.

Minnehaha County

John Morrell & Co., Sioux Falls, $20,827.

Pennington County

Leonel M. Jensen, Wall, $22,005.

Perkins County

O. Theo. Beckman, Prairie City, $32,079.
Ham Bros., Inc., Shadehill, $29,807.
Christman Bros., Lemmon, $24,322.
Floyd Howe, Bucyrus, $23,123.
Robert R. Kressly, Lemmon, $21,493.
Clifford E. Seidel, Meadow, $20,725.
Total payees in county (6), $151,549.

Potter County

Eldon Smith, Gettysburg, $33,931.
Daniel Cronin, Gettysburg, $27,878.
Robert Houck, Gettysburg, $27,217.
Sherman Neal Jesse Rausch P., Gettysburg, $26,467.
James Brown, Gettysburg, $25,683.
Joe Mangin & Richard Mangin, Gettysburg, $25,220.
Philip Hinckley, Gettysburg, $24,000.
Don Kunstle, Gettysburg, $22,650.
Dakota Cattle Co., Gettysburg, $20,015.
Total payees in county (9), $233,061.

Sanborn County

Vernon Amick, Letcher, $30,605.

Shannon County

Orville Schwarting, Gordon, $24,462.
R. W. Will, Garden Grove, $21,467.
Total payees in county (2), $45,929.

Spink County

Glendale Colony, Frankfort, $36,383.
Hammer Bros., Mellette, $28,137.
Lloyd Kegler, Faulkton, $27,580.
Paul P. Hofer, Doland, $26,232.
Jason Seymour, Mellette, $22,963.
Total payees in county (5), $141,295.

Stanley County

Neil Clarke, Fort Pierre, $31,784.
E. Vernon Sivage, Hayes, $23,068.
Gaylord Norman, Hayes, $20,519.
Total payees in county (3), $75,371.

Sully County

William J. Asmussen, Agar, $97,757.
Stanley Asmussen, Agar, $72,861.
C. A. Cannon, III, Pierre, $45,029.
Kinkler Farms, Inc., Onida, $42,684.
Ken R. Huse, Onida, $40,119.
Jerome J. Weinheimer, Onida, $38,545.
Dean Nelson, Onida, $34,660.
D. L. Anderson Corporation, Rapid City, $33,786.
John W. McSpadden, Vernon, $32,015.
Robert E. Duncan, Onida, $26,576.
R. Guy Goddard, Onida, $25,066.
Elton Eller, Onida, $23,948.
Albin H. Olson, Onida, $23,288.
Arlice J. Williams, Blunt, $23,062.
Lyle W. Ebert, Onida, $21,593.
Total payees in county (15), $580,989.

Tripp County

Bartley Mills, Winner, $27,217.
Van Collins, Carter, $24,187.
Total payees in county (2), $51,404.

Turner County

Bones Hereford Ranch, Parker, $24,773.

Washabaugh County

Donald Handcock, Inc., Longvalley, $32,824.

Yankton County

Melvin Cwach, Yankton, $21,334.

Ziebach County

Williams Grain, Inc., Lantry, $28,412.
Richard A. Bigler, Dupree, $20,936.
C. J. Larson, Spearfish, $20,086.
Total payees in county (3), $69,434.
Total payees in State (117), $3,239,925.

TENNESSEE

Carroll County

John A. Shoaf, Milan, $39,023.
Larry D. Townsend, Huntingdon, $21,325.
Total payees in county (2), $60,348.

Chester County

Jess Thomas, Henderson, $25,381.

Crockett County

Joe H. Carter, Ilamo, $31,403.
James F. Jordan, Halls, $31,310.
Steve Harper, Alamo, $26,756.
H. H. & F. W. Raines, Gadsden, $25,528.
Donny Cooke, Alamo, $25,396.
Billy D. Brown, Alamo, $25,273.
Donald Prescott, Alamo, $24,684.
Max Cates, Alamo, $24,634.
C. D. Cooke, Alamo, $23,690.
Eli Young, Friendship, $21,367.
Billy Norville, Gadsden, $21,268.
Total payees in county (11), $281,309.

Decatur County

Realon Corporation, Clifton, $20,543.

Dyer County

Tiger Tail Farms, Inc., Dyersburg, $27,821.
Moody Prop, Inc., Dyersburg, $26,507.
Charles & Elvin Miller, Trimble, $24,310.
Obie Pierce, Steele, Mo., $21,007.
Vernon Henson, Dyersburg, $20,442.
Total payees in county (5), $120,157.

Fayette County

Jerry Skelton, Collierville, $76,973.
Ames Plantation, Grand Jct., $52,198.
L. J. & J. A. Williams, Mason, $44,835.
Fowler Moore, Somerville, $44,426.
B. L. Davis, Whiteville, $42,872.
Allen M. Nunn, Laconia, $39,648.
H. H. Farley, Rossville, $36,425.
J. W. Owen, Moscow, $35,405.
Gordon Tomlin, Oakland, $31,600.
J. M. Caudle, Somerville, $31,025.
Davis Bros., Braden, $28,619.
A. W. Blalock, Whiteville, $26,697.
Elise H. Cowan, La Grange, $25,303.
William B. Cowan, Jr., La Grange, $25,299.
Sarah P. Cowan, La Grange, $24,472.
Rhea V. Taylor, Jr., Somerville, $24,430.
Cowan Bros., La Grange, $24,206.
Cooper Skelton, Somerville, $24,175.
J. M. Sullivan, Sr., Macon, $23,907.
J. M. Sullivan, Jr., Macon, $23,837.
Joe B. Burnette, Moscow, $23,747.
Ingram B. Howard, Arlington, $22,181.
William M. Tomlin, Oakland, $22,165.
Luther Holland, Somerville, $22,125.
Pete Williams, Somerville, $21,019.
Bill McClure, Moscow, $20,393.
Total payees in county (26), $982,817.

Franklin County

David R. Owens, Elora, $46,524.
Emmett Owens, Huntland, $43,338.
O. R. Collins, Jr., Belvedere, $30,875.
Total payees in county (3), $120,737.

Gibson County

Kermit Cates, Bradford, $43,609.
Bobby Harper, Trenton, $38,959.
H. L. Tracy, Trenton, $23,621.
James R. Vandyke, Trenton, $21,889.
A. F. Crenshaw, Trenton, $21,855.
Willie T. Crocker, Milan, $20,245.
Total payees in county (6), $170,170.

Giles County

White & Witt, Prospect, $24,447.
E. M. Dunavant & Sons, Ethridge, $23,715.
Total payees in county (2), $48,162.

Hardeman County

J. L. Chambers, Hickory Valley, $61,412.
Harlan Wooten, Whiteville, $25,359.
J. W. Bishop, Whiteville, $24,058.
Jerry Crangle, Bolivar, $21,688.
Drewey Bishop, Hickory Valley, $20,834.
O. E. Harris, Jr., Bolivar, $20,689.
Leo Parker, Whiteville, $20,653.
G. B. Ervin, Bolivar, $20,388.
Total payees in county (8), $215,081.

Haywood County

Tommy B. Willis, Brownsville, $54,229.
Billy Frank Morris, Stanton, $52,166.
Charles Haynes, Brownsville, $40,705.
Glenn Lonon, Brownsville, $39,042.
Powell Bros. Gin and Land Co., Brownsville, $27,040.
Allen King, Brownsville, $25,793.
John W. Moses, III, Brownsville, $25,208.
James Coffman, Brownsville, $23,778.
Sam S. Davis, Ripley, $22,729.
C. N. Armour, Halls, $21,063.
James T. Carlton, Brownsville, $20,580.
Hayes Brothers, Gates, $20,483.
C. A. Porch, Brownsville, $20,175.
Total payees in county (13), $392,991.

Lake County

W. T. Jamison, Jr., Tiptonville, $84,400.
Tipton Bros. and Sullivan, Tiptonville, $45,958.
W. E. & Bruce Dunlap, Ridgely, $45,004.
Walter Delaney, Tiptonville, $43,117.
Bruce D. Wyatt, Ridgely, $41,639.
George Dial, Ridgely, $37,143.
Fred A. Wortman, Ridgely, $31,611.
William E. Lindamood, Tiptonville, $30,719.
Leonard Fisher, Ridgely, $29,523.
Jack Fields, Tiptonville, $29,495.
Nard Shull, Ridgely, $29,232.
Wm. E. Paschall, Ridgely, $27,563.
Frank T. Markham, Tiptonville, $26,891.
Floyd Flowers, Tiptonville, $26,016.
Robertson & Argo, Ridgely, $25,671.
Jack Lowrance, Tiptonville, $23,643.
Wade Lannom, Tiptonville, $21,956.
Margaret Jackson, Tiptonville, $20,978.
Fredrickson and Keiser, Ridgely, $20,922.

W. C. Haynes, Jr., Tiptonville, $20,885.
P. N. Algee, Jr., Tiptonville, $20,429.
Total payees in county (21), $682,795.

Lauderdale County

George Lawson Elder, Ripley, $75,995.
William H. Moore, Gates, $38,465.
Ft. Pillow State Farm, Pillow, $35,947.
Jack Crutcher, Henning, $34,457.
Jim Fullen, Ashport, $33,588.
Stephen M. Fullen, Ashport, $33,050.
T. L. Crihfield, Ripley, $26,393.
Clyde Younger, Halls, $26,273.
Jammie P. Elder, Ripley, $26,205.
Jimmy Fullen, Ashport, $25,186.
Homer Young, Friendship, $23,228.
Steelman Brothers, Halls, $23,135.
E. C. Charlton, Trenton, $21,122.
Albert L. Jennings, Jr., Henning, $20,121.
Henderson Bros., Ripley, $20,069.
Eugene E. Meeks, Halls, $20,037.
Total payees in county (16), $483,271.

Lawrence County

Gordon Barksdale, Lawrenceburg, $22,509.

Lincoln County

M. M. Delap & Sons, Flintville, $29,625.
Carl W. Ogle, Elora, $22,269.
Total payees in county (2), $51,894.

Madison County

Neely Robley, Denmark, $41,338.
Jessie Collins, Bemis, $35,309.
James Shellabarger, Jackson, $30,414.
Wilson Thomas, Denmark, $22,926.
Bobby Stokeley, Brownsville, $22,810.
P. J. Haynes, Jackson, $21,489.
Genie and Leamon Phillips, Jackson, $20,281.
Total payees in county (7) $194,567.

McNairy County

James E. Smith, Stantonville, $23,474.

Obion County

Floyd Jacobs, Rives, $23,119.

Rutherford County

Steve D. McKnight, Murfreesboro, $25,934.

Shelby County

Riggan Planting Co., West Memphis, $91,249.
T. A. Densford & Son, Millington, $79,029.
H. S. Mitchell, Millington, $67,400.
Horne Bros., Arlington, $46,621.
James R. Scott, Germantown, $37,361.
Madge M. Harrison, Memphis, $36,919.
Aubrey Howell, Arlington, $36,115.
James L. Mann, Collierville, $35,171.
E. F. Crenshaw, Memphis, $34,519.
James E. Cowles, Arlington, $30,927.
Cedar Hill Farms, Memphis, $29,170.
Hardy Johnson, Millington, $27,457.
Paw Paw Block Farm, Millington, $26,946.
Harold Ticer, Millington, $25,714.
Douglas L. Walker, Atoka, $23,877.
Thurman Chapman, Millington, $22,289.
John R. Thomas, Memphis, $20,077.
Total payees in county (17), $670,841.

Tipton County

Charles L. Walker, Atoka, $60,140.
Horace E. Moore & Sons, Frenchman's Bayou, $49,522.
John A. McIntyre, Covington, $44,777.
Johnson Bros., Frenchman's Bayou, $37,676.
R. W. Anderson, Mason, $35,701.
John C. Balton, Wilson, $34,886.
J. W. Delashmit, Brighton, $32,481.
Marvin Johnson, Covington, $30,503.
Roy H. Hopkins, Covington, $29,300.
Marshall C. Huffman, Atoka, $28,337.
L. E. W. F. & M. B. Davis, Covington, $27,203.
Dewey Pinn R., Burlison, $25,892.
Sammy Smith, Mason, $22,484.
J. A. Wooten, Munford, $21,949.
W. J. Burlison, Burlison, $20,891.
Austin E. Anderson, Burlison, $20,753.
Vernon Rice & Son, Covington, $20,491.
R. M. Wooten, Munford, $20,221.
Total payees in county (18), $563,207.
Total payees in State (163), $5,014,472.

TEXAS

Andrews County

W. H. Vanlandingham, Seminole, $43,834.
C. C. Shortes, Seminole, $33,136.
D. G. Chiles, Lamesa, $22,280.
Total payees in county (3), $99,250.

Aransas County

BES Co., Corpus Christi, $26,080.

Archer County

W. M. Hayter, Megargel, $22,442.

Armstrong County

Parker Cattle Co., Canyon, $56,986.
James Bible, Wayside, $44,404.
Henry T. Hamblen, Wayside, $27,114.
James Stockett, Wayside, $26,912.
Henry Fields, Claude, $26,718.
Henry Finley, Claude, $25,387.
Nelson Bros., Claude, $25,165.
Troy F. Vance, Claude, $25,038.
M. H. Hays, Amarillo, $22,083.
Durrett Cattle, Amarillo, $21,418.
Paul E. Lindsey, Canyon, $21,011.
Total payees in county (11), $322,236.

Bailey County

Carl C. Bamert, Muleshoe, $99,016.
W. T. Millen, Muleshoe, $83,961.
Horace Hutton, Muleshoe, $83,285.
J. Bert Williams, Farwell, $74,416.
B. G. Free, Muleshoe, $70,158.
J. G. Arnn, Muleshoe, $65,111.
M. E. Little, Muleshoe, $64,091.
Bill Jim St. Clair, Muleshoe, $64,037.
Randy Johnson, Muleshoe, $63,473.
B. J. Gable, Muleshoe, $60,168.
Ray Wood, Sudan, $56,768.
W. M. Pool, Muleshoe, $55,834.
W. B. Little, Muleshoe, $50,919.
Buffalo Farms, Inc., Goodland, $48,888.
O. A. Jones, Farwell, $48,748.
J. F. Furgeson, Morton, $48,746.
Homer Richardson, Maple, $48,266.
T. D. Davis, Goodland, $47,891.
John R. Young, Muleshoe, $46,585.
Charles L. Bucy, Lubbock, $46,421.
M. A. Snider, Jr., Farwell, $45,729.
Jack Schuster, Muleshoe, $43,150.
Wilbert Kalbas, Farwell, $42,698.
Joe L. Smallwood, Muleshoe, $42,159.
J. P. Tarlton, Morton, $41,372.
C. J. Feagley, Muleshoe, $39,756.
Lewis Brothers, Muleshoe, $39,020.
Jesse Carter, Muleshoe, $36,899.
A. P. Fred, Morton, $36,712.
Marvin May, Sudan, $36,531.
Lester Howard, Muleshoe, $36,148.
M. T. Gant, Goodland, $33,821.
A. R. McGuire, Muleshoe, $32,324.
C. L. Saylor, Muleshoe, $31,548.
Johnnie Prater, Muleshoe, $31,398.
Bob Byrd, Muleshoe, $30,588.
W. Lewis Scoggin, Muleshoe, $30,570.
Elmer L. Hargrove, Farwell, $30,496.
A. P. Childers, Muleshoe, $30,296.
Don Lowe, Maple, $29,993.
Jim Johnson, Morton, $29,784.
James Gore, Sudan, $29,713.
Weldon Avery, Morton, $29,479.
W. E. Latimer, Sudan, $29,393.
Byron Gwyn, Muleshoe, $29,314.
John W. Gunter, Enochs, $28,840.
C. K. Corbell, Levelland, $28,757.
W. T. Watson, Muleshoe, $27,921.
Houston Hart, Muleshoe, $27,755.
J. L. Thomas, Morton, $27,714.
Jimmy Harris, Morton, $27,596.
Freddie Parkman, Maple, $27,340.
Robert Nunnally, Causey, $26,816.
J. R. Austin, Jr., Enochs, $26,604.
Austin Bros., Farwell, $26,524.
W. Q. Casey, Muleshoe, $26,017.
Lewis Wayne Shafer, Sudan, 25,777.
Ben Roming, Muleshoe, $25,731.
Robert Claunch, Enochs, $25,519.
Francis H. Franks, Portales, $25,410.
Ralph Beasley, Enochs, $25,380.
Leldon Phillips, Muleshoe, $25,080.
Bernard Phelps, Muleshoe, $25,015.
Virgil Wood, Morton, $24,622.
Aubrey Heathington, Muleshoe, $24,570.
Joe Signor Kimbrough, Muleshoe, $24,288.
Baker Johnson, Goodland, $24,007.
Bennie Claunch, Bula, $23,845.
Thomas W. Gregory, Lariat, $23,843.
Robert Kelton, Muleshoe, $23,779.
Jim Pat Claunch, Bula, $23,747.
Delbert Watson, Muleshoe, $23,667.
Rudolph Moraw, Muleshoe, $23,602.
Billie Marlow, Sudan, $23,532.
H. T. C. Cattle, Co., Muleshoe, $22,901.
S. M. Etheridge, Muleshoe, $22,823.
Van Rogers, Sudan, $22,818.
R. L. Scott, Muleshoe, $22,779.
Jim Claunch, Enochs, $22,619.
Tommy Haley, Muleshoe, $22,512.
Tobie Hatch, Muleshoe, $22,313.
T. W. Newsome Tr. C/O V Weaver, Sudan, $22,288.
G. W. Wheeler, Muleshoe, $22,258.
Bert M. Seales, Muleshoe, $22,169.
O. M. Lackey, Muleshoe, $22,152.
J. H. Lackey, Muleshoe, 22,151.
Doyce Turner, Muleshoe, $21,824.
Paul G. Young, Bula, $21,545.
J. V. Stancell, Muleshoe, $21,292.
Ross Goodwin, Muleshoe, $21,039.
David C. Stovall, Jr., Muleshoe, $20,796.
Vern C. Weaver, Sudan, $20,763.
Harold C. Toombs, Morton, $20,732.
W. R. Young Estate, Muleshoe, $20,707.
Fred W. Beene, Muleshoe, $20,703.
C. P. Price, Enochs, $20,647.
Justice W. H. Erickson, Denver, $20,511.
J. E. Layton, Morton, $20,490.
R. H. Layton, Morton, $20,402.
Archie Sowder, Goodland, $20,281.
W. B. Kittrell, Muleshoe, $20,149.
Richard E. Black, Sudan, $20,101.
Hagaman Co., Muleshoe, $20,094.
Wiley R. Baker, Florence, $20,079.
Finis Verley Kimbrough, Muleshoe, $20,076.
Total payees in county (105), $3,500,985.

Bandera County

W. W. Walton, Corpus Christi, $42,633.

Bastrop County

Henry Seggern, Elgin, $26,761.

Baylor County

Megargel Drilling Co., Megargel, $44,705.
Bobby G. Brock, Seymour, $39,815.
Roy Butler & Son, Bomarton, $34,002.
John A. Young, Seymour, $23,640.
Emitt Golden & Co., Seymour, $22,563.
Total payees in county (5), $164,725.

Bee County

Amko Farming, Inc., Corpus Christi, $34,946.
James J. Pogue, Jr., Kenedy, $29,929.
Beasley Farms, Inc., Beeville, $22,913.
Total payees in county (3), $87,788.

Bell County

Zed C. Lancaster, Jr., Temple, $21,431.

Borden County

John Springer Stephens, O'Donnell, $66,372.
Bennie Wendell Edwards, O'Donnell, $30,862.
Henery Dan Turner, O'Donnell, $30,166.
Harlin D. Williams, O'Donnell, $30,165.
Harold William Ludecke, O'Donnell, $27,470.
Hubert H. Walker, O'Donnell, $26,019.
Pat N. Hensley, O'Donnell, $23,696.
Kenneth W. Williams, O'Donnell, $22,768.
H. D. & Kent Holmes, Snyder, $20,220.
James Chester Ingram, Ackerly, $20,065.
C. C. Nunnally, O'Donnell, $20,000.
Total payees in county (11), $317,803.

Bosque County

J. W. Youngblood, Waco, $56,446.

Bowie County

Three Way Land Co., De Kalb, $296,433.
H. L. Vance, De Kalb, $122,966.
Elwood Elkins, De Kalb, $77,478.
William H. Farris, De Kalb, $74,575.
George H. Vance, Texarkana, $60,961.
D. P. T. Farris Inc., De Kalb, $38,796.
Guy W. Farris, De Kalb, $38,495.
Ely T. Moores, Texarkana, $26,587.

Bentley Johnston Farms, Inc., De Kalb, $22,243.
Total payees in county (9), $758,534.

Brazos County

Tom J. Moore, Navasota, $374,886.
Harry H. Moore, Navasota, $163,840.
Robert T. Moore, Navasota, $118,191.
Lee J. Fazzino, Bryan, $104,675.
L. C. Frede Navasota, $101,017.
J. P. Terrell and Son, Navasota, $100,101.
Sam Morello, Bryan, $69,383.
Brazos A. Varisco, Bryan, $66,539.
Joe Varisco, Bryan, $62,986.
Tony Varisco, Jr., Bryan, $51,939.
Vince Court, Bryan, $48,191.
Don Angonia, Bryan, $43,123.
Martin Merdian, Plantersville, $42,626.
Tony Joe Varisco, Bryan, $42,098.
Matt Morello, Bryan, $32,746.
Mike Fazzino, Bryan, $27,752.
W. J. Terrell, Navasota, $24,262.
Tom Rotello, Navasota, $23,475.
T. P. Rotello, Navasota, $23,392.
Peter Pry, Navasota, $20,256.
Total payees in county (20), $1,541,478.

Briscoe County

Joe B. Mercer, Silverton, $63,523.
L. O. Weaks, Silverton, $60,716.
G. W. Lee, Silverton, $57,206.
Fred W. Mercer, Silverton, $51,097.
Clyde Mercer, Silverton, $46,031.
Alva C. Jasper, Silverton, $45,188.
Roy Montague, Silverton, $40,503.
Ray Teeple, Silverton, $40,097.
J. D. McGavock, Silverton, $37,164.
Gordon Montague, Plainview, $36,612.
P. R. Sissney, Silverton, $36,071.
Harold Storie, Silverton, $34,331.
George Long, Silverton, $33,608.
Walter Graham, Happy, $33,514.
H. F. Parker, Tulia, $33,335.
Allen Kellum, Silverton, $31,328.
Cook & Cook, Clarendon, $31,009.
Theron Culwell, Tulia, $28,997.
Troy Burson, Silverton, $28,563.
Dallas Culwell, Tulia, $27,206.
Don Garrison, Silverton, $26,810.
Pat Northcutt, Silverton, $26,218.
D. T. Northcutt, Silverton, $26,218.
J. D. Nance, Silverton, $26,193.
Frank Mercer, Silverton, $25,812.
Deanie Henderson, Silverton, $25,200.
Ben Whitfill, Silverton, $25,102.
Triangle Farms, Tulia, $24,916.
Lem Weaver, Silverton, $23,607.
Carver Monroe, Silverton, $23,482.
Denver Anderson, Quitaque, $23,291.
D. E. Brunson, Quitaque, $23,053.
Carl D. Bomar, Silverton, $22,971.
Charles Whitfill, Silverton, $22,746.
A. T. Bingham, Silverton, $22,342.
L. D. Griffin, Silverton, $21,622.
Don H. Brown, Silverton, $20,210.
Loyd May, Silverton, $20,135.
Datis Martin, Silverton, $20,133.
Total payees in county (39), $1,246,160

Brooks County

Robert Allen May, Falfurrias, $35,222.

Burleson County

Est Geo. C. Chance, Bryan, $171,154.
Holland Porter, Caldwell, $145,657.
Marion J. Malazzo, Caldwell, $60,635.
Pete J. Scamardo, Caldwell, $57,832.
H. H. and Edgar Baker, Somerville, $55,948.
Phil Scamardo, Bryan, $55,127.
Marvin M. Porter, Caldwell, $49,508.
Mitt A. Bush, Bryan, $47,392.
Luke Restivo, Caldwell, $42,463.
G. R. Hutson, Caldwell, $39,715.
Sandyr Scarmardo, Caldwell, $36,230.
Steve A. Scarmardo, Caldwell, $33,974.
John W. Giesenschlag, Somerville, $33,541.
Cecil Giesenschlag, Somerville, $30,445.
Joe S. Campise, Bryan, $26,524.
Luke Bush, Caldwell, $25,435.
Frank Scarmardo, Caldwell, $24,229.
FSD Farm, Mumford, $22,502.
Frank J. Fojt, Jr., Snook, $22,431.
Luke A. Patranella, Caldwell, $22,315.
A. C. Sebasta and Sons, Somerville, $21,084.
Charlie Contella, Caldwell, $20,900.
Eugene Polzer, Caldwell, $20,731.
Total payees in county (23), $1,065,772.

Caldwell County

Terrell T. McGee, Lockhart, $29,027.
C. Fleetwood Richards, Jr., Lockhart, $27,163.
Terrell E. Clark, Lockhart, $24,098.
Louis A. Borchert, Lockhart, $20,064.
Total payees in county (4), $100,352.

Calhoun County

Roy Smith, Corpus Christi, 100,230.
A. R. Vincik, Edna, $34,212.
Studer & Lange, Robstown, $29,571.
Sanders Farms, Inc., Port Lavaca, $22,910.
Alvin A. Hahn, Port Lavaca, $21,089.
Henry C. Wehmeyer, Port Lavaca, $21,024.
J. O. Smith, Port Lavaca, $21,016.
Alton W. Hahn, Port Lavaca, $20,463.
Total payees in county (8), $270,515.

Callahan County

Weldon Edwards, Clyde, $23,841.

Cameron County

James D. Russell, San Benito, $175,107.
Oscar Mayfield & Sons, Taft, $124,572.
Wentz Fm. Co. & S. M. Fm., Brownsville, $122,509.
Simpson & Wilson, Rio Hondo, $106,768.
Rio Grande Equip. Co., Harlingen, $77,519.
Joe S. Wolf, La Feria, $74,260.
Herbert W. Bode, San Benito, $70,180.
L. R. Cherrington & Son, Harlingen, $69,-713.
Robert L. Shuckman, Los Fresnos, $67,274.
Schmitt Bros. Farms Ptn., Los Fresnos, $63,143.
Henry V. Macomb, Los Fresnos, $62,898.
Rodolfo B. Samano, San Benito, $62,134.
Elijah B. Adams & Sons, Harlingen, $61,390.
Shimotsu Farms, San Benito, $59,011.
James A. McCarthy, Rio Hondo, $58,123.
Robert F. Ashley, La Feria, $56,945.
Cholick Farms, Rio Hondo, $56,434.
George Nixon, Los Fresnos, $56,229.
DBA D. L. Smith Farms, Harlingen, $55,904.
Crockett Groves Inc., Harlingen, $54,621.
Taylor Grain Co., Inc., Brownsville, $51,745.
Bauer Brothers, Feria, $49,775.
Rex L. McGarr, San Benito, $49,598.
Owsley Hill, Los Fresnos, $48,090.
Wentz Fm. Co. & C. Otsuki, San Benito, $46,924.
Oval A. Martin, Los Fresnos, $46,045.
Ashley D. Youngblood, Harlingen, $45,551.
J. L. & Bill Gray, Harlingen, $43,959.
Ballenger & Ballenger, Sebastian, $43,858.
Elmer E. Meek, Los Fresnos, $43,646.
Island Farms Inc., Rio Hondo, $43,183.
John A. Abbott, Harlingen, $43,083.
William J. Bryan, Los Fresnos, $42,991.
Elward M. Smith, Harlingen, $42,526.
Edward E. Billings, San Benito, $42,284.
P. Maurin & Jt. Maurin, Rio Hondo, $40,-874.
Ramona J. Wolf, La Feria, $40,245.
Brocecky & Lomax, Rio Hondo, $39,328.
Loop Farms, Brownsville, $37,579.
Herman Lynch, Harlingen, $37,251.
Elvin Pederson, Sr., Los Fresnos, $36,961.
S. M. & W. M. Halbert, San Benito, $36,492.
F. H. Cherrington, Harlingen, $36,481.
Milton E. & Monica C. Wentz, Brownsville, $35,909.
McHayden Dillard, Harlingen, $35,863.
M. R. Davis & Son, Harlingen, $35,533.
Joe E. McCain, San Benito, $35,136.
Charles J. Buchen, Los Fresnos, $33,734.
Lamon & Lamon, Harlingen, $33,438.
Howard B. Girault, Los Fresnos, $32,906.
Walter L. Clore, Harlingen, $32,871.
J. G. Wreden, Raymondville, $32,529.
George L. Labar, Jr., Harlingen, $32,434.
Charles L. Shofner, Harlingen, $31,936.
DBA Taubert Brothers, Rio Hondo, $31,918.
Berg & Berg, Harlingen, $31,622.
Pilar Cabrera, Brownsville, $31,557.
Est J. Kelly Cain, Corpus Christi, $31,272.
Clifford L. Smith, Lyford, $31,106.
Lloyd G. Payne, Jr., Harlingen, $31,059.
Horace Wells, San Benito, $30,892.
Milan W. Nelson, Sebastian, $30,892.
Nester Mack, Harlingen, $30,789.
W. B. Mack, Harlingen, $30,726.
George L. Labar, Sr., Harlingen, $30,716.
Old Colony Tr Co Tr., Brownsville, $30,485.
Reynaldo Ramirez San Benito, $30,440.
Lester Nixon, Combes, $29,895.
Sams Porter Corp., Brownsville, $29,827.
James P. Labar, Harlingen, $29,735.
Joe W. Lane, Harlingen, $29,387.
Elijah B. Adams, Harlingen, $29,253.
G. D. Morrow, San Benito, $29,042.
Ross D. Waters, Rio Hondo, $29,020.
Hollie C. Lewis, La Feria, $28,868.
J. G. Infante, Jr., Harlingen, $28,775.
Oscar B. Gray & Sons Ptn., Harlingen, $28,487.
Harry J. Garrett, Harlingen, $28,433.
E. W. Ligon, Weslaco, $28,349.
Dougles S. Cantwell & Son, Harlingen, $27,910.
George & Sam Taylor, Corpus Christi, $27,677.
Kitamura Brothers, San Benito, $27,064.
Robert Mathers, Brownsville, $26,995.
Norman F. Wilms, Los Fresnos, $26,937.
Johnson Brothers, Rio Hondo, $26,617.
Jan H. Heaton, Los Fresnos, $26,602.
Edwin W. Caughfield, Combes, $26,519.
Eldon Meek, Los Fresnos, $26,503.
Bob F. Morrow, San Benito, $26,337.
Ortiz Brothers, Brownsville, $26,178.
Clifton W. Hansen, San Benito, $25,743.
Ronald E. Waters, San Benito, $25,516.
Roy W. Renfrow, Brownsville, $25,296.
Eubanks Brothers, Santa Rosa, $25,177.
Premier Farms, Inc., Lyford, $25,010.
Adolph Thomae, Jr., San Benito, $24,673.
Lloyd G. Brotzman, Rio Hondo, $24,508.
Francis L. Phillip, La Feria, $24,301.
Jerry Tanamachi, San Benito, $24,245.
Joe G. Ballenger, Sebastian, $24,060.
Carpenter Brothers, Rio Hondo, $23,829.
Lois C. Bourne, Sebastian, $23,576.
Joe T. Maurin, Rio Hondo, $23,490.
Crowe & Crowe, Brownsville, $23,464.
Johnny J. Canville, Rio Hondo, $23,168.
Walt O. Waters, La Feria, $23,015.
Noriega Plantation, Brownsville, $22,828.
Lawrence P. Phillipp, La Feria, $22,749.
McElwrath Farms, Combes, $22,487.
Eugene A. Eubanks, Santa Rosa, $22,468.
Garvin B. Macomb, Los Fresnos, $22,439.
Oscar Eubanks, Santa Rosa, $22,324.
Graham B. Smith, Jr., Harlingen, $22,213.
J. E. Bruce, Los Fresnos, $22,174.
Tom S. Tanamachi, San Benito, $21,855.
Carl L. Bauer, La Feria, $21,739.
Leon F. Coates, Rio Hondo, $21,707.
West Brothers, Rio Hondo, $21,622.
Harry H. Azuma, San Benito, $21,343.
Jess & Warren Willard, San Benito, $21,266.
Minnie H. Warren Surv. Sp., Harlingen, $21,219.
Jackson Est. & D. E. Jackson, Jr., Sam Hstn, Hgn, $20,929.
Leonard P. Simmons, Jr., San Benito, $20,827.
Mark Abbott, Harlingen, $20,809.
R. W. Gray, Rio Hondo, $20,589.
James H. Eubanks, Santa Rosa, $20,434.
Total payees in county (126), $4,766,268.

Carson County

Frank Robinson, Panhandle, $86,838
Texas Tech Research Farm, Pantex, $66,586.
Sam Kotara, Groom, $46,020.
Clinton Williams, Panhandle, $43,969.
John D. Kelly, Jr., Panhandle, $41,979.
Marvin Urbanczyk, White Deer, $40,005.
Ralph Britten, Groom, $39,986.
B. F. Urbanczyk, Panhandle, $37,720.
J. B. McCray, Panhandle, $37,079.
Frank Simms, Panhandle, $34,294.
H. L. Beddingfield, Panhandle, $33,366.
Jack Martin, Panhandle, $31,538.
Leo M. Britten, Groom, $31,283.
Geo. Rohan, Panhandle, $30,962.
Leonard Olson, Panhandle, $29,946.

Rolla J. Sailor, Jr., White Deer, $29,715.
John Kotara, Jr., White Deer, $28,868.
T. C. Jackson, White Deer, $27,772.
Fowler Bros., Ptn., Panhandle, $27,013.
A. L. Stovall, Panhandle, $26,633.
E. H. Lindsey & Assoc., Ama, $26,100.
Raymond Blodgett, White Deer, $25,739.
E. T. Ross, Panhandle, $25,640.
C. W. & Faye Herndon, Ptn., Panhandle, $25,591.
J. T. Broadaway, Panhandle, $25,221.
Ronald Kotara, Panhandle, $24,781.
Jerry O'Neal, White Deer, $24,676.
B. McBrayer, Jr., White Deer, $24,233.
O. D. Smith, Panhandle, $23,242.
Albert Weinheimer, Groom, $22,998.
Tracey Garner, White Deer, $22,937.
James L. Stalls, White Deer, $22,619.
Dennis Kotara, Panhandle, $22,427.
Paul Dauer, Panhandle, $22,047.
H. O. Lemons, Panhandle, $21,983.
Wilfred Bichsel, Panhandle, $21,635.
H. C. Knapp, Panhandle, $21,041.
R. J. Kuehler, Groom, $20,925.
Jim Bush, White Deer, $20,527.
Arnold Urbanczyk, White Deer, $20,053.
Total payees in county (40), $1,235,987.

Castro County

Jimmy Cluck, Hart, $211,785.
Homer Hill, Hart, $134,806.
G. L. Willis, Jr., Dimmitt, $128,466.
H. D. Smith, Hart, $128,361.
Otto Steinberg, Plainview, $127,740.
J. F. Martin, Hereford, $104,753.
Carl Bruegel, Dimmitt, $87,390.
Clements Corp., Lubbock, $74,883.
Gilbreath Farm Co., Dimmitt, $71,247.
Homer A. Hill, Dimmitt, $68,257.
Kenneth Heard, Littlefield, $64,748.
Charles E. Armstrong, Dimmitt, $63,602.
B. L. Moore, Dimmitt, $60,837.
Jerry Cluck, Dimmitt, $60,825.
Charles Heck, Jr., Nazareth, $60,027.
Milburn & Eddie Haydon, Hart, $59,861.
Dan J. Heard, Dimmitt, $59,304.
Loyd Farris, Hart, $58,282.
J. M. Wright, Dimmitt, $57,846.
Tom Miller, Amarillo, $56,535.
George Gabel, Dimmitt, $56,498.
R. E. McDaniel, Dimmitt, $55,490.
Melvin Barton, Hereford, $55,349.
Allan Webb, Dimmitt, $55,232.
David Smith, Hart, $54,447.
George Sides, Dimmitt, $53,266.
Donald J. Wright, Dimmitt, $52,953.
E. L. Howard, Friona, $52,234.
W. W. Lemons, Hart, $51,105.
George & Kenneth Frye, Hereford, $50,626.
Fred Bruegel, Jr., Dimmitt, $50,506.
S. A. Hodges, Jr., Happy, $50,292.
Jack Miller, Amarillo, $50,262.
Deon Awtrey, Friona, $49,199.
Frank Wise, Dimmitt, $48,909.
Clint B. McFarland, Hart, $48,391.
Lorenzo Lee, Hart, $47,821.
Dick Ellis, Hereford, $47,796.
H. C. Nelson, Dimmitt, $47,730.
George C. Heard, Hereford, $48,263.
W. O. Markley, Hereford, $46,708.
Ray Robertson, Dimmitt, $46,563.
Henry W. Golden, Dimmitt, $45,882.
Truvis Campbell, Dimmitt, $45,590.
Leo Witkowski, Hereford, $45,588.
Don Carpenter, Dimmitt, $44,347.
Shirley L. Garrison, Hereford, $43,948.
Woodrow Nelson & Sons, Dimmitt, $43,706.
Earl Harkins, Hereford, $43,260.
Truman Touchstone, Dimmitt, $42,158.
James H. Bradley, Hereford, $41,826.
W. B. Hammonds, Dimmitt, $41,819.
M. L. McFarland, Happy, $41,028.
Jay Lee Touchstone, Dimmitt, $40,794.
W. E. Terrell, Plainview, $40,515.
Lamar Found, Lubbock, $40,397.
Carl King, Dimmitt, $40,317.
Jim Elder, Dimmitt, $40,129.
Kenneth Christie, Summerfield, $40,095.
Carl L. Kemp, Dimmitt, $39,840.
Downing & Epperson, Hereford, $39,737.
H. M. Boozer, Dimmitt, $39,581.
George Heck, Tulia, $38,734.
Fred Popejoy, Hart, $38,494.
Margaret H. Ware, Amarillo, $37,432.
Clyde H. Damron, Dimmitt, $37,251.
Jack George, Hart, $36,762.
Lonnie Bell, Dimmitt, $36,729.
Herman B. Rose, Dimmitt, $36,369.
Harlan L. Barber, Hereford, $36,161.
M. L. Aven, Hart, $36,095.
Doyl Underwood, Dimmitt, $35,247.
Paul T. Brooks, Hart, $35,097.
Ivan L. Block, Hereford, $34,398.
Richmond J. Payne, Happy, $34,360.
L. L. Shultz, Hereford, $33,636.
Andy Nelson, Dimmitt, $33,272.
M. N. Smith, Tulia, $33,176.
Clyde Hancock, Happy, $33,126.
Fritz Smith, Hereford, $32,552.
Weldon C. Bransgrove, Tulia, $32,180.
J. D. Myrick, Hart, $32,131.
Charles T. Noland, Hereford, $31,957.
Charles W. Coggin, Dimmitt, $31,752.
Joe Nelson, Dimmitt, $31,672.
Rayphard Smithson, Dimmitt, $31,537.
Geo. Ed Bennett, Hart, $31,535.
Bob Dulaney, Dimmitt, $31,338.
Fleetwood Farms, Amarillo, $31,143.
James Welch, Dimmit, $30,990.
Jim Roberts, Hart, $30,752.
Bill Rich, Kress, $30,669.
J. M. Snyder, Hereford, $30,604.
Chas. Veigel Est, Hereford, $30,600.
Charles Burks, Dimmitt, $30,587.
Ed. Wilson, Dimmitt, $30,564.
David Nelson, Hart, $30,307.
Odus Hastings, Dimmitt, $30,149.
Glenn Merritt, Hart, $30,042.
Charles Nutt, Dimmitt, $29,957.
Pat Robbins, Hereford, $29,854.
Kent Gabel, Dimmitt, $29,591.
Doyle McFerrin, Hereford, $29,517.
Cecil Hart, Sherman, $28,818.
Madge M. Roberts, Dimmitt, $28,648.
R. R. Rule, Friona, $28,604.
Dud Winders, Earth, $28,302.
William Baldridge, Dimmitt, $82,242.
E. W. Foster, Hart, $27,894.
Dent Bradley, Dimmitt, $27,672.
George Bennett II, Hart, $27,487.
Jerry Watts, Dimmitt, $27,336.
Floyd Tomlinson, Canyon, $27,118.
J. F. Clark, Nazareth, $27,107.
James Bradford, Dimmitt, $27,082.
Luke Miller, Plainview, $26,958.
Spicer Gripp, Hereford, $26,733.
Hubert Edwards, Hereford, $26,698.
Roger E. Haberer, Earth, $26,685.
E. Herring Est, Amarillo, $26,661.
Harvey C. Davis, Hart, $26,424.
Ernest Sammann, Dimmitt, $26,295.
A. H. Cook, Hereford, $26,282.
Tommy Stanton, Dimmitt, $26,112.
Carl Jones, Hart, $25,923.
Jess Willard, Dimmitt, $25,846.
Paul W. Armstrong, Jr., Hart, $25,752.
G. E. McFarland, Happy, $25,668.
H. J. Clark, Dimmitt, $25,664.
John H. Schacher, Nazareth, $25,630.
James Buckley, Dimmitt, $25,501.
Luke McGuire, Springlake, $25,316.
Freddie Hamilton, Dimmitt, $25,166.
Glenn Odom, Dimmitt, $25,104.
A. G. Jarvis, Dimmitt, $25,059.
Walter H. Schilling, Dimmitt, $24,903.
Burley L. Kent, Hereford, $24,882.
Guy Walser, Summerfield, $24,779.
Roy Cluck, Dimmitt, $24,620.
Joe M. Scott, Dimmitt, $24,360.
E. R. Little, Dimmitt, $24,261.
Claude Hutcherson, Plainview, $24,243.
Dale Maxwell, Dimmitt, $24,025.
Clark Andrews, Hereford, $23,994.
W. V. Struve, Hereford, $23,781.
Johnny K. Martin, Earth, $23,501.
S. R. Hutto, Hart, $23,498.
Cleo I. Connell, Dimmitt, $23,346.
Don Magness, Happy, $22,952.
T. G. McKinney, Dimmitt, $22,890.
Houston Lust, Dimmitt, $22,866.
Calvin Petty, Dimmitt, $22,838.
Moore Farms, Hereford, $22,819.
Ray Schulte, Nazareth, $22,581.
L. M. Blanton, Hart, $22,542.
E. O. Tunnell, Jr., Muleshoe, $22,522.
Richard Lupton, Nazareth, $22,360.
John N. Walker, Levelland, $22,359.
William Summers, Dimmitt, $22,336.
J. M. Young, Dimmitt, $22,133.
Roy F. Taack, Hart, $22,078.
Raybern Ott, Earth, $22,005.
Melvin Walter & Son, Plainview, $21,970.
G. H. Book, Dimmitt, $21,829.
Percy Merritt, Vrain, $21,823.
Ray Joe Riley, Hart, $21,772.
Jay P. Boston, Hereford, $21,714.
Jesse H. Johnson, Vernon, $21,637.
Dale Carmichael, Dimmitt, $21,579.
Howard Mitchell, Hart, $21,476.
Frank Sharp, Tulia, $21,307.
Jack Dyer, Hart, $21,301.
Jarrott Farms, Lubbock, $21,301.
A. J. Kemp, Jr., Ft. Worth, $21,296.
Leroy Edwards, Summerfield, $21,214.
Bob Kay, Hereford, $21,148.
Billy Snider, Dimmitt, $21,113.
Larry W. Halsmann, Muleshoe, $21,105.
A. T. Morgan, Dimmitt, $20,780.
J. L. Hrabal, Dimmitt, $20,619.
Dennis Williams, Hereford, $20,543.
F. J. Mears, Jr., Dimmitt, $20,460.
Charles Rickerd, Hereford, $20,450.
Frye Associates, Hereford, $20,381.
E. F. Vogler, Hereford, $20,350.
Roy Meacham, Dimmitt, $20,291.
Tom Lewis, Dimmitt, $20,286.
Ronnie C. Johnson, Happy, $20,260.
L. V. Cole, Dimmitt, $20,235.
Jerry Tunnell, Littlefield, $20,211.
D. A. Bass, Hart, $20,184.
Total payees in county (191), $7,138,967.

Childress County

Luther C. Hill, Wellington, $55,695.
Vera Renfro, Childress, $41,756.
Clinton B. Halford, Childress, $38,913.
B. P. Henderson, Kirkland, $38,884.
Delbert Seal, Childress, $30,874.
Carroll Seal, Childress, $29,505.
Derrill Nippert, Kirkland, $28,087.
Arthur Kane, Dodson, $27,851.
Fletcher Carter, Childress, $27,526.
Walter I. Lambert, Childress, $27,194.
L. H. Felton, Kirkland, $25,818.
Dean Decker, Childress, $25,110.
John Sherman, Wellington, $25,086.
Troy Boykin, Childress, $24,345.
Virgil Patterson, Childress, $24,248.
P. M. Bouchelle, Wellington, $22,279.
Lilburn F. Cox, Wellington, $22,276.
H. R. Mayhugh, Wellington, $21,417.
C. & C. Inc. of Childress, Childress, $21,062.
L. Roy Widener, Memphis, $21,021.
Hillside Farms, Inc., Kirkland, $20,983.
C. J. Cheatheam, Childress, $20,332.
James L. Helm, Memphis, $20,051.
Total payees in county (23), $640,313.

Clay County

P. P. Langford, Jr., Wich Falls, $20,610.

Cochran County

J. K. Griffith, Morton, $374,976.
John A. Wheeler, Lorenzo, $241,265.
C. C. Slaughter Farms, Morton, $104,623.
F. O. Masten, Sudan, $103,356.
T. K. Williamson, Morton, $73,916.
Erma Griffith, Morton, $69,819.
Jimmy Millar, Morton, $69,779.
Slaughter Hill Co., Levelland, $66,247.
E. L. Polvado, Morton, $66,222.
H. B. Barker, Morton, $66,222.
J. E. Polvado, Morton, $65,673.
R. L. Polvado, Morton, $64,773.
James Greener, Lubbock, $55,744.
Hub Baggett, Levelland, $50,451.
Ben & Edward Pinkert, Levelland, $49,127.
Bobby Smith, Morton, $47,716.
Curtis Griffith, Morton, $42,570.
D. E. Benham, Morton, $42,291.
Leonard O. Coleman, Morton, $39,545.
Ike Williams, Morton, $37,947.
Emmett E. Thomas, Morton, $36,545.
Ronald Coleman, Morton, $36,207.
E. E. Silhan, Morton, $35,998.
W. B. Merritt, Morton, $34,861.
J. W. Silhan, Morton, 34,173.
C. E. Ratliff & Sons, Lubbock, $33,795.

Dalton Redman, Morton, $31,664.
J. C. Obrien, Morton, $31,628.
C. C. Harvey, Morton, $31,251.
Griffith Enterprises, Morton, $30,686.
Raymon Hall, Morton, $30,642.
W. C. Eubanks, Maple, $30,601.
Dan Valentine, Whiteface, $29,720.
W. D. Christian, Morton, $29,674.
Ira Brown, Morton, $29,520.
Jack French, Levelland, $29,461.
Joe H. Bowers, Morton, $29,253.
Danny Key, Morton, $29,220.
B. H. Tucker, Morton, $27,954.
Robert Yeary, Morton, $27,516.
Charles Cumpton, Morton, $27,224.
Owen Egger, Morton, $27,123.
Hub Cadenhead, Morton, $26,866.
Clayton Stokes, Morton, $26,694.
A. R. Lamb, Morton, $26,146.
Mrs. Dona Doughty, Morton, $25,983.
Roy B. Davis, Morton, $25,763.
James & Edward Dewbre, Morton, $25,161.
J. B. Nicewarner, Morton, $24,890.
J. D. Merritt, Morton, $24,655.
Howard Scoggins, Morton, $24,600.
Herbert Greener, Morton, $24,332.
Tom Silhan, Morton, $24,298.
T. T. Smith, Morton, $24,148.
Eugene Vanstory, Maple, $23,693.
W. L. Foust, Morton, $22,726.
Wesley Warren, Maple, $22,143.
George Smith, Whiteface, $21,762.
Douglas Zuber, Morton, $21,657.
Ben Kuehler, Morton, $21,274.
Lee Stephens, Morton, $21,039.
H. A. Lamb Jr., Morton, $20,905.
Melvin Coffman, Morton, $20,856.
Glynn Price, Morton, $20,800.
Wm. T. Zuber, Morton, $20,312.
George G. Williams, Burnett, $20,163.
Floyd A. Rowland, Morton, $20,078.
Total payees in county (67), $2,997,904.

Collingsworth County

Carthel G. Lewis, Wellington, $39,602.
Louis E. Allred, Wellington, $31,049.
James Doneghy, Wellington, $31,004.
Wilbur DePauw, Quail, $30,967.
Fred Covey, Wellington, $29,895.
Tommy J. Lewis, Wellington, $29,657.
Wood & Wood, Wellington, $29,245.
J. B. Killian, Wellington, $28,873.
John E. Forbis, Wellington, $28,546.
William R. Ward, Wellington, $25,283.
Lewis A. Morris, Wellington, $24,153.
Bill Janes, Wellington, $23,567.
Carl Wischkaemper, Quail, $23,495.
Cecil L. Shanks, Wellington, $23,181.
P. P. Fielding, Memphis, $23,060.
Elvis D. Crawley, Wellington, $22,958.
John Coffee, Wellington, $21,670.
Rudolph Tate, Memphis, $21,235.
P. J. White, Wellington, $20,780.
Helen L. Oldham, Shamrock, $20,696.
Elbert Watts, Wellington, $20,365.
William L. Orear, Wellington, $20,170.
Total payees in county (22), $569,451

Collin County

Welborn & Welborn, Princeton, $46,646.
John D. & Wm. H. Wells, Plano, $32,934.
Dwight D. Crigger, Anna, $25,101.
Billy Joe Donihoo, Plano, $24,713.
Edward R. Dinihoo, Plano, $24,711.
Joe Doyle, Copeville, $23,169.
Jerry David Dodson, Greenville, $22,485.
Joe F. Fisher, McKinney, $22,432.
Thomas J. Glendenning, Celina, $20,569.
Total payees in county (9), $242,760.

Colorado County

T. Cattle Co., Garwood, $74,615.
Arthur E. Mahalitc, Eagle Lake, $40,332.
Kenneth Mahalitc, Eagle Lake, $23,425.
John Mahalitc, Jr., Eagle Lake, $23,213.
Total payees in county (4), $161,585.

Concho County

Arlie E. Davis & Son, Melvin, $36,703.
Jess Stephens & Son, Eden, $30,561.
Monroe P. Dierschke, Wall, $27,534.
Adrian L. Fiveash, Paint Rock, $23,020.
N. H. & V. C. Whitworth, Doole, $21,700.
Jacfl V. Williams, San Angelo, $20,622.
Total payees in county (6), $160,140.

Coryell County

Bobby Latham, Gatesville, $26,893.

Cottle County

Jack Parnell, Paducah, $46,691.
Don Brothers, Paducah, $44,417.
R. D. Wall, Jr., Paducah, $38,793.
Loyd Mayes, Paducah, $33,048.
Donald D. Love, Childress, $31,852.
Jack Tippen, Paducah, $30,435.
Maggie B. and Irless Brooks, Paducah, $28,103.
J. T. Martin, Paducah, $27,739.
James Sweeney, Paducah, $26,626.
Earl & Glen Bates, Paducah, $24,889.
J. M. Townley, Paducah, $24,884.
Suttle W. Majors, Paducah, $24,358.
Lester Moss, Paducah, $24,327.
H. W. Matney, Paducah, $23,965.
R. C. Martin, Paducah, $23,850.
Billy Ray Harrison, Paducah, $22,269.
G. R. Tippen, Paducah, $21,912.
Welton Fields, Paducah, $21,726.
Woodrow D. Tucker, Paducah, $21,552.
D. D. Chewning, Childress, $20,842.
Burnett Richards, Paducah, $20,641.
Wesley Gibbs, Paducah, $20,467.
Total payees in county (22), $603,386.

Crockett County

W. W. West, Ozona, $31,104.
George Bunger, Sr., Ozona, $24,184.
Total payees in county (22) $603,386.

Crosby County

Delton Caddell, Ralls, $87,139.
Luis Garcia/Sons, Inc., Spur, $69,336.
Lynn E. Campbell, Crosbyton, $59,596.
Compton Cornelius, Crosbyton, $59,590.
Donald Aycock, Lorenzo, $59,060.
D. J. Moses, Crosbyton, $58,592.
Bob Kimbrough, Ralls, $57,927.
Lynn T. Smith, Crosbyton, $56,631.
Jesse L. Reese, Ralls, $55,860.
H. N. Watson, Jr., Ralls, $55,613.
Clyde Crausbay, McAdoo, $55,290.
Lloyd Gambrel, Ralls, $52,562.
Bill N. Gilbreath, Ralls, $50,650.
J. P. Beck, Ralls, $50,407.
Elvis O. Martin, Ralls, $49,684.
Cleve Cypert, Ralls, $47,899.
Elton Ellison, Ralls, $45,498.
W. O. Lockwood, Lorenzo, $45,118.
R. H. Farris, Jr., Crosbyton, $44,663.
Marvin T. Heinrich, Ralls, $43,868.
O. D. Moore, Crosbyton, $43,868.
Max Johnson, Ralls, $43,208.
Tom F. Oats, Ralls, $42,967.
Marvin O. Greer, Ralls, $42,048.
Carroll Himmel, Crosbyton, $41,881.
James W. Holman, Crosbyton, $41,295.
Arvis E. Moore, Crosbyton, $41,011.
John L. Haynes, Ralls, $40,594.
Craig McDonald, Ralls, $40,067.
Claude Adams, Ralls, $39,890.
W. T. Leon, Petersburg, $39,613.
I. B. Davis, Ralls, $37,106.
Rex Wheeler, Crosbyton, $36,923.
Buster E. Smith, Lorenzo, $36,517.
J. W. Jackson, Crosbyton, $36,346.
Julius Blair, Ralls, $36,294.
Ray Marsh, Ralls, $36,125.
G. J. Parkhill, Jr., Crosbyton, $35,653.
Darrell J. Dunn, Ralls, $35,558.
Harold Trull, McAdoo, $35,506.
Clyde Crump, Ralls, $35,474.
Kenneth Summerford, Crosbyton, $35,473.
David A. Prewitt, Ralls, $35,311.
Mack Harmon, Lorenzo, $35,189.
S.A.E., Inc., Ralls, $34,901.
Kenneth Gray, Lorenzo, $34,383.
Jack E. Robertson, Ralls, $34,239.
Anthony Latta, Crosbyton, $34,120.
James K. Edinburgh, Crosbyton, $33,476.
Robert Fullingim, Petersburg, $33,420.
Harold Hodges, Crosbyton, $33,106.
Loyd B. Parkhill, Crosbyton, $32,731.
Harvey Hancock, Lorenzo, $32,502.
Gene Fullingim, Petersburg, $32,317.
Bobby H. Kendrick, Floydada, $31,950.
James A. Boydstun, Ralls, $31,813.
J. J. Griffin, McAdoo, $31,572.
W. Clayton Sellers, Ralls, $31,175.
Jimmie W. Thornton, Ralls, $30,757.
L. Don Anderson, Crosbyton, $30,678.
Roy Lee Ellis, Crosbyton, $30,610.
Smith Community Farms, Crosbyton, $30,471.
J. R. Terrell, Ralls, $30,428.
Donald Wooten, Crosbyton, $30,401.
T. W. Stockton, Jr., Crosbyton, $30,187.
Mitco, Inc., Crosbyton, $29,946.
Curtis M. Wheeler, Lorenzo, $29,702.
Eldred L. Mize, Crosbyton, $29,630.
Arnold Hodges, Floydada, $29,582.
Billy Kirk, Crosbyton, $29,546.
Vernon D. Wheeless, Crosbyton, $29,347.
John R. Green, Lorenzo, $29,266.
James W. Sales, Ralls, $29,106.
Dewey E. Wells, Jr., Ralls, $28,718.
C. B. Hendrick, Ralls, $28,217.
Billy Simpson, Crosbyton, $28,064.
Jimmy R. Fullingim, Petersburg, $27,905.
F. Eugene Woodard, Ralls, $27,737.
Harold Verett, Floydada, $27,578.
R. Weldon Jones, McAdoo, $27,353.
Roy Schulter, Ralls, $27,333.
Amon W. Proctor, Crosbyton, $27,327.
Dick Snodgrass, Crosbyton, $27,322.
Alvin C. Morrison, Lorenzo, $27,301.
Noble Hunsucker Prtshp, McAdoo, $27,249.
Byron E. Powell, Ralls, $27,241.
Charles B. Gray, Ralls, $27,049.
Donnie Powell, Ralls, $27,040.
Billy Crump, Ralls, $27,022.
Nelton Chote, Ralls, $26,899.
H. P. Gregory, Lorenzo, $26,864.
L. M. Powell, Ralls, $26,822.
J. B. Prewitt, Ralls, $26,690.
Robin L. Anderson, Crosbyton, $26,683.
Billy Joe Boyd, Crosbyton, $26,656.
D. B. Ellison, Crosbyton, $26,605.
Herschel A. Roye, Ralls, $26,588.
Wayne J. Parker, Ralls, $26,551.
Robert H. Perkins, Crosbyton, $26,431.
Ross Cash, Crosbyton, $26,327.
Will D. Griffin, McAdoo, $26,081.
George W. Poulson, Lorenzo, $25,872.
J. B. Marshall, Ralls, $25,824.
Dee Cash, Crosbyton, $25,691.
John H. Moore, Ralls, $25,551.
James M. Chote, Ralls, $25,495.
Harold Moore, Ralls, $25,219.
George Cunningham, Lorenzo, $25,194.
C. L. Martin, Ralls, $25,063.
Planters, Inc., Crosbyton, $25,049.
B. L. Anderson, Sr., Ralls, $24,889.
Ernest L. Harris, Ralls, $24,761.
Roy J. Warren, Crosbyton, $24,698.
Gary Ivey, Ralls, $24,428.
Boney Winkler, Spur, $24,333.
Walter M. Wood, Lubbock, $24,216.
Arnold Ratheal, Crosbyton, $24,084.
Jasper A. Cornelius, Ralls, $23,956.
T. B. Edwards, Lubbock, $23,715.
Charles E. Sanders, Ralls, $23,714.
Ramon Johnston, Crosbyton, $23,576.
Olin Bryant, Lubbock, $23,476.
Morris H. Wideman, Ralls, $23,475.
Vance Cypert, Ralls, $23,343.
W. C. McDuff, Ralls, $23,219.
Arvil L. Campbell, Ralls, $23,202.
B. H. Wheeless, Crosbyton, $23,065.
L. A. Cox, Slaton, $22,925.
R. P. Kirkendall, Crosbyton, $22,864.
Wilson & Gray, Inc., Ralls, $22,599.
B. L. Anderson, Jr., Ralls, $22,486.
Woodrow W. Robertson, Crosbyton, $22,485.
Crosbyton Seed Co., Crosbyton, $22,374.
John Brock, Crosbyton, $22,186.
Howard Harris, Floydada, $22,020.
Clarence Denson, Brownfield, $21,980.
Claude Collins, Lorenzo, $21,776.
Harold Wilson, Slaton, $21,768.
Elmer S. Wells, Ralls, $21,694.
Fullingim Brothers, Petersburg, $21,668.
A. R. Brakebill, Crosbyton, $21,533.
George U. Griffin, McAdoo, $21,384.
Kenneth Havens, McAdoo, $21,375.
Howell L. Martin, Lubbock, $21,358.
Elnaree Southward, Ralls, $21,343.
Cornelius & Son, Crosbyton, $21,255.
Odell Heathington, Ralls, $21,163.

Doyle Norris, Ralls, $21,106.
Raymond K. Ralls, Ralls, $21,097.
Jimmy Wheeless, Crosbyton, $21,092.
Charlie Wheeler, Crosbyton, $21,042.
J. W. Payne, Ralls, $20,979.
Edmond C. Crump, Ralls, $20,972.
T. G. Hendrick, Lorenzo, $20,960.
Wright L. Carlisle, Ralls, $20,945.
E. C. Simmons, Lorenzo, $20,938.
Billie McClaran, Petersburg, $20,895.
Thorne Wilmeth, Ralls, $20,680.
Billy Bounds, Ralls, $20,579.
Marwin Brakebill, Ralls, $20,543.
Grady Evans, Crosbyton, $20,526.
Eddie W. Vefett, Ralls, $20,437.
T. Willard Moses, Ralls, $20,344.
Larry McClaran, Petersburg, $20,195.
Johnnie P. Wallace, Petersburg, $20,190.
H. M. Reed, Jr., Idalou, $20,182.
Vernon O. Roye, Ralls, $20,135.
Clester L. Griffin, Lorenzo, $20,130.
Joe D. Rankin, Ralls, $20,029.
Total payees in county (169), $5,227,058.

Culberson County

Wildhorse Valley Farms, Inc., Van Horn, $49,019.
Lee Talley, Van Horn, $47,324.
J. J. Taber, Van Horn, $42,089.
J. E. Beasley, Van Horn, $32,510.
Dick Guest, Van Horn, $31,922.
Ted Brewster, Van Horn, $21,501.
D. H. Brewster, Van Horn, $21,314.
Total payees in county (7), $245,679.

Dallam County

Elmer Heiskell, Dalhart, $105,737.
James E. Crabtree, Dalhart, $78,216.
Hugh L. Gordon, Dalhart, $68,247.
Carleton Davis, Dalhart, $60,411.
Kerrick Land & Cattle Co., Kerrick, $55,550.
Leo H. Moore, Dalhart $54,279.
H. F. Beyer, Dimmitt, $52,666.
Raman L. Chandler, Dalhart, $51,688.
Melvin Przilas, Dalhart, $51,435.
Jimmie O. Brewster, Dalhart, $50,684.
George H. Noble, Dalhart, $50,237.
Horace D. Estes, Dalhart, $48,272.
Tim E. Field, Dalhart, $46,696.
Charles Grice, Dalhart, $46,149.
Billy Chesnut, Dalhart, $45,276.
David P. McBryde, Stratford, $44,051.
H. Friemel & Sons, Canyon, $43,914.
William W. Allen, Stratford, $43,588.
Alex Stafford, Dalhart, $43,584.
Roy Nall, Boise City, Okla., $43,093.
J. T. McAdams, Dalhart, $41,860.
Keith Green, Dalhart, $39,660
Curtis G. Chisum, Dalhart, $39,545.
Webb/Webb/Johnson Ptnp., Stratford, $38,941.
Tommy Lovett, Spearman, $38,039.
John Hancock Life Ins., Dallas, $37,382.
Sl. G. Darling, Hereford, $37,182.
Frank Hamilton, Dalhart, $36,566.
W. J. Giles, Dimmitt, $36,330.
Glenn Johnson, Dalhart, $35,681.
Oscar O. Przilas, Dalhart, $35,548.
Ell Dee Field, Dalhart, $35,356.
R. L. McMurtry, Amarillo, $35,274.
Circle Farms, Inc., Dalhart, $35,221
Leo J. Field, Dalhart, $34,245.
James M. Field, Dalhart, $34,197.
Asa Willis, Texline, $33,996.
Charles Vickers, Dalhart, $33,920.
Wall & Sons, Dalhart, $33,844.
Loyd J. Pillans, Dalhart, $33,281.
Summerour Brothers Ptnp., Dalhart, $33,196.
Clarence Roberts, Dalhart, $32,585.
Billy G. Moore, Dalhart, $32,114.
L. A. Mathis, Dalhart, $31,811.
Ray T. Taylor, Kerrick, $30,172.
Donald A. Borth, Dalhart, $29,726.
NM & AZ Land Co., Albuquerque, N. Mex., $29,665.
Clancy Cummings, Dalhart, $29,450.
Frank C. McDaniel, Dalhart, $29,356.
Kimball & Crosswhite Ptnp., Dalhart, $29,328.
Russell C. Cummings, Dalhart, $29,083.
Glenn D. Cummings, Dalhart, $29,083.
Delbert Butler, Dalhart, $28,626.
Edward Lethen, Corona Del Mar, Calif., $28,575.
Tommy Gerber, Dalhart, $28,095.
Ernest D. Sheets, Dalhart, $28,059.
Elias N. Crabtree, Stratford, $28,001.
Vanus Byrd, Dalhart, $27,778.
Norval W. Bressler, Dalhart, $27,278.
Merten M. Schmidt, Dalhart, $27,238.
Feral Carruth, Texline, $26,667.
Floyd H. Richards, Dalhart, $26,014.
Jim Clements, Dalhart, $25,579.
Bill Wedel, Dalhart, $25,473.
Marvin Gibbs, Dalhart, $25,225.
Meredith H. Brown, Stratford, $25,221.
Lewis Sharp, Dalhart, $25,146.
Porter & Robertson Ptnp., Dalhart, $25,067.
Jody Bezner, Texline, $24,971.
Fountain Angel, Dalhart, $24,911.
H. B. Watson, Dalhart, $24,837.
Everett F. Dryden, Dalhart, $24,780.
Jay Byrd, Dalhart, $24,311.
Roy W. Wharton, Dalhart, $24,242.
Leo Meek, Stratford, $24,050.
Taylor & Taylor, Ptnp., Stratford, $24,025.
Grover Swift Est., Amarillo, $23,530.
Elmer R. McNabb, Dalhart, $23,449.
Louie V. Baker, Dalhart, $23,174.
Arendo A. McDougald, Dalhart, $23,141.
Triple M Farms, Dalhart, $23,113.
Sam Heiskell, Dalhart, $23,036.
T. I. Welch, Walsenburg, Colo., $22,984.
Lowell B. Winsor, Texline, $22,837.
Bill R. Brewster, Dalhart, $22,799.
Kenneth G. Wells, Kerrick, $22,716.
Carl W. Miller, Amarillo, $22,403.
Roland M. Reeves, Dalhart, $22,107.
Bascom Baxter, Texline, $22,044.
E. G. Schuhart II, Dalhart, $22,040.
Allen B. Smith, Dalhart, $21,969.
Tommy Campsey, Texline, $21,309.
Willie Belle Pounds Est., Dalhart, $21,307.
C. G. Warren, Dalhart, $21,229.
Don W. Slaughter, Lubbock, $21,204.
Charles Card, Dalhart, $20,899.
Curtis D. Jones, Dalhart, $20,287.
Calvin F. Elms, Kerrick, $20,145.
Homer L. Steen, Dalhart, $20,083.
Total payees in county (99), $3,307,384.

Dallas County

Olin B. Curry, Lancaster, $44,025.
Roddy Bros., Lancaster, $42,180.
Billy C. Beach, Dallas, $35,558.
Max Daniel, Plano, $33,300.
Ralph B. Pickett, Garland, $29,508.
John David Smith, Seagoville, $23,729.
R. V. Luther, Ferris, $22,529.
Reagan Glenn, Seagoville, $20,590.
Jack Nelson, Plano, $20,262.
Harvey L. McBride, Lancaster, $20,194.
Total payees in county (10), $291,875.

Dawson County

Bill Weaver, Lamesa, $107,111.
Charles Warren, Lamesa, $87,214.
Henderson & Son, Lamesa, $79,607.
Sam Jenkins, Lamesa, $73,983.
Gordan V. Waldrop, Lamesa, $70,232.
David Hughes, Lamesa, $65,472.
Lloyd Cline, Lamesa, $65,174.
George Eiland, Lamesa, $62,720.
Bobby Warren, Lamesa, $59,211.
E. D. Adcock, Lamesa, $54,968.
L. D. Freeman, Jr., Lamesa, $54,944.
C. R. Taylor, Lamesa, $54,208.
Arlan Youngblood, Lamesa, $53,311.
Woodward Farms Inc., Graham, $53,089.
Donovan V. Phipps, Welch, $51,141.
Marshall F. Cohorn, Lamesa, $50,705.
Lyndall, Sharp, Lamesa, $50,433.
Eiland Crawley Palmore, Lamesa, $48,122.
Donnell Echols, Lamesa, $47,754.
Carson Echols, Lamesa, $47,670.
B. L. Middleton, O'Donnell, $47,611.
E. L. Hendon, Welch, $47,313.
Adcock Farming Co., Inc., Lamesa, $47,124.
R. M. Middleton, O'Donnell, $46,870.
Jerry D. Harris, Lamesa, $45,773.
E. E. Sumrow, Lamesa, $45,642.
Lowell T. Warren, Lamesa, $42,953.
Doyle Terry, Lamesa, $42,135.
V. W. McGee, Lamesa, $41,682.
O. H. Preston, Jr., Lamesa, $41,576.
Raymond G. Roberts, Lamesa, $39,716.
John D. Banta, Lamesa, $39,609.
Arthur Nolen, Lamesa, $38,212.
Edwin Rinewalt, Lamesa, $38,137.
V. O. Barron, Lamesa, $37,933.
Billy Miers, Lamesa, $37,866.
Norris Barron, Lamesa, $37,662.
Ferguson Schneider, Lamesa, $37,630.
Gus Burkett, Lamesa, $37,514.
Robert F. Hardberger, Lamesa, $37,466.
W. G. White, Lamesa, $37,068.
Billy J. Lambright, Lamesa, $36,976.
Edwin Thomas, Lamesa, $36,875.
Jack Warren, Lamesa, $35,947.
Leroy Holladay, Lamesa, $35,899.
E. Bar Cattle Co., Lamesa, $35,738.
Ela Morris, Lamesa, $35,727.
Floyd McGee, Lamesa, $35,251.
Don H. Peterson, Ackerly, $34,335.
Dennis Lamphere, Lamesa, $34,187.
Gonzell Hogg, Lamesa, $33,737.
Clyde Qualls, Lamesa, $33,732.
Bill Treadway, Lamesa, $33,717.
Louie Drennan, Welch, $33,288.
Thomas H. Horton, Ackerly, $33,193.
W. L. Gilliam, Lamesa, $32,852.
Carl Barrow, Lamesa, $32,543.
N. B. Leatherwood, Lamesa, $32,473.
Dan Harp, Welch, $32,448.
David Franklin, Donnell, $32,324.
J. T. O'Brien, Lamesa, $32,285.
Dopson Farms, Lamesa, $31,667.
W. T. Pomroy, Lamesa, $31,664.
Norman Wayne Brown, Lamesa, $31,294.
Cecil O'Brien, Lamesa, $31,172.
Eddie Carpenter, Lamesa, $31,072.
Willard H. Free, Lamesa, $31,002.
Joe O'Brien, Lamesa, $30,988.
Ronald Raney, Welch, $30,905.
J. P. Senter, Lamesa, $30,755.
Roy D. Cooper, Lamesa, $30,554.
J. E. Airhart, Lamesa, $30,410.
L. D. Echols, Lamesa, $30,244.
Harvie L. Kemper, Lamesa, $30,231.
Theron E. Tidwell, Lamesa, $30,230.
N. W. Weeks, Welch, $30,063.
Ray Barringer, Lamesa, $29,975.
Richard Woodward, Lamesa, $29,915.
C. M. Pearce, O'Donnell, $29,895.
Boyd Echols, Lamesa, $29,852.
Landon Peters, Jr., Lamesa, $29,594.
Conley Esmond, Lamesa, $29,522.
C. C. Rodgers, Lamesa, $29,397.
Ray Allen Noret, Lamesa, $29,310.
Roy E. Bearden, Lamesa, $29,014.
Bill R. Hambrick, Ackerly, $28,952.
C. C. Clifft, Jr., Lamesa, $28,935.
Jerry Kelley, Lamesa, $28,662.
Robert C. Koger, Lamesa, $28,493.
Henry F. Vogler, Lamesa, $28,260.
A. B. Middleton, Lamesa, $28,006.
S. N. M. M. Farm Corp., Andrews, $27,914.
Bill Meares, Lamesa, $27,530.
Freddy T. James, O'Donnell, $27,465.
James H. Addison, Lamesa, $27,198.
John Middleton, Jr., Lamesa, $26,913.
J. D. Bennett, Lamesa, $26,865.
Jesse E. Stephens, Lamesa, $26,773.
Ted Turner, Welch, $26,683.
Okyleen Addison, Lamesa, $26,524.
Lloyd Mears, Lamesa, $26,499.
Donald Airhart, Lamesa, $26,482.
Vernon Berdell Bratcher, Lamesa, $26,147
Weldon Brownlow, O'Donnell, $26,035.
Donald Wayne Merrill, Lamesa, $25,871.
James David McGrew, Lamesa, $25,812.
Jeff D. Raney, Welch, $25,804.
Carroll Bennett, Welch, $25,771.
Alton Barkowsky, Lamesa, $25,665.
Dewey R. Drennan, Welch, $25,569.
Alvin G. Limmer, Lamesa, $25,489.
M. S. Sellers, Lamesa, $25,400.
Richard Orson, Lamesa, $25,390.
Bill Barnes, Lamesa, $25,255.
Leland Harold Bartlett, Lamesa, $25,015
J. R. Weaver Est., Lamesa, $24,886.
Arlis Cline, Lamesa, $24,872.
Darus Sumrow, O'Donnell, $24,809.
Homer Etheredge, Lamesa, $24,668.

Broyles Lamesa Farms, Inc., Lamesa, $24,431.
A. C. Hodges, Lamesa, $24,295.
Hershell Edwin Raines, Lamesa, $24,244.
Pat Fortenberry, Welch, $24,241.
Ross E. Barron, Lamesa, $24,096.
Arthur J. Cohorn, Lamesa, $24,042.
H. R. Jeter, Lamesa, $23,982.
Troy Howard, Lamesa, $23,853.
Layton Oliver, Lamesa, $23,757.
Ervin Eoff, Lamesa, $23,725.
George E. Fillpot, Lamesa, $23,553.
Harvey Hanson, Lamesa, $23,548.
Frank Wilson, Welch, $23,493.
R. L. Riker, Lamesa, $23,459.
Beachy Barron, Lamesa, $23,398.
W. T. Snellgrove, O'Donnell, $23,377.
C. W. Henderson, Lamesa, $23,284.
J. B. Neill, Lamesa, $23,174.
Thomas H. Boyd, Lamesa, $22,958.
Blaine Middleton, Lamesa, $22,914.
J. F. Ferguson, Lamesa, $22,679.
Jack Wolford, Welch, $22,589.
Spurgeon C. Motley, Jr., Lamesa, $22,584.
Rex Zant, Lamesa, $22,399.
L. O. Deatherage, Lamesa, $22,299.
C. L. Anderson, Lamesa, $22,174.
Roy Hughes, Lamesa, $22,170.
E. E. Rodgers, Lamesa, $22,082.
Oscar Vogler, Lamesa, $22,015.
Donald Holt, Lamesa, $21,961.
Wayland Cox, Lamesa, $21,784.
Emfinger and Son, Lamesa, $21,754.
Frank Doyle Deatherage, Lamesa, $21,693.
Arvis D. Woodul, Lamesa, $21,682.
D. O. Huddleston, Lamesa, $21,296.
Travis Dean, Lamesa, $21,252.
Jack McCown, Lamesa, $21,129.
Cecil T. Jones, Lamesa, $21,116.
Robert B. Barron, Lamesa, $21,067.
George Lopez, Lamesa, $20,942.
F. A. Youngblood, Lamesa, $20,893.
Everett Turner Est., Welch, $20,880.
John Montgomery, Lamesa, $20,821.
Jack Bowlin, Ackerly, $20,817.
W. Lee Harris, Lamesa, $20,766.
James H. Howard, Lamesa, $20,729.
Waylon Bell, Lamesa, $20,602.
W. C. Taylor, Lamesa, $20,602.
Herbert Green, Lamesa, $20,582.
E. A. Truitt, Lamesa, $20,577.
J. C. Norris, Lamesa, $20,513.
Garland Maxwell, Lamesa, $20,391.
Jerry Napper, Lamesa, $20,381.
Clyde Herman Maule, Lamesa, $20,350.
Alvin Harris, Lamesa, $20,336.
Orville N. Weeks, Lamesa, $20,329.
Jack Grigg, Lamesa, $20,316.
Blanton Clearman, Lamesa, $20,308.
Weldon White, Lamesa, $20,203.
Raymond Orson, Lamesa, $20,188.
Dewayne Nelson, Lamesa, $20,164.
Doyle Matlock, Lamesa, $20,073.
E. R. Marshall, Lamesa, $20,018.
Total payees in county (182), $5,798,745.

Deaf Smith County

Hill Farms, Hart, $245,646.
Taft McGee, Hereford, $210,767.
Perrin Bros., Hereford, $127,329.
Virgil F. Marsh, Hereford, $111,917.
R. C. Godwin Farms, Inc., Hereford, $109,905.
Delmar R. Durrett, Amarillo, $109,714.
W. H. Gentry, Hereford, $107,073.
Wm. J. Schulte, El Reno, $100,864.
White Farms & Cattle Co., Canyon, $100,209.
Herbert Friemel, Canyon, $92,990.
B. T. Spear, Amarillo, $92,674.
D. T. Reed, Hereford, $89,737.
Billy Wayne Sisson, Hereford, $86,308.
Forrester Ranch, Inc., Amarillo, $85,757.
John & Ray Brorman, Adrian, $81,890.
Vernon Denson, Hereford, $79,703.
Quien Sabe Farms, Hereford, $75,723.
Clarence D. Carnahan, Hereford, $73,657.
O. D. Bingham, Dalhart, $72,725.
James A. Bullard, Hereford, $69,494.
Reinauer Bros., Hereford, $68,782.
Melvin May, Hereford, $68,290.
Harry Brorman, Hereford, $67,489.
A. R. Dillard, Hereford, $66,880.
Jimmy Brown, Inc., Hereford, $66,285.
J. R. Durrett Trusts, Amarillo, $65,847.
Anthony Paschel, Hereford, $65,674.
Garrison Bros. & Nelson, Hereford, $64,258.
Hosea Foster Est., Canyon, $63,915.
West AC Farms, Inc., Hereford, $62,048.
Solomon Bros., Hereford, $61,950.
McCathern & Heck Farms, Hereford, $60,071.
Paul Lyons/Lyons, Hereford, $59,383.
Higgins & London, Hereford, $58,456.
A. C. Hays, Jr., Dimmitt, $57,950.
Oneway Farms, Hereford $54,974.
Billy J. Cleavinger, Wildorado, $53,701.
John A. & Raymond Smith, Hereford, $53,241.
L. J. Jones, Jr., Hereford, $52,605.
Jerrell T. Cate, Plainview, $52,013.
Harris & Thrush Sales Co., Lubbock, $51,858.
Joseph J. Friemel, Umbarger, $51,793.
Brooks & Brooks, Hereford, $51,405.
James Fangman, Hereford, $51,219.
Herman Grusing, Amarillo, $50,982.
B. C. Hodges, Vega, $50,880.
R. M. Mason, Hereford, $50,673.
Brorman Bros., Inc., Hereford, $50,413.
Meiwes Bros., Hereford, $50,272.
E. C. R. Corporation, Hereford, $49,594.
Morris W. Blankenship, Hereford, $49,573.
Bernard Hartman, Canyon, $49,067.
Jimmy Brown, Hereford, $49,042.
Montgomery Farms, Vega, $48,815.
Francis E. Barrett, Hereford, $48,344.
Iverson Leake, Canyon, $48,271.
Johnny Jesko, Hereford, $47,336.
Edwin Morrison, Adrian, $46,443.
Donald Kimball, Amarillo, $45,747.
Gerald McCathern, Hereford, $45,485.
Jim Monroe, Hereford, $45,236.
Gary McQuigg, Hereford, $44,808.
Jimmy Brown, Adrian, $44,571.
Pitman Easley Industries, Hereford, $43,997.
Glenn B. Allred, Wildorado, $43,643.
Hickman Farms, Inc., Hereford, $43,629.
A. G. Flippin, Vega, $42,454.
J. E. McCathern, Jr., Hereford, $42,226.
S. A. Fangman, Hereford, $41,831.
Ray Gerk, Hereford, $41,675.
Herbert E. Bippus, Hereford, $40,844.
J. T. Gilbreath, Jr., $40,804.
D. Neumayer, Dimmitt, $40,059.
W. F. Ponder, Hereford, $39,852.
Hereford Grazing Corp., Hereford, $39,649.
James Gentry, Hereford, $39,405.
Luther Ellis, Hereford, $38,618.
Ernest Walden, Jr., Hereford, $38,204.
Samie West, Hereford, $38,101.
Douglas K. Nix, Hereford, $38,031.
Bertram Jack, Friona, $37,718.
Matt Webb, Amarillo, $37,508.
Schlabs Farms, Inc., Hereford, $37,250.
Norman Hodges, Hereford, $37,245.
Frank V. Zinser, Jr., Hereford, $36,891.
Richardson Seed Farm, Vega, $36,625.
Charlie & Kenneth Robinson, Vega, $36,166.
Robert R. Lindsey, Borger, $35,694.
J. B. Halle, Hereford, $35,297.
John Skaggs & Son, Adrian, $35,218.
Josef C. Grotegut, Hereford, $35,092.
W. E. Warrick, Hereford, $34,843.
Kenneth Artho, Hereford, $34,640.
Donald Hicks, Hereford, $34,493.
Tom Robinson, Hereford, $34,398.
Joseph A. Meyer, Adrian, $34,323.
Wm. A. Carter, Hereford, $34,271.
Mary Inman Hipes, Amarillo, $34,116.
Alton Fraser, Hereford, $33,998.
David Downey, Hereford, $33,944.
Bruce W. Burney, Hereford, $33,905.
Otis D. Jackson, Vega, $33,729.
Harold D. Moore, Wildorado, $33,119.
Joe M. Zinser, Hereford, $32,913.
Bennie Newbill, Wildorado, $32,676.
Mrs. N. A. Brown & Sons, Friona, $32,356.
Royce Cretsinger, Wildorado, $32,233.
Helen Godwin, Amarillo, $32,127.
Jerome Friemel, Hereford, $32,084.
J. C. Price, Hereford, $32,045.
Laverne Schenk, Wildorado, $31,904.
F. A. Marnell, Hereford, $31,761.
Cecil Morrison, Hereford, $31,642.
George L. Olson, Hereford, $31,412.
Roman J. Friemel, Hereford, $31,358.
John Brorman, Adrian, $31,283.
Walter G. Russell, Amarillo, $31,145.
C. G. Howell, Wildorado, $30,986.
Bob Veigel, Hereford, $30,759.
L. B. Worthan, Hereford, $30,373.
M. R. Latham, Hereford, $30,174.
Jack Williams, Hereford, $30,063.
Richard D. Friemel, Canyon, $30,057.
O. G. Hill Estate, Hereford, $29,772.
Luther Lesly, Hereford, $29,263.
Wilburn P. Axe, Hereford, $29,095.
O. W. Harrison, Amarillo, $29,083.
Paul Wilson, Slaton, $29,061.
Chester Wiggains, Summerfield, $29,014.
Flat Acre Farm, Hereford, $28,520.
Glen Wagner, Vega, $28,484.
G. V. Cassitty, Hereford, $28,079.
George Turrentine, Hereford, $28,077.
Edgar Frerich, Hereford, $28,059.
Tom J. Boyd, Amarillo, $27,944.
W. Ralph Hastings, Hereford, $27,918.
Leland Burns, Adrian, $27,861.
Robert Lloyd, Hereford, $27,794.
Charles Packard, Hereford, $27,766.
Edgar Brown Est, Adrian, $27,501.
Leroy Williamson, Hereford, $27,499.
Henry J. Kuper, Summerfield, $27,186.
Jim Marnell, Hereford, $27,155.
Bridwell Ranch West, Adrian, $27,125.
W. P. Dutton, Hereford, $27,101.
Lester B. Godwin, Hereford, $27,018.
R. A. Crist, Hereford, $26,994.
Donald J. Meyer, Hereford, $26,984.
Wayne Richardson, Vega, $26,777.
Bruce Coleman, Friona, $26,610.
Madison F. Fields, Amarillo, $26,387.
Raymond Higginbotham, Hereford, $25,990.
James Overstreet, Hereford, $25,713.
Hall Bros., Hereford, $25,620.
J. M. Boothe, Jr., Hereford, $25,537.
Ernest Flood, Hereford, $25,513.
Shepard Cattle Co., Hale Center, $25,452.
Joe Frank Huckert, Hereford, $25,211.
Norton, Inc., Hereford, $25,118.
Gene Godwin, Amarillo, $24,918.
Dan W. Berger, Vega, $24,859.
Wilhelmina Wimberley, Dawn, $24,810.
Charles Hoover, Hereford, $24,743.
W. Q. Duggan, Hereford, $24,733.
A. C. Brorman, Hereford, $24,686.
Mickey Wilson, Friona, $24,677.
Raymond Schlabs, Hereford, $24,675.
Strain Farm, Hereford, $24,617.
A. F. Marnell, Hereford, $24,381.
James L. Voyles, Vega, $24,373.
Dale Christie, Friona, $24,290.
Brown Bros., Friona, $24,141.
Arnold P. Betzen, Hereford, $24,122.
George W. Ritter, Hereford, $24,118.
Labry E. Ballard, Hereford, $24,077.
Ralph Rice, Wildorado, $24,076.
Silas G. Wilson, Slaton, $24,009.
Earl Holt, Hereford, $23,942.
Alban Farms, Long Beach, Calif., $23,910.
Noble C. Howard, Hereford, $23,845.
Albert Lamb, Hereford, $23,761.
Howe & Finley, Gladstone, N. Mex., $23,621.
Lewis C. Beckman, Hereford, $23,373.
C. E. Johnson, Hereford, $23,369.
J. R. Hickman, Hereford, $23,361.
Joe Brorman, Vega, $23,276.
James Paetzold, Hereford, $23,255.
Roger H. Brumley Est., Hereford, $23,251.
Charles Long, Hereford, $23,202.
Cecil T. Bass., Friona, $23,144.
Robert M. Hicks, Hereford, $23,092.
U. S. Akens, Hereford, $23,002.
C. P. Worthan, Hereford, $22,960.
Ernest McGee, Cisco, $22,858.
Larry Harris, Hereford, $22,858.
Harold Martin, Wildorado, $22,846.
R. T. & H. R. Stewart, Dawn, $22,844.
Arthur B. Kent, Hereford, $22,844.
C. F. Homfeld, Adrian, $22,786.
W. J. Schumacher, Hereford, $22,682.
Andrew Kershen, Hereford, $22,500.

F. L. Eicke, Hereford, $22,474.
Richard Fortenberry, Broadview, $22,449.
Herbert H. Miller, Dawn, $22,323.
George Mode, Wildorado, $22,228.
Clarence C. Williams, Panhandle, $22,000.
Curtis Deason, Vega, $21,944.
Austin C. Rose, Jr., Hereford, $21,923.
Richard E. Friemel, Canyon, $21,846.
Paul Zinser, Hereford, $21,726.
H. D. Fowler, Wildorado, $21,648.
Shugart & Keller, Canyon, $21,564.
A. L. Hollingsworth, Hereford, $21,559.
R. B. Lanham, Jr., Amarillo, $21,539.
Harry Fuqua, Hereford, $21,533.
Walterscheid Bros., Hereford, $21,458.
James & Herman Hund, Hereford, $21,303.
Billy B. Moore, Wildorado, $21,204.
Hubert L. Turner Est., Hale Center, $20,743.
Denzil Pulliam, Hereford, $20,690.
R. G. Lynch, Jr., Hereford, $20,638.
Denson Trust, Houston, $20,587.
Jerry R. Landers, Hereford, $20,554.
J. B. Odom, Hereford, $20,467.
Richard Sims, Hereford, $20,346.
Clarence L. McBroom, Wildorado, $20,330.
Glenn D. Welch, Lockney, $20,079.
R. E. Battles, Dimmitt, $20,013.
Total payees in county (228), $9,158,913.

Delta County

Garland K. Cregg, Cooper, $23,370.
R. W. Smith, Cooper, $20,753.
Emmett E. Thomas, Pecan Gap, $20,636.
Total payees in county (3), $64,759.

Denton County

Elmo & Ernest Chesney, Celina, $42,411.
John W. Porter, Denton, $28,077.
Donald Shifflett, Krum, $23,513.
Bennett Griffin, Frisco, $21,886.
William Stanley Dozier, Lewisville, $21,061.
Bobby George, Frisco, $20,533.
Total payees in county (6), $157,481.

Dickens County

Dumont Bridge, Afton, $48,374.
Raymon Harris, McAdoo, $42,353.
D. W. Edinburgh, Crosbyton, $37,511.
Paul Braddock, Afton, $36,448.
Raymond Eldredge, McAdoo, $35,857.
Paul Dale Hagins, Spur, $35,443.
Donald Berry, McAdoo, $35,146.
Vernon Harris, Spur, $34,116.
Rex Carlisle, Spur, $31,706.
G. B. Morris, Crosbyton, $26,181.
Dovie Smith, Spur, $24,791.
Earl Van Meter, McAdoo, $24,300.
Larry Morris, Crosbyton, $23,589.
R. B. Walsworth, Spur, $22,664.
Harris Bros., McAdoo, $22,056.
Weldon Key, Spur, $21,133.
J. P. Hale, Spur, $20,862.
Hickman Bros., McAdoo, $20,680.
Frank Ragland, Roaring Springs, $20,107.
Total payees in county (19), $563,317.

Dimmit County

Reynolds & Wilson Farms, Crystal City, $46,374.
Warren Wagner, Inc., Crystal City, $42,164.
Total payees in county (2), $88,538.

Donley County

Hall S. Hardin, Clarendon, $36,766.
J. Melvin Asberry, Groom, $32,064.
Wm. O. Mooring, Clarendon, $29,643.
Warren Hardin, Clarendon, $25,277.
Lawrence Aubrey Watson, Clarendon, $23,551.
Jimmy Lee Butler, Lelia Lake, $21,883.
Harold L. White, Hedley, $20,704.
Total payees in county (7), $189,888.

Edwards County

Barron and McClain, Palmer, $20,582.

El Paso County

Lee Moor Farms, Clint, $142,449.
R. T. Hoover Farms, Fabens, $113,042.
H. D. Hilley, El Paso, $75,297.
Fabens Prod., Inc., Fabens, $58,597.
Ralphs Farms, Inc., San Elizario, $57,217.
L. R. Allison Co., Tornillo, $55,324.
Mike Maros, Fabens, $54,409.
Lettunich Farms, Clint, $50,056.
C. P. Brown, El Paso, $48,174.
Sierra Vista Farms, Tornilla, $45,159.
James Strachan, Clint, $42,694.
Jerry Rogers, Clint, $41,423.
Gulbant S. Gil & Sons, Inc., Clint, $39,810.
Bills & Ellis Farms, Fabens, $39,077.
Hiram Whitaker, Fabens, $38,177.
W. A. Rawls Ranch, Fabens, $36,687.
Schuster Farms, Inc., El Paso, $33,361.
Deerman Farms, Inc., El Paso, $33,307.
George Eads, Fabens, $33,290.
W. C. Passmore & Son, Clint, $33,257.
Brandes Rasey, Inc., Le Paso, $33,229.
George B. Spence, El Paso, $30,718.
C. S. & S., El Paso, $29,682.
Conway & Son, Clint, $29,466.
Joe Hanson, Clint, $28,955.
John Marchbanks, Jr., Fabens, $27,599.
Lester Millican, El Paso, $27,559.
Mary Seguila Trust, Tornillo, $27,391.
Robert Varela, Clint, $25,246.
K. W. Rushing, El Paso, $24,482.
Jim Surratt Farms, Fabens, $24,445.
Jack H. Stallings, El Paso, $24,357.
Louis Burrus, El Paso, $23,235.
L. P. Shafer, Tornillo, $23,158.
Jack Dunlap, El Paso, $22,693.
Gene Strachan, Fabens, $22,672.
W. T. Henderson, Est., El Paso, $22,354.
S. R. Davis, Fabens, $22,053.
Samuel V. Orr, Fabens, $21,302.
L. R. Jacobs, Clint, $21,197.
George Lutich, Fabens, $21,000.
Tomas Villarreal, Sr., El Paso, $20,896.
R. L. Skov Sons, Clint, $20,762.
River Bend Farms, El Paso, $20,481.
Total payees in county (44), $1,665,749.

Ellis County

H. R. Burden, Ennis, $62,224.
Jack B. Eastham, Waxahachie, $53,433.
B. D. Wakeland, Waxahachie, $50,468.
J. B. & Jas Underwood, Waxahachie, $48,558.
Ramon Armstrong, Ennis, $42,232.
Nash Bros., Waxahachie, $36,104.
Phillip N. Jeffers, Ferris, $32,882.
C. H. Shrader, Jr., Italy, $32,633.
C. C. Witherspoon, Ferris, $29,257.
James H. Pruett, Waxahachie, $26,855.
Ocie Ray Gerron, Ennis, $26,663.
Marvin Borders, Waxahachie, $25,917.
Bozek Farm, Ennis, $25,245.
Ted Almand, Waxahachie, $25,097.
G. W. James, Ferris, $24,747.
Robert C. Phillips, Waxahachie, $23,333.
K. W. & P. N. Jeffers, Ferris, $23,184.
Carey Hitt, Waxahachie, $22,340.
William C. Newton, Trumbull, $21,871.
Bob Crittenden, Waxahachie, $21,859.
F. M. Roberts, Ennis, $21,429.
Donald L. Patman, Waxahachie, $20,519.
Jack Harris, Italy, $20,246.
Roger, Harper, Palmer, $20,026.
Total payees in county (24), $737,122.

Erath County

Otey Shadden, Dublin, $27,849.

Falls County

Basil Abate, Bremond, $184,926.
Morris Scamardo, Bryan, $60,716.
Felix Tusa Estate, Bremond, $59,407.
Roman Gonzales, Waco, $54,117.
Falsone Brothers, Highbank, $48,996.
W. A. Hudgens, Troy, $45,981.
Jack Falco, Reagan, $41,725.
Louis Corpora, Marlin, $37,500.
Ellis Cattle Co., Reagan, $37,851.
Nick Roppolo, Highbank, $27,103.
Tony Abate, Marlin, $26,877.
Werner Fuchs, Lott, $26,768.
A. J. Falco, Highbank, $26,442.
H. H. Perkins, Chilton, $25,769.
Billy Pat Bostick, Eddy, $24,217.
Felix Steinke, Marlin, $22,707.
John Sharp, Jr., Waco, $21,898.
Lillian Degraffenried Est., Chilton, $21,877.
H. G. Barganier, Eddy, $20,839.
James Cook, Eddy, $20,134.
Total payees in county (20), $825,850.

Fannin County

Riverby Plan, Telephone, $34,610.
W. Frank Howell, Windom, $30,586.
R. Shelton, Sr., & R. Shelton, Jr., Honey Grove, $27,515.
Ralph Howell, Windom, $25,458.
B. W. Mathews, Honey Grove, $22,073.
Jack P. Farley, Whitewright, $20,119.
James T. Smith, Gober, $20,023.
Total Payees in county (7), $182,384.

Fayette County

John E. Morgan, Plum, $35,041.
Johnnie R. Naiser, La Grange, $20,799.
Total payees in county (2), $55,840.

Fisher County

John D. Ferguson, Hamlin, $47,076.
J. E. McCoy, Jr., Hamlin, $40,605.
Willingham Land Cattle, Hermleigh, $33,559.
Allan Eugene Terry, Roby, $32,140.
R. E. McKimmey, Rotan, $30,928.
Max C. Stuart, Roby, $30,771.
Bryant Farm, Inc., Hamlin, $28,615.
Vernon Terry, Roby, $27,430.
Richard Stuart, Roby, $27,406.
Afra Eugene Hudnall, Rotan, $26,542.
Mike Terry, Roby, $26,025.
Max Carriker, Roby, $25,685.
Frank K. Mitchell, Roby, $25,274.
Odell Rains, Rotan, $25,053.
Jack Morton, Roby, $24,987.
J. C. Sheffield, Roby, $24,854.
Thurman Terry, Roby, $24,642.
Stanley Nowlin, Rotan, $24,545.
Kermit L. Terry, Roby, $24,119.
Gerald Kent, Sweetwater, $22,900.
B. S. Wright, Rotan, $22,848.
V. C. Murphree, Rotan, $22,564.
J. P. Moore, Roby, $21,913.
Preston Morrow, Rotan, $21,690.
Lois B. Cauble, Roby, $21,670.
Morris Light, Hermleigha, $21,144.
Richard Posey, Rotan, $20,954.
Wiley J. Harvey, Jr., Roby, $20,812.
Dono Terry, Roby, $20,752.
Alton McCain, Roby, $20,623.
Calvin H. Carriker, Roby, $20,373.
B. E. Alldredge, Sweetwater, $20,064.
Total payees in county (32), $828,563.

Floyd County

Dorris Jones, Floydada, $77,092.
J. S. Hale, Jr., Floydada, $66,204.
Craig Silverthorne, Plainview, $64,731.
Bigham Farms, Lockney, $59,837.
R. I. Bennett, Lockney, $59,330.
W. L. Norman, Dougherty, $54,842.
Thomas Bros, Lockney, $53,819.
John C. Alford, Petersburg, $51,934.
L. N. Johnson, Lockney, $51,500.
H. & O. Corp, Lockney, $51,055.
Ward Brothers, Floydada, $50,851.
Marvin Shurbet, Petersburg, $49,474.
Watson Jones, Floydada, $48,873.
J. A. Miller, Floydada, $48,776.
Malvin A. Jarboe, Floydada, $47,011.
R. F. & Robert Stovall, Floydada, $46,589.
Lane Decker, Floydada, $44,960.
Louis Pyle, Floydada, $44,832.
Walter E. Bloys, Floydada, $44,699.
R. G. Dunlap, Floydada, $44,522.
C. C. Whittle, Floydada, $44,183.
Marble Brothers, South Plains, $43,582.
J. A. Welch, Lockney, $43,371.
Billy W. Fulton, Floydada, $42,094.
Dougherty Grain, Floydada, $41,769.
Johnney West, Floydada, $41,637.
Don Marble, South Plains, $41,207.
Roy H. Tinsley, Lockney, $41,104.
H. B. Robertson, Petersburg, $40,274.
Fred Zimmerman, Jr., Floydada, $40,122.
Reed Lawson, Lockney, $39,865.
J. E. Franklin, Lubbock, $39,345.
W. R. Ware, Lockney, $39,291.
Bill Sherman, Lockney, $38,977.
H. L. Porter, Jr., Petersburg, $38,305.
K. E. Probasco, Floydada, $38,164.
Robert L. Smith, Lockney, $38,160.
Tri C. Corp., Lockney, $38,055.

Kenneth Bean, Floydada, $37,805.
G. W. Smith, Floydada, $37,594.
P. Keith Marble, South Plains, $37,351.
Fred L. Marble, South Plains, $37,348.
J. R. Turner, Floydada, $37,111.
Wayne Bramlet, Lockney, $37,055.
Everett Miller, Petersburg, $36,796.
Paul Weiss and Paul Hrbacek, Lockney, $36,217.
Luther B. Brandes, Lockney, $35,962.
C. L. Henderson, Lockney, $35,661.
Davis & Son, Lubbock, $34,525.
Loyd Widener, Lockney, $34,509.
L. T. Wood, South Plains, $33,871.
Early P. Pritchett, Lockney, $32,800.
Buren C. and Lucy Cates, Floydada, $32,768.
Fred Jackson, Floydada, $32,722.
R. Fred Brown, Floydada, $32,597.
W. M. Staniforth, South Plains, $32,289.
Lewis Reddy, Floydada, $32,032.
Eldon Fortenberry, Lockney, $31,726.
J. W. Taylor Est. Ptnsp., Lockney, $31,040.
Eugene Gilly, Floydada, $30,882.
Carl Turner, Floydada, $30,563.
Edward J. Foster, Jr., Lockney, $30,365.
Bruce W. Davis, Petersburg, $30,074.
Donal Akin, Petersburg, $29,974.
J. R. Belt, Jr., Farming, Inc., Lockney, $29,554.
Lon Davis, Jr., Floydada, $29,340.
W. H. Simpson, Floydada, $29,190.
G. Taylor and T. Fortenberry, Lockney, $29,003.
Hubert E. Frizzell, Lockney, $28,969.
L. L. Rhodes, Lockney, $28,899.
Delmas McCormick, Floydada, $28,772.
George D. Probasco, Floydada, $28,714.
Charlie Boedeker, Lockney, $28,672.
Frank D. Brown, Lockney, $28,552.
Mary Beth Kropp, Lockney, $28,525.
Weldon Hammonds, Floydada, $27,992.
Oscar Golden, Aiken, $27,989.
Tate Jones, Floydada, $27,932.
Chester W. Mitchell, Lockney, $27,652.
Ronnie Belt, Lockney, $27,316.
Barney Manning, Lockney, $27,289.
Mack Hickerson, Floydada, $27,266.
Adrian Helms, Floydada, $27,062.
Milton Harrison, Floydada, $26,940.
Strickland & Co., Plainview, $26,772.
R. C. Mitchell, Lockney, $26,637.
Brock Farm, Lockney, $26,630.
Travis Jones, Floydada, $26,576.
Leighton Teeple, Lockney, $26,414.
Johnnie Cates, Floydada, $26,279.
E. J. Kinslow, Floydada, $26,016.
V. H. Kellison, Lockney, $25,851.
Walter W. Taack, Lockney, $25,837.
Bryan Smith, Lockney, $25,667.
J. E. Rexrode, Lockney, $25,643.
Joe Appling, Floydada, $25,562.
R. L. Neff, Inc., McAdoo, $25,538.
Joe N. Dunn, Floydada, $25,303.
S. J. McIntosh, Floydada, $25,174.
Gail Wilson, Lockney, $25,122.
Keith Billington, Plainview, $24,902.
W. S. Poole Estate, Plainview, $24,752.
Harold Norrell, Floydada, $24,609.
C. Joe Taylor, Lockney, $24,427.
Larry Jarrett, Silverton, $24,376.
William E. Whitfill, Lockney, $24,239.
Ewald Quebe, Lockney, $24,175.
Millard H. Watson, Floydada, $24,029.
Dale Widener, Plainview, $24,025.
William F. Bertrand, Floydada, $23,920.
George H. Willis, Jr., Petersburg, $23,793.
Ted Reid, Canyon, $23,628.
Wiley Nance, Jr., Lockney, $23,613.
Garvin Beedy, Lockney, $23,528.
C. W. Jones, Floydada, $23,464.
Ralph Johnston, Floydada, $23,404.
William R. Daniel, Floydada, $23,270.
Elmer M. Jackson, Floydada, $23,009.
Dale Jones, Ralls, $22,855.
Wilfred H. Stoener, Lockney, $22,827.
Bobby McCormick, Lockney, $22,703.
B. P. Sandefur, Floydada, $22,695.
Dorsey Baker, Lockney, $22,597.
Harry E. Blenden, Lockney, $22,589.
Fred Carthel, Lockney, $22,388.
Billy Hinkle, Floydada, $22,369.
Weldon Becker, Floydada, $22,185.
Harry Reeves, Floydada, $22,028.
Ralph R. Ray, Lockney, $22,024.
Gene Belt, Lockney, $21,969.
Austin B. Meriwether, Plainview, $21,921.
Joe Cunyus, Lockney, $21,854.
Clark J. Harris, Lockney, $21,823.
Eugene Tannahill, Lockney, $21,792.
Ned J. Bradley, Floydada, $21,770.
Bob Lane, Lockney, $21,746.
Norman Smith, Lockney, $21,708.
A. C. Pratt, Floydada, $21,676.
Howard G. Bishop, Floydada, $21,628.
Klyce Ooley, Plainview, $21,604.
Campbell Farm Acc., Matador, $21,557.
Eugene Beedy, South Plains, $21,435.
J. W. Day, Jr., Floydada, $21,362.
Wendell W. McLaughlin, Lockney, $21,260.
James A. Bobbitt, Lockney, $21,254.
Robert W. Reeves, Floydada, $21,154.
C. N. Walding, Floydada, $21,101.
Dan Hagood, Floydada, $21,101.
Hershel Carthel, Lockney, $20,893.
Ray N. Ford, Floydada, $20,694.
Jerry Williams, Lockney, $20,376.
Sandhill Farm, Lockney, $20,376.
Roger Dawdy, Floydada, $20,335.
C. T. Hammonds, Floydada, $20,288.
L. D. Smith, Jr., Floydada, $20,137.
Roy L. Hale, Floydada, $20,067.
J. O. Elliott, Floydada, $20,065.
Loyse A. Bradshaw, Lorenzo, $20,051.
W. Leslie Ferguson, Lockney, $20,051.
Lesley L. Wilkes, Lockney, $20,011.
B. B. Wilkes, Lockney, $20,007.
Patty Jo Wilkes, Lockney, $20,001.
Total payees in county (162), $5,024,181.

Foard County

James G. Johnson, Crowell, $29,306.
Carroll Bros., Crowell, $24,700.
Total payees in county (2), $54,006.

Fort Bend County

Tx. Dept. of Corr., Sugarland, $359,561.
Sugarland Ind., Inc., Sugarland, $66,703.
Foster Farms, Inc., Sugarland, $49,881.
Eppolito Bros., Stafford, $41,259.
John J. Kramr, East Bernard, $29,499.
Joe S. Kramr, East Bernard, $29,499.
Eppolito & Cantello, Stafford, $29,077.
Arno G. Schultz & Sons, Guy, $27,430.
Mulle Bros., Stafford, $27,324.
Tilford G. Sulak, East Bernard, $24,788.
Otto H. Schultz, Needville, $21,275.
Total payees in county (11), $706,296.

Frio County

Bennett Bros., Inc., Pearsall, $63,411.
A. E. Schletze Farms, Pearsall, $61,399.
Clyde Cox, Pearsall, $50,740.
John Barkley, Pearsall, $48,629.
Al Klopek, Dilley, $44,100.
H and B Farms, Pearsall, $39,182.
J. H. Woodward, Pearsall, $37,237.
Tony Mann, Bigfoot, $37,013.
Eulan Cox, Charlotte, $36,060.
W. E. Stacy & Sons, Bigfoot, $33,564.
S. L. Smith, Pearsall, $29,964.
Jay Kay Ranch, Pearsall, $27,988.
Riggan & Neal Farms, Inc., Pearsall, $26,064.
Gene Proctor, Inc., Dilley, $23,505.
J. C. Thompson, Dilley, $21,300.
Total payees in county (15), $580,156.

Gaines County

Verlon Hilburn, Lovington, $92,383.
M. H. Nance, Stanton, $70,803.
J. A. Grammer, Lamesa, $68,806.
Shamrock Farms, Lamesa, $68,005.
W. E. Berry, Jr., Seminole, $65,934.
H. L. Hill, Seagraves, $61,452.
Jennie Lee Lands, Seminole, $61,130.
M. J. Farms, Loop, $58,803.
C. E. Hilburn, Lovington, N. Mex., $56,490.
Paul Morgan, Lamesa, $56,423.
Paul J. Condit, Seminole, $55,941.
Norman Hicks, Seagraves, $53,435.
Ray Garrett, Seminole, $53,248.
Kenneth Hancock, Seagraves, $51,775.
R. I. Oliver, Seagraves, $49,916.
Earl Layman, Loop, $49,446.
Roy Apple, Seminole, $48,784.
J. A. Benthall, Seminole, $48,664.
Sam Teague, Ruidoso, $45,728.
Troy Martin, Seagraves, $45,522.
Robert Sneed, Seminole, $44,462.
Thomas Michael Jenkins, Seminole, $44,387.
Roy W. Wicker, Jr., Seagraves, $44,154.
Don Sanders, Seagraves, $44,125.
B. R. Truitt, Lamesa, $43,439.
Jesse L. Smith, Loop, $42,679.
Kent Denson, Seminole, $42,659.
Marvin Rayford Hays, Florey, $42,248.
Keith Young, Seagraves, $41,553.
Douglas Floyd, Seagraves, $41,545.
M. T. McIlwain, Seminole, $41,484.
Luther Lee, Lamesa, $41,051.
Earnest Spradlin, Seagraves, $40,996.
William Charlie Faulkenberry, Seagraves, $40,815.
Leon Foote, Seminole, $40,732.
Claude Doak Hearne, Seagraves, $40,361.
Billy J. Evans, Midland, $40,013.
Joe Tarbet, Levelland, $38,918.
Karl Cayton, La Mesa, $38,871.
Delmon Ellison, Seminole, $38,821.
E–Bar–S Ranch, Mesquite, $37,965.
George D. Vaughon, La Mesa, $37,879.
A. P. McGuire, Seminole, $37,634.
Moody Neeley, La Mesa, $37,069.
Tommy Lacy, Seagraves, $36,949.
Frank Ratliff, Jr., Seminole, $36,550.
Alvin Ray Riley, La Mesa, $36,353.
Glynn Batson, Seminole, $36,142.
Bob Moffatt, La Mesa, $35,516.
Noah Lemley, Brownfield, $35,488.
Glenn Hillman, Seminole, $35,068.
Kenneth Bass, Seminole, $35,009.
Wayne Greaves, Seminole, $34,889.
J. E. Neeley, La Mesa, $34,682.
Alton Faulkenberry, Seagraves, $34,525.
N. B. Fields, Seagraves, $33,917.
Charles Gay, Seminole, $33,769.
Ewell Kenneth Scott, La Mesa, $33,367.
K. C. Farms, Seagraves, $33,331.
Vester Smith, Loop, $33,069.
L. D. Smith, Loop, $32,968.
Cline E. Morris, Seminole, $32,845.
C. T. Beckham, Dallas, $32,626.
B. J. Smith, Lovington, $32,529.
John H. Schultz, Hobbs, $32,300.
Goodpasture Grain & Milling, Brownfield, $32,046.
Herbert Hicks, Seminole, $31,919.
Alvin Ward, Seminole, $31,525.
Charles Medlin, Seagraves, $31,463.
W. D. Mills, Loop, $30,123.
George Washington Jones, La Mesa, $29,804.
S. M. Hanson, Jr., Seagraves, $29,615.
Alvin Keith Forbis, Seminole, $29,579.
E. C. Harvey, Seagraves, $29,549.
A. W. Byrd, Loop, $29,436.
Rueben F. Owens, Seagraves, $29,416.
Howard Huddle, La Mesa, $29,374.
Jack Welch, Seagraves, $29,211.
Alvin Herron, Brownfield, $29,176.
Harmon Mills, Seagraves, $29,075.
John Henry Jones, Welch, $28,898.
Nix and Norman, Lamesa, $28,731.
W. V. Lasiter, Brownfield, $28,600.
Royce Harvey, Seagraves, $28,307.
H. Dale Cope, Loop, $28,236.
Eddie Jameson, Seminole, $27,986.
Big Four Farm, Lamesa, $27,952.
Kenneth Young, Loop, $27,887.
Ronnie W. Huddle, Lamesa, $27,682.
Robert Jameson, Seminole, $27,649.
E. D. Marion, Seminole, $27,564.
W. A. Freeman, Sr., Loop, $27,536.
Tom Hunt, Loop, $27,455.
J. H. Flippo, Seagraves, $26,932.
N. J. McIlwain, Seminole, $26,825.
W. H. Rhoades, Seagraves, $26,600.
David C. Jones, Welch, $26,302.
Brownfield Tractor Co., Inc., Seminole, $26,150.
D. J. Forbis, Seminole, $25,827.
J. C. Chaffin, Loop, $25,603.
N. M. Saunders, Seagraves, $25,591.

Don Ward, Seagraves, $25,589.
Morgan Farms, Lamesa, $25,560.
Lubbock Production Credit, Seminole, $25,248.
Vernon Goodwin, Seagraves, $25,067.
E. F. Foshee, Seagraves, $24,904.
Donald Floyd, Seagraves, $24,813.
Tom Barron, Plains, $24,772.
Weyland R., Mullins, Seagraves, $24,611.
W. O. Fortenberry Est., New Deal, $24,404.
Lynn Crossland, Seminole, $24,255.
Agro Resources, Inc., Hobbs, $24,033.
Amerada Pet Corp., Midland, $23,994.
Bill Moore, Seagraves, $23,986.
Harlan Miller, Seagraves, $23,866.
Rinehard Vogler, Seminole, $23,800.
Doyle Neighbors, Brownfield, $23,407.
Glen Fleming, Seagraves, $23,302.
J. P. Bingham, Loop, $23,212.
V. H. Williams, Seagraves, $23,085.
James R., Dunn, Lamesa, $23,056.
M. R. Newman, Denver City, $22,797.
H. R. Cope, Lamesa, $22,789.
Keith McConal, Loop, $22,711.
Hilton Wilson, Seagraves, $22,695.
J. A. White, Seminole, $22,661.
John Mark Jones, Welch, $22,530.
R. T. Bedwell, Jr., Lamesa, $22,361.
C. W. Garner, Seminole, $22,237.
Neil Sanderson, Seagraves, $21,951.
Joe Faulkenberry, Seagraves, $21,854.
Four J Farms, Inc., Welch, $21,848.
James Troy Green, Seminole, $21,786.
J. M. Newman, Meadow, $21,747.
Thomas W. McKinzie, Seagraves, $21,690.
J. R. Walker, Jr., Seagraves, $21,564.
Oscar B. Smith, Seminole, $21,444.
A. K. Hancock, Seminole, $21,125.
James R. Bogle, Lamesa, $20,967.
Freddie J. Vogler, Lamesa, $20,795.
E. J. Kelly, Loop, $20,762.
Leonard H. Kelley, Seminole, $20,701.
J. S. Knisley, Jr., Seagraves, $20,560.
J. E. Aldridge, Seminole, $20,414.
Johnny Gooding, Seagraves, $20,292.
O. M. Fowler, Seminole, $20,240.
Hanson & Moseley, Seagraves, $20,150.
A. Ray Russell, Seminole, $20,112.
Total payees in county (148), $4,959,811.

Garza County

H. V. Wheeler, Slaton, $56,851.
Avery Moore, Jr., Post, $39,929.
Clarence E. Basinger, Southland, $34,978.
S. C. Storie, Sr., Est., Post, $28,518.
S. C. Storie, Jr., Post, $25,835.
Jim Bob Porterfield, Tahoka, $24,796.
D. W. Eubank, Post, $22,373.
Robert Craig, Post, $22,340.
U Lazy S Farms, Lubbock, $22,184.
Frank Bostick, Post, $22,037.
C. R. Baldwin, Jr., Post, $21,272.
Total payees in county (11), $321,113.

Glasscock County

Edwards and Edwards, Big Springs, $43,130.
August M. Frysak, Garden City, $42,451.
Bednar Bros., Garden City, $41,599.
Fred J. Hoelscher, Garden City, $34,721.
Eugene E. Hirt, Garden City, $30,638.
Alfred E. Perry, Stanton, $30,416.
Hall Bar H Ranch, Big Springs, $29,422.
Delbert R. Halfmann, Garden City, $29,333.
Daniel A. Hirt, Garden City, $29,249.
Sidney F. Hirt, Garden City, $29,041.
Edward Plagens, Jr., Garden City, $27,575.
Clifford W. Hoelscher, Garden City, $26,732.
Charlie A. Smith, Stanton, $25,690.
Lawrence A. Jost, Garden City, $23,911.
James R. Currie, Garden City, $23,729.
Fenton A. Wilde, San Angelo, $23,617.
Leon A. Halfmann, Garden City, $23,323.
James C. Johnson, Garden City, $21,942.
Arnold O. Lange, Garden City, $21,642.
Jerome F. Hoelscher, Garden City, $20,435.
Arthur Hoelscher, Garden City, $20,320.
Total payees in county (21), $598,916.

Gonzales County

Harrell Cattle Co., Ganzales, $23,958.
Brewster & Brown, Gonzales, $21,637.
Total payees in county (2), $45,595.

Gray County

Moody Farms, Pampa, $57,359.
C & W Farms Inc., Canadian, $30,406.
Ray G. Burger & Son, Pampa, $26,159.
James D. Skaggs, Pampa, $20,020.
Kint C. Philpott, Miami, $20,007.
Total payees in county (5), $153,951.

Grayson County

Bob Light, Collinsville, $29,065.
C. B. Robinson, Whitewright, $23,620.
Junior Strawn, Howe, $20,340.
Total payees in county (3), $73,025.

Grimes County

Paul Keelan & Sons, Navasota, $47,690.
Roy W. Moore, Navasota, $39,581.
Harris Farms, Navasota, $36,660.
Total payees in county (3), $123,931.

Guadalupe County

Frank P. Watson, Jr., San Marcos, $37,076.
Robert A. Cooper, San Marcos, $22,005.
Total payees in county (2), $59,081.

Hale County

Ercell Givens, Abernathy, $145,022.
I. F. Lee, Hale Center, $138,584.
J. C. Mills, Abernathy, $135,629.
Jason H. Allen, Lubbock, $83,661.
Ballard and Hurt, Plainview, $82,419.
James Cannon, Lockney, $77,867.
Doug Ellison, Petersburg, $73,694.
Elmo Stephens, Plainview, $71,978.
E. A. Houston, Abernathy, $67,989.
Warren Mathis, Lockney, $65,044.
Frank Moore, Plainview, $64,110.
Lonnie Cannon, Hale Center, $63,971.
Ralph Wheeler, Edmonson, $63,872.
W. D. Scarborough, Jr., Petersburg, $62,808.
Winston T. Jones, Plainview, $62,081.
Leo Mathis, Plainview, $62,064.
Bradshaw and Meador, Idalou, $61,051.
G. O. King, Plainview, $60,664.
T. R. Joines, Abernathy, $60,145.
W. C. Harper, Plainview, $59,691.
R. Bruce Walker, Plainview, $59,181.
T. C. Clanton, Plainview, $58,410.
C. P. Smith, Hale Center, $56,674.
A. J. Givens, Plainview, $56,170.
Swann Pettit, Hale Center, $55,271.
Horne Brothers, Plainview, $54,094.
Jimmy McClaughlin, Plainview, $51,613.
Jones Masters Farms, Inc., Amarillo, $50,098.
Joe H. McWilliams, Plainview, $49,592.
A. E. Lewellen, Plainview, $49,018.
Neal and W. E. Burnett, Plainview, $48,862.
John A. Bell, Plainview, $48,682.
Clayton Terrell, Plainview, $47,962.
D. D. Rhodes, Lubbock, $47,856.
Alton Leach, Plainview, $47,484.
E. J. Pope, Jr., Abernathy, $47,477.
O. A. & C. E. Webb, Abernathy, $47,409.
Wallace Cannon, Plainview, $47,188.
James E. Laney, Hale Center, $46,987.
Miller Farms, Plainview, $46,626.
Burgess Farm Account, Hale Center, $46,521.
Ralph E. Davis, Abernathy, $46,283.
Walter E. Patterson, Plainview, $46,163.
Donald C. Ebeling, Plainview, $45,574.
John C. Carter, Plainview, $45,305.
C. R. Kay, Plainview, $45,289.
J. L. Browning, Plainview, $44,386.
Lee C. O'Neil, Lubbock, $44,334.
Frank Megna, Abernathy, $44,253.
Walter Gilliam, Littlefield, $44,077.
Floyd Ellison, Petersburg, $43,626.
Wilburn M. Kurfees Est., Plainview, $43,453.
Benson Farms, Inc., Hale Center, $42,972.
Jim Bob Curry, Hale Center, $42,238.
Bob Riley, Abernathy, $42,089.
Horace N. Wardlow, Plainview, $42,084.
Bill Riley, Abernathy, $42,043.
Harvey Lutrick, Abernathy, $41,848.
Frank Stanton, Jr., Petersburg, $41,733.
Arthur W. Sorelle, Plainview, $41,700.
C. T. Minchew and Miller Trust, Hale Center, $41,571.
Raymond Akin, Plainview, $41,530.
J. W. Davis, Abernathy, $40,766.
Donald Lee Terrell, Plainview, $40,692.
Herschel Blankenship, Plainview, $40,687.
J. H. Kirby and Sons, Hale Center, $39,843.
Charles Noel, Plainview, $39,544.
Jake Q. Finney, Edmonson, $39,513.
Delbert Howell, Olton, $38,867.
Heath Brothers, Hale Center, $38,562.
Joe Sherrod, Hale Center, $38,014.
Carl Phillips, Abernathy, $37,892.
Owen E. Jones, Olton, $37,803.
Dee W. Martin, Plainview, $37,692.
White and Sturdivant Company, Cotton Center, $37,256.
Earl G. Beach, Hale Center, $36,911.
Jack Gonzales, Plainview, $36,767.
L. K. Gregory, Petersburg, $36,245.
J. E. Helm, Plainview, $36,141.
Frank Schulte, Okarche, $35,940.
Kenneth R. Wiese, Petersburg, $35,909.
Gordon H. Branham, Plainview, $35,631.
Edwin Adams, Plainview, $35,563.
Irvan Rhodes, Abernathy, $35,546.
Quentin Redinger, Olton, $35,524.
Marcus Breland, Olton, $35,246.
John Trimmier, Jr., Hale Center, $35,034.
Warren Thomas, Hale Center, $35,025.
G. A. McWilliams, Plainview, $34,414.
The Waters Trust, Lubbock, $34,329.
Harold W. Thomas, Abernathy, $34,222.
Carl J. Marshall, Hale Center, $33,863.
Jerry K. Oswalt, Abernathy, $33,643.
Weldon Reed, Plainview, $33,423.
Billy F. Sylvester, Plainview, $33,397.
Joe Oswalt, Abernathy, $33,081.
Earl M. James, Plainview, $33,052.
Witten Farms, Kress, $33,011.
Davis Cannon, Hale Center, $32,727.
John M. Norfleet, Hart, $32,708.
S. R. Heard, Plainview, $32,294.
R. L. Tullis, Hale Center, $32,285.
Paul Stukey, Plainview, $31,963.
Henry C. Griffin, Olton, $31,905.
Jack K. Stephens, Petersburg, $31,821.
S. J. Young, Plainview, $31,667.
Wesley A. Schumacher, Plainview, $31,608.
James K. Sageser, Hale Center, $31,573.
Mrs. J. S. McBeth, Hale Center, $31,554.
Mac Houston, Abernathy, $31,533.
Ross and Thompson, Plainview, $31,496.
Ray R. Copeland, Olton, $31,101.
Jack Robertson, Plainview, $31,038.
Millard J. Hancock, Abernathy, $31,012.
James McDaniel, Plainview, $30,757.
Jerry V. Young, Plainview, $30,752.
Joe Barton, Hale Center, $30,747.
Wesley Davis, Hale Center, $30,731.
R. G. Russ, Jr., Hale Center, $30,563.
Laura Faye McDougal, Abernathy, $30,468.
J. B. Holland, Plainview, $30,424.
Gene Boyd, Plainview, $30,390.
Paul Toliver, Plainview, $29,943.
U. O. Hobgood, Abernathy, $29,716.
W. E. McPherson, Plainview, $29,612.
Wilson Brothers, Plainview, $29,601.
C. E. Hobgood Est., Lubbock, $29,577.
J. W. Pope, Abernathy, $29,444.
Orval Boyd, Hale Center, $29,362.
B. L. Griffith, Abernathy, $29,342.
J. L. Miller, Jr., Abernathy, $29,316.
Joe Ed Sullivan, Plainview, $29,177.
Ralph Miller, Plainview, $29,171.
Don Cannon, Hale Center, $29,118.
John Joe Kirchoff, Plainview, $29,106.
Earl C. McClain, Olton, $28,808.
Rae Groce, Petersburg, $28,693.
True H. Rosser, Jr., Petersburg, $28,624.
Lafont Farms, Plainview, $28,536.
James F. Pace, Hale Center, $28,529.
Owen Benn, Abernathy, $28,422.
C. E. Carter and Son, Plainview, $28,206.
Oliver Harmel, Plainview, $28,159.
Otis A. Stout, Jr., Hale Center, $27,987.
Elmer Koenning, Plainview, $27,985.
Loy Eldon Teague, Abernathy, $27,952.
Floyd Terrell, Plainview, $27,937.
Johnnie J. Maberry, Plainview, $27,917.
Robert Pope, Abernathy, $27,825.
Willie V. Dunn, Abernathy, $27,680.
Austin O'Neil, Jr., Hale Center, $27,581.
Robert E. Wilson, Plainview, $27,514.
Joe Sharp, Plainview, $27,506.
R. D. Hoffman, Hale Center, $27,317.

Gaylord Groce, Petersburg, $27,232.
Herman S. Tennell, Abernathy, $27,208.
Kit McDaniel, Jr., Hale Center, $27,145.
Billy Joe Evans, Lubbock, $27,103.
Paul Robertson, Hale Center, $27,093.
Kathryn Raymond, Hale Center, $26,963.
Joe A. Evans, Hale Center, $26,962.
Alfred Garibay, Hale Center, $26,925.
William H. Finkner, Petersburg, $26,835.
Henry Ahrens, Olton, $26,772.
Lester B. Cox, Plainview, $26,690.
Melvin Kelm, Plainview, $26,557.
Wallace W. Klatt, Lubbock, $26,433.
Rhodes and Rhodes, Petersburg, $26,429.
Melvin Mahagan, Hale Center, $26,163.
J. Weldon Blount, Hale Center, $26,102.
L. M. Stout & O. A. Stout, Est., Hale Center, $26,018.
Virgal Pierson, Petersburg, $25,997.
R. N. Hopper, Petersburg, $25,903.
Dennis Zachary, Petersburg, $25,829.
Welkin Farms, Plainview, $25,828.
J. W. McReynolds, Abernathy, $25,815.
Forest Young, Petersburg, $25,805.
W. W. McCoy and Son, Hale Center, $25,766.
B. R. Bennett, Hart, $25,754.
Leon Griffin, Olton, $25,696.
Charles L. King, Hale Center, $25,632.
Rupert L. Allen, Abernathy, $25,494.
U. V. Helbert, Hale Center, $25,202.
J. O. Bass, Jr., Plainview, $25,181.
Rex Jordan, Plainview, $25,132.
Melvin Hicks, Hale Center, $25,125.
F. C. Lewellen, Plainview, $25,037.
Tommy Applewhite, Hale Center, $24,974.
Elmer Lee Criswell, Jr., Plainview, $24,851.
A. L. Stone, Jr., Abernathy, $24,830.
Vinson Dixson, Plainview, $24,786.
Roy Roberts, Olton, $24,760.
Royce Hillman, Lockney, $24,549.
Ben Roney, Hale Center, $24,539.
R. D. Barrett, Cotton Center, $24,527.
Grady Murry, Plainview, $24,487.
Curtis Graf, Vernon, $24,478.
Roe McAnally, Plainview, $24,218.
Hobby Thomas, Hale Center, $24,217.
Vernon Bostick, Olton, $24,204.
Bert Roberts, Plainview, $24,121.
Dempsey D. Skipper, Abernathy, $24,107.
Thomas Johnston, Abernathy, $24,086.
Lewis F. Thompson, Hale Center, $24,084.
Howard Shackelford, Hale Center, $24,081.
Jimmy Kelly, Petersburg, $24,080.
Leonard Noel, Petersburg, $24,056.
Clyde W. Harrell, Plainview, $23,992.
Jack Connell, Shallowater, $23,918.
William J. Ingram, Abernathy, $23,908.
Homer Roberson, Petersburg, $23,773.
G. E. Myatt, Petersburg, $23,664.
Wayne Bankston, Edmonson, $23,655.
A. W. Hardin, Abernathy, $23,633.
Royce Carthel, Plainview, $23,589.
Noble Rice, Abernathy, $23,550.
Melvin Bennett, Plainview, $23,530.
James H. McBeth, Hale Center, $23,348.
Jack Sageser, Hale Center, $23,334.
Cecil Curry, Plainview, $23,325.
Roy Mills, Hale Center, $23,309.
Troy Brown, Hale Center, $23,299.
Lewis Lutrick, Abernathy, $23,293.
C. H. Kayler, Hale Center, $23,225.
J. P. Barton, Hale Center, $23,192.
Don McCullouch, Plainview, $23,166.
S. M. True, Jr., Plainview, $23,160.
Charles W. Lambert, Abernathy, $23,156.
Earl E. Dunn, Lubbock, $23,145.
Elvin Glenn, Kress, $23,121.
Larry W. Witten, Olton, $23,120.
Thrane Parsons, Plainview, $22,996.
Dale T. Milner, Petersburg, $22,914.
Charles Norfleet, Hale Center, $22,892.
Williams and Leach, Plainview, $22,842.
S. M. Williams, Cotton Center, $22,823.
W. H. Rollow, Ada, $22,777.
Glen E. Robertson, Abernathy, $22,765.
W. A. Culp, Plainview, $22,739.
James C. Webb, Plainview, $22,701.
D. L. Lewis, Kress, $22,639.
Richard L. Howard, Abernathy, $22,541.
Arville Nivens, Half Center, $22,398.
Robert L. Cobb, Plainview, $22,332.
Jason Justice, Petersburg, $22,327.
Paul Willis, Petersburg, $22,297.
G. D. Clapp, Abernathy, $22,294.
Cloys Fancher, Olton, $22,284.
Ershel W. Johnson, Olton, $22,219.
Ted Richardson, Hale Center, $22,066.
Edward Weil, Hale Center, $21,985.
Leo Robertson, Lubbock, $21,967.
Carl A. Bonds, Edmonson, $21,940.
Don Hammer, Plainview, $21,938.
R. E. Parker, Hale Center, $21,857.
Don McKenzie, Abernathy, $21,731.
W. D. Lacewell, Plainview, $21,729.
Clarence A. Howell, Plainview, $21,685.
Willis James, Hale Center, $21,636.
Jasper C. Logan, Hale Center, $21,613.
J. D. Black, Hale Center, $21,424.
Carl Laney, Hale Center, $21,367.
Robert Schoppa, Plainview, $21,340.
C. D. Sageser, Hale Center, $21,282.
A. D. Williamson, Lubbock, $21,218.
Willie Teeter Farming, Inc., Hale Center, $21,148.
Joe M. Stubblefield, Jr., Hale Center, $21,021.
Howard L. Yarbrough, Plainview, $20,971.
Joe Roney, Hale Center, $20,941.
James W. Taylor, Plainview, $20,923.
J. H. Myatt, Abernathy, $20,765.
Shelby Howell, Jr., Plainview, $20,606.
V. L. Neis, Abernathy, $20,551.
Ronald Adrian, Plainview, $20,442.
D. L. Damron, Hale Center, $20,437.
Donald Huffaker, Hale Center, $20,404.
Jerry W. Shadden, Hale Center, $20,383.
J. R. Caldwell, Hale Center, $20,273.
C. R. Moore, Plainview, $20,056.
Harrold Rhodes, Petersburg, $20,011.
Total payees in county (280), $9,492,654.

Hall County

Billy J. Rothwell, Childress, $41,075.
J. A. Mcanear, Clarendon, $40,053.
Allen L. Monzingo, Memphis, $39,470.
Carroll Fowler, Lakeview, $38,466.
Henry S. Foster, Memphis, $37,362.
W. H. Reed, Memphis, $34,665.
Mack D. Richards, Memphis, $33,806.
Loyd J. Becker, Memphis, $32,698.
Joe C. Montgomery, Memphis, $32,007.
Rayford E. Hutcherson, Memphis, $31,761.
Lonnie F. Widener, Memphis, $31,470.
Clark Bros., Lakeview, $30,387.
Jack Montgomery, Lakeview, $29,118.
W. S. Montgomery, Clarendon, $28,871.
F. G. Monzingo, Memphis, $28,104.
J. Robert Hanvey, Jr., Memphis, $27,963.
M. P. Smith, Memphis, $27,584.
Joyce D. Webster, Memphis, $26,248.
Harold Burk, Estelline, $26,104.
Hawkins & Lane, Turkey, $25,838.
C. L. Benson, Clarendon, $25,620.
Jim Hutchins, Estelline, $25,542.
R. S. Wansley, Jr., Memphis, $25,498.
Robert R. Hutcherson, Memphis, $25,486.
J. T. Mullin, Turkey, $25,298.
Joe K. Eddins, Estelline, $24,568.
Jimmy Roden, Memphis, $23,967.
James S. Guest, Turkey, $23,733.
Mel Roy Cofer, Lakeview, $23,618.
James Moss, Memphis, $23,517.
William A. Molloy, Lakeview, $23,484.
Bernie P. Watson, Lakeview, $22,654.
Ted Bruce, Estelline, $22,638.
Tuhrman Ellerd & Son, Memphis, $22,199.
Larry Lewis, Lakeview, $21,608.
Frank Hedrick, Estelline, $21,529.
V. G. & Kent D. Byars, Lakeview, $20,982.
Vera D. Dickey, Memphis, $20,820.
Ona O. Pate, Memphis, $20,755.
Foxhall Bros., Memphis, $20,723.
S. D. Posey & Son, Memphis, $20,621.
Jan Turner, Turkey, $20,610.
Jimmy Don Molloy, Lakeview, $20,042.
Total payees in county (43), $1,168,562.

Hansford County

Jack Hart, Gruver, $88,127.
Collier Bros., Inc., Spearman, $73,704.
R. E. and Rue Sanders, Spearman, $66,433.
Lynn & Jon Hart, Gruver, $62,003.
C. H. Clawson, Gruver, $61,803.
Bill Logsdon, Guymon, $57,974.
Eva Holt, Gruver, $51,372.
Don Hart, Gruver, $48,541.
Gus O. Birdwell, Spearman, $44,813.
D. C. Dilley Est., Borger, $42,364.
Willard McCloy, Morse, $41,278.
Lloyd Buzzard, Spearman, $38,202.
W. R. Murrell, Gruver, $37,653.
Donnie Thoreson, Gruver, $35,669.
Ward V. Nolder, Gruver, $35,468.
Hez M. Frazier, Gruver, $35,006.
Fletcher Bros., Inc., Gruver, $34,662.
Roy Roper, Gruver, $34,656.
Carl Archer, Spearman, $34,344.
J. L. Whitaker, Gruver, $33,839.
Joel D. Stavlo, Gruver, $33,587.
Ernest Wilmeth, Spearman, $32,014.
J. P. Armes, Gruver, $31,661.
Archie Nelson, Gruver, $31,330.
A. R. Bort, Gruver, $31,290.
E. David Knutson, Guymon, $28,228.
Dan E. Archer, Perryton, $28,007.
Coy M. Holt, Gruver, $27,768.
Jack Henson, Gruver, $27,719.
T. C. Harvey, Jr., Gruver, $27,482.
Taylor & Gee, Spearman, $27,480.
John C. Harris, Gruver, $27,169.
Holt Bros., Gruver, $26,750.
Glen O. Hiller, Spearman, $26,362.
C. A. McLain, Spearman, $26,061.
M. D. McLaughlin, Spearman, $26,057.
L. H. Gruver, Gruver, $26,026.
William F. D. Eiling, Gruver, $25,511.
Pittman and Sons., Inc., Morse, $25,389.
Gerald C. Betty, Perryton, $24,845.
George C. Lowe, Gruver, $24,243.
Charles R. Ball, Spearman, $23,877.
John C. Venneman, Spearman, $23,875.
F. W. Thomas, Spearman, $23,584.
C. Ralph Blodgett, Spearman, $23,523.
Robert H. Novak, Spearman, $23,248.
Clarence Zabel, Guymon, $23,237.
Vance B. Morris, Gruver, $23,162.
Robert V. Skinner, Spearman, $22,196.
R. C. Porter, Spearman, $22,172.
H. J. Vernon, Spearman, 22,036.
Bill Glasgow, Guymon, $21,899.
Nick Holt, Gruver, $21,320.
Robert E. Jackson, Guymon, $21,219.
Logsdon Farms, Guymon, $21,073.
Willie Faye Creamer, Spearman, $20,464.
Bob Cluck, Gruver, $20,368.
J. R. Keim, Spearman, $20,343.
Total payees in county (58), $1,920,486.

Hardeman County

M. E. Watson, Jr., Kirkland, $60,664.
Robert Nippert, Quanah, $52,475.
Roy Hines, Childress, $41,815.
First National Bank Trust C. G. Conley, Wichita Falls, $36,612.
Edward L. Turner, Quanah, $35,520.
Carl Vestal, Quanah, $33,663.
Nuckles & Gerald, Sweetwater, $33,574.
Weldon Tabor, Kirkland, $30,859.
W. L. Wilson, Quanah, $26,844.
W. R. Tabor, Quanah, $26,070.
Quentin Wilson, Quanah, $25,841.
Harry C. Becknell, Quanah, $24,649.
Jack Hurst, Quanah, $23,277.
Archie W. Tabor, Quanah, $22,067.
Kenneth McSpadden, Quanah, $21,962.
Aron W. Phillips, Chillicothe, $21,548.
James W. Tabor, Quanah, $20,903.
James Wiley Tabor, Jr., Kirkland, $20,581.
Total payees in county (18), $558,924.

Hartley County

Harold H. Hogue, Dalhart, $128,097.
Carl J. Kuper, Dalhart, $123,047.
Framack Farms, Amarillo, $95,090.
John W. Bookout, Hartley, $81,323.
Chain C. Inc., Amarillo Bldg. Amarillo, $59,359.
Frantz & Frantz, Hartley, $53,121.
Joe D. Keast, Dalhart, $40,974.
Bobby G. Green, Hartley, $40,587.
U. Anchor Cattle Co., Amarillo, $37,548.
Gary K. George, Perryton, $37,288.

J. Y. McAdams, Dalhart, $34,492.
Leopold J. Schmidt, Sr., Dalhart, $34,192.
Howell L. McCleskey, Dalhart, $33,863.
Eddie P. Castleberry, Dalhart, $33,469.
G. Malcolm Bryant, Hartley, $33,189.
Doyce W. Franklin, Houston, $32,832.
Harold W. Hudkins, Hartley, $32,698.
Wilbur L. Bryant, Hartley, $32,264.
Alfred R. Ford, Hartley, $31,005.
Leopold J. Schmidt, Jr., Dalhart, $30,754.
Howard E. Lathem, Dalhart, $30,751.
Verlin C. Lasley, Stratford, $30,751.
Verlin C. Lasley, Stratford, $30,703.
Hartley Moore Farms, Amar, $30,033.
G. Ivory Edlin, Channing, $29,962.
Exum Ranch, Dalhart, $29,907.
William R. Weatherford Est, Hartley, $29,111.
James R. Reynolds, Dalhart, $28,533.
J. D. Hanch Jr & Jack Clayton, Hartley, $28,237.
D. B. Thompson, Hartley, $26,915.
James R. Wilson, Dalhart, $26,857.
Kenneth G. Brown, Dalhart, $26,829.
R. Hudson Herring, Dalhart, $25,373.
Larry R. McCormick, Dumas, $23,903.
Ralph M. Artz, Dalhart, $23,114.
Robert G. Shrauner, Hartley, $22,815.
Kermit D. Kasper, Dalhart, $22,433.
Raymon B. Reynolds, Jr., Dalhart, $22,117.
Hayden Thompson, Jr., Hartley, $21,579.
Winford Jenkins, Dalhart, $21,317.
Robert A. Thompson, Hartley, $21,290.
Carl H. Kuper, Dalhart, $21,147.
Earline Kuper, Dalhart, $21,107.
P. Lee Hill, Dalhart, $20,696.
Total payees in county (43), $1,609,921.

Haskell County

Burson and Burson, Haskell, $52,278.
John L. Grindstaff, Jr., Knox City, $48,130.
Arlos W. Weaver, Haskell, $42,869.
Ray Carter, Rule, $42,189.
William J. Goode, Seymour, $41,713.
Johnny D. Reid, O'Brien, $38,716.
E. H. Tankersley, Jr., O'Brien, $35,101.
Amos D. May, Rule, $32,326.
William G. Ellis, O'Brien, $30,768.
George A. Mullino, Rochester, $30,412.
Melvin C. Josselet, Weinert, $29,671.
Ellis L. Tankersley, O'Brien, $28,620.
L. Weldon Norman, Rule, $27,816.
Leon Burson, Haskell, $27,760.
Willard L. Mullins, Haskell, $27,683.
Willie Z. Bettis Est., Stamford, $27,660.
Jalea R. Glover, Rochester, $27,102.
Thomas J. Bevel, Rochester, $27,055.
William M. Reid, Rochester, $25,936.
Joel Bevel, Rochester, $25,084.
Sudie Mae Ballard, Rochester, $24,640.
Vernon P. Terrell, Haskell, $24,499.
Robert G. Walton, Haskell, $23,823.
Albert R. Hannsz, Haskell, $23,754.
Robert W. Herren, Haskell, $23,601.
Kenneth Lane, Haskell, $22,774.
Howard Wayne Overby, Stamford, $22,750.
Virgil E. Sonnamaker, Haskell, $22,506.
Auvil R. Eaton, Rule, $22,491.
Wallace L. Dudensing, Sagerton, $22,263.
W. H. Patterson, Rochester, $22,145.
Roy D. Wiseman, Haskell, $21,715.
Jack H. Neathery, Rochester, $21,390.
Ray and Tony McWhorter, Rochester, $21,354.
Pace Bros., Haskell, $21,076.
Floyd D. Emerson, O'Brien, $21,001.
Melvin R. Hill, Haskell, $20,764.
John and Truman Therwhanger, Haskell, $20,718.
Charles M. Conner, Haskell, $20,157.
O. D. Pool, Jr., Rochester, $20,147.
Total payees in county (46), $1,112,457.

Hidalgo County

Engelman Farms, Elsa, $195,317.
Krenmueller Farms, San Juan, $150,083.
C. B. Shields, Jr., Edcouch, $135,406.
Geo. T. Helle, Mission, $133,323.
Sam Sparks, Santa Rosa, $116,502.
Rio Farms, Inc., Edcouch, $114,659.
Ben Estes Bearden, Santa Rosa, $110,270.
J. W. Wallace & Sons, Edinburg, $94,121.
Bell Bros., La Villa, $84,693.
Knapp Farms, Weslaco, $82,796.
Frank Schuster, San Juan, $80,204.
La Strawberry & Veg. Dist. Co., McAllen, $80,015.
J. B. Hardwicke Co., Ptn., McAllen, $75,995.
Robert Gandy, Edinburg, $75,743.
Holcomb & Black, Weslaco, $72,448.
Byron Campbell Est., Raymondville, $71,371.
Edgar R. Smith, Weslaco, $70,807.
Valley Acres, Santa Rosa, $68,268.
Carl Schuster, San Juan, $68,234.
Fuller Farms, Weslaco, $67,172.
Bill Burns, McAllen, $65,892.
Las Palmas Farms, Weslaco, $64,532.
J. R. Stump, Elsa, $64,249.
Shary Farms, Inc., Mission, $63,413.
C. C. & Jack Harbison, Mercedes, $62,961.
Bradford & Eoff Ptns, Weslaco, $59,569.
J. R. Russell, Welasco, $56,867.
Beckwith Farms, Progreso, $55,968.
Anthony Keller, Edinburg, $53,886.
M. D. & N. J. Moore, Jr., Weslaco, $52,945.
J. B. Pollock, Hargill, $51,381.
Jerry Block, Mission, $50,137.
N. H. Kitayama, Donna, $49,535.
George V. Harren, Weslaco, $46,333.
James Dyer, Weslaco, $45,599.
Evergreen Farms, Inc., Edcouch, $45,466.
Bryan Hanks, Edinburg, $44,672.
Paul D. Moore, Jr., McAllen, $44,640.
Edith Tyner, Donna, $43,897.
Everett Bell, Mission, $40,804.
American Farm, Inc., Donna, $40,800.
J. R. Wade Farms, Inc., La Villa, $40,312.
Marvin A. Schwarz, Mercedes, $39,835.
Lloyd Schwarz, Mercedes, $39,803.
Griffin & Brand, McAllen, $37,602.
W. A. Doom, Jr., La Villa, $37,473.
Turberville Farms, Elsa, $36,476.
E. L. Tyner, Donna, $36,423.
Ostrowski & Son, Santa Rosa, $36,066.
E. J. Blake, Mercedes, $34,798.
M. F. Frost, McAllen, $34,784.
Holmes Farms, Inc., Edinburg, $34,643.
Boyce Farms, Inc., Donna, $34,269.
Mervin Headley, McAllen, $33,673.
Barr Ewing, Mercedes, $33,486.
Fred P. Hall, Weslaco, $33,300.
Verner Gustafson, Lyford, $32,602.
R. O. Wade Farms, Inc., Edcouch, $32,601.
L. J. Krska, Edinburg, $32,508.
Wyatt & Ware, Corpus Christi, $32,363.
H. M. Pitts, Weslaco, $32,338.
Wm. T. Dudley, Elsa, $32,148.
Woody Cellum, Elsa, $31,757.
Kirk Schwarz, Mercedes, $31,717.
Jack & Roy W. Barnes, Pharr, $30,993.
T. E. Bottom, Donna, $30,825.
Jack Drawe, Mercedes, $30,705.
J. S. & Quinn McManus, Weslaco, $30,066.
Ray C. Jones, Jr., Mercedes, $29,193.
Eugene Houts, Edinburg, $28,919.
Utah Dickerson, Weslaco, $28,836.
L. H. Freeman, Elsa, $28,828.
Mitsuru Sakai, McAllen, $28,738.
Greg Pinkston, Elsa, $28,671.
Don Bentsen, Edinburg, $27,964.
Marialice & Allan Shivers, Mission, $27,777.
Mid Valley Farms, Alamo, $27,615.
Dudley Farms, Mercedes, $27,454.
Clifford Bros., Mercedes, $26,827.
M. A. Drewry, Edinburg, $26,594.
Ned Westmoland, McAllen, $26,298.
Mike & Joe Chapa, Linn, $25,748.
C. E. Duncan Farms No. 2, Edinburg, $25,691.
R. C. Jones, Mercedes, $25,460.
D. J. Schwarz, Mercedes, $25,274.
Relampago Inc., Mercedes, $25,146.
L. F. Nittler, Edcouch, $24,699.
C. W. Hall, Weslaco, $24,614.
Wilburn Malm, Lyford, $24,596.
Tommy Richards, Hargill, $24,357.
Anna Mae Kelly, Pharr, $24,183.
B. W. Carlson, Edcouch, $24,057.
Edward Willis, Raymondville, $23,545.
T. R. Morin, Alamo, $23,249.
Bobby McDaniel, Weslaco, $22,872.
Mayfair Minerals Inc., McAllen, $22,796.
Juan G. Villanueva, Edinburg, $22,698.
M. E. Longwell, Edinburg, $22,685.
Kenneth Hood, Weslaco, $22,675.
Juan F. Moron, Edcouch, $22,591.
W. H. Drawe, Mercedes, $22,564.
Dan Logan, Mercedes, $22,338.
Theser Bros., McAllen, $22,231.
Lewis Fry, Elsa, $22,102.
Elliott & Nattinger, Edcouch, $21,996.
Dallas N. Stites, Edcouch, $21,835.
M. S. Miller, Weslaco, $21,751.
Dennis S. Jarzombek, Mission, $21,395.
E. D. Houts, Edcouch, $21,315.
Jones Bros. Farms, Donna, $21,029.
Arthur E. Schwarz, Mercedes, $21,002.
Ardean McDaniel, Weslaco, $20,839.
Stanley J. Jarzombek, Mission, $20,798.
David Hoelscher, Hargill, $20,682.
J. E. Mitchell & Sons, Weslaco, $20,437.
Burton C. Hester, Hargill, $20,279.
Glenn B. Hester, Hargill, $20,234.
Pete Sakai, Hidalgo, $20,201.
Gene Moore, Edinburg, $20,107.
Total payees in county (119), $5,198,324.

Hill County

Daniel Pustejovsky, Hillsboro, $44,260.
Ellison Bros., Itasca, $38,358.
Gerik Bros. Ptrns., Aquilla, $35,550.
G. Ray Sawyer, Hillsboro, $33,262.
Seth Orr, Hillsboro, $32,583.
Cecil & Delmer Sullins Ptnrs., Hillsboro, $28,651.
Julian B. Mastin, Grandview, $26,095.
Wallace Lavender, Brandon, $24,061.
Dennis Brunton, Penelope, $22,751.
Claud D. Watson, Penelope, $22,554.
Girault and Son, Hillsboro, $20,429.
Henry D. Thuem, Itasca, $20,091.
Total payees in county (12), $348,645.

Hockley County

White Face Farms Inc., Levelland, $98,425.
Marvn E. Green, Levelland, $78,402.
Neal Caswell, Anton, $76,924.
W. N. Halliburton, Levelland, $72,226.
L. E. Mitchell, Levelland, $69,839.
J. Walter Hobgood, Anton, $68,528.
Lockett Seed Co. Ropesville, $61,751.
Joe W. Cook, Jr., Ropesville, $59,405.
William M. Cain, Ropesville, $54,203.
Gordon McMillan, Levelland, $54,148.
Billy Ray McInroe, Levelland, $52,663.
Fred G. Owens, Levelland, $51,287.
Marjorie Post, Levelland, $50,205.
H. Joe Schwartz, Ropesville, $47,806.
Don Brazil, Anton, $47,576.
James T. Rackler, Levelland, $44,517.
Aubrey L. Lockett, Vernon, $43,272.
Erlan D. Gresham, Levelland, $42,530.
Harry L. Fitzgerald, Levelland, $42,351.
Troy M. Overman, Lubbock, $42,209.
William E. Carr, Jr., Levelland, $40,690.
Chester Borders, Levelland, $40,433.
Elbert C. White, Jr., Whiteface, $39,452.
Howard Venable, Levelland, $38,853.
Elwood Patterson, Levelland, $38,681.
Walter S. Carter, Levelland, $38,430.
James Buford Chambers, Ropesville, $37,945.
Loyd Hood, Levelland, $37,893.
Spade Ranch, Inc., Lubbock, $37,052.
William Clements, Levelland, $36,913.
W. L. Harris, Levelland, $36,836.
Billy Arnwine, Levelland, $36,691.
Douglas Kauffman, Levelland, $36,334.
Carl E. Ratliff, Lubbock, $36,136.
Earl D. Lewis, Levelland, $35,663.
Jack Sherrod, Levelland, $35,320.
Melvin L. Hale, Levelland, $35,225.
Acuff Farms, Ropesville, $34,677.
Mallet Ranch, Lubbock, $34,601.
Cecil B. Helms, Levelland, $34,330.
Jim J. Hobgood, Anton, $34,263.
Chesley G. Hobgood, Ropesville, $33,677.
E. W. Sanford, Est., Lubbock, $33,505.
Louie Ray Carter, Levelland, $33,341.

Palmer & Dowell, Levelland, $33,247.
Catharine C. Whittenburg, Levelland, $33,130.
J. E. Wisdom, Levelland, $33,025.
William J. Brazil, Anton, $32,698.
Don Reding, Whitharral, $32,512.
George Wade, Jr., Littlefield, $32,394.
Bill Graf, Levelland, $31,884.
H. E. Mowry, Levelland, $30,810.
Cecil C. Cookston, Levelland, $30,724.
Sam Hoover, Levelland, $30,703.
Slaughter Farms, Ft. Worth, $30,281.
Harold R. Phillips, Sundown, $30,060.
H. T. Chrenshaw, Levelland, $30,004.
Richard Lawson, Levelland, $29,925.
Martin Lawson, Levelland, $29,705.
Robert & Jeff Kauffman, Levelland, $29,311.
Selmer H. Schoenrock, Levelland, $29,211.
Ralph F. Wade, Littlefield, $29,140.
Donald Ray Gresham, Levelland, $28,973.
J. T. Stout, Lubbock, $28,874.
J. J. Rister, Levelland, $28,802.
George R. Martin, Whiteface, $28,707.
Joe Bowman, Levelland, $28,587.
Talmage C. Ivey, Levelland, $28,338.
Larry R. Chaney, Ropesville, $28,327.
Donal Hamill, Levelland, $28,068.
Rayford Bynum, San Angelo, 28,053.
Jerry Biffle, Anton, $27,930.
Kenneth Spradley, Anton, $27,831.
Pat A. Dunavant, Ropesville, $27,390.
Paul W. Christian, Levelland, $27,371.
Ben M. McWhorter, Lubbock, $27,291.
Roy N. Whittenburg, Levelland, $27,263.
Bobbie F. Veretto, Levelland, $27,127.
Albert M. Storey, Sundown, $26,991.
Roger K. White, Levelland, $26,972.
Jack McMillan, Levelland, $26,886.
W. Bryan Daniel, Levelland, $26,882.
Ronnie M. Brown, Levelland, $26,881.
Ford A. Carter, Levelland, $26,664.
J. T. Hall, Jr., Levelland, $26,646.
Dale Newsom, Lubbock, $26,621.
S. J. Clevenger, Anton, $26,621.
Owens Farms, Inc., Levelland, $26,601.
Preston L. Lyda, Anton, $26,413.
M. G. Moore, Anton, $26,383.
Billy Thetford, Anton, $26,332.
Donald E. Caddell, Levelland, $26,027.
Carl & Everette Butler, Anton, $26,000.
R. E. Bullin, Levelland, $25,608.
Robert E. Avery, Levelland, $25,506.
Ronnie Ayers, Wolfforth, $25,500.
Jack Ballow, Levelland, $25,459.
M. W. Hart, Levelland, $25,403.
J. C. Pointer, Ropesville, $25,317.
E. B. Burelsmith, Levelland, $25,164.
Leila Mathers, Levelland, $25,098.
Kenneth Montgomery, Levelland, $25,098.
H. J. Steinfath, Levelland, $24,951.
Harley Stanley, Levelland, $24,918.
Gordon Martin, Levelland, $24,833.
L. C. Vance, Lubbock, $24,774.
Wayne McLarty, Anton, $24,578.
Hollis F. Borland, Levelland, $24,455.
Clarence J. Jordan, Brownfield, $24,304.
Flora Hamill Crenshaw, Levelland, $23,837.
Hugh P. Baggett, Levelland, $23,837.
Earl D. Patterson, Levelland, $23,758.
Jack Ayers, Levelland, $23,434.
W. J. Shockley, Ropesville, $23,429.
Ed O. Johnson, Littlefield, $23,261.
Henry J. Schmidly, Levelland, $23,218.
Hugh Davis, Levelland, $23,157.
George W. Sosebee, Ropesville, $23,042.
Willie J. Ivey, Levelland, $23,021.
B. D. Whitley, Lubbock, $22,911.
James P. Mitchell, Lubbock, $22,906.
Calvin W. Neal, Shallowater, $22,831.
R. R. Hutcheson, Lubbock, $22,792.
B. J. Hutcheson, Wolfforth, $22,791.
R. M. Marrow, Levelland, $22,728.
Buck Gossett, Anton, $22,641.
Frank Karvas, Jr., Shallowater, $22,535.
Ray J. Gerik, Pep, $22,374.
D. Gordon Cobb, Seminole, $22,330.
Danny Grant, Levelland, $22,276.
Chester P. Arnwine, Ropesville, $22,266.
L. L. Overman, Whitharral, $22,249.
Raymond Gunn, Levelland, $22,041.
L. L. Alderson, Shallowater, $22,021.
Joab Alexander, Levelland, $21,945.
Earl D. Waldrop, Levelland, $21,897.
T. J. Redman, Jr., Ropesville, $21,820.
Leon H. Lawson, Levelland, $21,746.
C. A. Jackson, Lubbock, $21,696.
Lawrence F. Schoenrock, Levelland, $21,680.
Robert J. Phillips, Levelland, $21,671.
Glenn Thrash, Levelland, $21,581.
Joe B. Pate, Jr., Lubbock, $21,581.
Carroll E. Bradshaw, Ropesville, $21,451.
Monte W. Cookston, Levelland, $21,417.
Johnnie Keen, Levelland, $21,408.
Weldon E. Butler, Levelland, $21,365.
Ray Anderson, Levelland, $21,317.
R. W. Woodruff, Shallowater, $21,273.
Raymond H. Belew, Levelland, $21,212.
Billy F. Mitchell, Shallowater, $21,131.
Buddy L. Miller, Littlefield, $21,056.
Ernest T. Price, Smyer, $20,955.
Roy Johnson, Levelland, $20,920.
Van E. Alderson, Lubbock, $20,919.
Billy R. Carter, Levelland, $20,437.
Eugene Lewis, Ropesville, $29,392.
James G. Marrow, Jr., Levelland, $20,337.
George T. Forbus, Levelland, $20,291.
Bruce Scoggins, Levelland, $20,259.
Oliver C. Heard, Levelland, $20,211.
James M. Collins, Ropesville, $20,207.
Everette Simpson, Levelland, $20,187.
Jerry W. Myatt, Levelland, $20,173.
Thomas B. Johnson, Jr., Levelland, $20,138.
Bobby D. Jackson, Levelland, $20,060.
Total payees in county (166), $5,087,209.

Houston County

John R. Rials, Crockett, $49,594.
L. Potter, Lafayette, $42,473.
T. J. Maples, Crockett, $35,718.
C. H. Arnold, Crockett, $32,679.
Wade Minter, Sr., Crockett, $30,231.
C. A. Snell, Crockett, $28,439.
J. & B. Farm, Crockett, $28,166.
Wade Minter, Jr., Crockett, $24,674.
Charles A. Snell, Crockett, $23,957.
Richburg Boys, Grapeland, $23,116.
Mustang Prairie Ranch, Crockett, $22,966.
J. E. Dawson, Crockett, $20,881.
George Murray, Grapeland, $20,564.
Homer Jones, Grapeland, $20,093.
Total payees in county (14), $403,551.

Howard County

G. C. Broughton, Jr., Big Spring, $58,102.
Edgar Phillips, Big Spring, $56,130.
Paul Adams, Ackerly, $50,158.
James C. Barr, Coahoma, $48,254.
Robert V. Fryar, Big Spring, $47,795.
Robert E. Beall, Knott, $41,570.
Delbert Stanley, Big Spring, $41,526.
H. L. Adams, Big Spring, $39,769.
Jerry Iden, Ackerly, $39,681.
J. W. Shive, Coahoma, $34,604.
S. M. Haney, Big Spring, $34,506.
Horace E. Tubb, Big Spring, $34,436.
Jack E. Hopper, Big Spring, $33,087.
Shirley W. Fryar, Knott, $32,182.
J. W. Fryar, Big Spring, $32,107.
Harvey Fryar, Big Spring, $30,606.
Rodney & Stella Brooks, Coahoma, $30,349.
Elbert Long, Big Spring, $29,112.
Landon Burchell, Big Spring, $28,969.
Verl Shaw, Knott, $28,593.
Oliver Nichols, Big Spring, $27,845.
David Barr, Coahoma, $26,906.
Johnny R. Stanley, Big Spring, $26,867.
Donald Lay, Coahoma, $25,999.
Robert Nichols, Knott, $24,454.
Lawrence G. Adkins, Big Spring, $23,718.
Melvin Gibbs, Knott, $23,630.
W. D. Coates, Coahoma, $23,604.
Aubrey Lankford, Big Spring, $23,166.
Leslie R. Walker, Big Spring, $23,064.
William C. Fryar, Big Spring, $23,037.
Bigony Farms, Big Spring, $23,014.
Roy Phillips, Big Spring, $23,004.
Robert E. Haney, Big Spring, $22,482.
Neil D. Spencer, Big Spring, $21,444.
Leon Bodine, Ackerly, $20,984.
Joe Mac Gaskins, Knott, $20,960.
Robert C. Wegner, Jr., Big Spring, $20,909.
Morris Patterson, Big Spring, $20,877.
Melvin Smith, Ackerly, $20,767.
Alvin Walker, Big Spring, $20,195.
Neil Fryar, Big Spring, $20,152.
E. W. Lomax, Big Spring, $20,010.
Total payees in county (43), $1,298,624.

Hudspeth County

C. L. Ranch, Dell City, $133,672.
Grady E. Miller, Jr., Fort Hancock, $56,248.
B. E. Walker, Fort Hancock, $54,396.
A. R. Miller, Fabens, $54,024.
C. L. Machinery, Dell City, $31,697.
Dell View Farms, El Paso, $28,752.
John Segulia, El Paso, $24,931.
O. A. Thorp, Dell City, $23,245.
Condido Holguin, Dell City, $22,529.
Total payees in county (9), $429,494.

Hunt County

William H. Myre, Greenville, $40,285.
Marion F. Dodson, Farmersville, $35,248.
Harold W. Burt, Commerce, $29,291.
Maurice M. Clack, Caddo Mills, $26,317.
E. W. Settle, Celina, $23,320.
J. D. Pearce, Celeste, $21,941.
Guy W. Ray, Greenville, $21,854.
Total payees in county (7), $198,256.

Hutchinson County

Claude Higley, Dumas, $63,067.
McCloy Brothers, Morse, $58,992.
Henderson & Parks, Morse, $47,581.
Coble Cattle Corp., Amarillo, $38,480.
Jack Johnson, Jr., Morse, $35,343.
Raymond Jarvis, Stinnett, $33,067.
R. E. Womble, Stinnett, $31,482.
Charles Johnson, Morse, $31,427.
Thomas M. Jones, Sunray, $29,322.
Henry Reid, Morse, $29,136.
Ira A. Harbour, Stinnett, $28,501.
C. W. Kirk & T. Reger, Spearman, $27,719.
Noble Watson, Stinnett, $25,993.
R. C. and Jerry Womble, Morse, $25,000
Floyd A. Hull, Spearman, $22,561.
O. O. Smith, Stinnett, $22,501.
O. C. Holt, Spearman, $22,311.
Fred Holt. Spearman, $21,089.
John W. Bergner, Stinnett, $20,588.
F. B. Early, Stinnett, $20,471.
Total payees in county (20), $634,631.

Jackson County

Albert C. Randall, Edna, $31,410.
J. T. Hardin, Austwell, $27,290.
W. H. Webernick, Edna, $23,365.
Total payees in county (3), $82,065.

Jeff Davis County

Olen Lane, Van Horn, $25,463.

Jim Wells County

Leon Eschberger, Alice, $40,791.
Don Boggan, Alice, $38,604.
R. W. Miller, Mathis, $37,224.
J. M. Dellinger, Jr., Alice, $35,383.
C. A. Lowman, Bishop, $31,973.
H. H. Presnall, Alice, $26,572.
Hellman Brothers, Robstown, $20,559.
Frank J. Hoelscher, Alice, $20,412.
Total payees in county (8), $251,518.

Johnson County

J. C. Dobbs, Grandview, $32,791.
Jack Davis, Waxahachie, $27,012.
James E. Kemp, Dallas, $23,520.
Kermit Basham, Venus, $21,310.
Total payees in county, (4), $104,633.

Jones County

Herman A. Propst, Anson, $118,407.
Hoke Propst, Anson, $90,227.
W. C. Matchett, Hamlin, $62,847.
Chas. M. Herndon, Hawley, $51,541.
Eddie Jay, Hamlin, $49,295.
Bill, Pritchard, Stamford, $47,217.
Vernon, Lovvorn, Stamford, $39,887.
Willie L. Byrd, Avoca, $35,569.
Thomas G. Nix, Anson, $35,539.
Hollis W. Madden, Hamlin, $35,321.
Oran Brigham, Stamford, $33,019.
Herman T. Steel, Anson, $32,890.
H. L. Ford, Hamlin, $32,475.

Clinton C. Moss, Anson, $32,442.
Elbert D. Apperson, Stamford, $30,707.
Weldon F. Walker, Stamford, $30,237.
James D. Lovvorn, Stamford, $30,017.
F. A. Lollar, Anson, $29,506.
Herman B. Propst, Anson, $29,328.
Eva T. Amerson, Hamlin, $28,882.
C. O. Richards, Stamford, $28,615.
Joe O. Murff, Hamlin, $27,157.
Stenholm Farms, Stamford, $26,301.
B. J. Wilson, Hamlin, $26,118.
Frank Vasek, Stamford, $25,208.
John E. Scarborough, Hamlin, $25,076.
Pied Piper Farms, Hamlin, $24,417.
Schoonmaker Bros., Stamford, $24,268.
Raymond Scifres, Hamlin, $23,376.
Oliver H. Smith, Stamford, $23,107.
Billy H. Debusk, Stamford, $22,693.
Ira Treadwell, Stamford, $22,460.
Clifford F. Thorn, Anson, $21,933.
Johnny Hansen, Avoca, $21,828.
K. A. Goza, Jr., Anson, $21,623.
Sam Baize, Stamford, $21,010.
Lowell L. White, Stamford, $20,977.
John A. Carnes, Anson, $20,898.
J. H. Fry, Anson, $20,811.
J. C. Moss, Anson, $20,722.
H. H. McLeod, Trent, $20,344.
Haterius Bros., Avoca, $20,295.
Melvin Rosenquist, Avoca, $20,057.
Total payees in county (43), $1,404,647.

Karnes County

Burnell B. Tips, Kenedy, $24,352.

Kaufman County

Monty Clayton, Crandall, $43,829.
J. L. Helm, Forney, $37,456.
Jerry R. Maloney, Terrell, $34,767.
Donald W. Gronewald, Kaufman, $29,181.
Robert J. Wortham, Forney. $28,340.
Jack Glenn, Terrell, $23,061.
Trinity Valley Ranch, Rosser, $22,737.
Star Brand Cattle Co., Kaufman, $22,079.
O. J. Ledbetter, Jr., Forney, $21,043.
Total payees in county (9), $262,493.

Kent County

Garth Gregory, Girard, $25,898.
Rance Gregory, Girard, $20,417.
Total payees in county (2), $46,315.

Kerr County

Y. O. Ranch, Mountain Home, $37,009.

King County

C. M. Sossaman, Paducah, $38,828.
Raymond King, Paducah, $28,120.
J. P. Ratliff, Jr., Paducah, $27,581.
T. C. Havins, Paducah, $27,386.
Ruth Starr Blake Trust, Marshall, $26,758.
Roy B. Keith, Paducah, $24,258.
Sam Fulton, Paducah, $22,973.
Pitchfork Land & Cattle Co., Guthrie, $22,103.
Larry G. Keith, Paducah, $20,475.
Total payees in county (9), $238,482.

Kinney County

Silver Lake Ranches Co., Inc., Del Rio, $27,227.
Clay Hunt, Brackettville, $26,342.
W. L. Moody IV, Galveston, $21,191.
Total payees in county (3), $74,760.

Kleberg County

Huff Bros., Riviera, $30,217.
Brodnax & Brodnax, Kingsville, $20,835.
Ernest D. Wernecke, Kingsville, $20,814.
Johnie F. Schonefeld, Kingsville, $20,339.
Total payees in county (4), $92,205.

Knox County

Eugene L. Thompson, Munday, $46,010.
Floyd L. Reed, Munday, $41,613.
Vernal Zeissel, Munday, $35,943.
B. M. Farmer, Knox City, $34,005.
James Albus, Knox City, $33,111.
Marvin Zeissel, Munday, $32,824.
J. G. Hawkins, Knox City, $32,742.
Sam E. Clonts, Knox City, $28,692.
George L. Floyd, Munday, $28,560.
Lonnie D. Offutt, Munday, $27,754.
Billy Mitchell, Munday, $27,475.
J. C. Elliott, Munday, $26,837.
Philip F. Homer, Munday, $26,228.
John Michels, Munday, $25,256.
Herbert Bellinghausen, Knox City, $24,523.
Chalmer E. Hobert, Munday, $24,333.
Donald Johnson, Knox City, $23,139.
Garon Tidwell, Munday, $22,658.
Tommy Richardson, Knox City, $22,255.
B. M. Brown, Munday, $22,215.
Gerald A. Brown, Munday, $22,215.
James N. Smith, Munday, $22,082.
Wilfred Bellinghausen, Munday, $21,928.
Charles Reese, Knox City, $21,225.
Boyd Meers, Goree, $20,723.
Total payees in county (25), $694,346.

Lamar County

Mashburn Farms, Inc., Paris, $82,598.
Hicks Graves, Petty, $73,170.
H. L. Schlottman, Paris, $35,042.
Creed Bros. Ptrs., Roxton, $27,452.
Johnie W. Musgrove, Cunningham, $26,837.
John L. Coplin, Paris, $24,735.
R. G. McClung Ctn. Co., Inc. Dallas, $24,735.
O. J. & Ross Rutherford Ptrs., Roxton, $24,089.
J. B. Jackson, Petty, $21,624.
Ben Laird, Kilgore, $21,286.
Thomas A. Roach, Paris, $21,078.
C. A. Jones, Roxton, $21,020.
Total payees in county (12), $403,654.

Lamb County

Halsell Cattle Co., Kansas City, $182,867.
Busby Farms, Olton, $113,867.
Lonnie R. Smith, Olton, $94,648.
J. D. Smith, Littlefield, $80,186.
Billy W. Clayton, Springlake, $70,509.
J. B./Jearl James, Olton, $69,183.
J. A. Stubblefield, Anton, $64,993.
L. C. Hewitt, Littlefield, $64,013.
Leo V. Smith, Olton, $59,403.
Stephen Smith, Olton, $58,910.
L. J. Welch, Muleshoe, $57,582.
Parish Farms, Springlake, $54,322.
Royce Collins, Olto, $53,854.
Gene Templeton, Earth, $52,367.
T. A. King, Jr., Sudan, $51,371.
Barry Armes, Littlefield, $50,233.
John Bridges, Earth, $50,223.
H. M. Gable, Muleshoe, $49,948.
W. C. Stout, Muleshoe, $46,567.
D. R. Hopkins, Anton, $46,088.
Fred Welch, Muleshoe, $45,889.
Barton Bros., Earth, $45,651.
Gordon B. Timms, Anton, $45,392.
Wesley Neinast, Littlefield, $45,275.
Reginal Stephens, Anton, $44,916.
D. W. Bawcom, Littlefield, $41,777.
M. P. Thedford, Littlefield, $41,625.
U. L. Gunter, Olton, $41,463.
Royce McFadden, Olton, $41,133.
Kenneth Hinson, Springlake, $40,790.
F. M. Smith, Sudan, $40,127.
Charles W. Wiseman, Sudan, $40,072.
Joe F. Miller, Springlake, $39,275.
L. B. Montgomery, Littlefield, $39,241.
Dan Wood, Sudan, $38,272.
Eddie Wallace, Littlefield, $37,668.
J. T. Gibson, Springlake, $37,043.
Donald J. Bryant, Muleshoe, $36,850.
H. M. Sheats, Lubbock, $36,793.
Edward Wuerflein, Muleshoe, $36,233.
Royce Turner, Muleshoe, $35,842.
Jack Allcorn, Olton, $35,099.
Johnny Hodges, Olton, $34,498.
Neil Wood, Littlefield, $33,638.
Thomas Harris, Littlefield, $33,632.
E. O. Feagley, Littlefield, $33,266.
Raymond Durham, Olton, $32,918.
Edd Haley, Earth, $32,850.
W. B. Jones, Anton, $32,804.
Elmer Lewis, Olton, $32,790.
Matt Nix, Jr., Sudan, $32,204.
L. G. Watson, Hart, $31,943.
Don Joyner, Fieldton, $31,602.
Dan Quigley, Springlake, $31,381.
Donald Street, Dimmitt, $31,138.
R. D. Nix, Sudan, $30,910.
Ernest E. Jones, Hart, $30,154.
F. D. Clayton, Earth, $29,869.
James Sanderson, Springlake, $29,861.
Fred A. Smith, Olton, $29,470.
Wm. R. Morris, Jr., Earth, $29,431.
Dewitt Tiller, Sudan, $29,376.
Harley Bussanmas, Littlefield, $29,112.
S. K. Flatt, Muleshoe, $29,089.
O. L. Walker, Littlefield, $28,989.
James E. Steffey, Anton, $28,977.
Phillip Haberer, Earth, $28,939.
G. C. Bearden, Amherst, $28,827.
Randall Roper, Hart, $28,784
H. J. Harrell, Littlefield, $28,699.
Robert Stence, Shallowater, $28,377.
J. L. Snider, Olton, $28,306.
James E. Jones, Springlake, $28,217.
N. W. Emfinger, Littlefield, $28,114.
T. L. Free, Earth, $27,992.
W. M. Smith, Olton, $27,953.
Kenneth Price Hamilton, Muleshoe, $27,792.
Doug G. Sopher, Olton, $27,619.
Allison Bros., Earth, $27,166.
W. B. Hucks, Springlake, $27,164.
L. E. Ball, Littlefield, $27,142.
Kenneth Wiseman, Sudan, $27,084.
Willie Steffey, Littlefield, $27,070.
J. D. Melton, Littlefield, $27,054.
George E. Brown, Jr., Olton, $27,004.
W. B. Boyles, Sudan, $26,976.
James C. Graham, Olton, $26,753.
Ross Lumsden, Littlefield, $26,707.
J. M. Farmer, Littlefield, $26,691.
Gene Brownd, Earth, $26,643.
Gerald Allcorn, Olton, $26,288.
A. B. Roberts, Littlefield, $26,221.
J. R. Banks, Springlake, $26,001.
Glenn Vining, Earth, $26,000.
John W. Humphreys, Sudan, $25,645.
Drexel Lawson, Olton, $25,351.
Donald K. Clayton, Springlake, $25,340.
James L. Withrow, Sudan, $25,326.
Troy Blackburn, Earth, $25,287.
Elmer McGill, Olton, $25,261.
Devern Mandrell, Olton, $25,251.
L. E. Downs, Anton, $25,130.
Wallace O. Jones, Earth, $25,057.
B. D. Nelson, Littlefield, $24,897.
Floyd Light, Olton, $24,889.
Daniel B. Heard, Littlefield, $24,637.
Harvey Patterson, Amherst, $24,420.
Emert J. Rose, Littlefield, $24,416.
Dewey L. Hukill, Olton, $24,402.
Troy Armes, Littlefield, $24,361.
L. H. Carson, Olton, $24,308.
Lowell I. St. Clair, Muleshoe, $24,120.
James A. Littleton, Jr., Earth, $23,867.
Eldon L. Davis, Muleshoe, $23,665.
Harold Miller, Earth, $23,635.
James P. Hamilton, Muleshoe, $23,629.
O. D. Chester, Sudan, $23,626.
Bill Bradley, Amherst, $23,385.
Barry Bearden, Amherst, $23,311.
Thurlo L. Branscum, Muleshoe, $23,177.
Hollis Smith, Littlefield, $23,129.
W. C. Bley, Olton, $23,075.
Bennie Harmon, Amherst, $22,966.
Raymon Carson, Olton, $22,965.
Don McCarty, Littlefield, $22,759.
Norris Sampler, Hart, $22,609.
J. B. Haire, Littlefield, $22,497.
B. F. Helms, Littlefield, $22,486.
C. C. Goodwin, Earth, $22,458.
Aubrey C. Neinast, Littlefield, $22,411.
Dan Puckett, Littlefield, $22,289.
Herbert Dolle, Littlefield, $22,263.
E. L. Sibley, Littlefield, $22,238.
Thomas M. Wiseman, Sudan, $22,162.
C. D. Kirkpatrick, Olton, $22,101.
Wayne Whiteaker, Sudan, $22,067.
Elgan Baccus, Sudan, $22,033.
C. C. Slaughter, Amherst, $21,990.
Samuel G. Thomas, Olton, $21,989.
A. K. Shelby, Earth, $21,950.
Benny Dickson, Olton, $21,729.
Jack Angeley, Earth $21,678.
Melvin Bock, Earth, $21,674.
Paul L. Mathews, Sudan, $21,502.
Vernon H. Britton, Springlake, $21,483.
C. S. Hudson, Abernathy, $21,433.

T. V. Murrell, Earth, $21,237.
M. R. Matthews, Littlefield, $21,174.
Roy L. Bryant, Muleshoe, $21,167.
G. R. Stewart, Littlefield, $21,143.
Paul Yarbrough, Amherst, $21,104.
Donnie Cowart, Sudan, $21,096.
Joe Fisher, Sudan, $21,085.
Travis Bundick, Hart, $21,054.
Jimmy Nix, Hart, $21,053.
Charlie H. Jones, Dimmitt, $20,896.
Gaulbert Demel, Sudan, $20,843.
Perry F. Coffey, Littlefield, $20,778.
Martin Parmer, Jr., Littlefield, $20,762.
B. N. Armstrong, Earth, $20,738.
A. L. Humphreys, Amherst, $20,655.
W. W. Thompson, Littlefield, $20,654.
B. L. Greener, Amherst, $20,650.
James P. Glumpler, Littlefield, $20,626.
W. J. Meeks, Muleshoe, $20,575.
Ollie Davis, Littlefield, $20,548.
A. E. Fowler, Santa Monica, $20,544.
Sam Sewell, Anton, $20,517.
Jomeryle Harmon, Amherst, $20,362.
Johnie Harper, Jr., Anton, $20,347.
Robert O. Chair, Muleshoe, $20,311.
Charles Carpenter, Amherst, $20,298.
D. L. Bussanmas, Littlefield, $20,177.
Roy Hendrick, Littlefield, $20,171.
Kenneth Sawyer, Earth, $20,012.
Total payees in county (175), $5,589,137.

Lampasas County

M. F. Klose, Lometa, $29,022.

LaSalle County

H. Dudley Storey, Jr., Cotulla, $34,885.
Lloyd Hurt, Dilley, $29,676.
Gerald Mann, Cotula, $23,350.
Total payees in county (3), $87,911.

Leon County

Oscar O. Brown, Nineveh, $41,997.
Troy J. Brown, Nineveh, $23,560.
Harrell Dean Brown, Nineveh, $21,946.
Coleman Carter, Jr., Oakwood, $21,218.
Total payees in county (4), $108,721.

Limestone County

Walter B. Honeycutt, Jr., Mart, $37,984.
J. F. Jackson, Groesbeck, $25,164.
Total payees in county (2), $63,148.

Lipscomb County

Marvin Born, Follett, $40,745.
Vernon G. Schultz, Booker, $35,501.
Lazy S Ranch, Higgins, $34,537.
Mervyn Harrellson, Follett, $26,187.
Alvin G. Born, Follett, $24,042.
John L. Gadberry, Follett, $23,618.
Chris Miller, Jr., Darrouzett, $22,045.
Robert A. Schultz, Shattuck, $20,406.
Total payees in county (8), $227,081.

Live Oak County

Fred Thoms, Three Rivers, $37,225.
James E. Esse, Campbellton, $24,841.
Buford Dugger, Three Rivers, $24,236.
C. H. Griffith, Skidmore, $23,792.
J. I. Hailey, George West, $23,150.
W. H. Esse, Sr., Campbellton, $20,686.
Thomas Porter, Mathis, $20,031.
Total payees in county (7), $173,961.

Lubbock County

William E. Armstrong, Lubbock, $95,684.
Standefer & Gray, Lubbock, $86,864.
L. L. Lawson, Lubbock, $78,146.
R. E. Jones, Lubbock, $76,389.
Wendell D. Vardeman, Slaton, $70,926.
J. Carter Caldwell, Slaton, $70,207.
Dulaney Bros., Shallowater, $69,949.
James F. Davis, Jr., Lorenzo, $69,586.
Fred E. McNabb, Lubbock, $62,206.
Coyne E. Killian, Lorenzo, $59,739.
M. B. R. B. Stanton Pts., Lorenzo, $58,052.
George Oliver Jackson, Abernathy, $56,013.
Howard L. Alford, Lubbock, $54,271.
Elijah M. Debusk, Idalou, $54,108.
Joe B. Lovelace, Abernathy, $52,294.
Roy Hugh McKelvy, Lubbock, $50,780.
Jay Stanton, Shallowater, $50,739.
Medlock Farms, Inc., Lubbock, $50,069.
Kirby Hobgood, Petersburg, $48,707.
Billy J. Robbins, Idalou, $46,728.
Walter O. Heinrich, Slaton, $46,361.
Edward S. Smith, Jr., Lorenzo, $46,341.
Greenlee Farms, Lubbock, $45,243.
J. W. Furgeson, Petersburg, $44,313.
Loren J. West, Abernathy, $44,280.
Max Barnett, Slaton, $43,372.
Graham C. Holmes, Lubbock, $41,514.
The Dunlap Co., Lubbock, $41,073.
San Augustine Ranch, Lubbock, $40,925.
Leroy Grawunder, Shallowater, $40,456.
A. L. Cone, Lubbock, $39,432.
Ferman R. Priddy, Lubbock, $39,259.
Earl Foerster, Slaton, $38,625.
B. B. Hobgood, Wolfforth, $38,566.
Luther Lawson, Lubbock, $38,187.
O. B. Chesshir, Lubbock, $37,777.
Johnnie Joiner, Idalou, $37,729.
Kirksey & Booher Pts., Wolfforth, $37,602.
Frank W. Hancock, Slaton, $37,584.
Billy Meyers, Lubbock, $37,243.
Excell W. McFarling, Lubbock, $36,958.
Clifford Hamilton, Lubbock, $36,663.
Sam A. Durham, Lubbock, $36,426.
A. B. Enloe, Jr., Lubbock, $35,928.
J. C. Heinrich, Idalou, $35,364.
Morris S. Smith, Lorenzo, $35,255.
McFarling & Clark, Lubbock, $35,224.
Harold E. Voigt, Slaton, $35,142.
C. W. Teal, Lubbock, $34,746.
Doyce Middlebrook, Lubbock, $34,575.
Gilbert H. Ragland, Slaton, $34,240.
Willie C. Neel, Amarillo, $34,206.
Davies & Davies, Slaton, $34,115.
L. T. Foster, Lubbock, $33,934.
Clayton E. Enger, Abernathy, $33,849.
Medlock Inv. Co., Inc., Lubbock, $33,654.
Billy Bryan Boyd, Lubbock, $33,413.
John T. Patterson, Wolffth, $32,187.
Charles W. Wood, Lubbock, $32,020.
C. O. McNabb, Ropesville, $31,986.
Debusk Enterprises, Inc., Idalou, $31,739.
M. J. Williams, Shallowater, $31,645.
Albert C. Henderson, Shallowater, $31,576.
Herschel V. Newman, Shallowater, $31,503.
Alton L. Lawson, Lubbock, $31,295.
W. A. Bill Alspaugh, Slaton, $31,006.
Smith Keller, Lubbock, $30,945.
Weldon M. Boyd, Idalou, $30,744.
Melvin H. Jack Phipps, Idalou, $30,634.
David S. Enger, Lubbock, $30,590.
D. J. May, Idalou, $30,250.
Ray D. Langford, Idalou, $30,204.
Glen B. Payne, Slaton, $30,192.
Robert Melcher, Lubbock, $30,129.
Roy Allen Forkner, Lubbock, $30,119.
J. H. Kurklin, Petersburg, $30,093.
James T. McMenamy, Shallowater, $30,079.
Edward Moseley, Slaton, $30,039.
Harold Campbell, Lorenzo, $29,921.
D. D. Qualls, Lubbock, $29,572.
O. W. Smith, Wolfforth, $29,365.
Farm Enterprises, Inc., Slaton, $29,110.
Weldon Bailey, Wilson, $28,924.
Robert Fehleison, Lubbock, $28,901.
Leon L. Lincecum, Lubbock, $28,726.
Buddy Hettler, Lubbock, $28,675.
Bennie L. James, Anton, $28,600.
John B. Lamb, Slaton, $28,532.
Paul Cates, Lubbock, $28,369.
George A. Taylor, Jr., Slaton, $28,256.
Fred D. Bradshaw, Idalou, $28,170.
I. Arnold Chauncey, Lubbock, $28,036.
Lowery M. James, Lubbock, $27,925.
Roy C. Clark, Lubbock, $27,883.
Omer D. Lindsey, Lubbock, $27,879.
Bruce Isom, Idalou, $27,570.
Newman Lusk, Shallowater, $27,467.
Walter Lurton, Shallowater, $27,460.
Randal L. Rieger, Lubbock, $27,397.
William V. Halford, Abernathy, $27,283.
Earl Reasoner, Slaton, $26,847.
Raymond R. Marshall, Lubbock, $26,819.
Henry John Kveton, Petersburg, $26,817.
Hugh V. Newton, Lubbock, $26,786.
James Atterbury, Abernathy, $26,780.
Jack Louden, Lubbock, $26,778.
Melville Hankins Est., Lubbock, $26,694.
L. E. Bartlett, Lubbock, $26,694.
Paul D. Kitchens, Slaton, $26,687.
Chester B. Gilmore, Idalou, $26,103.
Valton V. Cox, Lubbock, $25,996.
Bruce Gentry, Sr., Lubbock, $25,992.
W. A. & F. D. Drachenberg, Smyer, $25,914.
Jack Adamson, Lubbock, $25,905.
J. P. Thompson, Lubbock, $25,903.
Joe W. Felty, Slaton, $25,786.
Glenn Brown Blackmon, Shallowater, $25,405.
E. W. Harkey, Lubbock, $25,385.
W. R. Sage, Lubbock, $25,367.
A. C. McCallon, Lubbock, $25,333.
George H. Johnson, Idalou, $25,269.
Charles R. Hedges, Shallowater, $25,054.
Donnie Shafer, Shallowater, $24,926.
Walter E. Morgan, Slaton, $24,922.
Sherman Nelson, Lubbock, $24,860.
Joe Fortenberry, New Deal, $24,681.
Ralph B. Maby, Petersburg, $24,594.
C. V. Sturgeon, Lubbock, $24,481.
Marvin Hall, Wilson, $24,433.
Volney T. Rush, Slaton, $24,384.
Pierce H. Truett, Idalou, $24,371.
Wesley W. Ferguson, Lorenzo, $24,370.
Ward W. Carrroll, Lubbock, $24,332.
Don L. Enger, Lubbock, $24,319.
May Lewis Stewart, Lubbock, $24,243.
Carl D. Jones, Lubbock, $24,229.
Olan K. Dosett, Jr., Lubbock, $24,194.
Milburn Barrick, Abernathy, $24,186.
William B. Criswell, Idalou, $24,171.
Roy G. Cannon, Abernathy, $24,168.
Paul E. Crosnoe, Jr., Lubbock, $24,085.
Kenneth G. Wright, Lubbock, $23,946.
L. D. Stanford, Lubbock, $23,934.
Darrell L. Burress, Abernathy, $23,926.
Clifford Hilbers, Idalou, $23,897.
William H. McKelvy, Lubbock, $23,862.
Donald E. Adrian, Petersburg, $23,792.
Brodie A. Darby, Lubbock, $23,699.
Shadden & Shadden, Idalou, $23,644.
Henry W. Taylor, Jr., Lorenzo, $23,502.
Wienke Bros., Idalou, $23,375.
E. Donald Bledsoe, Lorenzo, $23,280.
Leroy Zieschang, Slaton, $23,252.
J. T. Davis, Lubbock, $23,197.
Ed H. Foreman, Lubbock, $23,132.
Billy H. Piercy, Lubbock, $22,965.
Aubrey Pounds, Lubbock, $22,930.
L. E. Evans, Lubbock, $22,823.
Virgil M. Isom, Idalou, $22,818.
Melba N. Thompson, Jr., Lubbock, $22,685.
John B. Allen, Lubbock, $22,518.
Lulain Est., Lubbock, $22,364.
Walter H. Luker, Lubbock, $22,321.
David C. Fowler, Shallowater, $22,279.
Glen M. Wages, Lubbock, $22,276.
Durward D. Mahon, Lubbock, $22,111.
Hilton Foerster, Lubbock, $22,107.
J. W. Hamilton, Jr., Abernathy, $21,994.
J. W. Shadden, Idalou, $21,980.
Wendell F. Attebury, Lubbock, $21,901.
Sam H. Rosson, Jr., Idalou, $21,862.
Robert C. Baxter, Lubbock, $21,797.
Don Freeman, Lubbock, $21,565.
Holeman Farms, Lubbock, $21,526.
Joan Rieger Louder, Lubbock, $21,446.
J. D. Hufstedler, Lubbock, $21,380.
Richard A. Stennett, Lubbock, $21,223.
Betty L. Petree, Lubbock, $21,169.
Charles C. Hurley, Shallowater, $21,062.
Jerry F. Melcher, Post, $21,062.
W. T. Settle, Abernathy, $21,003.
Luther C. Childers, Jr., Lubbock, $20,994.
Wayne Richardson, Wolfforth, $20,930.
Joe Leaverton, Inc., Lubbock, $20,859.
Weldon Ferguson, Lubbock, $20,706.
V. T. McDougal, Abernathy, $20,674.
Berlin Haught, Shallowater, $20,554.
Victor L. Cade, Lubbock, $20,462.
The Medlock Co., Inc., Lubbock, $20,458.
Delbert Robbins, Idalou, $20,377.
Bobby B. Jones, Slaton, $20,333.
B. A. Stephenson, Jr., Idalou, $20,266.
Dean Leonard, Idalou, $20,164.
John G. Shipp, Shallowater, $20,134.
Perry Looney, Idalou, $20,089.
Rodney R. Harmon, Idalou, $20,076.
Terry Albritton, Lubbock, $20,069.

Robert H. Park, Lubbock, $20,060.
James E. Winder, Lubbock, $20,013.
Total payees in county (199), $6,251,113.

Lynn County

W. C. Huffaker, Jr., Tahoka, $101,961.
Eva Colleen Geren, Ft. Worth, $67,220.
Cass Edwards II, Ft. Worth, $67,215.
L. C. Unfred, Tahoka, $58,406.
R. L. Dulin, Lubbock, $58,237.
Cecil Dorman, Tahoka, $53,593.
J. T. Forbes, O'Donnell, $53,379.
Wm G. Lumsden, Wilson, $52,006.
J. W. Gardenhire, O'Donnell, $47,741.
L. H. Nettles, Tahoka, $47,085.
Billy G. Gardenhire, O'Donnell, $46,022.
Guy Bennett, Brownfield, $45,586.
Dallas Vaughn, O'Donnell, $44,432.
Lynn West, Wilson, $44,044.
R. L. Thomas, Tahoka, $43,824.
Jim Bob Smith, Lubbock, $43,667.
Carl Sanders, O'Donnell, $42,195.
W. A. Russ, Tahoka, $40,122.
O. R. Phifer, Jr., New Home, $40,114.
Charles Schniers, Slaton, $40,084.
W. T. Kidwell, Tahoka, $39,819.
L. M. Mires, Jr., O'Donnell, $39,636.
Billy G.Gardenhire, O'Donnell, $46,022.
Felix H. Macha, Lubbock, $39,331.
Wayne Clayton, O'Donnell, $38,892.
Royce Steven Greer, Tahoka, $38,726.
A. G. Crutcher, O'Donnell, $38,480.
C. E. Roper, Tahoka, $37,890.
Ray Cook, Wilson, $37,794.
Clarence Church, Wilson, $37,234.
A. P. Edwards, Lubbock, $36,852.
Natt Park, Tahoka, $36,699.
C. A. Moore, O'Donnell, $36,515.
C. E. Thetford, Lubbock, $36,436.
David L. Hill, Tahoka, $36,369.
Sam Singleton, Lamesa, $35,566.
D. J. Bessire, O'Donnell, $35,386.
Wayland F. Taylor, O'Donnell, $35,078.
Bryan Wright, Tahoka, $34,894.
Howard Moore, Tahoka, $34,888.
C. L. Mason, Post, $34,324.
Harold Payne, O'Donnell, $34,141.
Mack Forbes, O'Donnell, $34,108.
Othell Meeks, Tahoka, $34,073.
Franklin Heinrich, Slaton, $34,030.
Oscar Lowrey, Tahoka, $33,959.
Glen Akin, Slaton, $33,695.
Lester Adams, Tahoka, $33,577.
Wayne Carroll, O'Donnell, $33,416.
C. T. Louder, Tahoka, $33,295.
R. L. Warren, Tahoka, $33,161.
Don D. Balch, Tahoka, $33,077.
James A. Evans, Tahoka, $32,591.
Tommy Gardenhire, O'Donnell, $32,502.
J. Weldon Martin, Tahoka, $31,809.
H. T. Barrett, O'Donnell, $31,671.
Kenneth Smith, Tahoka, $31,590.
J. T. Middleton, Jr., O'Donnell, $31,080.
Jack Webb, O'Donnell, $31,029.
Leroy Snider, Meadow, $31,027.
Harold Brumit, O'Donnell, $30,609.
James H. Sanders, O'Donnell, 29,863.
B. K. McClintock, Wilson, $29,665.
Draper Brothers, Tahoka, $29,635.
O. C. Sikes, Tahoka, $29,541.
Larry Hagood, Tahoka, $29,323.
R. W. Overstreet, Floydada, $29,298.
J. Robert Fillingim, Tahoka, $28,893.
Woodrow Brewer, Tahoka, $28,824.
Kenneth Davies, Slaton, $28,793.
Willis Pennington, Tahoka, $28,405.
Willie Mae Edwards, Ft. Worth, $28,353.
Maurice J. Huffaker, Tahoka, $28,061.
Stewart Rice, Wilson, $28,018.
O. R. Adamson, Tahoka, $27,867.
Lit H. Moore, Jr., Wilson, $27,600.
W. J. Kahl, Tahoka, $27,118.
Boyd Pebsworth, Tahoka, $26,988.
Willie A. Nieman, Tahoka, $26,956.
Ray Adams, Tahoka, $26,919.
Edward Bartley, Tahoka, $26,749.
Bonnie Brown, Tahoka, $26,708.
E. R. Blakney, Wilson, $26,690.
D. W. Gaignat, Tahoka, $26,664.
Dick Franklin, O'Donnell, $26,576.
A. C. Fillingim, Jr., Tahoka, $26,336.
Tekell Farms, Tahoka, $26,281.
L. W. Cannon, Tahoka, $26,273.
C. T. Ingle, Tahoka, $26,207.
D. W. Hancock, Tahoka, $26,179.
T. B. and Chas. Mason, Tahoka, $26,159.
Wendell B. Morrow, Wilson, $25,995.
C. O. McCleskey, Post, $25,661.
Buster Phipps, Tahoka, $25,661.
A. H. Buxkemper, Slaton, $25,383.
Gene Cantwell, Lamesa, $25,314.
Clayton Carter, Tahoka, $25,302.
G. W. Turner, Jr., Wilson, $25,067.
R. M. Thomas, Tahoka, $24,836.
Glynn Moore, Wilson, $24,823.
Eldon Gattis, Tahoka, $24,759.
C. L. Littlepage, Tahoka, $24,647.
Elmer Schoppa, Tahoka, $24,600.
Robert Poer, Tahoka, $24,065.
Don Spears, Tahoka, $24,054.
W. P. Scott, Tahoka, $23,751.
W. A. Caveness, Tahoka, $23,568.
Jerry Brown, Tahoka, $23,496.
C. R. Burleson, O'Donnell, $23,341.
Horace Strickland, Tahoka, $23,037.
Ralph M. Beach, O'Donnell, $23,033.
R. T. Brewer, O'Donnell, $23,016.
A. G. Cawthron, Tahoka, $23,002.
E. L. Short, Tahoka, $22,983.
Glen B. Brewer, O'Donnell, $22,969.
Clyde Edwards, O'Donnell, $22,966.
Vernon Willhoit, Tahoka, $22,957.
H. G. Franklin, Tahoka, $22,953.
Joe D. Unfred, Tahoka, $22,940.
Walton Terry, Tahoka, $22,807.
W. W. Hagood, Tahoka, $22,749.
V. O. Caswell, O'Donnell, $22,732.
Gayland C. James, New Hope, $22,701.
J. Martin Basinger, Slaton, $22,624.
Clifton Clem, Tahoka, $22,477.
W. H. Cook, O'Donnell, $22,470.
N. B. Hancock, Tahoka, $22,347.
W. C. Wharton, Tahoka, $22,281.
Raymond Crain, Tahoka, $22,237.
Aubrey Smith, Wilson, $22,217.
W. A. King, Post, $22,211.
Jerald Barton, O'Donnell, $22,191.
Albert Curry, Tahoka, $22,085.
G. G. Norman, Post, $21,907.
Milton Piwonka, Slaton, $21,899.
Miriam Collins Green, Lubbock, $21,892.
C. B. Nowlin, Meadow, $21,873.
J. V. Burdett, Jr., Lamesa, $21,714.
W. E. Singleton, Jr., O'Donnell, $21,666.
G. W. Jones, O'Donnell, $21,642.
L. D. Halford, Tahoka, $21,634.
Buel Draper, Tahoka, $21,522.
J. T. Swinson, Tahoka, $21,287.
J. M. Orr, Tahoka, $21,264.
Bill M. Clayton, O'Donnell, $21,101.
Donald D. Hancock, Tahoka, $21,076.
Jimmie Keith, Tahoka, $21,032.
J. F. Brandon, Jr., Tahoka, $20,948.
Robert Edwards, Wilson, $20,934.
Zack Payton, Lubbock, $20,920.
Morris Smith, Brownfield, $20,881.
Edmund Wilke, Southland, $20,786.
Leroy Nettles, New Home, $20,681.
Freddie Kieth, New Home, $20,604.
James L. Savell, Wilson, $20,454.
J. T. Miller, Tahoka, $20,373.
R. A. Taylor, O'Donnell, $20,231.
Theodore B. Franklin, O'Donnell, $20,166.
Leland White, Tahoka, $20,123.
Total payees in county (158), $4,800,002.

Martin County

Glen Cox, Lenorah, $88,925.
A. C. Woodward, Tarzan, $80,905.
Jimmy Eugene Freeman, Lamesa, $53,822.
Jack Hershell Kuhlman, Lenorah, $51,814.
Ralph Wesley Williams, Lamesa, $51,204.
Clarence Fryar, Lenorah, $50,510.
James Ernest Franklin, Stanton, $50,387.
Larry Zane Shaw, Knott, $49,278.
Romine Bros., Big Spring, $48,028.
Billy Fryar, Big Spring, $47,881.
Eddie C. Cook, Stanton, $47,517.
Malcolm Tunnell, Stanton, $43,590.
James Newman Biggs, Stanton, $42,912.
Glen Morrison, Stanton, $42,451.
James G. Sawyer, Lenorah, $41,391.
Charlie A. Nichols, Big Spring, $40,624.
Floyd Martin, Tarzan, $38,729.
Dolphus Edward Richards, Lamesa, $38,,335.
Earl Vernon Hightower, Lamesa, $37,728.
R. T. Kingsfield Heirs, Tarzan, $37,440.
Jerry Hall, Ackerly, $37,384.
Elmer Cecil Dyer, Ackerly, $37,339.
E. & E. Farms, Inc., Lamesa, $35,738.
Walker Bailey, Big Spring, $34,747.
Erma Blagrave, Ackerly, $34,305.
Edmond Tom Ranch, Inc., Stanton, $33,506.
Newell Wayne Tate, Tarzan, $33,338.
Sam B. Parham, Lamesa, $33,176.
H. D. Howard, Lenorah, $32,853.
Moncut McKaskie, Stanton, $32,297.
John Wallace Kelly, Stanton, $32,290.
Clifton Carlton Grigg, Ackerly, $31,961.
Raymond A. Pribyla, Stanton, $30,029.
Derwood Blagrave, Ackerly, $29,555.
Eula Preston Madison, Stanton, $29,438.
J. Alex Haggard, Stanton, $29,425.
Sammy Preston Yates, Tarzan, $28,318.
Fred Phillips, Big Spring, $28,226.
Don Dwayne Holcomb, Lamesa, $28,111.
Dean Russell Fleming, Ackerly, $27,453.
Myrtle Romine, Big Spring, $27,441.
John Woodward, Tarzan, $26,726.
Robert R. Hunt, Ackerly, $26,567.
Wayne D. Stroud, Stanton, $26,530.
Earl Vernon Holcomb, Stanton, $26,190.
N. E. Glendening, Tarzan, $25,872.
John Morgan Hale, Big Spring, $25,834.
Juil Reid, Stanton, $25,803.
Loran Dale Snell, Stanton, $25,642.
Leonard Clifton Hazlewood, Jr., Stanton, $25,445.
Buster Haggard, Stanton, $25,250.
Glen Petree, Stanton, $25,095.
Richard L. Linney, Stanton, $24,916.
Dan Meek, Stanton, $24,877.
Melvin Harris, Ackerly, $24,840.
Francis E. Snell, Lamesa, $24,702.
Jack Hale, Colorado City, $24,577.
Gleen Lovless Holloway, Tarzan, $24,489.
G. P. Harrell, Stanton, $24,063.
John Calvin Jones, Stanton, $23,692.
Teddie Dewitt Barnhill, Stanton, $23,447.
Edward Lee Richards, Lamesa, $23,269.
C. W. Gilmore, Stanton, $23,089.
W. H. Woody, Stanton, $23,070.
Norwood Eugene Holloway, Stanton, $22,838.
Lloyd E. Self, Lamesa, $22,710.
J. N. Woody, Jr., Stanton, $22,534.
Tommy R. Newman, Stanton, $22,481.
Thomas Edward Smith, Tokio, $22,409.
Souval Britton, Stanton, $22,385.
Billie Joe Gill, Lamesa, $22,339.
D. W. Dickenson, Stanton, $22,264.
Lowie Odell Shortes, Big Spring, $22,238.
Marvin L. Standefer, Lenorah, $21,908.
Jimmy Henson, Stanton, $21,905.
Wesley Weems Williams, Jr., Stanton, $21,337.
Bob Hill, Stanton, $21,236.
Keamore Inv. Corp., Big Spring, $21,162.
Robert Joe Deatherage, Ackerly, $21,119.
Rufus Charles Tom, Stanton, $20,956.
Dora Faye Perry, Stanton, $20,778.
James Edward Pollock, Stanton, $20,725.
Delbert Harrison Hopper, Stanton, $20,688.
Joe Edd Gillespie, Ackerly, $20,467.
Quinton Airhart, Stanton, $20,445.
Marshall M. Brown, Lamesa, $20,383.
Joe Dean Hall, Ackerly, $20,246.
J. E. Peugh, Stanton, $20,166.
Leo P. Batson, Ackerly, $20,140.
Total payees in county (89), $2,760,251.

Matagorda County

Ed & Leo Jensen, Palacios, $30,410.
J. W. Jenkins, Jr., Palacios, $29,220.
Carl M. Hansen, Jr., Palacios, $28,022.
Vernon Hunt, Palacios, $25,026.
Ralph E. Petersen, Danevang, $24,381.
Total payees in county (5), $137,059.

Maverick County

Jack Keisling, Indio, $45,657.
N. D. Carr, Eagle Pass, $24,081.
Total payees in county (2), $69,738.

McLennan County

James M. Warner, Waco, $65,317.
J. B. Vandiver, Moody, $28,736.
Lankart Seed Farms Ltd., Waco, $25,228.
C. M. Freeman, Mart, $24,706.
Walter Rush, Moody, $24,338.
B. R. Parham, Jr., McGregor, $20,803.
Jim Radle, Waco, $20,784.
Total payees in county (7), $209,912.

Medina County

Calvin K. Hardt, Devine, $23,898.
Ed Wanjura, Lytle, $21,828.
Total payees in county (2), $45,726.

Midland County

Eugene F. Jones, Midland, $54,932.
Alan Spinks, Midland, $53,551.
Robert Morgan, Midland, $39,344.
Ervin A. Baumann, Midland, $36,100.
Louie Koonce, Midland, $31,345.
Roy Graham, Midland, $30,561.
Merwin Haag, Midland, $29,045.
Forest G. Eggemeyer, Midland, $27,878.
A. C. Teinert, Midland, $27,696.
Bobby Howard, Midland, $26,628.
Bob Evans, Midland, $26,010.
Jerome Hoelscher, Midland, $25,682.
Alvey A. Bryant, Midland, $25,265.
Roy O. Jones Est., Midland, $24,525.
James Brooks, Midland, $24,497.
R. V. Robinson, Midland, $23,630.
Jack Leonard, Midland, $23,467.
C. R. Welch, Midland, $23,193.
Glenn Drake, Midland, $21,930.
L. V. Braden, Midland, $20,580.
H. E. Benton, Midland, $20,438.
John Casselman, Jr., Midland, $20,248.
Total payees in county (22), $636,545.

Milam County

Daniel D. McDaniel, Cameron, $89,169.
Cobb Bros., Cameron, $61,315.
Walter Pyle, Calvert, $41,501.
Darrel Cobb, Rogers, $37,680.
Wilburn E. Beckhusen, Buckholts, $37,036.
Mesa Cattle Co., C. Oennett, mgr., Cameron, $28,608.
Frances F. Reistino, Hearne, $28,540.
Robert H. Foster, Cameron, $27,075.
W. & Culpepper, est., Cameron, $26,806.
Robert Hunt, Gatesville, $26,016.
John J. Fagan, Calvert, $25,751.
Pete C. Gibson, Jr., Calvert, $24,679.
Kenneth G. Willy, Cameron, $23,863.
J. A. & Willis Loonel, Cameron, $22,855.
Wayne Doskocil, Holland, $22,852.
Ike Camp, San Gabriel, $22,110.
W. H. Camp, Jr., Thorndale, $21,198.
Charles Henson, Rosebud, $20,895.
Kenneth J. Hollas, Cameron, $20,063.
Total payees in county (19), $608,012.

Mitchell County

A. K. McCarley, Jr., Colorado City, $46,431.
J. E. Brown, Colorado City, $39,540.
D. M. Smith, Colorado City, $39,144.
Travis P. Turner, Colorado City, $37,255.
W. H. Narrell, Loraine, $35,242.
Linden Solomon, Colorado City, $33,759.
Raymond Watlington, Colorado City, $32,982.
Chas. N. Stubblefield, Colorado City, $32,546.
Kenneth Dockrey, Colorado City, $31,717.
A. Preston Morris, Colorado City, $31,240.
Foy Webb, Colorado City, $30,273.
Waddell Strain, Colorado City, $25,735.
Warren Anderson, Colorado City, $24,936.
Joe L. Blackard, Colorado City, $24,864.
James Dell Barber, Colorado City, $24,463.
James Cox, Colorado City, $24,272.
Harold Hester, Colorado City, $23,812.
Hammond and Son, Colorado City, $23,294.
Merket Brothers, Colorado City, $23,199.
Wayne Oliver, Loraine, $22,528.
J. R. Erwin, Colorado City, $22,357.
Mack G. Ritchey, Colorado City, $22,303.
Henry Hoyle, Colorado City, $22.247.
D. M. Pieper, Roscoe, $21,825.
Oren R. Carpenter, Colorado City, $21,436.
R. B. Golden, Colorado City, $21,161.
E. W. Haggerton, Colorado City, $20,942.
Jack Long, Colorado City, $20,941.
C. D. Gray, Jr., Snyder, $20,660.
Charlie C. Thompson, Colorado City, $20,571.
Eloy C. Briseno, Colorado City, $20,516.
Total payees in county (31), $842,191.

Moore County

Marshall Cator, Sunray, $95.853.
Morris L. Hunt, Dumas, $73,053.
Schuman Farm, Inc., Dumas, $65,776.
Gossetts, Inc., Dumas, $62,056.
Wells Agricultural Corp. Dumas, $57,514.
Verdie & Lloyd Beauchamp, Dumas, $54,490.
Gordon Taylor, Sunray, $54,043.
Thurman E. Fisher, Sunray, $48,402.
Stringer Farms, Inc., Dumas, $47,362.
Rex Hall, Jr., Dumas, $47,330.
D. Rex Langley Sunray, $46,252.
Jesse C. Cooper, Dumas, $45,325.
Jack H. Mills, Dumas, $44,465.
McMurry Farms, Inc., Dumas, $44,419.
J. W. Huff Farms, Inc., Dumas, $44,219.
Edward L. Stallwitz, Dumas, $43,363.
M. & S. Agri & Livestk Co., Inc., Dumas, $42,264.
Durward N. Gamblin, Sunray, $40,978.
Triple T. Farms, Inc., Dumas, $40,950.
Edward M. Stallwitz, Dumas, $40,140.
Arthur B. Stavlo, Sunray, $39,427.
H. D. Lewis, Dumas, $39,046.
Jessie Glynn Burnett, Dumas, $38,906.
Thomas E. Harbert, Dumas, $38,058.
Cleve & Frederick Rousser, Sunray, $37,720.
Linda & Howard Moore, Dumas, $37,532.
Jimmy C. Stokes, Dumas, $37,468.
Harold N. Keisling, Sunray, $36,785.
John A. McMurray, Jr., Dumas, $36,010.
Arie K. Smith, Dumas, $36,008.
Claude Johnson & Son, Dalhart, $35,122.
E. C. Britain, Jr., Stinnett, $33,977.
John H. Goodwin, Sunray, $32,576.
Carl Beauchamp, Dumas, $32,518.
Jerry B. Keith, Sunray, $31,570.
M. O. Jack Bain, Sunray, $30,140.
A. J. Harris, Dumas, $29,764.
Johnny M. Goodwin, Sunray, $28,572.
Coleman Agrietrprises, Inc., Dumas, $28,461.
Brent & Sons Ptnership, Amarillo, $28,398.
Paulus Schroeter, Dumas, $27,878.
Arvel A. Perky, Dumas, $27,557.
Joe Schuman, Dumas, $26,964.
Jimmy Wiseman, Dumas, $25,839.
Earl B. Byrd, Stinnett, $25,702.
101 Ranch Farm Acct., Ponca City, $25,665.
Johnson Trust, Dalhart, $24,794.
J. E. Bright, Dumas, $24,417.
Herschel Berry, Dumas, $24,077.
P. F. Younger, Dumas, $24,045.
James R. Clements, Dumas, $23,094.
L. S. Brown, Jr., Dumas, $22,975.
Pat Bradford, Dumas, $22,930.
Nelson E. Burton, Sunray, $22,614.
Weatherford Bros., Sunray, $22,157.
Raymond White Est., Dumas, $21,651.
Chester Rigdon, Dumas, $21,065.
Wilson and Wilson, Sunray, $20,958.
G. O. Bain, Sunray, $20,687.
James W. Medford, Dumas, $20,582.
Benny T. Powell, Dumas, $20,529.
J. H. Burkett, Sunray, $20,455.
James Tatum, Jr., Sunray, $20,037.
Total payees in county (63), $2,262,984.

Motley County

Claudie A. Matney, Matador, $30,691.
George B. Bowles, Lubbock, $27,734.
Allan L. Thomas, Northfield, $23,162.
Millard C. Jones, Quitaque, $22,839.
Roy D. Grundy, Matador, $22,461.
Bennie Keltz, Matador, $21,499.
Total payees in county (6), $148,386.

Navarro County

Fortson Farms, Rice, $110,524.
Drew Gillen, Blooming Grove, $52,076.
Bancroft Brothers, Powell, $42,689.
Delma Ray Spence, Ennis, $36,450.
Webb, Armstrong, Ennis, $35,912.
Robert L. Colquitt, Corsicana, $26,831.
Charles T. Pullins, Dawson, $25,844.
Clyde Roloff, Dawson, $24,981.
James Mitcham, Dawson, $24,879.
Jimmy Hooser, Frost, $24,423.
George B. Mitchell, Barry, $23,985.
Dwayne Watson, Mertens, $23,766.
W. M. Lowe, Powell, $22,289.
John F. Freeland, Dawson, $21,990.
Emil Moucka, Ennis, $21,844.
W. T. Sanders, Dawson, $21,572.
J. L. Aven, Powell, $21,550.
Fortson Farms, Rice, $11,524.
Charles Martin, Frost, $21,406.
Clifford Williams, Frost, $20,615.
Clifton A. Cartlidge, Dobbin Rd, Corsicana, $20,464.
R. W. Cox, Dallas, $20,210.
Henry R. Nicholson, Blooming Grove, $20,049.
Total payees in county (23), $684,992.

Nolan County

D. S. Riggs, Roscoe, $63,447.
Philip V. Haynes, Roscoe, $63,238.
Max Wright, Roscoe, 51,032.
Herbert L. Williams, Roscoe, $45,759.
Lawlis Y. Sipe, Trent, $43,840.
Clyde A. Ater, Roscoe, $40,752.
Ronda H. Whorton, Roscoe, $39,132.
Clifford C. Etheredge, Roscoe, $35,727.
Jerland F. Freeman, Roscoe, $35,490.
Versie S. Dailey, Roscoe, $33,902.
Mancle T. Pointer, Roscoe, $33,791.
George E. Parrott, Roscoe, $33,767.
T. D. Young, Sweetwater, $33,537.
Joe G. Williams, Roscoe, $31,621.
Wilbert H. Althof, Roscoe, $29,542.
J. B. Cooper, Jr., Roscoe, $29,513.
Raymond E. Althof, Roscoe, $28,477.
James E. Reed, Sweetwater, $26,530.
R. B. Pieper, Roscoe, $26,310.
Jesse Faust, Roscoe, $25,639.
L. L. Medlock Jr. & Son, Roscoe, $24,890.
Dean D. Alexander, Roscoe, $24,713.
Alfred F. Henrichs, Garden City, $23,652.
Robert H. Jordan, Blackwell, $23,307.
R. R. Ranch, Nolan, $22,394.
Harry D. Rotan, Nolan, $22,320.
Harold Haynes, Roscoe, $22,278.
Curtis Riggs, Roscoe, $21,761.
Oscar A. Rannefeld, Roscoe, $21,707.
Harold C. Althof, Roscoe, $21,627.
Ocie C. Gabler, Roscoe, $21,556.
Robert T. Dunlap, Nolan, $21,479.
John M. Boston, Roscoe, $20,982.
Donald A. Menn, Roscoe, $20,896.
Wendell Kent, Sweetwater, $20,896.
Total payees in county (35), $1,085,504.

Nueces County

Wright Bros. Farm, Robstown, $48,898.
Hale & Hale, Chapman Ranch, $47,621.
Aubrey Harper, Robstown, $46,607.
W. E. Scarborough, Robstown, $37,626.
Caldwell Cattle Co., Bishop, $33,758.
Driscoll Foundation, Corpus Christi, $32,804.
R. L. Garrett, Corpus Christi, $32,451.
W. M. Bevly, Corpus Christi, $32,203.
Eschberger Farms, Robstown, $31,585.
Mack B. Norris, Robstown, $30,769.
C. L. Lehman, Corpus Christi, $30,545.
Alvin Mcnair, Bishop, $30,158.
J. W. Callaway, Corpus Christi, $29,955.
L. S. T. Farm, Banquete, $29,370.
Randy Farenthold, Corpus Christi, $29,169.
Barkley Farms, Inc., Bishop, $28,598.
Kelly Ranch, Corpus Christi, $27,935.
E. M. & Cornie Wilson Est, Houston, $27,927.
A. Y. McCallam, Alice, $26,911.
J. C. Mayo, Robstown, $25,857.
Harlan Bros., Driscoll, $25,716.
Oscar Machen, Pearsall, $25,684.
W. T. McNair, Robstown, $25,530.
Frank Moravek, Jr., Robstown, $25,511.
W. M. Bevly, Jr., Corpus Christi, $25,431.
Berta C. Cunningham Est., Chapman Ranch, $25,192.
Alton H. Koenning, Banquete, $24,653.

Lynn Dugger, Robstown, $24,050.
Santa Cruz Farms, Chapman Ranch, $24,045.
H. L. Gillespie, Corpus Christi, $23,926.
C. C. Albrecht, Robstown, $23,562.
Paschal Farms, Bishop, $22,904.
W. A. Whitmire, Corpus Christi, $22,574.
M. E. Horne, Corpus Christi, $22,096.
Hoepfner & Jackson, Corpus Christi, $21,728.
Dryden Farms, Robstown, $21,701.
E. H. Kirkpatrick, Chapman Ranch, $21,628.
M. P. Cable & R. J. Garrett, Corpus Christi, $21,613.
George Rogge, Robstown, $20,916.
Melvin McNair, Driscoll, $20,369.
Total payees in county (40), $1,129,576.

Ochiltree County

Floyd Cole, Hereford, $43,008.
Earl Leslie, Alva, $36,029.
Philo Butler & Son, Perryton, $28,280.
James Lair, Perryton, $28,244.
Batman & Son, Grain Valley, $27,211.
Charles Morton Share Trust, Alva, $26,988.
H. C. Brillhart & Son, Perryton, $25,880.
Bob Urban, Perryton, $25,131.
Herbert Butt, Spearman, $24,952.

Ochiltree County

Earl D. McGarraugh, Perryton, $22,030.
Leroy Smith, Perryton, $21,619.
Alma O. Schaefer, Alva, $21,114.
Russell Sell, Booker, $20,732.
Frank Buzzard, Spearman, $20,442.
Total payees in county (14), $371,660.

Oldham County

George B. Doshier, Vega, $80,008.
E. Duane Allred, Wildorado, $39,110.
Vega Land Cattle Co., Inc., Amarillo, $36,947.
Taylor Bros., Vega, $33,827.
B. F. W. Grain Co., Inc., Kress, $32,399.
Charles B. Short, Friona, $31,341.
J. E. Davis & Sons, Wildorado, $29,747.
Roy Taylor, Vega, $28,744.
Gist Kirkland, Vega, $25,621.
Dean H. Whaley, Vega, $24,942.
John Hinsley, Wildorado, $24,230.
Norman L. Jacobson Son, Adrian, $22,602.
Roger M. Gruhlkey, Adrian, $22,519.
Edgar N. Jacobson, Adrian, $22,210.
Marvin Axe, Vega, $21,597.
J. G. Inman, Newport Beach, $21,180.
Taylor Cattle Co., Amarillo, $20,890.
Total payees in county (17), $517,914.

Parmer County

Verney Towns, Farwell, $174,359.
Clarence Martin, Friona, $146,145.
Bruce Parr, Friona, $90,086.
Fangman Farms, Inc., Friona, $89,730.
Dave M. Thompson, Friona, $84,773.
J. C. Mills, Abernathy, $79,296.
Sloan Osborn, Friona, $74,031.
Ranza Boggess, Friona, $72,028.
James W. Dixon, Friona, $68,291.
J. T. Ford, Farwell, $65,272.
Glenn Phillips, Farwell, $62,823.
James W. Barnett, Friona, $59,558.
W. O. Chadwick, Farwell, $59,304.
Tom Caldwell, Farwell, $54,049.
Roscoe Q. Silverthorne, Plainview, $53,853.
J. D. Kirkpatrick, Bovina, $53,301.
Van E. Nichols, Friona, $53,128.
Floyd T. Dyer, Bovina, $52,861.
Leroy Johnson, Friona, $52,313.
Keith Garner, Bovina, $51,978.
Dean McCallum, Bovina, $50,860.
Leonard L. Grissom, Farwell, $50,440.
Billy L. Marshall, Bovina, $49,268.
Edward D. Chitwood, Jr., Muleshoe, $48,774.
Walter R. Mabry, Friona, $48,361.
John Renner, Friona, $48,001.
Clawson Bldg. Co., Lubbock, $47,931.
O. E. Williamson, Plainview, $47,715.
Thomas L. Whaley, Friona, $47,365.
J. G. McFarland, Friona, $47,060.
Gene Smith, Lazbuddie, $46,890.
C. L. Mercer & Sons, Friona, $46,854.
James Readheimer, Hereford, $45,035.
Edwinston Clark, Friona, $44,886.
Jack Moseley, Friona, $44,576.
Curtis W. Murphree, Friona, $43,967.
Billy J. Thorn, Friona, $43,393.
Voges and Reisiger, Bovina, $43,147.
Ralph W. Shelton, Friona, $43,044.
R. J. Renner, Jr., Friona, $42,927.
Melborn C. Jones, Farwell, $42,542.
Fred W. Foster, Farwell, $42,344.
David Grimsley, Friona, $42,237.
Vernon C. Willard, Bovina, $40,959.
J. B. Sudderth, Farwell, $40,684.
Wyle M. Bullock, Muleshoe, $40,217.
Raymond K. Schueler, Friona, $39,852.
Herman D. Geries, Bovina, $39,748.
Ellis W. Tatum, Friona, $39,414.
Arlin L. Hartzog, Farwell, $38,949.
Jim R. Daniel, Friona, $38,774.
Norman R. Tayler, Friona, $38,150.
Thomas N. Browning, Plainview, $37,540.
James Ensor, Farwell, $37,057.
A. A. Allen, Littlefield, $36,982.
M. A. Black, Friona, $36,898.
C. V. Potts, Friona, $36,465.
John L. Ray, Friona, $36,284.
Robert H. Schueler, Friona, $36,218.
Joe F. Blair, Farwell, $36,166.
Oakley D. Stevenson, Bovina, $36,095.
R. W. Jones, Friona, $35,766.
Herbert I. Howell, Bovina, $34,933.
Melvin G. Sachs, Friona, $34,889.
Howard N. Hayes, Friona, $34,689.
J. E. Knight, Friona, $34,677.
Lawrence Jamerson, Bovina, $34,523.
Don B. Sudderth, Bovina, $34,289.
Richard B. Vaughn, Friona, $34,137.
David H. Carson, Friona, $33,972.
Henry Haseloff, Farwell, $33,742.
Adolph H. Haseloff, Farwell, $33,596.
A. Dargin Kirk, Farwell, $33,567.
Royce G. Welch, Friona, $33,504.
Durward K. Bell, Bovina, $33,332.
Troy Christian, Farwell, $33,267.
Marston G. Mars, Friona, $33,153.
Donald Christian, Farwell, $32,774.
Woodrow W. Whitaker, Black, $32,611.
Louis L. Welch, Friona, $32,506.
Richard & Jerry London, Friona, $32,399.
Billy E. Bourlon, Farwell, $32,261.
Gilbert E. Wenner, Friona, $32,063.
J. T. Mayfield, Friona, $32,023.
Eulynn G. Phipps, Friona, $31,857.
A. W. Anthony, Jr., Friona, $31,801.
Gilbert A. Kaltwasser, Farwell, $31,797.
Fred Burch, Friona, $31,719.
John C. Miller, Friona, $31,601.
Charles E. Trimble, Bovina, $30,942.
Clarence A. Johnson, Farwell, $30,800.
Norman C. Sulser, Earth, $30,679.
Glyn Hamilton, Friona, $30,562.
Thomas W. Beauchamp, Bovina, $30,477.
Bill W. Carthel, Friona, $30,078.
Jimmie Heard, Bovina, $30,034.
Grady W. Sorley, Bovina, $29,835.
Charlie V. Glover, Friona, $29,775.
Walter D. Howard, Jr., Texico, $29,677.
Floyd L. Rector, Friona, $29,584.
J. H. Dunbar, Farwell, $29,457.
Woodrow M. Bradley, Muleshoe, $29,361.
John W. Hand, Friona, $29,298.
Herbert L. Schueler, Friona, $29,189.
Amos E. Steelman, Bovina, $29,081.
Philip C. Weatherly, Friona, $28,449.
William L. Lee, Friona, $28,339.
Don Williams, Farwell, $28,049.
A. L. Black, Friona, $27,941.
John F. Dixon, Bovina, $27,930.
Max C. Crim, Muleshoe, $27,739.
Edwin Lide, Bovina, $27,669.
Lester L. Norton, Farwell, $27,517.
Joe A. Schilling, Farwell, $27,456.
Robert E. Wilson, Bovina, $27,372.
Virgil Young, Friona, $27,353.
Eulan A. Parham, Muleshoe, $27,257.
James M. Procter, Friona, $26,979.
Kenyth C. Cass, Friona, $26,790.
Edelmon Farms, Friona, $26,751.
Burley L. McGuire, Shallowater, $26,703.
Bill Brown, Lazbuddie, $26,687.
Odell Cole, Plainview, $26,389.
Russell D. Smith, Muleshoe, $26,389.
Duward B. Ivy, Friona, $26,253.
Carl C. Maurer, Friona, $26,161.
Jimmie L. Cockerham, Bovina, $26,044.
Don R. Teague, Bovina, $25,999.
Earl D. Stevenson, Bovina, $25,775.
Richard G. Herring, Friona, $25,774.
Daniel L. Carmichael, Friona, $25,625.
Vernon Estes, Bovina, $25,592.
Vernon Symcox, Farwell, $25,540.
John W. Littlefield, Friona, $25,107.
J. A Rushing, Farwell, $25,053.
Richard L. Ware, Bovina, $24,945.
W. M. Sherley, Lazbuddie, $24,878.
Charles Hawkins, Bovina, $24,783.
Herschel Johnson, Friona, $24,759.
Willoughby F. Gable, Farwell, $24,740.
Norbert Schueler, Friona, $24,733.
Mabry Bros., Friona, $24,505.
McLellan Farms, Friona, $24,492.
Ross K. Miller, Friona, $24,355.
Weatherly Est., Friona, $24,214.
James D. Mabry, Lazbuddie, $24,161.
Benjamin I. Foster, Jr., Muleshoe, $24,143.
Travis Stone, Friona, $24,091.
Robert G. Sparks, Bovina, $24,059.
Carl R. Schlenker, Friona, $24,032.
Edward C. Schilling, Friona, $23,806.
Dalton K. Caffey, Friona, $23,770.
Everett McBroom, Friona, $23,734.
Harold Hawkins, Bovina, $23,645.
John R. Hays, Friona, $23,610.
Nelson J. Foster, Farwell, $23,554.
Wesley H. Long, Friona, $23,547.
Orbra A. Cole, Friona, $23,528.
Elsie Jo Buchanan, Friona, $23,522.
Henry L. Ivy, Friona, $23,241.
Loyd I. Tharp, Clovis, $23,220.
Darrell Norton, Farwell, $23,200.
Monty Barrett, Farwell, $23,121.
Walter R. Riethmayer, Friona, $23,035.
Troy F. Ray, Friona, $22,520.
Clarence R. Monroe, Friona, $22,519.
Houston Bartlett, Bovina, $22,504.
Harold Joe Wells, Friona, $22,401.
Edgar E. Boggess, Friona, $22,329.
Stephen L. Struve, Friona, $22,237.
Thomas D. Ware, Farwell, $22,122.
Max Steinbock, Muleshoe, $21,975.
Veron L. Billingsley, Farwell, $21,937.
Ray Precure, Muleshoe, $21,913.
Buryl G. Fish, Dimmitt, $21,801.
Albert F. Phillips, Farwell, $21,738.
T. I. Burleson, Jr., Friona, $21,680.
Roland D. Dale, Farwell, $21,528.
Jack Smith, Lazbuddie, $21,385.
Truman L. Gleason, Muleshoe, $21,370.
James R. Johnson, Muleshoe, $21,354.
Laurance R. Hand, Friona, $21,209.
Cole Bros., Friona, $21,126.
Jack Nelson, Friona, $21,123.
Elvin O. Johnston, Bovina, $21,092.
James H. Jennings, Muleshoe, $20,914.
Adolph E. Vasek, Hereford, $20,749.
Bill H. Brandt, Friona, $20,677.
William S. Ingram, Friona, $20,622.
Stanley A. Bishop, Friona, $20,613.
Fern W. Barnett, Friona, $20,610.
Doyle E. Ford, Farwell, $20,350.
Melvin Southward, Friona, $20,320.
John R. McGehee, Muleshoe, $20,277.
Ophel Jennings, Muleshoe, $20,131.
Jesse A. Walling, Bovina, $20,098.
Ernest E. Anthony, Friona, $20,066.
Franklin I. Bauer, Friona, $20,043.
John L. Ivy, Friona, $20,026.
Marion H. Carson, Bovina, $20,025.
John Agee, Muleshoe, $20,013.
Total payees in county (201), $6,932,997.

Pecos County

Belding Farms, Inc., Ft. Stockton, $124,418.
Coyanosa Farms, Coyanosa, $105,938.
C. and C. Farms, Ft. Stockton, $97,683.
David C. McAteer, Ft. Stockton, $89,711.
A. B. Foster, Pecos, $74,747.
Trans Pecos Farms, Inc., Pecos, $69,749.
Ralph C. Dickson, McCamey, $61,657.
W. H. Riley III, Ft. Stockton, $60,221.
Lakeside Farms, Ft. Stockton, $58,989.
Mike A. Burkholder, Pecos, $58,685.
Harrell and Harrell, Ft. Stockton, $56,321.
Elvin Crow, Pecos, $52,263.

Harral and Marable, Ft. Stockton, $51,069.
Melvin H. McKinney, Pecos, $50,658.
Luther C. Holladay, Ft. Stockton, $45,996.
Glen J. Ellis, Pecos, $45,072.
Marshall G. Nevill, McCamey, $34,442.
Gilbert J. Moore, Jr., Longview, $32,497.
M. R. Tripp, Odessa, $29,179.
Carpenter Farms, Inc., McCamey, $28,229.
Andrew J. Sitton, Jr., Coyanosa, $26,588.
Clarence A. Stephan, Coyanosa, $26,552.
William A. Tipton, Grandfalls, $25,617.
Albert J. Hoelscher, Pecos, $25,001.
Allison Ranch Co., Ft. Stockton, $24,939.
Virgil W. Massingill, Coyanosa, $24,890.
Lelan D. Haren, McCamey, $24,874.
Ranches of the SW, Ft. Stockton, $24,820.
Richardson Farms, Iraan, $22,947.
Pecos Co. State Bank, Ft. Stockton, $21,246.
Allen Tipton, Coyanosa, $20,757.
Total payees in county (31), $1,495,755.

Potter County

C. B. Emeny, Amarillo, $51,691.
E. B. Fite, Amarillo, $33,562.
Umnak Co., Inc., Amarillo, $28,465.
Albracht & Son, Bushland, $25,901.
G. A. Burrell, Amarillo, $25,331.
A. B. Bingham, Amarillo, $20,929.
Total payees in county (6), $185,879.

Presidio County

Valley Farms Co., Presidio, $52,475.
Charles Spencer, Presidio, $42,197.
J. E. White & Sons, Marfa, $24,148.
Total payees in county (3), $118,820.

Randall County

Eldon Durrett Trusts, Amarillo, $54,150.
Simon G. Elliott, Happy, $52,074.
Robert C. Sims & Sons, Happy, $49,816.
Jack R. C. Vincent, Amarillo, $49,746.
Northrup Farms, Amarillo, $48,178.
Earnest L. Barnett, Amarillo, $46,855.
John A. Williams, Canyon, $45,909.
Paul Schniederjan, Bushland, $44,974.
Fred Fegel, Amarillo, $43,448.
Pete E. Fischbacher, Canyon, $42,303.
Johnny Sluder, Bushland, $41,851.
Gilbert Irlbeck, Canyon, $40,034.
Clinton Glenn, Canyon, $39,974.
Walter A. Graham, Happy, $37,576.
Howard Fuqua, Wildorado, $33,890.
D. L. Allison, Happy, $32,780.
John E. Frost, Happy, $32,757.
W. T. Smoot, Jr., Amarillo, $31,070.
Robert W. Foster Est., Happy, $30,955.
McRoberts & Jacobsen Bros., Canyon, $30,802.
Charles Wright, Canyon, $30,149.
Gary Wagner, Wildorado, $29,331.
Edward Wieck, Canyon, $28,371.
John F. Robinson, Canyon, $27,465.
Tom Henry, Happy, $27,454.
Max Rarick, Bushland, $27,393.
Kuehler Farms, Amarillo, $26,262.
Leo Zimmerer, Bushland, $26,162.
F. S. Strohmeyer, Happy, $26,105.
Davis Est., Amarillo, $26,036.
Tom Wilhelm, Happy, $25,477.
John L. Butler, Happy, $24,963.
James Skarke, Canyon, $24,839.
James L. Lamb, Amarillo, $23,663.
Willie Wieck, Canyon, $23,441.
John W. Byrd, Happy, $23,000.
Wm. H. Bush Trust B., Amarillo, $22,834.
A. J. McCarley, Happy, $22,765.
Vilo A. Danner, Happy, $22,665.
Lewel Johnston, Canyon, $22,512.
Harold Erwin, Amarillo, $22,507.
Anton Handing, Canyon, $22,269.
George L. Powers, Amarillo, $22,090.
O. H. Rahlfs, Jr., Happy, $21,489.
Charles E. Gerald, Canyon, $21,487.
H. W. Allen, Amarillo, $21,208.
Glynn Burrell, Canyon, $21,180.
Leo Artho, Dalhart, $20,962.
David K. McGehee, Happy, $20,903.
George Hand, Canyon, $20,589.
Baptists Fdns. of Texas, Dallas, $20,509.
Wm. T. Smoot Sr., Amarillo, $20,403.
Total payees in county (52), $1,595,625.

Reagan County

Rocker B. Ranch, Inc., Barnhart, $37,015.
Alfred J. Schwartz, Garden City, $29,627.
Leroy Wilde, Garden City, $20,190.
Total payees in county (3), $86,832.

Red River County

John M. Ward, Deport, $39,328.
Barlow & Willis Planting Co., Detroit, $35,978.
First American Farm, Inc., Clarksville, $21,837.
Mervin L. Slaton, Deport, $21,542.
Doug Alsobrook, Bogata, $21,449.
Albert W. Bolton, Clarksville, $21,397.
Herman Lawler, Clarksville, $20,951.
Total payees in county (7), $182,482.

Reeves County

Worsham Bros., Pecos, $224,097.
Kenneth Lindemann, Pecos, $216,343.
Clark and Roberts, Pecos, $169,004.
Kesey Bros., Pecos, $154,460.
Reetex Farms, Inc., Waco, $137,766.
W. A. Sullivan, Pecos, $122,116.
Cedarville Corp., Pecos, $112,032.
Smallwood Farms, Pecos, $105,756.
Lattner and Mills, Pecos, $104,257.
W. W. Hill, Pecos, $101,768.
G. G. Passmore, Pecos, $98,579.
Loy Kilgore, Pecos, $93,656.
Winterrowd Bros., Pecos, $91,283.
Rowe and Turnbough, Balmorhea, $86,261.
Raymond Beauchamp, Pecos, $83,775.
W. W. Clem, Pecos, $83,212.
T. J. Wilson, Pecos, $80,064.
Frank Bounds, Pecos, $77,556.
Dingler Farms, Inc., Pecos, $70,993.
Davidson Bros., Pecos, $65,872.
Weinacht Bros, Pecos, $63,745.
Broyles Pecos Farm, Stockton, $60,333.
William R. Ramsey, Pecos, $59,626.
Tom Passmore, Pecos, $57,951.
B. C. Kesey, Pecos, $57,413.
Coy Fraley, Pecos, $56,317.
J. T. McKinney, Pecos, $49,792.
Jack Duke, Pecos, $48,904.
Peppy McKinney, Pecos, $46,281.
Joe Lee McMahon, Verhalen, $46,257.
Roy Blahosky, Pecos, $45,053.
J. T. Moore and Son, Pecos, $44,519.
W. L. Kington, Pecos, $43,962.
Clem Crowley, Pecos, $42,262.
Dale Toone, Balmorhea, $42,229.
Don Watkins, Ralls, $41,645.
W. W. Hill and W. R. Sage, Pecos, $40,632.
H. R. Hudson, Jr., Pecos, $39,895.
C. S. Hess and Son, Pecos, $39,342.
L. Barrett Johnson, Pecos, 39,247.
Jerry Jenkins, Pecos, 37,699.
McKinney and Taylor, Pecos, 37,634.
David D. Davis, Pecos, $37,151.
Cherry Draw Co., Verhalen, $36,060.
Charles Spence, Pecos, 35,937.
Joe Lee McMahon, Jr., Verhalen, $35,469.
Cherry Creek Farm, Balmorhea, $34,684.
Chocolate Flats Farm Co., Verhalen, $34,679.
A. J. Carpenter, Pecos, $34,568.
J. W. Bryan, Pecos, $33,500.
L. M. Collier, Pecos, $33,253.
Hermosa Farms, Inc., Pecos, $31,789.
James L. Sears, Pecos, $31,700.
Bettye W. Ivey, Pecos, $31,212.
F. F. Bradley, Pecos, $31,002.
Robert M. Owen, Pecos, $30,774.
Jack Davis, Pecos, $30,651.
Ennis B. Tudor, Pecos, $30,196.
Ronald Miller, Pecos, $29,602.
Richard Hoifs, Balmorhea, $28,824.
W. B. Evans, Pecos, $28,471.
J. B. Hopkins, Pecos, $28,064.
Marion A. Briggs, Pecos, $27,359.
B. V. Shaw, Pecos, $27,048.
C. J. Kesey, Pecos, $26,870.
Duncan Farms, Inc., Pecos, $25,590.
Evans Butler, Pecos, $24,348.
Herbert H. Toone, Balmorhea, $24,095.
Louis D. McNeil, Pecos, $24,043.
Charles Weinacht, Pecos, $23,874.
Butler Brothers, Pecos, $23,725.
Coy Nichols, Pecos, $22,356.
Melvin Schnack, Balmorhea, $21,427.
Hubert Nunn, Pecos, $20,932.
M. C. Dixon, Pecos, $20,911.
William D. Fernandes, Pecos, $20,345.
E. D. Godbey, Jr., Pecos, $20,249.
Total payees in county (77), $4,320,346.

Refugio County

Voges and Boenig, Woodsboro, $40,963.
David Zabel, Woodsboro, $30,194.
W. F. Abney, Woodsboro, $26,898.
J. M. Tatton, Refugio, $25,580.
J. C. Ermis, Woodsboro, $25,107.
Clifton Frazier, Robstown, $24,181.
Hartmann Farms, Woodsboro, $23,832.
C. H. French, Woodsboro, $23,817.
T. G. and R. L. Jackson, Austwell, $23,400.
R. L. Shaw, Austwell, $23,328.
Total payees in county (10), $267,300.

Roberts County

W. R. Holland, Miami, $38,642.
Buddy Cockrell, Pampa, $27,267.
Locke Cattle Co., Inc., Miami, $23,095.
Total payees in county (3), $89,004.

Robertson County

James H. Jones, Hearne, $127,256.
John W. Nigliazzo, Hearne, $124,092.
Goodland Farms, Inc., Hearne, $11,943.
W. P. Scamardo, Bryan, $109,464.
Vence Corpora, Hearne, $108,118.
Louis Muse, Hearne, $96,516.
John C. Reistino, Hearne, $79,182.
Sam Degelia, Sr., Hearne, $78,667.
Joe Reistino, Jr., Hearne, $78,166.
Fred J. Ferrara, Hearne, $63,604.
T. D. Wilson, College Station, $61,091.
Barton Farms, Calvert, $60,724.
Joe Scarpinato, Hearne, $57,952.
Gathan Reistino, Hearne, $57,877.
Joe Reistino Est, Hearne, $55,619.
Pauline Doremus, Calvert, $54,494.
J. W. Foster, Jr., Calvert, $53,395.
Ned Fachorn, Hearne, $49,151.
N. R. Lutz, Calvert, $44,216.
A. M. Lampson, Hearne, $42,738.
Ross Cash, Hearne, $42,238.
Sam Destefano, Hearne, $40,232.
3D Farm & Ranch, Mumford, $38,955.
Pete L. Scamardo, Mumford, $38,160.
A. H. Judkins, Calvert, $36,210.
Anthony L. Scamardo, Mumford, $34,716.
James & Tony Cortemelia, Hearne, $33,813.
Luke Scamardo, Mumford, $33,265.
Leon Anthony Denena, Hearne, $33,146.
Frank Destefano, Hearne, $30,431.
Charlie P. Briggs III, Calvert, $30,211.
Joe & Matt Salvaggio, Mumford, $30,015.
R. F. Manning, Bryan, $29,439.
Frank B. Seale Est, Bryan, $29,185.
Michael Muse, Hearne, $29,159.
Frank Sam Loria, Hearne, $28,548.
Ben Perrone, Hearne, $26,921.
Joe L. Collette, Hearne, $25,588.
Joe Cotropia, Hearne, $25,313.
Buck E. Porter, Hearne, $23,110.
Louis Morello, Bryan, $22,366.
William Corona, Hearne, $21,655.
Total payees in county (42), $2,196,941.

Rockwall County

Glynn Dodson, Royse City, $65,637.
A. R. Seabolt, Jr., Rockwall, $45,100.
Henry Zollner, Royse City, $33,706.
Carlton D. Clinard, Royse City, $28,909.
Marvin Goodwin, Royse City, $22,827.
Charles W. Holt, Rockwall, $22,865.
William E. Crawford, Fate, $22,690.
Total payees in county (7), $244,734.

Runnels County

Bennie W. Wilde, Ballinger, $45,434.
Walter B. Adami, Winters, $28,945.
Audra L. Mitchell, Winters, $25,802.
Leonard H. Halfmann, Ballinger, $22,092.
Newman E. Smith, Ballinger, $21,907.
Joseph Clinton Werner, Miles, $21,196.
Dalton C. Lacy, Miles, $20,656.
Harvey E. Gully, Rowena, $20,620.
L. P. Schwertner, Miles, $20,158.
Total payees in county (9), $226,810.

Rusk County

Otho Morris, Laneville, $42,966.

San Patricio County

Vahlsing Christina Corp., Mathis, $173,777.
Heirs of Jos. F. Green, Taft, $79,332.
Charles H. Mayo, Taft, $76,138.
Williams & Burkhardt, Taft, $64,528.
McKamey Farms, Gregory, $63,436.
Floyd Webb, Jr., Mathis, $60,895.
R. E. Hart, Sinton, $51,543.
W. C. McDaniel, Sinton, $47,204.
J. M. Edmondson, Mathis, $37,383.
H. G. Ritchie, Jr., Taft, $34,404.
Daniel P. Moore, Portland, $32,918.
Floerke Bros., Taft, $32,449.
Chester B. Brittain, Sinton, $32,447.
R. C. Dillon, Taft, $30,991.
W. L. Shelburne, Jr., Taft, $29,745.
Howard H. Webb, Sinton, $29,360.
Adams Brothers, Odem, $28,894.
Donald B. Horne, Odem, $28,163.
L. T. Porter, Mathis, $27,911.
Beyer Bros., Mathis, $27,129.
George J. Ermis, Odem, $26,585.
Loree Svadlenak, Taft, $25,909.
W. O. Hart, Taft, $25,525.
Edwin C. McDaniel, Corpus Christi, $25,290.
J. D. Patrick, Jr., Taft, $23,638.
Martin Schneider, Odem, $23,290.
Jim Odem, Sinton, $23,187.
Q. M. Priday, Jr., Gregory, $22,630.
Dehnisch Bros., Mathis, $22,351.
R. E. Stalcup, Odem, $21,741.
W. D. Miller Farm, Taft, $21,702.
Albert Kastner, Sinton, $21,578.
Thomas Ardel Setliff, Odem, $21,340.
Thomas Touchstone, Sinton, $21,040.
David Bevly, Robstown, $20,921.
Edwin Schneider, Mathis, $20,921.
Stanley Webb III, Odem, $20,713.
O. L. Gorbet, Mathis, $20,690.
Total payees in county (38), $1,397,698.

San Saba County

Floyd Oliver, Lamesa, $44,179.

Schleicher County

Virginia Bruton, Eldorado, $24,233.
L. E. Lloyd, Eldorado, $22,205.
R. W. & B. J. Reynolds, Eldorado, $20,023.
Total payees in county (3), $66,461.

Scurry County

Billy Huddleston, Snyder, $63,630.
C. A. Daugherty, Fluvanna, $51,773.
J. B. Autry, Colorado City, $44,335.
Clements Bros., Snyder, $36,703.
Ray Herring, Fluvanna, $30,818.
Henry G. Gafford, Snyder, $29,953.
Emil Schattel Est., Hermleigh, $26,702.
Billy J. Voss, Snyder, $26,007.
Granville E. Chorn, Snyder, $25,515.
Mozell Roggenstein, Snyder, $24,676.
Wayne Key, Snyder, $24,634.
Martin Muphy, Hermleigh, $24,527.
Glenn Foster, Hermleigh, $24,094.
Max Von Roeder, Snyder, $23,489.
Don Wells, Fluvanna, $23,200.
J. W. Byrd, Snyder, $22,881.
Ernest Schattel, Roscoe, $22,861.
Roy Hallman, Roscoe, $22,810.
Carl Williams, Hermleigh, $22,291.
Homer Gannaway, Hermleigh, $21,461.
R. J. Kubena, Hermleigh, $20,899.
J. L. Clark, Ira, $20,727.
Leo Schattel, Snyder, $20,705.
Jackie Pylant, Snyder, $20,649.
Wayland Huddleston, Snyder, $20,434.
Total payees in county (25), $697,774.

Shackelford County

Kenneth Hansen, Lueders, $24,928.
Robert L. Reves, Sr., Lueders, $24,86..
Total payees in county (2), $49,796.

Sherman County

Jack Heil, Stratford, $73,830.
McClellan & McClellan, Sunray, $70,265.
Ezra F. Fisk, Texhoma, Okla., $59,004.
R. C. Buckles Est. C/O A. Milton, Mesa, Ariz., $53,716.
Wood B. Craig, Sunray, $53,678.
R. M. Buckles Est., Stratford, $48,031.
Cartrite Bros., Sunray, $43,690.
William R. Murrell, Gruver, $40,994.
Wesley Lunt, Sunray, $40,182.
Pat Holt, Gruver, $38,037.
Dietrich & Gurley, Stratford, $37,873.
Elmo Fortenberry, Sunray, $37,615.
Sam R. Cluck, Gruver, $36,949.
Monroe Bros., Sunray, $35,352.
Frank Berry, Jr., Texhoma, $34,651.
Huber R. Tillery, Stratford, $33,320.
Schafer & Schafer, Texhoma, $33,051.
Dick Diehl, Stratford, $32,993.
Mrs. Latimer Murfee, Houston, $32,115.
Bill & Glenn Reed, Stratford, $30,912.
Wallace Corse, Sunray, $30,542.
Bert Steffens, Stratford, $30,459.
Arnold B. Morris, Stratford, $28,812.
Walter Lasley & Sons, Inc., Stratford, $28,687.
Roy Browder, Sunray, $28,178.
Leroy Robison, Sunray, $27,880.
H. D. Meil, Dumas, $26,412.
John Bain, Dumas, $25,629.
Orland Lasley, Stratford, $24,756.
Judd Cattle Co., Stratford, $24,687.
Verne W. Foreman, Stratford, $24,636.
William N. Jackson, Stratford, $24,529.
H. H. Caraway, Stratford, $24,366.
Haile Bros., Stratford, $24,156.
Luther Browder, Sunray, $23,476.
Arthur E. Judd, Stratford, $23,455.
Spurlock Bros., Stratford, $22,602.
Ernest Cummings, Stratford, $22,319.
Gary L. Hawkins, Texhoma, $22,144.
Roscoe Dyess & Son, Stratford, $22,095.
J. L. Stowers, Stratford, $21,974.
Dean G. Gluck, Gruver, $21,891.
Hardy Coffman, Stratford, $21,854.
Walter Johnson, Texhoma, $21,536.
Albert W. Zimmer, Stratford, $21,366.
O. H. Ingham & Son, Stratford, $21,078.
E. L. Monroe, Sunray, $21,014.
Eugene C. Smith, Colo Springs, $20,606.
Otto Schuster, Jr., Stratford, $20,438.
Coldwater Cattle Co., Amarillo, $20,414.
S. S. Land & Cattle Co., Stratford, $20,215.
Total payees in county (51), $1,608,464.

Starr County

Charles Roos III, Grande City, $149,740.
Valley Onions, Inc., McAllen, $140,267.
Starr Produce Farm Acct. Grande City, $72,370.
La Casita Farms, Inc., Grande City, $49,448.
Griffin & Brand, McAllen, $49,330.
H. L. Conger, Grande City, $36,942.
R. S. Margo, Grande City, $27,485.
M. Guerra & Sons, Roma, $25,898.
Carl E. Oakes, Sebastian, $24,738.
John A. Shuford, Grande City, $22,036.
Total payees in county (10), $598,254.

Stonewall County

Jim Rowland, Jr., Roby, $32,712.
Clay L. Douglass/Son, Aspermont, $23,699.
H. F. Metcalf Partnership, Aspermont, $21,676.
Total payees in county (3), $78,087.

Sutton County

Sonora Research St., Sonora, $112,828.
Mayer Rousselot, Sonora, $23,267.
Total payees in county (2), $136,095.

Swisher County

Fowler E. McDaniel, Tulia, $177,342.
Warner Reid, Tulia, $113,921.
B. Raymond Evans, Tulia, $106,826.
Miller Farms Company, Tulia, $88,666.
Lloyd M. Hale, Tulia, $87,635.
Grady Shepard, Hale Center, $75,872.
Paul Hays, Kress, $74,746.
J. L. Francis, Kress, $66,080.
S. A. Barrett, Kress, $63,531.
L. G. Mahagan, Kress, $63,301.
Tyline N. Perry, Kress, $62,099.
Hinton Brothers, Happy, $56,971.
Jack D. Loring, Tulia, $53,666.
Tye & Sons, Kress, $53,655.
Corliss H. Currie, Bennington, Okla., $52,385.
Lloyd Glenn, Plainview, $51,616.
James Cruce, Kress, $49,850.
Street Brothers, Kress, $46,064.
Morgan Sturgess, Tulia, $45,911.
Emily Holmes, Tulia, $45,590.
M. T. Glenn, Los Angeles, $45,285.
Jack G. Foster, Happy, $45,107.
Buck Garrett, Plainview, $44,630.
Carl M. Weathers, Kress, $44,256.
James W. Cox, Tulia, $43,619.
H. O. Thompson, Plainview, $43,141.
John Spilman, Tulia, $43,004.
James Vineyard, Kress, $42,744.
Devin Devin & Patterson, Tulia, $42,733.
Wylie A. Byrd, Tulia, $40,808.
Jack Montague, Tulia, $40,039.
A. B. Raymond, Tulia, $40,003.
Otis Harman, Tulia, $39,645.
Dr. Wm. B. Childress, Tulia, $39,367.
Allen Glenn, Tulia, $39,260.
Warren Lee Bell, Tulia, $38,985.
Andrew Price, Kress, $38,781.
Lonnie G. Todd, Happy, $38,426.
Melvin Young, Kress, $38,054.
Daryl R. Dixon, Kress, $37,960.
J. Larry Nelson, Tulia, $36,596.
Victor L. Harman, Happy, $36,150.
Jack Middleton, Tulia, $35,940.
Curtis Latham, Tulia, $35,885.
Nelson Borchardt, Tulia, $35,758.
Jim Doan, Tulia, $35,386.
Boyd & Boyd, Tulia, $35,386.
A. D. Lee, Tulia, $35,204.
Hoyet Burnam, Tulia, $34,915.
Cone W. Johnson, Happy, $34,702.
Harley L. & Gene King, Tulia, $34,207.
T. M. Lawson, Tulia, $34,092.
H. T. Copeland, Tulia, $34,010.
Leroy & Hulan Hill, Happy, $33,885.
Graham Brothers, Tulia, $33,400.
Foster Parker, Happy, $33,117.
Harold Ray Caraway, Tulia, $32,942.
Dayle Culwell, Tulia, $32,932.
Robert K. Brooks, Tulia, $32,890.
D. E. McEachern, Kress, $32,774.
Billy W. Evans, Kress, $32,064.
Verlon Watson, Tulia, $32,026.
A. Emmet Pittman, Kress, $31,775.
R. W. McClure, Kress, $31,246.
Koy V. Benefield, Plainview, $31,111.
A. M. Anderson, Tulia, $30,957.
Jennings & Joy, Tulia, $30,908.
Delbert L. Devin, Tulia, $30,796.
L. L. Armor Sweetwater, $30,512.
J. D. Herndon, Tulia, $30,470.
Jake Jones, Kress, $30,242.
Victor Martin, Kress, $30,180.
Dale Swinburn, Tulia, $29,923.
Robert R. Brown, Tulia, $29,883.
Burle D. Byrd, Kress, $29,795.
Claude F. Harris, Plainview, $29,302.
Ludeman Ludeman Leib Smith, Plainview, $29,196.
F. J. & David Burgess, Tulia, $28,931.
John N. Simpson, Jr., Tulia, $28,667.
Paul N. Irlbeck, Tulia, $28,565.
Tulia Feed Lot Inc., Tulia, $28,376.
B. J. Holland, Kress, $28,349.
Odell Jennings, Tulia, $28,215.
Glenn Jolly, Kress, $27,943.
O. H. Olson, Tulia, $27,901.
Leo W. Foster, Kress, $27,348.
W. Ray Caraway, Tulia, $27,346.
Glenn Terrell, Plainview, $27,294.
John Hays, Tulia, $27,175.
Estle Haught, Tulia, $27,121.
T. L. Abernathy, Jr., Tulia, $27,077.
Holland & Sons, Happy, $27,028.
O. V. Wilson, Kress, $27,001.
L. G. George, Tulia, $26,964.
Bobby Altman, Happy, $26,931.
Roy Thompson, Tulia, $26,921.
Cecil A. Rousser, Kress, $26,890.
Melvin D. Jennings, Tulia, $26,841.
W. Leroy Roberts, Tulia, $26,705
Frank Kiker, Tulia, $26,545.
C. W. Rousser, Kress, $26,535.
C. R. Byrd, Kress, $26,386.
E. E. Sims, Happy, $26,029.
Robert H. Lowery, Jr., Tulia, $25,851.
Frank Loftin, Tulia, $25,771.
H. C. Poage, Tulia, $25,628.
R. H. Gayler, Kress, $25,489.

Nuckles/Nuckles/Gerald, Sweetwater, $25,367.
Robert Hostetler, Kress, $25,178.
Stark Bros., Kress, $25,119.
Abell & Prewitt, Ralls, $24,810.
Dwain Garrett, Tulia, $24,460
Vernon Loftin, Tulia, $24,214.
D. T. Graham, Plainview, $24,163.
Bayard Sadler, Tulia, $24,086.
Copus O. Boyd, Tulia, $23,982.
Clifford J. Vars, Tulia, $23,977.
Gerald Schulte, Tulia, $23,840.
Vernon Garrison, Silverton, $23,819.
Terry Martin, Canyon, $23,765.
Circle C Agri. Assoc., Tulia, $23,762.
Johnny Nix, Tulia, $23,686.
Ernest Jackson, Tulia, $23,634.
Billy Jack Settle, Tulia, $23,579.
Eston Breitling, Tulia, $23,573.
Ray Reiss, Tulia, $23,518.
Jack Gilleland, Tulia, $23,427.
Vernon H. Harman, Canyon, $23,426.
Lloyd Evans, Tulia, $23,299.
Eugene Mote, Tulia, $23,289.
Calvin C. Reed, Kress, $23,281.
Milton Dovel, Tulia, $23,261.
Bobby Archer, Tulia, $23,232.
Donald Thompson, Kress, $23,167.
J. O. Garner, Kress, $23,005.
August C. Falkenberg, Kress, $23,005.
W. E. Thurman, Plainview, $22,836.
Tom Garrison, Tulia, $22,815.
Don A. Nelson, Tulia, $22,786.
Earl Hillman, Kress, $22,607.
Reece Wilterding, Tulia, $22,435.
Dean Todd, Tulia, $22,431.
Billy T. Young, Kress, $22,417.
Joe Martin, Plainview, $22,323.
Jones Bros, Kress, $22,305.
James D. Armstrong, Kress, $22,147.
B. H. Williams, Kress, $22,018.
B. & D. Hayes, Plainview, $22,008.
Kenneth Littlefield, Tulia, $21,960.
L. F. Campbell, Tulia, $21,911.
Stout Bros., Tulia, $21,757.
A. Dean Harman, Tulia, $21,712.
Albert P. Devin, Tulia, $21,674.
Robert S. Francy, Happy, $21,601.
Dick G. Shaver, Hale Center, $21,576.
Leroy Measles, Tulia, $21,513.
Glenn Mahagan, Kress, $21,443.
A. B. Jones, Tulia, $21,200.
Foy E. Moudy, Happy, $21,103.
Dayne Norris, Kress, $21,097
C. D. Taylor, Happy, $21,027.
J. F. Whitsett, Tulia, $20,979.
Fred Harris, Happy, $20,971.
O. V. Morris, Tulia, $20,956.
George A. Rahlfs, Happy, $20,834.
Glen C. Carter, Tulia, $20,830.
J. U. Flippo, Kress, $20,644.
Stewart Kirkpatrick, Tulia, $20,627.
Plains Prod Company No. 2, Plainview, $20,577.
Orville Finck, Tulia, $20,390.
Milo Draper, Plainview, $20,372.
Weldon Kiker, Tulia, $20,372.
J. L. Bevill, Tulia, $20,245.
G. O. Billington, Plainview, $20,197.
Murry E. Vise, Tulia, $20,147.
Total payees in county (175), $5,813,923.

Taylor County

Ted McAninch, Merkel, $31,728.

Terrell County

Jack and Roy Deaton, Sanderson, $29,796.
Bell & Kenney, Dryden, $20,285.
Total payees in county (2), $50,081.

Terry County

Howard Hurd, Brownfield, $83,735.
Muldrow Farms, Brownfield, $66,893.
W. A. Fulford, Brownfield, $64,103.
Bonard Stice, Brownfield, $61,136.
Charlie Caswell, Meadow, $61,080.
Graham Swain, Brownfield, $58,618.
Olane Caswell, Meadow, $55,802.
Norman Caswell, Meadow, $53,387.
H. L. King, Brownfield, $53,151.
Don C. Day, Meadow, $52,592.
M. E. Hinson, Brownfield, $51,795.
J. V. Riley, Brownfield, $51,712.
Robert Beasley, Meadow, $50,296.
Thurman Skains, Brownfield, $49,648.
Dan A. Day, Meadow, $48,628.
Milton Addison, Brownfield, $48,358.
Doyle Moss, Brownfield $47,397.
Troy Phillips, Brownfield, $46,734.
W. C. Cabe, Brownfield, $45,817.
Davis Beasley, Meadow, $45,754.
Keith Vandivere, Brownfield, $45,684.
Rufus Dill, Brownfield, $44,489.
D. A. Kelly, Brownfield, $43,865.
Kenneth Purtell, Brownfield, $42,130.
C. A. Winn, Brownfield, $42,076.
M. H. Wagner, Brownfield, $42,071.
Mack Wilmeth, Tokio, $41,614.
Art W. Adair, Brownfield, $40,731.
Dell Jordan, Meadow, $40,722.
George Weiss, Brownfield, $40,166.
Odell L. Lowe, Lubbock, $39,931.
Foster Gin Fm., Brownfield, $39,739.
L. T. Hawkins, Brownfield, $38,546.
Val Garner, Brownfield, $37,790.
Carter Farms, Inc., Brownfield, $37,637.
W. M. Hunter, Lubbock, $37,173.
C. R. Farrar, Brownfield, $37,049.
J. W. Hawkins, Brownfield, $37,011.
Truman Hayes, Brownfield, $36,749.
Melton Briscoe, Jr., Brownfield, $35,639.
Joe Joplin, Brownfield, $35,259.
Clarence Faught, Brownfield, $35,224.
N. R. Marchbanks, Jr., Brownfield, $35,125.
L. L. Banta, Brownfield, $34,521.
Bill W. Blackstock, Brownfield, $34,303.
Cletus Floyd, Brownfield. $33,856.
Hubert Henson, Brownfield, $33,655.
Tom Adams, Brownfield, $33,617.
M. H. Ratliff, Brownfield, $31,890.
David Turnbough, Seagraves, $31,522.
Doyle Crowder, Brownfield, $31,414.
D. S. Carroll, Meadow, $31,318.
Jess McWherter, Brownfield, $31,088.
Tatum Farms, Brownfield, $30,684.
J. Frank Jones, Meadow, $30,656.
R. R. McNiel, Brownfield, $30,613.
Donald Hancock, Brownfield, $30,586.
S. M. Dill, Brownfield, $30,572.
Burton F. Foshee, Brownfield, $30,008.
Robert Baumgardner, Brownfield, $29, 879.
Carl Hogue, Brownfield, $29,803.
R. D. Jones, Jr., Brownfield, $29,746.
C. S. Carroll, Brownfield, $29,651.
R. O. Webb, Seagraves, $29,563.
Cecil Cowan, Brownfield, $29,501.
J. C. Chambers, Brownfield, $29, 436.
G. W. Dill, Brownfield, $28,980.
James G. Davis, Brownfield, $28,943.
Russell Hendricks, Meadow, $28,725.
Brown Farms, Brownfield, $28,588.
G. I. Sims, Brownfield, $28,552.
Billy R. Jones, Brownfield, $28,220.
Robert E. Smith, Brownfield, $28,150.
Billy Timmons, Brownfield, $28,120.
L. P. Joplin, Meadow, $28,071.
E. A. Farrar, Brownfield, $27,974.
Fred H. Turner, Sylvester, $27,862.
James J. Martin, Brownfield, $27,535.
Merlin Speed, Brownfield, $27,522.
Truett Flache, Brownfield, $27,368.
Edward L. Jordon, Meadow, $27,348.
J. A. Webb, O'Donnell, $27,335.
G. M. Newsom, Brownfield, $26,985.
W. Wayne Lewis, Brownfield, $27,837.
Homer C. Barron, Meadow, $26,835.
George Kempson, Brownfield, $26,618.
L. R. Gober, Brownfield, $26,502.
Pat Childress, O'Donnell, $26,476.
Lloyd Hahn, Brownfield, $26,199.
R. L. Keener, Welch, $26,007.
J. W. Christesson, Brownfield, $25,760.
Ray Gober, Meadow, $25,736.
Graves Nelson, Brownfield, $25,709.
Earl Caswell, Brownfield, $25,514.
J. W. Lasiter, Brownfield, $25,445.
Perry Lockett, Brownfield, $25,335.
R. L. Burnett, Brownfield, $25,290.
T. E. Gafford, Brownfield, $25,268.
H. B. Harris, Brownfield, $25,263.
Wilburn Bailey, Meadow, $25,226.
Elmo Adair, Brownfield, $25,075.
D. L. Adcock, Lamesa, $25,064.
Rex Wilkes, Brownfield, $25,018.
Lewis Havran, Brownfield, $24,959.
L. M. Williams, Meadow, $24,578.
R. H. Clements, Brownfield, $24,542.
Donald Currie, Seagraves, $24,513.
Crate Snider, Ropesville, $24,456.
M. R. Paddack, Brownfield, $24,395.
Don Martin, Seagraves, $24,312.
Carl Pye, Brownfield, $24,221.
J. C. Donaghey, Seagraves, $24,088.
Bill Keesee, Meadow, $24,047.
C. J. Fails, Jr., Meadow, $24,005.
Geo. L. Sullivan, Jr., Seagraves, $23,876.
Monroe Rowden, Brownfield, $23,740.
Gene Newsom, Brownfield, $23,552.
Whitt F. Coor, Brownfield, $23,408.
Lewayne Rowden, Seagraves, $23,297.
Jacqueline T. Chesshir, Brownfield, $23,147.
Edward L. McCutcheon, Brownfield, $23,078.
Ross Carter, Brownfield, $23,038.
Freddie Howard, Brownfield, $22,956.
F. L. Earnest, Brownfield, $22,908.
M. W Baccus, Brownfield, $22,906.
Harry Stice, Brownville, $22,635.
W. L. Stephens, Brownfield, $22,609.
Billy Yeatts, Brownfield, $22,607.
H. J. & S., Inc., Brownfield, $22,474.
Buddy Hawkins, Wellman, $22,354.
B. J. McLaurin, O'Donnell, $22,278.
James E. Thurman, Brownfield, $22,267.
Lee Miller, Brownfield, $22,206.
G. H. Crutcher, Tokio, $22,039.
Elvoyd Barton, Brownfield, $21,960.
Victor Watts, Brownfield, $21,946.
T. M. James, Tokio, $21,815.
Billy McCallister, Meadow, $21,655.
James Bartlett, Meadow, $21,638.
Charles Ray Smith, Meadow, $21,576.
L. O. Rogers, Brownfield, $21,553.
Billy H. Wilson, Brownfield, $21,447.
Elmer R. Edward, Lubbock, $21,319.
Odell Fuller, Ropesville, $21,310.
Raymond Vardeman, Lubbock, $21,213.
Loyd W. Yowell, Brownfield, $21,202.
G. W. Henson, Jr., Brownfield, $21,180.
L. C. Culbert, Brownfield, $21,093.
A. O. Waters, Meadow, $21,027.
Johnny R. McKenzie, Brownfield, $21,019.
Herman Wheatley, Jr., Brownfield, $20,936.
J. Ed Crooks, Brownfield, $20,859.
Joe H. Henson, Brownfield, $20,776.
Calvin Booth, Brownfield, $20,666.
Homer Causseaux, Brownfield, $20,578.
Melvin Carroll, Brownfield, $20,466.
L. L. Howell, Brownfield, $20,330.
Howard Moorhead, Wellman, $20,307.
G. C. Bevers, Wellman, $20,271.
Earnest G. Hudson, Brownfield, $20,219.
Aubrey Puryear, Brownfield, $20,193.
Bennie Bevers, Brownfield, $20,061.
Total payees in county (162), $5,026,499.

Tom Green County

A. Phinney, Jr., Mereta, $38,550.
Ripple Brothers Part., San Angelo, $30,822.
B. R. Weatherford, Miles, $30,260.
Edwin J. Wilde, San Angelo, $28,697.
Leon Kiesling, San Angelo, $28,034.
Otto W. Dierschke, San Angelo, $24,121.
Dewey Parmer, Jr., Veribest, $23,356.
Dorval D. Lee, Eola, $22,619.
Elo F. Schwertner, San Angelo, $20,923.
Richard Book, Miles, $20,610.
Total payees in county (10), $267,992.

Travis County

Wilburn Burklund, Austin, $30,989.
Clyde Lundgren, Elgin, $26,223.
Timmerman & Hagn, Pflugerville, $25,118.
Edgar Graef, Austin, $24,946.
Total payees in county (4), $107,276.

Upton County

E. F. Noelke Ranch Co., Rankin, $28,247.
Robert Latzel, Midkiff, $22,377.
Total payees in county (2), $50,624.

Val Verde County

W. H. Wardlaw, Del Rio, $28,056.
Hutto Brothers, Del Rio, $27,116.

Double R Ranches, Del Rio, $24,204.
Total payees in county (3), $79,376.

Van Zandt County

Thurman J. Miles, Wills Point, $35,328.

Victoria County

Ben Rubac, Bloomington, $26,263.
William B. Bayer, Bloomington, $24,555.
Zas Lentz, Victoria, $22,084.
V. A. Rippamonti, Jr., Victoria, $25,249.
Total payees in county (4), $93,151.

Walker County

Texas Department of Corrections, Sugarland, $166,877.

Waller County

Frank Springer, Hempstead, $22,759.
Alfons Springer, Hempstead, $22,759.
Total payees in county (2), $45,518.

Ward County

Kenneth Rannefeld, Roscoe, $22,669.

Wharton County

Mahalitc Bros., Eagle Lake, $48,664.
Wendel, Inc., El Campo, $37,721.
W. D. McMillan, Wharton, $35,828.
R. D. Williams, Glen Flora, $30,371.
Lawrence Petersen, Danevang, $30,336.
Nilson Farms, El Campo, $29,618.
Lawrence Nilson, El Campo, $25,198.
Curtis H. Jensen, Danevang, $21,848.
Total payees in county (8), $259,584.

Wheeler County

J. M. Tindall, Twitty, $45,197.
A. W. Burrell, Twitty, $28,886.
W. W. Aaron, Shamrock, $25,299.
Cleo Grady Dodd, Mobeetie, $24,185.
W. V. Lister, Twitty, $23,065.
Raymond Moore, Twitty, $22,178.
J. T. Johnson, Wheeler, $21,519.
Irvin J. Hink, Wheeler, $21,297.
Total payees in county (8), $211,626.

Wichita County

Rayford Young, Burkburnett, $22,637.
Montgomery & Crumpler, Inc., West Falls, $20,804.
H. C. Preston, Est., Burkburnett, $20,153.
Total payees in county (3), $63,594.

Wilbarger County

W. T. Waggoner Trust Est., Vernon, $143,993.
John H. Turner, Wichita Falls, $36,715.
Robert H. Belew, Vernon, $29,319.
Roy W. Judd, Vernon, $28,167.
J. C. Riggins, Vernon, $21,831.
J. W. Overton, Oklaunion, $21,250.
Marshall K. Berry, Vernon, $20,598.
Total payees in county (7), $301,873.

Willacy County

Sebastian Cotton & Grain, Sebastian, $105,646.
K. L. & D. E. Morrow Ptns., Lyford, $99,373.
B. W. Kirsch, Raymondville, $95,005.
Daniel Gustafson Est., Harlingen, $75,218.
Alazan Farms, Harlingen, $73,359.
S. & S. Seed, Raymondville, $72,244.
Funk Farms, Inc., Harlingen, $67,211.
Alden Johnson, Lyford, $56,233.
Emil B. Lagerstam, Jr., Lyford, $52,704.
Adele M. Schmidt, Lyford, $52,391.
W. M. Dreyer, Raymondville, $49,477.
Wayne Labar, Harlingen, $48,085.
Chester A. Johnson, Lyford, $45,014.
O. L. & James Whitfield, Edcouch, $43,011.
A. C. Durivage, Lyford, $39,142.
W. Don Stone, Raymondville, $38,711.
Mildred Harbin, Harlingen, $35,826.
Roy Morrow, Lyford, $34,211.
S. R. & C. D. Stone Trust, Aransas Pass, $33,900.
Lewis A. Oaks, Lyford, $31,987.
John Wreden, Lyford, $30,087.
Virgil D. Oakes, Lyford, $28,549.
G. M. & C. Ring, Washington, D.C. $27,218.
F. G. Page, Raymondville, $27,127.
J. A. Pennington, Raymondville, $26,835.
D. C. & Ted Parham, Ptns, Raymondville, $26,122.
J. S. Bridwell, Est.., Lyford, $25,848.
G. F. McGee & Sons, Raymondville, $25,569.
D. V. & J. T. Mayo, Ptns, Raymondville, $24,616.
Weldon Haynes, Raymondville, $24,523.
Lloyd M. Funk, Raymondville, $24,278.
Monty Stewart, Lyford, $24,194.
Fred Klostermann, Raymondville, $23,837.
Fox Farms Ptns, Raymondville, $23,639.
Hoot Farms, Raymondville, $23,357.
Thomas J. Rains, Raymondville, $23,297.
Valley Landforming Co., Harlingen, $22,864.
James M. Lucas, Lyford, $22,450.
Cooley Farms, Lyford, $22,323.
Clarence R. Anderson, Lyford, $22,303.
Ralph Christian, Raymondville, $22,234.
Swanberg Twins, Raymondville, $22,183.
D. B. MacAllister, Raymondville, $22,016.
Jack Scogin, Lyford, $21,922.
W. F. Brooks, Raymondville, $20,004.
Total payees in county (45), $1,756,143.

Williamson County

Stiles Farm Foundation, Thrall, $51,950.
Telander Bros., Georgetown, $25,362.
Ed Carlson, Jr., Taylor, $21,403.
Jack Kauffman, Georgetown, $20,033.
Total payees in county (4), $118,748.

Yoakum County

R. G. Hartman, Plains, $64,624.
Wheeler Robertson, Idalou, $57,303.
Darwin Hobbs, Seagraves, $47,429.
Alton Billings, Seagraves, $46,941.
Truett F. Jones, Tokio, $46,729.
Gregg Farms, Ropesville, $43,163.
Robert A. Long, Seagraves, $41,759.
Russell S. Faulkenberry, Plains, $40,059.
W. R. Nelson, Seagraves, $38,244.
Olen Edwards, Plains, $36,446.
James W. Warren, Plains, $34,635.
E. B. Hicks, Seagraves, $34,454.
Rayford E. Bearden, Tokio, $32,475.
C. C. Perkins, Plains, $32,417.
Morris Lowe, Plains, $31,520.
Louis Eubanks, Plains, $30,809.
Tommy Billings, Seagraves, $28,713.
Wayside Gin, Inc., Lovington, $28,564.
Tom Warren, Jr., Plains, $28,313.
Albert Ware, Denver City, $27,811.
A. B. Williams, Tokio, $23,796.
W. M. Nelson, Seagraves, $26,440.
Homer T. Sudderth, Tokio, $25,910
John Avara, Seagraves, $25,739.
T. J. Bearden, Plains, $25,384.
Billy J. Smith, Lovington, $24,553.
Leon Lepard, Brownfield, $24,220.
T. A. Elmore, Tokio, $24,114.
Earl Kiser, Plains, $24,052.
A. B. Williams, Tokio, $23,796.
T. A. Hicks, Brownfield, $23,312.
E. D. Winkles, Plains, $22,854.
Don Williams, Plains, $22,695.
Barrow Blai, Plains, $22,413.
C. Loran Gayle, Bronco, $22,050.
Wendell Norman, Plains, $21,938.
Wesley Gunter, Plains, $21,427.
Forrest D. Spencer, Tokio, $21,247.
Kent Welch, Plains, $20,436.
Wallace Randolph, Plains, $20,003.
Total payees in county (40), $1,241,443.

Young County

F. Dwight Hamilton, Jr., Olney, $24,601.
Total payee in county (1), $24,691.

Zavala County

Norment Foley, Uvalde, $119,201.
Richie Bros., Crystal City, $55,143.
Newden Farm, Batesville, $44,646.
Leslie H. Laffere, Batesville, $40,978.
Herbert F. Dirksen, Uvalde, $38,938.
Batesville Farming, Batesville, $38,320.
Malcolm A. Maedgen, Jr., Crystal City, $38,169.
Evans Wortham, Houston, $33,905.
Hardin Farm, Batesville, $29,996.
J. D. Lambert, Jr., Uvalde, $27,306.
Harold Lloyd, Batesville, $26,360.
Robert L. Jacobs, Batesville, $25,624.
Two L Farms, Inc., Uvalde, $25,518.
R. C. Campbell, Uvalde, $24,347.
Felton Fitch, Uvalde, $22,943.
Oscar W. Vickery, Uvalde, $21,236.
Warren Wagner, Crystal City, $21,097.
Herman F. Watson, Uvalde, $20,717.
James A. Brewster, Uvalde, $20,417.
Total payees in County (19), $674,861.
Total payees in State, (5,030), $171,638,774.

UTAH

Box Elder County

D. Rigby Family Partnership, Logan, $55,787.
Delbert Holmoren, Bear River City, $34,491.
Norman Grover, Brigham City, $29,671.
Wayne & Dallas Sandall, Tremonton, $29,634.
Oleen Garn & Sons, Garland, $25,512.
Laurence G. Whitney, Tremonton, $24,862.
Selman & Goring, Trementon, $23,761.
Cassia Graz Association, Burley, $23,079.
Holmgren Bros., Bear River City, $21,755.
Nick Chournos, Tremonton, $20,694.
Total payees in county (10), $289,246.

Cache County

Lee & Leona Pritchett, Logan, $21,546.

Carbon County

Calvin K. Jacob, Orem, $27,943.

Daggett County

J. R. Broadbent, Evanston, $68,832.

Millard County

Stephensons, Inc., Holden, $25,300.
Taft Paxton, Kanosh, $24,871.
Total payees in county (2), $50,171.

Salt Lake County

Deseret Lvst. Co., Inc., Salt Lake, $86,734.
Thousand Peaks Lvstk. Co., Salt Lake, $41,497.
Elmer P. Bastian, Midvale, $26,081.
Thayne Acord, Salt Lake, $24,464.
Leo M. Beriagnole, Salt Lake, $24,072.
Basin Land & Livestock Co., Salt Lake, $21,911.
Total payees in county (6), $224,759.

San Juan County

Redd Ranches, Lasal, $44,502.
C. Alfred Frost, Monticello, $20,131.
Total payees in county (2), $64,633.

Utah County

Garn Holbrook, Lehi, $27,785.
Elberta Farms Inc., Riverton, $23,847.
Total payees in county (2), $51,632.

Wasatch County

Smith Lamb Producers, Salt Lake, $20,858.

Washington County

E. J. Graff, Hurricane, $56,693.

Weber County

Lloyd Keller Corp., Ogden, $27,254.
Total payees in State (28), $903,567.

VIRGINIA

Chesapeake County

V. L. Whedbee, Chesapeake, $24,887.

Greensville County

Moses L. Hawthorne, Emporia, $30,194.
William A. Robinson, Skippers, $24,364.
Geo. B. Ligon, Skippers, $21,679.
Total payees in county (3), $76,237.

Hanover County

Kreynus Bros., Mechanicsville, $20,177.

Scott County

Bruce K. Robinette, Big Stone Gap, $150,000.

Southampton County

C. E. Moore, Emporia, $29,576.

Virginia Beach County

Frank T. Williams, Virginia Beach, $49,481.
Malbon Brothers, Virginia Beach, $41,292.
Norris Shirley, Virginia Beach, $20,517.
Total payees in county (3), $111,290.
Total payees in State (10), $412,167.

WASHINGTON

Adams County

D. E. Phillips, Lind, $101,203.
Leonard & Henry Franz, Lind, $64,417.

Hutterian Brethren, Inc., Espanola, $59,408.
Robert V. Phillips, Lind, $56,433.
Donald Damon Est., Warden, $53,253.
Ralph Gering & Sons, Ritzville, $48,960.
Gilbert Brothers, Othello, $42,998.
J. Boyd Phillips, Lind, $40,192.
Robert A. Franz, Ritzville, $40,072.
Richard L. Kagele, Ritzville, $39,302.
Arthur Johnson, Cunningham, $35,420.
Walter E. Franz, Lind, $32,067.
Elwyn Lyle, Cunningham, $31,215.
Rex T. Lyle, Ritzville, $31,212.
Ed McKay, Othello, $29,824.
Dwayne Blankenship, Washtucna, $29,288.
Baumann Farm, Inc., Washtucna, $27,786.
Wayne F. Klindworth, Connell, $26,819.
M. C. Hollenback, Washtucna, $26,747.
Elmer Schoesler and Sons, Ritzville, $26,512.
George O. Pence, Lind, $25,024.
Hennings Enterprises, Inc., Ritzville, $24,924.
Walter Jantz, Ritzville, $24,813.
Kummer Bros., Othello, $23,728.
Kent Farms, Othello, $23,532.
Hugh V. Glenn, Othello, $23,518.
Eugene Bicart, Othello, $23,153.
Williams and Williams, Lind, $22,668.
Nick C. Seivers, Lind, $21,582.
Floyd W. Maier, Lind, $20,906.
Clarence Strohmaier, Lind, $20,827.
Donald S. Miller, Lind, $20,786.
Homer Evans, Ralston, $20,632.
Norman Kagele, Ritzville, $20,381.
Kenny Foulkes, Lind, $20,316.
David A. Davis, Lind, $20,297.
Moeller Ranch, Ritzville, $20,252.
James Kagele, Ritzville, $20,238.
Total payees in county (38), $1,240,705.

Asotin County

Houser & Son, Inc., Clarkston, $22,484.
Ralph W. Wilson, Pomeroy, $20,077.
Total payees in county (2), $42,561.

Benton County

Mercer Ranches Inc., Prosser, $57,166.
Vollmer-Bayne, Prosser, $50,334.
Bi County Farms, Prosser, $47,319.
Gould Brothers, Prosser, $43,831.
Bateman Bros., Kennewick, $39,527.
Horrigan Inv. Co., Phoenix, $36,467.
Horrigan Farms, Pasco, $32,932.
Jack B. Dennis, Vancouver, $32,841.
Simmelink & Sons, Kennewick, $27,456.
Wirth Brothers, Prosser, $25,644.
Emerson L. Eby, Kennewick, $24,237.
Steve Smith Farms Inc., Prosser, $22,430.
William S. Pearson, Prosser, $22,047.
Henry Smith, Kennewick, $20,852.
Carl C. Moore, Prosser, $20,574.
Harry G. Owens Ranch Inc., Kennewick, $20,542.
Ice Brothers, Prosser, $20,390.
Total payees in county (17), $544,589.

Columbia County

Broughton Land Co., Dayton, $156,795.
Mead Ranch, Dayton, $39,448.
Dewey Donohue & Sons, Dayton, $31,105.
Wilfred Thorn, Dayton, $29,842.
Dallas W. Long, Dayton, $28,522.
Eslick Farms, Dayton, $27,272.
David Archer, Dayton, $25,534.
Herres Brothers, Pomeroy, $23,736.
Ellsworth Conover, Waitsburg, $23,081.
Gerald Vollmer, Waitsburg, $23,067.
James D. Archer, Waitsburg, $22,823.
Robert Patton, Waitsburg, $22,685.
Jack Penner, Dayton, $22,462.
James Hanger, Dayton, $22,083.
Warren Talbott, Dayton, $20,813.
R. F. Young, Starbuck, $20,600.
Darin Heady, Dayton, $20,207.
Total payees in county (17), $560,075.

Douglas County

State of WA., Ephrata, $191,508.
Russell Hunt, Ephrata, $31,416.
Josh Barnes & Son, Waterville, $31,097.
Daling Farms Inc., Waterville, $29,869.
Doneen Estate, Wenatchee, $26,353.
Nelson Brothers, Waterville, $25,370.
David V. Adams, Coulee City, $22,918.
Sachs Farms Inc., Wenatchee, $22,324.
D. G. Davis, Coulee City, $21,697.
Ronald Dezellem, Bridgeport, $21,149.
E. John Isaak, Coulee City, $20,216.
Total payees in county (11), $443,917.

Franklin County

Wm. Geo. Harder, Kahlotus, $47,095.
Herron Bros., Connell, $44,093.
Kenneth Owsley, Walla Walla, $34,480.
William V. Casper, Mesa, $32,969.
Lee H. Stoker, Mesa, $32,864.
Lyle W. Neff Inc., Pasco, $32,456.
J. H. Klundt & Sons, Pasco, $32,044.
Melvin Moore and Sons, Kahlotus, $31,552.
Maynard Bailie, Mesa, $28,451.
Harry Kawauchi, Mesa, $27,116.
E. C. Loeber, Connell, $26,236.
Taylor Brothers Farms Inc., Mesa, $24,281.
Delbert Wisdom, Mesa, $23,153.
Wilbur Dent Jr., Pasco, $22,191.
Francis Havlina, Connell, $22,103.
Lyle Taylor, Mesa, $21,989.
Lloyd K. Cochrane, Kahlotus, $21,732.
Bauermeister Brothers, Connell, $20,742.
Joe A. Roberts, Connell, $20,423.
James D. Moore, Kahlotus, $20,032.
Total payees in county (20), $566,002.

Garfield County

Klaveano Ranches, Inc., Thornton, $40,303.
Wayne Beale, Pomeroy, $32,115.
C. C. Wolf Sons, Pomeroy, $24,408.
A. E. Blachly, Pomeroy, $24,228.
Gary Duckworth, Pomeroy, $24,176.
Robert Heitstuman, Pomeroy, $21,551.
Pearl Gwinn, Pomeroy, $21,023.
Total payees in county (7), $187,804.

Grant County

David Stevens, Wilson Creek, $56,165.
Phillip Villegas, Royal City, $41,875.
Gene Kagele, Ritzville, $37,203.
Leslie H. Boyce, Quincy, $36,919.
Higginbotham Brothers, Hartline, $35,946.
S. and C. Ranching, Warden, $33,557.
Lourence Dormaier, Marlin, $33,516.
Kelley Brothers, Hartline, $31,337.
Paul H. Landis, Moscow, $30,081.
Art Schorzman, Quincy, $29,714.
Lars Hansen, Wilson Creek, $28,654.
James D. Stevens, Moses Lake, $28,104.
Columbia Producers, Warden, $27,519.
H. N. Boger, Hartline, $26,698.
C. C. Claassen, Marlin, $24,789.
Agatha Keener, Almira, $24,611.
Willard D. Lange, Ephrata, $24,119.
Robert R. Nelson, Hartline, $23,700.
Earl Heathman, Hartline, $23,363.
Al Fountain, Wilson Creek, $23,286.
Robert A. Hammond, Quincy, $22,912.
Senkler Brothers, Hartline, $22,822.
Dick Edwards, Hartline, $22,447.
Bob Zimmerman, Almira, $22,310.
Delbert Rice, Quincy, $22,144.
Thomas Fiess, Marlin, $22,133.
Robert Schell, Marlin, $21,669.
Johnnie Hughes, Almira, $21,613.
Robert E. Dingman, Hartline, $21,433.
William Huddle, Moses Lake, $20,769.
Ellis W. Leavitt, Warden, $20,395.
Jerry Escure, Quincy, $20,219.
Total payees in county (32), $882,022.

Kittitas County

Cone Livestock Co., Ellensburg, $42,922.

Klickitat County

Robert Andrews, Prosser, $31,175.
Robert Imrie, Roosevelt, $26,489.
Mattson Morris & Wheelhouse, Goldendale, $21,031.
McBride Ranches, Inc., Bickleton, $20,216.
Total payees in county (4), $98,911.

Lincoln County

A. Bodeau and Sons, Wilbur, $44,240.
L. Sheffels & Son, Inc., Wilbur, $36,712.
Rockdale Farms/P/, Edwall, $33,276.
S. and E. Barr Ranch, Edwall, $32,517.
The Sheffels Co., Inc., Wilbur, $31,590.
Wilbur Security Co., Wilbur, $28,677.
George H. Ellis, Reardan, $28,208.
Robert A. Kramer, Harrington, $28,120.
Tanke Farms, Inc., Mohler, $27,457.
Lyka Corp., Reardan, $27,345.
Attie L. Turner, Harrington, $26,479.
Pfeifer Brothers/P/, Marlin, $26,046.
Lewis Kagele, Odessa, $24,464.
K. C. Stack, Edwall, $24,463.
Schafer Farms, Inc., Odessa, $23,476.
The Scheffels Co., Inc., Wilbur, $23,150.
Llewellyn Frms, Inc., Wilbur, $21,813.
W. L. Gilliland, Lafayette, $21,691.
Edna Deptuch, Odessa, $21,482.
Robert Rieth, Sprague, $21,470.
Robert Deife, Spokane, $21,180.
Herbert L. Armstrong, Harrington, $21,047.
H. D. Peterson and Sons, Almira, $20,104.
Total payees in county (23), $615,007.

Okanogan County

Oroville Cattle Co., Inc., Oroville, $21,309.

Spokane County

Osborne Belsby, Amber, $41,934.
Cornwall Farms, Fairfield, $26,763.
Henry J. Clausen, Rosalia, $26,512.
Bell & Bell, Inc., Rockford, $24,017.
Ross R. Edwards, Espanola, $22,991.
Miller Bros., Sprague, $21,735.
Delegans Bros., Spokane, $21,202.
Total payees in county (7), $185,154.

Walla Walla County

K2H Farms, Inc., Prescott, $183,796.
Cecil R. Anderson, Prescott, $54,536.
Lonneker Farms, Inc., Walla Walla, $52,599.
Kenneth Smith, Waitsburg, $49,921.
Grote Farms, Inc., Prescott, $46,006.
Twain Bodmer, Walla Walla, $41,950.
Kent Land Co., Inc., Walla Walla, $40,386.
John H. Rea, Touchet, $39,990.
Martin Farms, Inc., Walla Walla, $39,516.
Matt Lyons, Waitsburg, $36,255.
Foundation Farm, Inc., Walla Walla, $34,415.
Robison L. and L. Co., Walla Walla, $33,891.
Erwin Bros., Prescott, $33,717.
Schwerin Farms, Inc., Walla Walla, $33,510.
Mervin Deruwe, Prescott, $33,170.
Phillip Anderson, Walla Walla, $30,475.
Dwelley Jones, Walla Walla, $29,942.
Robert D. Frazier, Walla Walla, $29,511.
Fred Sherry, Prescott, $29,214.
Gar Ran, Inc., Walla Walla, $28,920.
Marvin Tucker, Walla Walla, $28,919.
H. Vincent and W. Johnson, Walla Walla, $28,841.
Henry V. Zuger, Wattsburg, $28,525.
Fred J. Kimball, Walla Walla, $28,470.
John E. Hair, Walla Walla, $28,328.
S. Earl Cochran, Prescott, $28,036.
Frank Leroux, Walla Walla, $27,362.
Allen D. Struthers, Prescott, $27,225.
Stonecipher & Sons, Inc., Wattsburg, $26,951.
Eugene Valaer, Walla Walla, $26,941.
Tucker Farms, Inc., Walla Walla, $26,651.
Frank Hart, Prescott, $26,424.
Hofer Bros., Prescott, $26,151.
Emeral Duncan, Waitsburg, $25,353.
John S. Anderson, Waitsburg, $23,251.
F. Munns, Walla Walla, $23,079.
K & R Walters, Walla Walla, $22,966.
Casey Farms, Inc., Prescott, $22,955.
Thelma M. Owsley, Walla Walla, $22,713.
Harvey Farms, Inc., Walla Walla, $22,635.
Richard Archer, Waitsburg, $22,223.
Terry Tucker, Walla Walla, $21,689.
Donald Tucker, Walla Walla, $21,689.
Morris Ganguet, Waitsburg, $21,539.
Don Thomas, Waitsburg, $21,451.
Houstan H. Marshall, Walla Walla, $21,164.
G. Byron Dague, Walla Walla, $20,663.
Wallace Evans, Walla Walla, $20,653.
Tompkins & Sons, Walla Walla, $20,500.
David E. Cox, Walla Walla, $20,424.
Ray L. Small, Jr., Lowden, $20,165.

Albert F. Kibler and Son, Waitsburg, $20,131.
Total payees in county (52), $1,675,787.

Whitman County

Glen Miller, Colfax, $108,577.
McGregor Land & Livestock Co., Hooper, $95,360.
Harold Boyd, Pullman, $49,626.
Richard E. Despain, Winona, $45,580.
Ira Scott, Lacrosse, $37,805.
Maley Land & Livestock Co., Thornton, $37,094.
Urgel Bell, Lacrosse, $36,881.
Palouse Grassland Inc., Spokane, $36,055.
Bennett Land Co., Colfax, $34,546.
James Knott, Endicott, $33,461.
M. E. Davis, Moscow, $32,869.
John G. Schlomer, Benge, $32,741.
Clark Farms c/o Asa Clark, Pullman, $32,668.
R. Paul Cocking, Colfax, $31,944.
Hinderer Bros., Pullman, $31,549.
William H. Evans, Lacrosse, $31,342.
Henry Fisher, Belmont, $30,395.
Herbert Camp & Sons, Lacrosse, $30,200.
Nelson Bros., Thornton, $29,958.
E. C. Hay & Sons, Inc., Tekoa, $29,509.
Robert Whitmore, Pullman, $28,882.
Harold H. Smick, Endicott, $28,733.
Donald C. Moore, Endicott, $28,453.
Glorfield Bros., Jack & Benny, St. John, $28,147.
Lester J. Kile, Thornon, $27,460.
Lehn Bros., Farmington, $26,739.
Jackson W. Smith, Inc., St. John, $26,655.
Ralph Kilpatrick, Oakesdale, $26,380.
James R. Davis, St. John, $26,262.
Crow Farms, Inc., Oakesdale, $25,761.
Virgil Klaveano, Thornton, $24,900.
Pioneer Stock & Grain Farm, Inc., Hay, $24,476.
Gary Cochran, Pullman, $24,279.
Curtis Cattle Co., Garfield, $24,245.
Roy Sodorff, Colton, $23,521.
Joe Myers, Colfax, $23,487.
Edgar L. Smith, St. John, $23,230.
Raymond and Robert Heglar, St. John, $21,938.
Ivar Nelson, St. John, $21,910.
David Gfeller, Colfax, $21,843.
Norman Willson, Colfax, $21,787.
Curtis F. White, Jr., Lamont, $21,673.
Fleming Bros., Lacrosse, $21,437.
Calvin Raugust, Farmington, $21,147.
John H. Miller & Sons, Inc., St. John, $20,748.
S. P. Rambo, Farmington, $20,552.
Jacobs Farms, Uniontown, $20,521.
Harp Bros., Farmington, $20,148.
Lester Camp, Lacrosse, $20,086.
Total payees in county (49), $1,523,560.

Yakima County

U & I Sugar Co., Toppenish, $45,758.
Harold R. Clayton, Toppenish, $42,230.
F. T. Gamble, Toppenish, $32,074.
Virgil Feezell, Mabton, $29,744.
Ralph Mains, Mabton, $24,645.
W. B. Pace, Jr., White Swan, $24,141.
Simon Martinez, Sunnyside, $22,893.
Merrritt Fines, Moxee City, $22,786.
James Sthilaire, Wapato, $22,677.
Leo Schmitt, Yakima, $20,986.
Gordon Stover, Harrah, $20,405.
Total payees in county (11), $308,339.
Total payees in State (292), $8,938,664.

WISCONSIN

Columbia County

L. J. Kaasa, Columbus, $20,131.

Dane County

Stoughton Farms, Inc., Stoughton, $43,310.
William F. Renk and Sons, Inc., Sun Prairie, $32,278.
Vasby Farms, Cambridge, $28,357.
Total payees in county (3), $103,945.

Dodge County

Willard Nehls, Juneau, $49,147.

Fond du Lac County

Flying Dollar Ranch, Oakfield, $24,246.

Milwaukee County

Norbert Verhalen, Oak Creek, $30,001.

Pierce County

Elizabeth Jacques, Prescott, $22,273.

Racine County

Charles H. Kuiper, Union Grove, $49,897.
Dennis Kramer, Union Grove, $21,433.
Total payees in county (2), $71,330.

Rock County

Stuart Rahberg, Clinton, $105,351.
K. E. Sherman, Durand, $37,615.
Stuart Shadel, Jr., Avalon, $32,618.
Norman Hatlen, Evansville, $21,885.
Paul Luety, Clinton, $21,539.
C. Robert Hill, Janesville, $21,096.
Ogden Inman, Clinton, $20,879.
Total payees in county (7), $260,983.

Walworth County

Henry Sterken, Delavan, $29,573.
Polyock Brothers, Zenda, $23,856.
Donald Hopkins, Delavan, $20,155.
Total payees in county (3), $73,584.
Total payees in State (20), $655,640.

WYOMING

Big Horn County

Harry Grabbert, Emblem, $53,624.
C. A. Lewis Estate, Cowley, $48,303.
Total payees in county (2), $101,927.

Carbon County

Anderson Farms, Inc., Encampment, $57,014.
Palm Livestock Co., Elk Mountain, $46,431.
Rochelle Livestock Co., Rawlins, $46,288.
Buzzard Ranch, Casper, $36,586.
Louis Larsen Sheep Co., Inc., Rawlins, $28,710.
Leo Sheep Co., Rawlins, $28,167.
P. H. Livestock Co., Rawlins, $24,372.
Stratton Sheep Co., Rawlins, $22,948.
Sandstone Sheep Co., Rawlins, $22,205.
Cronberg Bros., Inc., Medicine Bow, $21,879.
Elmer G. Peterson, Rawlins, $20,687.
Total payees in county (11), $355,287.

Converse County

Morton S., Inc., Douglas, $77,222.
Ogalalla Ranch Co., Douglas, $23,252.
The Dilts Co., Douglas, $22,565.
Smith Sheep Co., Douglas, $22,302.
Total payees in county (4), $145,341.

Fremont County

Fremont Sheep Co., Inc., Riverton, $42,177.

Goshen County

L. T. Lovercheck, Gering, $27,678.

Hot Springs County

L. U. Sheep Co., Worland, $29,567.

Johnson County

Harriet Brothers, Buffalo, $41,536.
Esponda Ranch, Buffalo, $27,186.
Iberlin Brothers, Buffalo, $24,479.
Marion Brothers, Buffalo, $23,990.
Van Irvine, Midwest, $20,692.
Total payees in county (5), $137,883.

Laramie County

Warren Livestock, Cheyenne, $52,920.
Leroy Goertz, Wheatland, $25,317.
Henry Jessen, Bluffs, $25,158.
Leo Jessen Wyobraska Inc., Oshkosh, $24,344.
John F. Jessen, Pine Bluffs, $20,751.
Total payees in county (5), $148,490.

Lincoln County

Moon Livestock Co., Cokeville, $26,168.
Etcheverry Sheep Co., Cokeville, $20,623.
L. W. Roberts, Cokeville, $20,025.
Total payees in county (3), $66,816.

Natrona County

Diamond Ring Ranch, Casper, $44,363.
Coffman Ranch Co., Casper, $38,262.
Irvine Brothers, Casper, $34,080.
O. B. Forgey, Casper, $32,236.
Shamrock Ranch Co., Casper, $21,663.
Burke Sheep Co., Casper, $20,476.
Total payees in county (6), $191,080.

Niobrara County

Boner Brothers, Hat Creek, $45,144.
Pfister Trust Wyo. Lusk, $25,591.
Glenn Hanson, Edgemont, $25,486.
Total payees in county (3), $96,221.

Park County

Coy Lee Baker, Powell, $21,710.

Sheridan County

Seven Up Ranches, Inc., Arvada, $24,784.

Sweetwater County

G. & E. Livestock, Inc., Rock Springs, $46,-684.
Midland Livestock Co., Rock Spring, $43,-919.
Blair & Hay Land & LS Co., Rock Springs, $35,618.
Green River LS Co., Green River, $29,064.
Magagna Brothers, Rock Springs, $26,656.
Bar X Sheep Co., Rock Springs, $24,681.
Mau Livestock Co., Inc., Rock Springs, $24,-405.
Isaac N. Brooks, Rock Springs, $22,409.
Rife Ranch, Rock Springs, $21,697.
Total payees in county (9), $275,133.

Uinta County

Victor Powers, Lyman, $20,945.

Washakie County

Coutis Land & Lvstk Co., Worland, $36,591.
Total payees in State (55), $1,721,630.

EXEMPTION FOR OLDER WORKERS FROM SOCIAL SECURITY TAX

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. McKevitt) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McKEVITT. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a bill which would amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 and title II of the Social Security Act to provide a full exemption for older workers from the social security tax.

My proposed bill would make OASDHI employee taxes inapplicable to individuals who are 65 years of age or over. An equivalent exemption is provided for senior citizens who are self-employed.

Mr. Speaker, under this proposed bill our senior citizens who choose to work after retirement age would be given a measure of tax relief by not being required to pay social security taxes on their earnings.

There is little fairness or equity in the present situation. Under present law, a person can pay into social security all of his working life only to find that when he reaches the retirement age of 65, he will still be paying into the program if he continues to work.

The working senior citizen thus is forced to pay a double penalty. On the one hand his social security benefit can be reduced if he chooses to work, while on the other hand he is required to continue to pay for a program in which he is vested.

Mr. Speaker, this is hardly a fair situation and our senior citizens deserve a better break than they are getting. This bill would not end all of the inequities in the social security system but it will at least provide some tax relief for the working senior citizen. Coupled with some other proposed liberalizations in the retirement test under social security, I believe this proposed legislation will be a step toward equity and fairness.

The bill follows:

H.R. —

A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 and title II of the Social Security Act to provide a full exemption (through credit or refund) from the employees' tax under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act, and an equivalent reduction in the self-employment tax, in the case of individuals who have attained age 65

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 1401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (relating to rate of tax on self-employment income) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(except as provided in subsection (c))" after "every individual" in subsections (a) and (b); and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR INDIVIDUALS AGE 65 AND OVER.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to an individual who has attained age 65 before the beginning of the taxable year involved—

"(A) the rate of the tax under subsection (a) shall (in lieu of the rate set forth in the applicable paragraph of such subsection) be—

"(i) 2.3 percent in the case of a taxable year beginning after December 31, 1970, and before January 1, 1973; and

"(ii) 2.0 percent in the case of a taxable year beginning after December 31, 1972; and

"(B) the rate of the tax under subsection (b) shall be zero.

"(2) TAX FOR YEAR IN WHICH AGE 65 IS ATTAINED.—With respect to an individual who attains age 65 during the taxable year involved, the tax under subsection (a) or (b) shall be the sum of—

"(A) the tax determined under such subsection on the portion of the self-employment income for such taxable year which is allocable under regulations prescribed by the Secretary or his delegate to months (in such year) before the month in which he attains such age, plus

"(B) the tax determined under paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B) (as the case may be) of this subsection on the portion of the self-employment income for such taxable year which is allocable under such regulations to the month in which he attains such age and subsequent months (in such year)."

SEC. 2. Section 3101 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (relating to rate of tax on employees under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR INDIVIDUALS AGE 65 AND OVER.—An individual who pays any tax under subsection (a) or (b) on wages received in or after the month in which he attains age 65 shall be entitled to a credit or refund of such tax, as provided in section 6413(d)."

SEC. (a)(1) Section 6413 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (special rules applicable to certain employment taxes) is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e), and by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

"(d) SPECIAL REFUNDS BASED ON AGE.—If an employee receives wages from one or more employers in or after the month in which he attains age 65, such employee shall be entitled (subject to the provisions of section 31(b)) to a credit or refund of any amount of tax, with respect to such wages, imposed by section 3101 and deducted from his wages (whether or not paid to the Secretary or his delegate)."

(2) Section 6413(c) of such Code (relating to special refunds) is amended—

(A) by inserting "Based on Multiple Employment" after "Refunds" in the heading; and

(B) by inserting after "during such year" where it appears in clause (D) of paragraph (1) the following: "(reduced by any part thereof with respect to which subsection (d) of this section is applicable)".

(b) Section 31(b)(1) of such Code (relating credit for special refunds of social security tax) is amended by inserting "or 6413(d)" after "section 6413(c)".

SEC. 4. (a) Section 201(g)(2) of the Social Security Act is amended by inserting "or 6413(d)" after "section 6413(c)" in the first sentence.

(b) Section 1817(f)(1) of such Act is amended by inserting "or 6413(d)" after "section 6413(c)".

SEC. 5. The amendments made by the first section of this Act shall apply only with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1970. The amendments made by sections 2 and 3 of this Act shall apply only with respect to wages received after December 31, 1970.

EDUCATION REVENUE SHARING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. QUIE), is recognized for 15 minutes.

Mr. QUIE. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing a bill to carry out President Nixon's proposal for special revenue sharing for education. I think it is a very exciting proposal—a boldly innovative approach to the problem of making Federal programs genuinely effective at the State and local level.

Mr. Speaker, many of us in this House in both parties have been working for years to consolidate the unduly proliferated Federal grant programs in education. Two years ago, in the House version of H.R. 514, we moved a long way in that direction with respect to putting together five virtually identical State-grant programs in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act and the National Defense Education Act. Unfortunately, we retained very little of this progress following action by the other body.

The President moves even farther, in keeping with the needs of the time. His proposal—as embodied in the bill I am introducing—would consolidate 34 existing programs into a single act divided into five broad areas of national concern. It is not a perfect proposal in every single detail—I have yet to see a perfect bill—but it is a timely and much-needed proposal which deserves careful consideration by our Committee on Education and Labor, and by this Congress at the earliest possible date.

Mr. Speaker, I see no reason why President Nixon's plan to free our school systems of overlapping, unnecessary, and burdensome redtape and administrative detail should not have solid bipartisan support in this Congress. I believe that the Congress in working its will can improve upon this proposal, but I think it is imperative that we use it as the basis of a far-reaching reform of the whole structure of Federal aid to elementary and secondary education.

Certainly such reform is long overdue. Currently the U.S. Office of Education alone administers 107 grant programs under 78 different legislative authorities. This patchwork of Federal programs requires that State and local school officials devote a high percentage of their time to figuring out Federal guidelines and filling out Federal applications. Flexible responses to the individual needs of their students are stifled as they try to fit educational programs into Federally constructed compartments.

One area of national concern—supporting materials and services—shows how ridiculous Federal categorical aid has become. Twelve existing Federal programs are proposed to be merged into a single area of assistance. Each of the 12 carries with it its own planning, reporting, and applications requirements. Each program distributes money among the States on the basis of some count of student population. Each provides that funds be distributed within the State by the State educational agency, according to the State's unique needs. The program would be shaped by State needs rather than Federal dictates.

Freed from most of the existing paperwork, Federal and State officials would be able to spend a far greater proportion of their time on technical assistance to local school districts which sought such help. There is a great deal of expertise, both in our State educational agencies and in the U.S. Office of Education. All too often, this expertise is wasted as paper-processing becomes of primary importance in program administration. Elimination of the bulk of the paperwork would allow our schools to take advantage of the educational competence of all these people who are bogged down in unnecessary and unproductive administrative tasks.

Mr. Speaker, there is another and closely related reason why we should support President Nixon in this matter. The American people are making an enormous effort to support their schools. The Federal budget for the Office of Education programs—which are only a fraction of the total Federal programs in education—is $6.1 billion for fiscal 1972—the highest level in history and the largest increase in any year in that budget. Overall, public elementary and secondary school expenditures this year will exceed $40 billion. Local governments, with few exceptions, are reaching or have reached the limit of their ability to raise taxes for the schools. While taxpayers do not find it one bit easier to write a check for Federal taxes than they do for State taxes, my point is that we desperately need to start making a more effective use of every tax dollar spent on education. People do not want to pay for unnecessary administrative redtape and they ought not to be asked to do so. Every dollar freed through reform such as the President proposes can be spent for the real purpose of education—the preparation of children to take a productive place in our society.

I am one of those who despite our enormous outlays for education believe that we should spend more in this field in the future—but I do not want to see a penny of it wasted if we can find ways to avoid waste. The avoidance of waste of precious financial resources is a major benefit which would flow from the President's proposal.

I am appending to this statement some tables of current and proposed appropriations, a section-by-section analysis of the bill, together with a fact sheet giving a capsule overview of the proposal.

The tables reflect all funds under the affected programs which flow to States on the basis of an automatic formula. The Commissioner's 15 percent set-aside in title III ESEA is not included. Neither are the Commissioner's discretionary portions of parts C and D of the Vocational Education Act. It is intended that activities currently conducted under these authorities be funded in the future under the broad authority of the Cooperative Research Act.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all those in the Congress who share my deep interest in education to give this proposal the serious attention and careful consideration it merits.

The material follows:

CONSOLIDATION 1971 DATA

	School lunch	Non-food	St. Adm.	SAFA— A	SAFA— B	ESEA— IA	ESEA— IB	ESEA— IC	ESEA— II	ESEA— III	NDEA III	FSEA V	ESEA VI	Voc. Ed.	Adult Ed.	EPDA	Total 1971 appropriation
Alabama	5,742	259	51	787	6,963	41,856	0	275	1,322	2,133	1,178	525	642	9,133	1,353	260	72,479
Alaska	198	25	13	15,654	3,042	2,078	19	26	113	470	89	244	200	855	142	114	23,282
Arizona	1,542	119	20	7,301	4,002	10,687	217	140	681	1,183	524	369	253	4,118	419	182	31,757
Arkansas	3,237	144	26	991	1,805	25,842	0	150	716	1,302	629	385	385	5,066	786	187	41,601
California	6,565	1,040	65	25,398	50,453	115,074	135	1,435	7,683	10,493	3,712	1,911	2,696	31,464	2,423	1,030	261,576
Colorado	1,894	140	20	3,016	9,446	10,420	110	164	870	1,367	551	411	321	4,581	300	205	33,816
Connecticut	1,543	324	19	1,681	2,541	13,019	0	216	1,150	1,769	491	451	416	4,759	560	239	29,178
Delaware	467	36	12	13	358	2,975	0	43	221	591	128	263	200	1,181	172	127	6,787
Florida	6,693	418	50	4,817	12,114	36,616	13	458	2,217	3,472	1,531	718	828	12,909	1,308	368	84,530
Georgia	7,766	359	47	1,281	11,160	41,467	0	362	1,738	2,711	1,417	625	767	11,778	1,714	1,310	83,511
Hawaii	1,077	59	13	5,442	4,502	3,475	0	63	308	721	220	281	200	1,986	273	137	18,757
Idaho	802	53	9	1,130	1,945	3,482	62	58	288	687	236	283	260	2,064	160	135	11,594
Illinois	5,015	626	43	4,639	9,473	58,722	0	818	4,276	6,099	2,085	1,058	1,674	17,020	1,849	618	114,015
Indiana	4,126	327	29	927	3,025	18,692	15	393	2,053	2,965	1,266	663	888	9,958	705	349	46,331
Iowa	3,237	199	26	88	1,835	16,311	435	214	1,153	1,704	685	460	487	5,758	339	240	33,171
Kansas	2,033	168	20	3,759	5,401	10,989	13	175	876	1,467	585	410	381	4,973	308	206	31,764
Kentucky	4,982	233	46	54	3,521	37,843	0	245	1,207	1,933	979	476	573	8,308	1,149	246	61,795
Louisiana	7,093	314	48	617	2,557	37,145	256	308	1,531	2,295	1,285	537	642	9,526	1,599	285	66,038
Maine	991	118	14	1,925	1,507	6,156	85	73	402	806	279	303	200	2,532	219	149	15,759
Maryland	2,304	164	26	2,884	21,715	20,979	78	289	1,516	2,221	867	535	555	7,123	764	284	62,304
Massachusetts	4,135	766	29	4,779	8,849	26,474	0	390	2,076	3,059	1,036	628	844	9,246	938	351	63,600
Michigan	4,341	897	29	3,241	2,247	47,523	946	697	3,765	5,040	2,202	1,002	1,427	16,002	1,248	556	91,163
Minnesota	4,067	263	35	959	2,488	22,612	946	296	1,606	2,235	1,024	548	621	7,678	435	295	46,108
Mississippi	4,784	240	47	973	1,640	43,627	142	195	925	1,550	833	432	449	6,382	1,054	212	63,485
Missouri	4,335	242	30	2,093	6,251	28,038	0	342	1,873	2,643	1,096	608	722	9,399	926	327	58,925
Montana	537	87	9	3,489	1,517	4,094	150	56	293	680	221	281	200	1,919	173	135	13,841
Nebraska	1,385	125	12	2,840	2,580	8,152	122	111	589	1,047	368	339	245	3,206	239	171	21,531
Nevada	156	53	3	1,319	2,057	1,211	0	36	189	544	101	261	200	1,017	127	123	7,397
New Hampshire	572	83	12	834	1,802	1,973	0	53	275	665	179	271	200	1,695	169	133	8,416
New Jersey	2,400	879	24	4,015	8,614	44,731	0	510	2,648	3,914	1,250	743	975	11,453	1,329	421	83,906
New Mexico	1,331	69	18	7,405	5,141	10,906	381	92	454	863	384	318	200	2,833	344	155	30,894
New York	11,308	1,151	71	4,972	11,595	202,991	946	1,261	6,523	9,631	2,813	1,478	2,622	27,356	3,748	890	289,356
North Carolina	8,248	372	74	1,432	4,986	60,189	0	393	1,870	2,988	1,591	659	905	13,429	1,899	326	99,361
North Dakota	866	47	9	3,358	498	5,120	116	51	257	642	210	272	200	1,881	188	131	13,846
Ohio	6,998	740	33	1,408	8,970	43,812	0	820	4,206	6,018	2,662	1,098	1,709	20,444	1,527	609	101,054
Oklahoma	2,397	131	30	3,627	8,536	19,680	0	184	955	1,556	663	440	413	6,154	592	216	45,574
Oregon	1,548	108	13	1,103	2,423	10,583	265	149	803	1,305	478	398	314	4,381	263	197	24,331
Pennsylvania	6,821	1,143	50	654	7,508	66,552	0	831	4,403	6,379	2,550	1,071	1,880	22,435	2,229	633	125,139
Rhode Island	325	83	11	1,803	2,060	5,027	0	63	338	752	184	281	200	2,000	265	141	13,533
South Carolina	5,204	239	34	1,880	4,903	36,145	0	218	1,021	1,698	921	457	505	7,496	1,191	224	62,136
South Dakota	703	68	18	3,040	1,349	6,634	108	54	283	662	219	279	200	1,911	174	134	15,836
Tennessee	5,329	247	38	685	5,967	38,084	0	294	1,409	2,307	1,171	544	666	9,833	1,404	271	68,249
Texas	8,918	474	71	7,879	23,292	83,469	0	895	4,384	6,307	3,306	1,217	1,798	25,639	3,205	631	171,485
Utah	1,588	82	18	1,174	5,997	4,073	164	92	472	876	377	329	200	2,807	160	157	18,566
Vermont	316	29	17	5	126	2,254	58	33	178	533	127	254	200	1,255	141	122	5,648
Virginia	5,162	244	33	4,784	28,977	35,819	0	350	1,713	2,683	1,258	607	743	11,046	1,436	307	95,162
Washington	2,240	154	14	5,119	8,594	15,324	139	250	1,320	1,972	751	514	508	6,524	366	260	44,049
West Virginia	2,123	129	29	21	502	21,312	61	130	651	1,212	541	369	353	4,879	614	179	33,105
Wisconsin	3,314	361	18	545	1,700	18,099	310	331	1,849	2,511	1,103	570	703	8,520	671	324	40,929
Wyoming	287	28	11	1,353	799	1,723	86	26	138	484	104	249	200	1,033	132	117	6,770
District of Columbia	271	75	19	228	5,033	7,507	0	57	262	704	130	272	200	1,404	250	132	16,544
Other areas	5,083	266	44	1,432	1,506	40,594	0	275	1,951	3,715	910	565	990	8,898	898	450	67,577
Total	174,399	15,000	1,500	160,853	353,373	1,478,169	6,377	15,440	80,000	123,564	49,500	28,262	34,000	415,247	44,877	15,001	2,977,561

PROPOSED ERS DISTRIBUTION

	SAFA A	Disadvantaged	Handicapped	Vocational education	SAFA B	Services	1971	H.H
Alabama	1,155	47,103	3,025	6,031	6,110	9,056	72,479	72,479
Alaska	7,759	4,844	870	1,733	5,474	2,602	23,282	23,282
Arizona	9,264	10,785	1,470	2,933	2,902	4,403	31,757	31,757
Arkansas	1,418	28,806	1,637	3,265	1,572	4,902	41,601	41,601
California	30,803	112,781	14,375	28,665	33,472	43,040	261,576	263,136
Colorado	2,932	12,610	1,941	3,869	6,654	5,810	33,816	33,16
Connecticut	1,288	12,215	2,386	4,756	1,393	7,141	29,178	29,178
Delaware	17	3,201	542	1,080	326	1,622	6,787	6,787
District of Columbia	267	7,737	729	1,454	4,173	2,183	16,544	16,544
Florida	7,122	36,176	5,084	10,138	10,788	15,222	84,530	84,530
Georgia	1,881	47,440	4,050	8,077	9,936	12,127	83,511	83,511
Hawaii	7,656	3,445	659	1,313	3,712	1,971	18,757	18,757
Idaho	1,299	3,973	740	1,477	1,888	2,217	11,594	11,594
Illinois	3,982	56,007	8,192	16,335	5,017	24,527	114,015	114,060
Indiana	1,017	19,316	3,967	7,910	2,243	18,878	46,331	46,331
Iowa	96	17,892	2,340	4,665	1,174	7,004	33,171	33,171
Kansas	4,427	12,277	1,914	3,816	3,600	5,730	31,764	31,764
Kentucky	88	42,497	2,690	5,364	3,101	8,055	16,795	61,795
Louisiana	919	42,287	3,431	6,840	2,290	10,271	66,038	66,038
Maine	1,946	7,458	870	1,733	1,149	2,603	15,759	15,759
Maryland	4,047	22,566	3,350	6,680	15,631	10,029	62,304	62,304
Massachusetts	3,265	28,848	4,445	8,862	4,873	13,307	63,600	63,600
Michigan	3,878	43,418	7,089	14,135	1,418	21,224	91,163	91,163
Minnesota	1,221	22,670	3,356	6,693	2,118	10,050	46,108	46,108
Mississippi	1,447	48,015	2,102	4,190	1,438	6,292	63,485	63,485
Missouri	2,592	30,255	3,603	7,185	4,502	10,788	58,925	58,925
Montana	3,367	4,800	724	1,444	1,339	2,167	13,841	13,841
Nebraska	2,464	9,728	1,296	2,587	1,573	3,883	21,531	21,531
Nevada	1,893	1,280	391	779	1,885	1,170	7,397	7,397
New Hampshire	757	2,584	695	1,388	908	2,083	8,416	8,416
New Jersey	3,195	40,938	5,867	11,700	4,636	17,569	83,906	83,906
New Mexico	10,534	10,188	964	1,921	4,402	2,885	30,894	30,894
New York	3,910	172,814	17,685	35,263	6,737	52,947	289,356	289,356
North Carolina	2,147	67,121	4,287	8,549	4,420	12,836	99,361	99,361
North Dakota	3,352	6,389	619	1,235	396	1,854	13,846	13,846
Ohio	1,917	44,891	8,215	16,381	5,819	24,596	101,054	101,819
Oklahoma	5,012	21,880	1,999	3,984	6,715	5,983	45,574	45,574

	SAFA A	Disadvantaged	Handicapped	Vocational education	SAFA B	Services	1971	H.H
Oregon	920	11,403	1,774	3,539	1,379	5,315	24,331	24,331
Pennsylvania	793	67,714	8,654	17,255	4,812	25,910	125,139	125,139
Rhode Island	1,686	5,670	802	1,598	1,378	2,400	13,533	13,533
South Carolina	2,816	40,593	2,391	4,767	4,412	7,157	62,136	62,136
South Dakota	3,215	8,059	642	1,281	716	1,923	15,836	15,836
Tennessee	1,024	42,665	3,219	6,418	5,287	9,636	68,249	68,249
Texas	9,543	87,649	9,202	18,349	19,190	27,552	171,485	171,485
Utah	1,712	4,792	1,066	2,125	5,679	3,191	18,566	18,566
Vermont	7	2,961	430	858	104	1,288	5,648	5,648
Virginia	5,932	43,189	4,128	8,230	21,323	12,359	95,162	95,162
Washington	6,629	15,505	2,549	5,084	6,648	7,634	44,049	44,049
West Virginia	31	24,033	1,434	2,860	451	4,295	33,105	33,105
Wisconsin	566	17,954	3,566	7,110	1,059	10,675	40,929	40,929
Wyoming	1,055	2,279	427	850	882	1,277	6,770	6,770
Other areas	1,994	43,837	3,353	6,685	1,671	10,037	67,577	67,577
Total	178,257	1,525,538	171,236	341,439	250,775	512,677	2,977,561	2,979,931

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS EDUCATION REVENUE SHARING ACT OF 1971

SECTION 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE

Subsection (a) of section 2 states that the Congress has found current Federal education assistance programs too narrow in scope to meet the Federal government's responsibility to help States meet the costs of education in areas of special national concern.

Subsection (b) of section 2 sets forth the purpose of the bill, which is to replace certain existing Federal education assistance programs with a system of revenue sharing, thereby encouraging innovation in education, providing help to educationally disadvantaged and handicapped children, strengthening vocational and career education, and assuring that children of parents living or working on Federal property receive educational opportunities equal to those given other children.

SECTION 3. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS AND PLANNING PAYMENTS

Subsection (a) of section 3 authorizes necessary appropriations to fund the revenue sharing program, effective for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973.

Subsection (b) of section 3 authorizes appropriations to enable the Secretary of HEW to make payments to States during the period January 1, 1972, to June 30, 1972, which will assist the States in planning for transition from the existing system of categorical educational grants to the revenue sharing system provided for in the Act.

SECTION 4. ALLOTMENT AND USE OF SHARED REVENUES

Section 4 prescribes the amounts of revenue to be shared with each State and how such revenue is to be used.

Subsection (a) of section 4 directs the Secretary of HEW to allot to each State, from funds appropriated under section 3(a), 60% of the average per pupil educational expenditure in the U.S. multiplied by the average daily attendance of children in public elementary or secondary schools of such State who resided on Federal property. Such amount may be used for any educational purpose.

Subsection (b) of section 4 sets forth a formula for computing a State's pro rata share of the remaining sums appropriated under section 3(a). A State's formula is derived by adding together the products of three separate multiplications:

(1) 1.0 times the number of school-age children from low-income families in the State;

(2) .6 times the number of children in average daily attendance in the State's public schools who do not live on Federal property but who have a parent who works on Federal property or is on active duty in the uniformed services;

(3) .1 times the number of school-age children in the State.

Subsection (c) of section 4 prescribes how the States may spend shares computed in accordance with subsection (b). That portion derived from the (b)(1) calculation may be used only for meeting the special educational needs of disadvantaged children who reside in areas with high concentrations of low-income families, or who are migratory children of migratory farm workers, or who are neglected or delinquent children being educated directly by the State. That portion derived from the (b)(2) calculation may be used for any educational purpose. That portion derived from the (b)(3) calculation may be used for three purposes: one-sixth for handicapped children, one-third for vocational activities, one-half for materials and services. Section 6, however, permits 30% (or more, under some circumstances) of (b)(3) money to be used for any purpose described in subsection (c) of section 4.

Subsection (d) of section 4 authorizes use of shared revenue for construction of education-oriented facilities.

Subsection (e) of section 4 permits the Secretary to reallot to other States, on a pro rata basis, funds declined by a State or funds not shared with a State by reason of the State's ineligibility to receive them. Such reallotment is at the discretion of the Secretary. Any amount so realloted shall be deemed part of a State's allotment derived from the same provision of subsection (b) which gave rise to the funds in question.

Subsection (f) of section 4 authorizes the Secretary to time the disbursement of allotted funds to the States as he sees fit, bearing in mind the desirability of minimizing delays in the use of disbursed money.

Subsection (g) of section 4 states that the Secretary shall determine average daily attendance, average per pupil expenditure, and numbers of children, using the latest data. Such determinations are final.

SECTION 5. DISTRIBUTION OF SHARED REVENUES WITHIN EACH STATE

Subsection (a) of section 5 directs that funds received by the States under section 4(a) be "passed through" to local educational authorities in accordance with the number of children in average daily attendance who resided on Federal property in the school district of such authority.

Subsection (b) of section 5 directs that funds received by the States under section 4(b)(1) be "passed through" to local educational authorities in accordance with the number of children in the district of such authority who are in low-income families. The State may, however, retain any part of such funds which are needed by the State to discharge its duty of directly educating neglected or delinquent children. Moreover, no funds are to be "passed through" to local authorities unless the amount involved is at least $10,000, and all schools in the district provide comparable educational services. If a given district is ineligible to receive funds under this subsection, the State may reallot such funds to other districts. If no district in a State is eligible to receive funds under this subsection, such funds may be reallotted, on a pro rata basis, to other States.

Subsection (c) of section 5 provides that the remainder of a State's allotment may, in accordance with sections 4 and 6 and the plan developed pursuant to section 8(b), be used by the State agency designated under section 8(a), or given to local educational authorities in accordance with their needs. However, not more than 30% of funds derived from application of the calculation in section 4(b)(2), relating to children with a parent employed on Federal property or in the uniformed services, may be paid to a district not having any such children in average daily attendance. Moreover, when determining needs of local agencies to which funds are given under this subsection, funds paid to such agencies under subsection (b) of section 5, relating to the educationally disadvantaged, are not to be taken into account.

SECTION 6. TRANSFERS AMONG PURPOSES

Section 6 allows States to use 30% of funds derived from the calculation of 4(b)(3) to be used for any of the purposes described in section 4(c). The 30% limitation may be exceeded upon a demonstration satisfactory to the Secretary that such action will more effectively achieve the purposes of the Act.

SECTION 7. PARTICIPATION OF NONPUBLIC SCHOOL CHILDREN

Subsection (a) of section 7 directs the State agency designated pursuant to section 8(a) to give children enrolled in nonprofit private schools an opportunity to participate in activities for which funds are made available under paragraph (1), or clause (A), (B), or (C) of paragraph (3), of section 4(c), and to provide that title to and control of funds received under the Act will remain in one or more public agencies.

Subsection (b) of section 7 provides that, if the Secretary determines that a State is unable to comply with subsection (a) of section 7 by reason of State law, he must himself arrange, by contract or otherwise, for private school children to participate as contemplated in subsection (a), and pay for such participation out of funds allotted to the State for such purposes.

SECTION 8. STATE ADMINISTRATION

Section 8 provides for the designation of a State agency which will be the single agency administering the revenue sharing program established by the Act (unless the single agency requirement is waived pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4214). The designated agency is required to develop annually in consultation with the advisory council appointed under section 9 a plan for the distribution of funds received by it under the Act. Interested persons are to be given time to comment on the plan before its adoption.

SECTION 9. STATE ADVISORY COUNCIL

Section 9 directs the chief executive officer of each State participating in the program under the Act to appoint an advisory council which shall be broadly representative of the State and the public. The section indicates certain areas of experience and competence which shall be represented on the council. The council is to assist the State agency designated pursuant to section 8(a) in the preparation of the plan, to advise it on general policy matters, to evaluate activities

assisted under the Act, to advise State or local officials on various matters pertaining to the program, and to prepare and submit to the Secretary, at least annually, a report of its activities, recommendations, and evaluations.

SECTION 10. TREATMENT OF FEDERALLY CONNECTED CHILDREN

Section 10 provides that the State agency designated pursuant to section 8(a) will require that children attending school within the State who reside with a parent on Federal property, who reside with a parent employed on Federal property or who have a parent who is a member of the uniformed services, will receive public elementary or secondary education on a basis comparable to that provided to other children in the State.

SECTION 11. SPECIAL PAYMENTS BY THE SECRETARY

Section 11 allows the Secretary to reserve up to 10% of the funds appropriated under section 3(a) to make payments to States to assist them in carrying out activities described in section 4 which are designed to further national policy objectives in the field of education.

SECTION 12. RECOVERY OF FUNDS

Subsection (a) of section 12 authorizes the Secretary, if he determines that a State has failed to comply with the provisions of the Act, to refer the matter to the Attorney General for appropriate civil action, or, after notice and opportunity for hearing, to notify the State that if corrective action is not taken within sixty days, revenues shared with it will be reduced in the same or succeeding fiscal year by an amount equal to the amount of funds which were not expended in accordance with the Act, or to take such other action as may be provided by law.

Subsection (b) of section 12 authorizes the Attorney General to bring civil action in any appropriate district court for any appropriate (including injunctive) relief.

Subsection (c) of section 12 prescribes the court procedure to be followed in the event that a State receives notice under section 12 (a) (2) of reduction in revenues. The State may file a petition for review of the Secretary's action in a court of appeals for the circuit in which it is located, or in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. The Secretary must then file in that court a record of the proceeding on which his action was based. The court may affirm, modify, or set aside in whole or in part the action of the Secretary. Upon filing the record with the court, the jurisdiction of the court shall be exclusive and its judgment final save for review by writ of certiorari from the Supreme Court.

SECTION 13. CIVIL RIGHTS

Section 13 states that revenues shared under the Act shall be considered Federal assistance within the meaning of title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

SECTION 14. ADVANCE FUNDING

Section 14 provides that appropriations for carrying out the Act may be included in the appropriation Act for the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which they are available for obligation.

SECTION 15. LABOR STANDARDS

Section 15 provides that laborers and mechanics employed in any construction assisted under the Act shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on similar construction in the locality.

SECTION 16. ANNUAL REPORT

Section 16 provides that the Secretary shall make an annual report to the President and the Congress on the effectiveness of assistance under the Act in meeting the educational needs of children and adults.

SECTION 17. AVAILABILITY OF SHARED REVENUES FOR PAYING NON-FEDERAL SHARE UNDER OTHER PROGRAMS

Section 17 provides that payments made pursuant to the Act shall be available, consistent with the provisions of the Act, for paying the non-federal share of expenditures under other Federal programs.

SECTION 18. RECORDS, AUDITS, AND REPORTS

Section 18 provides that revenues shared with States under the Act shall be properly accounted for as Federal funds in the accounts of such States. Each State is directed to use such fiscal and accounting procedures as may be necessary to assure proper accounting for payments received by it and proper disbursement of such amounts, to provide to the Secretary, on reasonable notice, access to, and the right to examine any books, documents, papers, or records as he may reasonably require, and to make such reports to the Secretary as he may reasonably require.

SECTION 19. INTERSTATE AGREEMENTS

Section 19 provides that the consent of Congress is given to agreements between States when necessary to realize the full benefit of provisions of the Act.

SECTION 20. DEFINITIONS

Section 20 of the Act sets forth the following definitions:

(1) The term "adult education" means services or instruction below the college level for individuals (A) who have attained the age of 16, (B) who do not have a certificate of graduation from a school providing secondary education and who have not achieved an equivalent level of education, and (C) who are not currently required to be enrolled in schools.

(2) The term "average per pupil expenditure in the United States" means the aggregate current expenditures of all local educational agencies in the United States for any fiscal year, plus any direct current expenditure by the States in which such agencies are located for the operation of such agencies during such year (without regard to the sources of funds from which either of such expenditures is made), divided by the aggregate number of children in average daily attendance to whom such agencies provided public education during such year.

(3) The term "construction" means the erection, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, or improvement of facilities, including the acquisition of land necessary therefor, and the cost of construction includes the cost of architect's fees.

(4) The term "current expenditures" means expenditures for public education, but not including expenditures for community services, capital outlay, and debt services, or any expenditures made from funds allotted under this Act.

(5) The term "elementary school" means a day or residential school which provides elementary education, as determined under State law.

(6) The term "Federal property" means real property which is owned by the United States or is leased by the United States, and which is not subject to taxation by any State or any political subdivision of a State. Such term includes (A) real property held in trust by the United States, (B) for one year beyond the end of the fiscal year in which occurred the sale or transfer thereof by the United States, any property considered prior to such sale or transfer to be Federal property for the purposes of this Act, and (C) any school which is providing flight training to members of the Air Force under contractual arrangements with the Department of the Air Force at an airport which is owned by a State or political subdivision of a State. Such term also includes any interest in Federal property (as defined in the foregoing provisions of this paragraph) under an easement, lease, license, permit, or other arrangement, as well as any improvement of any nature (other than pipelines or utility lines) on such property even though such interests or improvements are subject to taxation by a State or political subdivision of a State. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph, such term does not include (D) any real property used for the labor supply center, labor home, or labor camp for migratory farmworkers, (E) any real property under the jurisdiction of the Post Office Department and used primarily for the provision of postal services, or (F) any low-rent housing project held under title II of the National Industrial Recovery Act, the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, the United States Housing Act of 1937, the Act of June 28, 1940 (Public Law 871 of the Seventy-sixth Congress), or any law amendatory of or supplementary to any of such Acts.

(7) The term "handicapped children" means mentally retarded, hard of hearing, deaf, speech impaired, visually handicapped, seriously emotionally disturbed, crippled, or other health impaired children who by reason thereof require special educational services.

(8) The term "local educational agency" means a public board of education or other public authority legally constituted within a State for either administrative control or direction of, or to perform a service function for, public elementary or secondary schools in a city, county, township, school district, or other political subdivision of a State, or such combination of school districts or counties as are recognized in a State as an administrative agency for its public elementary or secondary schools. Such term also includes any other public institution or agency having administrative control and direction of a public elementary or secondary school.

(9) The term "low-income families" shall be defined by the Secretary in accordance with such criteria as he may prescribe, which criteria shall take into account migratory children of migratory agricultural workers, neglected or delinquent children, and such matters as family size and urban-rural differences.

(10) The term "nonprofit", as applied to a school, means a school owned and operated by one or more nonprofit corporations or associations no part of the net earnings of which inures, or may lawfully inure, to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

(11) The term "revenues shared" means payments under this Act.

(12) The term "secondary school" means a day or residential school which provides secondary education, as determined under State law, except that it does not include any education provided beyond grade 12.

(13) The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

(14) The term "State" includes, in addition to the several States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

(15) The term "supporting materials and services" means such materials and services as the purchase of school textbooks, library resources, and educational equipment; the provision of supplementary educational centers and services, of school pupil personnel services, of adult education, and of school meals; the training or retraining of teachers, teacher aides, and other school personnel; the strengthening of State or local educational agency capabilities and of educational planning at the State or local level; the support of the advisory council appointed under section 9; and the administration at the State level of the program carried out under this Act.

(16) The term "vocational education" includes vocational or technical training or re-

training (including field or laboratory work and remedial or related academic and technical instruction incident thereto and work-study programs for students who need the earnings from work in order to commence or continue their education) conducted as part of a program designed to prepare individuals for gainful employment as semi-skilled or skilled workers or technicians or subprofessionals in recognized occupations and in new and emerging occupations or to prepare individuals for enrollment in advanced technical education programs, but excluding any program to prepare individuals for employment in occupations generally considered professional or which require a baccalaureate or higher degree; and such term also includes vocational guidance and counseling in connection with such training for the purpose of facilitating occupational choices; instruction related to the occupation or occupations for which the students are in training or instruction necessary for students to benefit from such training; job placement; and the training of persons engaged as, or preparing to become, teachers in a vocational education program or teachers, supervisors, or directors of such teachers.

SECTION 21. REPEAL OF PROGRAMS REPLACED BY THIS ACT

Subsection (a) of section 21 repeals the following statutes and parts of statutes effective with respect to appropriations for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1972:

(1) title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 241a–2411);

(2) title II of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 821–827);

(3) title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 841–848);

(4) title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 861–870);

(5) part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act (20 U.S.C. 871–877);

(6) the Smith–Hughes Act (20 U.S.C. 11–15, 16–28);

(7) sections 3 and 4 of Public Law 81–874 (20 U.S.C. 238–239);

(8) title III of the National Defense Education Act of 1958 (20 U.S.C. 441–455);

(9) subpart 2 or part B of title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1108–1110c); and

(10) the Vocational Education Act of 1963 (20 U.S.C. 1241–1391).

Subsection (b) of section 21 amends the Adult Education Act effective with respect to appropriations for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1972 by:

(1) striking out "reserved in section 304(a) for the purposes of this section" in section 309(a) and inserting in lieu thereof "appropriated pursuant to section 312(a)"; and

(2) striking out sections 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, and 310, and subsection (b) of section 312.

Subsection (c) of section 21 amends P.L. 81–815 (20 U.S.C. 631–647) by inserting "(a)" immediately after "Section 1." and by adding at the end of such section the following:

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Act, appropriations under this Act for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1972, shall be available only for carrying out the provisions of sections 9, 10, 14, and 16."

Subsection (d) of section 21 amends the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771–1785) effective with respect to appropriations for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1972; by:

(1) striking out sections 5 and 7;

(2) striking out "through 7" in section 6 and inserting "and 4" in lieu thereof;

(3) striking out "through 5" in section 11 and inserting "and 4" in lieu thereof; and

(4) striking out "section 4" in section 4(b) and inserting "section 11" in lieu thereof.

Subsection (e) of section 21 amends the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751–1761) effective with respect to appropriations for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1972 by:

(1) striking out sections 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10;

(2)(A) striking out "the amount apportioned by him pursuant to sections 4 and 5 of this Act and" in paragraph (2) of section 6 and (B) by striking out in such paragraph "sections 4, 5, and 7" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 4";

(3) striking out "section 10" in the last sentence of section 9 and inserting "section 11" in lieu thereof;

(4) striking out subsection (d) of section 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "(d) The Secretary shall certify to the Secretary of the Treasury from time to time the amounts to be paid to any State under this section and the time or times such amounts are to be paid; and the Secretary of the Treasury shall pay to the State at the time or times fixed by the Secretary the amounts so certified."

(5) striking out in paragraph (g) of section 11 ", including those applicable to funds apportioned or paid pursuant to section 4 or 5 but excluding the provisions of section 7 relating to matching,";

(6) striking out in section 11(h)(1) "to extend the school lunch program under this Act to every school within the State, and (C)"; and

(7) striking out paragraphs (4), (5), and (6) of section 12(d) and renumbering paragraph (7) as paragraph (4).

FACT SHEET—EDUCATION REVENUE SHARING

FUNDING LEVEL

First full year of operation: $3 billion.
From existing programs: $2.8 billion.
Additional funds: $200 million.

AREAS OF ASSISTANCE

Education of the Disadvantaged: (51% of funds in the first year, based on available data) Programs and projects designed to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children in school attendance areas having high concentrations of children from low-income families.

Education of the Handicapped: (6% of funds) Initiation, expansion, and improvement of programs at the preschool, elementary, and secondary level designed to meet the special educational needs of handicapped children.

Assistance to Schools in Areas Affected by Federal Activities: (14% of funds) Provision of financial assistance for those local educational agencies upon which the United States has placed financial burdens by reason of the fact that such agencies provide education for children residing on Federal property, or whose parents are employed on Federal property.

Vocational Education: (12% of funds) Assistance to States for elementary, secondary, and postsecondary vocational education activities.

Supporting Materials and Services: (17% of funds) Materials and services including the purchase of school textbooks and educational equipment; supplementary educational centers and services, training, strengthening of educational agencies; the support of the State advisory council; and the State administration of the program.

ALLOTMENT AND USE OF SHARED REVENUES

Each State would be eligible to receive a grant equal to:

1. 60% of the average per pupil expenditure in the United States multiplied by the number of pupils in average daily attendance residing with a parent on Federal property in such State; and

2. Its relative share of the total amount appropriated (after deduction of the "a" category SAFA entitlements as noted above), based on the following factors weighted appropriately:

(a) the number of children aged 5 to 17, inclusive, in such State who are in low-income families;

(b) the number of children in average daily attendance in the public elementary or secondary schools of such State during such fiscal year who (i) reside on other than Federal property with a parent employed on Federal property, or (ii) have a parent on active duty in the uniformed services; and

(c) the total number of children aged 5 to 17, inclusive, in the State.

DISTRIBUTION OF SHARED REVENUES WITHIN EACH STATE

Each State would pass through to local educational agencies the funds attributable to the presence of pupils residing with a parent on Federal property. Each State would also pass through the funds attributable to the presence of children from low-income families but only if:

(a) such amount is at least $10,000, and

(b) the services provided in each of the schools of such agency with funds other than funds received under this Act have been determined to be comparable, by the State administering agency, with the services so provided in all of the other schools of such local educational agency.

The remainder of the State's funds (approximately 43%) would—

(1) be retained at the State level for operation of State-wide programs, and

(2) be distributed among local educational agencies according to relative needs for the types of assistance available.

TRANSFERS AMONG AREAS OF ASSISTANCE

The State would be permitted to transfer up to 30% of the funds attributable to any one area of use to any other such area, except that no transfers of funds from the area of the disadvantaged would be allowed.

Additional transfers above the statutory limit would be permitted if the State demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Secretary that such action will achieve more effectively the purposes of the Act.

OPERATION OF THE PROGRAM

The chief executive officer of each State which desires to participate under the Act shall designate a State agency to administer the program.

The designated agency shall, for each fiscal year, develop and publish a plan for the distribution and expenditure of funds under the Act. Such plan shall be developed in consultation with the State advisory council, shall not be finally adopted until a reasonable opportunity has been given to interested persons for comment thereon, and shall be made available to the Secretary.

The chief executive officer of each State would also appoint a State advisory council broadly representative of the education community in the State and of the public, including representatives of public and nonprofit private schools, representatives of the populations in the State affected by activities under the Act; persons with special competence in the planning, evaluation, and assessment of education programs; and persons with special competence in the education of the disadvantaged, the handicapped, and vocational education.

PARTICIPATION OF NONPUBLIC SCHOOL CHILDREN

Except where prohibited by State law, equitable participation of children enrolled in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools would be provided in the disadvantaged, handicapped vocational, and support services areas. Where State law prevents such participation, the Secretary may permit the State to participate, but he shall arrange for such children to receive similar services on an equitable basis and shall pay the cost thereof out of the State's allotment.

CIVIL RIGHTS

Revenue shared under this Act shall be considered as Federal financial assistance within the meaning of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

TRANSITION FROM EXISTING PROGRAM

The Act authorizes the appropriation of such sums as may be necessary to enable the Secretary to make, for the period January 1–June 30, 1972, payments to States to assist them in planning for the transition from the system of categorical grants authorized by statutes repealed by this Act to the system of revenue sharing for education authorized by this Act.

EXISTING PROGRAMS REPEALED

ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

Title I—Education of the Disadvantaged
Title II—School Library Resources, Textbooks, and other Instructional Materials
Title III—Supplementary Educational Centers and Services; Guidance, Counseling, and Testing
Title V—Strengthening State and Local Educational Agencies

EDUCATION OF THE HANDICAPPED ACT

Part B—Assistance to States for Education of Handicapped Children
The Smith Hughes Act (Vocational Education Act of 1917)

PUBLIC LAW 81–874

Section 3(a)—Children of persons who reside and work on Federal property
Section 3(b)—Children of persons who reside or work on Federal property

NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION ACT OF 1958

Title III—Financial Assistance for Strengthening Instruction in Science, Mathematics, Modern Foreign Languages, and other Critical Subjects

EDUCATION PROFESSIONS DEVELOPMENT ACT

Part B–2—Attracting and Qualifying Teachers to Meet Critical Teacher Shortages

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION ACT OF 1963

Part A—General provision
Part B—State vocational education programs
Part C—Research and training in vocational education
Part D—Exemplary programs and projects
Part E—Residential vocational education
Part F—Consumer and homemaking education
Part G—Cooperative vocational education programs
Part H—Work-study programs for vocational education students
Part I—Curriculum development in vocational and technical education
Adult Education Act (except for Sec. 309: Special Experimental Demonstration Projects and Teacher Training)
Public Law 81–815 (except for sections 9, 10, 14, and 16)

CHILD NUTRITION ACT OF 1966

Section 5—Nonfood Assistance Program
Section 7—State Administrative Expenses

NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH ACT

Section 4—Apportionments to States

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. BURKE) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, today I join with my colleagues Congressman FRED SCHWENGEL and Congressman DONALD FRASER in endorsing and cosponsoring legislation to designate May as "Human Development Month" and the weekend of May 8 and 9 as "International Walk for Development Weekend."

I rise again to commend the efforts of constituents within the 11th Massachusetts Congressional District who will participate in the May 8–9, International Walk for Development Weekend. The sponsor of this annual event, the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation, has stated that its major goal is:

To create an awareness and find meaningful ways to involve the American people in the private sector in the cause of human hunger at home and abroad.

This goal was translated into action last year by many persons in the Massachusetts South Shore area as hundreds involved themselves in the Quincy Walk for Development campaign. "Foot Power" buttons were evident on the enthusiastic workers in the hike against hunger, and their efforts drew thousands of dollars in contributions to the program to bring nutrition programs to foreign and domestic areas.

I salute the efforts of the Foot Power youth who dare to challenge complacency and unawareness of the hunger and malnutrition in the world by asking, "What will you do to eliminate hunger?"

The following news item from the Quincy Patriot Ledger details the effective implementation of the funds raised in the 1969 Quincy Walk in an agricultural training center in Liberia. Funds from the 1969 walk were also allotted to a domestic nutrition program for low-income families in Quincy.

QUINCY'S "WALK FOR DEVELOPMENT" NOW YIELDING RESULTS IN LIBERIA

Partial proceeds from the "Walk for Development" held in Quincy on June 8, 1969, are now reaping concrete results in an agricultural improvement project in Liberia.

FARM EXPERTS

At the Agricultural Extension Training Centre attached to the University of Liberia's College of Agriculture and Forestry, 17 miles outside the capital at Monrovia, the first 16 trainees recently completed a six-month course designed to turn out farm experts at a sub-college level. All 16 will be placed at Foya, in northwest Liberia, to act as adviser to farmers in a 1,750 acre pilot rice project, the largest of its kind in the country.

Making the University program possible was an $83,000 contribution from the American Freedom From Hunger Foundation, about $11,000 of which came from the efforts of the 2,000 young people who joined in the Quincy walk earlier this year.

"Sixteen trainees may not seem a lot to you but at the moment the Liberian government has only 69 experts serving the entire country, one of every 14,500 rural residents," Dr. Paul C. Ma, adviser to the University Training Centre told Anthony Sylvester who visited the Liberian project on behalf of the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization.

PRODUCTION AND MARKETING

Ultimate goal of the rice project at Foya is to involve hundreds of families, not only in modern methods of rice cultivation but also in experimental cooperatives for production and marketing. Sylvester explained in a report of his Liberian tour.

Sought after improvements in rice-growing techniques and development of other cash crops including additional vegetables, poultry and pig raising are all aimed at making farmers market-oriented—according to Dr. Ma "to turn subsistence farming into a cash economy."

DRUG ABUSE IN THE MILITARY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. HAGAN) is recognized for 20 minutes.

Mr. HAGAN. Mr. Speaker, in mid-August 1970, our late chairman of the Armed Services Committee, the Honorable L. Mendel Rivers, became seriously concerned over the alarming increase in the number of reports of drug abuse by our youth in the civilian community and in the services.

At that time, Mr. Rivers appointed me as chairman of a special subcommittee to look into the allegations of drug abuse in the military and immediately we launched into intensive hearings in Washington.

After an initial study of the matter, it became abundantly clear that the military did not invent the drug problem.

And let me make that perfectly clear; despite the suggestions and innuendoes to the contrary emanating from Washington and Southeast Asia via various segments of the media.

To a large extent, the military inherited the basic drug problem from our civilian community, from our schools, and from our neighborhoods—neighborhoods of all classes and all races.

Recognizing this truth at once, our subcommittee's initial civilian witnesses attested to the nature of the serious problem across the United States.

The most common question of all among older folks is why our youngsters use drugs.

We heard testimony on that subject from many sources—psychiatrists, sociologists, law enforcement agents and others.

The explanations are many.

Some say the user has a problem to begin with, and drug use is symptomatic of that problem.

Maybe it is boredom in an affluent society, family unrest, despair in the ghetto, peer pressure, excessive experimentation—whatever the approach to the problem, it is with us.

Of course, being products of a society with draft laws, a press which popularly crusades to make the military as unpopular as possible, and general permissiveness, some of our young men are ill-prepared to cope with the more rigid existence of an unwanted military atmosphere.

The result?

They seek relief in the world of illicit drugs.

We hear from reliable sources that upward to 60 percent of our metropolitan high school students could be experimenting with drugs—mainly marihuana.

Then why should it be more shocking to hear that 50 percent of our enlistees have likewise at least experimented with drugs?

How can it be so shocking to discover that some 20 percent of those in the military who have tried marihuana are at least casual users, when reports indicate an equal percentage of the like group of high school students do the same?

Is it surprising when a poll in a 9th grade dependent school class overseas showed 14 percent of the students had at least experimented with drugs—mainly

marihuana—when like studies at home have produced similar results?

This is all by way of illustrating that we have a drug problem in the military largely because there is a serious drug problem in the United States.

It is estimated that the population of New York City includes 100,000 heroin addicts—addicts that is, not just casual users.

But those figures rarely catch the headlines or the evening news shows.

Yet, 5 or 6 soldiers smoking pot are pictured in such a fashion as to attract national attention and to suggest that such is the way of life in the Army.

The situation is serious, most serious, and my colleagues, I share your concern over the matter.

But it is not the way of life in our services.

I am encouraged by the frank admissions from the Secretary of Defense on down to the field and platoon leaders that there is, indeed, a serious military drug problem, and that massive, coordinated efforts are in force and more are planned to reduce it to the barest minimum.

Time does not permit me to go into detail, but let me say this.

With other members of my committee, I spent the recess between the 91st and 92d Congresses visiting with our commanders and our men from the DMZ on the line between North and South Korea—all the way to the humid, muddy, sticky climate of Vietnam's four corps areas as the monsoon season drew to a close.

I saw and heard firsthand that most commanders recognize the extent of their drug problem.

It is a big problem in Southeast Asia—because drugs are so readily available and at ridiculously low prices.

The best marihuana, the purest heroin, and the spectrum of dangerous drugs are there for the asking.

Anywhere.

They are as available as chewing gum or American cigarettes.

This presents a problem more severe than present at any base in the United States.

I mentioned that the early remedial efforts are encouraging, despite the discouraging circumstances of ready availability, the setting of an unpopular war, and the preservice disposition of many troopers to dabble in drugs.

Massive education programs are being effected on a coordinated and practical basis.

And I emphasize—practical.

There is a gradual closing of the credibility gap between the so-called establishment and the young soldier that existed in earlier education efforts.

Rehabilitation programs are in being which encourage the young user to come forward for treatment without fear of recrimination.

Local civilian law enforcement agencies on Southeast Asia are beginning to cooperate with the military in efforts to stamp out the sources of drugs.

Similar programs are in effect in Europe and, of course, here at home.

Our hearings and report are now in the process of being distributed.

We have arrived at a long list of findings, conclusions and recommendations which we trust you will study in detail.

Allow me to repeat here that all of us have a grave responsibility in these efforts, for our military only mirrors our civilian society.

We must accelerate greatly our efforts on the American scene—across the country and from North to South—to stamp out the plague of drug abuse.

With that, and with our withdrawal from Southeast Asia, I am confident extraordinary efforts on the part of the military will prove fruitful.

In closing let me say this, the vast majority of our fine young men are not potheads, and lastly, that not a single commander anywhere feels that drugs have affected the accomplishment of our military mission around the world.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Mr. William H. Hogan, Jr., counsel for the Drug Abuse Subcommittee, for his diligence in providing support for this effort.

His assistance to the committee in gathering information and compiling the report of the hearings is an outstanding example of the caliber of work we have come to expect from him.

IMPROVED AIR SERVICE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. STUCKEY) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. STUCKEY. Mr. Speaker, in a constantly shrinking world I ask my colleagues to take note of an improved service for Atlanta and citizens of the great State of Georgia to be inaugurated by Pan American World Airways on April 25. Through an interchange arrangement with Delta Airlines, which is based in Atlanta, passengers for London and Frankfurt, Germany, can be ticketed in New Orleans and Atlanta, board a Delta plane there, fly to Atlanta and change to one of Pan Am's new 357 passenger 747's, stop at Dulles for additional passengers and then on non-stop to London and Frankfurt. This interchange involves cooperative use of Delta crews for the domestic leg and Pan Am crews from Dulles International Airport in Virginia for the balance of the trip.

This is the kind of business leadership which has made Pan American one of the world's greatest airlines.

The recent losses suffered by major U.S. airlines is a cause of great concern to me as a member of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives. The maintenance of an economically sound scheduled airline service within the United States and to foreign countries by American flag carriers is one of our prime responsibilities.

This improved interchange service from New Orleans, through Atlanta, and on to London and Frankfurt is a fine example of creative business leadership by Mr. Najeeb Halaby, Pan Am's chairman and Mr. Charles Dolson, Delta's chairman. I congratulate Mr. Halaby and Mr. Dolson.

THE HEROIN PROBLEM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MURPHY) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. MURPHY of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, Congressman ROBERT H. STEELE and I have just returned from a round-the-world study of the heroin problem. We visited nine countries during this study mission: Switzerland, France, Italy, Turkey, Iran, Thailand, South Vietnam, Hong Kong, and Japan.

Needless to say, it was a sobering and disheartening experience. And while I would like to report that there has been significant progress made to control the illegal international traffic in heroin, I cannot do so. As a matter of fact, heroin addiction is on the increase around the world, and particularly in the United States.

Of particular concern is the rapid increase in heroin addiction within the U.S. military forces in Vietnam where the best estimates are that as many as 10 to 15 percent of our servicemen are addicted to heroin in one form or another.

In short, we are losing the battle to stem the increasing use of heroin among our youth. Unfortunately, the longer it takes to bring this problem under some kind of international control, the more difficult it will be to control it in the United States.

We, therefore, began our study in Geneva, where the United Nations International Narcotics Control Board is located. This Board each year determines the worldwide medical need for opium derivatives and establishes a quota for legal opium trade in countries which grow opium poppies. Unfortunately, it has no power or way to control the illegal growing of poppies or the diversion of opium gum produced from legally grown poppies into illegal smuggling channels.

Even if the Board did have this power, it would not be able to exercise it. Given the nature of international politics, no country is willing to surrender arrest and seizure power to a third country or to an international enforcement agency.

And yet international cooperation is an integral requirement if we are to stop the illegal international traffic in heroin.

We were, therefore, encouraged by the steps that have been taken in the United Nations, at the initiative of the United States, to establish a Special United Nations Fund for Drug Abuse Control. This fund, which will be used to develop short-term and long-term plans and programs, and to provide assistance in the execution of those plans and programs, is to be financed through voluntary contributions. Of the initial $5 million, the United States has pledged $2 million. No other country has made a formal pledge. This is a disappointment.

But, the special fund is an important milestone and hopefully will lead to ever-increasing efforts to fight the illegal production of heroin.

International cooperation will be slow and results difficult to measure in the short term. Action on another front is

imperative if we are to win the battle to stem the use of heroin by our youth.

We must also convince our friends and allies, particularly Turkey, Thailand, Laos, and South Vietnam, to take strong action either to control or eliminate the growing of opium poppies or to increase their efforts to stop the illegal traffic in narcotics.

The bulk of the heroin which enters the United States illegally originates in the poppy fields of Turkey. The opium or morphine base is then smuggled to Marseilles, France, where it is processed into heroin in clandestine and well-concealed laboratories for onward movement to the United States.

From what we were told by numerous experts concerned with stopping the illegal international traffic in heroin, once the poppy is cut and the opium gum introduced into illegal channels, the battle is lost.

While constant surveillance of the Marseilles area is vital if we are to bring this problem under control—and I am happy to report that the French Government is adding more personnel and resources in its efforts to detect and close the illegal laboratories in Marseilles—we must never lose sight of the fact that the laboratories in Marseilles could not operate if it were not for the poppy fields in Turkey.

If we are to solve the problem of heroin addiction in the United States we must have the active and effective cooperation of the Turkish Government, for only the Turks have the power and the authority to control the growing of poppies. And while the United States cannot tell the Turks what to do in this matter, we can and must keep pressing the government to take whatever steps are necessary to either control or to stop the growing of poppies.

We were reassured to learn during our visit to Turkey that the new government is aware of the concern felt by the United States and appears to be willing to do something about it. The new government's program specifically states that "Our Government is of the opinion that opium smuggling, which has become a destructive disaster for the youth of the world, is hurting above all our humane feelings; therefore, due importance shall be attached to this problem. Opium producers shall be provided with a better field of occupation in farming."

These are reassuring words, but actions speak louder than words. As a measure of Turkish sincerity to do something about this problem, it is essential that legislation controlling the growing of poppies be enacted as a meaningful first step.

Passage of this legislation which has been pending for about 1 year would require that the growing of poppies would be subjected to Government license. Failure to comply would result in stiff penalties, including jail sentence. Furthermore, passage of the legislation would help Turkey fulfill her treaty commitments under the provisions of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961—a commitment that was made by Turkey in December 1966.

Hopefully, the next step by Turkey would be a decision to stop growing poplies completely. This will take time, however. The farmer must be taught to grow other crops. But crop substitution will also be costly. The United States must, therefore, stand ready to help the Government of Turkey with whatever financial and economic assistance that might be required. We give Turkey approximately $100 million in military assistance per year in order for her to be able to meet her commitments to NATO which is in her national interests as well as ours. In my opinion, we should be willing to spend as much to control hereoin if we are to save our youth from the scourges of addiction. What good is it if we save NATO and lose a generation of young Americans?

From the American viewpoint, Thailand is second only to Turkey as a source of illegal opium. Virtually all of the heroin that addicts our troops in Vietnam comes from the tri-state area of Burma, Laos, and Thailand. It is funneled through Bangkok and Vientiane. We were repeatedly told that opium moves from Thailand on Thai fishing boats to various points on the South China Sea coast.

According to many reports, much of the heroin entering South Vietnam is transported by Thai soldiers traveling in Thai military aircraft.

Therefore, the Thai Government should be made to realize that it must increase its efforts to stop the smuggling of opium and its derivatives to South Vietnam, where it falls into the hands of American servicemen, and to Hong Kong, where it is processed for smuggling to the United States or to American Armed Forces in East Asia.

The Government of Thailand can take this action now. Efforts to control the growing of poppies is a more difficult task. The areas where the poppy is grown in the tri-state area are remote and are remote and are not under the effective administrative control of any government. Government agents who enter these areas disappear and are never seen again. Solution of this problem is a long-range proposition. Again, the United States should be willing to devote whatever assistance that may be required to help the governments in this area.

In South Vietnam, where the rate of addiction among our military forces is on the increase despite the continuing withdrawal of American forces, the situation is out of control.

One single demonstration that the situation is out of hand was given when an American Congressman, accompanied by a uniformed American serviceman, was repeatedly offered heroin for purchase on streets of Saigon by South Vietnamese citizens, including a 9- or 10-year-old child.

Something must be done to stop this. Strong action must be taken by the Government of South Vietnam. I am not, however, optimistic that this government is either willing or able to take such action.

One of the major reasons for pessimism is the South Vietnamese internal political situation, where differences between President Thieu and Vice President Ky militate against any effective action being taken.

Congressman STEELE and I called on Vice President Ky to discuss the problem. Vice President Ky was expressly critical of the efforts being taken by the Government of South Vietnam to solve this problem, and flatly stated that if he were given the responsibility for cleaning up the drug mess in South Vietnam, he would produce concrete results within 2 to 3 months.

Our study ended on a reassuring note because we discovered in Tokyo that the Government of Japan has been extremely effective in suppressing heroin traffic and addiction in Japan. We have studied this Japanese success story in detail and have learned a lot from it.

It is my opinion that there is clearly a need for more aggressive leadership from U.S. diplomatic representatives in the various countries we visited.

It is clear that in spite of the high priority placed on the problem of narcotics control by the President, a sense of urgency has not yet filtered down to the bureaucracy in all cases.

For example, we arrived in Bangkok in time to participate in a conference of U.S. representatives who are concerned with fighting the heroin problem in South Asia. While it was encouraging to note that such a conference finally was being held, it is my opinion that it should have been held much earlier. One cannot help wondering why it was not; the problem was discovered much earlier. In view of the President's longstanding expression of concern on the matter, action should have been taken much earlier to mobilize the resources available and to find a more hard-hitting, purposeful pursuit of American national interests.

In addition to these bilateral actions, the President should continue to instruct our permanent representative to the United Nations to do the utmost possible to assure that the U.N. intensifies its efforts to combat the drug problem around the world. If necessary, the United States must be willing to contribute more money to that body whether other countries do so or not.

The United States must impress upon all countries that they are not simply doing the United States a favor by cooperating in the war against narcotics. They must be made to realize that it is in their own interest to win the battle against heroin. The use of heroin is not limited to the United States. Its use is on the increase around the globe. One of the positive findings of this study was that the authorities in the countries visited were becoming increasingly aware that the use of heroin and other drugs was a matter of concern to themselves as well as to the United States, and that something must be done. Hopefully, this will lead to more cooperation with the United States in its efforts to combat the heroin problem. In this connection we intend to submit a detailed report on our findings.

That report will contain specific recommendations designed to help win this war, which is a matter of life and death for tens of thousands of young Americans. We are in a fight against time. The time for talk has ended. Now is the time for action.

SECRETARY MAURICE H. STANS DOES NOT SPEAK FOR ME

(Mr. KOCH asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. KOCH. Mr. Speaker, March 25 of this year was Greek Independence Day celebrating the 150 Anniversary of the beginning of the Greek revolution which overthrew four centuries of Ottoman rule. One month later on April 23, Maurice H. Stans, U.S. Secretary of Commerce, appearing before a luncheon of the Hellenic-American Chamber of Commerce in Athens praised the Greek junta stating that the Greek government gave American companies operating in Greece a "sense of security." In his remarks he also stated that President Nixon had asked him to convey the President's best wishes to the members of the Greek Chamber of Commerce because "he has warm regard for the people of Greece, for the wonderful way in which Greece has kept its commitments to NATO and for the way in which the two countries are working together." The Secretary carried a letter from the President expressing the President's best wishes "for the continued welfare and prosperity of the people of Greece."

Mr. Speaker this kind of praise of a ruthless totalitarian regime condemned by the Council of Europe for torturing its own citizens, by both the President of the United States and the U.S. Secretary of Commerce is not far different from the kind of praise once heaped on Mussolini by some Americans when they said "he makes the trains run on time."

Those interested in the welfare of the people of Greece should be more concerned that in that country supported with American funds economically and militarily, there are no elections, political parties are banned, and fundamental civil liberties are denied. Because of this support our country bears some responsibility for this state of affairs. As the recent Senate Foreign Relations Committee Staff Report pointed out, the widespread impression in Greece that the junta has American approval is the junta's greatest asset. These latest statements by leaders of our country make it clear that that impression is accurate in so far as the President and his Cabinet officer are concerned.

Mr. Speaker, our continued support of that Greek junta shames us before every country maintaining a democratic form of government. When will it end?

A DANGER GREAT AND REAL

(Mr. KOCH asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. KOCH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to the attention of our colleagues the attached articles which make so clear the need for Federal privacy legislation.

One, an editorial from Forbes magazine, describes another instance in which the computer, or its programer, has caused an individual inconvenience and embarrassment. The other, from today's Washington Post, outlines the kind of information that finds its way into dossiers.

The fair credit reporting section of the Truth in Lending Act became effective this last weekend. Under its provisions, the "shy and retiring" lady of the Forbes saga would be entitled to find out why the department store computer "rejected" her. She would also be permitted to correct erroneous entries in her credit file.

Unfortunately these same rights of inspection and correction do not apply to files kept on individuals by Government agencies. The circumstances cited in Flora Lewis' story in the Washington Post show the need for the right to inspect one's files, and pinpoint the kind of endless details of one's life kept on file by diligent Federal agencies.

To remedy this situation, I have introduced the Federal Privacy Act, which now has 114 House cosponsors. This bill would require all Federal agencies maintaining records on an individual to:

First, notify the individual that such records exist;

Second, notify him of all transfers of such information;

Third, disclose information from such records only with the consent of the individual or when legally required;

Fourth, maintain a record of all persons given access to such records; and

Fifth, permit the individual to inspect his records, make copies of them and supplement them.

Exceptions to this requirement would be made in instances of national security and when information is temporarily withheld for the purpose of criminal prosecution.

Mr. Speaker, we need to assure that individuals are not harmed by files containing misinformation and "raw data" which are frequently made up of little more than the opinion of the investigator. As Miss Lewis states:

It isn't just a matter of whether or not you trust J. Edgar Hoover. Those mountainous files are there, and regulations about access are not always so strictly observed. What has been coming out lately about surveillance is a bare tip of the iceberg.

Private citizens need to know how big the iceberg is, and how much of their lives are recorded by Federal agencies.

The material follows:

[From Forbes magazine, Apr. 15, 1971]

A DANGER GREAT AND REAL

Although it is often said that nothing sounds sweeter to a person than his own name, it looks like this music will be heard less and less.

The computer, along with all its other wondrous accomplishments, has reduced us all to digits.

And therein lies a danger great and real.

Do you know what one or more Washington, D.C., master computers say of you? For that matter, do you know what the local one says of your credit? Says about your character or lack of it?

Computer data banks aren't collecting data for no purpose and no profit. Like any business or bank, they sell what they contain for use and at a profit or for a purpose.

One of the more memorable times of the many times that my wife has had occasion to be irked with her husband occurred on one of her very rare safaris into New York City to do some shopping at Bloomingdale's. Being a Scotsman who hates to part with actual folding money, I suggested she use our Bloomingdale credit card. She'd no sooner completed her sale-priced purchases and produced the credit card than she found herself being politely but firmly escorted upstairs to the credit department. There, a sour-faced matron literally grabbed the card from her hands, took a scissors and cut it in half, observing that they had been looking for it, and her, for some time.

Now my bride of 25 years is quite shy, quite retiring—but I want you to know that her telephone call to me from the Bloomingdale credit department reflected none of her normal restraints.

It developed that months earlier a few of some things purchased had arrived broken and had been returned for credit. The computer didn't get the word and month after month had been flashing us up as dangerous mountebanks running amuck with creditless Bloomingdale credit card.

I cite and oversimplify this tale, not because it's unique, but because practically everyone can top this computer story with a worse experience of his own.

There is just no way in the days and decades to come that our digits won't increasingly dominate our lives. Our numbers, not our names, now and for the rest of our days on earth are what will matter.

So if simple justice is to prevail in this land of cherished individuality and individual rights, computer input and output must be as subject to law, regulaton, control as anything or anyone else. Computer feeders must be subject to vigorous scrutiny, held vigorously to account for wrong as well as error.

Today, thank heavens, Congress is working at the problem. Congressman Koch of New York City and Senator Bayh of Indiana have measures in the hopper that would make every government computer-keeping agency tell any citizen on whom they're keeping a record, that the record is there; provide him a printout so that he may correct errors, refute refutable statements, and forbid the passing on of such data without the citizen's permission.

That's the sort of thing, the sort of direction, the sort of law that must be enacted on multiple levels before our individual freedoms are strangled by digits in data banks.

[From the Washington Post, Apr. 27, 1971]

IS NOT BEING A POLICE STATE GOOD ENOUGH?: A LOOK AT ONE'S OWN DOSSIER FROM THE FBI FILES

(By Flora Lewis)

Gradual revelations of the extent of FBI snooping on people not even suspected of any crime are making news. But it really isn't new. It has been going on for a long time, and a lot of people including congressmen have known about it without seeing fit to make an issue.

There happens to be a first-hand witness in my family. It is distasteful to be personal, but in this case it tells the story. My husband, who was born in Ireland, acquired a British passport and continued to use it for many years after he had gone to work for an American newspaper. He eventually decided to seek American citizenship, but as a foreign correspondent he was traveling too much to meet the usual residence requirement.

So about 10 years ago it was suggested that a private bill could be put through Congress, to accept his posting abroad by the U.S. paper in lieu of physical presence in this country, as fulfillment of the regulation. This was finally done.

But a private bill (as distinct from a public law applying to anybody) requires specific endorsement of the committee chairmen in-

volved and unanimous consent in both houses.

In the course of getting the bill through, one chairman invited my husband up to his office to look at the papers! They included an enormous FBI dossier on both of us, going back almost 15 years before he even decided to apply for citizenship. It was quite illegal to show the file. But the congressman, who is now dead, was a tough, experienced and rather freewheeling man who did things his own way.

I am not at all sure that it was even legal for him to have the raw file in his possession, instead of a processed FBI evaluation report. But he did, and it was a startling collection of trivial facts and fiction showing that reports had been trickling in for many years both from abroad and from various cities in the United States.

One was about a farewell party given for us in New York just before we sailed in 1947 to return to Warsaw, where my husband was stationed as correspondent. The report was right about some of the people there, simply invented some others and made big drama about a friend who had drunk a bit too much and stretched out on the floor to let his head clear.

There were some fancy political notions in other reports, but so obviously foolish and groundless that so far as I know they were not even considered worth an evaluation. Anyway, the congressman, who was a crusading anti-Communist, saw it for the garbage that is was and seemed, if anything, to be amused.

Presumably, it is still there and maybe there is a lot more by now. To the best of my knowledge there was nothing at all unusual about it; it was apparently a routine collection on reporters dealing with international affairs. We weren't even surprised, except by the fatness and fatuity of the dossier.

We had both seen police states in operation and, as President Nixon remarked to editors the other night, this wasn't a police state because nobody was actually using the silly information against us!

So it has been going for over a quarter of a century. There is something artless about the current arguments of whether 50 or 90 wiretaps have been authorized in a year. Our file showed there had been a variety of surveillance, and for no discernible reason except that reporters are supposed to meet "the most interesting people."

Two things have changed to make this old story worth dredging up now. One is technology, not just the gadgetry which makes it possible to eavesdrop without tapping a wire or planting a microphone, but most important the computer banks which make it easy to look up all the drivel as well as official and unofficial information (credit ratings, for example) stored about people. Before computers, you could figure that the rubbish would be snowed under by its own bulk.

The other change is the growing public sense that privacy really is endangered beyond any justifiable need for that vast bureacracy of government to know. I was a bit irritated, but not outraged, when I learned about that file a decade ago, perhaps because I really do know what a police state is and didn't take those shenanigans seriously. Now, I'm coming to think I was wrong. Not being nearly as bad as a totalitarian state when it comes to watching citizens whom there is no reason to suspect is not good at all for the United States.

It isn't just a matter of whether or not you trust J. Edgar Hoover. Those mountainous files are there, and regulations about access are not always so strictly observed. What has been coming out lately about surveillance is a bare tip of the iceberg. You too may have attracted more attention than you ever thought while the FBI has been going its own unquestioned way.

IN DEFENSE OF THE FBI

(Mr. SIKES asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. SIKES. Mr. Speaker, the Federal Bureau of Investigation is charged by law to find the facts on criminal activities and subversive activities in the United States. In fact, it has jurisdiction over 180 investigative matters ranging from espionage and treason to election law violations. The activities of the FBI constitute the only means which the U.S. Government has to seek out such information.

Now there is a crescendo of criticism against investigations by the FBI. It is claimed they cause great embarrassment. We have heard cries of anguish following revelations that militant organizations, whether of the right or left, clergymen, college professors, and even Congressmen, have been investigated.

America is threatened from within to a greater degree than ever before in its history. Crime is rampant. The crime rate continues to increase despite new laws and massive Federal expenditures to curb crime. America is entitled to know the facts both on crime and subversion. I know of no method by which facts can be determined without investigation. If the critics of the investigative process know of such a method, they owe it as part of their criticism to make it known. In fact, it is time for the critics of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and of J. Edgar Hoover to tell the American people what they offer as an alternative to this fine organization. We hear demands for the resignation of Mr. Hoover. Who would they name to replace him and what instructions would they give a new Director that they could not issue to the present Director?

More importantly, would the critics halt all investigations into crime and subversion? If not, to what degree would they tie the hands of the FBI in carrying on its work?

Mr. Speaker, it is unfortunate that in controversies such as this, the hard truth often is obscured by emotion. But it is not enough for critics of the FBI to demand only change. This is far too important a matter for shallow demands and fiery rhetoric. The very security of the United States may hang in the balance.

Let those who demand change be specific and let those of us who, for many years, have admired and respected Mr. Hoover and the FBI now stand firm to make certain the future Federal Bureau of Investigation is not hamstrung and crippled in its work, and the very security of the United States endangered.

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC LAND LAW REVIEW COMMISSION BEING REPRINTED

(Mr. ASPINALL asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous material.)

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I take this occasion to convey to our many colleagues who have been concerned about the availability of the final report of the Public Land Law Review Commission the information that we have been successful in obtaining a commitment that the report will be reprinted and once again be available for purchase from the Superintendent of Documents. I trust that my colleagues will so inform their constituents who have been inquiring about this matter.

We were pleased, Mr. Speaker, to find out at the beginning of last month that the Superintendent of Documents had sold 11,371 copies of the Commission's report, titled "One-Third of the Nation's Land." The report had been submitted to the President and the Congress on June 23, 1970, and was placed on sale at that time.

We were chagrined, however, to receive reports that people placing orders for "One-Third of the Nation's Land" were advised that it was permanently out of print. As the former Chairman of the Public Land Law Review Commission and chairman of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, which will consider most of the legislation involving modernization of the public land laws, I felt that it was most desirable to have the broadest possible distribution of the Commission's report. We, accordingly, immediately initiated discussions with representatives of the Public Printer concerning this matter. The Superintendent of Documents has ordered an additional 5,000 copies to be printed and we were advised that they will be available to the public in approximately 6 weeks; that is, the first week of June.

In making this announcement, I also want to express my thanks and appreciation to the Deputy Superintendent of Documents, Rowland E. Darling, and Mrs. Kathryn Jackson of his staff for the cooperative spirit in which they worked with our staff in resolving this matter.

In order to provide this information to as many people as possible, we have released a statement to the news media and, in accordance with permission previously granted, I include the release at this point in the RECORD:

PUBLIC LAND LAW REVIEW COMMISSION REPORT OBTAINS WIDE DISTRIBUTION—TO BE REPRINTED

Representative Wayne N. Aspinall (D-Colo.), Chairman of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs and former Chairman of the Public Land Review Commission stated that he was pleased to announce that the Government Printing Office is once again reprinting the Commission's report, *One Third of the Nation's Land*, which was submitted to the President and the Congress on June 23, 1970. After selling 11,371 copies, which was the total stock after a second printing, the Superintendent of Documents, in early March, started advising interested persons that the report was out of stock permanently.

"While I was delighted that the report had sold out," Chairman Aspinall stated, "I was dismayed, however, that the many others who were desirous of obtaining the report had apparently been unable to do so." The Chairman cited many instances with which he was personally familiar, involving students in the natural resources field, school libraries, and others engaged in research,

who had written to him seeking assistance in obtaining a copy of the report.

The announcement by Chairman Aspinall stated that as a result of discussions with the office of the Superintendent of Documents, the Superintendent has ordered an additional 5,000 copies to be printed. These will be available approximately the first week in June and will be sold by the Superintendent of Documents at the previously established price of $4.50 a copy. Orders should be sent to the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Chairman Aspinall concluded his statement with an appeal to all interested parties to become personally and thoroughly familiar with the report and recommendations of the Public Land Law Review Commission so that they can respond knowingly to legislation being considered and to be considered by the Congress for revision of the public land laws. While dates for hearings have not yet been set, there are several bills pending in both Houses of Congress relative to public land policy matters concerning which the Commission made recommendations. "In considering legislation referred to the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, we want to hear the views of as many people as possible," Chairman Aspinall said, "but we want their views to be based on personal knowledge of the contents of the report and not be based on some one else's interpretation."

PRESCRIPTIONS UNDER MEDICARE

(Mr. RONCALIO asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous material.)

Mr. RONCALIO. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to have this opportunity to add my support to the proposal that outpatient prescription drug coverage be provided under medicare.

This plan provides that individuals pay for their drugs during their working years rather than after they are retired and barely subsisting on fixed retirement incomes.

The outpatient prescription drug coverage plan provides for a formulary committee to establish a list of life-sustaining drugs to be covered under the program. Upon retirement, a person simply goe to any pharmacy of his choice participating in the program, and if the drug prescribed for his is among those listed, the cost of his prescription is one single dollar. There is no other charge. If the drug is not listed, he pays for it the same way he does now—out of his own pocket.

The financing of this proposal has the added advantage of being least expensive for the consumer during his working years, in that financing falls under the payroll tax portion of medicare, part A. This would eliminate the need for higher monthly premiums as would be necessary under part B.

I strongly urge that we, as Members of Congress, do all that we can to assure that our retired population will at least have the benefits of proper medication when it is needed.

TOWARD A NATIONAL LAND USE POLICY FOR URBAN AMERICA

(Mr. UDALL asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. UDALL. Mr. Speaker, the emergence of the environment as a national concern, if not a passion, needs no documenting here. Citizens from all walks of life have become vitally concerned with the quality of life and their concern has found outlet in the Congress and in State legislatures all over the country.

I believe that we have started the job of cleaning up our air and water. I believe that we have started the job of recycling waste and thus conserving our natural resources. I believe that we have started the job of finding new and more efficient ways of producing electric power without destroying our air and water in the process.

There is, however, another area of the pollution problem that has received inadequate attention that we must move on. The impact of our continuing population growth coupled with the mass migration of citizens from rural areas to the central cities and suburbs has produced many huge, concentrated urban areas where pollution problems have reached endemic proportions. The need to stem this increasing concentration I believe to be one of the most pressing and difficult problems that we face in the 20th century. If we do not, our end result as a Nation will be patterned on the model of urban sprawl. This urban sprawl will not only make for a less pleasant America for us all, but will compound the problems of controlling air and water pollution that we need so desperately to solve.

It is my belief that how we plan for the use of the land is the key to the future. If we can bring sense to present growth policies, while at the same time insuring that present efforts to check air and water pollution reach fruition, we will have a better tomorrow. If we do not, the largest urban area will continue to deteriorate. In medium size cities, and particularly in the West, lack of intelligent planning threatens to "Los Angelesize" the entire Nation. It could well be that the Arizona cities of the 1980's will be more like Los Angeles and New York with their brown skies, impacted inner city neighborhoods, and security guarded suburbs than the Tucson of today, if we continue down this road.

To plan for the future will be a difficult task. Before comprehensive land use planning can become a reality, we first are going to have to change some basic attitudes about the land, land ownership, and land development. Americans are and have been land value animals. For 200 years we have moved westward seeking title to land to live on and work. The pioneer spirit has held that the land you could buy or claim was yours to do with what you pleased. This same spirit permeates the society today and governs much of our approach to land use management.

The quest for the land has been a primary factor in developing our free enterprise system; a system that rejects Government intervention in the decisionmaking process of economic development. Notwithstanding important inroads into that philosophy, the belief in the worth of pure, unrestricted free enterprise exists and will be a barrier to the kind of land use planning that is needed.

A commonly overlooked fact is that it has been Government intervention on a massive scale which has produced 20th century America. At one time the Government owned almost all of the continental United States outside of the original 13 States. Today two-thirds of the Nation is in State or private hands—a result that only occurred because of liberal Government disposal policies in respect to the land and the natural resources contained on them that are the cornerstone of this modern industrial society.

With changing times Federal land policies have also changed and they do show a degree of enlightenment. In the last decade monumental steps have been taken to insure that future generations of Americans will be able to enjoy our greatest natural resources. In the 1960's the Congress established a national wilderness system, passed the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, added six new national seashores and acquired four new national parks. Anachronisms exist; for example, the Mining Law of 1872, but the Congress has by and large accepted the need for sound management and conservation of the public lands.

Unfortunately, enlightened Federal policies toward the public lands are not enough. As I have noted, disposal policies of the Federal Government have resulted in State or private ownership of two-thirds of the Nation. Federal public land policy is simply not determinative of the way these lands are developed and used.

By adding more land to the wilderness system, we add to the heritage there for future generations to enjoy, but we do not do a thing to alleviate the growth pressures on cities like San Jose and Phoenix. When we create new national parks, we protect scenic areas from industrial intrusion, but we do not take even a small step forward in resolving the controversies that erupt with regularity over the proposed citing of an industrial park or powerplant.

A further step is needed; State and private lands must be brought within the ambit of land use planning on a nationwide, systematic basis, and the planning must be coordinated with land use plans for public holdings. To do this a framework for governmental involvement will have to be created. Both State and Federal Government will have to participate for the action and inaction at both levels contribute to present-day difficulties.

At the Federal level the result of past failures to develop a scheme for considering the side effect of Federal public work activities has become apparent. Federally funded programs to build projects such as airports, highways, communication facilities, and defense plants have too often been undertaken without considering spin-off effects on land use and development.

Unfortunately, the same activities persist. The Bureau of Recreation is currently involved in planning for a nationwide recreation plan. The Department of Transportation is preparing highway and rapid transit plans. The Department of Housing and Urban Development is deeply involved in urban

planning. Exploration for minerals on a good portion of the public domain continues and the Department of the Interior has inadequate authority to supervise it.

Most of the plans are necessary and desirable but no one in the Congress or the Executive has ever attempted to put these plans together to see if they make sense, to see if they are consistent, or even to see if people at the local level want them. Not one of the agencies is given the direction needed nor adequate information to be able to judge the effects of their activities on urban development, population concentration, and pollution.

At the State level a different and more difficult set of land use problems are presented. Unless Federal lands are involved, the States now have the primary authority to regulate land development. Historically, the States have delegated their authority to units of local government. Various tools are employed at the local level to control land use and development, but they are generally employed ineffectively.

Perhaps the most commonly known land use tool is zoning, which allows local government to classify and segregate the land according to desired uses, and allows control of use and development to the point of taking, which requires just compensation. While ideally zoning should implement sound land use planning, it is usually honored in the breach once the pressure of development is on.

Suburban zoning practices present a special problem. In many areas zoning has become a tool to exclude residential, commercial, and industrial newcomers. In such communities, it is primarily used to discourage the kind of development that will cost more in municipal spending than it will pay in property taxes. This often means that apartment houses that accommodate large families, public housing, and even one-family homes on small lots are excluded. Thus in an age when there is tremendous pressure on the inner-city, more desirable areas on the fringes of the city are closed off to needed expansion. This tendency works primarily against blacks and other members of minority groups, further exacerbating already strained race relations. It is, of course, legitimate for suburban dwellers to want to sustain attractive neighborhoods, but the point is that there is too little overall planning for the highest and best use of all the land in large metropolitan areas.

The property tax has long been an important influence in land use decisionmaking. Tax policy is a vital factor that must be considered by any land investor and developer and can therefore have a real impact on the shape of our cities and the quality of their environment. A major cause of the deterioration of the central city has been the movement of the tax base elsewhere. At present it is common practice for improvements to property to be assessed at a higher value for levying the property tax than the original property. Consequently land owners in the cities are discouraged from improving structurally sound buildings or factories and replacing deteriorating ones. Beyond the suburbs, where much raw land still can be found, speculators have purchased land because of the low tax assessment and attracted developers there. Thus leapfrog development has become all too common, resulting in the common phenomena of urban sprawl.

Because of the jurisdictional fragmentation between governmental units, the property tax has encouraged local isolation from metropolitan area social problems. One jurisdiction might have a valuable industrial center located in it; another high value residential structures. In these areas revenue from the property tax is sufficient to provide for quality schools and governmental services. The inner-city, meanwhile, has relatively little revenue generated from the property tax, the result being inadequate schooling, governmental services, and environmental quality, with the blacks and minority group members again receiving the worst of it.

The threatened loss of the tax base has also forced some cities to offer concessions to industry. These concessions often include failure to enforce air and water pollution standards which in turn lessens environmental quality for the entire metropolitan area.

Another frequently misused land use planning tool is that of sewer and water permitting. In most communities, before sewer and water services may be extended to new housing and other facilities, certification that public capacities are adequate to handle the expected number of residents and that equipment for the same purpose meet local standards is required. When combined with adequate control over the use of wells and septic tanks, permitting can dictate the pace and direction of urban growth. Nevertheless, sewer and water lines today more often are installed in response to already uncoordinated and poorly thought out development.

An example of local government laxity is all too apparent in the Southwest. Many land retailers are now operating in parts of New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Texas, Nevada, and California with one thought in mind: Make a fast dollar on land promotion and development schemes—and then get out. Well financed speculators are being permitted to buy and sell the best remaining open land for future urban use without showing any concern for environmental values or the long-term livability of a region.

New Mexico provides a good example. Large ranches have been purchased in the areas surrounding Santa Fe and Albuquerque. Surveyors were then brought in to plot a grid of roads and chop up the land into small plots. Roads were then bulldozed across the land, creating dust pollution and soil erosion. Street signs were posted and the developments called "estates." The lots were sold, and are still being sold, through massive advertising campaigns to city dwellers in the East and Midwest.

In Arizona, a prime example of this same kind of development is taking place just a few miles south of Tucson. There the Gulf American Corp.—GAC—a Pennsylvania based land development firm, has purchased two large tracks of land with the original intent of selling immediately the lots carved from them and worrying about water, facilities, and industrial development later. The two areas contain close to 100,000 acres of prime desert land.

It has been argued that GAC should not be allowed to proceed at all, on the ground that our State has sufficient population and any increase can only mean a deterioration of environmental quality for existing areas. If the developments are not planned properly, this could very easily prove true. But with proper limits placed on GAC's activity, new people and development in southern Arizona could very well prove beneficial to those already present.

The Pima County Board of Supervisors has taken a first step in insuring that the smaller of GAC's projects—Empire Ranch—will be developed in a manner conducive to the well-being of the entire region. The board approved only a limited land sales plan, rejecting GAC's contention that unlimited land sales should be allowed immediately. GAC argued that if people could not first be attracted to the area, industry never would be. The argument has a certain appeal, but it ignores a very basic fact. If unlimited numbers are allowed to buy many small parcels of land, the failure of the seller to attract the right kind of industry needed to support a new community can only result in a 20th century ghost town. The corporation would have its profits, but for all practical purposes the land would be ruined both esthetically and for any worthwhile future commercial or recreational development.

If the wisdom of the Pima County Board of Supervisors were applied in other instances of land use conflicts, urban problems would not be as acute as they are today. In nearly every major community and major city, every State, and every region of the country, similar land use conflicts are being faced. The continued growth of the Nation and the increased size, scale, and impact of private actions, have created a situation in which many, if not most, land use decisions are not being rationally made. Instead, land use planning and management decisions are being made on a basis of expediency, tradition, outdated legal principles, and short-term economic considerations, all of which are basically unrelated to what the real concerns of land use management should be.

To change prevailing attitudes toward the land, close to 200 years of traditional land use and development practices will have to be overcome. But attitudes will have to change if land use problems are to be adequately handled and to start the process I believe a major legislative effort by the Congress is needed. Others have recognized this need. Senator HENRY JACKSON proposed a comprehensive land use bill in the last Congress and has done so again in the 92d Congress. Chairman ASPINALL has done the same in this body. President Nixon has proposed to the Congress a national land use policy. Today I join these distinguished gentlemen in this effort by introducing the National Land Use Policy Act of 1971.

The measure has three major aspects:

First, it would establish a grant-in-

aid program to assist State and local government in hiring and training personnel, and developing the expertise needed to improve land use planning and management at the State level.

Second, action forcing provisions are included which are designed to encourage every State through an agency to be designated by the Governor, to inventory their land resources and develop a statewide environmental, recreational, and industrial land use plan within 3 years. The States would be encouraged to assume appropriate land use management powers over those assets and resources which are of regional, statewide, or national significance. These might include ocean beaches; portions of major river systems and lakes; buffer zones around existing State and national parks and recreation areas; areas involving multiple county and interstate environmental problems such as air, water, and noise pollution; transportation and utility corridors; and areas which are compatible for heavy industry such as refineries, major metal processing plants and thermal powerplants.

Third, the act would assign to the Land and Water Resources Planning Council—now the Water Resources Council—the responsibility of administering the grant-in-aid program, working with State and local government, and reviewing State land use plans. In addition, the Council would have responsibility for coordinating Federal-State relations and for maintaining a data and information center on all Federal and federally assisted activities which have land use planning and management ramifications.

Passage of the bill could cause some problems for the States. Development and implementation of a statewide land use plan will require the creation of a new governmental agency in some States and the restructuring of existing institutions in others. The legislation sets forth certain minimal standards on environmental, recreational, and industrial land use planning which the statewide plan will have to meet to quality for continued grant-in-aid eligibility.

Within 4 years of the date of enactment of the bill, the statewide land use planning agency must have the authority to implement the resulting statewide plan. This would include the authority to acquire land; to control the types of development that would take place in areas subject to the plan; to conduct hearings allowing full public participation on any land use decisions made; and to make changes in the statewide plan when required by changed conditions. If a State should fail to adopt an acceptable land use plan within 4 years, the State's entitlement to certain additional Federal assistance programs, to be designated by the President, may be reduced at a rate of 30 percent per year until the State has complied with the act. Programs to be designated by the President would be those which tend to create land use problems unless they are properly planned. These might include Federal highway construction trust funds and other public work programs.

Some will object to this approach on the ground that it disturbs the traditional relationship between the Federal Government and the States in the area of land use planning and management. To be sure, the act would inject the Federal Government into the process to a degree well beyond anything previous. But it does rely on Federal-State cooperation, without which land use planning could not be effective, with the Federal Government having leverage to insure adequate performance at the State level.

My approach is to give the States both the resources and the authority to plan for future growth and to put teeth into any resulting plan. We have to determine how we want this country to proceed from the present—where we want needed new communities and the nature of those communities; where we want to put new highways, parks, houses, factories, airports, transmission lines, sewers, and all the other necessities of life.

Attitudes are difficult to change and time is running out. None of us wants to give up the flexibility that has been ours in dealing with private property, but it has become quite clear to me that the time has come for each of us to take a broad view of where we are and where we want to go. To do this we are going to have to involve our governmental institutions in the land use decisionmaking process. The alternative is simply unacceptable.

If we move now we can still shape our country so that all citizens can make both a good living and have a good life. If we wait, the opportunity may be forever lost. As Governor Sargent of Massachusetts has said:

> Given the predicted pressure of population growth and subsequent development expansion, land use planning both public and private must be tempered with an urgency of purpose. If we do not act now, the opportunities that are currently available will not exist come the end of the decade.

FORTY-EIGHT MEMBERS REQUEST FUNDING FOR LEAD-BASED PAINT POISONING PREVENTION ACT

(Mr. RYAN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, on January 14, 1971, the President signed into law the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act of 1971, Public Law 91–695. This legislation, which I introduced in its initial form as a package of three bills in March of 1969, creates a multiple-program approach aimed at detecting and treating lead-based paint poisoning, and at eradicating the sources of this disease.

It is estimated that 225,000 urban children between the ages of 1 and 6 are its victims; in New York City alone, some 30,000 children suffer from the disease. The effects of the disease are devastating—mental retardation, cerebral palsy, convulsive seizures, blindness, learning defects, behavior disorders, kidney diseases, and even death.

Yet the striking aspect of this disease is that it is preventable. Let me quote from an article by Dr. Jane S. Lin-Fu, pediatric consultant, Division of Health Services, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, entitled "Childhood Lead Poisoning—An Eradicable Disease," which appeared in Children magazine, January–February 1970, at pages 2 to 9.

> In the history of modern medicine, few childhood diseases occupy a position as unique as lead poisoning. It is a preventable disease. The etiology, pathogenesis, epidemiology, and symptomatology have all been well defined. Methods for screening, diagnosis, and treatment have long been available.

Yet, despite the fact that lead poisoning is preventable, this disease, as Dr. Lin-Fu writes, "exists in epidemic proportions in many cities."

The problem lies in the congruence of two factors. The first is a disposition in young children to eat foreign materials. This habit of eating such nonfood items as clay, plaster, ashes, starch, paint chips, and so forth is called "pica." The second factor is the presence of lead pigment paint on interior surfaces of many dwellings. These two factors combine to result in young children, most—but not all—living in decaying slum housing, eating the lead-tainted paint and plaster chips which fall from the walls and ceilings of their apartments and hallways and developing lead-based paint poisoning.

Today, throughout the Nation, lead-based paint poisoning afflicts thousands of small children. The actual number is impossible to know. Detection centers are very few; the symptomatology is confusing. Some indication may be obtained from various surveys which have been conducted in a few localities. In Cleveland, a survey among 549 children aged 12–35 months living in areas of old, poorly maintained housing disclosed that 28 percent had an abnormal urine that might be indicative of increased exposure to lead; 6.4 percent fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for lead poisoning. In Baltimore, among 604 children aged 7–60 months, 333 had clinical evidence or a history suggestive of increased exposure to lead. Of these 333, 148 had high blood lead levels. In Chicago, a survey of 500 patients from a suspected high incidence area disclosed that 7.9 percent had clinical or laboratory evidence compatible with the diagnosis of lead poisoning.

Putting aside consequences such as brain impairment, and looking at deaths only, we find that the incidence of deaths ran at 25 percent between 1959 and 1963 in Chicago. In Cleveland, the mortality rate for lead poisoning from 1952 through 1958 was 30 percent.

It is because the disease is preventable that it was so encouraging that the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act was enacted into law. Finally, there appeared to be a focused, comprehensive attack on lead-based paint poisoning. And only good could come of such an attack.

Let me very briefly outline the act. First, the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare is authorized to make grants to units of local government to develop and carry out local programs to detect and treat lead-based paint poisoning. For fiscal year 1971, $3,330,000 is authorized, and for fiscal year 1972 $6,660,000.

Second, the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare is authorized to make grants to units of general local

government for programs to identify those areas that present a high risk to the health of residents because of the presence of lead-based paints and then to develop and carry out elimination programs. For fiscal year 1971, $5 million is authorized, and for fiscal year 1972, $10 million.

Third, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development is to conduct a research and demonstration program to determine the nature and extent of the lead-based paint poisoning problem, and methods of removing lead-based paints from interior surfaces, porches, and exterior surfaces of residential housing with which children might come into contact. For fiscal year 1971, $1,670,000 is authorized, and for fiscal year 1972, $3,340,000.

In sum, the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act authorizes $10 million for the current fiscal year and $20 million for fiscal year 1972.

In addition, the act directs the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to prohibit the use of lead-based paint in residential structures constructed or rehabilitated by the Federal Government or with Federal assistance.

Despite Congress mandate, by virtue of passage of the act, to get to work and eradicate this devastating, yet preventable, disease, the administration has made no request for funds, either for fiscal year 1971 or for fiscal year 1972. We have already wasted 3 months since enactment of the act, and the end of this fiscal year approaches. Yet there is $10 million authorized for fiscal year 1971 which can and should be used—particularly, the $8,330,000 authorized for the grant programs.

I do not believe we can afford to waste any more time. So, too, do the 44 Members who have joined me in writing to Secretary Elliot Richardson, of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, urging him to request funding of the antilead poisoning programs, both for the current fiscal year and for fiscal year 1972.

As we said in our letter of April 23, 1971:

> We believe it essential that funds be provided to implement Public Law 91-695. We believe it essential that your Department submit requests, both for a supplemental appropriation for this fiscal year in the amount of $10 million and for full funding for the next fiscal year—$20 million. We urge you in the strongest terms to act affirmatively.

In dollars and cents terms—and I certainly think that when we are talking about children's lives such terms should not even be a consideration—there really is no justification for not requesting the funds. As I said when I testified last week, on April 21, before the Subcommittee on Labor, Education, and Public Welfare of the Senate Appropriations Committee, chaired on that day by my distinguished friend, the gentleman from New Mexico (Senator MONTOYA):

> If the child victimized by lead-based paint poisoning has encephalopathy—the most acute form of lead poisoning, which results in acute swelling of the brain and extensive damage to brain cells—and sustains brain damage severe enough to require lifetime institutionalization, the total estimated cost is in excess of $200,000. If the damage is less severe and just special schooling is required, the costs will be approximately $17,000. If the diagnosis is made prior to the onset of encephalopathy and if no significant brain damage has yet occurred, the cost is still considerable. Of course, these costs do not take into account the intangible factors of grief and of loss of a productive life.
>
> Now, I do not have any brief for talking in terms of dollars and cents about children's lives. But, even in those callous terms, the figures are clear. Fifty severely impaired children this year, required permanent institutionalization, will produce total costs of $10 million—the same amount authorized for this fiscal year by the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act.
>
> And I remind you, there are 30,000 children in New York City alone suffering from this disease. How much saner, how much more compassionate, to spend money now, so we can spare children death, or retardation, or blindness, or cerebral palsy.

At this point, I include the letter to Secretary Richardson which I and 44 of my colleagues have sent, and which our distinguished colleagues from Florida (Mr. FASCELL), Maryland (Mr. GUDE), and Washington (Mr. MCCORMACK), support:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, D.C., April 23, 1971.

HON. ELLIOT RICHARDSON,
Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SECRETARY RICHARDSON: As you know, the President signed the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act into law on January 14, 1971, as P.L. 91-695. This law provides hope for the thousands of small children who are afflicted, and who will be afflicted, by lead-based paint poisoning. This is a man-created disease which can be prevented, if only sufficient resources are provided to combat it.

Thus far, your Department has not made a formal request for funds, either for fiscal year 1971, or for fiscal year 1972. Yet the Congress very clearly expressed its intent that anti-lead poisoning programs be undertaken by passage of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act, which authorizes $10 million for the current fiscal year, and $20 million for fiscal year 1972.

We believe it essential that funds be provided to implement Public Law 91-695. We believe it essential that your Department submit requests, both for a supplemental appropriation for this fiscal year in the amount of $10 million, and for full funding for the next fiscal year—$20 million. We urge you in the strongest terms to act affirmatively.

With best regards,

Sincerely,

William F. Ryan, Bella S. Abzug, Joseph P. Addabbo, Herman Badillo, Nick Begich, Mario Biaggi, Jonathan B. Bingham, Frank J. Brasco, James A. Burke of Massachusetts, Shirley Chisholm, William L. Clay.

James C. Cleveland, John J. Conyers, James C. Corman, Dominick V. Daniels, Ronald V. Dellums, John H. Dent, John G. Dow, Robert F. Drinan, Don Edwards of California, Joshua Eilberg, Edwin B. Forsythe.

Donald M. Fraser, James G. Fulton, Henry B. Gonzalez, Seymour Halpern, Michael Harrington, William D. Hathaway, Augustus F. Hawkins, Ken Hechler of West Virginia, Henry Helstoski, Frank Horton, Edward I. Koch.

Romano L. Mazzoli, Abner J. Mikva, Patsy T. Mink, Parren J. Mitchell, William S. Moorhead, John E. Moss, Robert N. C. Nix, Peter A. Peyser, Charles B. Rangel, Paul S. Sarbanes, James H. Scheuer.

THE SECRET BALLOT IN LABOR ELECTIONS

(Mr. MICHEL asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. MICHEL. Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the National Labor Relations Act which will guarantee America's working men and women the right to vote in the selection of unions to bargain for them with their employers.

One might well ask, Mr. Speaker, why such an amendment should be needed.

When Congress passed the Taft-Hartley Act in 1947, it expressly directed the National Labor Relations Board—in finding if the workers wanted a union—not to use the practice of counting so-called authorization cards, a very doubtful practice that had grown up under the Wagner Act and which had been badly discredited because of the abuses connected with it. These authorization cards were intended to reflect employee interest in a union, and if there was a sufficient showing of interest then the NLRB would hold a secret ballot election among the employees to find out if the majority wanted a union or not. All of which seems to make sense. However, under the Wagner Act, the Labor Board all too often dispensed with the vote and used the cards. The result was that workers and employers alike were many times saddled with a union that did not represent the majority, and where in any event the question had not been submitted to the employees for a vote. As I said, Mr. Speaker, Congress in 1947 expressly struck down this practice of using cards instead of a vote.

For some years, the NLRB observed this congressional directive. But—believe it or not, Mr. Speaker—and many Members of the House have become aware of this, the Labor Board in the last few years has exhumed and reinstated the prohibited practice of relying on these cards instead of the secret ballot election. This is a flagrant disregard of congressional intent, and a wholly unwarranted infringement of employee rights. And so, regrettably, it becomes necessary to write the law all over again—in the hope that this time the NLRB may get and retain the message.

I want to emphasize here, Mr. Speaker, that this amendment does not interfere with the hundreds upon hundreds of cases where employers have lawfully and voluntarily recognized a union which is in fact the legitimate, majority voice of the workers in the bargaining unit involved. It applies only where a question as to this majority status is raised before the Labor Board. In those cases, this amendment will prevent the Board from forcing the recognition of a particular union, unless that union was chosen by secret ballot as the representative of the workers in the bargaining unit. In short, the Board cannot use the card check any longer to force a union on employees, or to force an employer to bargain with a union his employees have not freely chosen.

This, it seems to me, is a totally fair approach, an approach in keeping with the American tradition of free choice.

Justice and fairness require that America's working men and women not be treated as second-class citizens, and this amendment will restore to them an important right the NLRB has denied them.

INTRODUCING THE NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 1971

(Mr. BYRNES of Wisconsin asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. BYRNES of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing H.R. 7741 the National Health Insurance Partnership Act of 1971 on behalf of the administration. I am appending to my remarks a summary of the bill and a section-by-section analysis. The bill proposes a national health partnership between the Government, the private sector, and all of our people. The plan builds on the proven features of our existing system to meet our real needs for increased quality of care, broadened health care protection, improved efficiency, and greater cost control.

The real advances in medical science and the increased availability of health care in recent years demonstrates that much is right and worth preserving in our health care system in the United States. At the same time, there are inefficiencies in the organization of health care services, and many citizens who do not have adequate health care protection. We are all concerned about the escalating costs of health care in recent years.

Mr. Speaker, it is clear that a thorough review of our health care problems should be undertaken by the Congress with a view toward developing a program that will meet the needs we face. However, we do not want to throw out the baby with the bath water. We must preserve those features of our existing system that have led to advances in medical science, and increased the availability and quality of care to all our citizens. The administration's proposal attempts to chart a middle ground, preserving that which has proven successful, while making the changes essential to meet the problems we face.

The legislation would require that all employers, exclusive of Government and religious organizations, provide a health insurance plan to employees with a substantial labor force attachment. The plan, patterned after the low-option Aetna plan currently available to Federal employees, would include inpatient hospital services; physicians' and other health services; well-baby care; and annual eye examinations to age 12. Deductibles and coinsurance would also be provided.

Individuals not covered by an insurance plan through an employment relationship would be covered under a companion family health insurance plan—FHIP—financed by the Federal Government. Individuals covered under FHIP would be required to make premium contributions if their income is above $3,000. Premium contributions would increase commensurate with increased income, until the individual pays the full premium cost at $3,000 of annual income.

During the first 2½ years of the plan, employers would contribute 65 percent of the premiums and employees 35 percent; thereafter, employers would contribute 75 percent of the cost and employees 25 percent. When first effective on July 1, 1973, the cost of the plan for a married employee is estimated to cost about $390 per year, with the employer paying about $250.

The bill I have introduced differs from the bill proposed by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare by providing a Federal subsidy to small employers for whom the additional costs imposed would represent an increase in payroll costs that, in the absence of the relief provided, would impose an undue burden on small business and adversely affect employment of low-skill workers. The bill provides that when the employer's costs exceed 4 percent of the wages he pays employees covered by the plan, the Government will pick up the excess costs for up to 10 employees. An employer with one employee earning the minimum wage would receive a Federal subsidy of about $120, reducing his initial before-tax cost from $250 to $130 per year. His after-tax cost, would of course be further reduced. This provision would assure that the cost of providing health insurance would not exceed 4 percent of payroll for employers with 10 or less employees.

The bill also assures that all employers would be permitted a Federal income tax deduction for the unsubsidized premium contributions required by the new act. Employers not engaged in a trade or business, such as an individual employing domestic help, cannot deduct such costs under present tax law.

These amendments to the administration bill, worked out with the cooperation and assistance of the administration, provide the basis for resolving a problem that the President recognizes and agrees deserves careful consideration.

The National Health Insurance Partnership Act represents a middle ground for resolving deficiencies in our present system of health care protection consistent with American traditions of self-help. While there are areas of the proposal that Congress must carefully review, the proposed plan represents an important initiative to improve our health care system that is deserving of the most careful consideration by the Congress.

A summary and section-by-section description of the act follows:

SUMMARY: NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 1971

TITLE I—NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE STANDARDS

Adds part A of a new title VI to the Social Security Act. Title VI entitled "Health Benefits for Employees and for Low-Income Families." Contains congressional findings and declaration of purpose to establish base for reaching all employers, regardless of nexus with interstate commerce.

Part A of new title VI cited as the "National Health Insurance Standards Act".

1. Requires all employers to make available a basic health care plan to employees (except religious, Federal, State, and local employees, or persons eligible for Medicare) who have been employed for 25 hours a week in 10 out of 13 weeks or 350 hours in 13 weeks. Requirement delayed for employers under unexpired union contracts.

The bill also provides a subsidy to small employers whose average premium costs for workers they must cover exceeds four percent of the average wages paid to such workers. The subsidy would be equal to the amount of this excess multiplied by the number of such workers not in excess of ten employees.

The Internal Revenue Code would also be amended to permit all employers, whether or not they are engaged in a trade or business to deduct the subsidized premium costs an employer incurs. The deduction will be allowed as a business expense to the taxpayer whether he itemizes deductions or takes the standard deduction.

2. Benefits under this plan patterned after Aetna low option plan. Benefits include inpatient hospital services, physicians and other services, well-child care (to age 5), annual eye examination (to age 12). Psychiatric care, drugs to outpatients, and prosthetic devices excluded. At the option of the beneficiary, services may be provided by Christian Science practitioner or nurse, or in Christian Science sanitorium. Provides two-day deductible on room and board in a hospital; on all else, $100 deductible (for no more than three members of family) and 25% coinsurance are imposed, except there is no deductible or coinsurance for well-child (to age 5) care. After an individual has received $5000 in services under the plan in a calendar year, all coinsurance and deductibles waived with respect to services to that individual for the remainder of the year and the next two years. Total benefits per person covered under the plan cannot be limited to less than $50,000, with automatic restoration of $2,000 annually required.

3. Prohibits preexisting condition disqualification from applying for more than six months (not allowed at all for maternity care).

4. Also, requires plan to provide that: no more than 25% employee contribution to premium (35% for first two and a half years) will be required; hospitals providing services must have ultilization review plan; reimbursement for services are subject to reasonable cost and reasonable charges limits of Medicare; appropriate arrangements must be made by carrier to avoid duplicative coverage; employee (or surviving spouse) may continue coverage (with the same rate of employer participation in the premium) for up to 90 days after termination of employment if he has had coverage under the plan for thirteen or more weeks; and Professional Standards Review Organization provisions (which would be added by H.R. 1) would apply to extent determined by Secretary. Plan may contain additional benefits, but they must be offered optionally and no limit on contributions to premiums or other requirements are applicable to the optional benefits.

5. Plan also required to offer HMO option. HMO (health maintenance organization) definitions and standards follow, insofar as applicable, those in the Social Security bill (H.R. 1) with the Department's recommended changes.

6. Insurance companies must develop group policy (with same benefits as described) for small employers to whom part A applies, self-employed individuals, and others not covered under part A or B of this title, or under title XVIII. Thus, State and local employees, since the obligation does not apply to public employers, could participate under these provisions.

7. Insurer must notify Secretary when employer subscribes to a plan; self-insurer must notify Secretary of what his plan provides. Secretary is to approve plan which meets requirements. Hearings and judicial review, including suits to compel performance, are

authorized, and the employee is given a cause of action.

8. Definitions—family is employee, spouse, and children or step-children. Definitions of care and health services are cross-referenced to Medicare.

9. Effective date—July 1, 1973.

TITLE II—FAMILY HEALTH INSURANCE PLAN

Adds a new part B to title VI, cited as the "Family Health Insurance Act".

1. Provides health insurance to family members who aren't eligible for coverage under the National Health Insurance Standards Act (part A of title VI) or Medicare (title XVIII), where the annual family income is less than $5,000 for a family of four (and comparable amounts for families of other sizes) and family resources less than $1,500. Family defined (as in the Family Assistance Plan) to include all persons related by blood or marriage and living in the same household where there is a child present.

2. Coverage is compulsory for eligible family members where FAP and State supplementary payments equal or exceed the amount of the premium. Coverage for others is optional.

3. Eligibility (with respect to the income levels) is determined prospectively for 6 month periods. Usually based on income for preceding period, unless the Secretary finds that circumstances have changed. Redeterminations may be made during the 6 month period if there have been changes affecting eligibility. Termination is effective at the end of the 6 month period in which the finding of ineligibility is made, unless the individual is not eligible for coverage under part A or title XVIII, in which case termination is not effective for an additional quarter.

4. The only exclusions of income are for in-kind benefits (food stamps, home grown produce, etc.) and payments for a foster child. FAP payments and State supplementation are counted as income.

5. Premiums depend on family income and size, and are computed with reference to income classes. Premiums range from no charge for families in income class 1, to $100 for families in income class 5. Payment of premiums, in the case of a mandatory insured, is by withholding from his title IV benefits, and the Secretary may withhold premiums from any other payments due from the Secretary, such as OASDI benefits.

6. Procedures under FAP are generally made applicable.

7. Benefits in a calendar year for a family member: 30 days inpatient hosiptal care (or equivalent days of extended care services or home health services), emergency services, and physicians visits, additional physicians visits for well-child care (including immunizations), lab services in connection with physicians' services, maternity care, family planning services.

8. A system of income classes, that is, ranges of income for families of varying sizes, is established for purposes of computing premiums, deductibles, and coinsurance. A deductible of 1 day of charges for room and board in a hospital is provided for families in income classes 2, 3, or 4, and 2 days for families in income class 5. On other services, except well-baby care, maternity care, and family planning services, there is a deductible of $50 per family for those in income classes 3 and 4, and $100 in class 5. The coinsurance is at the rate of 10% for income class 4 and 25% for income class 5. (There is no coinsurance for families in income classes 1, 2 or 3.)

9. Various Medicare provisions are made applicable, such as the provisions on reasonable cost, reasonable charges, utilization review, etc. Some exclusions as Medicare are provided except physical check-ups are not excluded. The Professional Standards Review Organization provisions of title XI, as would be added by H.R. 1, are made applicable to payments under this part.

10. A health maintenance organization alternative as in H.R. 1 (with Department proposed modifications) is provided.

11. Agreements with States authorized under which the Secretary will administer additional benefits for persons with FHIP coverage on behalf of the State. The State would pay the costs of the additional benefits, but not the administrative costs. If the additional benefits are not available to all persons covered by FHIP, the Secretary must find that the limitations imposed by the State are not inconsistent with the purposes of FHIP.

12. The effective date of Title II is July 1, 1973.

TITLE III—RESTRICTION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS TO AGED, BLIND, DISABLED AND CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

Provides that the only mandatory coverage groups under State Medicaid plans will be the aged, blind, and disabled within the meaning of title XVI who are either receiving cash assistance under the State title XVI plan, or whose income and resources meet the requirements of such plan—and also children receiving foster care under a State plan approved under part A of title IV. State Medicaid plans may optionally include the aged, blind, and disabled who are medically indigent (whether or not they meet the income and resources tests of the title XVI plan) and also medically indigent children who are not eligible for health insurance coverage under a plan approved under the National Health Insurance Standards Act or under the family health insurance plan.

TITLE IV—INAPPLICABILITY OF STATE LAW TO HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATIONS

Makes inapplicable to HMO's any State law or regulations which are inconsistent with the obligations of the organizations under agreements with the Secretary to provide services under the family health insurance plan or under the Secretary's regulations to carry such agreement out. Also makes inapplicable, in the case of any physician affiliated with any such organization, any State law or regulation which interferes with the performance of functions of the physician by any employee of the physician or the organization. The employee performing the functions would, however, have to comply with criteria of the Secretary.

TITLE V—GENERAL

Contains a severability clause in case any provision of the bill or any application of any provision of the bill is held invalid. Such a holding would not affect the rest of the bill and any other applications thereof.

SECTION BY SECTION DESCRIPTION OF THE "NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 1971"

TITLE I OF THE BILL—NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE STANDARDS

Section 101 of the bill establishes in a new title VI of the Social Security Act (1) a National Health Insurance Standards Act (part A of title VI) requiring employers to offer their employees a basic health insurance plan meeting specified minimum standards; and (2) of Family Health Insurance Act (part B of title VI) providing health insurance for low-income families which are not eligible for the coverage required under part A.

TITLE VI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT—HEALTH BENEFITS FOR EMPLOYEES AND FOR LOW-INCOME FAMILIES

Section 600. Findings and Declaration of Purpose.—Based on the findings of the Congress that many Americans are not receiving adequate health care because they lack ability to pay, and lack adequate protection against, the high costs of health care, so that the national health and in turn the national defense and general welfare are adversely affected, Title I of the bill is established to provide adequate protection against these costs through a family health insurance plan and a requirement that employers, whether or not engaged in interstate commerce, provide basic health care protection to their employees.

Part A—Health Insurance Standards for Employers

Section 610. Short Title.—Part A may be cited as the "National Health Insurance Standards Act."

Section 602. Basic Health Care Plan Required.—All employers are required to make available a basic health care plan to employees and members of their families (except to ministers and members of religious orders, Federal, State, and local employees, and persons entitled to protection under part A of Medicare) who have been employed for 25 hours a week in 10 out of 13 weeks or 350 hours in 13 weeks. These requirements are delayed for employers under unexpired union contracts.

A Federal subsidy is provided to assist small employers. The subsidy would be payable to employers whose average premium costs for workers they must cover exceeds four percent of the average wages paid to such employees. The amount of the subsidy would be equal to the amount of this excess multiplied by the number of such employees not in excess of ten employees.

Section 603. Benefits.

The benefits under this plan are patterned after the Governmentwide indemnity benefit plan under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act.

Benefits under an approved plan must include inpatient hospital services, physicians' and other services, well-child care (to age 5), and annual eye examination (to age 12). Psychiatric care, drugs to outpatients, and prosthetic devices need not be covered. At the option of the beneficiary, services may be provided by a Christian Science practitioner or nurse, or in a Christian Science sanatorium.

The plan must provide a two-day deductible and 25 percent coinsurance on room and board in a hospital in each calendar year; on all else, a $100 deductible (for no more than three members of family) and 25 percent coinsurance are imposed, except that there is no deductible or coinsurance for well-child care. After an individual has received $5,000 in services under the plan in a calendar year, all coinsurance and deductibles are waived on services to that individual for the remainder of the year and the next two years.

Total benefits per person covered under the plan cannot be limited to less than $50,000, and automatic restoration of $2,000 annually is required.

Services needed because of preexisting conditions may be excluded for no more than six months (no such exclusion is allowed for maternity care).

Other requirements for approved plans: no more than 25 percent employee contribution to premium (35 percent for the first two and a half years) may be required; hospitals providing services must have a utilization review plan; reimbursement for services are subject to reasonable cost and reasonable charge limits under title XVIII (Medicare); appropriate arrangements must be made by the carrier to avoid duplicative coverage; the employee (or surviving spouse) may continue coverage (with the same rate of employer participation in the premium) for up to 90 days after termination of employment if he has had coverage under the plan for thirteen or more weeks; and Professional Standards Review Organization provisions of title XI (which would be added by H.R. 1) as they apply to payments under title XVIII and XIX would apply to this plan to the extent determined by the Secretary. The plan may contain benefits in addi-

tion to those that are required, but they must be offered optionally and no limit on contributions to premiums is specified and no other requirements of the bill are applicable to the optional benefits.

Section 604. Enrollment in Health Maintenance Organizations.—The plan also is required to offer the option to receive care through a health maintenance organization (HMO). HMO definitions and standards would follow, insofar as applicable, those that would be required under titles XVIII and XIX.

Section 605. Group Policies for the Self-Employed, Small Employers, and for Individuals Not Covered Under Part A of Title XVIII or Part B of Title VI.—Insurance companies that underwrite employer sponsored plans must also develop group policies (with the same benefits as described in section 603) for use by small employers and for protection for self-employed individuals and others not otherwise eligible for benefits under part A or B of this title or under part A of title XVIII. A small employer is one who employs fewer than 100 employees on each of 90 days during a period of 180 consecutive days.

Section 606. Approval of Basic Health Care Plan.—Each insurer must notify the Secretary when an employer subscribes to a plan; an employer who self-insures must notify the Secretary of what his plan provides. The Secretary is to approve those plans which meet the requirements established by this Act.

Section 607. Administrative and Judicial Review.—Hearings and judicial review, including suits to compel performance, are authorized; and the employee may institute court action to compel his employer to make a health care plan available.

Section 608. Definitions.—The terms "employee," "family," "child," "pediatric nurse associate," and "Christian Science nurse" are defined. The terms "inpatient hospital services," "medical and other health services," "physicians' services" and "carrier" shall have the same meaning as when used in title XVIII.

Section 102 of the Bill—Technical amendment.

Section 103 of the Bill—Specifies an effective date of July 1, 1973.

Section 104 of the Bill—Transitional provision.—Provides that the 25 percent limitation on contributions to premiums established in section 603 shall apply only to the period beginning January 1, 1976. For the period July 1, 1973, through December 31, 1975, the limitation shall be 35 percent.

Section 105 of the Bill—Deduction of Employer Premiums.—The Internal Revenue Code is amended to permit all employers, whether or not they are engaged in a trade or business, to deduct the unsubsidized premium costs an employer incurs as a business expense. The deduction will be available whether the taxpayer itemizes his deductions or takes the standard deduction.

TITLE II OF THE BILL—FAMILY HEALTH INSURANCE PLAN

Section 201 of the bill adds to the new title VI of the Social Security Act a new part—part B—entitled "Family Health Insurance Plan."

Part B—Family Health Insurance Plan

Section 620. Short title.

Part B may be cited as the "Family Health Insurance Act."

Section 621. Purpose.—The purpose of the new part is to assist low-income families with children to obtain adequate insurance coverage to meet their health needs, in a manner which will encourage the efficient and economical use of resources for health care, through the establishment of the family health insurance plan.

Section 622. Eligibility.—Each family, as defined in section 625, which includes one or more members who are not entitled to Medicare hospital insurance or to health insurance under the National Health Insurance Standards Act (Part A of title VI) is entitled to health insurance benefits under this new part B, provided that the total annual family income does not exceed specified limits and that total family resources do not exceed $1500. Income limits range from $2500 for one-member families to $7000 for families of seven or more members. The limit for a family of four is $5000.

Family health insurance coverage is provided to any such family for any month in which one or more members receives cash benefits under the Family Assistance Plan or under a State program supplemental to the Family Assistance Plan.

Eligibility and premium amounts will be determined within 30 days following the family's initial filing for family health insurance coverage and every six months thereafter. The Secretary will estimate the family's income for the forthcoming six-month period, basing his estimate, ordinarily, on the family's income for a preceding period. Redeterminations of eligibility and premium amounts will be made whenever the Secretary has reason to believe they are needed.

To the extent that he finds them relevant, the Secretary, in making determinations of eligibility and premium amounts, may adopt determinations of family income made under the Family Assistance Plan or under a State program supplementing the Family Assistance Plan.

The Secretary may by regulations determine when income received, or expenses incurred, in one period shall be considered as income received or expenses incurred in another period or periods.

Each family member whose coverage is terminated will be so advised by the Secretary and the termination will take effect with the close of the six-month period in which notice is given. Where the terminated beneficiary is not entitled to Medicare's hospital insurance and is not a member of a family covered by The National Health Insurance Standards Act, the termination will take effect with the last day of the quarter following the six-month period in which the coverage determination is made.

Provision is made for setting special income limits where a family's gross income, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, is from a trade or business (including farming).

Section 623. Meaning of Income.—Both earned and unearned income is counted. Specific definitions are provided. Family assistance benefits and State supplementary payments are counted, but food stamps are not counted, nor are amounts received for care of foster children.

The amount of income attributed to each member of an eligible family will be determined by dividing the family's total income by the total number of family members eligible for coverage under this part.

For purposes of determining premiums, deductibles and coinsurance amounts, families are classified by size and total income in accordance with a table included in the bill.

Section 624. Resources.—In determining "resources," the Secretary will exclude the family home, household goods, personal effects, and property so essential to family self support as to warrant its exclusion. Regulations will specify the effect that disposition of a family's property will have on eligibility.

Section 625. Definition of a Family and Child.—The terms "family" and "child" are defined. A parent (or spouse of such parent) who is absent because of employment or search for employment will be counted as part of the family. A "child" must be under age 18 or, if between 18 and 22, must be attending a college, university, or other school in preparation for employment.

In determining eligibility and premium amounts, income of any person that is not available to the family will not be counted (except for the income of a parent or spouse of a parent). Where the person is a child, he will be included in determining the family's eligibility but not in determining its premium amount.

Section 626. Benefits.—In each calendar year, each covered member of a family shall be eligible for coverage of 30 days of inpatient hospital care (or equivalent days of extended care or home health services), emergency services, outpatient and inpatient physicians' services, and other services. Also covered are well-child care, with the number of occasions of such care being limited by the child's age; routine eye examinations for children under 12 years of age; and maternity care and family planning services and related drugs and devices. Psychiatric care and posthetic devices are excluded.

Deductibles and coinsurance vary in accordance with the income class of eligible families. (The classes range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest eligible income class.) A deductible of one day of charges for room and board in a hospital is provided for families in income classes 2, 3, or 4, and 2 days for families in income class 5. The deductible for services other than well-baby care, maternity care, and family planning services is $50 per family for those in income classes 3 and 4, and $100 in class 5. Coinsurance is at the rate of 10 percent for income class 4 and 25 percent for income class 5. There is no coinsurance for families in income classes 1, 2, or 3.

Hospitals providing services must have a utilization review plan; reimbursement for services is subject to reasonable cost and reasonable charge limits under title XVIII (Medicare); appropriate arrangements must be made by the carrier to avoid duplicate coverage; Professional Standards Review Organization provisions of title XI (which would be added by H.R. 1) as they apply to payments under titles XVIII and XIX would apply to the extent determined by the Secretary; the same exclusions that apply under title XVIII would apply, except that physical checkups are covered; and State agencies, intermediaries, carriers, and other private agencies or organizations, will be utilized by the Secretary to the extent he finds appropriate in carrying out the program.

Section 627. Premiums.—Premiums are based on the family's income class, taking into account the family's size. (A family in income class 1 pays no premiums.) Premiums are to be paid as prescribed in regulations. They may be deducted from payments due any member of the covered family under any title of the Social Security Act. The Secretary may request that premiums be deducted from State benefits supplementing Federal Family Assistance benefits. Family members who are dissatisfied with any determination made under the program have opportunity for hearing and review.

Section 628. Payments to Health Maintenance Organizations.—Provision is made for families to choose to receive covered services through a health maintenance organization. The HMO option is like that proposed for Medicare under H.R. 1.

Section 629. Consolidated Federal-State Health Benefits.—States may enter into agreements with the Secretary under which the State would provide benefits supplemental to benefits under this new part, with the State paying the cost of the additional benefits and the Federal Government paying the administrative costs.

Section 630. Definitions.—The terms "inpatient hospital services," "physicians' services," "medical and other services," "extended care services," "home health services," "provider of services," and "carrier" have the same meaning as when used under title XVIII. The term "pediatric nurse associate" is defined.

Section 202 of the bill sets July 1, 1973, as the effective date of the program.

TITLE III OF THE BILL—RESTRICTION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS TO AGED, BLIND, DISABLED AND CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

Title XIX of the Social Security Act is amended to provide that the only mandatory coverage groups under State Medicaid plans will be the aged, blind, and disabled within the meaning of title XVI who are either receiving cash assistance under the State title XVI plan, or whose income and resources meet the requirements of such plan—and also children receiving foster care under a State plan approved under part A of title IV. State Medicaid plans may optionally include the aged, blind, and disabled who are medically indigent (whether or not they meet the income and resources tests of the title XVI plan) and also children receiving foster care (whether or not they meet all of the requirements of part A of title IV).

TITLE IV OF THE BILL—INAPPLICABILITY OF STATE LAW TO HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATIONS

Health maintenance organizations providing services pursuant to agreement with the Secretary under title VI of the Social Security Act (National Health Insurance Standards Act and Family Health Insurance Act) would be exempted from State laws or regulations inconsistent with the agreement or with the Secretary's regulations.

Physicians affiliated with such health maintenance organizations may delegate any of their functions to employees, either of the physicians or of the organization, subject to the Secretary's limitations and requirements, notwithstanding any State laws or regulations; no State law or regulation may prevent, interfere with, or penalize any such physician, employee, or organization for so doing.

TITLE V—GENERAL; SEVERABILITY

Provides that if any provision of this legislation is held invalid, all other provisions shall remain in effect; and that if any provision is held invalid in part, the provision shall remain in effect with respect to all other applications.

A GI PETITION

(Mr. BINGHAM asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, last Tuesday, during hearings before the Subcommittee on National Security Policy and Scientific Developments of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, the subcommittee heard testimony from a representative of the Vietnam Veterans Against the War. Unfortunately, there was not enough time to hear all those who wanted to speak.

In order to provide other members of the group an opportunity to present factual testimony, the gentleman from Illinois, Congressman PAUL FINDLEY, also a member of the subcommittee, and I, held informal hearings on Friday, April 23, at which a number of Vietnam veterans appeared and presented valuable testimony. The hearing was taped and a transcript will be inserted in the record when completed.

One of the witnesses was William W. Lemmer, a specialist 4th class on active duty stationed at Fort Benning, Ga. Sp./4 Lemmer gave Congressman FINDLEY and myself a petition, containing 112 signatures, most of them active duty personnel and their dependents.

This impressive petition asks for an immediate end to this war. "We ask for the lives of those who will die in a prolonged effort."

The petition includes a comment on the Mylai massacre:

The recent My Lai incident is only one of many more to come to light in the near future and serves as an example of the crimes committed against the people of Vietnam; point-blank in the case of My Lai, miles high in the case of the bombings of the North.

Finally, the petition also mentions the harassments which men in the Armed Forces face if they oppose the war. The petition pleads:

While our right to petition Congress remains intact, help us to retain the right to read what we want without having it seized and say what we want without being forcefully silenced. Otherwise we become what we claim to be fighting.

Mr. Speaker, the men and women who signed this petition expected to be harassed by military authorities for taking this position. Congressman FINDLEY asked Specialist Fourth Class Lemmer if those who signed knew that this petition would be made public and Mr. Lemmer replied that they did. The right to speak out and to petition Congress is a basic right and I am confident that these people will not be harassed. Nevertheless, it took courage for them to sign their names given their active duty status or that of their loved ones.

Mr. Speaker, I include at this point the full text of the petition and the list of those persons who signed it:

We, the following members of the United States Army, do request the aid of our members of the nation's Congress toward the consideration and accomplishment of actions herein described.

STATEMENT

Within the months of April and May, this nation's eyes will be focused upon the conflict in Indochina. Over 44,000 American servicemen like ourselves have died in this War; now the War itself and individual soldiers, as well, are on trial. Many of us are veterans of combat in Viet Nam, and are familiar with the nature of actions there. But too often our voices are lost in the political shuffles of the times.

In this petition's presentation before you we sound one great collective voice, directing it toward the highest offices availed for this purpose. We who have fought and supported and we upon whose shoulders the burden falls to continue the War come to you in confidence that you will now take whatever action may be necessary to right a decade of wrongs.

Article One: We feel that any hopes of a military victory in Indochina will serve only to prolong the system of fruitless invasions and further killing of civilian populations on both sides. The pushing of ARVN forces across one border after another constitutes a crime both against the countries whose forces are intimidated by the action and against the ARVN troops who are wasted in eventual defeat. It is the nature of our ARVN dependents to disregard the personal integrity of members of any other nationality, including Montagnards, Cambodians, and Laotians. Yet Saigon disguises its ambitions and defeats, and urges U.S. support of further operations. End all hostilities involving Americans. Not just ground actions, but air operations as well. As long as Americans support such actions, Americans will die unjustly.

Article Two: The nation is now asked to decide whether our effort over the last ten years has been just or not. Most of the country agrees, as do we that it is not the American Way to convict its soldiers of murder because they were ordered to kill. But what of those from whom the orders came? The recent My Lai incident is only one of many more to come to light in the near future and serves as an example of the crimes committed against the people of Viet Nam; point-blank in the case of My Lai, miles high in the case of the bombings of the North. There can be no differences when it comes to wholesale killing.

Article Three: The crimes against American youth . . . If a man chose to resist the draft on grounds that the nation was waging an illegal war, he has until now been branded a traitor. And if a man chose to flee from service, this being the only alternative to going to the war he opposed, he was either exiled or imprisoned. Rather than correcting only the wrong done the people of Indochina, move to right the wrong done to those who were forced to leave their homes in America to live in exile outside our borders and in the cells of federal prisons. We do hereby call for action leading to an unconditional declaration of amnesty for all war-resistance exiles and prisoners. For it was they who saw years before now that our presence in Southeast Asia would lead the United States to where it is today. The effects of this conflict and anxiety upon the generation whose choice of war or persecution has led to a torn sense of moral values, will be felt throughout the remainder of this century.

Article Four: Rights of the Serviceman to dissent. The nation is divided in its opinions concerning the Indochina War. Since we are an army made up of members out of this same population, there are divisions of opinion among the military ranks. But because we are the ones who must go into the actual battle, we are expected to be of support to the War. And we are not supposed to speak against it or the system and attitudes that serve to perpetuate it. Anti-war mail can be seized in Viet Nam. But it is being seized here at home, too. And those among us who would organize ourselves to make our opinions known are persecuted. Imprisonment of those who would speak their sentiments amounts to nothing less than the taking of political prisoners. Under the excuse of fighting communism, our leaders have begun to use tactics which resemble Hitler's Germany. In the name of justice and national unity, we are denied the same rights we are told that we are fighting for. Freedom of speech and freedom to dissent need not tear down the nation, and should not be so feared that these rights be taken away. They are already being denied us here. And if we are silenced, more rights will disappear one by one. Not only among servicemen, but everywhere men dare to wonder what is right. While our right to petition Congress remains intact, help us retain the right to read what we want without having it seized and say what we want without being forcefully silenced. Otherwise we become what we claim to be fighting.

We, the signed members of the U.S. Army at Fort Benning, Georgia, feel that anything less than an immediate end to all armed action and immediate initiation of a total withdrawal will amount to no less than the condemnation of another 1,000 or more loyal citizens like us . . . conceivably, some of that number may indeed include some of us. We ask for the lives of those who will die in a prolonged effort. And we feel that the real treason against America is not committed by those of us who oppose the war; but instead, by those who urge us on toward further military actions. And we feel that we, above all others, are qualified and motivated to condemn the idea of even one more day of War. For it is we who stand to die.

1. William D. Lemmer, SP/4, Arkansas.
2. Donald D. Thomas, Sgt., Ohio.
3. David L. Richardson, Pfc., XXXX

1st Plt. 40th Co., The School Brigade, Ft. Benning, Ga. 31905.
4. Edward John Eckart, Jr., Sgt. xxx-xx-xxxx, New Jersey.
5. Randy Hallman, Pfc. xxx-xx-xxxx, Texas.
6. Robert L. Haas, SP/4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Wis.
7. Paul F. Yandle, SP/21, xxx-xx-xxxx, Mass.
8. Lloyd, Frank D., Jr., Pfc. xxx-xx-xxxx, Fla.
9. Wilson, Jenning L., xxx-xx-xxxx, Chicago.
10. Michael J. Donegon, Pfc., xxx-xx-xxxx, Mass.
11. Edward M. Bermann, SP4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Mass.
12. David A. Martens, E–4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Md.
13. Stephen J. Bates, SP4 xxx-xx-xxxx, Missouri.
14. John C. Moore, SP4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Mich.
15. Keith Crabtree, SP/4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Ohio.
16. Randy Davis, SP/4, xxx-xx-xxxx.
17. Donald C. Mahaura, 2 Lt., xxx-xx-xxxx, Col., Ohio.
18. John Nyquist, SP/4, xxx-xx-xxxx, Montana.
19. Brian L. Guilihani, Pvt. xxx-xx-xxxx, Mich.
20. James B. Spilman, Pfc., xxx-xx-xxxx, Wash.
21. Page C. Rubin, Maryland.
22. Panese W. Abbott, Huntington Beach, Calif.
23. John T. Longo, Charleston, S.C.
24. Thomas E. Salsgiver, SP/4, Audubon Park, N.J.
25. Steve E. Gordon, SP/4, xxx-xx-xxxx.
26. Charles L. Martin, FA (U.S.C.G.) xxx-... xx...
27. William Storey, xxx-xx-xxxx, Columbus.
28. Ronald J. Moul, X–GI Veteran, Mass.
29. M. Robert Wheatley, xxx-xx-xxxx, Balt., Md.
30. Robert P. Culotte, xxx-xx-xxxx, New Orleans.
31. James R. Pryor X-GI Vet.
32. Paul T. Geralds PFC xxx-xx-xxxx.
33. Stephen J. Allen PFC xxx-xx-xxxx.
34. Denis W. Carver PFC xxx-xx-xxxx.
35. Allan Forester 5 year Vet.
36. Judy F. Jones U.S. college student.
37. Nellena Thomas Soldiers Wife.
38. Richard Boschaert xxx-xx-xxxx.
39. Chester I. Haynes xxx-xx-xxxx.
40. Avory C. Runyon xxx-xx-xxxx.
41. Thomas D. Philging xxx-xx-xxxx US Army.
42. Louise E. Priset xxx-xx-xxxx US Army.
43. Charles Hayns xxx-xx-xxxx.
44. Joseph Szynowski xxx-xx-xxxx U.S. Army.
45. Richie O'Toole xxx-xx-xxxx U.S. Army.
46. Sieg C. Engel xxx-xx-xxxx U.S. Army.
47. PV2 Kenneth Meyihen xxx-xx-xxxx U.S. Army.
48. PV2 Thomas B. Eliot xxx-xx-xxxx US Army.
49. Wayne F. King Ex-USN.
50. Edward Z. Hoverford US Army.
51. Dannio E. Gibbons US Army.
52. David L. Fork US Army Co.
53. Greg C. Eogel US Army.
54. James Nichols USA.
55. Kevin E. Miller student.
56. Mark Reed student.
57. Theresa Herndon, U.S. Army Dependent! Peace Atlanta xxx-xx-x...
58. Drucilla Sapia Dependent.
59. Cathy Dohery Dependent.
60. Sp/4 Edwin Nystrom 43rd Eng. xxx-xx-x... xxx-...
61. Pfc. Randy L. Larson xxx-xx-xxxx G "B" Hq. Comd. 2812.
62. Pvt. C. T. Deems xxx-xx-xxxx Co. A 43 Eng.
63. Pfc. Robert Null xxx-xx-xxxx Co. A 43rd Engr.
64. E–4 Robert Blook, xxx-xx-xxxx 70th Co. 8 Stud Bn. TSB.
65. Debra Neal
66. Robert E. Wilson xxx-xx-xxxx 80th Co. TSB.
67. Michael N. Ray xxx-xx-xxxx Pathfinder, Texas.
68. Bradley O. White xxx-xx-xxxx Long Beach, Calif. HHD 197th SPT. Bn.
69. Dianne Wesoloski 17–D Pine Terrace Apts.
70. Tom Wisloski, 17D Pine Terrace Apts.
71. Rick Dean Pfc. 197th Inf. Ft. Benning.
72. Stephen Henson Pvt. 3318 Victory Dr. Columbus, Ga.
73. Richard J. Lenser, SP4, 650 Coarthy Dr. Columbus, Ga.
74. Ricky "Chick" Cicalo, Sp4, been there a year! 721 1st Ave. Columbus, Ga.
75. Ray E. Phillips SP/4 721st Ave. Columbus, Ga.
76. Arthur Tarming Saunders, 6–C Pine Terrace xxx-xx-xxxx.
77. Joseph Ploury, Pfc. Co. D 197th Spn. Bn. A.
78. William Wayne E2 HHD 197.
79. Robert Cowthney Pfc. 197 Inf. Ft. Benning.
80. John L. Brown, E–5, 197th Inf. Ft. Benning.
81. Ruthie Kauhane, Dep.
82. Sandy Pabb, Dep.
83. Ed Cunningham Pfc., California.
84. Gerald Gaunthier, Pfc. Chicago.
85. Dale R. Chalot.
86. Mike Gocan.
87. James D. Handix.
88. John Sichi.
89. Gerry Bell, Nat. Guard E–3, xxx-xx-xx... xxx...
90. Carl Gay, 173 ABr, xxx-xx-xxxx
91. Bruce Baldwin, HHC 1/24197 E–5, xxx-xx-xxxx.
92. Joe Bord, HHC 1/29197 E–5, xxx-xx-x... xxx-...
93. Kitty Finik, Dependent.
94. ——— — ———, None, xxx-xx-xxxx.
95. Mark Sutherland, xxx-xx-xxxx.
96. Richard A. Diamond, YN2 (Ret.) USN, xxx-xx-xxxx
97. Gail Gunn.
98. Paul S. Shelhammer, E–2 xxx-xx-xxxx.
99. Michael S. Harvey, C Btry 2/10 Arty xxx-xx-xxxx.
100. Joseph H. McMillen, AHC 5/31 197th, xxx-xx-xxxx.
101. Joseph B. Brown, HHC 5/31st 197 Inc., xxx-xx-xxxx.
102. Jack W. King, 205th Aux. Co. xxx-xx-x... xxx-...
103. Mary Ross, xxx-xx-xxxx.
104. Vernon Jons, xxx-xx-xxxx.
105. James A. Webber, 205th AUNCO, xx... xxx-xx-...
106. Susan Ross, xxx-xx-xxxx.
107. Michaels Beiring, xxx-xx-xxxx.
108. Andi Bland, xxx-xx-xxxx.
109. Ann Jones, xxx-xx-xxxx.
110. 2nd Lt. Robert W. Rifiin, xxx-xx-xxxx xxx-... FV, New York.
111. E–2 Chuck L. Williams, XXXX Nev.
112. E–2 William D. Hill, xxx-xx-xxxx, Mo.

A FAIR MINIMUM FAMILY INCOME

(Mr. PEPPER asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, on March 30, 1971, I introduced H.R. 6937, my welfare reform proposal, and included the text of this legislation in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD for March 31, 1971. Subsequently, I introduced H.R. 7254 in order to correct two errors in the original bill.

At this point, Mr. Speaker, I include the text of the corrected bill so that the text of H.R. 7254 may appear in corrected form.

H.R. 7254

An act to authorize the payment by the United States of all the financial obligations under existing Federal-State welfare programs under the Social Security Act and waiving matching payments from the respective States for the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 1971, and to replace said programs with a national plan of income to enable the aged, blind, or severely disabled persons and families with children to attain a standard of living sufficient to provide for basic human needs, to provide incentives for employment and training to improve the capacity of employment of such persons and family members, and otherwise to improve their living conditions, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "National Fair Minimum Income Act of 1971."

TRANSITIONAL PROVISION

SEC. 101. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of providing the payment by the United States of the total cost of the Federal-State programs during the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 1971, provided for in titles I, IV, X, XI, XIV, XVI, XIX and 707, part B, title IV relating to child welfare services and section 410 relating to the authorization to locate missing parents of children, thereby waiving any and all matching payments, if any, by the respective States required thereunder, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated for said fiscal year a sum sufficient to carry on the purposes of this section.

TITLE IV. ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL FAIR MINIMUM INCOME PLAN

SEC. 102. Title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) is amended by adding after part C the following new parts:

"PART D—NATIONAL FAIR MINIMUM INCOME PLAN

"APPROPRIATIONS

"SEC. 441. For the purpose of providing a national fair minimum annual income plan throughout the Nation and to provide for the basic human needs of aged, blind, or severely disabled persons, couples, and families with children, in a manner which will strengthen their lives, encourage work training and self-support, and enhance personal welfare and dignity, there is authorized to be appropriated for the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 1872, and for each fiscal year thereafter a sum sufficient to carry out the purpose of this part.

"ELIGIBILITY FOR AND AMOUNT OF NATIONAL FAIR MINIMUM BENEFITS

"Eligibility

"SEC. 442. (a) Each aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple or family (as defined in section 445)—

"(c) whose income in a base year, other than income excluded under section 443(b) is less than the national fair minimum income standard applicable to said base year, as provided in section 443(e) and

"(2) whose resources, other than resources excluded pursuant to section 444(b), are less than $2,500 in the case of an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, and are less than $5,000 in the case of a couple or of a family, shall in accordance with and subject to the other provisions of this part, be paid the benefits provided in section 442(b) herein.

"Amount of Benefits

"(b) The benefits payable for a payment quarter to each person, couple, or family eligible therefor under section 442(a) and (d) of this part shall be a sum equal to one-fourth of the difference between the income in the base year of such person, couple, or

family, other than income excluded under section 443(b) of this part, and the national fair minimum income standard applicable to such person, couple, or family for such base year: *Provided,* however, that such benefits shall be computed and paid to the nearest dollar and that the minimum benefits payable shall not be less than $15 for any payment quarter (as defined in section 445(n) of this part), notwithstanding any other provision herein.

"(c) In addition to the benefits provided for in section 442(b) of this part, there shall be paid to each person, couple, or family eligible under section 442(a) of this part, a benefit equal to 50 cents for each dollar of earned income in a base year, as defined in section 443(a)(1), not to exceed a total of $2,800 in each year in excess of the national fair minimum income standard applicable to such person, couple, or family.

"Period for Determination of Benefits

"(d)(1) Eligibility for and benefits under this part shall be determined by the Secretary for each payment quarter on the basis of income in the base year other than income excluded under section 443(b), and the national fair minimum income standard applicable to said base year, on the basis of the Secretary's estimate of the income for such quarter, after taking into account such income in the base year. Eligibility for and the benefits hereunder for any payment quarter shall be redetermined at such time or times as may be provided by the Secretary and such redeterminations shall be effective prospectively for such quarter.

"(2) The Secretary shall by regulation prescribe the cases in which and the extent to which the amount of benefits for any payment quarter shall be reduced by reason of the time elapsing since the beginning of such quarter and before the date of filing the application for the benefit.

"(3) The Secretary may, in accordance with regulations promulgated by him, prescribe the cases in which and the extent to which income received in one period (or expenses incurred in one period in earning income) shall, for the purposes of determining eligibility for the amount of benefits, be considered or received (or incurred) in another period or periods.

"Special Limits on Gross Income

"(d) The Secretary may, in accordance with regulations promulgated by him, prescribe the circumstances under which the gross income from a trade or business (including farming) will be considered sufficiently large to make an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple, or family ineligible for such benefits provided in section 5 of this part.

"National fair minimum income standards

"(e)(1) For purposes of this part, national fair minimum income standards for an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple, or a family of a given size shall be the amounts shown in the following table, adjusted as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection:

Person, couple or family size	National fair minimum annual income standard for fiscal year beginning July 1, in— 1972	1973	1974
Aged, blind, or severely disabled person	$1,800	$1,900	$2,000
Couple	3,100	3,200	3,300
Family of:			
2 persons	2,950	3,050	3,150
3 persons	3,300	3,650	4,100
4 persons	4,150	4,550	4,950
5 persons	5,000	5,400	5,800
6 or more	5,600	6,200	6,800

Person, couple or family size	National fair minimum annual income standard for fiscal year beginning July 1, in— 1975	1976	1977[1]
Aged, blind, or severely disabled person	$2,100	$2,200	$2,300
Couple	3,400	3,500	3,600
Family of:			
2 persons	3,250	3,350	3,550
3 persons	4,450	4,800	5,100
4 persons	5,350	5,750	6,250
5 persons	6,200	6,600	7,150
6 or more	7,400	7,800	8,600

[1] And thereafter.

"(2) Between April 1 and June 30 of each calendar year, beginning with 1973, the Secretary (A) shall adjust the national fair minimum annual income standard for each such person, couple, or family by size in the table in paragraph (1) by increasing such amount by the percentage by which the average level of the price index for each year ending on March 31 exceeds the average level of the price index for the year ending on March 31, 1972, and (B) shall promulgate the amounts so adjusted as the national fair minimum annual income standards applicable under this part which shall be conclusive for purposes of this part for the fiscal year beginning July 1 next succeeding such promulgation, *provided, however,* that such adjustment shall be made and promulgated to the nearest fifty dollars.

"(3) As used in this subsection, the term 'price index' means the Consumer Price Index (all items—United States city average) published monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

"Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam

"(f) For special provisions applicable to Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, see section 1108(e) of the Social Security Act, as amended.

"INCOME

"Meaning of Income

"Sec. 443(a). For purposes of this part, income means both earned income and unearned income; and

"(1) earned income means only—

"(A) remuneration for services performed as an employee (defined in section 210(j) of the Social Security Act), other than remuneration to which section 209(b), (c), (d), (f), or (k), or section 211, therein, would apply; and

"(B) net earnings from self-employment, as defined in section 211 in said act (without the application of the second and third sentences following clause (C) of subsection (a)(9)), including earnings for services described in paragraphs (4), (5), and (6) of subsection (c); and

"(2) unearned income means all other income, including—

"(A) any payments received as an annuity, pension, retirement, or disability benefit, including veteran's or workmen's compensation and old-age, survivors, and disability insurance, railroad retirement, and unemployment benefit;

"(B) prizes and award;

"(C) the proceeds of any life insurance policy;

"(D) gifts (cash or otherwise), support and alimony payments, and inheritances; and

"(E) rents, dividends, interest, and royalties.

"Exclusions From Income

"(b) In determining income there shall be excluded—

"(1) subject to limitations (as to amount or otherwise) prescribed by the Secretary, the earned income of each child in the family who is, as determined by the Secretary under regulations, a student regularly attending a school, college, or university, or a course of vocational or technical training designed to prepare him for gainful employment;

"(2)(A) the total unearned income of an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple, or of all members of a family in a calendar quarter which, as determined in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Secretary, is received too infrequently or irregularly to be included, if such income so received does not exceed $30 in such quarter and (B) the total earned income of an aged, blind, or disabled person, couple, or of all members of a family in a calendar quarter which, as determined in accordance with such criteria, is received too infrequently or irregularly to be included, if such income so received does not exceed $30 in such quarter;

"(3) an amount of earned income of a member of the family equal to all, or such part (and according to such schedule) as the Secretary may prescribe, of the cost incurred by such member for child care which the Secretary deems necessary to securing or continuing in manpower training, vocational rehabilitation, employment, or self-employment;

"(4) any costs (whether in the form of insurance premiums or otherwise) incurred for medical care or for any other type of remedial care recognized under Federal, State, or local government law, including costs under part E of this title, except as provided in section 452(a)(10);

"(5) any assistance (except food stamps and veterans' pensions) which is based on need and furnished by any State or political subdivision of a State or any Federal agency, or by any private charitable agency or organization (as determined by the Secretary);

"(6) allowances under section 432(a) of the Social Security Act;

"(7) any portion of a scholarship or fellowship received for use in paying the cost of tuition and fees at any educational (including technical or vocational education) institution; and

"(8) home produce of an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple, or a member or members of a family utilized for his or their home consumption.

"RESOURCES

"Exclusions From Resources

"Sec. 44. (a) In determining the resources of an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, couple, or family there shall be excluded—

"(1) the home, household goods, and personal effects; and

"(2) other property which, as determined in accordance with and subject to limitations in regulations of the Secretary, is so essential to such person's, couple's or family's means of self-support as to warrant its exclusion.

"Disposition of Resources

"(b) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations applicable to the period or periods of time within which, and the manner in which various kinds of property must be disposed of in order not to be included in determining a family's eligibility for benefits under this part. Any portion of the benefits paid for any such period shall be conditioned upon such disposal; and any benefits so paid shall (at the time of the disposal) be considered overpayments to the extent they would not have been paid had the disposal occurred at the beginning of the period for which such benefits were paid.

"DEFINITIONS

"Composition of Family

"Sec. 445. (a) Two or more individuals—

"(1) who are related by blood, marriage, or adoption,

"(2) who are living in a place of residence maintained by one or more of them as his or their own home,

"(3) who are residents of the United States, and

"(4) at least one of whom is a child who (A) is not married to another of such in-

dividuals and (B) is in the care of or dependent upon another of such individuals, shall be regarded as a family for purposes of this part and parts A, C, and E. A parent (of a child living in a place of residence referred to in paragraph (2)), or a spouse of such a parent, who is determined by the Secretary to be temporarily absent from such place of residence for the purpose of engaging in or seeking employment or self-employment (including military service) shall nevertheless be considered (for purposes of paragraph (2)) to be living in such place of residence.

"Definition of Child

"(b) For purposes of this part and parts C and E the term 'child' means an individual who is (1) under the age of eighteen, or (2) under the age of twenty-one and (as determined by the Secretary under regulations) a student regularly attending a school, college, or university, or a course of vocational or technical training designed to prepare him for gainful employment.

"Definition of Member

"(c) 'Member' shall include father, mother, grandfather, grandmother, brother, sister, stepfather, stepmother, stepbrother, stepsister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece or any other individual who is related to another individual by blood, marriage, or adoption, as provided by appropriate State law.

"Income and Resources of Noncontributing Adult

"(d) For purposes of determining eligibility for and the amount of benefits payable under this part there shall be excluded the income and resources of any individual, other than a parent of a child (or a spouse of a parent), which, as determined in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Secretary, is not available to the other member of the couple or other members of the family; and for such purposes such individual—

"(1) in the case of a child, shall be regarded as a member of the family for purposes of determining the family's eligibility for such benefits but not for purposes of determining the amount of such benefits, and

"(2) in any other case, shall not be considered a member of the couple or of the family for any purpose.

"Aged, Blind, and Severely Disabled Recipients of Benefits

"(e) If an aged, blind, or disabled person or couple is receiving benefits under this part, then for the period for which such benefits are received, such person or couple shall not be regarded as a member of a family for purposes of determining eligibility for and the amount of benefits under this part.

"Definition of Aged Person

"(f) 'Aged person' means an individual who is sixty-five years of age or over.

"Definition of Blind Person

"(g) 'Blind person' means an individual who is blind as determined in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Secretary.

"Definition of Severely Disabled Person

"(h) 'Severely disabled person' means an individual, 18 years of age or older under a severe disability, as determined in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Secretary.

"Definition of Couple

"(i) 'Couple' means any two individuals who are aged, blind, or severely disabled, or any combination thereof, who are related by blood, marriage or adoption, who are living in a place of residence maintained by one or both of them as his, her, or their own homes, and who are residents of the United States.

"Exclusion From Definitions of Aged, Blind, Severely Disabled Person and Couple

"(j) The terms 'aged person', 'blind person,' 'severely disabled person' and 'couple' shall exclude any individual who is an inmate of a public institution (except as a patient in a medical institution).

"Definition of National Fair Minimum Income Standard

"(k) 'National fair minimum income standard' means the amount of annual income in a base year determined by the Secretary in accordance with the provisions of this part to enable an aged, blind, severely disabled person or a couple or family to attain a standard of living sufficient to provide for basic human needs.

"Definition of Benefits

"(l) 'Benefits' means the money payments payable under this part on or after July 1, 1972, to eligible persons, couples, or families. 'Benefits' also include money payments which are not included within the meaning of such term under the preceding sentence, but which would be so included except that they are made on behalf of any such persons, or couple, or family to another individual who (as determined in accordance with standards prescribed by the Secretary) is interested in or concerned with the welfare of such person, couple, or family; the secretary shall provide for

"(1) determination by him that such person, or a member or members of a couple, or of a family, has, by reason of his physical or mental condition, such inability to manage funds that making payments to him would be contrary to his welfare and, therefore, it is necessary to provide such assistance through payments described in this sentence;

"(2) making such payments only in cases in which such payments will, under the rules otherwise applicable under this part for determining the amount of benefits to be paid (and in conjunction with other income and resources), meet all the basic human needs of the person, couple, or family to whom such payments are made;

"(3) undertaking and continuing special efforts to protect the welfare of such person, couple, or family and to improve, to the extent possible, capacity for self-care and to manage funds;

"(4) periodic review by the Secretary under paragraph (1) of this subsection to ascertain whether conditions justifying such determination still exist, with provision for termination of such payments if they do not and for seeking judicial appointment of a guardian or other legal representative, as described in section 1311 of this title, if and when it appears that such action will best serve the interests of such person, couple or family; and

"(5) opportunity for a fair hearing before the Secretary on the determination referred to in paragraph (1) of this subsection for any person, couple, or family with respect to who it is made.

"Definition of Quarter

"(m) 'quarter' means a calendar quarter ending on March 31, June 30, September 30 or December 31.

"Definition of Payment Quarter

"(n) 'payment quarter' means a quarter with respect to which (or with respect to any part thereof) a claim for benefits is made under this part.

"Definition of Base Year

"(o) 'base year' means a period of four consecutive quarters immediately preceding the completed quarter immediately prior to a payment quarter.

"Definition of Month

"(p) 'month' means a calendar month.

"Definition of United States

"(q) 'United States,' when used in a geographical sense, means, except when otherwise provided, the fifty states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

"Definition of State

"(r) 'State,' except where otherwise provided, means each of the fifty states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virginia Islands and Guam.

"PAYMENTS AND PROCEDURES

"SEC. 446. (a) (1) Benefits shall be paid at such time or times and in such installments as the Secretary determines will best effectuate the purposes of this part.

"(2) Payment of benefits under this part may be made to the aged, blind, or severely disabled person, or to any one or more members of the couple or of the family, or, if the Secretary deems it appropriate, to any person, other than such person, member or members of the couple, or of the family, who is interested in or concerned with the welfare of such person, couple, or family, in accordance with such regulations of the Secretary.

"(3) Whenever the Secretary has reason to believe that any payments of benefits to families made with respect to a child are not being or may not be used in the best interests of the child, he may provide for such counseling and guidance services with respect to the use of such payments and the management of other funds by the member of the family receiving such payments as he deems advisable in order to assure use of such payments in the best interests of such child, and may provide for advising such relative that continued failure to so use such payments will result in substitution therefore for payments as provided in the second sentence of section 445(1) of this title, or in seeking appointment of a guardian or legal representative as provided in section 1311 of this title, or in the imposition of criminal or civil penalties authorized under State law if it is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction that such member is not using or has not used for the benefit of the child any such payments made for that purpose; and the provision of such services or advice by the Secretary or the taking of the action specified in such advice shall not prevent such payments with respect to such child from being considered benefits under this part.

"Overpayments and Underpayments

"(b) Whenever the Secretary finds that more or less than the correct amount of benefits has been paid with respect to any aged, blind, or severely disabled person, or couple, or family, proper adjustment or recovery shall, subject to the succeeding provisions of this subsection, be made by appropriate adjustments in future payments with respect to such person, couple, or family, or by recovery from or payment to such person or to any one or more members of such couple or of such family. The Secretary shall make such provision as he finds appropriate in the case of payment of more than the correct amount of benefits with respect to an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, or couple, or family, with a view to avoid penalizing such person, or members of a couple, or of a family who were without fault in connection with the overpayment, if adjustment or recovery on account of such overpayment in such case would defeat the purposes of this part, or be against equity or good conscience, or (because of the small amount involved) impede efficient or effective administration of this part.

"Earnings and Review

"(c) (1) The Secretary shall provide reasonable notice and opportunity for a hearing to any individual who is or claims to be an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, or a member of a couple or of a family and is in disagreement with any determination under this part with respect to eligibility of such person, couple, or family for benefits, the number of members of the family, or the amount of benefits, if such individual requests a hearing on the matter in disagree-

ment within thirty days after notice of such determination is received. Until a determination is made on the basis of such hearing or upon disposition of the matter through default, withdrawal of the request by the individual, or revision of the initial determination by the Secretary, any amounts which are payable (or would be payable but for the matter in disagreement) to any individual who has been determined to be such person or a member of such couple or family shall continue to be paid; but any amounts so paid for periods prior to such determination or disposition shall be considered overpayments to the extent they would not have been paid had such determination or disposition occurred at the same time as the Secretary's initial determination on the matter in disagreement.

"(2) Determination on the basis of such hearing shall be made within ninety days after the individual requests the hearing as provided in paragraph (1).

"(3) The final determination of the Secretary after a hearing under paragraph (1) shall be subject to judicial review as provided in section 205(g) of the Social Security Act to the same extent as the Secretary's final determinations under section 205; except that the determination of the Secretary after such hearing as to any fact shall be final and conclusive and not subject to review by any court.

Procedures; Prohibition of Assignments

"(d) (1) The provisions of sections 206 and 207 and subsections (a), (d), (e), and (f) of section 205 of the Social Security Act shall apply with respect to this Act to the same extent as they apply in the case of title II of said Act.

"(d) (2) The benefits paid under this part or medical assistance under part E shall not be subject to any tax under any Federal, state or local government law otherwise imposed on any aged, blind, or severely disabled person, member of a couple or of a family, or members of a couple or family.

"Applications and Furnishing of Information

"(e) (1) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations applicable to aged, blind, or severely disabled persons, couples, families, or members thereof with respect to the filing of applications, the furnishing of other data and material, and the reporting of events and changes in circumstances, as may be necessary to determine eligibility for an amount of family assistance benefits under this part.

"(2) In order to encourage prompt reporting of events and changes in circumstances relevant to eligibility for or amount of payments under this part, and more accurate estimates of expected income or expenses by such persons, couples, family, or members of such couples or families for purposes of such eligibility and amount of benefits, the Secretary may prescribe the cases in which and the extent to which—

"(A) failure to so report or delay in so reporting, or

"(B) inaccuracy of information which is furnished by such persons, couples, or members and on which the estimates of income or expenses for such purposes are based,

will result in treatment as overpayments of all or any portion of payments of such benefits for the period involved.

"Furnishing of Information by Other Agencies

"(f) The head of any Federal agency shall provide such information as the Secretary needs for purposes of determining eligibility for or amount of benefits under this part, or verifying other information with respect thereto.

"REGISTRATION AND REFERRAL OF FAMILY MEMBERS FOR MANPOWER SERVICES, TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT

"SEC. 447. (a) Every individual who is a member of a family found to be eligible for benefits under this part, other than a member to whom the Secretary finds paragraph (1), (2), (3), (4), or (5) of subsection (b) applies, shall register for manpower services, training, and employment with the local public employment office of the State as provided by regulations of the Secretary of Labor. If and for so long as any such member is found by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to have failed to so register, he shall not be regarded as a member of a family but his income which would otherwise be counted under this part as income shall be so counted; except that if such member is the only member of the family other than a child, such member shall be regarded as a member for purposes of determination of the family's eligibility for benefits under this part, but not (except for counting his income) for purposes of determination of the amount of such benefits. No part of the benefits under this part may be paid to such member during the period for which the preceding sentence is applicable to him; and the Secretary may, if he deems it appropriate, provide for payment of such benefits during such period to any person, other than a member of such family, who is interested in or concerned with the welfare of such family.

"(b) A member of a family eligible under this part shall not be required to register pursuant to subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such member is—

"(1) unable to engage in work or training by reason of illness, incapacity, or advanced age;

"(2) a mother or other relative of a child under the age of six who is caring for such child;

"(3) the mother or other female caretaker of a child, if the father or another adult male relative is in the home and not excluded by paragraph (1), (2), (4), or (5) of this subsection (unless the second sentence of subsection (a), or section 448(a), is applicable to him);

"(4) a child who is under the age of sixteen or meets the requirements of section 445(b)(2); or

"(5) one whose presence in the home on a substantially continuous basis is required because of the illness or incapacity of another member of the household. A person or such member who would, but for the preceding sentence, be required to register pursuant to subsection (a), may, if he wishes, register as provided in such subsection.

"(c) The Secretary shall make provision for the furnishing of child care services in applicable cases and for so long as he deems appropriate in the case of (1) members of families registered pursuant to subsection (a) who are, pursuant to such registration, participating in manpower services, training, or employment, and (2) members of families referred pursuant to subsection (d) who are, pursuant to such referral, participating in vocational rehabilitation.

"(d) In the case of an aged, blind, or severely disabled person, or member of a couple, or of any member of a family receiving benefits under this part who is not required to register pursuant to subsection (a) because of such person's or member's incapacity, the Secretary may, within his discretion, make provision for referral of such person or such member to the appropriate State agency administering or supervising the administration of the State plan for vocational rehabilitation services approved under the Vocational Rehabilitation Act, and (except in such cases involving permanent incapacity as the Secretary may determine) for a review not less often than quarterly of such person's or such member's incapacity and his need for and utilization of the rehabilitation services made available to him under such plan. If and for so long as such person or such member is found by the Secretary to have refused without good cause to accept rehabilitation services available to him under such plan, he shall be treated as an individual to whom subsection (a) is applicable by reason of refusal to accept or participate in employment or training.

"DENIAL OF BENEFITS IN CASE OF REFUSAL OF MANPOWER SERVICES, TRAINING, OR EMPLOYMENT

"SEC. 448. (a) For purposes of determining eligibility for and amount of benefits under this part, a member of a family who has registered as required under section 447(a) shall not be regarded as a member of a family, but this income which would otherwise be counted as income of the family under this part shall be so counted, if and for so long as he has been found by the Secretary of Labor, after reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing (which shall be held in the same manner and subject to the same conditions as a hearing under section 446(c) (1) and (2)), to have refused without good cause to participate or continue to participate in manpower services, training, or employment, or to have refused without good cause to accept employment in which he is able to engage which is offered through the public employment offices of the State, or is otherwise offered by an employer if the offer of such employer is determined by the Secretary of Labor, after notification by such employer or otherwise, to be a bona fide offer of employment; except that if such member of a family is the only member of the family other than a child, such member shall be regarded as a member of the family for purposes of determination of the family's eligibility for benefits, but not (except for counting his income) for the purposes of determination of the amount of its benefits. No part of the benefits of any such family may be paid to such member during the period for which the preceding sentence is applicable to him; and the Secretary may, if he deems it appropriate, provide for payment of such benefits during such period to any person, other than a member of such family, who is interested in or concerned with the welfare of the family.

"(b) No family shall be denied benefits under this part, or have benefits under this part reduced, because a member of such family is (or would, but for subsection (a), be) a member of such family refuses work under any of the following conditions:

"(1) if the position offered is vacant due directly to a strike, lockout, or other labor dispute;

"(2) if the wages, hours, or other terms or conditions of the work offered are contrary to or less than those prescribed by Federal, State, or local law or are substantially less favorable to such member than those prevailing for similar work in the locality;

"(3) if, as a condition of being employed, such member would be required to join a company union or to resign from or refrain from joining any bona fide labor organization; or

"(4) such member has the demonstrated capacity, through other available training or employment opportunities, of securing work that would better enable him to achieve self-sufficiency.

"TRANSFER OF FUNDS FOR ON-THE-JOB TRAINING PROGRAMS

"SEC. 449. The Secretary shall, pursuant to and to the extent provided by agreement with the Secretary of Labor, pay to the Secretary of Labor amounts which he estimates would be paid as benefits under this part to individuals participating in public or private employer compensated on-the-job training under a program of the Secretary of Labor if they were not participating in such training. Such amounts shall be available to pay the costs of such programs.

"PART E—MEDICAL AND OTHER ASSISTANCE FOR AGED, BLIND, OR SEVERELY DISABLED PERSONS, COUPLES, AND FAMILIES

"APPROPRIATIONS

"SEC. 451. For the purpose of enabling the Secretary on and after July 1, 1972, to fur-

nish medical assistance on behalf of aged, blind, or severely disabled persons, couples, or families, who are eligible under Part D of this Title, and whose income (including benefits under Part D of this Title) and resources are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary services, medical care, including rehabilitation and other services to help such persons and members of such couples or of such families attain or retain capability for independence or self-care, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated a sum sufficient to carry out the purposes of this part.

"PROGRAM PROVISIONS

"SEC. 452. (a) To carry out the purposes of section 451, the Secretary shall provide, under such regulations as he may promulgate,

"(1) for granting an opportunity for a fair hearing and review under section 446(c) to any individual whose claim for medical assistance under this part is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness;

"(2) for utilization of medical and other professional personnel as are found necessary for the proper and efficient administration of this part, and for the training and effective use of paid subprofessional staff with particular emphasis on the full-time or part-time employment of recipients of benefits under Part D of this title and other persons of low income, as community service aides, in the administration of this part and for the use of nonpaid or partially paid volunteers in a social service volunteer program in providing medical care and services to applicants and recipients and assisting any advisory committee established by the Secretary under this part;

"(3) safeguards which restrict the use of or the disclosure of information concerning applicants and recipients to purposes directly connected with the administration of this part;

"(4) that all individuals wishing to make application for medical assistance under this part shall have opportunity to do so, and that medical care and services shall be furnished with reasonable promptness to all eligible individuals;

"(5) establish and maintain standards for private or public institutions in which recipients of medical assistance under this part may receive medical care and services;

"(6) medical care and services equal in amount, duration, and scope to all persons, couples or families eligible for benefits under part D, whose circumstances and conditions are about the same except that the making available of services described in section 453(b)(4) or (14) of this part to individuals meeting the age requirement prescribed therein shall not, by reason of this paragraph (6), require the making available of any such care and services, or the making available of such care and services of the same amount, duration, and scope, to individuals of any other ages, and further that the making available of supplementary medical insurance benefits under part B of title XVIII of the Social Security Act to individuals eligible therefor, of the cost of the deductibles, cost sharing, or similar charges under such part B for individuals eligible for benefits under such part, shall not, by reason of this paragraph (6), require the making available of any such benefits, or the making available of medical care and services of the same amount, duration, and scope, to any other individuals;

"(7) (A) for entering into cooperative agreements with State and local government agencies responsible for the administration of health services, vocational rehabilitation services, or other services provided for in this part, leading to maximum utilization of such services in the administration of this part; and

"(B) to the extent prescribed by the Secretary, for agreements with any agency, institution, or organization, receiving payments for part or all of the cost of plans or projects under the Maternal and Child Health and Crippled Children's Services Act of 1935 as amended (42 U.S. sec. 701 ff)

"(i) providing for utilizing such agency, institution, or organization in furnishing medical care services which are available under such plan or project under said Act, and

"(ii) making such provision as may be appropriate for reimbursing such agency, institution, or organization for the cost of such medical care and services furnished any individual for which payment would otherwise be made with respect to him under this part;

"(8) that, in determining whether an individual is blind or severely disabled, there shall be an examination by a physician skilled therein, or in determining blindness by an optometrist, whichever such individual may select in the case of blindness;

"(9) that no enrollment fee, premium, deduction, cost sharing, or similar charge will be imposed on any recipient of medical assistance under this part:

"(10) in the case of eligible individuals 65 years of age or other who are covered by either or both of the insurance programs established by title XVIII of the Social Security Act, whether under this part, all or any deductible, cost sharing, or similar charge imposed with respect to such individual under the insurance program established by said title XVIII is not made the portion thereof which is met shall be determined on a basis reasonably related (as determined in accordance with standards approved by the Secretary) and included in this part to the individual's, couple's, or family's income or income and resources as the case may be;

"(11) for the taking into account, except to the extent prescribed by the Secretary, the costs (whether in the form of insurance premiums or otherwise) incurred for medical care or for any other type of remedial care recognized and paid for under State law or any Federal law which are substantially the same as those provided for under this Act, in order to prevent double payment for the same medical and other services;

"(12) that no lien may be imposed against the property of any individual prior to his death on account of medical assistance under this part paid or to be paid on his behalf under this part (except pursuant to a judgment of a court on account of costs incorrectly paid on behalf of such individual), and that there shall be no adjustment or recovery (except, in the case of an individual who was 65 years of age or over when he received such assistance, from his estate, and then only after the death of his surviving spouse, if any, and only at a time when he has no surviving child who is under 21 or is blind or severely disabled) of medical assistance correctly paid on behalf of such individual under this part;

"(13) such safeguards as may be necessary to assure that eligibility for medical assistance, medical care and services under this part will be determined, and such assistance, care, and services be provided, in a manner consistent with simplicity of administration and the best interests of the recipient;

"(14) in cases of medical care and services on behalf of aged persons who are patients in institutions for mental diseases—

"(A) for having in effect such agreements or other arrangements with State or local government authorities concerned with mental diseases, and, where appropriate, with such institutions, as may be necessary for carrying out this part, including arrangements for joint planning and for development of alternate methods of care, arrangements providing assurance of immediate readmittance to institutions where needed for individuals under alternate plans of care, and arrangements providing for access to patients and facilities, for furnishing information, and for making reports;

"(B) provide for an individual plan for each such patient to assure that the institutional care provided to him is in his best interests, including, to that end, assurances that there will be initial and periodic review of his medical and other needs, that he will be given appropriate medical care and services within the institution, and that there will be a periodical determination of his need for continued treatment in the institution;

"(C) provide for the development of alternate plans of care, making maximum utilization of available resources, for recipients 65 years of age or older who would otherwise need care in such institutions, including appropriate medical care and services which are appropriate for such patients to help them attain or retain capability for self-support or self-care, or likely to prevent or reduce their dependency, as may be prescribed by the Secretary; and

"(D) methods of determining the reasonable cost of institutional care for such patients;

"(15) in cases of medical assistance in behalf of aged persons who are patients in public institutions for mental diseases, develop and implement comprehensive mental health program, including provision for utilization of community mental health centers, nursing home, and other alternatives to medical care and services in public institutions for mental diseases.

"(16) descriptions of—

"(A) the kinds and numbers of professional medical personnel and supporting staff that will be used in the administration of this part and of the responsibilities they will have,

"(B) the standards, for private or public institutions in which recipients of medical assistance under this party may receive medical care or services, that will be utilized,

"(C) the cooperative arrangements with State and local government health agencies and State and local government vocational rehabilitation agencies entered into with a view to maximum utilization of and coordination of the provision of medical care and services with the services administered or supervised by such agencies, and

"(D) other standards and methods to assure that medical or remedial care and services provided to recipients of medical assistance are of high quality;

"(17) that any individual eligible for medical assistance (including drugs) may obtain such assistance from any institution, agency, community pharmacy, or person, qualified to perform the service or services required (including an organization which provides such services, or arranges for their availability, on a prepayment basis), who undertakes to provide him such services;

"(18) effective July 1, 1972, for consultative services by health agencies and other appropriate agencies of the State and local governments, to hospitals, nursing homes, home health agencies, clinics, laboratories, and such other institutions as the Secretary may specify in order to assist them—

"(A) to qualify for payments under this part,

"(B) to establish and maintain such fiscal records as may be necessary for the proper and efficient administration of this part, and

"(C) to provide information needed to determine payments due under this part on account of medical care and services furnished to individuals:

"(19) effective July 1, 1972,

"(A) for the taking of all reasonable measures to ascertain the legal liability of third parties to pay for medical care and services available under this part and arising out of injury, disease, or disability,

"(B) that where the Secretary knows that a third party has such a legal liability he will treat such legal liability as a resource of the individual on whose behalf the medical care and services are made available,

"(C) that in any case where such a legal

liability is found to exist after medical assistance has been made available on behalf of the individual, the Secretary will seek reimbursement for such assistance to the extent of such legal liability;

"(20) effective July 1, 1972—

"(A) for a regular program of medical review (including medical evaluation of each patient's need for skilled nursing home care) or (in the case of individuals who are eligible therefor under this part) need for care in a mental hospital, a written plan of care, and, where applicable, a plan of rehabilitation prior to admission to a skilled nursing home;

"(B) for periodic inspections to be made in all skilled nursing homes and mental institutions within each State by one or more medical review teams (composed of physicians and other appropriate health and social service personnel) of (i) the care being provided in such nursing homes (and mental institutions) to persons receiving assistance under this part, (ii) with respect to each of the patients receiving such care, the adequacy of the services available in particular nursing homes (or institutions) to meet the current health needs and promote the maximum physical well-being of patients receiving care in such homes (or institutions), (iii) the necessity and desirability of the continued placement of such patients in such nursing homes (or institutions), and (iv) the feasibility of meeting their health care needs through alternative institutional or noninstitutional services; and

"(C) for the making by such team or teams of full and complete reports of the findings resulting from such inspections together with any recommendations to the Secretary;

"(21) for agreements with every person or institution providing medical care and services under this part under which such person or institution agrees—

"(A) to keep such records as are necessary fully to disclose the extent of the services provided to individuals receiving medical assistance under this part, and

"(B) to furnish the Secretary with such information, regarding any payments claimed by such person or institution for providing medical care or services under this part, as the Secretary may from time to time request;

"(22) any skilled nursing home receiving payments under this part must—

"(A) supply to the licensing agency under this part full and complete information as to the identity (i) of each person having (directly or indirectly) an ownership interest of 10 per centum or more in such nursing home, (ii) in case a nursing home is organized as a corporation, of each officer and director of the corporation, and (iii) in case a nursing home is organized as a partnership, of each partner; and promptly report any changes which would affect the current accuracy of the information so required to be supplied;

"(B) have and maintain an organized nursing service for its patients, which is under the direction of a professional registered nurse who is employed full time by such nursing home, and which is composed of sufficient nursing and auxiliary personnel to provide adequate and properly supervised nursing services for such patients during all hours of each day and all days of each week;

"(C) make satisfactory arrangements for professional planning and supervision of menus and meal service for patients for whom special diets or dietary restrictions are medically prescribed;

"(D) have satisfactory policies and procedures relating to the maintenance of medical records on each patient of the nursing home, dispensing and administering of drugs and biologicals, and assuring that each patient is under the care of a physician and that adequate provision is made for medical attention to any patient during emergencies;

"(E) have arrangements with one or more general hospitals under which such hospital or hospitals will provide needed diagnostic and other services to patients of such nursing home, and under which such hospital or hospitals agree to timely acceptance, as patients thereof, of acutely ill patients of such nursing home who are in need of hospital care; except that the Secretary may waive this requirement wholly or in part with respect to any nursing home meeting all the other requirements and which, by reason of remote location or other good and sufficient reason, is unable to effect such an arrangement with a hospital; and

"(F) from July 1, 1972, such provisions of the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (21st Edition, 1967) as are applicable to nursing homes; except that the Secretary may waive in accordance with regulations of the Secretary, for such periods as he deems appropriate, specific provisions of such code which, if rigidly applied, would result in unreasonable hardship upon a nursing home, but only if the Secretary makes a determination (and keeps a written record setting forth the basis of such determination) that such waiver will not adversely affect the health and safety of the patients of such skilled nursing home; and except that the requirements set forth in the proceeding provisions of this subclause (i) shall not apply in any State if the Secretary finds that in such State there is in effect a fire and safety code, imposed by State law, which adequately protects patients in nursing homes; and (ii) meet conditions relating to environment and sanitation applicable to extended care facilities under subchapter XVII of this chapter; except that the Secretary may waive in accordance with regulations of the Secretary, for such periods as he deems appropriate, any requirement imposed by the proceeding provisions of this subclause (ii) if the Secretary finds that such requirement, if rigidly applied, would result in unreasonable hardship upon a nursing home, but only if the Secretary makes a determination (and keeps a written record setting forth the basis of such determination) that such waiver will not adversely affect the health and safety of the patients of such nursing home;

"(23) for the licensing of administrators of nursing homes as provided in section 454(c) of this part;

"(24) such methods and procedures relating to the utilization of, and the payments for, medical care and services available under this part as may be necessary to safeguard against unnecessary utilization of such care and services and to assure that payments (including payments for any drugs provided under this part) are not in excess of reasonable charges consistent with efficiency, economy, and quality of such care and services.

"DEFINITIONS

"Definition of Medical Assistance

"SEC. 453. (a) For purposes of this part—'medical assistance' means payment of part or all of the cost of the following medical care and services (if provided in or after the third month before the month in which the recipient makes application therefor) for aged, blind, or severely disabled persons, or members of couples, or members of families, who are eligible for, or are receiving benefits under part D of this title, and whose income, resources as set forth in 442(a) of said part D, and such benefits are insufficient to meet all of such costs—

"(1) inpatient hospital services (other than services in an institution for tuberculosis or mental diseases);

"(2) outpatient hospital services;

"(3) other laboratory and X-ray services;

"(4) (A) skilled nursing home services (other than services in an institution for tuberculosis or mental diseases (for individuals 21 years of age or older (B) effective July 1, 1972, such early and periodic screening and diagnosis of individuals who are eligible under this part and are under the age of 21 to ascertain their physical or mental defects, and such health care, treatment, and other measures to correct or ameliorate defects and chronic conditions discovered thereby, as may be provided in regulations of the Secretary;

"(5) physicians' services, whether furnished in the office, the patient's home, a hospital, or a skilled nursing home, or elsewhere;

"(6) medical care, or any other type of remedial care recognized by the Secretary, furnished by licensed practitioners within the scope of their practice as defined by State law;

"(7) home health care services;

"(8) private duty nursing services;

"(9) clinic services;

"(10) dental services;

"(11) physical therapy and related services;

"(12) prescribed drugs, dentures, and prosthetic devices; and eyeglasses prescribed by a physician skilled in diseases of the eye or by an optometrist, whichever the individual may select;

"(13) other diagnostic, screening, preventive, and rehabilitative services;

"(14) inpatient hospital services and skilled nursing home services for individuals 65 years of age or over in an institution for tuberculosis or mental diseases; and

"(15) any other medical care, including institutional services in intermediate care facilities (other than a public nonmedical facility), and any other type of remedial care recognized, and specified by the Secretary; except that such term does not include—

"(A) any such payments with respect to care of services for any individual who is an inmate of a public institution (except as a patient in a medical institution); or

"(B) any such payments with respect to care or services for any individual who has not attained 65 years of age and who is a patient in an institution for tuberculosis or mental diseases.

"Inclusion of Osteopaths and Their Services in Definitions of Physician, Medical Care and Services, and Hospitalization

"(b) 'physician' and 'medical care and services' and 'hospitalization' include osteopathic practitioners or the services of osteopathic practitioners and hospitals within the scope of their practice as defined by State law.

"Definition of Medical Care and Services

"(c) 'medical care and services' means those set forth in subsection 453(a)(1) through (15).

"Other Definitions

"(d) The definitions in section 445 of part D shall be applicable to this part.

"Licensing of Administrators of Nursing Homes

"SEC. 454. (a) For purposes of section 452 (a)(23) of this part, every nursing home must operate under the supervision of an administrator licensed in the manner provided in this subsection.

"(1) Licensing of nursing home administrators shall be carried out by the agency of the State responsible for licensing under the healing arts licensing act of the State, or, in the absence of such act or such an agency, or when the Secretary finds such agency is not properly carrying out the functions and duties in the next paragraph,

"(2) It shall be the function and duty of such agency or board to—

"(A) develop, impose, and enforce standards which must be met by individuals in order to receive a license as a nursing home administrator, which standards shall be designed to insure that nursing home administrators will be individuals who are of good character and are otherwise suitable and who, by training or experience in the field of institutional administration, are qualified to serve as nursing home administrators;

"(B) develop and apply appropriate techniques, including examinations and investigations, for determining whether an individual meets such standards;

"(C) issue licenses to individuals determined, after the application of such techniques, to meet such standards, and revoke or suspend licenses previously issued by the board in any case where the individual holding any such license is determined substantially to have failed to conform to the requirements of such standards;

"(D) establish and carry out procedures designed to insure that individuals licensed as nursing home administrators will, during any period that they serve as such, comply with the requirements of such standards;

"(E) receive, investigate, and take appropriate action with respect to, any charge or complaint filed with the board to the effect that any individual licensed as a nursing home administrator has failed to comply with the requirements of such standards; and

"(F) conduct a continuing study and investigation of nursing homes and administrators of nursing homes within the State with a view to the improvement of the standards imposed for the licensing of such administrators and of procedures and methods for the enforcement of such standards with respect to administrators of nursing homes who have been licensed as such.

"(3) Such agency or board shall have the power to grant any waiver for a period which ends after being in effect for two years or June 30, 1974, whichever is the earlier, with respect to any individual who during all of the calendar year immediately preceding the year in which such waiver is granted has served as a nursing home administrator, of any of the standards developed, imposed, and enforced by such agency or board pursuant to subparagraph (2)(A) of this subsection other than such standards as relate to good character and suitability if—

"(a) If there is provided in the State (during all of the period for which waiver is in effect), a program of training and instruction designed to enable all individuals, with respect to whom any such waiver is granted, to attain the qualifications necessary in order to meet such standards.

"Authorization of appropriations; limitation of grants

"(b) There are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1972 and the two succeeding fiscal years such sums as may be necessary to enable the Secretary to make grants to States for the purpose of assisting them in instituting and conducting programs of training and instruction of the type referred to in paragraph (a)(3) of section 454.

"(c) The National Advisory Council on Nursing Home Administration appointed pursuant to the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396g(f)(1)) shall cease to exist as of December 31, 1972, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

"(d) As used in this section, the term—

"(1) 'nursing home' means any institution or facility defined as such for licensing purposes under State law, or, if State law does not employ the term nursing home or if there is no such State law, the equivalent term or terms as determined by the Secretary; and

"(2) "nursing home administrator" means any individual who is charged with the general administration of a nursing home whether or not such individual has an ownership interest in such home and whether or not his functions and duties are shared with one or more other individuals.

"Observance of Religious Beliefs

"SEC. 455. Nothing in this part shall be construed to compel any person to undergo any medical screening, examination, diagnosis, or treatment or to accept any other health care or services provided under this part for any purpose (other than for the purpose of discovering and preventing the spread of infection or contagious disease or for the purpose of protecting environmental health), if such person objects (or, in case such person is a child, his parent or guardian objects) thereto on religious grounds.

"Advisory Council on Medical Assistance; creation; composition; appointment of members; Chairman; representative activities and interests; majority representation of consumers; terms of office; special advisory professional or technical committees; compensation and travel expenses; meetings

"SEC. 456. For the purpose of advising the Secretary on matters of general policy in the administration of this part (including the relationship of this part and title XVIII of the Social Security Act) and making recommendations for improvements in such administration, there is hereby created a Medical Assistance Advisory Council which shall consist of twenty-one persons, not otherwise in the employ of the United States, appointed by the Secretary without regard to the provisions of title 5 governing appointments to the competitive service. The Secretary shall from time to time appoint one of the members to serve as Chairman. The members shall include representatives of State and local agencies and nongovernmental organizations and groups concerned with health, and of consumers of health services, and a majority of the membership of the Advisory Council shall consist of representatives of consumers of health services. Each member shall hold office for a term of four years, except that any member appointed to fill a vacancy occurring prior to the expiration of the term for which his predecessor was appointed shall be appointed for the remainder of such term, and except that the terms of office of the members first taking office shall expire, as designated by the Secretary at the time of appointment, five at the end of the first year, five at the end of the second year, five at the end of the third year, and six at the end of the fourth year after the date of appointment. A member shall not be eligible to serve continuously for more than two terms. The Secretary may, at the request of the Council or otherwise, appoint such special advisory professional or technical committees as may be useful in carrying out this subchapter. Members of the Advisory Council and members of any such advisory or technical committee, while attending meetings or conferences thereof or otherwise serving on business of the Advisory Council or of such committee, shall be entitled to receive compensation at rates fixed by the Secretary, but not exceeding $100 per day, including travel time, and while so serving away from their homes or regular places of business they may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5 for persons in the Government service employed intermittently. The Advisory Council shall meet as frequently as the Secretary deems necessary. Upon request of five or more members, it shall be the duty of the Secretary to call a meeting of the Advisory Council: *Provided, however,* That the members of said Council who are serving their terms on June 30, 1971, and whose terms do not expire on that day, under the provisions of the Social Security Act prior to amendment by the National Fair Minimum Income Act of 1971, shall serve out their terms under such section which expires after June 30, 1971.

"PART F—ADMINISTRATION

"Agreements with States and Local Governments

"SEC. 461. (a) The Secretary may also enter into an agreement with any State or local government under which such State or local government will make, on behalf of the Secretary, the benefit payments provided for under part D or payments for medical care and services under part E with respect to all or specified persons, couples, or families in the State or in the local government jurisdiction who are eligible for such benefits or medical assistance or will perform such other functions in connection with the administration of part D or part E as may be agreed upon. The cost of carrying out any such agreement shall be paid to the State or local government by the Secretary in advance or by way of reimbursement and in such installments as may be agreed upon.

"PENALTIES FOR FRAUD

"SEC. 462. The provisions of section 208 of the Social Security Act, other than paragraph (a), shall apply with respect to benefits under part D, medical assistance under part E and allowances under part C, of this title, to the same extent as they apply to payments under title II.

"REPORT, EVALUATION, RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATIONS, AND TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

"SEC. 463. (a) The Secretary shall make an annual report to the President and the Congress on the operation and administration of parts D and E, including an evaluation thereof in carrying out the purposes of such parts and recommendations with respect thereto. The Secretary is authorized to conduct evaluations directly or by grants or contracts of the programs authorized by such parts.

"(b) The Secretary is authorized to conduct, directly or by grants or contracts, research into or demonstrations of ways of better providing financial assistance to aged, blind, severely disabled persons, couples, and families or of better carrying out the purposes of parts D and E, and in so doing to waive any requirements or limitations in such parts with respect to eligibility for or amount of benefits or medical assistance for such persons or couples or members of couples or of families, or groups thereof as he deems appropriate.

"(c) The Secretary is authorized to provide such technical assistance to States or local governments and to provide, directly or through grants or contracts, for such training of personnel of States or local governments, as he deems appropriate to assist them in more efficiently and effectively carrying out their agreements under this part and parts D and E.

"(d) In addition to funds otherwise available therefor, such portion of any appropriation to carry out part D or E as the Secretary may determine, but not in excess of $20,000,000 in any fiscal year, shall be available to him to carry out this section.

"OBLIGATION OF DESERTING PARENTS

"SEC. 464. In any case where an individual has deserted or abandoned his spouse or his child or children and such spouse or any such child (during the period of such desertion or abandonment) is a member of a family receiving benefits under part D or medical assistance payments under part E, such individual shall be obligated to the United States in an amount equal to—

"(1) the total amount of the benefits paid to such family or medical assistance to such family during such period with respect to such spouse and child or children, reduced by

"(2) any amount actually paid by such individual to or for the support and maintenance of such spouse and child or children during such period, if and to the extent that such amount is excluded in determining the amount of such benefits or medical assistance;

except that in any case where an order for the support and maintenance of such spouse or any such child has been issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, the obligation of such individual under this subsection (with respect to such spouse or child) for any period shall not exceed the amount specified in such order less any amount actually paid by such individual (to

or for the support and maintenance of such spouse or child) during such period. The amount due the United States under such obligation shall be collected (to the extent that the claim of the United States therefor is not otherwise satisfied), in such manner as may be specified by the Secretary, from any amounts otherwise due him or becoming due him at any time from any officer or agency of the United States or under any Federal program. Amounts collected under the preceding sentence shall be deposited in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

"TREATMENT OF BENEFITS UNDER PART D AS INCOME FOR FOOD STAMP PURPOSES

"SEC. 465. Benefits paid under part D of this title shall be taken into consideration for the purpose of determining the entitlement of any household to purchase food stamps, and the cost thereof, under the food stamp program conducted under the Food Stamp Act of 1964."

MANPOWER SERVICES, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT, CHILD CARE, AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PROGRAMS

SEC. 103. Part C of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 630 et seq.) is amended to read as follows:

"PART C—MANPOWER SERVICES, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT, CHILD CARE, AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PROGRAMS FOR RECIPIENTS OF BENEFITS UNDER PART D

"PURPOSE

"SEC. 430. The purpose of this part is to authorize provision, for individuals who are members of a family receiving benefits under part D, of manpower services, training, employment, child care, and related supportive services necessary to train aged, blind, severely disabled persons, couples or such members of families, prepare them for employment, and otherwise assist them in securing and retaining regular employment and having the opportunity for advancement in employment, to the end that such persons, couples or families will be restored to self-supporting, independent, and useful roles in their communities.

"OPERATION OF MANPOWER SERVICES, TRAINING, AND EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS

"SEC. 431. (a) The Secretary of Labor shall, for each person registered pursuant to part D, in accordance with priorities prescribed by him, develop or assure the development of an employability plan describing the manpower services, training, and employment which the Secretary of Labor determines each person needs in order to enable him to become self-supporting and secure and retain employment and opportunities for advancement.

"(b) The Secretary of Labor shall, in accordance with the provisions of this part, establish and assure the provision of manpower services, training, and employment programs in each State for persons registered pursuant to part D.

"(c) The Secretary of Labor shall, through such programs, provide or assure the provision of manpower services, training, and employment and opportunities necessary to prepare such persons for and place them in regular employment, including—

"(1) any of such services, training, employment, and opportunities which the Secretary of Labor is authorized to provide under any other Act;

"(2) counseling, testing, coaching, program orientation, institutional and on-the-job training, work experience, upgrading, job development, job placement, and follow up services required to assist in securing and retaining employment and opportunities for advancement;

"(3) relocation assistance (including grants, loans, and the furnishing of such services as will aid an involuntarily unemployed person, or member of a couple or of a family receiving benefits under part D who desires to relocate to do so in an area where there is assurance of regular suitable employment, offered through the public employment offices of the State in such area, which will lead to the earning of income sufficient to make such person, couple, or family; and

"(4) special work projects.

"(d) (1) For purposes of subsection (c) (4), a 'special work project' is a project (meeting the requirements of this subsection) which consists of the performance of work in the public interest through grants to or contracts with public or nonprofit private agencies or organizations.

"(2) No wage rates provided under any special work project shall be lower than the applicable minimum wage for the particular work concerned.

"(3) Before entering into any special work project under a program established as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Labor shall have reasonable assurances that—

"(A) appropriate standards for the health, safety, and other conditions applicable to the performance of work and training on such project are established and will be maintained.

"(B) such project will not result in the displacement of employed workers,

"(C) with respect to such project the conditions of work, training, education, and employment are reasonable in the light of such factors as the type of work, geographical region, and proficiency of the participant,

"(D) appropriate workmen's compensation protection is provided to all participants, and

"(E) such project will improve the employability of the participants.

"(4) With respect to individuals who are participants in special work projects under programs established as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Labor shall periodically (at least once every six months) review the employment record of each such individual while on the special work project and on the basis of such record and such other information as he may acquire determine whether it would be feasible to place such individual in regular employment or in on-the-job, institutional, or other training.

"ALLOWANCES FOR INDIVIDUALS UNDERGOING TRAINING

"SEC. 432. (a)(1) The Secretary of Labor shall pay to each person, or member of a couple or of a family receiving benefits under part D and is participating in manpower training under this part in incentive allowance of $30 per month. If one or more members of a couple or of a family are receiving training for which training allowances are payable under section 203 of the Manpower Development and Training Act and meet the other requirements under such section (except subsection (l) (1) thereof) for the receipt of allowances which would be in excess of the sum of the benefits under part D payable with respect to such month to the couple or to the family, the total of the incentive allowances per month under this section for such members shall be equal to the greater of (1) the amount of such excess or, if lower, the amount of the excess of the training allowances which would be payable under such section 203 as in effect on March 1, 1970, over the sum of such benefit, and (2) $30 for each such member.

"(2) The Secretary of Labor shall, in accordance with regulations, also pay, to any such person, or member of a couple or of a family participating in manpower training under this part, allowances for transportation and other costs to him which are necessary to and directly related to his participation in training.

"(3) The Secretary of Labor shall by regulation provide for such smaller allowances under this subsection as he deems appropriate for individuals in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

"(b) Allowances under this section shall be in lieu of allowances provided for participants in manpower training programs under any other Act.

"(c) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any person or member of a couple, or of a family who is receiving benefits under Part D and who is participating in a program of the Secretary of Labor providing public or private employer compensated on-the-job training.

"UTILIZATION OF OTHER PROGRAMS

"SEC. 433. In providing the manpower training and employment services and opportunities required by this part the Secretary of Labor, to the maximum extent feasible, shall assure that such services and opportunities are provided in such manner, through such means, and using all authority available to him under any other Act (and subject to all duties and responsibilities thereunder) as will further the establishment of an integrated and comprehensive manpower training program involving all sectors of the economy and all levels of government and as will make maximum use of existing manpower and manpower related programs and agencies. To such end the Secretary of Labor may use the funds appropriated to him under this part to provide the programs required by this part through such other Act, to the same extent and under the same conditions as if appropriated under such other Act and in making use of the programs of other Federal, State, or local agencies, public or private, the Secretary may reimburse such agencies for services rendered to persons under this part to the extent such services and opportunities are not otherwise available on a nonreimbursable basis.

"RULES AND REGULATIONS

"SEC. 434. The Secretary of Labor may issue such rules and regulations as he finds necessary to carry out his responsibilities under this part.

"APPROPRIATIONS; NONFEDERAL SHARE

"SEC. 435. (a) There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Labor for each fiscal year a sum sufficient for carrying out the purposes of this part (other than sections 436 and 437), including payment of not to exceed 90 per centum of the cost of manpower services, training, and employment and opportunities provided for individuals registered pursuant to section 447. The Secretary of Labor shall establish criteria to achieve an equitable apportionment among the States of Federal expenditures for carrying out the programs authorized by section 431. In developing these criteria the Secretary of Labor shall consider the number of registrations under section 447 and other relevant factors.

"(b) If a non-Federal contribution of 10 per centum of the cost specified in subsection (a) is not made in any State (as required by section 402(a)(13)), the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare may withhold any action under section 404 on account thereof and if he does so he shall instead, after reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing to the appropriate State agency or agencies withhold any payments to be made to the State under section 403(a) until the amount so withheld (including any amounts contributed by the State pursuant to the requirement in section 402(a)(13)) equals 10 per centum of such costs. Such withholding shall remain in effect until such time as the Secretary of Labor has assurances from the State that such 10 per centum will be contributed as required by section 402(a)(13)). Amounts so withheld shall be deemed to have been paid to the State under such sections and shall be paid by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to the Secretary of Labor.

"CHILD CARE

"SEC. 436. (a) (1) For the purpose of assuring that members of families receiving benefits under part D will not be prevented from participating in training or employment by the unavailability of appropriate child care, there are authorized to be appropriated for each fiscal year such sums as may be necessary to enable the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to make grants to any public or nonprofit private agency or organization, and contracts with any public or private agency or organization, for part or all of the cost of projects for the provision of child care, including necessary transportation and alteration, remodeling, and renovation of facilities, which may be necessary or appropriate in order to better enable a member (of a family) who has been registered pursuant to part D to undertake or continue manpower training or employment under this part, or to enable an individual who has been referred pursuant to section 447(d) to participate in vocational rehabilitation, or to enable a member of a family which is or has been (within such period of time as the Secretary may prescribe) eligible for benefits under such part D to undertake or continue manpower training or employment under this part; or to better enable an individual who is receiving assistance to needy families with dependent children, or whose needs are taken into account in determining the need of anyone claiming or receiving such assistance under state welfare programs to participate in manpower training or employment.

"(2) Such grants or contracts for the provision of child care in any area may be made directly, or through grants to any public or nonprofit private agency which is designated by the appropriate elected or appointed official or officials in such area and which demonstrate a capacity to work effectively with the manpower agency in such area (including provision for the stationing of personnel with the manpower team in appropriate cases). To the extent appropriate, such care for children attending school which is provided on a group or institutional basis shall be provided through arrangements with the appropriate local educational agency.

"(3) Such projects shall provide for various types of child care needed in the light of the different circumstances and needs of the children involved.

"(b) Such sums shall also be available to enable the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to make grants to any public or nonprofit private agency or organization, for evaluation, training of personnel, technical assistance, or research or demonstration projects to determine more effective methods of providing any such care.

"(c) The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare may provide, in any case in which a family is able to pay for part or all of the cost of child care provided under a project assisted under this section, for payment by the family of such fees for the care as may be reasonable in the light of such ability.

"SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

"SEC. 437. (a) No payments shall be made to any State under title V, or part A or B of this title, with respect to expenditures for any calendar quarter beginning on or after the date part D becomes effective with respect to such State, unless it has in effect an agreement with the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under which it will provide health, vocational rehabilitation, counseling, social, and other supportive services which the Secretary under regulations determines to be necessary to permit an individual who has been registered pursuant to part D to undertake or continue manpower training and employment under this part.

"(b) Services under such an agreement shall be provided in close cooperation with manpower training and employment services provided under this part.

"(c) The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare shall from time to time, in such installments and on such conditions as he deems appropriate, pay to any State with which he has an agreement pursuant to subsection (a) up to 90 per centum of the cost of such State of carrying out such agreement. There are authorized to be appropriated for each fiscal year such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.

"ADVANCE FUNDING

"SEC. 438. (a) For the purpose of affording adequate notice of funding available under this part, appropriations for grants, contracts, or other payments with respect to individuals registered pursuant to section 447 are authorized to be included in the appropriation Act for the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which they are available for obligation.

"(b) In order to effect a transition to the advance funding method of timing appropriation action, subsection (a) shall apply notwithstanding that its initial application will result in enactment in the same year (whether in the same appropriation Act or otherwise) of two separate appropriations, one for the then current fiscal year and one for the succeeding fiscal year.

"EVALUATION AND RESEARCH; REPORTS TO CONGRESS

"SEC. 439. (a) (1) The Secretary shall (jointly with the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare) provide for the continuing evaluation of the manpower training and employment programs provided under this part, including their effectiveness in achieving stated goals and their impact on other related programs. The Secretary may conduct research regarding, and demonstrations of, ways to improve the effectiveness of the manpower training and employment programs so provided and may also conduct demonstrations of improved training techniques for upgrading the skills of the working poor. The Secretary may, for these purposes, contract for independent evaluations of and research regarding such programs or individual projects under such programs, and establish a data collection, processing, and retrieval system.

"(2) There are authorized to be appropriated such sums, not exceeding $15,000,000 for any fiscal year, as may be necessary to carry out paragraph (1).

"(b) On or before September 1 following each fiscal year in which part D is effective with respect to any State—

"(1) the Secretary shall report to the Congress on the manpower training and employment programs provided under this part in such fiscal year, and

"(2) the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare shall report to the Congress on the child care and supportive services provided under this part in such fiscal year."

CONFORMING AMENDMENTS RELATING TO SERVICES FOR NEEDY FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

SEC. 104. (a) Section 401 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601) is amended—

(1) by striking out "financial assistance and" in the first sentence; and

(2) by striking out "aid and" in the second sentence.

(b) (1) Subsection (a) of section 402 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 602) is amended—

(A) by striking out "aid and" in the matter preceding clause (1);

(B) by inserting, before "provide" at the beginning of clause (1), "except to the extent permitted by the Secretary,";

(C) by striking out clause (4);

(D) (i) by striking out "recipients and other persons" in clause (5) (B) and inserting in lieu thereof "persons", and

(ii) by striking out "providing services to applicants and recipients" in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "providing services under the plan";

(E) by striking out clauses (7) and (8);

(F) by striking out "aid to families with dependent children" in clause (9) and inserting in lieu thereof "the plan";

(G) by striking out clauses (10), (11), and (12);

(H) (i) by striking out "section 406(d)" in clause (14) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 405(c)",

(ii) by striking out "for each child and relative who receives aid to families with dependent children, and each appropriate individual (living in the same home as a relative and child receiving such aid whose needs are taken into account in making the determination under clause (7))" in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "for each member of a family receiving assistance to needy families with children, each appropriate individual (living in the same home as such family) whose needs would be taken into account in determining the need of any such member under the State plan (approved under this part) as in effect prior to the enactment of part D, and each individual who would have been eligible to receive aid to families with dependent children under such plan", and

(iii) by striking out "such child, relative, and individual" each place it appears in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "such member or individual";

(I) by striking out clause (15) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "(15) (A) provide for the development of a program, for appropriate members of such families and such other individuals, for preventing or reducing the incidence of births out of wedlock and otherwise strengthening family life, and for implementing such program by assuring that in all appropriate cases family planning services are offered to them, but acceptance of family planning services provided under the plan shall be voluntary on the part of such members and individuals and shall not be a prerequisite to eligibility for or the receipt of any other service under the plan; and (B) to the extent that services provided under this clause or clause (8) are furnished by the staff of the State agency or the local agency administering the State plan in each of the political subdivisions of the State, for the establishment of a single organizational unit in such State or local agency, as the case may be, responsible for the furnishing of such services;"

(J) by striking out "aid" in clause (16) and inserting in lieu thereof "assistance to needy families with children";

(K) (i) by striking out "aid to families with dependent children" in clause (17) (A) (i) and inserting in lieu thereof "assistance to needy families with children",

(ii) by striking out "aid" in clause (17) (A) (ii) and inserting in lieu thereof "assistance", and

(iii) by striking out "and" at the end of clause (i), and adding after clause (ii) the following new clause:

"(iii) in the case of any parent (of a child referred to in clause (ii)) receiving such assistance who has been deserted or abandoned by his or her spouse, to secure support for such parent from such spouse (or from any other person legally liable for such support), utilizing any reciprocal arrangements adopted with other States to obtain or enforce courts orders for support, and";

(L) by striking out "clause (17) (A)" in clause (18) and inserting in lieu thereof "clause (11) (A)";

(M) by striking out clause (19) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "(19) provide for arrangements to assure that there will be made a non-Federal contribution to the cost of manpower services, training, and employment and opportunities pro-

vided for individuals registered pursuant to section 447, in cash or kind, equal to 10 per centum of such cost;";

(N) by striking out "aid to families with dependent children in the form of foster care in accordance with section 408" in clause (20) and inserting in lieu thereof "payments for foster care in accordance with section 406";

(O) (i) by striking out "of each parent of a dependent child or children with respect to whom aid is being provided under the State plan" in clause (21) (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "of each person who is the parent of a child or children with respect to whom assistance to needy families with children or foster care is being provided or is the spouse of the parent of such a child or children",

(ii) by striking out "such child or children" in clause (21) (A) (i) and inserting in lieu thereof "such child or children or such parent",

(iii) by striking out "such parent" each place it appears in clause (21) (B) and inserting in lieu thereof "such person", and

(v) by striking out "section 410;" in clause (21) (C) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 408; and";

(P) (i) by striking out "a parent" each place it appears in clause (22) and inserting in lieu thereof "a person",

(ii) by striking out "a child or children of such parent" each place it appears in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "the spouse or a child or children of such person",

(iii) by striking out "against such parent" in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "against such person", and

(v) by striking out "aid is being provided under the plan of such other State" each place it appears in such clause and inserting in lieu thereof "assistance to needy families with children or foster care payments are being provided in such other State"; and

(Q) by striking out "; and (23)" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof a period.

(2) Clauses (5), (6), (9), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), and (22) of section 402(a) of such Act, as amended by paragraph (1) of this subsection, are redesignated as clauses (4) through (16), respectively.

(c) Section 402(b) of such Act is amended to read as follows:

"(b) The Secretary shall approve any plan which fulfills the conditions specified in subsection (a), except that he shall not approve any plan which imposes, as a condition of eligibility for services under it, any residence requirement which denies services or foster care payments with respect to any individual residing in the State."

(d) Section 402 of such Act is further amended by striking out subsection (c).

(c) (1) Subsection (a) of section 403 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 603) is amended—

(A) by striking out "aid and services" and inserting in lieu thereof "services" in the matter preceding paragraph (1);

(B) by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) an amount equal to the sum of the following proportions of the total amounts expended during such quarter as payments for foster care in accordance with section 406—

"(A) five-sixths of such expenditures, not counting so much of any expenditures with respect to any month as exceeds the product of $18 multiplied by the number of children receiving such foster care in such month; plus

"(B) the Federal percentage of the amount by which such expenditures exceed the maximum which may be counted under subparagraph (A), not counting so much of any expenditures with respect to any month as exceeds the products of $100 multiplied by the number of children receiving such foster care for such month;";

(C) by striking out paragraph (2);

(D) (i) by striking out "in the case of any State," in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) in paragraph (3),

(ii) by striking out "or relative who is receiving aid under the plan, or to any other individual (living in the same home as such relative and child) whose needs are taken into account in making the determination under clause (7) of such section" in clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "receiving foster care or any member of a family receiving assistance to needy families with children or to any other individual (living in the same home as such family) whose needs would be taken into account in determining the need of any such member under the State plan approved under this part as in effect prior to the enactment of part D",

(iii) by striking out "child or relative who is applying for aid to families with dependent children or" in clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "member of a family",

(iv) by striking out "likely to become an applicant for or recipient of such aid" in clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "likely to become eligible to receive such assistance", and

(v) by striking out "(14) and (15)" each place it appears in subparagraph (A) of such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "(8) and (9)";

(E) by striking out all that follows "permitted" in the last sentence of such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "by the Secretary; and";

(F) by striking out "in the case of any State," in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) in paragraph (5);

(G) by striking out "section 406(e)" each place it appears in paragraph (5) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 405(d)"; and

(H) by striking out the sentences following paragraph (5).

(2) Paragraphs (3) and (5) of section 403 (a) of such Act, as amended by paragraph (1) of this subsection, are redesignated as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively.

(f) Section 403(b) of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking out "(B) records showing the number of dependent children in the State, and (C)" in paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "and (B)"; and

(2) by striking out "(A)" in paragraph (2), and by striking out ", and (B)" and all that follows in such paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof a period.

(g) Section 404 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 604) is amended—

(1) by striking out "(a) In the case of any State plan for aid and services" and inserting in lieu thereof "In the case of any State plan for services"; and

(2) by striking out subsection (b).

(h) Section 405 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 605) is repealed.

(i) Section 406 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 606) is redesignated as section 405, and as so redesignated is amended—

(1) by striking out subsections (a) and (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(a) The term 'child' means a child as defined in section 445(b).

"(b) The term 'needy families with children' means families who are receiving benefits under part D and who would be eligible to receive aid to families with dependent children, under a State plan (approved under this part) as in effect prior to the enactment of part D, if the State plan had continued in effect and if it included assistance to dependent children of unemployed fathers pursuant to section 407 as it was in effect prior to such enactment; and 'assistance to needy families with children' means benefits under such part D, paid to such families.";

(2) by striking out subsection (c) and redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively;

(3) (A) by striking out "living with any of the relatives specified in subsection (a) (1) in a place of residence maintained by one or more of such relatives as his or their own home" in paragraph (1) of subsection (d) as so redesignated and inserting in lieu thereof "a member of a family (as defined in section 445(a))", and

(B) by striking out "because such child or relative refused" and inserting in lieu thereof "because such child or another member of such family refused".

(j) Section 407 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 607) is repealed.

(k) Section 408 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 608) is redesignated as section 406, and as so redesignated is amended—

(1) by striking out everything (including the heading) which precedes paragraph (1) of subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"FOSTER CARE

"SEC. 406. For purposes of this part—

"(a) 'foster care' shall include only foster care which is provided in behalf of a child (1) who would, except for his removal from the home of a family as a result of a judicial determination to the effect that continuation therein would be contrary to his welfare, be a member of such family receiving assistance to needy families with children, (2) whose placement and care are the responsibility of (A) the State or local agency administering the State plan approved under section 402, or (B) any other public agency with whom the State agency administering or supervising the administration of such State plan has made an agreement which is still in effect and which includes provision for assuring development of a plan, satisfactory to such State agency, for such child as provided in paragraph (c) (1) and such other provisions as may be necessary to assure accomplishment of the objectives of the State plan approved under section 402, (3) who has been placed in a foster family home or child-care institution as a result of such determination, and (4) who (A) received assistance to needy families with children in or for the month in which court proceedings leading to such determination were initiated, or (B) would have received such assistance to needy families with children in or for such month if application had been made therefor, or (C) in the case of a child who had been a member of a family (as defined in section 445(a)) within six months prior to the month in which such proceedings were initiated, would have received such assistance in or for such months if in such month he had been a member of (and removed from the home of) such a family and application had been made therefor;

"(b) 'foster care' shall, however, include the care described in paragraph (a) only if it is provided—";

(2) (A) by striking out "'aid to families with dependent children'" in subsection (b) (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "foster care",

(B) by striking out "such foster care" in such subsection and inserting in lieu thereof "foster care", and

(C) by striking out the period at the end of such subsection and inserting in lieu thereof "; and";

(3) by striking out subsection (c) and redesignating subsections (d), (e), and (f) as subsections (c), (d), and (e), respectively;

(4) by striking out "paragraph (f) (2)" and "section 403(a) (3)" in subsection (c) (as so redesignated) and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (e) (2)" and "section 403 (a) (2)" respectively;

(5) by striking out "aid" in subsection (d) (as so redesignated) and inserting in lieu thereof "services";

(6) by striking out "relative specified in section 406(a)" in subsection (e)(1) (as so redesignated) and inserting in lieu thereof "family (as defined in section 445(a))"; and

(7) by striking out "522" and "part 3 of title V" in subsection (e)(2) (as so redesignated) and inserting in lieu thereof "422" and "part B of this title", respectively.

(l)(1) Section 409 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 609) is repealed.

(m) Section 410 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 610) is redesignated as section 407; and subsection (a) of such section (as so redesignated) is amended by striking out "section 402(a)(21)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 402(a)(15)".

(n)(1) Section 422(a)(1)(A) of such Act is amended by striking out "section 402(a)(15)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 402(a)(9)".

(2) Section 422(a)(1)(B) of such Act is amended by striking out "provided for dependent children" and inserting in lieu thereof "provided with respect to needy families with children".

(o) References in any law, regulation, State plan, or other document to any provision of part A of title IV of the Social Security Act which is redesignated by this section shall (from and after the effective date of the amendments made by this Act) be considered to be references to such provision as so redesignated.

CHANGES IN HEADINGS

SEC. 104. (a) The heading of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601, et seq.) is amended to read as follows:

"TITLE IV—NATIONAL FAIR MINIMUM INCOME PLAN AND MEDICAL ASSISTANCE FOR AGED, BLIND, SEVERELY DISABLED PERSONS, FOR COUPLES AND FOR FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN, WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAMS, AND GRANTS TO STATES FOR FAMILY AND CHILD WELFARE SERVICES."

(b) The heading of part A of such title IV is amended to read as follows:

"PART A—SERVICES TO NEEDY FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN"

TITLE II—MISCELLANEOUS CONFORMING AMENDMENTS

"REPEAL OF TITLES I, X, XIV, XVI, AND XIX AND OTHER INCONSISTENT PROVISIONS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

"SEC. 201. Titles I, X, XIV, XVI, and XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 301, et seq., 120, et seq., 1351, et seq., 1381, et seq.) and such other provisions of such Act which are inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of this Act are hereby repealed."

ADDITIONAL DISREGARDING OF INCOME OF OASDI RECIPIENTS IN DETERMINING BENEFITS TO THE AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED PERSONS, COUPLES, AND FAMILIES

SEC. 202. Section 1007 of the Social Security Amendments of 1969 is amended by striking out "and before July 1970".

TRANSITION PROVISION RELATING TO OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS

SEC. 203. In the case of any State which has a State plan approved under title I, X, XIV, XVI or XIX of the Social Security Act as in effect prior to the enactment of this section, any overpayment or underpayment which the Secretary determined was made to such State under section 3, 1003, 1403, 1603, or 1903 of such Act with respect to a period before July 1, 1971, and with respect to which adjustment has not already been made under subsection (b) of such section 3, 1003, 1403, 1603, or 1903, shall for purposes of parts A and B of title IV, as amended by this Act, be considered an overpayment or underpayment (as the case may be) under such parts as herein amended.

AMENDMENT OF SECTION 228(D)

SEC. 204. Section 228(d)(1) of the Social Security Act is amended by striking out "I, X, XIV, XVI or XIX," and by striking out "part A" and inserting in lieu thereof "receives payments with respect to such month pursuant to part D".

AMENDMENTS TO TITLE XI

SEC. 205. Title XI of the Social Security Act is amended—

(1) by striking out "I,", "X,", "XIV,", "XVI,", and "XIX," in section 1101(a)(1);

(2) by striking out "I, X, XIV, XVI and XIX" in section 1106(C)(1)(A);

(3)(A) by striking out "titles I, X, XIV, and XVI" in section 1108(a).

(B) by striking out "section 402(a)(19)" in section 1108(b) and inserting in lieu thereof "part A of title IV";

(4) by striking out the text of section 1109 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"SEC. 1109. Any amount which is disregarded (or set aside for future needs) in determining eligibility for an amount of costs for services for any individual under a State plan approved under part A of title IV shall not be taken into consideration in determining the eligibility for and amount of aid or assistance for any other individual under any other part of said title."

(5)(A) by striking out "title I, X, XIV, and XVI of this Act, and" in section 1111, and

(B) by striking out "part A" in such section and inserting in lieu thereof "part D";

(6)(A) by striking out "title I, X, XIV, XVI, XIX of this Act" in the matter preceding clause (a) in section 1115.

(B) by striking out "of section 2, 402, 1002, 1402, 1602, or 1902," in clause (a) of such section and inserting in lieu thereof "of or pursuant to section 402," and

(C) by striking out "3, 403, 1003, 1403, 1603, or 1903," in clause (b) of such section and inserting in lieu thereof "403,";

(7)(A) by striking out "title I, X, XIV, XVI, or XIX of this Act," in subsections (a)(1), (b), and (d) of section 1116, and

(B) by striking out "4, 404, 1004, 1404, 1604, or 1904," in subsection (a)(3) of such section and inserting in lieu thereof "404,";

(8) by repealing section 1118;

(9)(A) by striking out "titles I, X, XIV, or XVI," in section 1119,

(B) by striking out "part A" in such section and inserting in lieu thereof "services under a State plan approved under part A," and

(C) by striking out "3(a), 403(a), 1003(a), 1403(a), or 1603(a)" in such section and inserting in lieu thereof "403(a)"; and

(10) by striking out section 1121.

AMENDMENTS TO TITLE XVIII

SEC. 206. Title XVIII of the Social Security Act is amended by striking out section 1843.

TITLE III—GENERAL

EFFECTIVE DATE

SEC. 301. The amendments and repeals made by this Act shall become effective, and section 9 of the Act of April 19, 1950 (25 U.S.C. 639), is repealed effective, on July 1, 1971; except that section 436 of the Social Security Act, as amended by this Act, shall be effective upon the enactment of this Act.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR PUERTO RICO, THE VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM

SEC. 302. Section 1108 of the Social Security Act is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(e)(1) In applying the provisions of sections 442 (a), (b), (c), and (e), and 443(b)(2) and (6)—

"(1) With respect to Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or Guam, the amounts to be used shall bear the same relation to the amounts specified in said sections as the per capita incomes of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, respectively, bear to the per capita income of that one of the fifty States which has the lowest per capita income; except that in no case may the amounts so used exceed such amounts specified and such amounts shall be rounded to the nearest dollar or fifty dollars, as the case may be.

"(2) (A) The amounts to be used under such sections in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam shall be promulgated by the Secretary between April 1 and June 30 of each year, on the basis of the average per capita income of each State and of the United States for the most recent calendar year for which satisfactory data are available from the Department of Commerce. Such promulgation shall be effective for each fiscal year beginning July 1 next succeeding such promulgation.

"(B) The term 'United States', for purposes of subparagraph (A) only, means the fifty States and the District of Columbia.

"(3) If the amounts which would otherwise be promulgated for any fiscal year for any of the three States referred to in paragraph (1) would be lower than the amounts promulgated for such State for the immediately preceding period, the amounts for such fiscal year shall be increased to the extent of the difference; and the amounts so increased shall be the amounts promulgated for such year."

MEANING OF SECRETARY AND FISCAL YEAR

SEC. 303. As used in this Act and in the amendments made by this Act, the term "Secretary" means, unless the context otherwise requires, the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare; and the term "fiscal year" means a period beginning with any July and ending with the close of the following June 30.

MOUNT VERNON COLLEGE AND THE WASHINGTON WORKSHOPS—AN EDUCATIONAL PARTNERSHIP

(Mr. PEPPER asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to bring to the attention of my colleagues a unique and productive educational partnership. This concerns two institutions with which many of us are already familiar—Mount Vernon College and the Washington Workshops Foundation.

Since 1968, the Washington Workshops have conducted a series of highly acclaimed high school study seminars dealing with the Congress and American Government. Enrolling hundreds of students each year from across the country, this program has brought the very finest young Americans to our Federal City, to learn firsthand of the ways in which our Government functions.

Of particular note, is the Washington Workshops Advanced Congressional Seminar. This is a special 3-week program, open to a selected group of previous seminar participants. The advanced seminar includes a 3-week intern assignment in a congressional office, plus preparation of a short political research paper under the direction of the workshops' staff. Recently Mount Vernon College has taken particular academic note of this exceptional program by awarding three college credits to students who have successfully completed the ad-

vanced seminar. This is good news to me, Mr. Speaker, for it shows how one of our fine colleges here in Washington is responding to the changing needs of American students.

This newest relevance of Mount Vernon College to contemporary education is really not surprising, for it follows in the wake of a long and honored tradition of educational leadership. Founded nearly a century ago, Mount Vernon College has long stressed the personal involvement of its students in Washington affairs. To be located in the Nation's Capital can be of unique advantage for today's involved student. Mount Vernon College has recognized this advantage by continuing to devise significant programs that offer challenge and academic reward to young Americans.

As further indication of the fine activities taking place at Mount Vernon College, I should like to call the attention of my colleagues to an article appearing in a recent issue of the Junior College Journal:

LIBERATING THE ACADEMIC CALENDAR—THE LITTLE MODULE AND HOW IT MULTIPLIES

(By Audrey Menefee)

The independent, non-sectarian, two-year liberal arts college for women has often been called obsolete, and there have been stern predictions that it will not last the decade. It is true that a few such colleges have closed their doors in recent years. Others have retrenched, changed to coeducational status, or become four-year colleges.

But in the eastern half of the country there remains a sturdy group of the original institutions. One of these is Mount Vernon College in Washington, D.C., where, indeed, the message of imminent demise does not seem to have reached.[1] In pace with an optimistic plant expansion, the century-old college is introducing bold new programs to challenge today's new students: year-long area studies in seminar format for freshmen; six-week "campus abroad" sessions throughout the year, sometimes held in several countries simultaneously and with no increase in tuition; across-the-board, self-paced individual progress courses combined with credit by examination and independent study; open-ended "academic internships"; and a calendar that permits almost continuous registration into individual courses and into the college itself.

Most of the changes were made possible by a faculty decision in 1968 to discard the two-semester system and replace it with three terms of varying length, each term a multiple of a basic three-week module.[2]

REVIEWING PRESENT PRACTICES

Under the leadership of College Dean Eileen P. Kuhns—a Reed College product and systems specialist formerly with I.B.M.—Mount Vernon personnel first spent a year reviewing the institution's practices and purposes, focusing on problems related to student differences in ways of learning. Questioning what relationship (if any) existed between the traditional academic calendar and individual learning styles, they could find no convincing reason to perpetuate the lock-step, multi-course system that has been imposed on generations of college students. Instead of requiring all students to carry from four to six courses simultaneously over a long semester, why not permit them to carry one course at a time for briefer periods? The 3-week module proposed by Dean Kuhns seemed to hold potential for a wide variety of teaching and learning approaches, and it was adopted in 1969 after a pilot year under a slightly different modular plan.

With a modular calendar, the word "term" is used instead of "semester" or "quarter." It simply denotes a "housekeeping" unit of time at the end of which grades may be issued or dean's and probation lists prepared. It does not indicate an end point for all courses, since some may end and others begin after every calendar module within the term. There is a significant advantage in this for new and returning students: they may enter a course (or college itself, if qualified) at the beginning of any calendar module. At Mount Vernon, enrollment now goes up over the course of the academic year, with more students enrolled in spring than in fall despite the usual autumn attrition. When remediation is required for entrance to a course, the student has a chance to build her skills in one or two modules of time so that her progress is not delayed by a long semester's wait.

ADJUSTING TO THE MODULE

To convert to the modular system, the academic year is divided into convenient sub-units, such as 6-week or 12-week terms or into fall, winter, and spring terms of varying lengths. The terms should all be divisible by the basic module (three or four weeks, e.g.) so that students may move easily in different courses from one timespan to another.

One's first reaction to the idea of telescoping a 3-hour course into three weeks is that teachers and students must be in class literally day and night. But, in fact, the concentrated session is far from being a grueling schedule for either student or instructor. Under Mount Vernon's previous semester system, the average full-time student spent 15 to 17 hours a week in class. The usual 3-hour course met for three 50-minute hours weekly over a 15-week period, for a total of 2,250 minutes. The unit figure of class time for one credit hour was therefore 750 minutes. This unit figure is now used to determine class time requirements for any course from physical education to biology laboratory. (Where three laboratory or studio hours had been required for every hour of credit, the same ratio [3:1] pertains under the modular calendar.)

Once the standardized units—class time required per unit of credit, and basic calendar module—are decided upon, the ramifications become apparent. Table I shows the various options available to a student who wants to complete four 3-hour courses in a 12-week term. She may take one course at a time, finishing each course in three weeks and then moving on to the next; or two courses at a time, completing each pair in six weeks; or four courses together over the whole term; or various combinations of these multiples. (Five courses at a time over a 15-week period would be a return to the conventional semester.)

STRICT SCHEDULES ARE NOT NECESSARY

The schedules are loose enough to permit fitting in an additional one- or two-credit course, but normally the 3-week 3-credit course is not combined with others. It is considered a full-time load for both student and instructor. Several advantages are derived from this fact. Since there are no conflicts with other classes, it is unnecessary to establish administrative schedules for class meetings. The decision on classroom days and hours is made by instructor and students together. It is necessary only that they honor the total number of class hours required for the course. Under this system they do not have to break up in the middle of a spirited discussion simply because a clock has struck the hour. Nor does a subject that has been adequately covered need to be stretched to the breaking point to keep the class (or instructor) occupied for a statutory class period. When the week's allotment of contact hours is met, the rest of their time is free.

Faculty and students have made continuous evaluations of the new calendar system and, predictably, many welcome the change but others are threatened by it. Student performance as measured by grade-point averages has improved; the dean's list has lengthened; probation lists are shorter. Last year, more students earned grades qualifying them for Phi Theta Kappa, the national junior college honor society, than could be initiated by the chapter rules. (Continuing longitudinal studies will reveal whether the improved performance carries over after transfer.)

SCHEDULE PREFERENCES

Some differences in reaction show up between students and instructors. Asked to rank their preferences for the various time spans, both groups gave first place to Option 2—in which four 6-week courses are carried each term, two at a time in consecutive double modules. The preference on both sides of the desk for this arrangement may reflect the fact that it is something of a compromise between the familiar group of courses taken together over a long semester and the unorthodox one-module challenge. Carrying two courses at a time permits some change of pace, too, with movement physically.

Although the students place the traditional system, Option 3, at the bottom of their list of scheduling preferences, the faculty, on the other hand, least prefer Option 1, the most radical departure from tradition. This is not surprising. Handling in three weeks what was formerly 15 weeks of material is probably more difficult for faculty than it is for students, at least in the beginning. If the 50-minute lecture has always been an instructor's way of teaching, he will be hard put indeed to lecture on the same subject for 3 or 4 hours a day, every day. A successful 3-week course will demand revisions in his approaches and materials; he must innovate, inspire discussion, introduce variety in pace, and interact with and provide alternate learning paths for the students.

TABLE I.—SCHEDULING OPTIONS FOR MODULAR CALENDAR[1]

Options	1st 3 weeks	2d 3 weeks	3d 3 weeks	4th 3 weeks
Option 1 (1 course at a time).	A	B	C	D
Option 2 (2 courses at a time).	A	.....	C	
	B	.....	D	
Option 3 (4 courses at a time).	A	.....	.....	
	B	.....	.....	
	C	.....	.....	
	D	.....	.....	
Option 4 (3 courses at a time).	A	.....	B	
	C	.....	.....	
	D	.....	.....	
Option 5 (2 courses together, then 1 course at a time).	A	.....	.....	
	B	.....	C	D

[1] Student to complete 4 3-hour courses in 12-week term.

Mount Vernon's working calendar for 1970–71 provides a break of two or three days plus a weekend after most module periods. This was designed mainly to give faculty a breathing space but it pleases the students as well. Final exams are given after completion of each course, so the student rarely

[1] Mount Vernon is currently engaged in a long term building program looking toward a doubling of enrollment and physical plant in the near future.

[2] This article is based in part on a paper, "The Academic Calendar and Modular Scheduling," presented by Eileen P. Kuhns at the conference on the Academic Calendar sponsored by the University of the State of New York, the State Education Department, Higher Education Management Services and Bureau of College Evaluation, on April 7–8, 1970.

faces more than one or two at a time. Faculty members can now take a 6-week "little sabbatical" every year, if they wish it. (Under the previous semester plan, a full-time faculty load was considered to be 12 hours a semester, or 24 hours a year. Faculty are still assigned the 24-hour credit load but they may now choose to handle it in 24 weeks instead of 30, and be off campus during any one of the 6-week sessions—at full pay, and at no added cost to the college.)

The logistics of converting to a modular calendar involve the usual considerations of full- and part-time faculty schedules, student's preferences for courses and instructors, classroom use, etc. The added variable is that courses with different time spans run simultaneously throughout the year. The mix can be of any desired ratio and, for a large institution, computer assistance would be desirable. For the small college the conversion can be a fairly simple manual operation, as it was at Mount Vernon.

By breaking out of the traditional calendar, Mount Vernon has been able to develop, with relative ease, a number of new programs aimed at stimulating student motivation for learning. Since studies over a 40-year period have failed to show that different teaching methods result in differences in student learning (as measured by final examinations) the college is trying to improve and expand upon its variety of *conditions* for learning, in order to give students some opportunity to select those conditions most suitable to their own individual learning styles.

The first such new "condition," introduced in fall 1968, was the invitational seminar for entering freshmen in American studies, social science, urban affairs, decorative arts, fine arts, and childhood education. Participants pursue a coordinated pattern of 3- and 6-week courses built around a major field. They meet in a comfortable and informal setting reserved exclusively for their use and designed to reinforce the learning process through interaction, study, and debate among a group of young women concentrating in the same discipline. The instructor has no additional teaching responsibilities during the 3-week seminar course, and thus is able to give individual attention to any student who wants it. Instruction in the residential seminar format has been tried successfully elsewhere, but it has usually been restricted to upper division or graduate students.

C.L.E.P. TESTS

On entrance tests and C.L.E.P. (College-Level Examination Program) General Examinations, invitational seminar students average about the same as others, and their records at entrance range from honors to borderline admission. Within one seminar group, however, the goal is relative homogeneity of student potential and interests. Results of the pilot year clearly suggested that students in the seminars were outperforming others, as measured by grades earned. (All students take the C.L.E.P. tests again as graduating sophomores, and the results this spring may tell whether superior learning gains also show up in this kind of measure.)

A built-in characteristic of the modular calendar is its capacity to accommodate a free flow of individual students into and out of overlapping courses and programs. It has enabled Mount Vernon to take unusually rapid strides toward individualizing instruction, in a format at the opposite end of the spectrum from structured courses with their required classroom hours and administratively scheduled final examinations. Forty-five of these systems-oriented courses are offered in humanities, social sciences, natural sciences, and mathematics. Participation is entirely optional on the part of both students and faculty members.

The instructor lets the central office know what calendar modules during the year are convenient for him to use for an individual progress course; he sets behavioral objectives for the course in advance; he establishes clear-cut subdivisions or units for the course; and he presents for student selection a variety of means toward achieving the objectives.

The student moves through the unit at her own pace, with free access to open library and laboratory resources. She may request a checkpoint evaluation for a sub-unit whenever she feels she has mastered its stated objectives. The progress evaluation may or may not take the form of an examination, depending upon the instructor. Some students who have already been exposed to a subject, or who are self-propelled, progress through one check-point after another in short order and face the final evaluation well before the end of a term. Others stretch out the time for as long a period as the individual instructor allows.

It may be of interest to note that since open laboratories have been made accessible from "dawn to midnight" for Mount Vernon students, laboratory courses have proved to be among the most successful of individual progress courses.

STUDY ABROAD

In summer 1969, the college sponsored an experimental 6-week course in Spanish which was taught by a Mount Vernon instructor in a seafront house in Alicante, Spain. Study abroad has since expanded to a series of 6-week courses taught in a variety of settings in several countries. The courses are selected from among those regularly listed in the college catalog, ranging from languages to Renaissance art to anthropology, and each offers six hours of credit toward the A.A. degree. It is Dr. Kuhns' conviction that any course offered on the Washington, D.C., campus may be taught almost anywhere in the world, unless it requires a particular laboratory. The overseas program is not limited to any one part of the year but may be fitted into any 6-week period that is convenient for interested students and instructor. Uniform standards of teaching are ensured by having a Mount Vernon instructor conduct every overseas course. The 1970–71 schedule offers classes in Mexico, France, Israel, and Italy. Next year, England and Greece will be added.

ACADEMIC INTERNSHIPS

A work-experience program has been made possible for a growing number of students because of the flexible nature of the modular calendar. Academic internship along cooperative education lines may be arranged in the Washington, D.C., area during any two calendar modules. Formal classwork is combined with experience "downtown"—in the offices of congressmen or in the Smithsonian Institution—and the work may or may not be salaried. Over the 6-week period, academic interns may earn from one to six credit hours.

The modular calendar is no panacea for all educational ills but for Mount Vernon it has surely been a step in the right direction. It could be such a step for many junior or community colleges, especially those that are not bound by oppressive state regulations. Its elastic time spans seem to inspire faculty to tackle imaginative instructional approaches. And the individualized instruction, independent study, optional class scheduling, and general systems approaches to learning that flourish under these conditions motivate not only the able student but those whose past records give little promise of academic success.

ON MEETING THE NEEDS OF NUTRITION FOR SENIOR CITIZENS

(Mr. PEPPER asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, in 1968 we appropriated to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitative Services, programs for the aging, the sum of $2 million to initiate special demonstration projects designed to improve nutrition services for the elderly.

Thirty-two grants were made, of which 18 projects are still in operation throughout the country. Seventeen of these 18 are due to expire by the end of this fiscal year and the last one on October 24.

These programs have been highly successful. In four instances, programs have attempted to struggle along on local contributions after Federal demonstration funds expired. Now, all these programs are in danger of going out of existence. This is wrong, Mr. Speaker.

It is wrong because it has been demonstrated that a need exists for these services.

It is wrong because there are thousands upon thousands of senior citizens living desolate lives lacking in even the basic necessity of life—nutritionally balanced meals.

It is wrong because we are a Nation that can afford to look after the welfare of its citizens in their later years.

That is why I have asked my distinguished colleague, the Honorable DANIEL J. FLOOD, to consider an amendment for $2,050,000 in the second supplemental appropriation bill for fiscal 1971 pending before his House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor—Health, Education, and Welfare.

This would enable the Administration on Aging to continue funding for one additional year a program of 18 to 20 demonstration projects designed to improve nutrition services for the elderly, and to resume funding for 1 additional year the programs of four demonstration projects—including one in my district—which were created and funded by the Administration on Aging and are still in operation to provide nutrition services for the elderly on a decreased scale under other auspices.

The continuation of these programs will give the Congress time to act on legislation sponsored by 117 Members of the House and 21 Members of the Senate to provide a comprehensive program of better nutrition for the elderly in centers throughout the country.

It would be unconscionable not to continue to provide for these pioneer programs in an area of such obvious need. We must provide adequate nutrition programs for our older citizens who so often cannot prepare adequate meals for themselves and cannot obtain the human benefits of joining with others in something so basic as a friendly daily meal.

The projects which would benefit from continuation of funding are located in the following cities: Phoenix, Ariz., Los Angeles, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Washington, D.C.; St. Petersburg, Fla.; Emmett, Idaho; Chicago, Ill.; Olive Hill, Ky.; Roxbury, Mass.; Detroit, Mich.; Jackson, Miss.; Helena, Mont.; Walthill, Nebr.; New York City; Cincinnati, Ohio, and Salt Lake City, Utah. More than 14,000

meals a week are served to senior citizens in these programs.

The projects which would benefit from resumption of full-scale operation are located in Dade County, Miami, Fla.; Dallas, Tex.; New York City, and Buffalo, N.Y. Some 2,900 meals per week could be added to these programs.

I am encouraged by prospects for approval of this amendment, especially with the active interest and sponsorship of Senator CHARLES H. PERCY, who is leading the effort in the other body.

I also encourage those Members who have not yet done so, to join with the 117 sponsors of H.R. 5017 to provide a permanent national program in comprehensive nutrition benefits for the elderly. Senator KENNEDY has introduced similar legislation, S. 1163, in the Senate.

Until this legislation can receive consideration it would be unconscionable not to continue to provide for the nucleus of programs already existant without making provision for the elderly who will suffer immeasurable hardship should any of these projects expire within the next few months.

TAKE PRIDE IN AMERICA

(Mr. MILLER of Ohio asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. MILLER of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, today we should take note of America's great accomplishments and in so doing renew our faith and confidence in ourselves as individuals and as a Nation.

Since 1925, Lions clubs have engaged in an extensive program of sight conservation and work for the blind in America and throughout the world. Activities have included the training of leader dogs, support for glaucoma research and glaucoma detection centers, the removal of cataracts, and the establishment of "eye banks" for corneal transplants.

LEST WE FORGET

(Mr. MILLER of Ohio asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. MILLER of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, in a land of progress and prosperity, it is often easy to assume an "out of sight, out of mind" attitude about matters which are not consistently brought to our attention. The fact exists that today more than 1,550 American servicemen are listed as prisoners or missing in Southeast Asia. The wives, children, and parents of these men haven't forgotten, and I would hope that my colleagues in Congress and our countrymen across America will not neglect the fact that all men are not free for as long as one of our number is enslaved.

Capt. Horace H. Fleming III, U.S. Marine Corps, [redacted] Pensacola, Fla. Single. The son of Mr. and Mrs. Horace Fleming, Pensacola, Fla. A 1964 graduate of Troy State University. Officially listed as missing May 10, 1968. As of today, Captain Fleming has been missing in action in Southeast Asia for 1,083 days.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. MCKAY (at the request of Mr. BOGGS), for today, on account of official business.

Mr. HANLEY (at the request of Mr. BOGGS), for April 27 through April 29, on account of official business.

Mr. CORMAN, on account of official business.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

Mr. PATMAN, for 30 minutes, today and Thursday, April 29; to revise and extend his remarks and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. DENT, for 30 minutes, on Wednesday, April 28; to revise and extend his remarks and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. BINGHAM, for 1 hour, tomorrow, and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. PEYSER) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous matter:)

Mr. CONTE, for 10 minutes, today.
Mr. MCKEVITT, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. QUIE, for 15 minutes, today.
Mr. WINN, for 15 minutes, April 29.
Mr. WHALEN, for 60 minutes, May 3.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. BERGLAND) and to revise and extend their remarks and include therein extraneous matter:)

Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts, for 10 minutes, today.
Mr. HAGAN, for 20 minutes, today.
Mr. RANGEL, for 30 minutes, today.
Mr. HAMILTON, for 30 minutes, today
Mr. RARICK, for 15 minutes, today.
Mr. STUCKEY, for 10 minutes, today.
Mr. GONZALEZ, for 10 minutes, today.
Mr. MURPHY of Illinois, for 10 minutes, today.
Mr. DENT, for 30 minutes, on April 28.
Mr. GAYDOS, for 30 minutes, on April 28.
Mr. DANIELSON, for 10 minutes, on April 28.
Mr. BADILLO, for 30 minutes, on May 4.
Mr. FAUNTROY, for 60 minutes, on May 4.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

Mr. SIKES in five instances and to include extraneous matter.
Mr. RONCALIO in two instances.
Mr. DORN in two instances.

Mr. HILLIS immediately after the passage of the bill H.R. 2894.

Mr. STAGGERS and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. MICHEL and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. GUDE immediately prior to the passage of H.R. 2598 today.

Mr. PATMAN (at the request of Mr. BOLLING), to revise and extend his remarks immediately after those of Mr. BOLLING.

Mr. MILLER of California in five instances and to include extraneous matter.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. PEYSER) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. WHITEHURST in two instances.
Mr. CHAMBERLAIN in two instances.
Mr. WYMAN in two instances.
Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia.
Mr. ARENDS.
Mr. THONE.
Mr. THOMPSON of Georgia.
Mr. FISH.
Mr. SKUBITZ.
Mr. MCCLORY.
Mr. KEMP.
Mr. PELLY in two instances.
Mr. HUNT.
Mr. SCHMITZ in three instances.
Mr. O'KONSKI.
Mr. COLLINS of Texas in five instances.
Mr. KEATING.
Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois in four instances.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. BERGLAND) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. JAMES V. STANTON.
Mr. BEGICH in four instances.
Mr. MATSUNAGA.
Mr. BOLLING.
Mr. MOLLOHAN in two instanecs.
Mr. BARING in two instances.
Mr. FAUNTROY.
Mr. REES.
Mr. ROONEY of New York.
Mr. FASCELL in two instances.
Mrs. SULLIVAN in three instances.
Mr. GONZALEZ in two instances.
Mr. CARNEY.
Mr. PUCINSKI in six instances.
Mr. ROSTENKOWSKI.
Mr. EDWARDS of California.
Mr. HICKS of Washington in two instances.
Mr. WALDIE in two instances.
Mrs. ABZUG.
Mr. GAYDOS in eight instances.
Mr. KLUCZYNSKI in six instances.
Mr. FOUNTAIN in two instances.
Mr. COTTER in three instances.
Mr. DIGGS in three instances.
Mr. DINGELL in three instances.
Mr. WHITE in two instances.
Mr. ALBERT in two instances.
Mr. CULVER in five instances.
Mr. GIBBONS in two instances.
Mr. BOGGS in two instances.
Mrs. HICKS of Massachusetts in two instances.
Mrs. GRASSO.

SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 1399. An act to establish within the Department of the Interior the position of an additional Assistant Secretary of the Interior; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

Mr. HAYS, from the Committee on House Administration, reported that that

committee had examined and found truly enrolled a joint resolution of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.J. Res. 567. Joint resolution making certain urgent supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year 1971, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. BERGLAND. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 31 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, April 28, 1971, at 12 o'clock noon.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

622. A letter from the Assistant Administrator, Agency for International Development, Department of State, transmitting a semiannual report on architectural and engineering fees in excess of $25,000, for the period ended June 30, 1970, pursuant to section 102 of the Foreign Assistance and Related Agencies Appropriations Act; to the Committee on Appropriations.

623. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics), transmitting a report of Department of Defense procurement from small and other business firms for July 1970–January 1971, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Small Business Act, as amended; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

624. A letter from the Commissioner of Social Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, transmitting a further report on progress in processing black lung benefit claims under the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

625. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of State for Economic Affairs, transmitting the 23d report on operations under the Mutual Defense Assistance Control Act of 1951; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

626. A letter from the Director of the Peace Corps, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to amend further the Peace Corps Act (75 Stat. 612), as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

627. A letter from the Secretary of the Interior, transmitting the annual report for 1970 on the anthracite mine water control and mine sealing and filling program, pursuant to 30 U.S.C. 571–576, as amended; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

628. A letter from the Chairman, Indian Claims Commission, transmitting a report on the final conclusion of judicial proceedings with respect to docket No. 22–A, *The Jicarilla Apache Tribe of the Jicarilla Apache Reservation, New Mexico, Plaintiff*, v. *The United States of America, Defendant*, pursuant to 60 Stat. 1055; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

629. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Power Commission, transmitting a copy of the publication, "Statistics of Privately Owned Electric Utilities in the United States, 1969"; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

630. A letter from the Director, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to amend the Bankruptcy Act and the civil service retirement law with respect to the tenure and compulsory retirement of referees in bankruptcy; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

631. A letter from the Attorney General, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to amend section 3307 of title 5, United States Code, to authorize the Attorney General to establish age limitations for certain appointments; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

632. A letter from the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to amend the Social Security Act to require employers to make an approved basic health care plan available to their employees, to provide a family health insurance plan for low-income families not covered by an employer's basic health care plan, to facilitate provision of health services to beneficiaries of the family health insurance plan by health maintenance organizations, by prohibiting State law interference with such organizations providing such services, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

RECEIVED FROM THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL

633. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report of the need for interagency consideration of applications for investment surveys and guarantees involving potential displacement of U.S. agricultural exports by the Agency for International Development, Department of State, Department of Agriculture, and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation; to the Committee on Government Operations.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. PATMAN: Committee on Banking and Currency. H.R. 4604. A bill to amend the Small Business Act (Rept. No. 92–152). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. HOLIFIELD: Committee on Government Operations. H.R. 6077. A bill to remove certain limitations on the granting of relief to owners of lost or stolen bearer securities of the United States, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 92–153). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. O'NEILL. Committee on Rules. House Resolution 406. Resolution providing for the consideration of H.R. 5208. A bill to authorize appropriations for procurement of vessels and aircraft and construction of shore and offshore establishments for the Coast Guard; (Rept. No. 92–154). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. ANDERSON of Tennessee: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 407. Resolution providing for the consideration of H.R. 5066. A bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 1971, 1972, and succeeding fiscal years to carry out the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended; (Rept. No. 92–155). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. O'NEILL: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 408. Resolution providing for the consideration of H.R. 6479. A bill to provide for the licensing of personnel on certain vessels; (Rept. No. 92–156). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. MATSUNAGA: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 409. Resolution providing for the consideration of S. 531. An act to authorize the U.S. Postal Service to receive the fee of $2 for execution of an application for a passport; (Rept. No. 92–157). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 247. Resolution to provide funds for the expenses of the studies, investigations, and inquires authorized by House Resolution 243; with an amendment (Rept. No. 92–158). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 273. Resolution to provide funds for expenses incurred under activities authorized by House Resolution 242; with an amendment (Rept. No. 92–159). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 274. Resolution authorizing the expenditure of certain funds for the expenses of the Committee on Internal Security; with an amendment (Rept. No. 92–160). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 303. Resolution to provide funds for the expenses of the investigation and study authorized by rule XI(8) (Rept. No. 92–161). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 312. Resolution to provide funds for the expenses of the investigations and studies authorized by House Resolution 5 and House Resolution 19; with an amendment (Rept. No. 92–162). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 337. Resolution to provide funds for the expenses of investigations and studies authorized by House Resolution 115; with an amendment (Rept. No. 92–163). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Committee on House Administration. House Resolution 351. Resolution to provide funds for the expenses of the investigation and study authorized by House Resolution 142 (Rept. No. 92–164). Referred to the House Calendar.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. PATMAN (by request):

H.R. 7740. A bill to amend laws relating to savings and loan associations, to broaden their mortgage credit powers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. BYRNES of Wisconsin (for himself, Mr. GERALD R. FORD, Mr. BETTS, Mr. SCHNEEBELI, Mr. CONABLE, Mr. CHAMBERLAIN, and Mr. PETTIS):

H.R. 7741. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to require employers to make an approved basic health care plan available to their employees, to provide a family health insurance plan for low-income families not covered by an employer's basic health care plan, to facilitate provision of health services to beneficiaries of the family health insurance plan by health maintenance organizations, by prohibiting State law interference with such organizations providing such services, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ABOUREZK:

H.R. 7742. A bill to provide for the disposition of funds to pay a judgment in favor of the Yankton Sioux Tribe in Indian Claims Commission docket No. 332–A, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. ADDABBO:

H.R. 7743. A bill to provide for the sharing with State and local governments of a portion of the tax revenue of the Federal Government and to authorize Federal collection of State income taxes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BEGICH:

H.R. 7744. A bill to improve education by increasing the freedom of the Nation's teachers to change employment across State lines without substantial loss of retirement benefits through establishment of a Federal-State program; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

H.R. 7745. A bill to establish an executive department to be known as the Department of Education, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Operations.

By Mr. BIAGGI:

H.R. 7746. A bill to amend section 236 of the National Housing Act; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

H.R. 7747. A bill to amend section 236 of the National Housing Act and section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 to reduce from 25 to 20 percent of the tenant's income the maximum rent which may be charged for a dwelling unit in a section 236 project or a dwelling unit qualifying for assistance under the rent supplement program; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

H.R. 7748. A bill to authorize increases in Federal Housing Administration mortgage ceilings under subsidized multifamily housing programs to meet construction costs; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

H.R. 7749. A bill to amend the Federal Hazardous Substances Act to authorize the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to ban glue and paint products containing toxic solvents when they do not meet certain specifications; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. BRADEMAS (for himself, Mr. CONABLE, Mr. ABOUREZK, and Mr. ROBISON of New York):

H.R. 7750. A bill to provide a comprehensive child development program in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. BROYHILL of North Carolina:

H.R. 7751. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to grant an additional income tax exemption for each dependent of the taxpayer who is permanently handicapped; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts (for himself, Mr. ADAMS, Mr. BEGICH, Mr. VIGORITO, Mr. DRINAN, Mr. MIKVA, and Mr. YATRON):

H.R. 7752. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide a 50-percent, across-the-board increase in benefits thereunder, with the resulting benefit costs being borne equally by employers, employees, and the Federal Government, and to raise the amount of outside earnings which a beneficiary may have without suffering deductions from his benefits; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. DON H. CLAUSEN:

H.R. 7753. A bill to amend the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 to prevent the imposition thereunder of any prohibition on the importation into the United States of any strategic and critical material from any free world country for so long as the importation of like material from any Communist country is not prohibited by law; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

H.R. 7754. A bill to provide Federal financial assistance for the reconstruction or repair of private nonprofit medical care facilities which are damaged or destroyed by a major disaster; to the Committee on Public Works.

By Mr. CONABLE:

H.R. 7755. A bill to amend section 832(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 concerning contingency reserve requirements for lease guarantee insurance and insurance on tax-exempt obligations and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. DEVINE:

H.R. 7756. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide for regulation of television networks to assure that their operations are in the public interest; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. DONOHUE (for himself, Mr. CLANCY, Mr. MACDONALD of Massachusetts, Mr. SHRIVER, Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey, Mr. WALDIE, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. SKUBITZ, Mr. WRIGHT, and Mr. VANDER JAGT):

H.R. 7757. A bill to extend the period for which payments in lieu of taxes may be made with respect to certain real property transferred by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and its subsidiaries to other Government departments, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Operations.

H.R. 7758. A bill to extend for 2 years the period for which payments in lieu of taxes may be made with respect to certain real property transferred by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and its subsidiaries to other Government departments; to the Committee on Government Operations.

By Mr. DOW (for himself, Mr. BADILLO, Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts, Mr. BURTON, Mr. EDWARDS of California, Mr. EILBERG, Mr. HALPERN, Mr. HARRINGTON, Mr. MCDONALD of Michigan, Mr. MIKVA, Mrs. MINK, Mr. ROSENTHAL, and Mr. ROYBAL):

H.R. 7759. A bill to encourage States to increase the proportion of the expenditures in the State for public education which are derived from State rather than local revenue sources; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mrs. DWYER (for herself and Mr. STEELE):

H.R. 7760. A bill to establish an Office of Consumer Affairs in the Executive Office of the President and a Consumer Protection Agency in order to secure within the Federal Government effective protection and representation of the interests of consumers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Operations.

By Mr. DE LA GARZA:

H.R. 7761. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to encourage physicians, dentists, optometrists, and other medical personnel to practice in areas where shortages of such personnel exist, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. EILBERG:

H.R. 7762. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to facilitate the entry of foreign tourists into the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. FLOOD:

H.R. 7763. A bill to provide for the establishment of the Thaddeus Kosciuszko Home National Historic Site in the State of Pennsylvania, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. GERALD R. FORD (for himself, Mr. KEMP, Mr. POFF, Mr. FRENZEL, Mr. THOMPSON of Georgia, Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, and Mr. KUYKENDALL):

H.R. 7764. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide assistance and encouragement for the establishment and expansion of health maintenance organizations and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. GERALD R. FORD (for himself, Mr. KEMP, Mr. POFF, Mr. FRENZEL, Mr. THOMPSON of Georgia, Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, Mr. KUYKENDALL, and Mr. BUCHANAN):

H.R. 7765. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act so as to provide for new health manpower educational initiatives, increase the level of financial assistance to health profession schools and other institutions training health personnel, improve the distribution and increase the supply of health personnel, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mrs. GRASSO:

H.R. 7766. A bill to amend the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 to permit Federal sharing of the cost of unemployment benefits which extend for 52 weeks; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. GRIFFITHS:

H.R. 7767. A bill to continue until the close of June 30, 1973, the existing suspension of duties for metal scrap; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HALPERN (for himself and Mr. HARRINGTON):

H.R. 7768. A bill to protect consumers against unreasonable risk of injury from hazardous products, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. HANNA:

H.R. 7769. A bill to amend laws relating to savings and loan associations, to broaden their mortgage credit powers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. HELSTOSKI:

H.R. 7770. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to continue and broaden eligibility of schools of nursing for financial assistance, to improve the quality of such schools, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mrs. HICKS of Massachusetts:

H.R. 7771. A bill to amend section 620 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to suspend, in whole or in part, economic and military assistance and certain sales to any country which fails to take appropriate steps to prevent narcotic drugs, produced or processed, in whole or in part, in such country from entering the United States unlawfully, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

H.R. 7772. A bill to amend the Noise Pollution and Abatement Act of 1970 to provide for a full and complete investigation of the effects of noise resulting from airports on surrounding communities; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

H.R. 7773. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to make additional immigrant visas available for immigrants from Ireland and from other certain foreign countries, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 7774. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to increase the Government contribution for health benefits for enrolled Government employees and annuitants to 66⅔ percent of the subscription charges, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

H.R. 7775. A bill to amend section 8339(1) of title 5, United States Code, to restore to an annuitant for any period after retirement in which the annuitant is not married the amount of annuity reduction made under such section for surviving spouse annuity purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

H.R. 7776. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide additional increases in certain civil service retirement annuities,

and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

H.R. 7777. A bill to permit a State to elect to use funds from the highway trust fund for purposes of urban mass transportation; to the Committee on Public Works.

H.R. 7778. A bill to provide for the establishment of a national cemetery in the State of Massachusetts; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 7779. A bill to provide for the establishment of a national cemetery in each State of the United States which does not have any national cemetery located therein; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 7780. A bill to amend title XVII of the Social Security Act to authorize payment under the supplementary medical insurance program for prescription drugs, hearing aids, eye examinations, glasses, and treatment, dental care, and the services of chiropractors; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 7781. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to provide that the first $5,000 each year of any Federal annuity shall be exempt from income tax; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 7782. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 and title II of the Social Security Act to provide a full exemption (through credit or refund) from the employees' tax under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act, and an equivalent reduction in the self-employment tax, in the case of individuals who have attained age 65; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HOWARD:

H.R. 7783. A bill to amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. KOCH:

H.R. 7784. A bill making an appropriation to carry out the provisions of the maternal and child health and crippled children's services (title V of the Social Security Act) for the year ending June 30, 1972; to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. KUYKENDALL:

H.R. 7785. A bill to amend certain provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. MATSUNAGA:

H.R. 7786. A bill to amend the Airport and Airway Development Act of 1970 to make American Samoa eligible for airport grants from the funds to be distributed at the discretion of the Secretary of Transportation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. MICHEL:

H.R. 7787. A bill to amend the National Labor Relations Act with respect to refusals to bargain; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. MIKVA (for himself, Mr. KOCH, Mr. BURTON, Mr. COTTER, and Mr. HARRINGTON):

H.R. 7788. A bill to assist in combating crime by reducing the incidence of recidivism, providing improved Federal, State, and local correctional facilities and services, strengthening administration of Federal corrections, strengthening control over probationers, parolees, and persons found not guilty by reason of insanity, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MIKVA (for himself, Mr. KOCH, Mr. ADAMS, Mr. BURTON, and Mr. COTTER):

H.R. 7789. A bill to assist in reducing crime by requiring speedy trials in cases of persons charged with violations of Federal criminal laws, to strengthen controls over dangerous defendants released prior to trial, to provide means for effective supervision and control of such defendants, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MOLLOHAN:

H.R. 7790. A bill to amend section 521 of title 38, United States Code, to provide that the 90-day-service requirement applicable to the payment of pension for non-service-connected disability shall be reduced to 60 days in the case of World War I veterans; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. MONTGOMERY:

H.R. 7791. A bill to provide that expenses incurred in certain construction in the city of Meridian, Miss., shall be eligible as local grants-in-aid for purposes of title I of the Housing Act of 1949; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. MOSS:

H.R. 7792. A bill to facilitate the transportation of cargo by barges specifically designed for carriage aboard a vessel; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. O'HARA:

H.R. 7793. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to increase to $825 the personal income tax exemptions of a taxpayer (including the exemption for a spouse, the exemptions for dependents, and the additional exemptions for old age and blindness); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PETTIS:

H.R. 7794. A bill to protect ocean mammals from being pursued, harassed, or killed; and for other purposes; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. PODELL:

H.R. 7795. A bill to amend the Airport and Airway Development and Revenue Acts of 1970 to further clarify the intent of Congress as to priorities for airway modernization and airport development, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. QUIE (for himself, Mr. ERLENBORN, Mr. ESCH, Mr. ESHLEMAN, Mr. STEIGER of Wisconsin, Mr. HANSEN of Idaho, Mr. FORSYTHE, Mr. VEYSEY, and Mr. KEMP):

H.R. 7796. A bill to strengthen education by providing a share of the revenues of the United States to the States and to local educational agencies for the purpose of assisting them in carrying out education programs reflecting areas of national concern; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. RAILSBACK:

H.R. 7797. A bill to amend the antitrust laws to provide that the refusal of nonprofit blood banks and of hospitals and physicians to obtain blood and blood plasma from other blood banks shall not be deemed to be acts in restraint of trade, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. RAILSBACK (for himself and Mr. PRICE of Illinois):

H.R. 7798. A bill to postpone for 6 months the date on which the National Railroad Passenger Corporation is authorized to contract for provision of intercity rail passenger service and to postpone for 6 months the date on which the Corporation is required to begin providing intercity rail passenger service, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. ROBINSON of Virginia:

H.R. 7799. A bill to amend the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 to prevent the imposition thereunder of any prohibition on the importation into the United States of any strategic and critical material from any free world country for so long as the importation of like material from any Communist country is not prohibited by law; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. SCOTT:

H.R. 7800. A bill to amend title 28 of the United States Code to provide that petit juries in U.S. district courts shall consist of six jurors, except in trials for capital offenses; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. JAMES V. STANTON (for himself and Mr. HATHAWAY):

H.R. 7801. A bill to provide maternity benefits for pregnant wives of certain former servicemen; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. THOMPSON of Georgia:

H.R. 7802. A bill to make rules respecting military hostilities in the absence of a declaration of war; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey:

H.R. 7803. A bill to amend the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 to authorize a legal services program by establishing a National Legal Services Corporation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. UDALL:

H.R. 7804. A bill to amend the Water Resources Planning Act (79 Stat. 244) to include provision for a national land use policy by broadening the authority of the Water Resources Council and river basin commissions and by providing financial assistance for statewide land use planning; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. WALDIE (for himself, Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia, Mr. PODELL, Mr. HOGAN, Mr. GUDE, Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia, Mr. TIERNAN, and Mr. ST GERMAIN):

H.R. 7805. A bill to provide increases in certain annuities payable under chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. WALDIE (for himself, Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia, Mr. PODELL, Mr. HOGAN, Mr. GUDE, Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia, Mr. TIERNAN, Mr. SCOTT, and Mr. ST GERMAIN):

H.R. 7806. A bill to amend chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, to eliminate the survivorship reduction during periods of nonmarriage of certain annuitants, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. WALDIE (for himself, Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia, Mr. PODELL, Mr. HOGAN, Mr. GUDE, Mr. BROYHILL of Virginia, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. TIERNAN, and Mr. ST GERMAIN):

H.R. 7807. A bill to increase the contribution by the Federal Government to the costs of employees' health benefits insurance; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. WHITEHURST:

H.R. 7808. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide for more responsible news and public affairs programing; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. WIDNALL (for himself, Mr. BLACKBURN, Mr. BROWN of Michigan, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. WYLIE, and Mr. MCKINNEY):

H.R. 7809. A bill to amend laws relating to savings and loan associations, to broaden their mortgage credit powers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. ABERNETHY:

H.R. 7810. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to increase the amount of outside earnings permitted each year without any deductions from benefits thereunder; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois:

H.R. 7811. A bill to amend the Interstate Commerce Act, section 204; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. BELL:

H.R. 7812. A bill to amend the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 in order to provide for

more effective control of aircraft noise; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. DERWINSKI:

H.R. 7813. A bill to provide for the establishment of the Thaddeus Kosciuszko Home National Historic Site in the State of Pennsylvania, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. FRASER:

H.R. 7814. A bill to postpone for 6 months the date on which the National Railroad Passenger Corporation is authorized to contract for provision of intercity rail passenger service; to postpone for 6 months the date on which the Corporation is required to begin providing intercity rail passenger service; and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. LENT:

H.R. 7815. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide payment for chiropractors' services under the program of supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. McKINNEY:

H.R. 7816. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide payment for chiropractors' services under the program of supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. MINK:

H.R. 7817. A bill to appropriate funds for projects for navigation, flood control, beach erosion, and other purposes in the State of Hawaii; to the Committee on Appropriations.

H.R. 7818. A bill to prohibit discrimination against locally recruited personnel in the granting of overseas differentials and allowances, equalize the compensation of overseas teachers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. PEPPER:

H.R. 7819. A bill to amend the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 to provide for the inclusion of child-care facilities in low-rent housing projects, and to provide that the eligibility of a family to remain in such a project despite increases in its total income shall be determined solely on the income of the head of such family (or its other principal wage earner); to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. RANGEL (for himself, Mr. HAMILTON, Mr. DELLUMS, Mrs. ABZUG, Mr. ADAMS, Mr. BARING, Mr. BELL, Mr. BRASCO, Mr. BYRNE of Pennsylvania, Mrs. CHISHOLM, Mr. CLAY, Mr. CLEVELAND, Mr. COLLINS of Illinois, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. DIGGS, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. DULSKI, Mr. ESCH, Mr. FAUNTROY, Mr. FULTON of Pennsylvania, Mr. GALIFIANAKIS, Mr. GAYDOS, Mr. GIBBONS, Mr. GRAY, and Mr. HALPERN):

H.R. 7820. A bill to amend section 620 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to prohibit foreign assistance from being provided to foreign countries which do not act to prevent narcotic drugs from unlawfully entering the United States; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. HAMILTON (for himself, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. HARRINGTON, Mr. HAWKINS, Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia, Mr. HELSTOSKI, Mr. HENDERSON, Mr. HILLIS, Mr. HOGAN, Mr. HORTON, Mr. JACOBS, Mr. KEATING, Mr. KEE, Mr. METCALFE, Mr. MIKVA, Mrs. MINK, Mr. MITCHELL, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. NIX, Mr. OBEY, Mr. PERKINS, Mr. PIKE, Mr. PODELL, and Mr. PREYER of North Carolina):

H.R. 7821. A bill to amend section 620 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to prohibit foreign assistance from being provided to foreign countries which do not act to prevent narcotic drugs from unlawfully entering the United States; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. DELLUMS (for himself, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. HAMILTON, Mr. RARICK, Mr. RIEGLE, Mr. RONCALIO, Mr. ROSTENKOWSKI, Mr. ROUSH, Mr. ROY, Mr. ROYBAL, Mr. RUNNELS, Mr. RUPPE, Mr. RYAN, Mr. SCHWENGEL, Mr. STOKES, Mr. VAN DEERLIN, Mr. CHARLES H. WILSON, Mr. WOLFF, Mr. ZION, and Mr. BADILLO):

H.R. 7822. A bill to amend section 620 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to prohibit foreign assistance from being provided to foreign countries which do not act to prevent narcotic drugs from unlawfully entering the United States; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. ANDREWS of Alabama:

H.J. Res. 574. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to authorize Congress, by two-thirds vote of both Houses, to override decisions of the Supreme Court; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.J. Res. 575. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution requiring that Federal judges be reconfirmed by the Senate every 10 years; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HILLIS:

H.J. Res. 576. Joint resolution amending title 38 of the United States Code to authorize the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to provide certain assistance in the establishment of new State medical schools and the improvement of existing medical schools affiliated with the Veterans' Administration; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. O'NEILL:

H.J. Res. 577. Joint resolution to instruct the President of the United States to release certain appropriated funds; to the Committee on Government Operations.

By Mr. PEPPER:

H.J. Res. 578. Joint resolution authorizing the President to issue a proclamation designating the 7-day period beginning August 8, 1971, as "All-American Family Week"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WHITTEN:

H.J. Res. 579. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. VANIK (for himself, Mr. MIKVA, Mr. RYAN, and Mr. YATES):

H. Con. Res. 280. Concurrent resolution relative to asset depreciation range; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. DELLENBACK:

H. Res. 403. Resolution to express the sense of the House relative to the relations between the United States and the People's Republic of China; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. FULTON of Tennessee (for himself, Mr. ROE, Mr. LINK, Mr. GIAIMO, Mr. HENDERSON, Mr. STOKES, Mr. CLAY, Mr. ABERNETHY, and Mr. GRIFFIN):

H. Res. 404. Resolution creating a select committee of the House to conduct a full and complete investigation of all aspects of the energy resources of the United States; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. PETTIS:

H. Res. 405. Resolution expressing the sense of the House with respect to the prompt removal of discriminatory preferences on citrus fruits granted by the European Economic Community, and action to be taken by the United States if such discriminatory preferences are not promptly removed; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

140. By the SPEAKER: Memorial of the Legislature of the State of California, relative to earthquake-damaged dwellings; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

141. Also, memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, relative to extending the mortgage payments of persons who have become unemployed through no fault of their own; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

142. Also, memorial of the Legislature of the State of Kansas, requesting the return of the statue of George W. Glick and the acceptance of a statue of Dwight David Eisenhower for placement in Statuary Hall; to the Committee on House Administration.

143. Also, memorial of the Legislature of the State of North Dakota, requesting Congress to call a convention to amend the Constitution of the United States to provide for revenue sharing; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

144. Also, memorial of the Legislature of the State of Nevada, relative to adoption of the metric system; to the Committee on Science and Astronautics.

145. Also, memorial of the Legislature of the State of North Dakota, relative to revenue sharing; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. CONYERS:

H.R. 7823. A bill for the relief of Abhijit Chatterjee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. DELLENBACK:

H.R. 7824. A bill for the relief of Park Jung Ok; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HELSTOSKI:

H.R. 7825. A bill for the relief of Miss Zofia Klosowska; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. OBEY:

H.R. 7826. A bill for the relief of James A. Wentz; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ROE:

H.R. 7827. A bill for the relief of Zofia Klosowska; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 7828. A bill for the relief of Judith Nesser; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. VEYSEY:

H.R. 7829. A bill for the relief of Stephen H. Clarkson; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

66. By the SPEAKER: Petition of Mrs. Iva David, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, V. I., relative to redress of grievances; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

67. Also, petition of Barry Dale Holland, Portsmouth, Va., relative to the protection of constitutional rights; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

68. Also, petition of Mabel Mackey, Lancaster, Va., relative to taxes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.