Now, if someone had made a commitment-and I asked the majority leader whether anyone had-I had no knowl-

edge of it whatever.

I recognize the right of any Senator to talk on any subject as long as he wishes, no matter how much it may irritate his colleagues. Having done it myself, I cheerfully concede that privilege to others. But I simply say that so far as I know, no commitment was made, and the majority leader told us no commitment had been made on his behalf. As far as anyone discussing the bill was concerned, the RECORD should also show that unanimous consent was given that the matter be reconsidered and again laid before the Senate; but if anyone had intended to engage in a filibuster, he had lost that right by not being present.

If such a commitment, that the Senate not vote on the matter which had been laid before it, had been given, I would

have respected it.

But that matter was the pending business when the Senate adjourned at 6 o'clock the previous evening; and when morning business was concluded, that was the pending business. There was at least one Senator opposed to the conference report present; and as I say, if any Senator had requested the Senator from Louisiana, who favored the conference report, for an opportunity to speak against it, or to delay matters until those who wanted to fight it could organize their opposition and prepare their speeches against it, I would have cooperated. I simply say no such commitment was ever given, and that I do not feel obliged to comply with commitments I have never made, nor to fulfill commitments I have not made to anyone. I do not feel that I broke any commitments whatever. If I did, I shall be happy to hear about it.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 8 P.M. WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 1968

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, in accordance with the previous order, I move that the Senate stand in adjournment.

Mr. MORSE. I ask for the yeas and navs.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the motion of the Senator from Montana. The yeas and nays have been requested; there is obviously a sufficient second, the yeas and nays are ordered, and the clerk will call the roll

The legislative clerk called the roll. Mr. BYRD of West Virginia. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. Anderson], the Senator from Indiana [Mr. Bayh], the Senator from Nevada [Mr. Bible], the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. Burdick], the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Byrd], the Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLARK], the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. Gore], the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. KENNEDY], the Senator from Ohio [Mr. LAUSCHE], the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Mc-INTYRE], the Senator from Montana [Mr. METCALF], the Senator from Minnesota [Mr. Mondale], the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. Montoya], the Senator from Utah [Mr. Moss], the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Spong], the Senator from Maryland [Mr. Typings], the Senator from New Jersey [Mr. WILLIAMS], the Senator from Texas [Mr. YARBOROUGH], and the Senator from Ohio [Mr. Young] are absent on official business.

I also announce that the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Eastland], the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. ERVIN], the Senator from Alabama [Mr. HILL], the Senator from Washington [Mr. Jackson], the Senator from New York [Mr. KENNEDY], the Senator from Washington [Mr. Magnuson], the Senator from Minnesota [Mr. McCarthy], the Senator from South Dakota [Mr. McGovern], the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. PASTORE], the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Ribicoff], the Senator from Florida [Mr. SMATHERS], the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Stennis], and the Senator from Georgia [Mr. TALMADGE] are necessarily absent.

I further announce that the Senator from Alaska [Mr. BARTLETT] and the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. INOUYE] are absent because of illness.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Ribicoff], the Senator from Alabama [Mr. HILL], the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. ERVIN], the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Byrn], and the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Spong] would each vote "yea."

Mr. KUCHEL. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts, [Mr. BROOKE] and the Senator from Iowa [Mr. MILLER] are absent on official business.

The Senator from New Hampshire

[Mr. Cotton], the Senator from Colorado [Mr. Dominick], the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. Fong], the Senator from New York [Mr. Javits], the Senator from California [Mr. MURPHY] and the Senator from Delaware [Mr. WILLIAMS] are necessarily absent.

The Senator from Kansas [Mr. PEARson] and the Senator from Texas [Mr. Towerl are detailed on official business.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. BROOKE], the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Cotton], the Senator from Colorado [Mr. Dominick], the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. Fong], the Senator from New York [Mr. JAVITS] the Senator from Iowa [Mr. MILLER], the Senator from California [Mr. MURPHY], the Senator from Kansas [Mr. Pearson], the Senator from Texas [Mr. Tower], and the Senator from Delaware [Mr. WILLIAMS], would each vote "yea."

The result was announced-yeas 53, nays 3, as follows:

> [No. 2 Leg.] YEAS-53 Griffin

McGee Allott Hansen Monroney Baker Morton Harris Bennett Hart Mundt Boggs Brewster Muskie Hatfield Pell Byrd, W. Va. Hayden Percy Cannon Hickenlooper Prouty Proxmire Carlson Holland Case Hollings Randolph Church Russell Hruska Cooper Jordan, N.C. Jordan, Idaho Scott Smith Sparkman Dirksen Kuchel Long, Mo. Symington Ellender Long, La. Mansfield Thurmond Fannin McClellan Fulbright

Young, N. Dak. NAYS-3 Nelson NOT VOTING-44 Murphy Pastore Pearson Smathers Spong Stennis Talmadge

Bayh Javits Kennedy, Mass. Ribicoff Kennedy, N.Y. Smather Bible Brooke Lausche Magnuson McCarthy Burdick Byrd, Va. Clark Tower McGovern Cotton McIntyre Tydings Williams, N.J. Dominick Metcalf Eastland Ervin Miller Mondale Williams, Del. Fong Yarborough Gore Montoya Young, Ohio Hill Moss

Morse

Inouye

Jackson

Gruening

Anderson

Bartlett

So the motion was agreed to; and (at 12 o'clock and 53 minutes p.m.) the Senate adjourned until Wednesday, January 17, 1968, at 8 p.m.

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Monday, January 15, 1968

This being the day fixed by Public Law 230, 90th Congress, enacted pursuant to the 20th amendment of the Constitution, for the meeting of the second session of the 90th Congress, the Members of the House of Representatives of the 90th Congress met in their Hall, and at 12 o'clock noon were called to order by the Speaker, the Honorable JOHN W. McCormack, a Representative from the State of Massachusetts.

The Chaplain, Rev. Edward G. Latch, D.D., L.H.D., offered the following

prayer:

Be strong and of good courage; be not afraid, neither be thou dismayed; for the Lord your God is with you wherever you go. Joshua 1 · 9

O God, our Father, our help in ages past and our hope for years to come, our help and our hope in this present hourin all reverence of mind and with true humility of spirit we lift our hearts unto Thee seeking strength and wisdom from Thy never-failing and ever-faithful presence.

In this hour, as we turn another page in the glorious history of our growing country, may we be strengthened with might by Thy spirit that we may meet these demanding days with creative courage and become more than a match for the mood of these troubled times.

Bless Thou our President, our Speaker, our Representatives, those employed by our Government, and all those in the Armed Forces of our country. Grant that together we may enter this new year with deeper faith, broader sympathy, higher vision, and with greater love.

Keep ever before us the endless splendor of a world cleared of poverty,

cleansed of prejudice, and concerned RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF THE with peace between men and nations. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES May we so live our lives, so lead our people, so guide our Nation that we may build on earth a better and a brighter brotherhood, in the spirit of Him who taught us to pray:

Our Father who art in heaven, hallowed be Thy name; Thy kingdom come, Thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily bread. And forgive us our trespasses as we forgive those who trespass against us. And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil. For Thine is the kingdom. and the power, and the glory forever. Amen.

# RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communications, which were read:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D.C., December 15, 1967. Hon. John W. McCormack,

Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Herewith please find copy of my resignation submitted to the Secretary of State, State of New York, as required by law, effective January 1, 1968.

It has been my privilege to have been able

to serve with you these many years un-der your great leadership. I will always remember your wise counsel and guidance, as well as, the many courtesies extended to me. I will treasure forever your friendship and that of our colleagues with whom I have served.

With warmest personal regards and very best wishes, I am,

Most sincerely,

ABRAHAM J. MULTER.

Enclosure.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

December 19, 1967.

Hon. JOHN W. McCORMACK,

Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Speaker: This is to clarify the effective date of my resignation from the House of Representatives. It is intended that my resignation be effective as of midnight. December 31, 1967, since my new post as Jus-tice of the New York State Supreme Court begins on January 1, 1968.

With warm personal regards and best wishes, I am.

Sincerely,

ABRAHAM J. MULTER.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D.C., December 15, 1967.

Hon. JOHN P. LOMENZO,

Secretary of State, State of New York,

Albany, N.Y.
DEAR Mr. SECRETARY: Pursuant to the requirements of law, I hereby give you notice that I am resigning as a Member of the United States House of Representatives for the 13th District of New York effective the first day of January 1968 on which date, I will assume the office of Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New York to which position I was elected on November 7,

Sincerely yours,

ABRAHAM J. MULTER.

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication, which was read:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D.C., January 1, 1968. Hon. JOHN W. McCORMACK,

Speaker of the House of Representatives, U.S.

Congress, Washington, D.C.
DEAR Mr. SPEAKER: Attached is a copy of a letter this day forwarded to Honorable Paul B. Johnson, Governor of the State of Mississippi, tendering my resignation as a Member of the United States House of Representatives effective on my taking the oath of office as Governor of Mississippi on January 16, 1968.

Mr. Speaker, I came to the Congress be-lieving it to be the greatest deliberative body on earth. After twenty-one years, I will leave the Congress even more secure in that belief. I cherish the many friendships I have made here; and I take this means through

you to express profound appreciation to my colleagues for their friendships and cour-

tesies throughout the years.

My service in this body has brought satisfaction in accomplishment, disappointment in defeat, heartache in frustration, and joy in triumph. In all, Mr. Speaker, these have been the most interesting and rewarding years of my life. I will always be thankful for the privilege of having been a part of this great institution.

As I leave the Congress to assume a public position of greater responsibility, I seek the prayers and good will of you and my colleagues as we strive in common purpose to build a greater and finer Republic.

With sincere best wishes, I am,

Respectfully,

JOHN BELL WILLIAMS.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D.C., January 1, 1968. Hon. PAUL B. JOHNSON,

Governor,

State of Mississippi,

Jackson, Miss.

DEAR GOVERNOR JOHNSON: I hereby tender to you my resignation as a Member of the House of Representatives in the Congress of the United States from the Third District of Mississippi, to become effective on January 16, 1968, on my taking the oath of office as Governor of the State of Mississippi.

Respectfully yours,

JOHN BELL WILLIAMS.

#### CALL OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will call the roll to ascertain the presence of a quorum.

The Clerk called the roll, and the fol-Members answered to their lowing names:

[Roll No. 1]

Abbitt Bevill Buchanan Burke, Fla. Burke, Mass. Adair Biester Adams Bingham Addabbo Burleson Burton, Calif. Burton, Utah Blackburn Albert Anderson, Blanton Boggs Boland Tenn. Bush Arends Bolling Cabell Ashbrook Cahill Bow Brademas Ashley Aspinall Carter Celler Brasco Brinkley Clark Avres Baring Bates Brooks Broomfield Clausen, Don H. Brotzman Brown, Calif. Brown, Mich. Battin Bell Clawson, Del Cleveland Bennett Cohelan Berry Broyhill, Va. Collier

Joelson Conte Pucinski Corman Johnson, Calif. Purcell Cunningham Johnson, Pa. Quie Railsback Curtis Jonas Daddario Jones, Mo. Jones, N.C. Rarick Daniels Rees Davis, Wis. Karsten Reid, III. Reid, N.Y. Dawson Karth de la Garza Kastenmeier Reinecke Kazen Denney Reuss Dent Kee Kelly Rhodes, Pa. Derwinski Riegle Devine Dickinson King, N.Y. Roberts Robison Kirwan Rogers, Colo. Rogers, Fla. Donohue Kleppe Dorn Kornegay Rooney, N.Y. Rosenthal Downing Downing Kuykendall Kvl Duncan Edwards, Ala. Kyros Rostenkowski Roth Laird Edwards, La. Landrum Roudebush Langen Esch Eshleman Roush Latta Roybal Lennon Rumsfeld Evins, Tenn. Lipscomb Lloyd Ryan Satterfield Fallon Farbstein Long, La. Long, Md. Lukens Fascell Saylor Scherle Findley Fino Schweiker Flood McClory
Flynt McCloskey
Ford, Gerald R. McCulloch Flood Schwengel Scott Selden Ford, William D. McDade Shipley McDonald. Shriver Fountain Mich. McMillan Sikes Skubitz Fulton, Pa. Fulton, Tenn. Madden Slack Smith, Calif. Fugua Mahon Gallagher Mailliard Smith, N.Y. Gardner Marsh Snyder Mathias, Calif. Mathias, Md. Steed Steiger, Ariz. Gathings Gettys Giaimo Matsunaga Stephens Meskill Stratton Miller, Calif. Miller, Ohio Gilbert Gonzalez Taft Taylor Goodell Goodling Mills Minshall Teague, Tex. Tenzer Mize Monagan Thompson, N.J. Thomson, Wis. Gray Gross Moore Gude Morgan Udall Gurney Haley Hall Morse, Mass. Morton Ullman Van Deerlin Vanik Halleck Mosher Hamilton Hammer-schmidt Murphy, Ill. Vigorito Waggonner Waldie Myers Hanley Hansen, Idaho Natcher Nedzi Walker Hardy Harrison Wampler Watkins Nelsen Nichols O'Hara, Ill. O'Hara, Mich. Harvey Hathaway Watson Watts Olsen O'Neill, Mass. Hawkins Whalen Hays Hechler, W. Va. Heckler, Mass. Whitener Widnall Williams, Pa. Passman Patman Helstoski Patten Willis Henderson Wilson, Bob Pelly Herlong Holland Perkins Winn Wright Wyatt Wydler Howard Philhin Hull Pickle Hunt Pike Wylie Hutchinson Pirnie Wyman

The SPEAKER. On this rollcall 288 Members have answered to their names, a quorum.

Price, Tex.

Poage Poff

Pool

Ichord

Irwin

Jacobs

Jarman

Young Zablocki

Zion

By unanimous consent, further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

# COMMITTEE TO NOTIFY THE PRESIDENT

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I offer a preferential resolution (H. Res. 1012) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1012

Resolved, That a committee of three Members be appointed by the Speaker on the part of the House of Representatives to join with a committee on the part of the Senate to notify the President of the United States that a quorum of each House has assembled and Congress is ready to receive any communication that he may be pleased to make.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on

the table.

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as members of the committee on the part of the House to join with the committee on the part of the Senate to notify the President of the United States that a quorum of each House has been assembled and that the Congress is ready to receive any communication he may be pleased to make, the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. Albert], the gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. Boggs], and the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Gerald R. Ford].

#### NOTIFICATION TO SENATE

Mr. MAHON. Mr. Speaker, I offer a resolution (H. Res. 1013) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1013

Resolved, That the Clerk of the House inform the Senate that a quorum of the House is present and that the House is ready to proceed with business.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the

#### DAILY HOUR OF MEETING

Mr. MADDEN. Mr. Speaker, I offer a resolution (H. Res. 1014) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1014

Resolved, That until otherwise ordered, the daily hour of meeting of the House of Representatives shall be at 12 o'clock meridian.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, D.C., December 16, 1967.
The Honorable the Speaker,
House of Representatives.

Sir: Pursuant to authority granted on December 15, 1967, the Clerk received from the Secretary of the Senate today the following messages:

That the Senate passed S. 1228, entitled "An Act to authorize project grants for construction and modernization of hospitals and other medical facilities in the District of Columbia".

That the Senate passed S. 1507, entitled "An Act to include firefighters within the provisions of section 8336(c) of title 5, United States Code, relating to the retirement of Government employees engaged in certain hazardous occupations."; and

That the Senate passed S. 1637, entitled "An Act to amend the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933 with respect to certain

provisions applicable to condemnation proceedings."

Respectfully yours,
W. Pat Jennings,
Clerk, House of Representatives.

# FREEDOM'S FRAMEWORK

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include a poem.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, there has come to my attention a literary work of very timely interest. The great abundance of words that have been written about the Vietnam conflict may very well set some kind of journalistic record. On occasion, there are writing efforts that catch the eye and the mind of the reader. Such is the poem, "Freedom's Framework," written by Lt. Col. J. L. Zorack, a native of Colorado, and now of the U.S. Marine Corps. Colonel Zorack is a veteran of World War II, the Korean service and is the recipient of the Bronze Star for his combat activity in Vietnam. I recommend to my colleagues the thoughts of this professional military man about the people of the Vietnamese village of Sam Hai:

#### FREEDOM'S FRAMEWORK

(By Lt. Col. J. L. Zorack, U.S. Marine Corps)
In Vietnam, on the Son Tra Bong river,
Is Sam Hai . . . a free hamlet, a bastion,
bulwark

Where free Vietnamese freely pursue, The daily business of living as they like, Through choice. Where friendship has replaced fear.

In Sam Hai
People were once fearful
And the price of rice was high;
The price of life...cheap.
And living meant giving...
Crops, rice, labor...lives.
And there was no choice.

No choice of freedom;
No freedom of choice.
No opportunity to voice, to say
Which was the right or the wrong way
. . . of living.

Now . . . There's a smile, more than once in a while. There's a greeting, "Cho ong" (Hello) Children say, "Gimme gum, G.I." . . . . No longer hide under rice mats, and cry.

And why?

Because we held out a helping hand; Because all people,

. . however rich or poor,
. . whatever color, creed,
. . however humble, proud,

Constitute freedom's framework. Help a man, and make a friend. Help freedom's fight and We expand freedom's fraternity. Help today and

They're your safeguard tomorrow.

For defending America's freedom . . . is having a heart for people.

It's a navy corpsman holding "sickcall" in a hamlet;

It's a soldier, sailor, marine, civilian
. . . building homes for the homeless,
. . . giving hope to the hopeless,

Building confidence. Building friendship.

It's many free people freely giving.

For giving a hand, Expands freedom's framework, And freedom grows, Wherever defense of it goes.

Sometimes one can't see,
"What's in it for me?"
In the often tangled scheme of war,
Politics, living, suffering, dying.
It isn't easy to see . . . the end of the rainbow.

Like a good wine, expanding freedom Is a slow process, Like growing, mellowing. Full of growing pains . . . dying pains.

Where's the reward? Where's the jackpot?

Where's the payoff?

And why?

The payoff? Our way of life!
For helping people fortifies liberty.
Defending freedom defends our freedom of choice,

. . to dance the Watusi, or the waltz;
. . to demonstrate, belittle, berate;
. . to march to a Selma, or a Bogaloosa;
. . to go to Los Angeles, New York, St.
Paul;

... to vote, to pray, to strike, to be free To choose!

The reward? A stronger framework, For our free way of living.

It's not easy to see, perceive,
That helping people in places like Sam Hai,
Helps America, helps you and I
. . . but it's a fact.

For there's a line of communication, Undercurrent of understanding Between people helping people, Between clasped hands, In a glance, smile, greeting, meeting. For freedom forms common bonds,

Between a soldier and a child saying, "Gimme gum, G.I."
Between a corpsman and a girl,
Who cries, and is cared for.
Between people fighting for freedom.
Between people helping people.

Because their choice is freedom.

Because theirs is a common cause.

. . . and in that common cause lies an uncommon strength;

. and that strength is freedom's framework.

# TRUST TERRITORY TRIP

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, today I have asked for official leave of absence until January 29 for seven members of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs who are performing very arduous duties at the request of their chairman.

These Members are studying the problems and needs of the people of the Trust Territory of the Pacific and of Guam in connection with legislation that is before the committee. This is probably the most difficult and laborious work which any Member of Congress could be called upon to perform. However, it is absolutely necessary that we visit this area if the Congress and the committee are to meet their responsibilities in con-

districts."

I want my colleagues to know that, in my opinion, those Members who are making this trip are providing a great service, not only to the Congress and the committee but to the districts they represent and to the Nation generally.

Mr. Speaker, I now yield to my good friend and colleague, the ranking member of my committee, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. SAYLOR].

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to join the chairman of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs in asking for official leave of absence for the seven members who are performing an outstanding service for the House Interior and Insular Affairs Committee as well as the House of Representatives, and are making a tremendous personal sacrifice in undertaking this trip.

Having personally taken this trip, it is hard, physically exhausting, and in many ways frustrating. However, it is also rewarding in that you can properly evaluate legislation concerning the trust territory.

I deeply regret that some members of the press who have no knowledge of this trip or its purposes have classified it as junketeering in the South Pacific.

## FEDERAL JUDGES APPEAR TO DIS-REGARD THE CONSTITUTION

Mr. JONES of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend my remarks, and to include an editorial.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

Mr. JONES of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, we are starting on a new year. I am not here to view with alarm, but I would like to call the attention of the Members of this House to an incident which occurred during the holidays that I think many of you will be particularly interested in. That is that a special panel of Federal judges in the State of Missouri have thrown out or ruled unconstitutional a redistricting by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri despite the fact that this redistricting complied with what most of us believe conformed to the constitution of the State of Missouri and the Constitution of the United States and the laws of the State of Missouri, in that those 10 districts varied less than 5 percent from the average population; were compact and contiguous and the legislative plan was adopted after lengthy deliberation

Now the thing I am calling to the attention of this House is this, that during the 19 years I have been here I have witnessed a usurpation of power by the judicial branch of our Government. Unless this House takes some affirmative and positive action, we are going to see a

continuation and expansion of the courts usurping the powers that rightly belong to the Congress, and to the legislative bodies of the several states.

I hope that this opinion of these three Federal judges will be appealed to the Supreme Court as I believe will be, and I am hopeful, although very doubtful, that we will get equitable relief there.

I can speak about this objectively be cause more than a year ago I announced that I was not going to be a candidate for the Democratic nomination to the Congress of the United States from the 10th Congressional District of Missouri in the next election.

I do hope that the Members of this House will recognize the imminent danger and will immediately start to take some affirmative action to stop this usurpation of power by the Federal courts of this Nation. Under my unanimous request I am including herewith a copy of an editorial from the January 6-7 issue of the St. Louis Globe Democrat:

# FEDERAL JUDGES' POWER GRAB

Two federal judges have threatened to redraw Missouri's congressional districts if the Missouri Legislature fails to come up with a new redistricting plan these judges consider satisfactory.

As far as can be determined there is no constitutional authority which gives the right to federal judges to draw congressional district boundaries, even on a temporary

The Constitution in Article I, Section 4 says: "The times and places and manner of holding elections for Senators and Representatives shall be prescribed in each state by the Legislature thereof, but the Congress may at any time by law make or alter such regulations except as to places of choosing Senators."

is nothing in the United States There Constitution that even suggests the judicial branch of the government can, under any circumstances, assume the right specifically

reserved for state legislatures.

But federal judges are threatening to usurp this right. They have drawn up congressional redistricting plans in at least three states, yet to date have cited no constitutional authority for doing so.

They simply assert they have the right and proceed to draft what they term "temporary" redistricting plans.

The 1966 case of the Maryland Citizens Committee for Fair Congressional Redistricting vs. Tawes is a good illustration. In this case a three-judge federal court threw out the Maryland Legislature's congressional redistricting plan on the same basis that the federal court in Kansas City nullified Missouri's third attempt at congressional redistricting-that the districts were not as equal in population as they should have been.

The Maryland federal district court then went ahead to draw its own redistricting map for Maryland.

In its opinion it said: "We do not doubt the power of the court under these circumstances to adopt a redistricting plan to remain in force until the General Assembly adopts its own constitutionally valid plan.

What a contradiction this is!

The federal judges assert they don't doubt their power to redistrict Maryland. But in the same breath say that their plan will remain in force only until the Maryland General Assembly adopts its "own constitutionally valid plan."

They do not cite any constitutional authority for their "temporary" appropriation of legislative power so clearly given to state

It is one thing for the Supreme Court to

nullify a state's reapportionment plan on the basis that it does not, in the court's opinion, make the vote of one man as nearly equal to another's as possible.

But it is something vastly different when federal judges arrogate to themselves the right to redistrict states on the specious grounds that the judiciary must do it if the legislative branch fails to properly perform the job.

Missouri is face to face with this issue. United States District Judges John W. Oliver and William R. Collinson of Kansas City, in making their majority ruling invalidating Missouri's congressional districting plan, said: "In the event it should be necessary for this court to exercise that power (to draw a new congressional redistricting plan), we shall follow procedures similar to those that were followed by other three-judge fed-eral courts that have drawn congressional

To reinforce this threat, the two judges drew up three congressional district plans which they gratuitously offered as possible satisfactory solutions

But again Judge Oliver and Judge Collinson cited no constitutional provision that would give them the authority to redistrict Missouri.

A new redistricting bill has been drawn up for presentation to the special session of the Missouri Legislature. It already has stirred a hornet's nest of opposition. Since emergency passage requires a two-thirds vote, the chance of gaining approval is slim.

The most likely prospect is that the two federal judges in Kansas City will usurp the Legislature's power and draw Missouri's congressional districts—unless they prevented.

Redistricting is one of the most important powers a state possesses. To permit federal judges to take it away even temporarily is inexcusable. If they can appropriate it temporarily, what is to stop them from taking it permanently?

# REPORT OF COMMITTEE TO NOTIFY THE PRESIDENT

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, your committee on the part of the House to join a like committee on the part of the Senate to notify the President of the United States that a quorum of each House has been assembled and is ready to receive any communication that he may be pleased to make has performed that duty. The President asked us to report that he will be pleased to deliver his message at 9 p.m., January 17, 1968, to a joint session of the two Houses.

JOINT SESSION OF THE TWO HOUSES, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 1968

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I offer a concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 606) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as fol-

H. CON. RES. 606

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the two Houses of Congress assemble in the Hall of the House of Representatives on Wednesday, January 17, 1968, at 9 o'clock postmeridian, for the purpose of receiving such communi-cations as the President of the United States shall be pleased to make to them.

The concurrent resolution was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DISPENSING WITH BUSINESS IN OR-DER ON CALENDAR WEDNESDAY

Mr. ALBERT, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule on Wednesday of this week may be dispensed with.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Brooks). Without objection, it is so or-

dered.

There was no objection.

# AUTHORITY FOR SPEAKER TO RE-CESS ON JANUARY 17, 1968

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that on Wednesday, January 17, 1968, it may be in order for the Speaker to declare a recess at any time subject to the call of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-

man from Oklahoma?

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, do I correctly understand the distinguished majority leader that it is the intent of the unanimous-consent request that the recess be for the sole purpose of reconvening to hear the President's address on the state of the Union?

Mr. ALBERT. The gentleman is correct. It is the customary resolution

adopted for that purpose.

Mr. HALL. Insofar as the majority leader can perceive, there will be no additional recesses or calling back for other purposes than that which the gentleman has stated?

Mr. ALBERT. I cannot imagine it. Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman and withdraw my reservation

of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

# U.S. NAVY AND MARDI GRAS DISCRIMINATION

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, last week I was appalled to read that the U.S. Navy was cooperating with certain functions associated with the New Orleans Mardi Gras which discriminate against Negroes, Jews, and Americans of Italian descent. According to the story in the New York Post of January 9, a naval public information officer in New Orleans confirmed that the naval command in the Eighth District routinely cooperated with these events by giving tickets to sailors with the prescribed ethnic backgrounds and issuing instructions that tickets were not to be traded.

Upon learning of this, I sent a telegram to Secretary of the Navy Paul Ignatius demanding that this official sanction for private discrimination cease. I include at this point in the Record my telegram and the reply of the Secretary of the Navy, as follows:

Hon. PAUL IGNATIUS, Secretary of the Navy, Washington, D.C.:

I am outraged at the Navy's cooperation with discriminatory practices in Mardi Gras. Questionable whether the Navy should assist this event in the first place, but official sanction of discrimination is deplorable.

Ask your assurances that the Navy demand equal treatment of all personnel before it considers assisting the Mardi Gras.

WILLIAM F. RYAN, Member of Congress.

Hon. WILLIAM F. RYAN, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. RYAN: Your concern with the newspaper reports of alleged discriminatory practices being approved in connection with the New Orleans Mardi Gras is understandable. There is no excuse for discrimination in our Armed Forces, nor will there be any tolerance of any such practice in connection with official naval participation in public events. Current Department of Defense and Navy directives clearly stipulate military participation in any public event is authorized only if admission, seating, and all other accommodations and facilities connected with the event or activity are available to all, without regard to Race, creed, color, or national origin.

Official military participation in the Mardi Gras is limited to the parade, which is a civic function at which there is no segregation. Further, the Navy does not participate officially in any private events, such as Krewe parties, connected with the Mardi

Gras, which are not open to all.

In the past, the Navy has provided private organizations lists of officers who might be invited to private events. These lists are provided without regard to race, creed or national origin.

The Commandant of the 8th Naval District has been directed to insure that his command does not provide prospective guest lists to organizations which practice racial, religious, or national origin discrimination in the issuance of invitations.

Sincerely,

PAUL R. IGNATIUS, Secretary of the Navy.

Mr. Speaker, undoubtedly, this revelation embarrassed high officials of the Navy, whose official policy is to promote equal treatment. What is significant and alarming is that at the lower levels official cooperation with a kind of private apartheid was taken for granted. Let us hope that the service which was the last to admit Negroes as officers will make certain that nondiscriminatory policies established in Washington will be carried out at all levels.

REPEAL OF REGRESSIVE WELFARE AMENDMENTS IN SOCIAL SECU-RITY AMENDMENTS OF 1967

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, last December 15, in the closing days of the last session, Congress approved the Social Security Amendments of 1967, which included certain restrictive and regressive welfare amendments, the consequences of which will be extremely serious for

America's needy, especially deprived children and those in ill health. Today I have introduced legislation to repeal those restrictive features.

My bill would remove the freeze on aid to families with dependent children—AFDC. It would strike out the new formula for title XIX, which is disrupting New York's medicaid program; finally, it would remove the provision establishing a compulsory work training program for

welfare recipients.

Our social and economic policies are insufficient to deal with the legacy of discrimination, the rural migration to the cities, poverty among the aged, the challenge of automation, and scores of related problems. As a result, welfare costs have steadily risen. In the absence of bold and imaginative efforts to solve the causes of poverty, welfare costs will continue to rise, unless we are willing to see people starve. It is no solution to take out the failure to deal with these problems on impoverished families, dependent children, and people who cannot afford medical care.

The percentage freeze on AFDC payments is particularly unwise because it penalizes States which are the net recipients of rural migrants and it punishes children whose sole crime is to have been born of indigent or separated parents.

The compulsory work training program will also be injurious to children; it does not even exempt mothers of pre-schoolage children. Although work training may be desirable in principle, it should not be coercive. The provisions of the new law are so authoritarian and arbitrary that the plan should be scrapped until an acceptable one can be worked out.

The new medicaid formula limits Federal matching funds to States which establish an income ceiling for patients equal to 150 percent of the State's income standard for welfare payments. This will punish States which have established progressive programs by forcing them to incur added expense or to exclude millions of families from medicaid programs. It has been estimated by Governor Rockefeller that under the new medicaid formula New York State and its local governments will lose \$43 million in Federal funds the first fiscal year and \$61.7 million the second year.

Mr. Speaker, these provisions should be promptly repealed, and I urge the Committee on Ways and Means to consider my bill as soon as possible.

# THE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK BILL

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, for the benefit of all the Members of the House, I am submitting for the Record, at this time, H.R. 6649, the Export-Import Bank extension bill along with amendments agreed to by the Banking and Currency Committee after the bill was reported.

The bill was originally reported on May 11, 1967, but, as many Members know, after H.R. 6649 was reported several issues arose which your committee did not originally consider. Therefore, supplementary hearings were held in July and September of 1967 concerning the credit sale of military hardware and services to other countries and the effect of certain Senate amendments adopted on the Senate floor which dealt with Eximbank participation in the financing of exports to Communist countries.

After these supplementary hearings were concluded the committee adopted certain proposed amendments to H.R. 6649 which took into consideration the testimony gathered at these hearings. Since H.R. 6649 along with these committee amendments will be considered in the near future, detailed discussion of this bill along with the committee amendments can be left to debate on the bill. The amendments, in the nature of a substitute, are being submitted at this time so that all Members may have the benefit of the full text of the bill with committee amendments before the House takes up H.R. 6649.

Finally, let me add that the Committee on Rules has granted a 2-hour open rule under which it will be in order for the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to be considered as an original bill. Under the rule the bill and committee amendment in the nature of a substitute will be open for amendment under the 5-minute rule and when reported to the House any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 6649 is to strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

That the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945 is amended—

(a) By changing "Export-Import Bank of Washington," wherever that name refers to the legal entity created by the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, to "Export-Import Bank of the United States."

(b) By inserting "(1)" immediately after "(b)" in section 2(b) of that Act, and by adding the following at the end of section 2(b):

"(2) The Bank shall not guarantee, insure, or extend credit, or participate in the extension of credit in connection with the purchase of any product by a national or agency of any nation

"(A) which engages in armed conflict, declared or otherwise, with armed forces of the United States; or

"(B) which furnishes by direct governmental action (not including chartering, licensing, or sales by non-wholly-owned business enterprises) goods, supplies, military assistance, or advisers to a nation described in subparagraph (A); nor shall the Bank guarantee, insure, or extend credit, or participate in the extension of credit in connection with the purchase by any nation (or national or agency thereof) of any product which is to be used principally by or in a nation described in subparagraph (A) or (B); except that if the appropriate Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives have reported to their respective houses their determination that any transaction would be in the national interest, then after 30 days after the filing of the last of such reports, the prohibitions of this paragraph shall not apply to that transaction.

"(3) The Bank shall not guarantee, insure, or extend credit, or participate in an extension of credit in connection with any credit sale of defense articles and defense services to any country designated under section 4916 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 as an economically less developed country for purposes of the tax imposed by section 4911 of that Code. The prohibitions set forth in this paragraph shall not apply with respect to any transaction the consummation of which the President determines would be in the national interest and reports such determination (within thirty days after making the same) to the Senate and House of Representatives. In making any such determination the President shall take into account, among other considerations, the national interest in avoiding arms races among countries not directly menaced by the Soviet Union or by Communist China; in avoiding arming military dictators who are denying social progress to their own peoples; and in avoiding expenditures by developing countries of scarce foreign exchange needed for peaceful economic progress.

"(4) In no event shall the Bank have out-

"(4) In no event shall the Bank have outstanding at any time in excess of 7½ per centum of the limitation imposed by section 7 of this Act for such guarantees, insurance credits or participation in credits with respect to exports of defense articles and services to countries which, in the judgment of the Board of Directors of the Bank,

are less developed."

(c) By changing in section 2(c) of that Act, "\$2,000,000,000" to read "\$3,500,000,000".

(d) By changing the last sentence in section 3(d) of that Act to read: "Members, not otherwise in the regular full-time employ of the United States, may be compensated at rates not exceeding the per diem equivalent of the rate for grade 18 of the General Schedule (5 U.S.C. 5332) for each day spent in travel or attendance at meetings of the Committee, and while so serving away from their homes or regular places of business, they may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United State Code, for individuals in the Government service employed intermittently".

(e) By changing, in section 7 of that Act, "\$9,000,000,000" to read "\$13,500,000,000".

(f) By changing, in section 8 of that Act, "June 30, 1968" to read "June 30, 1973".

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to amend the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945 to change the name of the Bank, to extend for five years the period within which the Bank is authorized to exercise its functions, to increase the Bank's lending authority and its authority to issue, against fractional reserves, export credit insurance and guarantees, to restrict the financing by the Bank of certain transactions, and for other purposes."

LEE LOCKWOOD ADDRESSES DAL-LAS SCOTTISH RITE MASONS

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous material.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, on December 16, Robert Lee Lockwood, the sovereign grand inspector general in Texas of the Scottish Rite Masons made an inspiring address which succinctly high-

lights some of the critical issues facing the Nation today.

Mr. Speaker, I had the honor to participate in the banquet of the Dallas Scottish Rite Masons at which Mr. Lockwood spoke, and I was greatly impressed by the tone and the dedication evidenced in this address. The timely words of warning about the need for the country to reaffirm its basic and fundamental values should be carefully noted by every Member of this House.

As Mr. Lockwood pointed out, the Supreme Council of the Scottish Rite Masons favors two major objectives:

First. The American public school. Second. The inculcation of patriotism, respect for law and order, and undying loyalty to the Constitution of the United States of America.

In outlining these objectives, Mr. Lockwood said:

If the respect for law and order on the part of our citizens is to be maintained and if civil order is to be preserved, it is imperative that those who breach the law be punished as it is that the innocent be protected and it is equally essential that it be demonstrated that the law-abiding citizen will receive protection of the law from the lawless as it is that its guaranties will be afforded him who is accused of its breach.

Speaking to the need for early action, particularly on the part of public officials, the Texas leader of the Masons went on to say:

Let us as Scottish Rite Masons resolve tonight to reaffirm our faith in liberty under law and our American way of life. Let us not wait for someone else to do this for us. Let us not wait until the next election but act now to demand of our present elected representatives and our public officials now in office to set aside all excuses and delays and put first things first and act calmly and resolutely to restore sanity to America.

During the banquet at which Mr. Lockwood spoke, a number of Texas Masons were recognized. I, along with others from throughout the State, had the honor of being invested with the rank and decoration of Knight Commander of the Court of Honour. Others invested with this rank include:

Joel Allen Adcock, Midland; James Kyle Allen, Dallas; H. C. Ashley, Dallas; Charles Batchelor, Jr., Dallas; Theodore Prentis Beasley, Dallas; David Mariotte Bernardin, Richardson; James Doyle Berry, Dallas; James Ernest Bourland, Dallas; Robert Henry Bush, Ennis; Frank Howard Cathey, Jr., Dallas; Robert Frederick Cowan, Dallas; Arthur Craig, Mt. Pleasant; Melvin Croan, Dallas; Richard James Dixon, Dallas.

William Clarence Dowdy, McKinney; Ogle Lee Drain, Dallas; Horace Oran Duncan, Fort Worth; Hayden Macijah Edwards, Fort Worth; Frank Everts, Jr., Dallas; Don Wilson Fein, Irving; Norman Garvin Germany, Dallas; Owen Merle Giles, Dallas; Granville Nimmo Goldston, Dallas; William Crozier Gowan, Jr., Dallas; James Frederick Griffin, Dallas; Jewel Stalvey Hand, McKinney; Thomas Everett Hardaway, McKinney; Neil Alex Harris, Dallas.

Kenneth McKinley Hay, Dallas; Kenyon Hogg, Cleburne; Bernard Oscar Howle, Wichita Falls; Robert Higgins Hughes, Dallas; Taddaeous Garthwait Hulse, Dallas; Samuel Edward Johnson III, Dallas; William Jefferson King, Dallas; Robert William Kornegay, Dallas; Ralph Stephen Krebbs, Lubbock; Estes Eugene Laseman, Wichita Falls; J. C. Ledbetter, Garland; John Marvin Leonard, Fort Worth; Obie Paul Leonard, Forth Worth;

Charles Wilson Lindsey, Jr., Fort Worth; Claude Wilson Lyon, Dallas. Harvey Benjamin McNeil, Midland; Lee

Ander McShan, Jr., Dallas; Cecil Compton Mills, Dallas; Taylor Herbert Minga, Dallas; Charles James Mitchell, Dallas; Herman Grant Motley, Mineral Wells; Joseph Leonard Munn, Sr., Forth Worth; Edwin Caton Pan-nell. Forth Worth; Earle North Parker, Fort Worth; John William Wright Patman, Washington, D.C.; Glendon Pavatt, Texarkana; Charles Phillip Pitts, Dallas; Meyer Jake Rachofsky, Dallas; John Duke Reynolds, Dallas; Herbert Ray Roberts, McKinney.

Lewis Franklin Russell, Dallas; Ross Haddock Scott, Dallas; Elmer Thomas Scruggs, Seymour; Harold Glenn Shank, Dallas; Marvin Bishop Simpson, Jr., Fort Worth; James Spencer Smith, Wichita Falls; Sam Morris Smith, Paris; Vernon Walter Smith, Dallas; William Edward Snow, Dallas; Nicholas Anthony Soter, Fort Worth; Harry Stenzler, Dallas; Charles Edgar Still, Stephenville; Louis Rumsey Strickland, Dallas; James Douglas Ward, Arlington; William Cooper Winter, Jr., Dallas; John Elwood Winters, Fort Worth; Nicholas Komninos Zelios, Dallas; William Truss Zimmerman, Burkburnett.

Also, 22 Masons who received the 33d degree were presented at the banquet. They were:

DALLAS SCOTTISH RITE BODIES-ELECTED OCTOBER 3, 1967

Martin Garrett Browne, Midland; Vincent E. J. Drain, Dallas; David Raleigh Graham, Dallas; Ralph Moody Hall, Rockwall; Perry Lafford Howell, Sr., Dallas; Harry Lionel Kaplan, Dallas; Joseph Foraker Leopold, Dallas; Billy Wayne Melton, Bowie; Wallace Albert Moritz, San Angelo; Johnny Edward Morris, Sherman; Virgil Matthew Payne, Jr., Dallas; Frank Bell Potter, Fort Worth; Charles Russell Smith, Dallas; Durwood Anderson Sutton, Grand Prairie; Charles Victor Thornton, Fort Worth; John Dupree Tomme, Jr., Fort Worth; Albert Vos, Dallas; Travis Terrell Wallace, Dallas; Frank Burns Weber, Dallas; James McCrorry Willson, Jr., Floydada; James McCrorry Willson, Jr Thomas Lloyd Yeargan, Dallas.

EL PASO SCOTTISH RITE BODIES-ELECTED OC-TOBER 3, 1967

Preston Earnest Smith, Lubbock.

Mr. Speaker, I place in the RECORD the text of Mr. Lockwood's remarks and commend them to my colleagues:

Inspector Shepherd, Governor Smith, ladies and my brethren of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite:

Tonight is the ninth banquet Marie and I have attended in Dallas to honor our new Knight Commanders of the Court of Honour and 33° Inspector Generals Honorary. We always enjoy the opportunity of being with our friends in the Valley of Dallas and this occasion has always been most outstanding.

I know each of you who has his wife or loved one with him tonight is pleased as am I to have my wife with me. It means much for our family to be informed of our activities. Marie has traveled with me throughout the world on Masonic trips. I wish I could express my appreciation for the encouragement and understanding she has given me down through our 32 years of active visiting. She has made possible for me to make what-ever contribution I have been able to make to our great fraternal organization. She deserves any credit for this.

Every man here tonight is an honor mana leader. All degrees, including honorary degrees, come to all by reason of one's character or reputation. Without any solicitation on your part, you have been singled out for your Masonic service and for your support of its principles and for your outstanding reputation as a man and citizen to receive the recognition you have received from your Supreme Council.

You are a credit among all. You hold the key to success, the confidence of your fellowmen. You hold positions of trust and responsibility. Each of you has brought honor and credit to our fraternity, to your families and to your friends. Because of your character, this world is better because you have lived. Men such as you are the reason Freemasonry holds the high position it now occupies here and throughout the world.

Our Statutes vests the Supreme Council with exclusive jurisdiction in the matter of awarding honors and strictly limit the number of members honored.

The Sovereign Grand Inspector General of the Supreme Council in each Orient makes nominations which are then given to the Supreme Council Committee on Nominations. This Committee carefully goes over these nominations and presents their report to the Supreme Council for its action in Executive Session.

There are many factors considered in making these nominations but these guidelines

are generally used.

First and foremost, that the individual nominated must be an upright Christian gentleman with loyalty, devotion and service to Masonry and particularly to the Scottish Rite; pursuit of good citizenship; better and precise of great American functions. lief in and practice of great American fundamentals; an upright man with a good reputation for honesty and square dealing in business and personal affairs; service to his community and mankind in general; and that he support the American way of life and those things which Scottish Rite Masonry favors.

The honors of Scottish Rite Masonry are not intended to mark the end of a distin-guished career. They serve to identify Scot-tish Rite Masons whose achievements may be expected to grow in importance not only to Freemasonry but to the general welfare of the community, state and nation. The men who have been honored are leaders who have been tried and found worthy of greater responsibilities for the benefit of themselves and for their fellowmen. They are marked men; marked so that the younger and less experienced among us shall unmistakably know whom to emulate.

As your chief and fellow-worker, I congratulate you upon this honor which the Supreme Council has seen fit to bestow upon you for your past labors and I welcome you to new labors and greater responsibili-ties as a man and as a Scottish Rite Mason. It is my sincere hope that you will be as outstanding in your success in the future as you have been in the past-there has never been a time in the history of our country when there was a greater need for men serving God, country, neighbors and them-selves, with temperance, fortitude, prudence and justice and practicing brotherly love, relief and truth.

The New Age, which has been published since 1904, is the official publication of our Supreme Council. On the back page of this publication each month is printed the Scot-tish Rite Creed and those things which the Supreme Council favors. I quote in part:

The Supreme Council favors:

1. The American public school

2. The inculcation of patriotism, respect for law and order, and undying loyalty to the Constitution of the United States of America.

We, as Scottish Rite Masons, must take the lead whenever any of these are challenged. Today we must speak out and condemn all forms of disrespect for law by individuals and groups and reaffirm our faith in the rule of law as the only alternative to a lawless

The causes, whether real or imaginary, of the civil disobedience which is shaking the very bedrock of our political and social structure are manifold. The foremost cause is a lack of respect for law and an utter disregard for its proper enforcement in the minds of a growing number of citizens.

If the respect for law and order on the part of our citizens is to be maintained and if civil order is to be preserved, it is impera-tive that those who breach the law be punished as it is that the innocent be protected and it is equally essential that it be demonstrated that the law-abiding citizen will receive protection of the law from the lawless as it is that its guaranties will be afforded him who is accused of its breach.

On last Wednesday, our beloved Grand Lodge adopted a recommendation submitted by our then Grand Master, Dr. J. D. Tomme,

Jr., which I now quote:
"In recent years, thinking people have become gravely concerned over the lack of respect for duly constituted authority and civil disobedience in this country. The problem has grown in magnitude and is not confined to any one age level, religious denomination or status. It threatens the very institutions which give strength to the American way of

'Masons are obligated to be law-abiding citizens. Further, it is the feeling of the officers of this Grand Lodge that Masons have the added responsibility of taking the initiative in a great moral crusade to strengthen the institutions which are a part of our national heritage.

"The need for respect for duly constituted authority has been given a great deal of thought by Right Worshipful J. Guy Smith, Deputy Grand Master, When he is elected Grand Master of Masons in Texas, this problem will be a major part of this program.

"It is our opinion that the problem deserves immediate attention and that Masons should be in the forefront of a campaign to strengthen the virtues of patriotism, Godli-ness and ethical, moral conduct. Masons should actively oppose the vices of evil, crime, immoral conduct and civil disobedience.

"This Grand Lodge upholds and supports free, locally-controlled public schools. In a similar manner, it is recommended that this Grand Lodge-under the supervision of the Grand Master, his Officers and the Committee on Public Education—devise and administer a long-range program aimed at helping to strengthen patriotism, Godliness, moral conduct and respect for duly constituted au-

I say without fear of contradiction that every Scottish Rite Mason wholeheartedly supports this splendid recommendation and certainly stands ready at all times to do whatever he can in its support.

The seriousness of this situation is brought out by a statement made by a former mem-ber of the Supreme Court of the United States who is a member of these Scottish Rite Bodies, and I quote from the December 10th issue of the Dallas Morning News:

"Touching on the increase in crime in Washington, during a talk to newsmen attending a law-and-the-press course at SMU last week, retired Supreme Court Justice Tom Clark dropped this remark: 'I used to like to take walks at night in Washington. I don't anymore. I don't feel safe.' "

This condition, my brethren, must be corrected now.

No nation in history has survived if it has turned its back on the moral and spiritual values. We would do well to remember this basic historical fact, as well as that the price of liberty is eternal vigilance, and that we will be the "land of the free" only as long as we are the "home of the brave."

No people ever had a more goodly heritage than the American people. We would do well to return to the ancient landmarks of our heritage that are important, the things that are permanent and the things that are real. The reverence of God, the dignity of work, and the priceless heritage of freedom.

No people need be concerned if God is their King; if work is a part of their personalities and characters; if deep down within the hearts of all there is a consuming passion for liberty, freedom of thought, and inde-pendence—and a willingness to pay the price

This is our heritage, founded upon a rock which no storm or wind can shake, and which

no American should ever forget.

Masonry is a way of life, but we must wake up before it is too late. There must be drastic changes in our way of life, such as changes in our behavior patterns, changes in our thoughts, and changes in our moral values. The Communists say that America is in an advanced state of moral decline.

Let us decide now which road we are going to travel and that decision will be determined by how strong or how weak we Masons, collectively speaking, really are!

What can we do?

A Chaplain of the U.S. Senate once said: "I am only one; but I am one. I can't do everything, but I can do something. And what I can do, that I ought to do. And what I ought to do, by the grace of God, I shall do."

All true Americans have in time of war stanchly supported our armed forces. All Americans must now lend their active support to those who are charged with the task of waging the war on crime and lawlessness. Only if we support the policeman and the prosecutor and the courts can they protect our rights and freedoms to enjoy them.

Our forefathers have given us a heritage of three fundamental principles upon which to

base our lives.

First, these people believed in God and served God.

Second, they believed in work,

Third, they believed in and loved liberty. When we look back across the years and realize what our forefathers felt and did, there is no American who cannot say with a deep sense of pride, "Yes, we have a great

heritage." Today the world is in a state of change and flux. It is quite evident that civilization is balanced on the thin knife-edge of possible destruction. We, too, live in perilous times and our nation and the world is in grave danger. Of that, there can be no question. But, grave as the dangers may be that threaten us from without, they are minor compared to those that threaten us from within:—Apathy! Indifference! Complacency! These are the great American crimes. I do not underestimate the dangers from without, but I am convinced that no power from without can beat us. But we can beat ourselves.

Those who would champion a cause, regardless of how popular or unpopular, must not do so in an unlawful manner. The dem-onstrator who interferes with the conduct of government, or who, with menacing guns, invades the floors of our legislatures, cannot be tolerated. Neither can we tolerate the demonstrator who disrupts any peaceable assembled meeting.

Most of us favor the lawful parade. There are ample ways for the demonstrator to champion his cause without our having to tolerate unlawful sit-ins and sleep-ins.

The right to dissent does not include the right to defy; it does not include the right to undermine our national defense. Neither does it include the right to burn flags and draft cards, or to disrupt the operations of Selective Service.

In a republic, the right of dissent is important. But the rights on opposing sides of a viewpoint are equally important, and we depend on our lawmen to protect those equal rights for all.

Is there no common law on treason in both these struggles? Is there no penalty that can be invoked against those who give aid and comfort to the enemy, whether that enemy is in our streets or overseas?

We cannot and will not give up or retreat

in this war against crime any more than in the war against the Communists in Vietnam.

At the same time, enforcement cannot go it alone, because crime is not merely a police problem. Crime is a social problem, and as such it must be the concern and responsiof the entire community-yes, a responsibility for every American.

Briefly, a few of our alarming problems: The beatniks who say they should have the right to determine what laws of the land

they are willing to obey.

The mobs of scabby faced, long-haired youths and short-haired girls who claim they represent the "new wave" of America and who sneer at the old-fashioned virtues of honesty, integrity, and morality on which America grew to greatness.

The civil rights groups which are showing propaganda movies on college campuses from coast to coast. Movies denouncing the United States. Movies made in Communist China.

Those who are trying to sell the belief that America is not the greatest nation in all the world—a generous-hearted nation—a nation dedicated to the policy of trying to help the "have-nots" achieve some of the good things that our system of free enterprise brought

I am more afraid of the Americans than I am of the Russians.

I am afraid of Americans who have become victims of apathy, indifference and

complacency.

I am afraid of Americans who are apathetic to the tender loving care with which, so it seems, the red, the pink, the traitor and other subversive elements are treated by our courts.

I am afraid of Americans who have be-come so hardened to all types of crime, including murder, that the chief offense is no longer the criminal act itself but in having been found out.

I am afraid of Americans who are indifferent to the use of the ballot-who don't vote on Election Day on the theory that one vote more or less won't count.

Even though some of us recognize that our country is headed in the wrong direction, we are living in a dream world waiting for someone else to do what is necessary to get America headed in the right direction again. Too many seemingly don't want to become involved or else they justify their action by saying: "It can't happen here", or "Times are changing; you've got to expect things like that", or they say, "That's the government's problem; I'm not going to worry about it".

America is decaying from within. Immorality is flourishing; juvenile delinquency is on the rise and disregard for law and order have been given a cloak of respectability.

Let us as Scottish Rite Masons resolve to-

night to reaffirm our faith in liberty under law and our American way of life. Let us not wait for someone else to do this for us. Let us not wait until the next election but act now to demand of our present elected representatives and our public officials now in office to set aside all excuses and delays and put first things first and act calmly and resolutely to restore sanity to America.

I close by quoting in part from William

Tyler Page:
"I believe it is my duty to my country to love it, to support its Constitution, to obey its laws, to respect its flag, and to defend it against all enemies."

#### ONLY RESEARCH CAN CONQUER DISEASE

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, Dr. Huggins, Nobel laureate, says that only research can conquer disease. A recent address by Charles B. Huggins, M.D., before the Chicago Sunday Evening Club, is as follows:

(EDITOR'S NOTE .- "Living Philosophy" was the title of a talk given recently by Charles B. Huggins, MD, before the Chicago Sunday Evening Club. Dr. Huggins, a Nobel laureate is William B. Ogden Distinguished Service Professor and director of the Ben May Laboratory for Cancer Research at the U. of Chicago. The text of his address follows:)

With a good philosophy for living, conscience rests quiet, the soul is still and one achieves contentment. Equanimity is the greatest blessing that can come to man. It comes when one places family foremost in his thoughts with his work a close second. Other considerations are trivial. One constantly strives to remove himself from the center of the universe and to become the servant of man.

In America one lives in a demiparadise. Food is abundant and varied. Shelter and warmth are easily accessible. Work is available to all who want it and are well enough

to partake in the joy of labor.

The doctor is blessed above all men in his right and privilege to care for sick folks. The physician is the secular priest. He seeks the opportunity to serve, to minister unto the sick and the discouraged. Like Science, Medicine knows no boundaries and it excludes

Happy is the man who has the privilege to engage in medical research. Fortunate beyond all words is the boy or girl who is looking forward to a career of discovery in experimental medicine.

I must say a few words about experimental medicine, which is my life. It is the study of disease in living creatures. Medical research enshrines the prayers and the needs of sick people. Disease, hopeless at the present time, can be conquered but only through research. In these days the arts are tawdry and in blight; architecture and sculpture, painting and the composition of music are shoddy. But Science flourishes as never before. Science is the art of our century.

Every year comes cures of the most devastating and vexatious diseases. Consider penicillin, vitamins, hormones, sulfa drugs—all are products of the mind and heart and hand of lab workers who enjoy the privi-lege of work seven days each week. Our lab is our church. In medical research one labors to solve problems to help the sick. And when discoveries are made they are our legacy to the race, to all regardless of domicile or politics, to last ad aeternum.

Medical research is altruistic. It donates. It is life. It is opposite in spirit to war which drops bombs on people who disagree with us. War demoralizes and destroys. War accomplishes nothing constructive. War is death.

Medical research is expensive but cheaper than bombs.

Medical research is less costly than soaking a hamlet with gasoline and igniting the homes of people. The torch kindles hate and enmity which will persist 100 years to haunt our children and our children's children.

Experimental medicine enhances the image of our beautiful country which we love.

ILLINOIS MEDICAL COLLEGES AD-MIT 583 STUDENTS ANNUALLY, FAR TOO FEW

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker. I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend my remarks, and to include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, feeling strongly as I do that one of the great and pressing needs of our country and the world is a large increase in the number of practicing physicians, I am extending my remarks to include a letter from the board of governors of the Institute of Medicine of Chicago and an editorial from the institute's publication of July 1967. I am sure my colleagues, concerned by the critical shortage of physicians, will find the letter and the editorial of keen and challenging interest.

The letter follows:

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE O'HARA: The Board of Governors of the Institute of Medicine of Chicago and its Committee on Professional Education believe it timely and germane for you who represent the people of Illinois at the State and National level to be appraised of the position of the Institute of Medicine on a matter affecting the future health care of all citizens.

The Committee on Medical Education, chaired by Robert G. Page, M.D., Associate Dean, Division of Biological Sciences, University of Chicago, and composed of the Deans, Assistant Deans of all five medical schools in Chicago, and twenty-six faculty members, many of them heads of depart-ments, from the five schools, gave long and careful consideration to the problem.

The Institute of Medicine was founded 53 years ago by the then leaders of Medicine in Chicago. Its membership today continues to represent a cross section of outstanding physicians in the various specialties of medicine and prominent faculty members of the several medical schools. It is within this framework that the enclosed Editorial, which appeared in Volume 26, Number 10, of the official publication of the Institute, is respectfully called to your attention.

Very truly yours, ROBERT G. PAGE, M.D., Chairman, Committee on Professional Education.

PAUL S. RHOADS, M.D., Chairman, Board of Governors. WALTER S. PRIEST, M.D., Executive Director.

Following is the editorial from the July 1967 proceedings of the Institute of Medicine of Chicago:

The Institute of Medicine of Chicago, which has as its ultimate object the provision of comprehensive health care of highest quality to the citizens of the Chicago community and the state, is vitally interested in medical education. The following statement prepared by the Chairman of its Professional Education Committee, seemed to the editors of the Proceedings to be of sufficient importance to publish as a pro tem position paper. The problem is too important for a final policy statement to be made without more prolonged study. But, at the present time, the Board of Governors supports the stand taken by its Professional Education Committee.

The Professional Education Committee of the Institute has considered the problem of increasing the number of physicians graduated from medical schools in the State of Illinois. It was generally agreed that undergraduate medical education is a national obligation which must be assumed by local bodies. Such bodies include state supported and privately supported medical schools and universities, which are the only educational

institutions producing physicians in this

Currently, the five medical schools in the state admit 583 students annually (Chicago Medical School 75; University of Chicago 79; University of Illinois 205; Northwestern University 136; Stritch School of Medicine 88). This number does not fulfill the state obligation to produce enough physicians to meet the manpower needs of the state and the nation. Despite this, the number should not be enlarged until the existing medical schools are given enough support to adequately meet the needs of their existent students and faculties. It is now recognized by medical school and university administrators that current sources of support are inadequate. Some states have seen this need for years and have supported all medical schools within the state. Notable among these is the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which now grants each school in the state \$3,400 for each enrolled student regardless of home. In addition, the legislature has granted additional funds to both the University of Pittsburgh and Temple University since these institutions have become more closely affiliated with the state. By continuing this support, the state has assured the schools that they can continue not only to exist but to excel. Such support is now urgently needed by all five medical schools in the State of Illinois.

Only after this support has been obtained should the state look toward the expansion of facilities to train more doctors. It is clear that more medical schools will have to be established to meet the needs of our growing population. This is emphasized by the fact that approximately 20% of the newly licensed physicians in the United States each year are graduates of foreign medical schools. This drains well-trained men and women from parts of the world which can ill afford this loss.

Despite this need and despite the logical argument that one or more new schools should be developed in Illinois, members of this committee believe that an increase in the enrollment of the existing schools should be carefully explored as the most efficient method of immediately swelling the ranks of graduating physicians from Illinois med-ical schools.

It may well be that some of our schools could expand at a cost which would be significantly less than the funds which would be needed for a completely new facility. Already there has been a small increase in the size of the entering classes in response to federal legislation. This increase could, per-haps, be significantly expanded provided that

funding could be arranged.

We acknowledge that one or more new medical schools must be established in the state in the long run to help meet the critical shortage of physicians. Once the above considerations have been met, appropriate bodies can turn their attention to the problem. Certainly, even at this stage, planning should be started. Such matters as size, location and duration (two- or four-year program) must be dealt with in a thorough fashion. If there is to be more than one new school, these questions become even more important.

Location is a topic fraught with many implications. Certainly, the relationship of any new school to a university is important. The effect on the community in which such a school would be located is also germane. For example, the effect that a new school in Chicago would have on the community might be relatively less than the effect on another location within the state. On the other hand, the metropolis may well be able to better support a medical school than could a smaller

city.

We believe that a four-year school allows more flexibility in curriculum than does a two-year school. This is particulary true when one considers the newer programs which have been developed in the past few years. Such curricula demand an increasing interaction between the basic and clinical sciences. Furthermore, there is a trend for multiple pathways through medical schoolusually informal individual arrangements by the liberal use of elective time but also in a more formal structure in some schools.

In summary, we believe that all the existing medical schools in the state should receive state support before any expansion of facilities should be considered. Second, when this has been accomplished, an increase in the number of physicians educated in Illinois should have high priority in state planning. This should be done by, first, increasing class size in existing schools and, second, by starting one or more new schools.

# WASHINGTON WELCOMES SULTAN RASCID ABDILLAHI OF SOMALI

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, as the chairman of the Subcommittee on Africa of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and for myself personally I have the honor and the pleasure of welcoming to Washington Sultan Rascid Abdillahi, Vice President of the National Assembly of the Republic of Somali. He is numbered among the foremost statesmen of Africa, and on this, his first visit to the United States, expects to spend 2 months in travels and conversations in all sections of our country.

The bonds between Somali and the United States are close and warm, although Somali adheres to a course of strict neutrality between the East and the West.

Anthony Astrachan, writing in the Washington Post, said that Vice President HUMPHREY received the most genuine welcome perhaps in Somali than in any of the seven African nations the Vice President visited on his goodwill tour. In the same spirit of warm cordiality we welcome to our Capital City and to our country, this outstanding African leader, Sultan Rascid Abdillahi.

Here is the biographic data on our distinguished visitor that I am certain will be of keen interest to my colleagues:

BIOGRAPHIC DATA OF SULTAN RASCID ABDILLAHI

Born in or near Hargeisa.

Age: About 42.

Educated in British Somaliland Protectorate schools.

Founding member of the NUF political party in the Protectorate and imprisoned for 18 months for participating in a riot in

Elected member of the Legislative Council for Hargeisa in 1959.

From late 1960 to early 1964 Counselor of the Somali Embassy in Saudi Arabia.

Elected to the National Assembly in 1964 from Hargelsa and elected Vice President of the Assembly on March 9, 1966.

Eldest son of the Sultan of Eidagalla subtribe of Is'hak; on father's death in January 1967, became Sultan.

Also the nominal leader of "Gerhargis," a combine of Eidagalla and Habr Yunis tribesmen in the Hargeisa region. Fluent in English and Arabic.

#### RETREAT FROM THE HILLS-THE WAR ON POVERTY

Mr. WHITENER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WHITENER. Mr. Speaker, on December 29, 1967, WBTV of Charlotte, N.C., presented a documentary on the war on poverty. This documentary was based upon months of study of the program of WAMY, Inc., a community action program involving the counties of Watauga, Avery, Mitchell, and Yancey. This area of Appalachia has been the source of much discussion and the results of the WBTV research will be of interest to people throughout the country.

The work of Dave Larson, a member the news staff of WBTV on the project, kept him occupied for several months. The transcript of his report will indicate that he took thorough and painstaking care to do an accurate and ob-

jective study.

When so many voices are being raised against the programs of our Government for the purpose of political gain, it is encouraging to see a private concern do what was done in this study by Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Corp. The officials and employees of WBTV had no personal purpose to serve as they sought to develop the facts surrounding the operations of WAMY, Inc. I commend them for the objectivity and thoroughness in the development of this documentary program.

WBTV has furnished me with a transcript of the documentary which I make a part of the RECORD at this point:

#### RETREAT FROM THE HILLS-THE WAR ON POVERTY

(Note.—A half hour documentary produced in color for television by the News Department, WBTV, a division of Jefferson Standard Broadcasting, Charlotte, North Carolina

(This program was broadcast from 7:00 to 7:30 p.m., Friday, December 29, 1967 by WBTV, Channel 3, Charlotte, North Caro-

DAVE ATKINS (local mountaineer) . . . uh . . . uh . . . it's not only our family, but others—there's no young people . . . uh ... in this community ... uh ... that has ... uh ... finished school. They're all gone had to leave to go find something to do. Uh . . . we have . . . uh . . . uh . . . chil-. . uh . . . scattered from Aladren from . bama to California. It's all because of lack of something for a livelihood to stay here—they couldn't stay.

Dave Larson (WBTV reporter). Four coun-

ties, high in the mountains of Western North Carolina have never known prosperity. Over half the highland families in Watauga, Avery, Mitchell, and Yancey Counties have been poor since they walked into the Blue Ridge

Mountains in the early 1800's.

But WAMY, the mountain arm of the War on Poverty has pledged to help these mountain poor . . , to break the cycle of their despair and lift them up to the standards that many now enjoy. That promise, like the many that have come to the highland poor since the Civil War disrupted their primitive way of life, that promise is now shattering. In 1964 the OEO warriors drove into these

mountains from Chicago, Milwaukee, Wash-

ington, and even from Haight-Asbury. They were 'dedicated to the proposition' that to do battle with poverty they must organize the poor, build leaders, present a solid front to local governments, the state house and even the many agencies of the federal government. Most of the soldiers had their own ideas about organizing the poor . . . and then what to do with them once they were formed

Their previously untold goal? A revolution, against alleged discrimination from county politicians, health departments, and the Welfare Department itself. How did they plan to fight this folk revolution? One glib organizer attempted a march on Washington: another tried to convince the poor that they were being cheated by the Welfare Department . . . that the mountaineers should have been getting much more money.

Three years and three and a half million dollars later, their uniforms tattered, the revolutionaries are in retreat . . rejected by the mountaineers they sought to help. Most of the original fighters are now gone. WAMY's director has resigned; some have joined poverty agencies in big city slums . a few of the more radical were fired. Talk of immorality has followed them wherever they have gone. Mod clothes and hippy haircuts were not the key that unlocked the mountain man's heart. Stories of wild parties and radical teaching filters through to the conservative highland middle class. The mountaineers rebelled. They wouldn't join the fight, believe in new ideas. And then, as quickly as they came, these new fighters were gone . . . back to the big cities, back to the mainstream of America.

But sorrow remained, hanging over the isolated coves like the mist that gave the mountains their name. The terrible poverty stands stark amid a countryside whose nat-

ural beauty says this can't be.

WAMY Community Action, a step child of the North Carolina Fund's experimental program to find new ways of solving poverty, is now entering its fourth year. Its primary aim, and also that of the Fund, is to, quote, the economically deprived Organize WAMY was to achieve this goal by developing special techniques to get poor people to meet together to discuss their prob-lems . . . the, quote, "easiest and quickest way to attack the causes of poverty," end of quote. Except for a few small communities, highland poor remain unorganized WAMY's largest success was helping a small community build a water system. According to some WAMY staffers that same community's unity has since disintegrated WAMY's self-stated goal, "organization of the poor, has failed according to professional observers.

Recently resigned WAMY Director Ernest Eppley, who is now special assistant to George Esser, director of the North Carolina Fund, was in command for three years. During this time his office issued a background paper which indicated that the effectiveness of the poverty fighters was hampered by gossip of wild parties and ill-advised conduct. Quote, "Some of the coolness of the press and public could be attributed to . . . alleged misbehavior of Vista's and Neighborhood Youth Corp enrollees," end of quote. Eppley was in charge of both groups and I asked him why offending workers hadn't been stopped or fired.

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY) Given the situation we had . . . uh . . . we couldn't tell Vista volunteers exactly how it should . . . uh . . . behave themselves uh . . in their private time and this kind of thing—this is the thing . . uh that was of-fensive, their behavior, uh as you say when they had parties and things of this type was, uh, evidently offensive to a lot of local people. And it was offensive to me too in a lot of cases, uh. But here again they . . . they were young people—we thought they were adults—they were on—they were in a na-

tional program and they were sent to us, we didn't select them—they were sent to us and we, we felt we had an obligi . . . obliga-tion to them so I don't see what we, uh, handled the situation badly as far as, uh, the administration of WAMY goes. Uh, I . . . I regret, that, uh, that a lot of people got negative views about the Vistas and that some of the things they did were offensive to the community, but there's no way that I see now looking back that we could have improved on what we did.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Vista, the at-home version of the Peace Corps, is a volunteer corp, supposedly trained to fight poverty in America. One Vista volunteer in Boone broke the cycle of poverty for a Neighborhood Youth Corp enrollee . . . by marrying him. According to Vista, selection of members is based on emotional stability, maturity, resourcefulness, dependability, motivation and ability to help people help themselves. But, apparently Washington forgot to tell Eppley that his Vistas were supposed to be mature and emotionally stable.

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY). Vistas that we had at that time were a very, uh, uh, difficult group to work with. We've had a number of Vista volunteers who have, uh, been difficult for us to supervise. We had to, uh, control—. But, I I'd had no evidence whatsoever personally that there was, was, immoral activity going on—I couldn't, uh, prove it right now if I wanted to and I don't think any one else can. Uh, they were, uh, a group of people who like to . . . who worked as a team—they were very closely united. They had, uh, great deal of idealism, great deal of ability to work long hours and, uh, but as a result of many things going on, uh, most of the Vistas left before their terms were up and uh, whether—whether this was, uh, good or bad, I'm not saying, but I say uh, this was done in a uh, way-in a way that protected those Vistas, uh, as well

as every other person involved.

Dave Larson (WBTV reporter). Eppley did not comment on how the poor were pro-tected. He would not elaborate on "these things" that were going on. Bill Stout, who has remained as the chief financial officer of WAMY is highly critical of this method of fighting poverty. His attitude reflects the backwash of the rejected revolution.

BILL STOUT (present financial director of WAMY). I'm sorry to say that the Vistas did cast what you might say a bad image on WAMY and it was WAMY's responsibility at that time I felt like, to move them out and get rid of them. However, we did not, uh, members of the staff, uh back at that time

were not in favor of the Vista program at all.
Uh, some members of the staff were. There was a . . . . uh . . . difference of opinions regarding Vistas then, but now as I said, Vistas have been upgraded and they are behaving like ladies and gentlemen, at least most of them are. And we have made it a mandate now if they don't behave like ladies and gentlemen, they'll not be associated with WAMY any longer than it takes us to find

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). During the past three years Stout has been credited with maintaining a highly competent accounting system for WAMY. He is a mountaineer-one of the few hired at the beginning of the program.

The present deputy director of the Neighborhood Youth Corps is a Durham man, Jay Rodgers. During an interview, Rodgers talked about Vista and WAMY parties. He said that he held several of the parties at his down-town Boone apartment. At one party, the WAMY official said a Vista volunteer fell out a window. At another the party goers moved out onto his downtown lawn . . . continuing as if they were still inside. He said that in the summer of 1966, quote, "The parties got so wild I had to stop having them."

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY).

Let me say . . . let me say this right off the bat. No one required me to employ Jay Rodgers,-no one. No one ever said that I had to employ him-no one ever said that I had to keep him. I employed him because I wanted him-I employed him-I kept him because I wanted him.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Rodgers is

now said to be a highly capable worker by several remaining WAMY officials. If, as Eppley has said, he did not know about the alleged misbehavior, the extremely radical organizing techniques of North Caro lina Fund Consultant Ed Atkins drew his attention. Ed Atkins was hired by WAMY to develop the methods of organization so necessary to fight poverty by organizing the poor. But Ed Atkins had strange ideas about what to do with the poor once organized.

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY). Ed Atkins was a community organizer who came here to work with the Vistas to get some meetings set up with poor people. And these was countrywide meetings and there was a, uh, real crash effort to get people to come into meetings, uh, and many things that were said and done, uh, during . . . during that time about two months period that, uh, offended local people. This is mainly the Vista volunteers and Ed Atkins trying to get poor people to come out and saying to them that you're poor and that you should come out and uh, uh, meet with other people and start doing something about your problems. And, uh, I think many times Ed Atkins, uh, and the Vistas gave the

impression that they . . . they almost immediately . . . these . . these problems could be solved when . . . when it really wasn't true. Uh, so uh, I personally terminated Ed Atkins when I found out the situation was not was becoming too offensive to the uh . . .

community.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Nobody at WAMY seems to know very much about Ed Atkins. A few thought he was a CIA agent. They say he was always getting calls from Washington. Eppley wouldn't say just what Ed Atkins did. What caused him to fire this

man of mystery?

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY). Ed Atkins had some real skills. He had some . . some, uh . . . a, a personality that was appealing to a lot of people. Uh, at the same time he . . . he, uh went too far in many things he did. Uh, so I think that . . . . Ed Atkins taught us something as a consultant, but he did some things that we didn't . . . didn't want to have done and didn't . . uh . . . I don't think that uh, we can com-pletely look at his being there as a negative kind of experience because we did learn from it.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Many present staff members do not agree with Atkins' philosophy. A WAMY official said Ed Atkins told him that he believed the poor would have to take from the rich, by force if necessary, in order to get out of poverty,

ERNEST EPPLEY (former director of WAMY). May have been something in his mind maybe that other people heard him say and other people thought about him. He didn't express this to me particularly. But I think he know that . . . uh, you can go and talk to people on . . . on, their level about their problems and . . and ... and ... and, name the problem which is poverty with them and they're not offended by it if they begin to be inspired by the under-standing of the man that really communicates with them.

DAYE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Eppley calls it the "maximum involvement method." He says, quote, "It begins with mass meetings of the poor centered around a talent show or a gospel sing. The program allows for the establishment of an environment of rapport, good feeling, familiarity and unity. Then the staff is able to follow up on the specific needs of the poor." What or how Ed Atkins took this meeting further, into what seemed to some the beginning of a revolution, remains a mystery of the highland coves

With Atkins fired, many of the Vistas gone, and public opinion against WAMY at an all-time high, the warriors prepared for the summer of 1967. A new program, using college students to organize the poor was set up. But it too was disastrous. The radical element of WAMY, apparently sensing the end of the battle, made a last ditch effort. The students were to create community meetings, to teach the poor their rights, duties and responsibilities. A one week training session was held. Some of the teachers. however, held unusually controversial qualifications.

One was Myles Horton, director of the Highlander Folk School in Knoxville, Tennessee. The Highlander Folk School was investigated in 1959 by a Tennessee Legislative Committee which linked it with many known and admitted Communists. In a national review magazine article, Stokely Carmichael credits Horton with having developed the concept of "Black Power," Congressman John Williams said about Horton, he is, quote, "an avowed Socialist and Communist sympathizer. Horton ran what has been described as a Communist training school in Tennessee until the State closed it down."

Another of the teachers, Howard Fuller, a controversial civil rights worker from Dur-

ham-since suspended from a North Carolina Fund job that was partly financed by federal money. What did the students think? How did they react to their unusual teachers? Some said they didn't think helping the poor was supposed to involve marches on local governments . . . sit-ins, and even mob violence. One of the speakers at the camp, which is owned by Appalachian State University, reportedly encouraged the burning of a school bus. One student said he felt he was being asked to organize a revolution. The students worked half-heartedly this summer to organize the poor. But even with the teachings of Myles Horton and Howard Fuller, the students were unable to organize the mountaineers . . . they did not join. WAMY has had Vistas whose behavior was offensive to the program's director. It has hired persons like Ed Atkins and Howard Fuller to organize the poor. And, it has also wasted

money.
H. C. Moretz, acting WAMY director, was formerly director of the Neighborhood Youth Corp. Moretz expresses displeasure with the Washington-issued guidelines within which he had to operate. This year he was able to drop a work-crew concept which has drawn the most criticism . . . and he adds, "didn't accomplish very much." In a two year period over \$1,800,000 was spent on the program that Moretz says didn't accomplish very much. The federal approach, Moretz says, "is to get kids in the Neighborhood Youth Corps . . . whether they want in or not." The . money. The major problem he says, bait . . 'was an inability to hire good supervisors . . . and a lack of money for councilors."

Dave Mast, welfare director in Watauga County, was a member of the original WAMY Board of Directors. Mast says the first Headstart programs were hastily drawn and ineffective, due to pressure from Washington to get started. He said they were told they had \$198,000 . . . to begin a Headstart program right away and complete it in four and a half months. "They just hired teachers," he said, 'until they ran out of money." He says they were told to spend the money quickly, eliminating the time necessary for proper planning. Mast had an interesting impression of WAMY employees—quote, "persons who have failed somewhere else." The WAMY administration has changed some of the more radical programs this year, but on paper they are still dedicated to organizing the poor. WAMY began as an experiment, and it has

been a costly experiment, run by persons committed to reaching one result—community organization. Yet no new look has been taken. No one has tried another method . . . tried changing the chemicals used in the experiment. Eppley still says this is the only way to win the war on poverty in the mountains, even though he has clearly failed to organize large groups of the mountaineers. This year the experiment will be performed again-the cost will be well in excess of \$400,000. And the outcome will probably be the same. Yet there is another agency dedicated to helping the poor that is succeeding. It is also dedicated to belief in God. Father Paul Ackermann is one of the Glenmary priests

Father Paul Ackermann (Glenmary priest). Glenmary was founded to go to really what you'd say the "backwoods of America" the small towns and the rural sections, the predominately rural sections, to try to bring the full witness of uh . . . of the church. We all know that there are things in our small towns which with no . . . uh detriment to some of the churches there. They are, you might say, and I hate to use the word, but they are "one-sided" 'one-sided." they are

Uh, for instance we might say some of the attitudes with Blue Laws, uh, some of the attitudes with regard to drink. Uh, there would be examples, I think, of cases where one or two churches have had a monopoly on influencing the religious attitudes of the

town

Dave Larson (WBTV reporter). Through Father Paul's efforts, a low price clothing store has been opened. A mental health clinic is in operation in Burnsville. The Yancey County WAMY office has cooperated with him in these efforts. His church was the only church to make space available for these badly needed operations.

The Glenmary priests have been fighting poverty in the mountains for a long time. Are they dedicated to organizing the poor? Have their successes come through community

action?

Father PAUL ACKERMANN (Glenmary priest). I firmly believe in the personal approach, and I feel that in our counties here that we're not gonna move all the people in any one direction-no one ever has including the government. And therefore, I think we where we find healthy desire, that we can capitalize on this and work with these individuals to enable them to take the homes that they, maybe some have found by reading or listening or seeing, on television for instance—uh, television in these mountains has a tremedous impact on changing the customs of children the next generation and maybe this is the way we can step in.

Dave Larson (WBTV reporter). There have

been good side effects from WAMY. More persons are aware of the mountain poor. Some very intelligent and dedicated people have and are working in the WAMY program. But WAMY had the chance to get one of the area's most talented citizens to develop a crafts program . . . but they apparently ignored him.

Bill Brown is the director of the Penland School of Crafts. The school, founded in 1929, is not only one of the oldest such schools in existence, it is one of the best. Students have come from all fifty states and sixty foreign countries. Brown was asked to develop a crafts program for the area in typical WAMY fashion.

BILL BROWN (Director, Penland School of Crafts). They asked us on Thursday at 5 o'clock and on Monday at 4 o'clock-we had to have uh, some great proposals written and so it was kind of a scramble, but luckily I had written up one before that uh, I could use that seem to fit or I thought fit.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Brown had in mind a long term plan, including two years of training for those mountain who had the talent and interest to become skilled craftsmen. He was going to throw

open the doors of one of the best craft schools in the country. The end result of his plan would have seen the establishment of other work shops in the area . . . all opening their doors to those mountaineers who wanted and needed a skilled profession. Its future impact would have been tremendous. What did WAMY do with this plan? This different type of experiment-

BILL BROWN (Director, Penland School of Crafts). Well, they took all the ideas and they were many-and then they were taken, I think, to Boone, uh, to where, uh, the whole area ideas were put together. And I think that's about the last I heard of it.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). developed another craft program. Many persons are taking part in it . . . but it is intended only to supply additional income to the families, letting the mothers sew dolls for sale to a government marketing setup. Some poor are getting a little extra money . . . but this program cannot lift them out of poverty, set them up as skilled craftsmen. But the large interest shown by the highlanders indicates they do want to help themselves. I'll return with some concluding observations about WAMY and the mountains after this message.

Dave Atkins is one of the mountaineers WAMY came to help. He is in his sixtles and has raised 12 children. But both he and his wife are sick now-their only income-a 126dollar a month social security check. Dave

Atkins needs help:

DAVE ATKINS (local mountaineer). Plan to build a house—and would have worked to that end-saved money for that purposestarted a savings account for that purpose and uh, we built it uh, for \$2,800. We could, uh, built by going in debt but we wanted to pay as we went and uh, we thought that we were ready to start and uh, things looked pretty good. But because of uh, the company or corporation that we were working for went into red—and they decided to move, uh left me without a job. And, uh, about the uh, time that the job went out, my wife got sick, hospital bills, doctor bills took our savings. I didn't get enough hardly to make ends meet and then a disability hit me. And uh, uh-this took it all.

DAVE LARSON (WBTV reporter). Is the mountain man to once again be forgotten? WAMY came to fight, but so far it has failed. The original warriors are gone. But the mountains and their problems remain. Dave Larson WBTV News, good night.

# NATIONWIDE UNIFORM EMER-GENCY TELEPHONE NUMBER

Mr. ROUSH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROUSH. Mr. Speaker, it was with a sense of deep satisfaction that I was informed by the officials at A.T. & T. last week that they will begin immediately to make available to the public a nationwide, uniform, emergency telephone number. It will be "911"; short, simple, a number that is easy to remember and dial.

I feel that a very important goal has been reached, that a significant step has been taken in providing for the emergency needs of the American people. whether they suffer from accidents, from criminal assaults, from whatever circumstances threaten their safety and security.

As a member of the Science and Astronautics Committee which sponsors a great deal and variety of research, and more specifically as a member of the Daddario subcommittee I have long argued for the application of scientific, technological improvements to the everyday needs of the American public. This action by the officials at Bell Telephone today is an exact implementation of this philosophy. I congratulate them on their safety consciousness and their concern for the American public.

The end of the road, however, has not been reached. We are, to adopt Winston Churchill's phrase, perhaps only at "the end of the beginning." Now we must make a national effort to persuade the American people in large communities and small, in metropolitan areas like Chicago and small, rural communities like Converse, Ind., to urge, to persuade their local public officials to adopt this

single, emergency number.

A.T. & T. estimates a cost to themselves of some \$50,000,000. There will be some expense for the cities and communities which adopt this number, but that cost is minimal in terms of the present high cost of accidents, of death, of fire, of crime. What will be saved in property and lives is unaccountable-to say nothing of the profound sense of security such an easily remembered number will provide each of the American people.

Because the intent of my original resolution has now been essentially achieved, I am introducing a new resolution. This resolution expresses the Congress support of the single, nationwide emergency number of "911." It also earnestly recommends the adoption of '911" locally by the American people through their chosen officials. A.T. & T. promises that this can begin in 1969. I intend to spend a great deal of time and effort to educate the American people to the need and the value of this important improvement in emergency telephone communications. I ask that all interested parties individuals and groups, public and private citizens, join me in this ef-

# NATIONWIDE EMERGENCY TELE-PHONE NUMBER

Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

Mr. HECHLER of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I was very pleased to hear the remarks of the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. Rouse] concerning the action taken over the weekend for the establishment of a uniform emergency telephone number.

I also introduced on May 25, 1967, a resolution along the same lines as the gentleman from Indiana, and am introducing a resolution calling on all levels of government to assist in implementing this idea. In view of the upswing of crime throughout the Nation, I think it is both significant and salutary that action of this nature should be taken for the pro-

tection of the average individual in this country.

I am very pleased that the American Telephone & Telegraph Co. has taken this initial action, and I hope now the telephone exchanges throughout the Nation will be adapted and modified so that this emergency number can be put into effect, and the States and localities will cooperate in making sure that citizens of every locality of the Nation can take advantage of this emergency number.

#### WASHINGTON AREA FREEWAYS

Mr. GUDE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

Mr. GUDE. Mr. Speaker, today's edition of the Washington Post attributes to Transportation Secretary Alan Boyd an incredible compendium of contradictions in defense of his opposition to Washington area freeways. I believe all Members of Congress and of metropolitan areas should well note what is happening in Washington.

He quotes surveys supporting the Wisconsin Avenue corridor for an interstate link to argue against the North Central Freeway. This ignores the fact that some experts have said both are needed, as he would know, had he not studiously isolated himself from local freeway experts. Of the two, the North Central has been selected. Yet the same Mr. Boyd, has charged that the area's transportation plans rely too heavily on freeways.

And consider his avowed support of roads planned for "where the traffic wants to go." My testimony before the House Roads Subcommittee last month forecast the paralysis to result if North Central's link with Montgomery County

is abandoned.

Local highway decisions following years of debate are dismissed as the product of "political clout," by the Secretary, who, in the next breath insists, "decisions affecting local transportation should be made locally." He crowns this absurdity by his own moves to block the Three Sisters Bridge, and his own attacks on local freeway planning, of which this morning's article represents the most recent example.

I can only deplore his statement that "we should not just tear up homes of poor people and Negroes," as irresponsible demagoguery; a further contradiction, in view of his stated awareness that air rights construction can not only do much to alleviate highway dislocation problems but actually provide better use of the land and provide for better housing. Such statements hardly contribute to the local decisionmaking process by Mayor Washington and the new District government. Just last month Mr. Boyd was talking about converting a park along the Potomac into an interstate highway. One can only wonder what he will recommend next month.

I commend to my colleagues' attention the article in today's Post, and the hearings held last month by the Roads Subcommittee of the House Committee on Public Works.

# A LESSON IN LOYALTY

Mr. BUCHANAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend my remarks, and to include a resolution.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from

Alabama?

There was no objection.

Mr. BUCHANAN. Mr. Speaker, against a background of dissension, demonstration, and draft-card burning over the war in Vietnam, a refreshingly patriotic action was taken recently by the students of Shades Valley High School in Birmingham, Ala.

The Shades Valley Student Council proposed for adoption by the school's student body a resolution in support of the fighting men in Vietnam and the freedoms they so gallantly defend. The resolution was adopted by a vote of 1,085

to 9.

These students prove that there are many young people, and I believe them to be in the majority, who believe our American heritage is worth defending and preserving. Their devotion to America and the cause of freedom in the world is a lesson in loyalty which every American citizen could well follow.

It is a privilege to represent these young men and women in the Congress, and on their behalf I am including a copy of their resolution, as follows:

RESOLUTION BY SHADES VALLEY STUDENT BODY, F. A. PEAKE, PRINCIPAL

Whereas, We the students of Shades Valley High School recognize that justice, faith, hope, and loyalty have made our country strong; and, Whereas, We recognize the need for the

Whereas, We recognize the need for the defense of all people against tyranny; and, Whereas, We believe that this nation,

Whereas, We believe that this nation, under the guidance of God, is destined to resist aggression against all people; it is therefore,

Resolved, That we declare our full physical, moral, and spiritual support to our soldiers in Viet Nam, and the freedoms they defend.

#### MANDATORY FEDERAL RETIRE-MENT AT 70

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from

Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, a recent edition of the Pittsburgh Press carries a report with a London dateline recommending compulsory retirement for persons who have reached their 55th birthday. In view of the innumerable major and vital contributions to science and mankind in general that have come from far older individuals, the suggestion has to be regarded as ludicrous, yet the issue resurrects the timeless question about the age level at which most persons pass the peak of competence and efficiency.

Only to call attention to a current comment on this subject, I include the Press item in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks. At the same time I am introducing a bill calling for mandatory retirement of Federal Government employees at the age of 70. While conceding that the figure is arbitrary on my part and that I have no statistics purporting to show that 70 is the year at which the average person begins to find it impossible to keep up with the requirements of his employment, I insist that the legislation is necessary if only to provide for normal and equitable advancement of subordinate employees into positions of greater responsibility.

My bill in no way reflects upon the mental or physical ability of persons who reach the age of 70 and are still in Government service, although no doubt there is ample evidence that the demands of most jobs are too exhausting for the average individual in that age group. There are exceptions, of course—history is replete with accounts of older men whose inventions, paintings, writings, military and political leadership, teachings, and other talents have helped to make this world a better place in which

to live.

The fact remains, however, that there is some point at which a person should be required to retire from the Federal service. Otherwise, if for no other reason, many younger employees qualified to step into key positions can lose ambition and go to seed without ever having the opportunity to make full use of their potential. A younger employee who has been loyal and productive in his work should most certainly be able to look to the future with reasonable hope of moving up the ladder rather than to be discouraged in the knowledge that the man ahead of him will not voluntarily retire and is not forced to do so.

The report from London follows:

# Over-55 Written Off by Educator (By Ivor Key)

LONDON.—You are too old at 55. Once past this age a man must no longer be allowed to hold any kind of responsible administrative office in certain fields.

Dr. Edmund Leach, 57, a social anthropologist, advocated this last night in the fifth of his series of Reith lectures on the BBC.

He said since those who hold offices of power will never willingly give them up, the young must enforce arbitrary rules of early retirement.

His compulsory retirement plan would affect those concerned with research and technical development, either in education, or in industry, or in politics.

The old, he said, should accept Voltaire's advice and retire gracefully to cultivate their

own gardens.

Dr. Leach, provost of King's College, Cambridge, made his startling proposals in a lecture called "Men and Learning" in which he made a slashing attack on Britain's educational system.

"In a changing world," he said, "machines get obsolete very quickly. So do human beings. How can young people possibly have confidence in the advice and judgment of old men who freely admit they are totally bewildered?

"The old are only competent to do the job that they were brought up to do—that is, to operate with the out-of-date over simplified stero-types that were current in their youth."

#### SUPREME COURT AND CRIME

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from

Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, by striking another section of the Subversive Activities Control Act, the Supreme Court has again demonstrated why Congress should act quickly on House Joint Resolution 418 to authorize the legislature, by two-thirds vote of both Houses, to override Supreme Court decisions.

I ask my colleagues to add this latest judgment to the growing number of decrees that serve but to impair the Nation's welfare and security. In effect, the Court has invited saboteurs into our

arsenals of defense.

Not only must we provide a way of correcting decisions that benefit the Communist conspiracy; we must also have the opportunity to undo the great harm that has come from the High Court on issues that include prayer in school, obscene literature, and law enforcement.

During the past Christmas season, the religious motif in schools has faded measurably, pointing up the unpleasant fact that at a time when America was never more in need of divine assistance, the Court has too often deferred to athelstic demands in preference to national tradition and desire. Further debilitation of the subversive activities control law, in addition to constituting a peril to our defense structure, is another instance where the Court has ruled in favor of those who deny God and seek to prevent others from worshiping Him.

Recent history is replete with instances of Supreme Court decisions that disregard constitutional precepts and are destructive of accepted social and moral standards. Last August 29 the Punxsutawney, Pa., Spirit reprinted an editorial from the Latrobe Bulletin which should be included in the Record as further evidence in the dossier supporting the need for House Joint Resolution 418. It follows:

#### SUPREME COURT AND CRIME

President Johnson wants to spend \$50 million to combat crime in the U.S.

No one who has been following the events of the last couple of years or who has read or heard about the Crime Commission's investigation or the FBI's director J. Edgar Hoover, comment on the alarming crime spiral, will question that something must be done.

However simply the outlay of money for the improvement of local law enforcement the institution of better crime investigation, the creation of better rehabilitation methods and the upgrading of the deprived or underprivileged will not do the trick alone.

The U.S. Supreme Court must help. It cannot continue to hand down decisions making it difficult for policemen to be policemen and to impede the conviction of criminals.

Over the past couple of years, the High Court has handcuffed the law enforcement agencies and not the criminals.

As one Pennsylvania State Police veteran said, "I'm glad I'll be retiring soon because I can't do my job with all the restrictions placed upon me and other police officers."

And he added, ". . . on the courts, too." No one denies the accused has rights, but there are many who are wondering today in the wake of the Supreme Court decisions, whether the pendulum has swung too far toward the accused to the detriment of the law-abiding.

Even the juveniles are now quoting chapter and verse of the Supreme Court's new safeguards for the criminal, let alone the habitual and hardened adult criminal being

aware of his "right."

The High Court's ruling has resulted in the freedom of many criminals because of what has been determined as "procedural defects" in arrest and prosecution, most of them resulting from these High Court rulings on the "criminal's rights."

Certainly some of the facets of the President's proposal to reduce crime are necessary and laudable, but it appears rather curious that on one hand money is being spent to fight crime and on the other the Supreme Court is making it more difficult to fight it.

All the money in the Federal Treasury will not even scratch the surface of the crime problem unless those fighting it are given the chance to do their tasks with the law on their side.

Crime has been rising at a more rapid rate than population growth for so long in the U.S. that one can anticipate each quarterly FBI report to show further deterioration. Even so, statistics just released for the first quarter of this year show a disappointing record increase in major crimes.

Twenty percent more major crimes were recorded by the 4,960 police departments which report to the FBI than in the similar three months last year. Not since the bureau began reporting on a quarterly basis in 1958 has the first quarter shown such a sizable increase.

Robbery, which jumped 32 percent nation-wide, led the crime increases. But nearly all major crimes contributed to growing law-

FBI records indicate total crime rates are little different in the first quarter than in the rest of the year, although emphasis on certain types of crime changes with the seasons.

In Director J. Edgar Hoover's analysis of the rising crime rates, few patterns have emerged which would indicate sectional differences. Almost similar increases were noted in all areas of the country and in cities and towns of all sizes.

Many persons tend to equate affluence with well-being, refusing to accept the possibility that a wealthy society also can be a

sick one.

If crime statistics gathered by the FBI mean anything—which they do—it is that a growing sickness has settled in America, the land of plenty, and the Supreme Court's handcuffs on law enforcement must share a great deal of the blame.

# BINGHAM INTRODUCES SOCIAL SECURITY REVISIONS

Mr. BINGHAM, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, on December 13, the House agreed to a House-Senate compromise social security bill which was subsequently signed into law by the President. Throughout the lengthy committee hearings, discussions and debates on this legislation, I urged that we enact the comprehensive overhaul of the social security system which I felt was sorely needed. Instead, the bill we approved contained only a very inadequate 13-percent increase in benefits. There were numerous other provisions in the bill-such as the sharp cutback in Federal participation in State medicaid programs-which I found particularly unwise in this time of spiraling hospital and medical costs. Like many of my colleagues I voted in favor of the bill because I felt our senior citizens should not be made to wait any longer for bene-

Continuing study of the new law over the recess has confirmed my view that in many respectes it is gravely inadequate. I think we owe our older citizensthose who have spent a productive lifetime contributing to both the economy and the social security trust fund-a rosier future than the mere subsistence many of them are now receiving as they turn 65. Accordingly, I am today introducing a comprehensive new social security bill which would bring to many millions of older Americans a retirement of greater comfort, independence, and selfrespect.

Social security is virtually the sole source of income for about half of those receiving its benefits and is the major source for almost all other beneficiaries. Since the aged constitute between onefifth and one-fourth of all the poor in the United States, it is quite clear that the adequacy of social security benefits is the key to eliminating poverty among some 5 to 7 million retired Americans. The 13-percent increase included in last year's bill was inadequate to begin with and doubly so when we remember that much of it merely reflected cost-of-living increases

The bill I am introducing today would raise benefits by about 35 percent above the new level, would establish a retirement floor income of \$100 a month for an individual and \$150 a month for a couple, and would yield average benefits of \$133 a month for individuals and \$220 a month for couples. In addition, I am including an automatic cost of living adjustment, based on these higher benefits, which would increase social security benefits to keep pace with a rising consumer price index. No couple can live luxuriously on the minimum of \$150 a month, or even on the average benefit of \$220 a month. But these benefit levels would at least assure a decent old age for those who must subsist on social security alone.

The second major item included in this bill is elimination of the restriction placed on Federal contributions to State programs for their medically indigent. Shortly after title XIX was passed in 1965, New York State took the lead in establishing one of the most progressive and far-reaching programs for extending medicaid benefits to the medically needy. That program was formulated on the basis of the 1965 law which promised matching Federal grants to States with eligible title XIX programs. By drastically cutting back on the percentage of Federal participation, the Congress has, in effect, penalized those States like New York which organized medical programs, in reliance on the Federal contribution, and now stand to lose many many mil-

lions of dollars because of the withdrawal of that contribution.

One of the most discussed proposals not adopted in last year's social security bill was the extension of medicare to prescription drugs. Drugs are a major highcost item to the elderly whose doctors often prescribe long-term drug treatment to deal with continuing health problems. There was plentiful testimony given on the Senate side last session which detailed the high cost of many essential drugs. For those living on small, fixed incomes, such constant financial drain can be truly disastrous. The bill I am introducing today would extend part B benefits of medicare, for the extra cost of \$1, to prescription drugs and thus relieve the elderly of this crushing hurden

There are a number of other provisions included in the legislation I am introducing which, though of lesser magnitude, have significant impact on those affected. For example, dependent parents of social security recipients should also be eligible for benefits as other dependents of those recipients now are. An individual over 65 who is still employed should have the option of continuing his contribution to the social security system so as to raise his future benefits or to halt his contributions and keep his benefit eligibility at age 65 level. The inequities against working wives who are forced to choose benefits based on either their own wages or on their status as a wife should be removed and a pooling of credits should be allowed. Finally, those over 65 who receive benefits as widows or widowers should not be penalized because of their subsequent remarriage as is the case under current law.

The welfare amendments included in last year's bill were among the most controversial and bitterly contested of all its features. Many of the professional organizations concerned with welfare problems strongly protested against these provisions and even went so far as to urge Presidential veto of the entire social security bill because of their primitive nature. I am including certain changes in these provisions to mitigate their harshest effects.

Surely it would be a mistake if, after the long battle for last year's social security bill, we deluded ourselves into believing that we had finished our work and could afford to forget about the social security system for a while. Last year's bill contained some worthwhile steps, and some truly damaging missteps. The sooner we get to the hard job of extending the former and correcting the latter, the sooner we will be fulfilling our obligations to the 21 million who rely on their social security benefits as protection against economic catastrophe.

# A FORTHRIGHT INTERVIEW WITH PRESIDENT JOHNSON

Mr. BROOKS, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. BROOKS. Mr. Speaker, during the congressional recess period, President Johnson held a frank and forthright interview on national television in which he assessed America's role at home and abroad in the weeks and months to come.

He made clear that, under his leadership, America will continue to keep its commitments in Vietnam and its prom-

ises here at home.

We are working for a peaceful solution to the Vietnamese conflict but we shall continue to stand up against aggression. And we will continue to meet the needs of the American people-in health, education, jobs, and expanded opportunityhere at home.

This is the Johnson administration program-a program supported by the overwhelming majority of the American people. And it is a program that shall grow and strengthen in the coming weeks and months. The President has made clear his determination to meet the Nation's obligation at home and abroad.

With the continued support of Congress and the American people, he shall

succeed.

I include herewith the text of the President's interview:

INTERVIEW WITH THE PRESIDENT BY RAY SCHERER, NBC; FRANK REYNOLDS, ABC; DAN RATHER, CBS

Q-1. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, I think any American seated in this chair tonight would want to ask you about peace. Do you have any fresh, new ideas about getting peace in Vietnam, or are we stuck with, as I think Secretary Rusk has put it, "waiting for some sign from the other side"?

The PRESIDENT. Peace is the Number 1 subject in the mind of every leader in the Government. We are searching for it a part of

There are four or five specific things that we think should be agreed upon. We think that the war now going on at the DMZ, at the 17th Parallel, should stop. We think that infiltration of Laos should stop. They have previously agreed to that.

We think that the people of South Viet-nam have demonstrated that they want to be governed on the basis of one man-one vote, and people who are prepared to live under that kind of an arrangement could live un-

der that kind of arrangement.

The thing that we must recognize about peace is that it is much more than just wishing for it. You can't get it just because you want it. If that were true, we would have had it a long time ago, because there are no people in the world who want peace more than the President, the Cabinet, and the people of the United States.

But if we are to find the solution of uniting the people of South Vietnam, and solving the problems in South Vietnam, it must be done not by some Senator or Congressman Ryan, or Senator Hartke, or Senator Fulbright, or some of our best intentioned people who want peace. This peace is going to be found by the leadership of South Vietnam, the people of South Vietnam, in South Vietnam.

We are encouraging that. We are going to continue to do our dead-level best to see this constitutional government, where 70 percent of their people registered and 60 percent of their people voted, develop some kind of a plan that we think will ultimately unite South Vietnam and bring peace to that

This will take time. This will take patience. This will take understanding.

The great problem we have is not misleading the enemy and letting him think—because of some of the statements he hears

coming from us-that the way is cheap, that it is easy, or that we are going to falter.

Q-2. Mr. SCHERER. Mr. President, there seems to be a growing impression through-out the world that the United States will settle for nothing less than military victory in Vietnam. What is your view on that?

The President, I have just explained what I thought would be a fair solution, I will repeat it as briefly and succinctly as I can.

The Demilitarized Zone must be respected as the 1954 agreements require. The unity of Vietnam as a whole must be a matter for peaceful adjustments and negotiation.

The North Vietnamese forces must get out of Laos and stop infiltrating Laos. That is what the 1962 agreement required, and it must be respected.

The overwhelming majority of the people of South Vietnam want a one man-one vote

constitutional government.

About 70 percent of all the citizens who might have voted in South Vietnam registered in the election, and about 60 percent of them voted.

The 20 percent or so of the population now under Viet Cong control must live under a one man-one vote constitutional system if

there is to be peace.

President Thieu has said that the South Vietnamese Government is not prepared to recognize the NLF as a government, and it knows well that NLF's control is by Hanoi. And so do we.

But he also has said that he is prepared for informal talks with members of the NLF,

and these could bring good results.

I think that is a statesmanlike position. And I hope the other side will respond. That is why our statement in early December said we believe that the South Vietnamese must work out their own future, acting through electoral processes of the kind carried forward in the last two years.

The political future of South Vietnam, Mr. Scherer, must be worked out in South Vietnam by the people of South Vietnam

It is our judgment that this war could be ended in a matter of weeks if the other side would face these five simple facts, and if some of our own people here in this country would encourage that that be done instead of broadcasting alarms that may give false signals both to Hanoi and to the Viet Cong.

Q-3. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, are we willing to accept Communists in a coalition government, if the South Vietnamese Government and the NLF got together? Are we willing to accept Communists in a coalition government?

The PRESIDENT. I think we must bear in mind that what happens in South Vietnam is up to the people of South Vietnam, not to North Vietnam, China, the Soviet Union, or the people of the United States—but the

people of South Vietnam.

We are prepared to have every man in South Vietnam under their constitutional government, one man-one vote-for those people themselves to determine the kind of government they want. We think we know what that determination would be from the 70 percent who are registered and the 60 percent who have voted. It is a matter them to determine, not for me to deter-

I think we might add one other thing here: When Mr. Reynolds says what are the minimum conditions for this or that, we don't want to get sparring with each other.

But I can say that so far as the United States is concerned, we are ready to stop fighting tonight if they are ready to stop fighting. But we are not ready to stop our side of the war, only to encourage them to escalate their side of the war.

We will reciprocate and meet any move that they make, but we are not going to be so soft-headed and pudding-headed as to say that we will stop our half of the war and hope and pray that they stop theirs

We have tried that in some instances. We

have leaned over backwards. Every time we have, they have escalated their efforts and they have killed our soldiers. We have no result from it. A burned child dreads the fire.

If you want us to stop our bombing, you have to ask them to stop their bombing, stop their hand grenades, stop their mortars.

At San Antonio I laid out the formula and said we will stop bombing immediately "provided you will have prompt and pro-ductive discussions."

That is about as far as anyone can go. That is as far as anyone should go. That is as far as we are going.

Q-4. Mr. Scherer. Mr. President, is it your feeling that you have now made our proposition and the next move is up to them?

The PRESIDENT. It is my feeling that our position in the world is very clearly known. If it is not, I have tried to repeat it enough tonight that the people can understand it.

Q-5. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, what is your assessment of Hanoi's attitude at this point in the war? Do you believe they are counting, sir, on your defeat next Novem-

The PRESIDENT. I think that Hanoi feels that if they can hold out long enough, they will not win a military victory against General Westmoreland. They haven't done that. They can't point to one single victory they won from our Marines, from our Air, from our Navy or from our Army.

They think, though, that they can repeat

what happened to them with the French; that if their will is strong and they continue to remain firm, that they will develop enough sympathy and understanding in this country, and hatred for war in this country, that their will will outlast our will.

I don't think that is true. I think in due time, if our people will understand and recognize what is happening, I think they will help me prove it is not true.

Q-6. Mr. SCHERER, Mr. President, just to make this abundantly clear, what you seem to be saying here tonight is that peace in Vietnam is principally up to the Saigon Government rather than the United States, and B, that the Saigon Government can have useful talks with the Viet Cong without recognizing them.

The PRESIDENT. Yes, I have said that I think that war can be stopped in a matter of days if President Thieu's suggestions that he informally talk with members of the NLF are carried out and if they would agree to what they have already agreed to in the 1954 accords and the 1962 accords and the other points that I mentioned this morning, like one man-one vote under the present constitutional government.

I think that would be a useful starting point. And I think the result could be that

we could find a way to stop the war. Q-7. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, I think what bothers some people, though, is that President Thieu and the South Vietnamese Government, as it is now constituted, say that they do not recognize the Viet Cong, they do not recognize the NLF. How are they going to have negotiations with them if they don't recognize them?

The PRESIDENT. They could have informal talks with them, Dan. I said that the President had made clear that he would not recognize NLF, but we have made clear for many, many months that their views can be heard and we can respond to them; their recommendations can be received and we can react to them.

President Thieu, himself, in a very statesmanlike manner, has said that he would be agreeable to having informal talks with their representatives. We would hope that out of

that some understanding could be reached.

I believe if it could be reached, the war could be brought to a close.

Q-8. Mr. SCHERER. Mr. President, much has been made of your 1964 campaign statement about not sending American boys to fight in an Asian war. As you look back on that now, was that a pledge, a hope, or was it simply a statement of principle in a larger context?

The PRESIDENT. It was one of many statements, if you will look back upon it, as a part of a policy, namely, our policy then and now was to keep our hand out of negotiations and for discussions, and for peace, and our guard up that would support the South Vietnamese to keep them from being enveloped.

We made clear all through that campaign, and in this speech which you have extracted one single sentence out of, that we felt that the South Vietnames ought to pledge every resource they had, their men, their materials, all of their resources, to defending themselves; that we would never supplant them. But we would supplement them to the

extent that is was necessary.

We did not plan to go into Asia and to fight an Asian war that Asians ought to be fighting for themselves. But if Asians were fighting for themselves and were using all the resources that they had in South Vietnam, there was no pledge, no commitment and no implication that we would not supplement them and support them as we are doing, and as we agreed to do many years before in the SEATO Treaty, and as we had agreed to do in the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution before that statement.

Mr. RATHER. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT. That has just been a part of the politicians' gambit of picking out one sentence before you get to the "but" in it, and say, "We are not going to take over all the fighting and do it ourselves. We are not going to do what Asian boys in South Vietnam should do."

They are doing it. They have over 700,000 men there out of 17 million population, and they are raising another 65,000 compared to the additional 40-odd that we are sending.

No we don't plan to supplant them at all. But we do plan to supplement them to whatever is necessary to keep the communist conspiracy from gobbling up that nation.

spiracy from gobbling up that nation.
Q-9. Mr. RATHER, Mr. President, if the South
Vietnamese are as dedicated to freedom as
you say, and as many who have been there
say, why is it that they don't fight as well
motivated, or at least seemingly, as the Viet
Cong and the Communist North Vietnamese?

To put it more bluntly, why don't our South Vietnamese fight as well as theirs?

The PRESIDENT. I don't think all people do everything alike. I know some television broadcasters are better than others. I know some Presidents that can perform in a conversation better than others.

General Abrams, who is giving leadership to the South Vietnamese people, thinks that their army is developing very well.

That is not to say that they are equal to the best troops of every other nation, but they have made great improvements. They are working at their job. They still have some problems to correct in leadership. That is what really determines what kind of a fighting force you have. But they are getting at it and they are getting results.

It is mighty easy to blame someone else. That is what we do. I don't think we get much out of blaming our allies or talking about how much better we are than they.

Most of the people out there tell us that they believe that the South Vietnamese army at this time is equal to the Korean troops in 1954. If they are, I don't think we will have to apologize too much for them. They are taking up their positions on the DMZ.

They have been giving very good results from their actions. General Abrams thinks they are doing all right. I would prefer his judgment to anybody's judgment that I know.

Q-10. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, you have always credited the Russians with a sincere desire for peace in South Vietnam. Do you

still hold to that view? If they really want peace, why do they not stop supplying the North Vietnamese?

The PRESIDENT. Without going into your statements as to my views, I would say this: We are not sure just at this point of all that motivates the Chinese or the Russians, or any of the other Communists who are supporting the North Vietnamese.

I don't think I could honestly tell you just what their motivations are. We have always hoped that they would like to see this war brought to an end. That has been their indication to us. When't know

in the long run, I don't know.

Q-11. Mr. SCHERER. Mr. President, that brings us back to Glassboro and your conversations this summer. How much of a factor in the restraint that we and the Russians seem to show in the Middle East crisis was a product of the dialogue that you established with Mr. Kosygin at Glassboro?

The PRESIDENT. I think the Glassboro conference was a very useful conference. I am not sure that it really solved any of the problems of the Middle East. I think the situation in the Middle East is a very dangerous one.

I think we have made clear our viewpoint in my statements of June 19th, the five conditions that ought to enter into bringing about peace in that area. We stressed those to Mr. Kosygin at Glassboro. He understands them. He did not agree with them. But I think that the Soviet Union understands that we feel very strongly about this matter that we do have definite views.

I think Ambassador Goldberg, at the United Nations, has made our position very clear. As a result of the action of the United Nations, in sending Ambassador Jarring there as a mediator, we are hopeful that the conditions I outlined on June 19th can be worked out and that a permanent solution can be found to that very difficult problem.

I would say it is one of our most dangerous situations, and one that is going to require the best tact, judgment, patience and willingness on the part of all to find a solution

Q-12. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, do you consider that this country has the same kind of unwavering commitment to defend Israel against invasion as we have in South Vietnam?

The PRESIDENT. We don't have a SEATO treaty, if that is what you are asking. We have made clear our very definite interest in Israel, and our desire to preserve peace in that area of the world by many means. But we do not have a mutual security treaty with them, as we do in Southeast Asia.

Q-13. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, if we might come back for a moment to the question about relations with the Soviet Union, it has often been said that one of the most tragic consequences about the war in South Vietnam is the setback in American-Soviet relations. Do you agree with that? Do you think we are making progress in getting along?

The PRESIDENT. There are a good many things said Mr. Reynolds, that people have to take with a grain of sait. First, they have to look at the sources of these statements. I have tried to analyze our position in the world with other nations. We do regret that we don't see everything alike with the Soviet Union or other nations. We hope that there wouldn't be this tension and these strains that frequently are in evidence. Now, we don't say that everything is 100 percent all right, because we have very definite and very strong differences of opinion and philosophy.

But if you are asking me if the tension that exists today that existed when the Berlin Wall went up, the answer is no.

Now, we can understand the Soviet Union inhibitions and the problems they have as long as Vietnam is taking place. They are called upon to support their communist

brother, and they are supporting him in a limited way with some equipment. We wish that were not so.

We would hope that they would exercise their duties and their responsibilities as cochairmen and take some leadership and try to bring this war to an end.

But we don't think that things are as tense or as serious, or as dangerous as they were when the Berlin Wall went up, in the Cuban missile crisis, or following Mr. Kennedy's visit with Mr. Khrushchev at Vienna.

Q-14. Mr. SCHERER. Mr. President, moving now to Europe, what about the complaint of Europe that our preoccupation with Vietnam has caused relations with Europe to take a back seat?

The PRESIDENT. I don't find that complaint in Europe. I find it in Georgetown among a few columnists generally.

The European leaders, we are having very frequent exchanges with them. Prime Minister Wilson will be here early in February. He has been here several times.

We have been to Germany, and Mr. Kiesinger and ahead of him Mr. Adenauer have been here.

Many of the Scandinavian leaders have come here.

The Dutch leaders have come here.

This year in Europe we have had a very long agenda that has produced what we think are very excellent results. We have just concluded an agreement on the Kennedy Round, which involved very far-reaching trade concessions. We think it will stand as a monument to the relationship of the people of Europe and the people of the United States, and very much to both of their advantages.

We had a challenge of NATO and General deGaulle asked us to get out of France. We sat down with the other 14 members of NATO, the other European nations, and we looked at our problem. We decided that we would go to Belgium. Thirteen of those nations joined the United States and 14 of us went there.

NATO is now intact as solid as it can be, unified. Secretary Rusk has just returned from very successful meetings with them.

So the challenge to NATO has been rebuffed. The difficulties of the Kennedy Round have been solved. The frequent predictions that the Germans would reduce their troop strength 60,000 and we would bring our divisions back from Europe—these matters have been worked out.

We are working feverishly every day trying to bring about a non-proliferation agreement

and we are making headway.

So I think if you take the results of this year's efforts in Europe that most European statesmen who have engaged in those efforts would think we have been quite successful and probably more successful than any other period. And I do not see that we have either ignored them or neglected them.

Q-15. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I wonder if we might turn to matters at home, sir.

The civil rights movement in this country was founded and thrived on the principle of nonviolence. Now all that seems to be changing. There are people openly advocating violence. We had violence last summer. What is your explanation for these riots, sir? What happened?

The PRESIDENT. I would say that not all of it is changing. I would say that all through our history, as these changes occurred, there has been violence connected with them.

We found that true in World War I. We found that true in World War II. We had a strike in Detroit—we had a riot in Detriot during President Roosevelt's administration where he had to send out troops that compared very much to the same one we had there this year.

We have this unrest. We have this uncertainty. We have this desire of people who have been held down all these years to rise

up and try to acquire, quickly, what has been denied them so unjustly so long.

We have more violence than we want, and more than we should have; more than we are going to be able to tolerate. But I don't think that represents all the country at all. I think

that represents a very small minority.

I think our big problem is to get at the causes of these riots. I think that some of the causes are the hope of the people themselves. They don't have jobs. They want jobs. So we are going to have to provide jobs.

There are some half-million unemployed. hard-core unemployed, in our principal cities. We just have to go and find jobs for them.

I am going to call in the businessmen of America and say one of two things have to happen: You have to help me go out here and find jobs for these people, or we are going to have to find jobs in the Government for them and offer every one of them a job. I think that is one thing that could be done. I think that will have to be done, as expensive as it is.
Second. I think we will have to do some-

thing about the housing situation. People live in filth, in dilapidated houses. More new housing ought to be built and has to be built. We have to find places to build that housing.

I have tried to pass legislation that I thought would be helpful, such as the open housing bill. I have tried to encourage the Congress to take action on model cities and on rent supplements. We have made progress, although not as much as we would like. So, we are going to have to accelerate and step up rebuilding our cities so we can have decent housing.

In the field of education, education has been denied to the poor on an equal basis for many years. The poor children haven't had the advantages because of lack of transportation, because of the economic situation in their family, because of a lot of reasons their own health conditions.

So, they haven't had the education. And because of discrimination, they haven't had the educational opportunities that the other children have had.

So we are fast correcting those. We have tripled our education program in three years, and the poor have been the primary bene-ficiaries. We are spending three times as much on health today as we were four years ago, and the poor are the primary beneficiaries of medicare and medicaid. They can have their hospital bills paid now. They can have their

doctors paid now. As a result, our infant mortality rate is going down. As a result, our death rate is going down. We have made great progress with health and education. They are important things.

So I would say jobs, health, education, and housing are all contributing to this general dissatisfaction that results in violence on occasions, and we have to accelerate our efforts there. We have to appeal to these people to keep their feelings within bounds and keep them lawful, because every person in this country must obey the law of this country, and there is no situation that justifies your violating the law.

Q-16. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, for those Americans, especially Negroes, who live in crowded areas, live in poverty, with no education, no jobs, and seemingly no help, why not follow an extremist? Why not revolt?

The President. I told you the reasons why: Because revolting and violence are unlawful. It is not going to be allowed. It doesn't solve the problem. It is not the answer to the conditions that exist.

The answer is jobs. The answer is education. The answer is health. If we refuse to give those answers, people are going to lose hope, and when they do, it is pretty difficult to get them to be as reasonable as we think they should be.

But there is every reason why they should not. Violence is not going to produce more jobs. Violence is not going to produce more

education. Violating the law and taking the law into your own hands is not going to produce better health or better housing. It is going to produce anarchy. That cannot be tolerated.

Q-17. Mr. RATHER. Some of these extremists, Mr. President, say that anarchy is what we need: that they want to tear down the fabric of this society.

The PRESIDENT. I don't agree with the extremists and I hope you don't.

Q-18. Mr. Scherer. What is your administration doing now, Mr. President, to see that we don't have another riot this summer?

The PRESIDENT, I have outlined that: Jobs, housing, education, health—all of these things, trying to get at these problems. We think if we can have a program for the cities, like model cities, we think if we can have jobs, like Neighborhood Youth training and the job program we are working out, if we can find employment for all the hard core, we think that this will answer some of the causes of the riots.

Q-19. Mr. Reynolds. Mr. President, in the ghetto I think they say that is just talk, white man's talk. What is your reaction to that?

The PRESIDENT. You know what my reaction to it is.

Q-20. Mr. REYNOLDS. Isn't there this sense of despair, this growing estrangement?

The PRESIDENT. What is your answer to it, Frank?

Mr. REYNOLDS. Well, I would hope that-I don't know that my answer is necessarily the one, sir, that we want.

The PRESIDENT. What is your answer, though, Frank?

Mr. REYNOLDS. My answer is that it is not talk, and that there will be an attempt made. But can it come in time? I am thinking of these young-

The PRESIDENT. If not, what? What is your solution? What do you recommend? Q-21. Mr. REYNOLDS. What do you think

you think you should do, sir?

The PRESIDENT. You are not going to answer it now? You are not going to give us your recommendations or your thoughts?

Q-22. Mr. REYNOLDS. My recommendation is to get going as fast as we possibly can on all the programs that you have just mentioned.

The PRESIDENT. That is what we are doing. We accept your recommendation and we will carry it out.

-23. Mr. SCHERER. If this situation is as serious as we think it is, people say we are spending \$30 billion a year in Vietnam and why can't we spend \$30 billion a year at home. If you can't get programs such as you are talking about through in this Congress, how will you get them through in the future?

The PRESIDENT. We hope that the Congress will, as these things develop, see the need of them. We think we have made progress. We couldn't get the model cities program authorized and funded two years ago, but we did this year. We couldn't get rent supplements authorized and funded, but we have this year. We couldn't get the housing programs that we have underway now authorized and funded two years ago, but we have this year.

We are making progress. We can't correct it overnight. You can't take the errors of 100 years and solve them in 100 days.

We would like to do as much as we can. I am recommending a good deal more than

the Congress is willing to do. In the poverty field I recommended and urged, and asked every Cabinet Member to join us in doing so—we urged the Congress to provide \$2.2 billion in funds for poverty. They cut it several hundred million dollars.

We have recommendations for 40,000 rent supplement units, \$40 million. They cut it to \$10 million. I regret it. If I could issue an Executive Order and vitlate it, putting my own program into effect, I would do it.

But we are moving in that direction. We are going to do all we can to accelerate it and to escalate it.

Q-24 Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, if I may, let's turn to the subject of youth. I think everyone expects youth to rebel and to be restless. But there seems to be an unusually large number of American youth at this particular point in history who feel alienated to the traditional American ideas of God, patriotism and family. Do you sense this alienation? What can be done about it?

The PRESIDENT. Yes, I sense it. I think we have that condition. We are trying to meet

it as best we know how. I have seen it several times in my lifetime.

I remember the days of the zoot suiters in World War II. I remember the doubters who thought all of our youth were going to the dogs because of the sitdown movements in some of the plants in our country at certain periods of our country. I remember the doubt expressed about our ability in World War II to take a bunch of beardless boys and resist Hitler's legions.

There have been some disappointments. But I have visited the campuses of this country. My Cabinet has gone and met with the young people of this country. We deal with young folks every day in the Peace Corps, in the poverty program, in the VISTA program, in the job camps.

I think it is a very small percentage that have given up, who have lost faith, who have deep questions about the future of the country and of themselves.

We have more than 3 million young people serving in uniform. I hear from about 100 of them every day. They don't get the attention that you television people give these exhibitionists. They don't have anyone to make signs for them and parade, getting their picture in the papers. They are just there from daylight to dark, fighting for freedom and liberty, and willing to die for are a pretty large number, comparatively speaking.

I doubt that there is anything like that many hippies, or I doubt that there are that many disillusioned people. If you added them all up and put them in one unit, I think they would make a very small percentage.

And I think anyone who thinks the youth of the country is going to the dogs, or implies it, better take another look at it. I have just gone through two weddings this year, and I have been associated with a lot of young people. The kind of young people I see, who I hear, who write me, are not the little group that you all can ferret out up here at some park or someplace that has nothing to do but carry a sign around on their shoulders and try to obstruct someone else from getting to a place or try to howl them down after they get there.

I think we have young people who are terribly upset at what is going on. I know they hate war. We all hate war. But I think there is a very small percent who are going to take these extreme means and going to employ these extreme ways to express lack of confidence in their future and in their coun-

Q-25. Mr. Scherer. Mr. President, how much of an inhibition does it give you, as you go about the country, to have to face these dissenters and demonstrators? Do you feel you can go where you want to go?

The PRESIDENT. Yes, and do.

Q-26. Mr. Scherer. Do you think that will be true all through next year?

The PRESIDENT. Yes. I think there has been a very subtle effort made by a few politicans to suggest that it would be difficult for the President to travel, it would be very dangerous. Probably the wish was father to the thought. I think there has been some indication that certain organized groups would try to bring embarrassment to the Secretary of State by not letting him talk, or to the Vice President by interrupting his talk.

But every time that has happened—and it has been encouraged some by some of the political groups, because we have followed them and have seen that that has happened-the people have been resounding in their disapproval.

While we all recognize dissent, and we expect it, and we treat it respectfully, we listen to it, we don't think that dissent should be turned into hooliganism, and we don't think because a person has a right to dissent, as a great Justice one time said, you have a right to holler fire in a crowded theater or you have the right to tear a speaker's necktie off or to put your hand over his mouth and prevent him from speaking.

We think the dissent should be within the law, within the Constitution. We respect

it when it is.

But if they are going to use stormtrooper tactics, it will be dealt with and will be dealt

with properly.

If they are going to encourage folks to bring bodily harm to a President, or to any other official, that is sinking very low, and we don't think the people want to hear much like that, either over the television in the form of suggestion, or by some who are sent to these campuses to incite folks.

Q-27. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, who are these people who are encouraging this sort of thing? Do you see an element of sub-

version in it?

The PRESIDENT. You see them on every campus on your television every night. They are representatives of various groups.

I don't want to get personal and I don't want to give them advertisement, but if you are interested just turn on ABC tonight and look at the newscast. A good part of every newscast you have will have some of these folks who are encouraging the dissent, appealing to them. They will be parading. They will have their signs. They will be charging us with murder, this and that, because we are trying to carry out our obligations and our treaty commitments and protect that flag.

Q-28. Mr. REYNOLDS. You feel, sir, apparently that the press, the television, radio, the whole works, gives a disproportionate

share of attention to this?

The PRESIDENT. No, I didn't say that. I said they report it. If you want to see it, it is there for you to see it. I didn't say anything about disproportionate.

Q-29. Mr. REYNOLDS. Do you think we

do, sir?

The PRESIDENT. I think that is a matter for your judgment. I don't think it is up to the President to be making up your newscasts.

Q-30, Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, you have said, I think, Mr. President, that you welcome responsibility dissent. For those Americans who so strongly dissent from your war policy, but who want to be responsible and yet want to be effective, what can you rec-ommend? What can they do?

The PRESIDENT. In the first place, I am not in the business of recommending their program for them. I have enough problems with

my own program.

But we do have a way of people in this country expressing their viewpoint, giving out interviews, making speeches, having picketing. I would say generally speaking, Mr. Rather, being lawful, abiding by the law of the land, doing whatever the law will permit them to do.

I don't think you have to be a law violator in the name of the First Amendment. I don't think you are justified in being a law violator in order to have your

right of free speech.

I think that the people who ought to want to follow the law and the Constitution the most are the dissenters themselves because it is that law and that Constitu-tion which give them their right to dissent and protects that right.

I am amazed that some of these so-

called liberal folks who reserve for themselves the right to speak long, loud, and freely, but when the opposition views are expressed, they try to drown it out with cat calls, eggs or tomatoes.

I don't understand that.

Q.-31. Mr. RATHER. Some of these dissenters say that the only way they can get your attention is to do something unlawful.

The PRESIDENT, I am not familiar with that,

Who says that?

Q-32. Mr. RATHER. There is Mr. Dillenger, for one, who led the march on the Pentagon, who said there was no way to get the attention of the government.

The PRESIDENT. I don't think that is correct at all. We read the papers, see the television, read our correspondence. We spend a good deal more time on that than we do out viewing what he is saying or doing in the Pentagon.

Q-33. Mr. SCHERER, Mr. President, 1968 will soon be upon us. I am wondering as you sit here in your rocking chair whether you can tell us when you sit down to make your decision about running again what are the

factors you are going to weigh?

The PRESIDENT. I haven't done that. Ray. I think in due time I will cross that bridge. Until then, I don't want to speculate about

Q-34. Mr. SCHERER. Not even the factors? The PRESIDENT. Until I do that, I am not

going to speculate about it.

Q-35. Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, you often say that a President has plenty of advice. Regardless of when you intend to leave this office, and we know you are not going to tell us that, what advice would you give to the man who does succeed you?

The PRESIDENT. Well, I will do that when

I leave it.

Q-36. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, I know that with the campaign coming up you don't want to get into politics too much, but I would be remiss in my duties as a reporter if I didn't ask you, regardless of who the Democratic candidate is in 1968, what effect do you think the candidacy of Senator Mc-Carthy and the position of Senator Robert Kennedy will have on the Democratic Party.

The PRESIDENT. I just don't know. I don't know what the effect of the Kennedy-Mc-Carthy movement is having in the country. I am not a reporter. I haven't followed it. I am not privileged to all the conversations

that may have taken place.

I just observe they have had some meetings and some discussions. I do know of the interest of both of them in the Presidency and the ambition of both of them. I see that reflected from time to time.

But just what they are prepared to do, how they are going to do it, whether they are going to do it in concert or what will be the effect upon the American people of these maneuverings, I am not prepared to say.

Q-37. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, French President deGaulle, in light of his picking at NATO, his attacks on the dollar, and now even training of Russian troops, do you consider him a friend or an enemy of this country?

The PRESIDENT. I believe that the French people have an understanding, an interest and affection for the American people, and I think it is greatly reciprocated.

I am sorry that the relationship between the President and Mr. deGaulle is not a closer one and that we don't see matters alike any more often than we do. We have tried to do everything that we know to do to minimize the differences that exist in the leadership of the two governments. We strongly feel that the peoples of the two countries have a long history of friendship and we are determined to preserve that.

We are also determined to minimize our differences and, from my part, to do nothing to unjustly or unduly provoke the French Government.

Q-38. Mr. RATHER. To get precisely to the

point about General deGaulle as apart from the French people-

The PRESIDENT. I got precisely to the point. I don't want to do anything to accentuate, aggravate or contribute to emphasizing the differences that we have and straining the

I think basically our people are friendly and I am going to do all I can to keep them

friendly.
Q-39. Mr. Scherer. Mr. President, the other day one of the elder statemen in our business gave it as his view that unless you regained the trust of the people-I think that is the way he put it-you could not effectively govern. How does that proposition strike you?

The PRESIDENT. I think you must have the trust of the people. I feel we do have the trust of the people. I think we have the support of the people. The people in every election have had a chance to express themselves, in a national election, and have given

us a majority vote.

In 1964, the last election, we got 61 percent of the votes, the highest percentage any President ever attained. I am not talking about some individual poll. In the last Congressional election, we had a majority in both House and Senate.

Now we lost our majority. We lost some of our support. I don't think there is any question about that. In a pre-election year you

will always do that.

We looked ahead of 1964 when they were having the San Francisco Convention, I think great many people toyed with the idea of joining or voting in the opposition party until they had the results of the convention, until they saw what would happen if you elected a Republican, and who would be brought into office, the kind of government you would have, the kind of policies you would have

I think there is some uncertainty in the country. I think there is some division in the country. I don't think that the opposition is in the majority and I don't think they will be on election day. But I don't discount it, and I don't ignore it.

Q-40. Mr. SCHERER. As you look ahead to the world that your grandson is going to grow up in, what kind of a world would you

like that to be?

The PRESIDENT. I would hope that it would be a more knowledgeable world and a better educated world. There are four people out of every 10 today who cannot read "cat", who cannot spell "dog", who cannot recognize the printed word "mother." I would like to see every boy and girl who is born in the world have all the education that he or she can take.

We are making great gains in that direction in this country. I would like to see other nations make great gains. I would like to see an enlightened program of family planning available to all the peoples of the world.

I would like to see the problem of food production faced up to and nations take the necessary steps to try to provide the food that they are going to need to support their populations.

I would like to see the miracles of health extended to all the peoples of the world as they were to the fellow who was operated on with the heart change the other day.

I know that the infant mortality rate is going down. I should like to see it reflected in all the 110 nations.

In short, I believe that our ancient enemies are ignorance and illiteracy, are disease and bigotry. I would like to see my descendants grow up in a world that is as educated as possible, as healthy as science will permit, as prepared to feed itself, and which certainly has sufficient conservation forces to permit enjoyable leisure for the people who work long and late.

I think we are moving to that end.

Q-41. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, what do

your experts tell you, and what is your best estimate, on the performance of the economy over the next few months, considering that you did not get the tax increase that you once called absolutely essential to the health of the economy?

The PRESIDENT. It is very hard to predict what is ahead. The Secretary of the Treasury and the Council of Economic Advisors, and the Budget Director have made the best statement that they could to the Ways and Means Committee.

We think the business activity is going to pick up. We think there is going to be increased production. We think it is very essential that we have a tax bill.

We look forward to continued prosperity. We have had 82 months of unparalleled prosperity in this country, longer than any other period, uninterrupted, and we want to keep

things that way.

We think the most important thing to us, from a domestic standpoint, is to provide more jobs and we have added 6 million jobs in the last few years. We think that those jobs ought to have good pay, but that we shouldn't increase our wages or our profits or our dividends, beyond what the increased productivity justifies, so that we can maintain some restraint on prices.

And while we are not satisfied with the job we have done, we have done a better job than any other country and we are urging both business and labor to take that into consideration in their negotiations with each other.

Q-42. Mr. Scherer. Mr. President, what about China? Many people, as they peer off into the midst of the future, see our future problem with China. If you could sit down with the rulers of China, what would you tell them about America's intentions toward them?

The PRESIDENT. I have said to them in several public statements that we hope that they can conduct themselves in such a way as will permit them to join the family of nations and that we can learn to live in harmony with each other.

mony with each other.

We have no desire to be enemies of any nation in the world. I believe that it is possible, over the years, for them to develop a better understanding of the world in which they live.

We think there are some very important things taking place right in China today that will contribute, we hope, to a better understanding and a more moderate approach to their neighbors in the world.

We have observed their failures in Africa, and in Latin America, and in Southeast Asia, where they have undertaken aggressive steps that have resulted in failure for them. And we hope that they will profit by their experiences. We believe they will.

We don't know all that we would like to know about what is going in China. It is a rather closed society and we don't have all the information that we would like to have. But we are hopeful and we believe that over a period of time, that the opportunity exists for them to gain a better understanding of the other peoples of the world and thus be able to live more harmoniously with them.

Q-43. Mr. REYNOLDS, Mr. President, there was quite a dust-up in this town recently, perhaps more here than any other place, about the resignation of Mr. McNamara. Is there anything more you can tell us about 142

there anything more you can tell us about it?
The PRESIDENT. No. I can only repeat what
Secretary McNamara said and what I have
said

Secretary McNamara has been Secretary of Defense longer than any other man. I think he has been the best Secretary of Defense we have ever had. I hate to see him leave as Secretary of Defense.

I take great statisfaction in the contribution that Mr. McNamara has made to the Government. He is only on sabbatical leave. He is not going to be very far away from here and on anything that is remotely connected with the best interests of the world, that the World Bank is interested in, we will be working very close together.

I do not consider that I have lost his services, or the world has lost his talents, or that I have lost a friend in any way. I think instead of building a great machine in the Pentagon for the purpose of building liberty and freedom that he will be busy at the World Bank in the constructive purpose of building the economies and bettering humanity in these very nations that we are trying to defend. I look forward with a great deal of pleasure to working very closely with him. Q-44. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, looking

Q-44. Mr. RATHER. Mr. President, looking ahead to next year, this will be the final year of this term of your Presidency, what are your priorities, particularly in regards to Congress? Can you get through, do you feel, any more of your Great Society program, any more welfare programs?

The PRESIDENT. We will have detailed recommendations in our State of the Union in connection with the problems the nation faces. There are many unresolved problems.

There will be substantial recommendations to the next Congress. There have been substantial achievements in this one.

We didn't get everything we wanted at all. We never have. But we had a good Congress. We didn't have as good a Congress as we had in the 89th Congress. We didn't pass as many substantive measures and they didn't reach as far as the others. They were curtailed some because that was the mood of the Congress. And on a good many measures they were able to reduce our proposals.

They didn't destroy Model Cities. They crippled it. They cut it by several hundred million. They didn't destroy Rent Supplements, but they cut it from \$40 million to \$10 million. They didn't destroy the Rat Bill. They knocked it out for a while and staggered it, but after the Senate worked on it, they approved it. They did not recommit a good many of the bills, but they reduced them.

They did not wipe out poverty, but they reduced it from \$2.2 billion down several hundred million to \$1.7 billion something.

So those are the things that you have to

So those are the things that you have to face up to. I am not saying ugly things about the individual. Those men think they are right. They don't want to take some of these new programs. They don't want to fund model cities, rent supplements, or face up to the urban requirements and what I think are 20th Century requirements.

And I understand their philosophy. I have understood it for 35 years. They frequently are the preservers of stagnation. They want to keep things as they are. They don't want to move forward.

Now, I came to the Executive Branch with a man who said "Let's get the country moving again." We have the country moving again and we want to keep it moving. We are going to keep it moving if we can get the Congress' support.

While we didn't get them to support us every time we wanted to, we did move forward and we hope the next session will be a productive one, too.

I am going to appeal to every Republican in an election year to come in and do what is best for his country. If he does that, without regard to how it might cripple the President, without regard to the politics of the year, then I think we will have a good Congress.

I am going to do what I think is best for my country, at home and abroad, without regard to what effect it has on my future. If they will do the same thing, we will have a good government, a good country, and then we can let the election take care of itself. And I think we will have a good election.

Mr. Scherer. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Reynolds. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Rather. Thank you, Mr. President. TO PROVIDE COMPENSATION AT LEVEL 5, EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE, FOR CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I offer a resolution (H. Res. 1015) and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

#### H. RES. 1015

Resolved, effective January 1, 1968, there will be payable from the contingent fund of the House of Representatives, until otherwise provided by law, an amount which will permit payment of basic compensation per annum, at a rate not in excess of the highest amount, which, together with additional compensation authorized by law, will not exceed the maximum rate authorized by Level 5 of the Executive schedule by Public Law 90-206, to the administrative assistant of each of the following:

(1) Speaker of the House.

(2) Majority leader of the House.(3) Minority leader of the House.

(4) Majority whip of the House.(5) Minority whip of the House.

(6) Each Member of the House who has served as Speaker of the House.

(7) Each Member of the House who has served as majority leader and minority leader of the House.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, would the distinguished majority leader explain the intent of this resolution a little further for the benefit of the Members?

Mr. ALBERT. I shall be glad to if the gentleman will yield.

Mr. HALL, I yield to the majority

Mr. ALBERT. In order to raise the base pay of the administrative assistants of those mentioned in the resolution comparable to that which the Congress provided for employees of the executive departments who have had comparable salaries through the years, this resolution is necessary. This action has been taken in previous Congresses under similar circumstances. This is not a mandatory resolution. It does not set salaries of these individuals to be raised if approved by the employing authority of the House, which is the Speaker, the majority and minority leaders of the House, former Speakers and majority and minority whips.

Mr. HALL. I will further ask the distinguished majority leader if this entails any new positions or appointments in any wise or sense of the word.

Mr. ALBERT. None whatsoever I will say to my friend.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, would it be interpreted as an added emolument to the benefits of the Members of the House past or present? In other words, could it be interpreted by the public at large as an automatic increase in benefits to any of the Members past or present?

Mr. ALBERT. It does not affect any Member past or present.

Mr. HALL. It is simply a continuing resolution for their assistants?

Mr. ALBERT. For the administrative assistants of the Speaker and former

Speakers, majority and minority leaders. and the majority and minority whips.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Arrington, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed the following resolutions:

#### S. RES. 203

Resolved, That a committee consisting of two Senators be appointed by the Vice President to join such committee as may be appointed by the House of Representatives to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that a quorum of each House is assembled and that the Congress is ready to receive any communication he may be pleased to make.

#### S. RES. 204

Resolved, That the Secretary inform the House of Representatives that a quorum of the Senate is assembled and that the Senate is ready to proceed to business.

#### CONSENT CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. This is Consent Calendar day. The Clerk will call the first bill on the Consent Calendar.

### DESIGNATION OF VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES

The Clerk called the bill (H.R. 150) to provide for the designation of certain Veterans' Administration facilities.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, this legislation has been before the House on previous occasions, and I objected to it whenever it appeared on the Consent Calendar. In fact, in 1964 there were three separate bills, which were later combined into an overall bill providing for the naming of certain veterans' facilities. As I have explained before, I certainly do not believe there would be any reservation on the part of any Member to section 1, which would name a veterans' center in Bonham, Tex., for our late beloved Speaker Sam Rayburn, nor to naming the hospital in Bedford, Mass., for Edith Nourse Rogers, nor, indeed, to section 4, which would name a cemetery in Houston, Tex., for our former beloved colleague Albert Thomas.

If the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs [Mr. TEAGUE] were to present the House with separate bills or simply remove from this bill section 3 which would name a veteran's hospital at Jackson, Miss., for the late Congressman John Rankin, we could proceed with this very expeditiously.
Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker,

will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RYAN. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker,

Mr. Rankin was in this Congress for 32 years. He was the first chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee. He was the author of more favorable legislation to veterans than any other Member of this Congress who ever existed.

Mr. Speaker, there has not been one objection from the State of Mississippi, from any individual, or any organization; while there have been requests for this action

For that reason, Mr. Speaker, I see no reason to separate the provisions of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, section 1 of this bill would name the Veterans' Administration center-hospital and domiciliary-at Bonham, Tex., for the late Sam Rayburn, who served as Speaker of the House of Representatives longer than any other Member of the House in the history of the United States.

Prior to Mr. Rayburn's election as Speaker on September 16, 1940, Mr. Rayburn had served as majority leader in the 75th and 76th Congresses and as minority leader in the 80th and 83d Congresses.

During his long period of service which began on March 4, 1913, until his death on November 16, 1961, Speaker Rayburn had always shown a keen interest in the affiairs and general welfare of the veterans of this Nation. The hospital and domiciliary at Bonham had a special place in his heart and it is indeed appropriate that this installation be named in honor of this great American.

Congresswoman Edith Nourse Rogers was elected to the 69th Congress to fill the vacancy caused by the death of her husband, John Jacob Rogers, and was reelected to the 70th and succeeding Congresses and was serving at the time of her death on September 10, 1960, a pe-

riod of over 35 years.

Mrs. Rogers' first activity in the field of disabled veterans was when she served with the American Red Cross in the care of disabled soldiers in the First World War in 1917. Thereafter President Harding appointed her a special representative in 1922; President Coolidge continued her appointment in 1923.

She served on the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, or its predecessor committee, the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation, from the time of her election to Congress until her death and was chairman of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs in the 80th and 83d Congresses.

While Mrs. Rogers was interested in all of the affairs of veterans, she is mainly identified in the public mind with her special concern for paraplegics as evidenced by the two laws in which she was keenly interested; namely, housing for paraplegic veterans, and the so-called automobiles for amputees.

No hospital during her service received more attention from her than the one at Bedford, Mass., though she was keenly interested in the entire medical program. It seems indeed appropriate to the committee that the hospital at Bedford be named in her honor as section 2 provides.

Section 3 of the bill would name the 500-bed Veterans' Administration hospital at Jackson, Miss., in honor of the late John Elliott Rankin, who was chairman of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, House of Representatives, or its predecessor committee, the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation, for nearly a quarter of a century.

Mr. Rankin was elected to the 67th Congress and 15 succeeding Congresses, thus serving as a Member of the Congress from March 4, 1921, to January 3, 1953. He succeeded the first chairman of the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation, Royal C. Johnson, in whose honor the hospital at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., was named by Public Law 93 of the 79th Congress.

While it is not the general practice of the Veterans' Administration to name its hospitals for individuals, the exception noted above is pertinent and a precedent. The same may be cited for the Veterans' Administration hospital at Montrose, N.Y., which is named for the late President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, pursuant to Public Law 189 of the 79th Con-Press

Mr. Rankin gave long, devoted, and dedicated service to his country and no subject was closer to his heart than the veterans of this Nation. He was the author of literally hundreds of bills affecting the welfare and well-being of our veterans. It seems to the committee it is indeed understandable and quite appropriate that the Veterans' Administration hospital nearest to his home of Tupelo should be named in his honor.

Section 4 of the bill would name the Veterans' Administration cemetery in Houston, Tex., as the "Albert Thomas Veterans' Memorial Cemetery." The late Honorable Albert Thomas served with distinction in the Congress for many years and, at the time of his death, was chairman of the Independent Offices Appropriations Subcommittee which has jurisdiction over the appropriation for the operations of the Veterans' Administration. Congressman Thomas had a keen insight into the problems of the veterans of this country and displayed a most sympathetic interest in seeing that adequate funds were made available for all phases of the veterans program. This was particularly true in the hospital program in which the distinguished subcommittee chairman had shown a deep and abiding interest. It seems to the committee that it is only fair and equitable that, in view of his long and distinguished service to the veterans of this country, the Veterans' Administration cemetery in his home city of Houston should be named in his honor.

There would be no additional expense to the Treasury as the result of enactment of this legislation.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, I do not believe it is in the interest of justice to name this hospital facility which is intended to serve all veterans regardless of race, creed, or color, for one who was so totally committed to the segregationist way of life. It would be a travesty.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am constrained to object.

The SPEAKER. Objection is heard.

#### LAND TRANSFER TO LEAVEN-WORTH, KANS.

The Clerk called the bill (H.R 4892) to provide for the conveyance of certain real property of the United States to the city of Leavenworth, Kans.

There being no objection, the Clerk read the bill, as follows:

HR 4892

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs shall convey to the city of Leavenworth, Kansas, with-out monetary consideration therefor, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property described in section 2 of this Act, which real property was ceded to the United States by the city of Leavenworth, Kansas, in 1884, without cost to United States, and has been declared excess to the needs of the Veterans' Administration.

SEC. 2. The real property referred to in the first section of this Act comprises a portion of the property known as the Wadsworth Veterans' Administration Center in the county of Leavenworth, Kansas, and is more particularly described as follows:

A tract of land in the southwest quarter of section 7, township 9S, range 23E, county of Leavenworth, Kansas, bounded on the west by United States Highway Numbered 73, on the south by Kansas State Highway Numbered 5, on the east by the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company right-of-way, and on the north by the main entrance to the Veterans' Administration Center, Wadsworth, Kansas; containing 10.44 acres, more or less.

With the following committee amendments:

On page 1, lines 9 and 10, strike ", and has been declared excess to the needs of the Veterans' Administration".

On page 2, after line 13, back to the margin, add as a final sentence to section 2:

"The exact legal description of the land to be conveyed shall be determined by the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs, and in the event a survey is required in order to make such determination, the city of Leavenworth, Kansas, shall bear the expense thereof.'

On page 2 add this new section 3: "SEC. 3. Any deed of conveyance made

pursuant to this Act shall-

(a) provide that the land conveyed shall be used by the city of Leavenworth, Kansas, in a manner that will not, in the judgment of the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs, or his designate, interfere with the care and treatment of patients in the Veterans Administration Center, Wadsworth, Kansas;

(b) contain such additional terms, conditions, reservations, easements and restrictions as may be determined by the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to be necessary to protect the interests of the United States;

"(c) provide that if the city of Leaven-worth, Kansas, violates any provisions of the deed of conveyance or alienates or attempts to alienate all or any part of the parcel so conveyed, title thereto shall revert to the United States; and that a determination of the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs of any such violation or alienation or attempted alienation shall be final and conclusive; and

'(d) provide that in the event of such reversion, all improvements shall vest in the United States without payment of compensation therefor."

The committee amendments were

agreed to.

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this bill directs the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to convey to the city of Leavenworth, Kans., without mone-tary consideration, 10.44 acres of land now a part of the Veterans' Administration Center at Wadsworth, Kans.

The land in question is a portion of the 640-acre tract donated by the city

of Leavenworth to a predecessor agency of the Veterans' Administration. The land will be used for park purposes.

In order to protect the interests of the Government the bill has been amended in the form suggested by the Veterans' Administration, and as amended, the Veterans' Administration has no objection to its favorable consideration.

There would be no additional appropriation required as a result of the en-

actment of this legislation.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### LAND TRANSFER TO ALLEN PARK, MICH.

The Clerk called the bill (H.R. 8364) to amend the joint resolution of March 24, 1937, to provide for the termination of the interest of the United States in certain real property in Allen Park, Mich.

There being no objection, the Clerk read the bill, as follows:

#### H.R. 8364

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the joint resolution of March 24, 1937 (50 Stat. 54), is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

"SEC. 2. (a) Subject to the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs, upon determining that approximately one acre out of said triangular tract is no longer to be used for the purpose and on the condition for which the same was donated to the United States, is authorized to execute a written declaration describing such one acre and reciting the termination of the use of such one acre for the aforesaid purpose; and upon the delivery of such declaration to the heirs of the grantors who have expressed a desire to give said one acre to the city of Allen Park, for a fire station site, said declaration shall be conclusive evidence of the fact of termination of such use as to said one acre.

"(b) The exact legal description of said one acre (located at the corner of Outer Drive and Snow Road) shall be determined by the Administrator. The Administrator shall not execute and deliver the declaration authorized in subsection (a) of this section until said heirs shall have, at their own expense, caused the property to be surveyed and made arrangements, satisfactory to the Administrator, for the erection of a boundary line fence, nor until said heirs shall have executed and delivered to the United States a written instrument, in form satisfactory to the Attorney General, acknowledging that no right of reentry into the balance of said triangular tract would accrue to them solely by reason of the termination of the use of said one acre thereof. The execution and delivery of said declaration shall be subject to such additional terms and conditions as the Administrator shall deem appropriate to protect the interests of the United States."

With the following committee amendments:

On page 1, line 10, and page 2, lines 1-3, "written declaration describing such one acre and reciting the termination use of such one acre for the aforesaid purpose; and upon the delivery of such declaration to", and substitute "quitclaim deed to the heirs of the grantors conveying such one

On page 2, lines 3 and 4, after "grantors", strike ", who have" and substitute "having".
On page 2, beginning at line 5, after the word "site", strike the comma and substitute

a period, and strike "said declaration shall be conclusive evidence of the fact of termination of such use as to said one acre.' substitute "Such quitclaim deed shall also contain covenants by said heirs that the one acre parcel shall be conveyed by them to the city of Allen Park for use as a site for a fire station and shall be used in a manner that will not, in the judgment of the Administra-tor of Veterans' Affairs, or his designate, interfere with the care and treatment of patients in the nearby Veterans' Administration Hospital, Dearborn, Michigan; a condition that if it ever ceases to be so used, the title to said property shall immediately revert to the United States for the use of the Veterans' Administration; a provision that such covenants and conditions shall run with the land and be binding on the grantees, their heirs, successors, grantees and assigns; and such instrument shall further contain such additional terms and conditions as the Administrator shall deem appropriate to protect the interests of the United States."

On page 2, line 11, before "authorized", strike "declaration" and substitute "quitclaim deed".

On page 2, line 17, before "instrument", strike "written" and substitute "recordable".

On page 2, line 18, before "right of re-, strike "acknowledging that no" and substitute "releasing to the United States all of their interests in such one acre parcel and also releasing any".

On page 2, line 19, after "tract", strike "would" and substitute "which might", and also strike the words "to them solely" in

On page 2, line 20, after "use", insert "by the United States"

On page 2, line 21, after "said", strike "declaration" and substitute "instrument".

The committee amendments were agreed to.

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this bill authorizes the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to convey approximately 1 acre of the Veterans' Administration hospital reservation, Dearborn, Mich., to the heirs of Mr. and Mrs. Henry Ford. The heirs would be required, in turn, to convey such 1 acre to the city of Allen Park, Mich., for the purpose of being used as a site for a fire station.

The maintenance of the fire station on the property in question will, in the opinion of the Veterans' Administration be advantageous to the Government in that it will provide better fire protection to the hospital and its patients.

The tract in question is a part of the ground originally made available to the Government in 1936 by the late Henry Ford and his wife, Clara J. Ford, for the purpose of constructing a Veterans' Administration hospital.

The bill has been amended in the form suggested by the Veterans' Administration, and as amended, is approved by that agency.

There would be no additional appropriations required as a result of the transfer of this property.

Mr. WILLIAM D. FORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 8364, a bill to provide for the transfer of an acre of land at the Allen Park Veterans' Administration hospital to the city of Allen Park for the site of a new fire station,

Passage of this legislation will allow the city of Allen Park—one of the largest cities in my congressional districtbuild a much needed fire station which would help the city provide better fire protection for the quickly growing new subdivisions in the northwestern part of the city, as well as for the hospital and for the rapidly developing industrial section along a main expressway.

The tract of land being discussed today is a part of the ground originally made available to the Government in 1936 by the late Henry Ford and his wife, Clara J. Ford, for the purpose of constructing a Veterans' Administration hospital. Because the land was conveyed to the Government by the Fords for specific use by the Veterans' Administration pursuant to act of Congress, congressional approval is necessary to bring about its transfer to the city. The Ford heirs have indicated their complete willingness to comply with the city's request if the land in question is returned to them.

Passage of H.R. 8364—a bill which I introduced the very first day of the first session of the 90th Congress—will require no additional appropriation and as the Deputy Administrator for the Veterans' Administration has pointed out:

The erection and maintenance of the fire station on the property in question will be advantageous to the Government in that it will provide better fire protection for the hospital and its patients. Relinquishing the 1-acre parcel will not interfere with our hospital reservation.

Mr. Speaker, I urge speedy passage of this legislation and note that there is no objection from any organization involved.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# LAND TRANSFER TO MISSISSIPPI

The Clerk called the bill (H.R. 10277) authorizing the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to convey certain property to the State of Mississippi.

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, since this bill does not conform to the criteria prescribed by the objector's committee, I ask unanimous consent that it be passed over without prejudice.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. This concludes the call of the Consent Calendar.

#### GENERAL LEAVE TO EXTEND

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker. I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to extend their remarks on the bills from the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, considered today.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

# FREE WORLD SHIPPING TO NORTH VIETNAM

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. Chamberlain] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAMBERLAIN. Mr. Speaker, as Members of this House are aware, I have been deeply concerned over free world shipping to North Vietnam for some time. Since early 1965 I have repeatedly tried to focus greater attention on this problem in order to prompt the administration to achieve better cooperation from the countries involved through diplomatic efforts and tighter restrictions on our foreign aid legislation. Because I

know many of my colleagues share my concern I hasten, therefore, at the very outset of the new session to make available the most recent information provided at my request by the Department of Defense relating to the volume of shipping to North Vietnam during 1967.

A year ago-in my report appearing in the Congressional Record, volume 113, part 3, page 3555, covering free world shipping activities during 1966-I was encouraged by the fact that some progress has been made in reducing the level of this traffic. I regret to report today that during 1967 this progress did not continue. During 1967 there were 78 free world ship arrivals in North Vietnam or four more than in 1966. Instead of the situation getting better, it has become worse. In a war requiring the commitment of over 500,000 of our servicemen, this to me is intolerable. Such aid and comfort to the enemy should not be permitted to continue.

Last year free-world-flag vessels constituted approximately 20 percent of all merchant ship arrivals in North Vietnam and represented a total cargo capacity of more than a half million tons. Despite the attempts of the State Department to depreciate this trade I am informed that during many months of 1967 these ships were suspected of carrying strategic goods. Included among these vessels was a tanker, the Taipieng, sailing under the British flag. Furthermore, most of these free world vessels, I am advised, in recent months were chartered by Communist governments to deliver obviously muchneeded supplies to North Vietnam. The hiring of free world vessels, just as obviously, serves to relieve the strains and pressures on other transportation facilities available to the North Vietnamese. Mr. Speaker, I would like to include a chart indicating the number of arrivals. by month and by flag of registry, of free world ships sailing to North Vietnam during 1967 and 1966:

FREE WORLD SHIPS ARRIVING NORTH VIETNAM

Month	United Kingdom (British)	Cyprus	Malta	Italy	Lebanon	Greece	Total	Month	United Kingdom (British)	Cyprus	Malta	Italy	Lebanon	Greece	Total
1967	N DU TO	coformus	apit me	The Day on				1966	The last	A TELL		5, 10		71.5	100
January February March April May June July September October November December	6 3 3 4 7 9 5 4 6 6 5 9		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				6 5 3 5 9 11 6 7 6 5	January February March April May June July August September October November December	13 11 5 4 4 1 1 1 2	1 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1	1 1 1 1			3 1 1 1 1	18 12 6 7 6 5 1 3 4 2 6
Total	67	5	3	2	1		78	Total	50	12	4	18	-100	7	74

As this chart indicates, 67 of the 78 free world arrivals during 1967 flew the British flag. There has been much discussion over this particular trade but unfortunately very little action. The British Government maintains that these vessels are owned by Hong Kong concerns and that London presently has no effective means of control over their movements because of the provisions of British maritime law. However, for whatever the reasons, the fact still remains that the British are in effect renting their flag for

the benefit of a Communist regime whose policy of terror and aggression has already cost the lives of over 16,000 American soldiers dedicated to defending the free world. As a member of the U.S. delegation to the North Atlantic Assembly last fall, I took the occasion to urge our NATO Allies to reexamine their maritime statutes with a view to considering any revisions that might be needed to conform with present realities. It is my hope that the administration will make a greater effort to bring about co-

operation in this regard, for it is most appalling that during the past 4 years, that is 1964 through 1967, 810 of the 1,852 total merchant ship arrivals in North Vietnam flew the flags of free world countries, and further that 611 of these were of NATO country registry. During the same period Soviet arrivals numbered 429, as you will note from the following chart reflecting merchant shipping to North Vietnam since January 1, 1964:

MERCHANT SHIP ARRIVALS IN NORTH VIETNAM BY FLAG OF REGISTRY, JANUARY 1964 TO DECEMBER 1967

Date of arrival	Free world	U.S.S.R.	East European	Chinese	Total	Date of arrival	Free world	U.S.S.R.	East European	Chinese	Tota
1964	97		17	POVE	2 3 11/	1966	The sale	el ginin	Length to	Salvan's	30, 100
nuary	30 32 39	5	3	8	46	January	18	9	5	16	48
bruary	32	3	3	9	47	February	12	10	1	14	37
irch	39	5	3	4	51	March	6	12	4	13	35
oril	47	1	6	5	59	April	7	11	2	11	31
IV	33	7	7	3	50	May	6	9	5	5	
ne	20	4	5	8	37	June	5	12	3	9	29
ly	30	4	3	4	41	July	1	13	3	10	25 29 27 22 26 30 32 37
gust	34	3	7	5	49	August	3	1120	THE STATE	7	22
ptember	32	1	4	6	43	September	4	7	5	10	26
tober	36	A	8	6	54	October	2	8	7	13	20
vember	34	A	5	2	45	November	6	9	3	14	30
cember	34	6	A	2	47	December	4	11	6	16	27
cember	34	0		_ 3	4/	December	•	11	- 0	10	31
Subtotal	401	47	-58	63	569	Subtotal	74	122	45	138	379
1965		LE OW		3350		1967	KOG	A STORY	4 77		N. San
uary	38	12	4	5	59	January	6	16	4	11	37
ruary	38	5	5	5	52 57	February	5	24	3	10	42
rch	34	4	3	16	57	March	3	23	4	12	42 37
II	8	6	7	13	34	April	5	18	4	10	37
/	27	8	3	13	51	May	9	18	2	10	40
θ	24	6	5	9	44	June	11	8	4	11	
2-2-1-2-30	21	7	4	8	40	July	- 5	10	2	-5	22
ust	12	7	3	15	37	August	6	12	1	4	22
tember	11	3	3	2	25	September	7	0	2		34 22 23 25 26 26 32
ober	16	A	A	11	35	October	6	13	1	6	20
ember	15	7		16	44	November	6	15	100	0	20
ember	13	10	4	14	41		10	16	1	5	20
cilibel	13	-10	-	14	41	December	10	10			32
Subtotal	257	79	51	132	519	Subtotal	78	181	29	97	385
					170 740 711	Grand total	810	429	183	430	1852

Mr. Speaker, it should not be necessary for a Member of Congress to have to continually bring the problem of free world shipping to North Vietnam to the attention of the administration. If we are to keep faith with our servicemen, more must be done to choke off the shipping being carried by vessels flying free world flags, for clearly every cargo unloaded on the docks at Haiphong makes our job that much more difficult. A year ago I said we should be doing more than just watching these ships sail-

ing into North Vietnam. Regrettably, from the standpoint of total results, that is all that has been accomplished during the past year, for we have, in fact, watched even more free world ships sail to North Vietnam. I urge again, therefore, that the administration take effective action to stop this immoral aid and comfort to the enemy. Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, I wish to include a chart reflecting the cargo capability of free world ship arrivals to North Vietnam during 1967 by month:

CARGO CAPACITY OF FREE WORLD SHIPS IN NORTH VIETNAM, 1967, BY FLAG OF REGISTRY

	British		Cyprus		Maltese		Italian		Lebanese		Total	
Month	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons	Num- ber	Dead- weight tons
January	6	48, 850	-								6	48, 850
ebruary	3	10,730	1	10,900	1	10,900					5	
March	3	10.700		46.0							3	10,700
April	4	24, 800 32, 220 57, 620 26, 436 25, 520	1	10,900 10,600 10,800							5	32, 530 10, 700 35, 700 53, 720 78, 420 26, 436 47, 020 59, 730 43, 000 42, 820 80, 820
May	7	32, 220	1	10,600	1	10,900			****		9	53,720
une	9	57,620	1	10,800			1	10,000			11	78, 420
uly	5	26, 436									5	26, 436
ugust	4	25, 520	1	10,600	1	10,900	****	********			6	47,020
eptember	6	49,730					1	10,000			7	59,730
october	6	43, 000	****								5	43, 000
lovember	5	43, 000 42, 820 70, 120	****		****		****		****	*******	5	42, 820
ecember	9	70, 120	****			******	****		1	10,700	10	80, 820
Total	67	442, 540	5	53, 800	3	32,700	2	20,000	1	10,700	78	559,740
										79.7		

#### FERTILE SEEDBED OF TRANSPLANT SURGERY

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. MacGregor] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. MacGREGOR. Mr. Speaker, on many occasions I have been extremely proud of my alma mater, the University of Minnesota.

However, at no time have I been prouder than in recent weeks with the news that heart transplant surgery had been successful in South Africa and in California. In both instances the surgeons performing the miracle of modern medicine had been students at the University of Minnesota Medical School—Dr. Christiaan N. Barnard of Cape Town, South Africa, and Dr. Norman E. Shumway of Stanford University Medical School.

Business Week, in its issue of January 6, 1968, carried an article entitled "Fertile Seedbed of Transplant Surgery," which discusses the impact of the University of Minnesota Medical School on heart transplant surgery. Among many other important facts, this article points out: "Today, over 100 Minnesota-trained surgeons hold posts in medical schools; 33 of them are either chairmen of their

departments or full professors of surgery."

Mr. Speaker, it is with a great deal of pride that I insert this article in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD today:

FERTILE SEEDBED OF TRANSPLANT SURGERY

(Note.—Under the cultivation of Dr. Owen Wangensteen, the University of Minnesota produces scholar-surgeons. And their lab work and techniques proved human heart replacement feasible.)

When his excited voice came crackling over the transatlantic phone from Cape Town, South Africa, last month, Dr. Christiaan N. Barnard commented: "I want to thank my colleagues in America, particularly Doctors Wangensteen, Lillehei, and others at the University of Minnesota. A lot of the credit on the operation must go to them."

Two days earlier, Barnard, a 44-year-old heart surgeon who studied at the University of Minnesota in Minneapolis, had pulled off one of the surgical feats of the 20th Century. Working through the night with a team at Groote Schuur Hospital, he had transplanted the first human heart.

Louis Washkansky, the patient, died 18 days after the operation of double pneumonia. But it was clear that the technique had worked and that a new era in heart

surgery was beginning.
On Tuesday of this week, Barnard and his team were back in the operating room, performing a second heart transplant. The patient this time was a 58-year-old Cape Town dentist, Dr. Philip Blaiberg. Five and a half hours later, the hospital pronounced the operation a success and reported that the patient was in "satisfactory" condition.

#### UNKNOWN

Barnard's success pointed directly to two men who have been in the background of the revolution in heart surgery: Dr. Owen H. Wangensteen, 69, who retired this summer as professor and chief of the department of surgery at Minnesota; and Dr. C. Walton Lillehei, 49, who like Barnard is a protégé of Wangensteen and is credited with starting the worldwide fraternity of open heart surgeons.

Not only Barnard was ready to try the revolutionary operation. Earlier, Dr. Norman E. Shumway of Stanford University Medical School had said he was only waiting for a patient; the technology and the technique were perfected, he said. Other surgery teams were also standing by in Philadelphia.

And at Maimonides Hospital in Brooklyn, where Dr. Adrian Kantrowitz had tried the world's second human heart transplant, another attempt appeared imminent.

#### Progress

From the public's viewpoint, it may have seemed that the state of the art in heart surgery has taken a sudden leap forward overnight. But actually the Cape Town operation can be traced to a long series of developments in surgical science over the last 15 years—many of them in the U.S., and many of them at the University of Minnesota.

These achievements—such as open heart surgery—have come in large part as the result of a pioneering, and controversial approach to surgical education. Since 1930, when Wangensteen took over the young department of surgery, Minnesota has been training "academic surgeons," a combination surgeon-teacher-researcher, for medical schools.

Today, over 100 Minnesota-trained surgeons hold posts in medical schools; 33 of them are either chairmen of their departments or full professors of surgery.

#### I. NEW APPROACH

Normally, after a period of internship, new doctors who want to become surgeons spend another two or three years as surgery residents in a hospital or medical center to attain certification by national review groups. The surgery resident gets his training working alongside surgeon-teachers in emergency rooms and in the operating room.

Wangensteen's approach broke with this tradition. As he viewed the program, surgery residents (or surgery fellows as he calls them) would be trained primarily for jobs as teachers in medical schools. He wasn't too much interested in educating surgeons for general hospital practice.

#### Degree

Under Wangensteen, the academic surgeon was required to work toward a degree—either an M.S. or a Ph. D.—in the clinical science of surgery. To qualify, he had to do original research, write a dissertation, and pick up foreign language requirements. The program took three to seven years.

First the new surgeon-to-be was oriented to the wards and to patients. But then he would go learn the "how" of surgery and perform research for a year or two in the experimental surgery labs at Minnesota. Later, he'd work at the bench in a basic science lab, such as physiology. Finally, he'd come back to the wards to learn the "when" and "why" of surgery.

Minnesota's critics scoffed at the idea. "A Minnesota passport," they called the new program; other critics described the lab work as "making mice walk up a ladder." But the new concept has survived, and few of its critics would argue with the record.

#### Scholar

"We're training a new type of surgeon today . . . a scholar, not a mechanic," Wangensteen said last month. And he credits much of the success of the program to his long-time friend, Dr. Maurice Visscher, chairman of Minnesota's physiology department.

Minnesota has not been alone in the training of surgeons, of course, Building on the influence of European surgeons, the late Dr. Alfred Blalock was carrying on the traditions of the great 19th Century surgeon, William Halstead, at Johns Hopkins University. Hopkins graduates were fanning out to start departments at Duke University and in Boston.

But at Minnesota the department was relatively new and there was no tradition to build upon. "It grew up around Wangensteen like a mushroom in the middle of the prairie," comments Dr. Bernard Zimmermann, a Wangensteen protégé now at West Virginia University Medical Center. When Minnesota's surgical M.S. and Ph. D. programs began in 1917, however, they were born into an atmosphere where research was being stressed. This emphasis came from President George E. Vincent, who later headed the Rockefeller Foundation, and university trustee Dr. William Mayo, a founder of the famous clinic at Rochester, Minn.

### II. IN BY DEFAULT

In 1919, Wangensteen stepped into this atmosphere as a new pre-med graduate. The short (5 ft. 7 in.) and eager student had grown up on a farm at Lake Park, Minn., and wanted to become a veterinarian. But his father pointed to ancestral ties to medical science. Ove Vangensteen in Norway had been a translator of Leonardo da Vinci's medical works. Gerhard Armauer Hansen, on his mother's side of the family, had discovered the bacillus of leprosy.

the bacillus of leprosy.

Wangensteen went to medical school, finished in 1922, and wanted to become a surgeon. But the only opening—which carried a \$600 yearly stipend—was in the Minnesota department of medicine. And there was one hitch: He'd have to do some work in the research lab.

#### Fascination

Reluctantly, Wangensteen began the lab regime and found it fascinating. The next year he was able to transfer to the surgery department and, in 1925, became its forth Ph. D. graduate. There was time to study a year at Mayo Clinic before the university was looking for a man to take over the surgery department.

A committee—in a four-year search—found two surgeons, one from Harvard and one from Hopkins, who journeyed to Minneapolis for interviews. But they could see no future in the drab buildings and labs at the young medical center on the banks of the Mississippi. Today Wangensteen recalls that he won the job by default.

The school financed a year's study in Berne, Switzerland, before giving the 31-year-old surgeon the reins of the department.

# Development

The Depression years brought a rough development period for the new department, as did the years of World War II. But in 1945, the school began to flourish as doctors returned from service and wanted to specialize in surgery.

While the department was developing, "The Chief," as his students still call him, came up with a solution to a high-mortality problem in surgery that nobody else was much interested in—obstruction of the bowel. Today, the Wangensteen tube is a byword in hospitals and is credited with saving thousands of lives.

Meanwhile, he did basic research work and technique development in appendicitis, intestinal cancer, and peptic ulcer. Most recently, he has come up with a controversial freezing technique as a treatment for peptic ulcer. This caused quite a stir in the medical world, though it proved somewhat limited in scope and has gone back to the lab.

#### Dynamo

The Chief has often commented: "I'm just a plumber of the alimentary canal." His protégés describe him differently. "A human dynamo," one says. "The greatest surgical teacher of the century," says another. Either way, by the late 1940s, his department was buzzing with students working in labs and turning out research papers.

The staff began to build up with such men as Dr. Clarence Dennis, a 1940 graduate who was a surgeon and pioneer in heart-lung machine design, and Richard L. Varco, a 1944 graduate, who helped pioneer open heart surgery.

As the focus of surgical education moved from Boston and Baltimore to Minneapolis, so did the interest in working inside the heart to correct a series of defects that were killing children and adults.

#### Proliferation

Surgeons needed time, and a heart that was relaxed and free of blood, to perform such surgery. But they first had to find something that would take over the heart's job of pumping blood, and the lungs' function of adding oxygen, while they by-passed the heart.

Dr. John Gibbons at Hopkins got the idea for a heart-lung machine in 1935; in 1953 he built and used one, but his patients' mortality rate was high; the machine still was not perfect. In Minnesota's labs, meanwhile, Dennis was using dogs to develop another version of the heart-lung machine. In March and April, 1951, it was used in two life-saving attempts. But both patients died.

That year, when New York's Downstate Medical Center in Brooklyn was looking for a surgeon, it chose Dennis, who has remained there as chief of surgery. (In 1955, he performed the first open heart surgery on the East Coast.)

The departure of Dennis left Wangensteen with a gap in a critical research area. Fortunately, the Chief had a bright, young 1951 graduate of the Ph.D program—C. W. Lillehei—to take over the dog lab work.

### Hard pace

Stanford's Shumway recalls Wangensteen's dedication to his students, and his knack of inspiring others. He says: "It was the old Tom Sawyer thing, He made painting the fence look like fun."

But painting the fence was grueling work. Dr. Herbert E. Warden, another Wangensteen protégé who is now at West Virginia University, recalls: "The research would go from 7 a.m. to midnight, quite frequently. And if you did finish early, you'd always go back to see how the experiment was going, and to give the dogs food and penicillin shots."

#### Results

But in this atmosphere, the Minnesota school began to record breakthroughs:

In September, 1953, Dr. F. John Lewis led a team that performed the first direct-vision surgery inside the heart. He used a technique called hypothermia—cooling the patient to cut down the flow of and need for blood.

In March, 1954, four Minnesota surgeons hooked a one-year-old patient up to his father, whose heart and lungs took over for both, while open-heart surgery was performed.

In the 11 months thereafter, the Midwest surgeons used this cross-circulation technique in 44 other cases, repairing four types of heart defects that had been untouchable.

In June, 1955, the operating room was enhanced with a new electronic marvel, a Minnesota version of a heart-lung machine. It was a direct result of dog lab research by Lillehei and Dr. Richard L. De Wall.

In August, 1957, the Minnesota doctors inserted the first completely prosthetic heart valve into a New Zealand woman.

# III. SPREADING THE WORD

The young surgeons who participated in a number of discoveries soon began to be recruited away from Minnesota. Lewis went to Northwestern University Medical Center in Chicago. De Wall is now at the Cox Heart Institute, near Dayton. Cohen has gone to the University of Manitoba at Winnepeg. Lillihei assumed a new job on Nov. 1, 1967, as professor and chairman of surgery at New York Hospital-Cornell University Medical Center. And he took along 17 members of his research-surgery team.

# Exchange

Meanwhile, largely because of Minnesota, the U.S. now can point to about 750 open heart surgery teams; another 500 have fanned out around the world-including Bar-

nard's group in Cape Town.

Barnard came to Minnesota in 1955 as an accomplished cardiologist who wanted to become a surgeon. He spent almost a year in physiology research and did a tour in the dog labs, where he began research on heart valves. Wangensteen was his adviser, but Lillehei was his primary mentor. He returned to South Africa in 1957 to begin setting up a heart surgery team and to continue his research.

The discourse between heart surgeons-the rapid exchange of technical and scientific ideas-is evident in the decade since. Shumway graduated at Minnesota in 1956 and went to Stanford to continue research work with animals. There he devised a technique by which a heart was taken out of a dog and then stitched back into the same animal and successfully restarted.

Dr. Richard L. Lower of the Medical College of Virginia at Richmond, a student of Shumway, helped in developing the technique. On his way back from a visit to Minapolis in 1966, Barnard detoured through Richmond to learn the technique from Lower; he used it in the history-making operations last month and this week.

#### Stimulus

Do these first successful heart transplantations signal a new era in surgery? "Actually," says C. W. Lillehei, "the whole last decade has been a pretty active one. Whether the pace can continue is another question, but it looks pretty favorable." He feels that the body's immunological rejection mechanism eventually will be licked, "for there are better drugs coming."

The 49-year-old Lellehei says that the Cape Town operation is a stimulus to scientists everywhere to pursue their own research work. He feels that the day is fast coming when primate hearts, perhaps even the hearts of calves, will be used on human transplantation surgery.

Wangensteen, who can trace some of his medical interest to a magazine called Breeders' Gazette, is back in an office on the fourth floor of Minnesota's new medical library. He and his wife Sarah, who is a former editor of the magazine Modern Medicine, have launched some joint projects on the

history of surgery.

Across the street from The Chief's office in the medical center, the surgery department is now directed by a non-Minnesota man, Dr. John S. Najarian, a specialist in transplant surgery. He moved to Minneapolis this year from the University of California Medical

Center in San Francisco.

In the dog laboratory downstairs, Dr. Allen Moberg, a surgery fellow, comments: "I think we're headed in the wrong direction on the transplant rejection problem. We should find way to mask the antigens of the host in advance, so that the host doesn't recognize the new tissue as foreign." Moberg's view is just the type of thinking that Wangensteen has cultivated at Minnesota—an approach that is leading to miracles of surgery.

# TIME TO END COPPER STRIKE

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Montana [Mr. BATTIN] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. BATTIN. Mr. Speaker, the time has come for decisive steps to end the copper strike which has been underway since July 15 and has damaged the economies of the Western States where copper is produced and the national economy by hundreds of millions of

Approximately 60,000 workers in the copper industry have been idle for 6 months. Years and years will be required for them to make up their losses even if the final settlement results in substantial increases in pay.

As of January 15, 1968, the 6-month anniversary of the strike, here are estimates from reliable sources of some of its economic effects in my State:

Total impact of strike on Montana's economy\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \$53, 294, 410
Per calendar day loss\_\_\_\_\_\_ \$288, 186 Wages lost to workers\_\_\_\_\_ \$25, 470, 448 Average loss per worker\_\_ \$3,478 Welfare payments made to \$5, 200 Number of workers' families on 2,350 food stamps\_ Workers who left the State of their communities in search of work\_\_\_\_\_ 1,500 Loss in taxes: Metal mines tax\_\_ \$60,000 Montana State income tax\_\_\_ \$610,000 Federal income tax\_\_\_ 83, 600, 000

One must remember that my State has a population of only 750,000, and that the losses have had an impact much greater than they would have had in States with more people.

Copper imports are coming in at the rate of \$20 million weekly, or at a rate of a billion dollars annually. For the past 2 or 3 months, the rate of imports is well over twice the corresponding rate last year.

The adverse balance of payments is one of the country's most serious problems. A series of emergency steps were taken recently by the administration to deal with it. Yet, here the copper strike is causing a steady outflow of American dollars, an outflow which will rise steadily as time goes on unless there is an end to this labor dispute. But we are told that there is no emergency which, to my mind, does not fit the facts. In addition to the other factors I have mentioned, there are signs that copper is becoming short in certain areas. There have been newspaper reports that 100 workers have been laid off in a steel company plant near Pittsburgh because of lack of the metal.

This is only the beginning. Obviously, if copper is not in short supply it soon will be and the metal is necessary for munitions manufacture. If imports are stepped up sharply to meet the growing domestic deficit, the balance of payments will be worsened still more.

Also, it seems to me that the suffering affecting the 60,000 copper workers and their families, and the businesses and communities dependent upon their purchasing power constitutes an emergency, one which should not be ignored.

As is inevitable in wage disputes, both sides accuse the other of various derelictions. I am not attempting to pass on the conflicting claims, but, to repeat, I hope the copper workers and the copper industry are not injured because of objectives and ambitions which have little to do with the welfare of the domestic copper industry.

Copper is a world metal and, under ordinary circumstances, the supply is more than the demand. The use of the metal rose sharply in 1964-66 but is down this year. There has been a business slowdown in Western Europe and in Britain and other parts of the free world. Aluminum, stainless steel, and plastics have cut into copper's market. Copper prices have been rising here and abroad because of the strike, but looking ahead, it is obvious that the product will have competition which it must meet. If the Vietnam war ended suddenly, the demand would drop sharply. New mine capacity is being built or will be built in Chile, Zambia, and Peru. These countries, together with the Congo, account for about two-fifths of the world copper output and about 70 percent of total copper exports according to the publication, World Business, for November 9, the magazine being a publication of the Chase Manhattan Bank in New York.

This has been a most unfortunate strike, with mistakes being made on both sides. Whatever the final results, they will bode ill for the industry and the men and women dependent on it.

Proposals have been made for some sort of factfinding board appointed by the President. It seems to me that this. in itself, indicates that the use of the machinery created by law to give a cooling-off period to deal with major strikes is called for.

Today marks the end of the sixth month of the copper strike and there is no sign that any progress has been made in that long period which has bank-

rupted many copper workers.

Now is the time for President Johnson to use the machinery available to his office to work with the copper industry and striking workers to right this national problem. No longer is this an issue concerning only the industry and workers. Because of the effect this conflict is having on our national economy, notably the balance-of-payments deficit, and on the future of the copper industry which can provide many jobs and millions to our gross national product, the President must act now.

I recommend that instead of appointing a factfinding board which would, of course, be subject to political pressures, President Johnson should have highplaced administration officials meet with both sides of this conflict.

Through discussion with labor and management, it is hoped the President would be able to determine what further action is needed to solve this problem. One thing that should be stressed in these talks with the involved parties is the necessity to end this strike now.

# MOMENTS OF MAGIC AND HOPE

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. ASHBROOK] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, we all

receive many cards and letters during the holiday season, but this season one card in particular struck me as especially heartwarming because the happiness of memories transcends profound personal tragedy.

The card was sent this past Christmas by Mrs. George Schuyler. The front of the card is a picture of her daughter, Philippa, standing beside the concert grand which she played throughout the world. Inside was a memorial poem by Josephine Schuyler entitled "Philippa's World."

It is important to know that Philippa Schuyler died May 9, 1967, when the helicopter in which she was a passenger crashed into Da Nang Bay. At the time of her death, and this is indicative of her character, she had delayed her departure from Vietnam by 1 day to continue her volunteer work evacuating schoolchildren from Hue to Da Nang.

Miss Schuyler died during her second trip to Vietnam. She was serving as a special correspondent from the Manchester Union Leader, in addition to performing at concerts in schools and hospitals. Her first trip was at the invitation of former Ambassador Henry Cabot Lodge.

With so much interest and publicity focused on the social dropouts and misfits in our society—the few who make so much noise—I think it is important to remember a young person such as Philippa

Here was a young woman who excelled in many diverse areas. She established a brilliant reputation in more than 70 nations during goodwill tours for the United States. She authored several books in addition to her newspaper work and also composed some of the music she played.

Philippa Schuyler is a symbol of the countless young American men and women who follow her lead in bringing hope for the future of man.

I include at this point "Philippa's World":

#### PHILIPPA'S WORLD

(In memoriam, Christmas 1967)

She was Diana—but fairer.

A muse with a passionate soul,
Though she lived amid violence and terror,
Music and lore were her goal:
She read and believed the old sages,

And collected ancient folk song
To play on all the world's stages
From the Hague to teeming Hongkong.

Her home was always Manhattan— Though they knew her also in Hue, Manila, Paris, and Cairo—

Wherever they asked her to play: She went to Berlin or to Beira, London, Luaska, or Rome, Touring to Accra or E'Ville, To Tokyo, Khartoum or Nome.

They knew her in Brussels and Boston, Majunga, Juarez and Jos. In Rio, Rabat, and Luanda, Lyons, Leon, and Laos: In Cebu, Ndola and Leo,

Singapore, Saigon and Seoul— Wherever they had a piano She played with her heart and her soul.

She traveled by jeep or cycle,
Rickshaw, copter, or jet,
To Amman, Macao or Oslo,
With never a fear or regret
To Kano, to Zurich or Dakar,
She flew in pursuit of her muse—

To Dallas, to Decca, or Davao,
To Beirut, Bonn, or to Bruges,
She brought the beauty of music
While staying on top of the news:

Whether in Louisville or Lisbon, Leyte, Kumasi or Harar, In Ibadan, Lome or Cho Lon— She was always a glamorous star.

From Chile to glacial Iceland—
From the Congo to the old Rio Grande—
She performed in eighty countries

And learned to love ev'ry land,
Bringing them moments of magic
And hope for the future of man.
—JOSEPHINE SCHUYLER.

# ONLY AT GREAT PERIL

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Ashbrook] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, the New York Daily News today provided much food for thought for those who are seeking to build bridges to the Soviet Union via the increasing of trade proposals. Its editorial, "Look Southward, NATO," briefly outlines Moscow's latest strategy in the Mediterranean in refuting the notion that Russia is mellowing. It is a paradox of our times that, in the face of the past record of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, there still persists a school of thought that holds that this greatest aggressor of recorded history will somehow change into a peaceful regime. The new Soviet policy in the Mediterranean should be, as the News points out, "a warning to the free world that it relaxes its strength and vigilance—anywhere—only at great peril."

I include the editorial in the RECORD at this point:

ns ponie.

# [From the New York Daily News, Jan. 15, 1968]

#### LOOK SOUTHWARD, NATO

While Western eyes have remained focused on the Iron Curtain and the Communist troops lurking behind it, Soviet Russia has slyly started an end run around Nato's main line of defense.

The route runs through the Mediterranean Sea and the instrument is the growing—and increasingly cocky—Soviet navy.

The Communist aim is nothing less than an effort "to alter the strategic balance by exploiting an area where we are most vulnerable.

Such is the assessment of U.S. Adm. Charles D. Griffin, commander of Nato forces in the Mediterranean and a man in a position to know.

Adm. Griffin has watched the Soviet naval presence in the Mediterranean grow from occasional ceremonial visits to a full-fiedged and permanent force numbering about 35 ships.

The largest of these is a missile-carrying cruiser. In terms of firepower, the Communist squadron looks like a bathtub flotilla beside the mighty U.S. Sixth Fleet and its two task forces built around huge attack carriers

But it gives the Kremlin another instrument with which to play at Communism's favorite pastime of fishing in troubled waters, and it would be hard to find more troubled waters than in the Mediterranean.

From the western end, where the pro-West

Kingdom of Morocco and Moscow-leaning Algeria sniff suspiciously at each other, to the eastern shores, where Arabs and Israelis clashed bloodily only months ago, the Mediterranean is aboil.

Only desperate diplomacy kept Greece and Turkey, twin outposts of the Nato line, from leaping at each other's throat over the island of Cyprus a month ago.

That crisis remains unsettled and could still end in conflict that would further weaken Nato's southern flank.

Nibbling away at the Free World has paid Communism its biggest dividends in the Middle East.

After Israel wiped up the sand dunes with various Arab armies in June, a lot of wishful thinkers proclaimed that the Soviet Union would pick up its marbles and quit the Mideast power game. That hope has proved about as vain as the "Russia is mellowing" nonsense.

Far from giving up—or mellowing—the Communists have burrowed even more deeply into the Mideast scene, rearming the Arab hotheads and encouraging their hysterical threats against Israel.

The Kremlin's comeback from the June disaster has been helped by the ability to flex its newly developed naval muscle before admiring Arab eyes.

All of which goes to show that Communism's goal of world domination will change about the time the leopard changes his spots.

Thwarted by Nato from grabbing Europe with a straight-ahead power play, the Soviet Union is poking out in new directions, its evil aims to win. It is acquiring new means to go with its new tactics.

It is a warning to the Free World that it relaxes its strength and vigilance—anywhere—only at great peril.

### FINDLEY-UDALL APPEAL TO NATO GOVERNMENTS

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. Findley] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. FINDLEY. Mr. Speaker, the President began the new year by announcing plans to curb the freedom of U.S. citizens in order to stem the growing monetary crisis. The measures would impose hardships on friends at home and abroad.

At the very same time, my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from Arizona [Mr. Udall] and I were sending an open letter to him and to the heads of the other NATO nations proposing a way to cure, not merely stem, the monetary crisis in a manner which would expand the freedom of our citizens. In fact, if our recommendations were carried out the freedom and wellbeing of all NATO citizens would be enhanced tremendously without prejudice to people elsewhere.

We proposed a convention of eminent citizens of each of the NATO nations to "seek agreement that the ultimate goal of the alliance is a federal union government serving all its members."

An essential feature of this new government—indeed the most urgent single part would be a NATO-wide reserve banking system with unified currencies.

The creation of a new level of federal government is necessarily longterm in

achievement but agreement on this as a goal would in itself inspire the needed confidence and would transform our international monetary system from a posture of uncertainty and instability into one of confidence and strength before some uncontrolled chain reaction threatens all that we prize.

No matter how it is dressed up with attractive garments like IMF special drawing rights or central bank swap agreements, the international monetary system will continue to hang by the slender thread of national self-interest until some sort of federal governmental structure brings nations together. No one can accurately forecast the durability of that thread, nor predict with certainty the circumstances or hour when it will break.

The growing instability of the international payments system must not be permitted to trigger a chain reaction like that which followed the devaluation of the British pound in 1931. Such a consequence could contribute, as it did in the thirties, to worldwide economic disorder with grave peril to peace and freedom.

Our proposal is not new. It was first introduced to the House and also the Senate by resolutions in October 1965. Together, these resolutions now have the support of about one-fifth of the entire Congress and almost all of the probable 1968 presidential candidates.

The text of the open letter follows:

#### AN OPEN LETTER TO THE 15 NATO GOVERNMENTS

The deepening international monetary crisis and the common danger that it entails causes us to take this extraordinary step of writing this open letter to you. Our purpose is to draw your attention to a proposal which, if acted upon by the nations of the North Atlantic Treaty, or even just part of them, would reduce the danger and reverse the trend to a hopeful, progressive course.

The growing instability of the interna-tional payments system must not be permitted to trigger a chain-reaction like that which followed the devaluation of the British pound in 1931. Such a consequence could contribute, as it did in the Thirties, to worldwide economic disorder with grave peril to peace and freedom.

It should be noted that current instability

has already impaired world trade.

The reluctance of many central banks to hold dollars as a reserve asset may be traced to their memory of 1931 when much of their capital was wiped out by the devaluation of the key reserve currency. The devaluation of the British pound this past November, de-spite official assurances that this would not happen, has given them no reason for complacency.

The international value of the dollar, of course, is based in part on the U.S. guarantee to convert dollars into gold as \$35 an ounce, and in part on the size and strength of the U.S. economy, its capital assets and holdings abroad. The guarantee to buy or sell gold at \$35 an ounce is certainly a very important element, and confidence in this guarantee is shaken by the continued high level of the U.S. payments deficits which causes a worsening gold-claims ratio, and by sharply rising U.S. budget deficits which spur inflation.

The United States should undertake fiscal reforms—by ending or reducing the deficits in payments—and these measures, plus temporary bolstering of its gold reserves by various western nations, may suffice to alleviate the present crisis. But experience of the Thirties is a sobering reminder that even strong currencies and countries whose fiscal affairs are in order can be seriously damaged by a major shuffling of currency values.

Because of this interdependence and the possibility that the United States will not take fiscal "medicine" in sufficient doses, we suggest that all NATO nations give them-selves added protection through the political initiative outlined in this letter.

Certainly danger signals abound. Heavy buying of gold reflects, in part at least, a fear that the United States may not have enough gold to fill all claims or that it will

devalue

In the minds of hoarders may be the devaluations of the United States in 1933, Britain, Australia and Belgium in 1949, France in 1959, and Canada in 1962, not to mention the recent devaluations of Britain, Spain, Israel, Denmark and about 20 smaller countries

Certain parallels between the years just past and those immediately preceding the stock market crash of 1929 can be seen. In both periods relative earnings and yields declined; the growth of stock market credit exceeded the growth of gross national product; private domestic debt mounted; the supply of money, bank credit and the turn-over rate of demand deposits expanded rapidly. On the other hand, the United States and the other free world nations have learned much from the Great Depression, and we do not see the accumulation of inventories, the margin buying or other excesses that marked that earlier period. We can take heart from these more favorable signsnot so much as to let us dismiss any prospect of serious trouble ahead.

We should recall that, even in 1931-32, when electronic communication was still in its infancy, monetary panic spread with great speed. In just 18 months a run on the Austrian schilling spread to the German mark, then to the British pound and to the dollar. The United States suspended all bank payments and in a short time was required to redeem in gold about 80 percent of its short-

term foreign claims.

In panic conditions, neither individuals nor governments can be counted on to act with enlightenment, good faith or good will. Self-preservation is the first law of the jungle, and this is no less true of the international monetary "jungle."

Furthermore, while postwar arrangements like the International Monetary Fund and central bank swap agreements have been helpful, they did not prevent the most recent instability. Proposals for strengthening the IMF—debated for many years—recently culminated in the plan for Special Drawing Rights. At best-if and when they come into being—these reserves are a stop-gap measure available only if the financially-healthy nations approve. They leave untouched the basic weakness in international monetary arrangements.

That weakness is the absence of a government for the whole with authority to regulate

the system.

the IMF were a government able to make, enforce and adjudicate laws governing the relations of people using its money— "paper gold" or otherwise—such people would doubtlessly gain faith in the money as they came to trust their new government.

But the IMF is, of course, not a govern-ment, and therefore cannot be expected, in itself, to build faith in any paper money it may issue. Whatever faith develops will instead reflect faith in the national monetary policies of the dominant members.

The 13 original U.S. states banished interstate monetary panic by establishing a government for the whole with a unified cur-rency-banking system. Otherwise we would doubtless today be reading about payments problems experienced within U.S. borders.

An examination of U.S. Federal Reserve Districts shows how serious this could be. Many of them at times experience large "payments deficits." If any of them had the authority to devalue its "money" in terms of gold in order to bolster its reserves and did so-an economic crisis comparable to the Great Depression could ensue, as waning faith in their money spread from district to district and discouraged investment and trading.

The catastrophic international monetary collapse of the Thirties undoubtedly would have been averted if just eight of the highlydeveloped nations had been joined together under a government for the whole with a unified currency and central bank. These nations, now all members of NATO, are: United States, Britain, France, Italy, Germany, Canada, Belgium and the Netherlands.

A recent study shows these nations to-gether have about \$31 billion in gold—more than enough to cover all the claims held beyond their combined territory. But several are in trouble because of the way the gold is presently distributed. Britain has only a fourth as much as it needs to meet short term creditors. The United States-now the principal reserve-currency nation—has less than half enough, while Germany has 11 times as much as it needs.

Much of the debt exists within the eightnation group. For example, of about \$26 billion in claims held against the dollar, \$12 billion-46 percent-is owed to creditors in the other seven nations. If all eight were under a central bank, this "payments problem" would become but internal record-keeping as today within the U.S. Federal Reserve System. Their external debt would then be less than their gold supply. Gold stocks would be more than ample for liquidity needs, and a gold panic would be simply out of the question.

No matter how it is dressed up with attractive garments like IMF Special Drawing Rights, or central bank swap agreements, the international monetary system will continue to hang by the slender thread of national self-interest until some sort of federal governmental structure brings nations together. No one can accurately forecast the durability of that thread, nor predict with certainty the circumstances or hour when it will break.

Even a hopeful step toward the establishment of such a federal structure would in itself ease the worry and nervousness that now plagues international monetary affairs. It might retard or even halt the movement toward devaluation of currencies, and European demands for U.S. gold.

A proposal is now before the U.S. Congress which contemplates such a promising, forward step and which, we believe, would in-

spire the needed confidence.

Known as the Atlantic Union Resolution, H. Con. Res. 232 and 237, it would establish an 18-member delegation of eminent citizens authorized to convene with similar groups from other NATO nations. Purpose of the convention would be to seek agreement that the goal of the alliance is a federal union government serving all its members. An essential feature of this new government-indeed the most urgent single part-would be a NATO-wide reserve banking system with unified currencies.

The resolution proposes that Former Presidents Eisenhower and Truman serve as co-chairmen of the U.S. delegation and asks that the convention seek agreement on a timetable and interim institutions for achieving the federal goal.

The proposal has received prominent sup-port in all NATO nations and in the United States has such strong bipartisan support that it needs only presidential encouragement to get moving. It is endorsed by more than 20 percent of the U.S. Congress. As cosponsors of this proposal, we want you to know about the support building in our Con-

gress for such a convention.

The political initiative that the times de-

mand need not take this precise form, of course, and we offer to cooperate in any promising alternative. But we know of no other proposal as ideally suited to meet today's challenges in military, economic and political affairs—as well as in the more restricted but crucial field of monetary polley. It provides a way to act promptly in order to marshal the resources of the free-world community to all of its pressing common problems.

The step it anticipates—the creation of a new level of federal government—is a giant one. But, to us, it is neither unrealistic nor unattainable. A civilization that can photograph planets close-up, pack enough explosive power aboard a single rocket to destroy an entire major nation, and computerize complex industries surely can create a system of government through which the common problems of people throughout the North Atlantic Community—like monetary crises—can be resolved.

We are writing this open letter on the first day of the New Year expressing the hope that you will use your good offices and great prestige to help transform our international monetary system, by the political initiative we have outlined, from a posture of uncertainty and instability into one of confidence and strength before some uncontrolled chain-reaction threatens all that we prize.

Paul Findley,
Member of Congress.
Morris K. Udall,
Member of Congress.

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington. D.C.

(Note to editors: This open letter has been directed to the governments of the following countries: Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States.)

# THE SITUATION IN POLAND AND THE WORLD

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. Derwinski] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. DERWINSKI. Mr. Speaker, in his annual New Year's speech on January 7, 1968, addressed to members of the Polish Government in Exile, the Council of the Republic of Poland, and representatives of Polish organizations and the Polish community in Great Britain, His Excellency August Zaleski, President of the Republic of Poland, gave a very effective and penetrating commentary on the situation in Poland and the world. His message follows:

New Year's Speech by the President of the Republic of Poland, London, January 7, 1968

(Note.—His Excellency August Zaleski, President of the Republic of Poland, addressed members of the Polish Government in Exile, the Council of the Republic of Poland, and representatives of Polish organizations and of the Polish community in Great Britain on January 7th 1968, as follows:)

This year is the fiftieth since Poland recovered her independence after more than a century of subjection. True, this period of Polish independence lasted barely twenty years—a very short one in Poland's thousandyear-long history. However, considering all that the Polish nation accomplished during this short time despite the soaring difficulties to be surmounted, we Poles can rightly be proud of our achievements.

When, on 1st December 1918, Great Britain was the first to recognize Poland's restored independence and Joseph Pilsudski as head of the Polish Republic, Poland had no established frontiers, virtually no Administration, and the Polish Legions were her only armed forces.

Yet, within the space of two years, the Polish nation managed to create such a strong State that it succeeded in repelling yet another Russian invasion and incidentally defended Europe against a fresh attack on the part of Russian imperialism.

This imperialism persists to this day. Whichever the system that prevails in Russia, the prime task of Russian policy is expansion in every direction. It is only the pretext applied in justification of this imperialism which undergoes change. The successive pretexts were the collection of alleged Russian lands, the dissemination of the Russian Orthodox faith, and the unification of the Slavs under Russian rule. The current pretext is the defence of the working masses by the introduction of the Communist system.

But Russia always conducts a cautious policy in order to run the least risk of losses. At present, she is content to stir up conflicts wherever they can harm the democratic countries and the United States in particular as the only country powerful enough to wage war against Russia with a great likelihood of victory.

The war in Vietnam drags on only because the Viet Cong is armed by Russia and kept supplied with ammunition by her. It is clear that Russia's ultimate object is to extend the Communist system and her influences as far as possible to the south, including Australia.

Russia conducts a similar policy in Europe. She has succeeded in subjecting to her influences nine formerly free countries with an aggregate population of a hundred million souls. Unfortunately, Poland is one of these countries.

In this tragic state of affairs, it is some consolation that the people of Poland have submitted neither to Communization nor to Russification. On the contrary, the attitude of the Polish nation in the homeland towards Russia is the same as during the Tsarist occupation, and the Poles live in the hope that a time must come when the world realizes that lasting peace can arise only on the basis of freedom and justice—never on that of force and imperialism.

Hence, those Poles fortunate enough to enjoy the hospitality of countries with a genuine democratic structure should make it their prime task to propagate the truth that a hundred million Europeans are being gravely wronged and that this wrong indirectly affects the nations of all democratic countries obliged to live in constant uncertainty whether Russian imperialism will bring about more wars over and above those now waged in Vietnam, the Middle East and, on a smaller scale, in many other parts of the world.

Apart from wishes for personal happiness, we must wish one another that the present state of affairs end as soon as possible and that the ideals of freedom and justice will prevail in relations between countries; we must labour for the attainment of these ideals and entreat the Almighty that this come to be.

Mr. Speaker, let us not forget that there are many areas of the world, like Poland, where the cause of liberty has yet to prevail and that we must not relax our vigilance or abandon our struggle until that cause has prevailed and freedom is restored to all captive nations of communism. I join President Zaleski in

the hope that the present state of affairs end as soon as possible and that the ideals of freedom and justice will prevail in relations between countries.

# SPECULATION OVER DEVELOP-MENTS IN GREECE

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. Derwinski] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. DERWINSKI. Mr. Speaker, since Congress adjourned on December 15 a great deal of speculation has taken place over the developments in Greece. One basic fact that has emerged is the lack of opposition within the country to the Greek Government. I insert into the Record as a continuation of my remarks three interesting independent logical editorial commentaries, which I believe effectively interpret the Greek situation:

[A guest editorial from the National Review]

#### COUP-COUP

If ever there was racialism, it is in the liberal insistence that excuses must be made for black nations on the implied ground that they have only just come down from the trees. When the colonels in Ghana or Nigeria throw corrupt and incompetent pols into jall, moderate men of good will start talking with cautious approval of the need to clean the Augean stables and such. When the Greek military do the same, liberals get all hoitytoity about the fascist betrayal of the nation that bequeathed democracy to the world [disregarding the racist slave-state that was Periclean Athens and also the fact that the present lot are mostly Albanians].

If recent Greek democracy was so hot, why does Karamanlis, universally agreed to be the best Greek P. M. since the war, hang out in Paris? Barry Goldwater doesn't live in Buenos Aires. Above all, why did the people not rise to support Constantine's countercoup? The king failed not for lack of planning but for lack of willing martyrs for the constitutional monarchy. Of course, the junta shows the hamfisted censorship typical of military men confronted with the printed word. The detention of some comparatively reputable people was bad, but has now been much alleviated. The junta is going thru the respectable motions of working out a constitution and holding a referendum thereon. And there is nothing wrong with their aims of cutting down red tape and corruption in government, the Papadopoulos' specifics seem to be confined to headmasterly pep talks about improving the moral tone.

The truth is that the junta is no worse than the regimes—civil or military—of most politically underdeveloped countries. It is a great deal better for Greece and the west than either a communist regime or a civil war. The wish to see Greece governed by something a little classier is not confined to liberals. But political realities in Greece, as elsewhere, tend to lack that Periclean purity.

[From the Aurora (III.) Beacon-News, Dec. 27, 1967]

DUMITRU DANIELOPOL COMMENTS: CONSTAN-TINE FAILED BECAUSE PEOPLE WEREN'T IN-TERESTED

Washington.—King Constantine's bid to overthrow the military government apparently failed because the Greek people simply weren't interested.

Despite the fact that the Athens regime

has been the whipping boy of self-declared "defenders of democracy" throughout the West, the Greeks themselves seem reasonably satisfied. The military regime has imposed some harsh restrictions and has made some foolish mistakes, but the mood I found in Athens last summer apparently persists. There is stability; there is a promise of political reforms. The Greeks are willing to give the colonels a chance.

Obviously the king must have believed he might succeed otherwise he would not have risked his throne, the future of the dynasty and accepted the responsibility for a possible civil war.

Yet his call to arms was virtually ignored. Some say Constantine and his advisers

over-estimated his popularity. Maybe.
It's more likely that they underestimated the faith of the people in this government, or perhaps more accurately—the lack of faith in any return to the parliamentary rule before sweeping constitutional reforms are

The Greeks are volatile people. It's not conceivable that the government could have scored such a rapid, bloodless and definitive success unless it had the support of the majority. There were no uprisings or skirmishes. Even in the small hamlets and villages where royalty is still held in awe, the king's appeal fell on deaf ears.

Americans, for good reasons, recoil from any kind of dictatorship. In a land with our traditions of free elections and parliamentary government, blessed with educated balanced leadership, it is hard to imagine any need for "strong man" rule.

for "strong man" rule.

In South Vietnam, in the midst of war and guerrilla terror, we have pushed the South Vietnamese to the polls five times in the last two years, often at the risk of their lives, to create at least the framework of parliamentary democracy.

mentary democracy.

But what if such a framework becomes

eroded?

Even in politically mature and sophisticated France, democratic processes have been sidetracked for a generation.

And they failed in Greece, not because

And they falled in Greece, not because democracy won't work, but because rival political forces placed ambition ahead of responsibility.

For four years before the April coup, governments in Athens were toppled at the tip of a hat. Corruption was rampant and the Communists were feeding on the growing public disillusionment.

The people had the jitters when I visited Greece in 1966. They remembered the 5-year fight against Communist guerrillas. They did not want another similar experience.

Former Premier Constantin Caramanlis, who went into voluntary exile in 1963, recently cited two causes for the military takeover:

1. Ambitious officers.

2. Bankruptcy of democracy.

"No one can overthrow a healthy regime," he said. "Tyranny is the inescapable consequence of the degeneration of democracy.

"In Greece, democracy was stabbed under a free system, and the colonels only gave it the coup de grace."

Democracy cannot live in Greece without deep reforms, he said.

As prime minister in 1963, he presented parliament a draft for a new constitution. The opposing political parties combined to

Caramanlis warned early this month that the overthrow of the military government would not solve anything if it meant only a

return to the same political sins.
"This would bring Greece from one anomaly into another," he said.

Apparently the Greek people agreed.

The military government is preparing a new constitution. It is said to contain sweeping reforms. The officers have also promised to restore parliamentary democracy.

These colonels and generals are tough men, but Greece apparently needs strong medicine. The United States may still be able to play a key role in encouraging these leaders to rebuild democracy in the land where it was born.

For eight months Washington has dragged its feet. Time was lost, but it's still not too late. War over Cyprus was avoided because Washington could talk to Athens. NATO was saved.

Now it's time to save Greece.

[From the Hearst newspapers, Dec. 17, 1967]
ROYAL MISTAKE IN GREECE

(By William Randolph Hearst, Jr.)

The dramatic political showdown in Greece this week pretty well substantiates what I felt after visiting there only last month with other members of the Hearst Task Force.

My conclusion then was that the military junta which seized control of the Greek government last April 21 was no bunch of wildeyed, power-hungry revolutionaries, that they were neither in the musical comedy tradition—nor the Fascist mold.

Instead, the men we met seemed to be sound, capable, sincere people whose motivation simply was to establish an anti-Communist stability to their long-troubled country.

In less than eight months, despite some early and mostly silly mistakes, the junta appeared to have the domestic situation well in hand. They now have proven their graps by the quick effectiveness with which they nipped the counter revolution attempted by King Constantine. And they proved their generosity by opening the door for Constantine's return from self-imposed exile.

It is important to note that the King's power grab represented no general public uprising and even lacked the military support in depth he counted on. What he did was the act of a somewhat naive, misguided young man who apparently did not know when he was well off.

Throughout their tenure, the junta members had treated the King with the utmost solicitude. They had attempted in all reasonable ways to enlist his full support for their regime and its aims. Constantine's picture, I recall, hung in a place of honor in each of their offices.

Despite all their efforts, despite the fact that they had restored comparative law and order to a land earlier threatened with imminent civil war, the King chose deliberately to reopen that bloody threat.

The irony is that his own planned takeover by military force was done in the name of democracy and because he said he feared what he called a totalitarian regime. It is ironic because the ultimate aim of the junta is precisely to make possible the establishment of a Greek democracy strong enough to survive the looming menace of Communist totalitarianism.

Now I know there are many people who will assail me for my defense of the junta as opposed to a handsome and idealistic young King. But the political problem in Greece is not simple black and white. Nor is it a case of Fascism vs. Communism or Fascism vs. Democracy.

The basic problem is that if a working, new, anti-Communist democracy is to come

The basic problem is that if a working, new, anti-Communist democracy is to come to the land which gave birth to the name, it will have to come through the efforts of men who are not impractical visionaries but men who understand highly sophisticated political realities.

The fact that the junta seized power in the way it did last April is naturally upsetting to all lovers of democratic practices as we know them. And there is a special horror involved in such takeovers, so far as most of our so-called liberals are concerned, when the takeover is done by men in military uniforms.

But such men can be patriots too, and sometimes they have to take radical measures to meet radical challenges. This is what the junta headed by Col. George Papadopoulos and Brig. Gen. Stylianos Patakos did, as they explained to me in Greece last month. They convinced me they acted to preserve the elements of democracy which were in dire danger of extinction in their homeland.

And their case was based on facts. Just before their April coup d'etat, Greece was split into two major and violently opposing factions—the conservative National Radical Union and the leftist Center Union. A special election had been ordered for May, but no matter who won, civil strife would have been inevitable and the chances for democracy ruined.

I am satisfied that this is utterly true. The same thing was told to members of the Task Force and myself on our recent world tour by diplomats, businessmen and informed leaders wherever we went. Victory for either party in the Greek elections would have meant a bloodbath for the whole country.

Thus the takeover by the junta came just in time to prevent a national tragedy. It was itself mercifully without bloodshed. And it gave Greece a far better government than it could have gotten in any other immediately practical way.

Like most other Americans, I'd like to see the famous "cradle of democracy" get full constitutional guarantees tomorrow. But the first order of business is to establish social order and public confidence. When this has been more fully accomplished, the junta promises, normal democratic processes will be restored—and I believe it.

King Constantine, by his incredibly bungling act, merely rocked a boat which was and is sailing at last toward a long-sought safe harbor. It is another big credit to the junta and its own stability that it was able to stop him, and able to do so without the tragedy of large scale fighting.

I shudder to think what might have happened if the King had succeeded. After the inevitable bloodshed, the extreme right-wing military group whose leaders are notoriously corrupt would have been in charge. Such a group, in turn, would have greatly strengthened public support for the group's natural enemies—the Communists. Neither stability nor democracy would have a chance.

The result of a victory for the King, in a word, would have been a return to the country's former political chaos. And chaos it sure has been, for many years. I recall a businessman in Greece telling me that in the last 50 years he has lived through a total of 19 revolutions or coups d'etat there.

So modern Greece is still far from a politically mature society. Furthermore it bears no similarity to the society of free men who coined the word democracy over 2,000 years ago. Nor does democracy to the people of today's Greece necessarily mean all we would like it to mean.

The important thing is that the majority of the people in that country seem satisfied that the junta is moving in the right direction.

We think it is, too.

Its members have and will make mistakes, but I doubt they ever will make so serious and potentially disastrous a mistake as that of King Constantine.

Meanwhile these men have not yet had time to prove themselves fully.

They must be given that chance, both in fairness and out of consideration of the alternative.

Mr. Speaker, the State Department has acted with proper restraint in its reaction to the situation in Greece in that it has not been stampeded into supporting the international denunciation of the Greek Governent. It is my hope that the Department will logically cooperate with the Government of Greece, a faithful NATO ally. We must recognize that the Greek Government does have the substantial support of the Greek people and that it is not a threat to world peace. Its strategic location in the Mediterranean is of tremendous value to the free world. Since much will continue to be said over the situation in Greece, Mr. Speaker, I will do all I can to direct the attention of the Members to the conditions as they actually exist.

#### THE WYOMING STATE TRIBUNE LOOKS AT THE DRAFT

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Wyoming [Mr. Harrison] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. HARRISON. Mr. Speaker, the recent controversy concerning the reclassification of certain draft-age people drew comment recently from the perceptive editor of the Cheyenne Wyoming State Tribune, in Cheyenne, Wyo.

Writing in the December 28, 1967, issue, Editor James M. Flinchum notes that the draft deferment "is not a constitutional right but an administrative grant of authority" made under the Military Selective Service Act of 1967.

Mr. Flinchum writes that deferments are sometimes granted in the national interest but "this is a legal prerogative that accrues to the draft board, not to the student." He contends that in the controversy over cancellation of deferments, "General Hershey continues to be right and his detractors, including some of the national news media who have stooped to unbelievable calumnies against this dedicated public servant, continue to be wrong."

Mr. Speaker, I insert the editorial to which I have alluded to be printed with my comments in the Congressional Record, as a contribution to the responsible discussion of this issue:

# MERE ADMINISTRATIVE GRACE

One of the chief points overlooked in the selective service controversy over cancellation of deferments is that before a deferment is granted for whatever cause, all men eligible for military service through the draft are equals.

Section 1(c) of the Military Selective Service Act of 1967 says: "The Congress further declares that in a free society the obligations and privileges of serving in the armed forces and the reserve components thereof should be shared generally, in accordance with a system of selection which is fair and just, and which is consistent with the maintenance of an effective national economy."

Thus all men who are eligible for service are obligated to serve two years in the armed forces of the nation and are classified I-A (available for service) unless temporarily deferred by a local selective service board, not to exceed one year at a time, for reasons of the national interest as determined by the selective service system including the local boards.

This deferment is not a constitutional right but an administrative grant of authority made under the law. The basic intent of the selective service act is to provide men for military service, not to defer them; the latter is merely an optional facet to the principal purpose of the draft.

Assume that we have two selective service registrants, one a student and the other a non-student. The law states that both have equal obligations to serve for two years in the military. The student has no constitutional rights to evade that service or the equivalent thereof; however he may be deferred from such service in the national interest. But this is a legal prerogative that accrues to the draft board, not to the student.

Section 6(k) of the selective service law reads: "No exception from registration, or exemption or deferment from training and service, under this title, shall continue after the cause therefor ceases to exist."

The non-student is drafted; the student is exempted, by the board, which considers that his studies are in the national interest because he is a fulltime college student and is doing satisfactory work.

Let us suppose, however, that the college student takes part in activities that include blocking passageways of public buildings on his own campus, as a means of demonstrating against the war or for any similar purpose.

The same draft board which has the authority to grant him a deferment, based on the national interest, has the similar authority to re-classify this individual if it sees fit to do so, by ordering his immediate induction into the armed services because in its judgment his deferment no longer is in the national interest.

The matter of classification is extended to the draft board, not to the courts. Those who argue that the administrative authority extended to the draft system to re-classify and order the induction of any particular selective service registrant because he has not been granted due process, ignores this basic point.

Selective service is not a part of the judicial system of this country; it does not decide rights or wrongs, it does not adjudicate disputes. It proceeds from administrative authority to induct men into the military service, with the added power to make deferments if it sees fit, in the national interest. When it no longer considers that the national interest is being served by a particular registrant's deferment, then it has similar authority to induct him.

Only when it is considered that a registrant may have violated the law by refusing or failing to report for induction as the law provides, does the action shift to the courts, but again with the initiative resting with the selective service system, which may report such an individual to a United States attorney for prosecution under the law for violation thereof.

Those who contend that General Hershey, the national selective service director, is proceeding illegally because he does not grant due process to those who may be re-classified for acts that may be considered against the national interest, ignore this distinction.

No personal right of a draftee is involved, because he is the recipient merely of administrative grace that is extended to him by an agency of the government because in its consideration, it would serve the national interest to so act—not because any particular privilege accrues to the individual because of his draft deferment.

General Hershey continues to be right, and his detractors including some of the national news media who have stooped to unbelievable calumnies against his dedicated public servant, continue to be wrong.

A PLAN TO ENCOURAGE EUROPEAN TRAVEL TO THE UNITED STATES AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO RESTRIC-TIONS ON U.S. TRAVEL

The SPEAKER. Under previous order of the House the gentleman from Wis-

consin [Mr. Reuss] is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. REUSS. Mr. Speaker, I introduce today H.R. 14607, a bill to encourage a sharp increase in European tourism in the United States as an alternative to proposed restrictions on American travel outside the Western Hemisphere.

The bill authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to make available to Europeans visiting the United States for the first time travel incentive stamps in a substantial amount, probably around \$100, valid for payments to U.S. airlines, railroads, buslines, car rental companies, and hotels and motels.

Since the stamps would represent a substantial part of the average expenditure by European tourists here-around \$500—they could attract at least an additional 500,000 visitors from Europe in 1968. With some 40 million Western Europeans sufficiently affluent to make travel plans each year, it is ludicrous that America the beautiful currently attracts only 600,000 to 700,000 European travelers a year. Moreover, a large percentage of these present European visitors stay with relatives when here, and thus, welcome though they are, do not contribute very much to our balance of payments.

# THE STAGE IS SET

A travel-stamp plan, properly and promptly promoted and advertised, should have every chance of success. The U.S. Travel Service, set up in 1961, is now a going institution, ready to capitalize on a travel-stamp plan. It has established large and successful offices in the key places of Western Europe-London, Paris, Rome, Frankfurt, Amsterdam, and Stockholm. It has successfully promoted package tours to the United States; the "visit U.S. homes" and the "visit U.S. industries" programs; and a whole series of materials and services designed to help the European visitor to the United States have a pleasant stay.

As the President has said, 1968 is the year in which we must achieve dramatic improvement in our balance of payments. Improving our tourism account offers the quickest and easiest path. But in order to have an impact on the upcoming 1968 travel season, the proposed program needs to be started now and carried out vigorously.

The proposal contained in H.R. 14607 provides an expansionist and constructive way of improving our balance of payments, as opposed to the proposed restrictionist head tax on American travelers outside the Western Hemisphere. Provision of incentives for Europeans to visit the United States could yield at least as much improvement in our balance of payments as the head tax on Americans.

# THE ASTA LETTER

The American travel industry—transportation, hotels, and other traveloriented businesses—meeting under the sponsorship of the American Society of Travel Agents in New York on Tuesday, January 9, endorsed the travel-incentive-stamp proposal, and has recommended its adoption to the administration.

I include at this point the text of ASTA's January 10 letter of recommendations to Ambassador Robert M. McKinney, Chairman of the Industry-Government Special Task Force on Tourism:

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRAVEL AGENTS, INC., January 10, 1968.

Ambassador Robert M. McKinney, Chairman, Industry-Government Special Task Force on Tourism, U.S. Treasury Department, Washington, D.C.

DEAR AMBASSADOR MCKINNEY: The American Society of Travel Agents, Inc. (ASTA) is very pleased to have the opportunity to respond to your invitation to suggest constructive steps for increasing travel and tourism to and within the United States, as an alternative to restrictions on the right of U.S. citizens to travel freely abroad. It is ASTA's view that some of the suggestions which are included in this letter will provide the basis for initiating a more vigorous program by the industry of travel and tourism, thus substantially reducing the so-called "travel gap" portion of our nation's balance of payments deficit.

The recommendations which we submit herewith are based upon an industry-wide conference at which I presided, held in New York City on Tuesday, January 9, 1968, which included officials of air carriers, steamship lines, hotels, tour operators, travel agents and the travel press, among others, all United States corporations.

The meeting was called to seek practical solutions through which we could assist the Administration in correcting the existing travel deficit without resorting to restrictive discriminatory or punitive measures.

On the basis of the meeting convened by ASTA on January 9, 1968, and other discussions held by ASTA officials, we would like to outline the following specific proposals as effective measures to accomplish our common objective:

1. In order to provide a more effective promotional campaign to induce overseas visitors to the United States, the industry representatives unanimously urged that sufficient funds be appropriated to enable the U.S. Travel Service to function productively. The present appropriation of \$3,000,000 is altogether inadequate in relation to the job that is urgently needed to be done and the amount appropriated by other countries in promoting travel and tourism from the United States.

2. We urge that the airlines and the International Air Transport Association together with the proper government agencies establish a substantially expanded concept of reduced directional fares which will encourage overseas travel to the United States.

3. We strongly urged that the Administration and the Congress give prompt and posi-tive consideration to the proposal of Congressman Henry Reuss to establish a system of incentive travel stamps to reduce the cost of visits to the United States from our friends overseas and to encourage such visits through the cooperation of the Federal Government and the travel industry. This proposal which was initially made to your committee by a memorandum of December 22, 1967, has been refined somewhat to assure that it is designed to attract first-time visitors to the United States and that it obtains the necessary government/private cooperation to make the program feasible and successful. We urge that this proposal, if proved administratively feasible, be adopted and implemented.

4. The industry conference urgently recommends that the U.S. government explore and establish machinery to assure the utilization of its counterpart fund reserves, now exceeding \$3 billion so that such inert funds may be converted for use by travelers, tourists and industry to offset the dollar outflow. In order to become most effective in conserving dollars, we recommend that a method be established so that these counterpart funds may be exchanged for the purpose of

their conversion to the currency of other countries.

5. The industry pledges its support, and, where necessary, solicits the cooperation of government to bring about a greatly intensified program of familiarization trips to the United States by foreign travel agents who are the prime generators of travel and tourism.

In addition to the foregoing recommendations which were agreed upon by the participants in the conference, ASTA advised those present that it was undertaking the following programs in order to promote travel to and within the United States:

 Mobilization of its more than 800 overseas travel agency members in a concerted campaign to promote travel to the United States from their countries.

2. Utilization of the travel-generating energy of the thousands of overseas travel agencies represented in the Universal Federation of Travel Agents Association of which ASTA is a founding member to promote travel to the United States.

3. Implementation of a program enlisting the assistance of many foreign-born United States travel agents in cooperation with carriers and the U.S. Travel Service in creating enthusiasm for Visit U.S.A. ASTA will urge that their frequent visits to their homelands be used for the purpose of addressing travel industry meetings and seminars in order to promote interest in travel to the United States.

4. Since the lack of adequate reception facilities in the United States has constituted a deterrent to foreign visitors and caused adverse comments and retarded the flow of tourist traffic, ASTA, through its local chapters will recruit foreign language students to provide interpreting services for non-group visitors to the United States on a voluntary basis.

5. The Interstate Commerce Commission should be urged to review its current procedures for processing applications for motor bus broker authority by U.S. travel agents and efforts should be made promptly to evaluate and, where appropriate, grant such operating authority thus filling an existing demand for domestic motor bus tours.

6. Prompt consideration should be given to extending tax incentives for U.S. tour promoters to invest additional funds in the development and promotion of tour programs designed to encourage European and other foreigners to travel to the United States.

7. ASTA specifically directs the attention of your committee and the Administration to the fact that it has long pointed to the disparity between the earning incentives which travel agents are offered to sell international travel and those in effect domestically. As a result of an unrealistic schedule of commissions for travel within the United States, travel agencies have been under a disability which makes promotion of domestic tourism unprofitable. Indeed, many travel agencies are required to subsidize their sale domestic tourism from their earnings on international sales. If travel agency earnings on domestic travel were at least to equal the incentives on international travel, a tremendous sales pool of some 6,000 travel agencies generating and influencing over \$3 billion per year of tourist expenditures would automatically be focused upon greater promotion of domestic tourism.

8. The domestic airlines and the Air Traffic Conference should relax and up-date the requirements which must be met to qualify domestic air tours which are sold through travel agents.

In addition to the foregoing proposals, there are other constructive suggestions which we believe could evolve from further discussions between ASTA and others in the travel and tourism industry and your committee. ASTA pledges its support to all efforts by the government and private industry promptly to undertake programs designed

to stimulate tourism to and within the United States thus making it unnecessary to adopt restrictive proposals to inhibit the right of U.S. citizens to travel abroad.

We will be happy to discuss in detail the above proposals as well as all other aspects of this program which is of such major importance to our industry and our country.

Sincerely yours,

CHARLES B. WEST, Vice President.

HOW THE PLAN WOULD WORK

Under the proposal, the cost of the \$100 worth of travel stamps—or whatever exact amount was agreed on—would be shared 50–50 by the U.S. Government and the American travel industry. A European visitor would use his travel stamps to help defray his transportation and hotel bills, and the participating U.S. business would then turn in its stamps to the U.S. Treasury for up to a 50-percent recoupment.

There already are numerous U.S. travel concessions: the \$99 unlimited travel in the United States for 30 days and \$165 for 90 days, now offered by Greyhound Corp. and Trans-Continental Bus systems: the 21 days for \$150 now offered by most of the country's feeder airlines; the \$95 for 7 days' unlimited car rental, with a tank of gas, of Avis and National Car Rental; the 15-per-cent international discount of numerous passenger railroads; and various discounts offered by hotel-motel chains. I would anticipate that these carriers. hotels and motels, would be quite eager to participate in a 50-50 tourist stamp program, since their additional business would come over their break-even point and would represent little additional cost to them-the planes would fly anyway, the rental cars are sitting in the garage, the motel room lies empty. They should be encouraged, in addition, to continue their present concessions, in order to get more than their share of the overall new business that is attracted by the \$100 travel incentive stamp.

With industry ready to bear its share, I would hope that the U.S. Government at the highest level would quickly shift gears and substitute the tourist incentive stamp program for the head tax proposal. The Europeans, official and unofficial, I have talked to would unanimously appland such a change of policy.

THE PLAN'S ADVANTAGES

Here are some advantages of the tourist incentive stamp proposal:

First. It would improve our balance of payments by at least \$250 million—500,-000 additional European tourists to the United States, with an average expenditure of \$500. This would help our balance of payments at least as much as would the difficult-to-administer head tax on Americans. To achieve the balance-of-payments savings from the head tax envisaged by the administration, would require eliminating one-half to two-thirds of U.S. travel to Europe.

Second. It would not violate the traditional American principle of freedom of travel. As President Johnson said, in noting 1967 as International Travel

Year:

I urge that we rededicate ourselves to the importance of promoting international good will through travel.

The U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized the inherent right of freedom of travel. In a 1958 decision it said:

Freedom of movement across frontiers in either direction, and inside frontiers as well, was a part of our heritage. Travel abroad may be as close to the heart of the individual as the choice of what he eats, or wears, or reads. Freedom of movement is basic in our scheme of values.

The purpose of H.R. 14607 is to improve our balance of payments by expanding world travel, rather than by restricting it.

Third. It would avoid risking retaliation and ill will by Europeans. As Morris D. Rosenberg pointed out in his article "Is Freedom of Travel a Taxing Proposition" in the Sunday, January 7, 1968, Washington Post, a head tax or other drastic U.S. restriction on U.S. tourism to Europe is likely to bring about retaliation by European governments and private persons which could cause us to lose even the little benefit for our balance of payments which we now get from European tourism to the United States currently running at 600,000 to 700,000 visitors annually. The proposed tourist incentive stamp envisaged in the bill could not possibly be offensive to Europeans. violates no commitments in the GATT, or anywhere else. Indeed, it closely resembles the "Eurail Pass" by which European countries grant substantial rail discounts on their government-owned railroads to Western Hemisphere tourists to Europe.

Fourth. It would place no net burden on the Federal budget. The initial U.S. budgetary cost of the proposal would be around \$40 million-\$25 million for the 500,000 additional tourists to be attracted by the travel stamps, and approximately \$15 million for those 300,000 first-time visitors to the United States among the Europeans who, based on past trends, would have visited the United States in 1968 in any event. But the net U.S. budgetary cost will be zero, because the \$250 million to be spent here by the additional European tourists, with the normal multiplier factor, will cause an increase of some \$750 million in our gross national product. The \$75 million of increased revenues from this enlarged GNP would more than off-set the roughly \$40 million cost of the subsidy.

The idea of domestic budgetary expenditures to improve our balance of payments has ample precedent. We have been spending \$3 million a year, for example, on the U.S. travel service. Our Export-Import Bank is another example. Still another is the controversial supersonic transport plane, for which we are currently spending several billions domestically in the hope it will have a balance-of-payments payoff after 1975. The President on January 1, 1968, called for spending \$200 million to promote U.S. exports in the future. The advantage of the proposed travel incentive stamp is that its payoff is immediate, and in a year when the eyes of the financial world are on us.

Fifth. It would improve business and reduce hard-core joblessness, without

causing inflationary pressures. The \$250million infusion of European travel spending into the American economy will fall, not upon overtaxed U.S. resources, but upon the transportation, hotel, and restaurant industry, all of which presently have much underused capacity. Indeed, the proposal would provide jobs, particularly in transportation, hotels and restaurants, for unskilled and semiskilled workers who are now the most difficult part of our unemployed manpower problem.

The text of the bill follows:

#### H.R. 14607

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States in Congress assembled, That the International Travel Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2121-2126) is amended by adding at the end thereof the

following new section:

"SEC. 8. (a) The Secretary is authorized and directed promptly to institute a program, designed to achieve an immediate improvement in the United States balance of payments, to induce more travel by residents of Europe to the United States by securing agreements from such United States travel-oriented businesses as airlines, railroads, bus lines, car rental companies, and hotels-motels, to participate in a joint government-private tourist incentive travel stamp program under which European residents visiting the United States for the first time will be issued travel stamps which may be used by them to purchase services from any of such participating businesses. Participating businesses may have travel stamps which are issued and used under the program redeemed, as provided in this section,

by the Treasury of the United Scarce.

"(b) Businesses participating in the travel stamp program under this section shall accept travel stamps at their face value in payment for services rendered.

"(c) The Secretary shall issue such regulations as he deems necessary and appropriate for the effective and efficient administration of this section, including regulations which shall specify

(1) the design of travel stamps and the cash denominations in which issued.

(2) the number and total cash value of stamps which may be issued to each European resident applying for such stamps,

"(3) the percent amount of the face value of each stamp, not to exceed 50 percent, at which such stamps accepted by participating businesses will be redeemed by the Treasury of the United States and, after consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, any requirements with respect to the redemption of such stamps that are considered to be necessary to protect the interests of the United States.

"(4) requirements for participation in the program by United States travel-oriented businesses, including those services which are covered, and

"(5) that, as a condition of participating in the program, a business may not accept travel stamps from a visiting European resident in payment for more than 20 percent of the price charged for the services rendered by such business to such resident.

"(d) The Secretary shall, with the cooperation of the Secretary of State, take such steps as are necessary to clear the operation of the travel stamp program with the foreign governments affected. The Secretary of State hall make the facilities and services of the Department of State in Europe available for use in administering the program.

"(e) The Secretary shall promote, advertise, and administer the travel incentive program so as to achieve maximum immediate impact with particular reference to the beginning of the 1968 travel season in May 1968."

SEC. 2. For the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the first section of this Act, there are authorized to be appropriated not to exceed \$25,000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1968, and not to exceed \$20,-000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30,

SEC. 3. Section 6 of the International Travel Act of 1961 is amended by inserting "except for the provisions of section 8 thereof," immediately after "Act,"

SEC. 4. This Act may be cited as the "Travel Incentive Act of 1968".

#### THE COPPER STRIKE

The SPEAKER. Under previous order of the House the gentleman from Montana [Mr. Olsen] is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. OLSEN. Mr. Speaker, in Montana and in the mining States of the West, in the copper fabricating centers of the Middle West and the eastern seaboard, more than 50,000 families are in terrible economic distress by reason of the copper strike that has continued for more than 6 months. The situation is a total collapse of collective bargaining.

As I view it, the copper companies absolutely insist that there will only be collective bargaining on a local basis. For instance, the Anaconda Co. will bargain only at the local level of Butte, Anaconda, and Great Falls cities, and then separately for other communities involved with the company.

On the other hand, the United Steel Workers insist that they will only bar-gain with the Anaconda Co. on a com-

panywide basis.

Thus there is a tremendous impasse. Mr. Speaker, children are going without shoes or clothing of sufficient protection against the extreme weather of the copper mining regions. Even Arizona, as well as Montana and Michigan, the Middle West fabricators, and the eastern seaboard, are seeing families of the copper industry in want.

Mr. Speaker, today I wrote a letter to the President and I emphasized some other factors in addition to the tremendous human factor.

I told the President that importation of copper which is resulting from this dispute is contributing to our balanceof-payments deficit of more than \$1 billion a year. In 1965 refined copper was imported at a rate of 2,500 tons a week. Last month imports had risen to 15,000 tons a week. This amounts to a drain of \$20,000,000 each week on our Nation's economy.

I have reported to the President frequently in recent weeks on the sharp rise in copper imports which is damaging to workers and domestic industry.

I told him that I hoped that action will be taken to halt the tremendous volume of copper imports now flooding the

I urged the President that the prestige of the office of the Presidency be implemented-by appointing at least four boards of inquiry with instructions to encourage negotiations and report to his office on the strike's status and the possibility and methods of settlement. I advised the President that there should be at least four boards of inquiry, one for each companywide problem. I believe the unions are asking for companywide bargaining rather than industrywide bargaining. I think everyone would be benefited by a companywide inquiry into the activities of each company which is integrated from "mine to the producer." The relationship between the integrated companies and their employees is a primary problem.

Mr. Speaker, by order heretofore granted, I append to this statement, telegrams and communications received only today from Montana on this vital subject:

BUTTE, MONT., January 13, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.

Situation going from bad to worse. Copper strike hurting all of the Nation. Please exert all efforts towards ending this strike.

A. A. LONGFELLOW.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT. January 13, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN. Washington, D.C.

Situation going from bad to worse. Copper strike hurting all of the Nation. Please exert all efforts towards ending this strike. J. M. THOMPSON, Jr.,

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 13, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN,

Washington, D.C.
Save Montana from financial crisis. Use your influence for equitable copper strike agreement.

JOSEPH TUFFNER.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 13, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN,

Washington, D.C. Save Montana from financial crisis. Use your influence for equitable copper strike agreement.

VERNON DIRE.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Is the Great Society working to eliminate poverty when thousands of people are unemployed and a nationwide copper strike is allowed to last indefinitely without any actions from Washington? Please do all in your power to correct this situation. People are leaving Montana and seeking jobs elsewhere Many of those remaining are hungry and ill clothed.

HARRINGTON'S CAFE. BILL HARRINGTON.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Please help save Butte, Anaconda and Great Falls by doing all possible for copper strike settlement.

CHARLES G. MCCARTHY.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968. Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN.

Washington, D.C .:

Save Montana from financial crisis. Use your influence for equitable copper strike agreement.

MRS. EDWARD MASSEY.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Please help save Butte, Anaconda and Great Falls by doing all possible for copper strike settlement.

ANACONDA. MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN,

Mashington, D.C.:
Each day of copper strike hurts Montana irreparably. This situation needs your immediate attention for the sake of all Montanans. Settlement must be fair and rapid.

EDWARD SMITH.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Each day of copper strike hurts Montana irreparably. This situation needs your immediate attention for the sake of all Montanans. Settlement must be fair and rapid. S. M. FITZGERALD.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Is the Great Society working to eliminate poverty when thousands of people are unemployed and a nationwide copper strike is allowed to last indefinitely without any action from Washington?

Please do all in your power to correct this situation. People are leaving Montana and seeking jobs elsewhere. Many of those remaining are hungry and ill clothed.

U. & I. CLUB, ED HORGAN.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C .:

Is the Great Society working to eliminate poverty when thousands of people are unemployed and a nationwide copper strike is allowed to last indefinitely without any actions from Washington? Please do all in your power to correct this situation. People are leaving Montana and seeking jobs elsewhere Many of those remaining are hungry and ill clothed.

HUNTERS NOVELTY SHOP, CHARLOTTE KILROY.

BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Situation going from bad to worse. Copper strike hurting all of the Nation. Please exert all efforts towards ending this strike.

WILLIAM J. BEAUSOLEIL

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 13, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.:

Each day of copper strike hurts Montana irreparably. This situation needs your immediate attention for the sake of all Montanans. Settlement must be fair and rapid.

M. Jacobson.

ANACONDA, MONT.

BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN,

Washington, D.C.:
On behalf of my forty five employees and myself I wish to urge the immediate settlement of the copper strike.

Sincerely,

GREGORY SALLESE, Manager, Albertsons Food Center. BUTTE, MONT., January 12, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN,

Washington, D.C.: Please do everything in your power to-wards settlement of copper strike.

GOLES GROCERY. JOHN GOLES.

BUTTE, MONT. January 12, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN.

Washington, D.C.:
Why don't you stop playing politics with big business and unions and play a little politics for the people who had faith in you and put you in office. Don't tell us that your hands are tied because we are tired of hearing that. We also feel that the Taft-Hartley is a change so why not make use of it before we all go broke and have to sell big business.

MARANS GROCERY, FRANCES J. MARAN.

BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN. Old House Building,

Washington, D.C.:
Strike of this duration is disasterous to business all over State. Will force many of us out of business. Please do something.

ELLIS OFFICE SUPPLY. ELLIS CUNLIFFE.

BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN, Old House Building, Washington, D.C.:

Your efforts to get copper strike in Mon-tana settled would be a big help to all concerned.

PAY N TAKE IT MARKEY. WILLIAM PECK.

BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN, Old House Building, Washington, D.C .:

Your efforts to get copper strike in Mon-tana settled would be a big help to all concerned.

THE BOARD OF TRADE, JACK CORCORAN. CHARLES BUGNI.

> BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Rep. ARNOLD OLSEN.

Washington, D.C.:
Everyone in Butte is feeling ill effects from the strike. We at the main public market urge you to use any and all facilities available through your office for immediate action towards settlements.

JOHN A. ORIZOTTI. DAN PIAZZOLA.

BUTTE, MONT .. January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN, Old House Building, Washington, D.C.:

All of Montana suffering from copper strike. Don't let Montana go down the drain. ADOLPH BUGNI SHANTY BAR.

BUTTE, MONT., January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN,

Washington, D.C.:

Please use your influence immediately for just and fair rapid settlement of copper strike. Butte, Anaconda, Great Falls are poverty stricken and going bankrupt. U.S. balance of payment all out go for copper.

JIM DES ROSIER PRESCRIPTION PHARMACY.

BUTTE, MONT. January 11, 1968.

Congressman ARNOLD OLSEN, Old House Building, Washington, D.C.:

All of Montana suffering from copper strike. Don't let Montana go down the drain.

DELUXE BAR TONY TUBORC. STEUE KASTIN

BUTTE, MONT. January 11, 1968.

Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: Please do all in your power to bring about negotiations in our copper strike. All Montana is affected but Butte and its people are in trouble. Businesses are being forced to close. Thank you.

CIVIC CENTER GROCERY, GLADYS GILNETT.

GREAT FALLS PAPER CO. Great Falls, Mont., January 9, 1968. Hon. MIKE MANSFIELD.

Hon. LEE METCALF, Hon. ARNOLD OLSEN. Hon. JAMES F. BATTIN. Washington, D.C.

Good morning Montana Congressional dele-

gation:

Returning Saturday morning, January 6th, with Governor Tim Babcock from Edmonwe sat facing each other and among other things discussed what the Governor might do or could do to help bring to the bargaining tables all parties concerned. . We the innocent bystanders are not directly involved in this strike . . . such as our own company . . . who are feeling the effects of this prolonged, disastrous strike. Enclosed a marked copy of an open letter by Jack New-man, president, Louis S. Cohn Co., Butte, that speaks for itself. . . . Perhaps this may aid your thinking as we are fully aware that you realize the depth of this problem.

Let's get to the secret ballot box.

Sincerely,

JIM FLAHERTY.

An open letter to: The Montana Joint Bar-gaining Committee, the Anaconda Co., the American Smelting & Refining Co., the Montana congressional delegation.

We are caught in the middle!

We see human suffering in the affluent society.

We see the balance of payments problem further complicated by domestic purchases of foreign copper.

We see a fiscal crisis in the state of Montana's future that will affect every community in the State.

We see home property values dropping. We see our customers sustaining operating

We see multi-million dollar investments made by our customers, large and small, depreciating.

Collective bargaining is not taking place! The public interest is not being looked after!

We urge use of such legislation as exists to implement arbitration or emergency enactment of new legislation designed to avoid industry-labor impasses of this duration.

We make this urgent appeal on behalf of our 2000 retail customers in Montana and for all Montana retailers caught in this squeeze.

LOUIS S. COHN CO.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Washington, D.C., January 15, 1968. THE PRESIDENT,

The White House,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: As you know, the copper strike is now in its seventh month and there does not appear to be any sign of a settlement

I believe the most serious consideration at the present time is the devastating effect this dispute is having on some 50,000 copper families now feeling the impact of this labor dispute. The recent cold wave did much to dramatize the severity of the current situa-tion. As you know, Mr. President, every state affected by the strike has been plagued by a sub-zero cold-wave in the last few weeks. My state of Montana has been particularly hard-hit.

I have learned of instances where children were forced to remain out of school because their families were unable to afford heavy clothing to protect against the intense cold weather.

The human factor is the most important aspect of this situation, Mr. President, but there are other factors which cry out for an early solution-all of them of national

I'm certain you are aware of the harm the current strike is having on our balance of payments deficit. Importation of copper which results from the strike contributes to the balance of payments deficits by more than a billion dollars a year. In 1965, refined copper was imported at a rate of 2,500 tons week. Last month imports had risen to 15,000 tons a week and this amounts to a drain of \$20 million a week on our nation's economy.

I respectfully urge that you use the prestige of your office to bring an end to the harmful importation of copper products for not only is it contributing to the balance of payments but it is also contributing to the length of the strike. Further, I again urge the appointment of at least four boards of inquiry with the roles of encouraging negotiations and reporting to you on the status of the strike situation.

I believe there should be at least four boards of inquiry—one for each companywide problem. The unions are asking for company-wide bargaining, not industry-wide bargaining. Everyone would be benefited by a company-wide inquiry into the activities of each company which is integrated from the mine to the producer. The relationship between the integrated companies and their employees is a primary consideration in this dispute.

The best interest of the Nation, the industries involved and the workers and their families will be best served by an early end to this dispute. I respectfully request your assistance in seeking a solution.

Sincerely yours,

ARNOLD OLSEN.

REPORT TO THE PEOPLE OF THE 16TH DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN ON THE FIRST SESSION OF THE 90TH CONGRESS

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] may extend

his remarks at this point in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to report to the people of the 16th District of Michigan on my stewardship during the first session of the 90th Congress.

The record established by the 90th Congress, while not up to that of the great 89th Congress, is encouraging. Over 40 major administration proposals were approved. Unfortunately, some of these proposals were watered down and a number of the programs were insufficiently funded.

When Congress convened last January, there were 47 fewer Democrats in the House of Representatives than we had in the 89th Congress. This group had a 75-percent favorable voting record on administration proposals.

In view of this significant loss in support it is understandable that we were not able to accomplish all of our objec-

tives.

Certainly, during 1967 the Congress demonstrated that the American people can meet their commitments both at home and abroad.

We adequately provided for our national defense and also made considerable progress in solving the many domestic problems which beset our country. I supported a majority of the budget cuts of about \$8.3 billion made by the Congress, but opposed those which cut too deeply into essential programs.

For my part, I was kept exceedingly

busy by the increasingly heavy volume

of my legislative duties.

I continued my service on the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee. the Merchant Marine and Fisheries Committee, and the Select Committee on Small Business.

As a member of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee, I took a vigorous role in seeking a solution to the railroad labor-management impasse. thus helping to avoid the dire economic consequences of a long, nationwide railroad strike. I also took a most active part in the committee's consideration of legislation aimed at reducing air pollution, improving health care, encouraging the growth of educational broadcasting, and protecting consumers from excessive charges and unsafe merchandise.

As chairman of the Subcommittee on Fisheries and Wildlife Conservation, I succeeded in having enacted into law my bill to extend for an additional 8 years the highly important waterfowl wetlands acquisition program. Under this program, the migratory bird fund will receive advances against receipts from the sale of duck stamps to acquire wetlands to be preserved for migratory waterfowl, and for appropriate recreational use.

My subcommittee also completed work on my bill, H.R. 25, to protect and preserve the Nation's shoreline areas. I plan to bring this legislation before the House early this year and am confident it will

As chairman of the Small Business Subcommittee on Regulatory Agencies, I conducted extensive hearings into questionable pricing practices of some drug manufacturing firms, and on the reliability of certain industrial standards. The latter are particularly important for the protection of consumers and small businessmen from unfair standards and shoddy and unsafe materials. Additionally, I actively pressed the Federal Communications Commission to allocate sufficient radio spectrum to meet the communications needs of small businesses and afford our police forces throughout the country the communications systems they need to protect our people from riots and mob action.

I authored and introduced many pieces of meaningful legislation, a number of which were enacted into law. Among the bills which I sponsored are the following:

H.R. 10, to amend the Clean Air Act to establish strong Federal emission standards and the means of enforcing such standards. Similar legislation enacted into law:

H.R. 25, to preserve, protect, develop, restore, and make accessible estuarine areas of the Nation which are valuable for sport and commercial fishing, wildlife conservation, recreation, and scenic beauty. Approved by the Merchant Marine and Fisheries Committee;

H.R. 26, to provide medicare coverage for the cost of prescription drugs;

H.R. 480, to extend for 8 years the program for the acquisition of wetlands for conservation of migratory waterfowl. Enacted into law;

H.R. 484, 485, and 486, to control and prevent various types of pollution on nav-

igable waters;

H.R. 487, 488, and 495, to regulate use of insecticides, herbicides, fungicides,

and pesticides;

H.R. 491, to prohibit travel or use of interstate commerce with intent to incite a riot or other violent civil disturbance. Similar legislation passed House;

H.R. 493, to establish a national scenic rivers system. Similar legislation passed

Senate;

H.R. 496, the proposed Interstate Land

Sales Full Disclosure Act;

H.R. 1983 through H.R. 1989, H.R. 3290, and H.R. 11263. These measures would liberalize social security disability coverage, require prescription drugs provided under Federal programs to be prescribed on the basis of their generic names, reduce certain social security age requirements, improve benefits for wives and widows, exempt social security payments from taxation, provide medicare coverage for the disabled, and remove inequities under social security which now exist for working wives and widows. Some of these provisions were enacted into law in the Social Security Act Amendments of 1967;

H.R. 2855, to establish a Redwood Na-

tional Park:

H.R. 3753, to consolidate all water quality and pollution control functions into one agency;

H.R. 4462, to provide a system for the portability of private pension rights;

House Concurrent Resolution 139, to encourage establishment of a United Nations Peacekeeping Force. Enacted into law;

H.R. 5258, to extend the veterans' educational assistance program. Similar legislation enacted into law;

H.R. 6113, to create a Motor Vehicle Insurance Guaranty Corporation;

H.R. 6114, to extend to additional products the protection provided by the Flammable Fabrics Act. Enacted into law;

House Joint Resolution 357, to establish a Product Safety Commission. Such Commission was established;

H.R. 6138, to protect endangered species of fish and wildlife. Approved by the Subcommittee on Fisheries and Wildlife Conservation;

H.R. 6703 and 6704, to enable hospitals to more easily establish joint ventures so as to reduce costs. I had this almost entirely accomplished administratively;

H.R. 7450, H.R. 7466, H.R. 7467, to provide proper controls over the misuse of firearms and destructive devices;

H.R. 7796, to establish a Council on Environmental Quality to make recommendations to prevent misuse and destruction of the Nation's environment;

H.R. 9511, to protect investors by improved regulation of investment com-

pany activities;

H.R. 10481, to establish rules and regulations to insure that television networks are operated in the public interest;

H.R. 11190 and 11191, to establish target range and firearms safety training programs, and to earmark existing firearms excise tax receipts for such purposes:

H.R. 11835, the proposed Riot Prevention and Control Act. Similar legislation

passed House;

H.R. 12039, to provide Federal assistance in urban riot and civil disturbance areas:

H.R. 12054, to provide more stringent regulation of cigarette labeling and advertising;

H.R. 12435, to prohibit pay television

programs;

H.R. 12654, to establish a Federal Reinsurance Corporation to assure the availability of property insurance in urban areas, particularly in high risk areas;

H.R. 12741, to provide a system of national health insurance;

House Concurrent Resolution 568, to provide uniform fire and police telephone numbers;

House Concurrent Resolution 571, urging that the facilities of the United Nations Security Council be used in an effort to bring peace in Southeast Asia:

H.R. 13919, to provide for abatement of

aircraft noise;

H.R. 13953, to establish a program aimed at assuring the safety of natural gas pipelines;

H.R. 14025, to close tax loopholes and bring greater equity to the Federal tax system;

H.R. 14096, to establish penalties for the illegal use and sale of LSD;

H.R. 14228, to expand the anadromous fish development program, particularly in the Great Lakes area; and

H.R. 14548, to establish an Airport and Airways Trust Fund, financed out of a tax on aviation fuels, for the purpose of providing for improved development of public airports and to provide for a more effective air safety program.

Following are some of the major legislative accomplishments of the first session of the 90th Congress:

### HEALTH

The Partnership for Health Amendments of 1967 authorizing \$589 million for fiscal years 1968–70 for grants to the States for comprehensive health planning and services, including a \$40 million authorization intended for rat control projects in fiscal years 1968–69. In addition, this law initiates a new program of Federal licensing of clinical laboratories dealing in interstate commerce and establishes a program of grants for research and demonstration to develop

more efficient health services and facilities. This legislation also extends existing law which authorizes grants to States for such health services as the State deems best.

The Mental Retardation Amendments of 1967 providing a total of \$281.8 million for fiscal years 1968 through 1970 for construction of teaching facilities and community centers; staffing; training teachers of the handicapped; and a new program training teachers in physical education and recreation for the handi-

capped.

The Air Quality Act of 1967, which I coauthored, authorizing \$428.3 million for Federal air pollution control for fiscal years 1968-70. On the opening day of the 90th Congress I introduced H.R. 10, a stronger measure than that approved by Congress. My bill would have provided stringent Federal controls over all forms of air pollution sources, including automotive vehicles. Unfortunately, despite my strong opposition, Congress provided for two sets of standards for automotive pollutants—one for Cali-fornia and another for the other 49 States. I believe this procedure will result in costly duplication of administrative procedures and in less effective controls over automotive emissions. Among other things, the law authorizes the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare in time of imminent and substantial danger to public health from air pollution to seek court injunctions as might be necessary to protect the public health against the continued emission of such pollutants. It also authorizes the Secretary to designate air quality control regions throughout the country; to provide Federal financing for regional control commissions to be established by State Governors; and empowers him to enforce air quality standards in the control regions, if the regional commissions fail to enforce HEW guidelines for air purity. In addition, it provides controls over fuel additives, and Federal support to State agencies for developing uniform motor vehicle pollution control device inspection and emission testing programs.

# SENIOR CITIZENS

The Social Security Act Amendments of 1967 providing for an across-theboard increase of at least 13 percent for all social security beneficiaries with an increase in minimum monthly benefits from \$44 to \$55. This is the largest increase in social security benefits in 32 years, but I support even more substantial increases so that our retired citizens may be more fully assured of economic security. This measure also raises the earnings limitations for social security beneficiaries from \$1,500 to \$1,680 a year. It creates a 60-day, lifetime reserve of hospital benefits under medicare, but with the requirement that the patient pay \$20 a day toward the cost of this additional hospitalization. It is estimated that increased benefits during the first full year of the new law will total \$3.6 billion.

Legislation extending the Older Americans Act of 1965 for 5 years, through fiscal year 1972, and authorizing \$43.9 million for research, demonstration, and

training programs.

prohibits employers, employment agencies, and labor organizations from engaging in any discriminatory practice in hiring workers between the ages of 40 and 65 because of age. The investiga-tion and enforcement of the provisions of the act are similar to those of the Fair Labor Standards Act. This brings to a successful close the fight begun by me 6 years ago to protect our people from discrimination in employment because of age.

#### CONSUMERS

The Federal Meat Inspection Act amendments providing strong Federal standards for inspection of meat and meat products, and authorizing a program of Federal-State cooperation to bring State meat inspection systems to a level comparable to the Federal inspection system.

A measure strengthening the 1953 Flammable Fabrics Act to cover all wearing apparel and interior furnishings made of fabric or related materials. It enables the Government to halt manufacturers' sales of goods not meeting standards set by the Secretary of Commerce, and for the first time, covers interior furnishings to provide protection against dangerous materials in drapes, bedding, blankets, upholstery, and other products.

Legislation establishing a seven-member National Commission on Product Safety. The Commission will identify categories of household products presenting unreasonable hazard to consumers, study the effectiveness of existing State and Federal law in controlling such products, and report its findings and recommendations to Congress.

#### EDUCATION

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act Amendments extending this act an additional 2 years and authorizing larger expenditures than before. In my view, the programs of this act offer the most effective means for overcoming the serious problems facing many of our schools, particularly in urban areas. For this reason, I urged even broader action by the Congress.

The Education Professions Development Act extending title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965, including the Teacher Corps and a teacher fellowship program, and creating four new teachertraining programs effective in fiscal 1969.

Legislation expanding the Vocational Rehabilitation Act and authorizing \$500 million for fiscal 1969 and \$600 million for fiscal 1970 for grants to States for basic vocational rehabilitation. More than 500,000 persons will be retained under this proposal. In addition, the act authorizes new programs-one, a program of grants for pilot projects to provide vocational rehabilitation for migrant workers; the other, to establish a new national center for deaf-blind youths and adults.

The Public Broadcasting Act of 1967 providing a 3-year extension of the 1960 law authorizing Federal grants of \$38 million for construction of educational television broadcasting facilities, and broadening it to include grants for educational radio broadcasting. This new

The Age Discrimination Act of 1967 law provides for the establishment of a nonprofit nongovernmental corporation for public broadcasting to strengthen and improve educational radio and television by providing an independent source of funds to produce programs and operate and interconnect stations, and authorizes an appropriation of \$9 million for fiscal year 1968 to finance the activities of the corporation.

Legislation extending for 1 year the period during which the Federal Government can finance the total cost of the interlibrary cooperation programs, State institutional library services, and library services to the physically handicapped. After June 30, 1968, the Federal Government will pay 50 percent of the program costs and State and local governments will be required to pay the remaining 50 percent.

#### POVERTY

After a long and difficult legislative battle, the Democratic leadership succeeded in gaining approval of the Economic Opportunity Amendments of 1967, the antipoverty program. This legislation continues the Economic Opportunity program for 2 years through fiscal 1969, and authorizes \$1,980 million for fiscal 1968 and \$2,181 million for fiscal 1969. It contains a new provision requiring that after February 1, 1969, com-munity action programs shall, except under unusual circumstance, be carried on by local governments. It earmarks \$25 million for a program to feed the hungry, and authorizes a new program of day care centers to assist working mothers.

Authorization of \$200 million for fiscal 1968 and \$225 million for fiscal 1969 providing for a 2-year extension of the food stamp program. This program was initially approved on a pilot basis in 1961 and established on a 3-year basis in 1964. It enables low-income families in both the urban slums and depressed rural areas to purchase Government subsi-dized stamps which increase their food buying power at grocery stores, and makes available to them something approaching an adequate diet, with resulting improvements to physical and mental health. I was one of the original sponsors of this very worthwhile legislation and played a leading role in its enactment

The Appalachia Redevelopment Act Amendments of 1967 providing for the continued operation of the Appalachian Regional Commission, and authorizing appropriations of \$715 million for the 4year period ending June 30, 1971, for the highway program. It also authorizes \$170 million for the nonhighway program.

#### GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

The Postal Revenue and Federal Salary Act of 1967 providing a first-step raise of 6 percent for 725,000 postal employees and a 4.5-percent increase for 1.3 million classified workers making various adjustments in postal rates. The act establishes a commission on executive, legislative, and judicial salaries, and makes it illegal for Federal officials to hire relatives. In addition, it provides for a second pay increase of 5 percent in July of 1968 for postal employees, and authorizes the President to give classified employees adjustments in July of 1968 to close one-half of the differences between their salary levels and comparable private enterprise salary levels. It further provides for a final phase adjustment for July of 1969 for all Government employees to bring their salaries up to par with private enterprise salaries.

A military pay bill providing for an increase of 4.5 percent in the regular compensation of servicemen, including basic pay, quarters, and subsistence allowance, and for automatic pay raises in the future that would correspond to salary increases for Federal civilian employees.

#### VETERANS

The Veterans' Pension and Readjustment Assistance Act of 1967 giving veterans and their dependents a cost-ofliving increase in pension payments, providing full wartime rates of compensation for those injured in Vietnam, and pensions for those who became disabled. as well as higher educational allowances for veterans attending college under the cold war GI bill and a number of new training programs under the law.

#### INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

The Emergency Food for India Act approving U.S. participation in a multilateral effort to develop a self-help approach to the war on hunger, to assist India to achieve food self-sufficiency, and to help meet India's critical food shortages by providing agricultural commodities and other resources needed for food procurement or production.

The Inter-American Development Bank Act Amendments authorizing an additional U.S. contribution to the Bank of \$900 million over a 3-year period. This is an integral part of the effort to assure success for the Alliance for Progress which was sponsored by President Kennedy.

The Foreign Aid Authorization Act providing an authorization of \$2,674,-614,000 for foreign economic aid and military assistance for fiscal 1968.

Legislation extending the Peace Corps and authorizing an appropriation of \$115.7 million for fiscal 1968.

The Interest Equalization Tax Extension Act of 1967 extending the interest equalization tax for 2 more years. This measure is aimed at relieving our serious balance-of-payments deficit by making it more expensive for foreigners to borrow from U.S. sources. The new law also gives the President the authority to eliminate the tax or set it at any level up to 50 percent above the current amount of the levy.

#### AID TO CITIES

Legislation providing \$10 million for the rent supplement program; \$312 million to get the model cities program underway; \$750 million for urban renewal; \$165 million for water and sewer facilities under the community facility program: \$175 million for the urban mass transit program; and continuance of the low-rent public housing program, including senior citizen housing.

#### SMALL BUSINESS

The Small Business Act amendments increasing the amount of loans, guarantees, and any other obligations or commitments which may be outstanding at any one time from the Small Business Loan and Investment Fund, and giving small business investment companies access to more SBA money, and increasing from 10 to 15 years the maturity for regular business loans made for construction of facilities.

#### JUDICIARY

Legislation establishing a Federal Judiciary Center to further the development and adoption of improved judicial administration of Federal courts. The center will study court operations, develop recommendations for the Judicial Conference of the United States, stimulate and conduct training programs for judges and other judicial branch personnel, and provide staff assistance to the Judicial Conference.

#### NATURAL RESOURCES

The Saline Water Conversion Act authorizing additional appropriations for the saline water conversion program and authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to construct test bed facilities, and clarifying existing provisions of law relating to the sequence of water conversion facilities to be constructed by the Office of Saline Water.

An act authorizing the Interior Department to participate in the construction of a very large nuclear power and desalting plant in southern California, and providing authorization of \$57.2 million as the Interior Department's share of the \$444.3 million project. The plant is to be built in cooperation with the Atomic Energy Commission, the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and three California power companies. This project offers the prospect of the first large-scale application of nuclear energy to the desalting process, the first large combined power and desalting plant, and the production of an unprecedented volume of commercially competitive desalted water.

# DEFENSE

Legislation providing a \$70 billion defense appropriation for fiscal 1968, the largest in our history, to keep our Nation secure at home and abroad.

A measure providing a \$12.2 billion supplemental appropriation supporting military operations in Vietnam through the end of fiscal 1967.

Military construction funds legislation appropriating \$2.093 billion for construction at military installations and construction and maintenance of military family housing.

The Military Selective Service Act of 1967 extending the military draft 4 years and making its provisions more equitable.

U.S. defense needs, as we all know, are immense and they have been magnified because of the requirements of our forces in Vietnam and elsewhere in Southeast Asia.

The overwhelming majority of the Members of the House, both Democratic and Republican, supported the legislative moves designed to assure our country with an unequaled military defense ability. Such strength is essential if we are to see an early end to the painful and costly conflict in Vietnam and convince the Communists that they cannot suc-

cessfully commit aggression upon weak and underdeveloped nations.

Peace in Vietnam is the goal of all compassionate persons. I have done everything possible to contribute to the achievement of this goal and I shall continue to follow this course.

We will be confronted by other priority problems during the second session of the 90th Congress, and must act upon vital, unfinished matters, including the proposed tax surcharge and proposals for tax reform, truth-in-lending legislation, and measures to overcome the growing problem of crime.

I want to assure the people of Michigan's 16th Congressional District that I shall continue to strive in every possible way to provide effective legislative responses to the pressing problems and needs which confront us.

I hope my constituents will contact me at my Washington, D.C., office, at 2452 Rayburn House Office Building, or at the office I maintain in Dearborn at 4917 Schaefer Road, to express their views on matters of interest and concern to them, or to request my assistance on any problem they may have with the Federal Government. Such communications will be given my utmost consideration.

## UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION INEQUITIES FOR SERVICEMEN NEED CORRECTION

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Kastenmeier] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. KASTENMEIER. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing two bills to effect changes in the treatment awarded claims for unemployment compensation for ex-servicemen and Federal civilian employees.

The first involves the difference in the treatment of payments for military accrued leave. Present law requires the mandatory postponement of unemployment compensation benefits for ex-servicemen during the equivalent length of time covered by military accrued leave. Federal civilian employees, however, are exempted from this requirement and are not barred by Federal law from receiving unemployment compensation benefits for the period following the separation from employment to which payments of Federal civilian terminal leave were allocated. Their eligibility is governed by the applicable State unemployment compensation law in the State in which they apply for benefits. Most States disregard lump-sum annual leave payments.

Many servicemen who serve 2 years on active duty often do not, or cannot, use all or even a major part of the 60 days of leave time they are entitled to during their service. They get paid a lump sum instead. In the case of a private, first class, the lump-sum payment for 30 days' accrued leave time would be \$128.70, or a month's pay, less taxes and social security.

If he is not able to locate employment immediately and seeks unemployment compensation, he will find that Federal law now requires him to wait out the 30 days he was paid for as a private, first class. He is not eligible for unemployment benefits until that period has run its course.

My bill would amend the law to provide servicemen the same treatment for military accrued leave as that now provided Federal civilian employees. It is hard to understand how this situation developed in the law, but Congress should put an end to it, especially in view of the far lower pay scale of 2-year servicemen.

This situation was first called to my attention by a constituent, Paul Crocker of Madison, Wis.

The second bill would affect the method used in assigning wages of Federal civilian employees and servicemen. At first, it would appear that existing law favors the serviceman. For unemployment compensation for ex-servicemen Federal military wages are assigned to the State in which the ex-serviceman first establishes a claim for benefits following his last separation from Federal service. On the other hand, Federal civilian wages are generally assigned to the State in which the worker had his last official station in Federal civilian employment prior to filing his initial claim.

The difference in wage assignment frequently complicates administration because an ex-serviceman also may have worked as a civilian for the Federal Government. For example, military personnel may work off-duty hours in a post exchange. This could result in assigning his Federal military wages to the State in which he filed a claim, and his Federal civilian wages to the State in which his last official station in Federal civilian em-

ployment was located.

The method of assigning Federal military wages is preferable to that in effect for the assignment of Federal civilian wages. Assigning wages to the State in which a claim is first established achieves substantially greater administrative simplicity. It makes it possible for an exserviceman to file a first claim in the State in which he wishes to seek work. His claim need not be processed through relatively cumbersome interstate benefit arrangements and the payments to which he may be entitled are made much more

expeditiously.

It seems clear to me that these two conflicts in the law are inequitable to the serviceman and should be corrected.

A technical description of these two bills follows:

DIFFERENCE IN PROVISIONS OF TITLE XV OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT RELATING TO UN-EMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES AND EX-SERVICEMEN

METHODS OF HANDLING LUMP-SUM PAYMENTS FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN TERMINAL LEAVE AND MILITARY ACCRUED LEAVE

The first significant area of difference is in regard to the treatment of lump-sum payments for Federal civilian terminal leave and military accrued leave. Originally, sections 1505 and 1511(f), title XV, of the Social Security Act, provided equal treatment for both types of leave payments. The original requirement postponed both UCFE and UCX benefits for the period following the separation from employment to which payments of

Federal civilian terminal leave or military

accrued leave were allocated.
Since Public Law 442, 86th Congress, approved April 22, 1960, repealed section 1505 of the Social Security Act, the provisions of the applicable State unemployment compensation law govern the effect of Federal civilian terminal leave on claims for UCFE benefits. However, section 1511(f) of title XV requires that section 1505, even though repealed with respect to payments of Federal civilian terminal leave, shall continue to apply in connection with lump-sum payments of military accrued leave. This results in mandatory postponement of UCX benefits during the period covered by military accrued leave.

The repeal of subsection 1511(f), title XV, of the Social Security Act would permit the same treatment for military accrued leave as that accorded to terminal leave related to Federal civilian service.

METHODS OF ASSIGNING WAGES TO STATES

Section 1504 of the Social Security Act requires that Federal civilian wages be generally assigned to the State in which the worker had his last official station in Federal civilian employment prior to filing his initial claim. Exceptions are made (1) when the individual worked in employment subject to the unemployment compensation law of the State in which he is living when he makes his initial application for USFE benefits, provided such State is not the State of his last official station, and (2) when his last official station was outside the 50 States or the District of Columbia. In such cases, his Federal civilian wages are assigned to the State in which he is living when he initiates a claim. For UCX purposes, Federal military wages are assigned to the State in which the ex-serviceman first establishes a claim for unemployment benefits following his last separation from Federal military service.

The difference in wage assignment frequently complicates administration because an ex-serviceman also may have worked as a civilian for the Federal Government. For example, military personnel may work off-duty hours in a Post Exchange. This could result in assigning his Federal military wages to the State in which he filed a claim, and his Federal civilian wages to the States in which his last official station in Federal civilian

employment was located.

It is the Department of Labor's position that the method of assigning Federal military wages is preferable to that in effect for assignment of Federal civilian wages. Assigning wages to the State in which a claim is first established achieves substantially greater administrative simplicity. It makes it possible for an ex-serviceman to file a first claim in the State in which he wishes to seek work. His claim need not be processed through relatively cumbersome interstate benefit arrangements and the payments to which he may be entitled are made much more expeditiously.

# PRESIDENT JOHNSON CONTINUES TO CLIMB IN THE POLLS

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Howard] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. HOWARD. Mr. Speaker, during the congressional recess period, the latest Gallup and Harris polls were released and show President Johnson ahead of his potential Republican rivals this year.

The Gallup poll showed the President leading his closest rival, Richard Nixon, by 46 to 41 percent. In December, this lead was 47 to 43 percent—so the President appears to be pulling away.

The Harris poll shows the President leading Nixon by 50 to 41 percent; Governor Rockefeller by 41 to 37 percent; Governor Romney by 49 to 36 percent; and Governor Reagan by 51 to 37

The Harris poll declares:

The results show Mr. Johnson riding a new crest of popularity that would make him the favorite if the election were held now.

We Democrats can take heart with these results, for they clearly demonstrate that the American people will continue to support a President and a party that keeps its commitments at home and abroad.

Under unanimous consent I insert in the RECORD the results of the latest Gallup and Harris polls:

[From the Washington Post, Jan. 7, 1968] THE GALLUP POLL: "PEACE PARTY" VOTE PUT

AT 9 MILLION

(By George Gallup)

PRINCETON, N.J., January 6.—The decision of Sen. Eugene McCarthy of Minnesota to run against President Johnson as a "peace" candidate in the New Hampshire primary March 12 raises two important questions: What is the potential strength of an independent peace party?—and—What effect would such a party have on the support for other potential presidential candidates?

To answer these questions a national "test election" was run, matching McCarthy against President Johnson, Richard Nixon (the top choice of both the Republican Party "pros" and the rank-and-file) and former Gov. George Wallace of Alabama, a probable third party states' rights candidate in this year's race.

The result's show the following:

1. If a national election were held today with these four candidates, an independent peace party headed by McCarthy would draw the support of about 12 per cent of the voters.

McCarthy has said that he does not intend to start an independent third party, but the results are nevertheless an indication of the popular support for such a movement. This figure of 12 per cent translates into approximately 9 million voters, based on the vote turnout in the 1964 presidential election.

2. An independent peace party would hurt both the Republican and Democratic Parties about equally in a contest that includes George Wallace.

3. Wallace's strength is not materially affected with the entry of a peace party candidate. He continues to get about the same proportion of the national vote as in earlier trial heat races against the President and

4. Mr. Johnson emerges with a substantial lead over the field of four, but fails at the

same time to win an absolute majority vote. The inclusion of Wallace in a race with Mr. Johnson and Nixon hurts the Republicans more than the Democrats. This is due primarily to the fact that Wallace shares much of the anti-Johnson vote in the South with Nixon. The addition of a peace party candidate to the contest does not offset this

Democratic advantage.

A National sample of adults in 310 localities was asked this question:

"Suppose the presidential election were being held today. If Richard Nixon were the Republican Candidate and Lyndon Johnson were the Democratic Candidate and George Wallace of Alabama were the candidate of a

third party and Sen. Eugene McCarthy ran as a 'peace candidate' which one would you like to see win?"

Here are the national results for likely voters, based on the assumption that all four men are entered in the race in all 50

Perce	
Johnson	39
Nixon	30
McCarthy	12
Wallace	
Undecided	

A subsequent question limiting the race to President Johnson and Nixon showed Mr. Johnson with 46 per cent. Nixon with 41 per cent and 13 per cent undecided.

A December report showed Mr. Johnson with a comparable lead over Nixon, 47 per cent to 43 per cent, with 10 per cent unde-

Following is the pattern of support for each of the four test candidates:

[In percent]

MAN A	L. B. J.	Nixon	Wallace	McCarthy
All voters	30	30	11	12
Men	30 38 40 34 42 38 47 35 31 47	30 33 27 31 29 33 26 36 28 29 65	13	9
Women 21 to 29	34	31	10 10	17
30 to 49	42	29	12	10
50 and over	38	33	10	11
East	47	26	3 8	16
Midwest	35	36		12
South	31	28	29	.7
West	13	29	8	9
Republicans Democrats	62	9	11	12

Note: The undecided category has not been included in the above table. The percentages for each group therefore do not add to 100 percent.

[From the Washington Post, Jan. 8, 1968] HARRIS SURVEY: PRESIDENT TOPS GOP FIELD IN POLL

## (By Louis Harris)

Only two months after a Harris survey showed that all four of the leading Republican presidential contenders could defeat President Johnson, a special survey completed Dec. 21 shows Mr. Johnson riding a new crest of popularity that would make him the favorite if the election were held

Here are the key findings of a cross-section of 2003 households:

Although Gov. Nelson Rockefeller ties Mr. Johnson 43 to 43 per cent in a two-way race, when former Gov. George Wallace is added to the list, the President takes the lead over the New York Republican 41 to 37 per cent, with Wallace receiving 12 per cent. In October, Gov. Rockefeller led Mr. Johnson by 52 to 35 per cent. Against Richard M. Nixon, Mr. Johnson

has now opened up a 50 to 41 per cent edge. This represents a three-point gain for the President in three weeks and a nine-point

rise in two months.

Mr. Johnson runs ahead of Gov. George Romney by 49 to 36 per cent, up two points in three weeks and 12 points in eight weeks.

Gov. Ronald Reagan trails the President 37 to 51 per cent, a slippage of nine points in eight weeks for the California Republican.

The results indicate that Wallace is gradually picking up strength. With the undecided vote out, Wallace's indicated vote today would be 14 per cent of the electorate nation-wide. He is now a clear second in the South, moving up on Mr. Johnson. However, the votes the Alabaman would receive in the South are mainly those that went for Barry Goldwater in 1964. The net effect of his candidacy would be to take votes away from any Republican, including a moderate.

By comparing the Johnson vote today with last October, the key swing areas begin to emerge. On a regional basis, the Midwest

and the West are most susceptible to change. The upper-income and college-educated groups show the greatest changes of any, and are sensitive both to the war in Vietnam and the issue of racial peace and prog-ress at home. The big cities, edgy over the prospect of racial turmoil, are also pivotal. Voters who are Catholics, largely committed to see the war through in Vietnam, are also subject to wide swings. Finally, young people under 35, also sensitive to the race and war issues, will be a major battle ground.

Fundamentally, these latest results point up the tenuous hold of the Democratic Party on its former bastions in the big cities, among Catholic voters, and in the South.
All in all, as much as one voter in three been shaken loose from his traditional political moorings.

Here are the latest standings compared with those in October:

[In percent]

	December	October
L. B. J. versus Rockefeller versus Wallace	e:	Skill
Johnson	41	35
Rockefeller	37	45
Wallace	12	10
Not sure	10	10
L. B. J. versus Rockefeller:		
Johnson	43	35
Rockefeller	43	52
Not sure	14	13
L. B. J. versus Nixon:		10
Johnson	50	41
Nixon	41	48
	9	11
Not sure	3	11.
	49	37
Johnson		
Romney	36	46
Not sure	15	17
L. B. J. versus Reagan:	1000	100
Johnson	51	41
Reagan	37	46
Not sure	12	13

# THE POST OFFICE MUDDLES THROUGH

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Howard] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from

Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. HOWARD. Mr. Speaker, the National Observer has aptly described the Post Office as "a 19th-century success." In an excellent article the Observer notes that despite buildings, equipment, and techniques that are largely outmoded, the postal service is delivering the mail faster than ever before. But unless there are changes-unless the postal service can close the technological gap—postal paralysis is virtually inevitable. Fortunately, significant changes are being made and the Observer article outlines what the postal service is doing under the vigorous and progressive leadership of Postmaster General O'Brien to give the Nation a truly modern mail delivery system. With permission I insert the Observer article in the RECORD:

THE POST OFFICE MUDDLES THROUGH

DETROIT .- They were lined up 20 deep at some post offices, buying stamps, sending bundles, looking up ZIP codes, asking questions.

This was the moment of agony for the U.S. Post Office Department—the week before Christmas when the creaky wheels of the world's busiest postal system bend under 2 billion pieces of mail, almost twice the load of a normal week.

And yet it went by, here as elsewhere in the nation, with hardly a hitch. "We're in better shape this year than ever before," reported Detroit's white-haired postmaster, Edward L. Baker, And at a special Christmas communications center in Washington, a postal official summed up the situation for the nation: "This thing gets easier year by year."

Maybe that doesn't sound remarkable. But it doesn't jibe with the predictions of doom that emanate periodically from the Post Office Department in Washington, Postmaster General Lawrence F. O'Brien says the mails are in a losing "race with catastrophe," and that unless basic changes are made "the future will be bleak for mail service."

#### THE SPECTER OF DISASTER

Post Office television plugs, depicting harried postal workers being buried beneath an avalanche of letters, raise the specter of a nationwide mail breakdown. And for the skeptical there's the memory of the Chicago mail jam of October 1966, when an overworked plant and an understaffed work force managed to pile up 10,000,000 pieces of undigested mail in one week end.

Well, the fact is that-occasionally maddening delays notwithstanding-mail service is faster than ever (not necessarily better, but faster), and the postal system is better able to cope with the demands placed on

it today than ever before.

What makes this situation ironic is that the nation's 700,000 postal workers are called upon to move half the world's daily volume of mail using techniques barely changed since the days of Ben Franklin, Visit almost any post office today and you'll see rows and rows of men and women atop stools stuffing letters into pigeonholes, in the re-enact-ment of a primitive ritual that dates back hundreds of years.

### THE O'BRIEN RECORD

But things are changing, and the man responsible for the biggest changes is the man most pessimistic about their long-range efficacy: Lawrence F. O'Brien. In little more than two years as head of the postal service

Turned his back on the agency's ingrown promotion policies, assembling a manage-ment staff drawn from the board rooms of industry and the war rooms of the Pentagon. We're trying to make up for 20 years of technological neglect," says pipe-smoking Dr. Leo S. Packer, formerly an engineering manager at Xerox Corp. and now head of Mr. O'Brien's fastest-growing bureau, research and development. Postal chiefs are applying systems-analysis techniques to the mail service and experimenting with "new delivery systems," including facsimile transmission, to cut down mail time.

Begun a \$300,000,000 crash mechanization program designed to take mail processing out of the horse-and-buggy era and reverse the traditional policy of trying to resolve postal problems by hiring more workers. By next July, there will be twice as many pieces of step-saving mechanized equipment as there were two years ago. Among them: 30 ZIP code-reading optical scanners (\$100,000 each) that "pigeon-hole" 30,000 letters an hour and a \$33,000,000 computer complex that will tell authorities in the 75 largest post offices how much mail they can anticipate and how to route it to avoid bottlenecks.

Reduced pressure on the system-and the prospect of paralysis—by introducing such concepts as the "early-warning system," under which large mailers alert postal authorities to future mailings, and local "mailusers councils," whose 23,000 business members are presorting, by ZIP code, their mail in exchange for a pledge of faster service. One sign that Mr. O'Brien's appeals for co-operation by mail users are paying off: This year three-fourths of all mail will be ZIP-coded to its destination, though only a third of it

need be by law. And ZIP-coded mail, by eliminating the need for multiple handlings, is the biggest time-saver in post office history.

To be sure, everybody has complaints about postal service, particularly around Christmas time. And a tour of Detroit's highly mechanized post office, among the most modern in the nation, helps explain why.

#### PRIORITY FOR VIETNAM

Americans shipping goodies to the troops in Vietnam, no matter where they live in the United States, can go to sleep fairly confident their packages will arrive the next day in San Francisco, for immediate relay to Southeast Asia. That's high priority. But a Christmas package from one Wayne, Mich., family to another in the same town became undone and waited six days or more in Detroit's post office to be patched up. That's low priority.

A lot of those Christmas cards arrived smudged or dirty because the conveyor that speeds them from sack to sorter periodically spills its overflow onto the floor, where it is

not always immediately picked up.

And a man in Madison Heights, Mich., awaiting his copy of the lastest issue of the New Republic, can stop waiting. It won't arrive. The cover, addressographed with ZIP code, got detached in processing. It was last seen being stomped on, inadvertently, by a mail handler here.

But it should be stressed that such slipups, though common to all post offices, affect only a fraction of the mail. Studies here, for example, indicate that less than 2 per cent of all packages are damaged, and most of those require no more than a piece of tape or some twine to repair.

#### MEMORIES OF DAMAGE

The memory of parcels broken and mail delayed is what lingers in the minds of most Americans, along with the noon-hour lines at almost any downtown stamp or parcelpost window, and the fading memory of twice-a-day delivery, which ended, probably forever, in 1950. Yet statistically, it is demonstrable that the mails are speedier than ever. Today most first-class mail traveling more than 215 miles goes by air, on a spaceavailable basis. As a result, Mr. O'Brien's tables show that coast-to-coast letters are getting delivered in two days, and 25 states are receiving overnight delivery on almost all first-class mail.

This track record must be regarded as little short of remarkable when you consider what Mr. O'Brien is up against.

Postal officials predict that mail volume will increase 75 per cent over the next 20 years. It is expected to total 83 billion letters and parcels this year, or an average of 415 for every American (compared with 365 per person when Mr. O'Brien was appointed in November 1965).

Yet today's postal service is based on the Nineteenth Century concept of an inde-pendent post office for every city, town, or village in the nation. There are today 33,000 post offices and 11,000 branches and stations, most of which can be justified neither by considerations of optimum service nor economy. But the Postmaster General is powerless to alter the system and Congress, which has the power, chooses not to.

# CONCENTRATION OF VOLUME

Most mail, of course, is handled at a relatively few post offices. The 75 largest, in fact, process more than 50 per cent of the nation's total volume. But most of these post offices were built before World War II when there was only a third as much mail. They are not laid out for efficient mail flow, and their floors are often too weak to support the modern machinery from which productivity gains can come.

Moreover, most of them are near railroad depots in central cities. That made sense when 10,000 trains a day transported most of the mail. But today there are only 700 daily mail-carrying trains left, and central cities are clogged with traffic. So getting the mail to airports or superhighways frequently involves bumper-to-bumper delays. To modernize the postal plant would cost \$1 billion a year for five years, estimates Mr. O'Brien. This year he asked for and got \$300,000,000.

Then there are the 700,000 postal workers. They are represented by no fewer than 14 unions, most of them powerful and all of them concerned, understandably, with job security at a time when the post office's greatest economies can come from man-replacing mechanization. Postal union chiefs are worried about other things as well. Low pay, for example: Even with a recently enacted wage hike, postal workers will earn less, on the average, than firemen, policemen, or bus drivers.

"We're losing the good-caliber postman, the kind who was almost part of the families along his route," moans Vice President James H. Rademacher of the National Association of Letter Carriers, AFL-CIO. "The people who join the postal service now often are those waiting for better jobs to come through."

Mr. O'Brien blames these woes on what he euphemistically terms a "restrictive jungle of custom and legislation," meaning that the basic decisions about how to run the postal service and who will run it locally are out of his hands. Congress sets postal rates and postal pay scales, while saddling the postal system with increasing "public-service" chores that swell the deficit (currently \$1.2 billion). Federal regulatory agencies transportation rates to carriers. Postmasterships, though less of a political plum than they used to be, are still decided by patron-age, not promise. And hallowed tradition, entombed in labor-management contracts, inhibits postal supervisors from exercising such basic managerial rights as transferring workers from one city (or even one post office) to another.

Quips Mr. O'Brien: "If we ran our telequips Mr. O'Brien: "If we ran our tele-phone service this way, the carrier-pigeon business would have a great future." The way Mr. O'Brien would prefer to run the mails would be to scrap the present system and start again. He proposes removing the Post Office from the President's Cabinet (i.e. from politics) and turning it over to a new Government corporation modeled after the

Tennessee Valley Authority.

Replacing the present board of directors (the 535 members of Congress) would be a small panel nominated by the President and confirmed by Congress. Congress would specify what services should be performed and what percentage of costs revenues should cover. Then the corporation would set rates on its own, and Congress would make up the difference.

Such a change presumably would free the department from the "restrictive jungle of custom and legislation" because the corporation would make all the basic executive decisions, following broad congressional guidelines. It would also, in theory at least, solve the problem of financing modernization: The corporation would float bonds to provide a fund for capital investment; the fund might even be made self-amortizing through rental income

# A COMMISSION STUDY

Five days after Mr. O'Brien announced his proposal last April, President Johnson appointed a Commission on Postal Organization, made up mainly of business leaders and headed by Frederick R. Kappel, former board chairman of American Telephone and Telegraph Co. Its mandate from the President is to undertake "the most searching and ex-haustive review ever undertaken" of the Post Office's structure and organization, and from all indications it is doing just that.

With its report not due until April, it is hard to predict what the panel will recommend. But it is worth noting that the corporation plan has arosed no great enthusi-

asm in Congress. What Mr. O'Brien has in mind is to make the postal service a model of efficiency. To most lawmakers, it seems sufficient that it deliver the mails with rea-

sonable dispatch.

Mr. O'Brien seems to be doing that and a lot more. He has begun the first college recruiting program in post-office history train future executive talent. The Post Office will open a training institute outside of Washington next month to develop the skills needed to operate its increasingly complex machinery. And he is squeezing more funds than was once thought possible out of Congress, most of it for research and moderniza-

ZIP code has postponed the threatened overload on the system for several years, by most estimates. New breakthrough are imminent. Mr. O'Brien has compared the adaptation of optical scanning devices-machines that can read ZIP codes and sort letters automatically-to the placing of dials on telephones. Without the dial every man, woman, and child would now have to be playing operator to get all the calls through. Simtiarly, without mechanization, everyone would be delivering his own mail in another 35 or 40 years.

It may be that by his own modernization program Mr. O'Brien is knocking down his

own credentials as a soothsayer.

-MARK R. ARNOLD.

### INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS YEAR-THESE FOUR CONVEN-TIONS SHOULD BE RATIFIED

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New York [Mr. TENZER] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. TENZER. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing House Resolution 1020 expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States should ratify four human rights conventions to assure our effective participation in the celebration of International Human Rights Year.

I am sponsoring this resolution for myself and on behalf of the following Members of the House: Hon. SEYMOUR HALPERN, Hon. WILLIAM L. St. ONGE, Hon. EDITH GREEN, Hon. SPARK M. MAT-SUNAGA, Hon. THOMAS M. REES, Hon. RICHARD L. OTTINGER, Hon. E. S. JOHNNY WALKER, HON. JACOB H. GILBERT, HON. JAMES H. SCHEUER, HON. GEORGE E. Brown, Hon. Daniel E. Button, and Hon. WILLIAM F. RYAN.

The four conventions which have been submitted to the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations are:

First. The Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide unanimously recommended by the United Nations General Assembly for ratification by member states on December 9, 1948. Seventy-one nations have approved the Genocide Convention.

Second. The Convention Concerning the Abolition of Forced Labor adopted by the International Labor Conference at its 40th session at Geneva, June 25, 1957. The Forced Labor Convention has been approved by 79 nations.

Third. The Convention on the Political Rights of Women adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 17, 1952. Fifty-five nations have approved the Political Rights of Women Convention.

Fourth. The Convention Concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right To Organize adopted by the International Labor Conference which closed on July 10, 1948. Seventy-six nations have approved the Convention on Freedom of Association.

Mr. Speaker, these four conventions all relate to basic human rights-that is why I am constrained to ask-"How can the United States effectively participate in the celebration of International Human Rights Year-1968-without first demonstrating to the nations and the peoples of the world that we approve these conventions?"

The House of Representatives should express its sentiments on this important question. It is the other body which has the constitutional responsibility for ratifying treaties, but after 19 years of inaction, I believe the House should go on record supporting these conventions.

Mr. Speaker, with permission I place in the RECORD at this point the full text of the resolution which I have intro-

duced today: H. RES. 1020

Whereas the General Assembly of the United Nations has designated 1968 as "International Human Rights Year;" and

Whereas the United States has not ratified the Genocide Convention, the Convention Concerning the Abolition of Forced Labor, the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, and the Convention Concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right To Organize; and

Whereas the aforementioned conventions establish universal minimum standards of

human rights; and

Whereas United States ratification of the aforementioned conventions is a means for effective participation by the United States in the celebration of International Human Rights Year: Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That it is the sense of the House

of Representatives that the United States

- (1) ratify the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide unanimously recommended by the United Nations General Assembly for ratification by member states on December 9. 1948:
- (2) ratify the Convention Concerning the Abolition of Forced Labor adopted by the International Labor Conference on June 25, 1957:
- (3) ratify the Convention on the Political Rights of Women adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 17, 1952; and
- (4) ratify the Convention Concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right To Organize adopted by the Interna-tional Labor Conference which closed on July 10, 1948.

The people of the United States like all people of good will throughout the world, condemn the suppression of the spiritual and cultural life of any people. There can be no doubt that any government which pursues a program cal-culated to break the will of a people not only violates fundamental human rights but also acts contrary to the guarantees of international and moral law.

Since the founding of our Nationthe United States has been the leader amongst nations and the guiding light in efforts to create a new moral order, dedicated to the advancement of human rights.

The Genocide Convention, unanimously approved by the United Nations General Assembly on December 9, 1948, was in great measure, a product of U.S. initiative and legal concepts.

The United States was among the first 20 nations to sign the convention; however, for more than 19 years since that time, the Genocide Treaty has been gathering dust on the shelves of the U.S. Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

Mr. Speaker, the following nations have approved this convention:

India Afghanistan Albania Iran Algeria Iraq Argentina Israel Australia Italy Jordan Austria Belgium Laos Lebanon Brazil Bulgaria Liberia Burma Mexico Byelo Russia Monaco Mongolia Cambodia Morocco Canada Ceylon Netherlands China Nicaragua Norway Chile Colombia Pakistan Congo (Democratic Republic of) Panama Peru Philippines Costa Rica Poland Cuba Czechoslovakia Republic of Korea Republic of Vietnam Denmark Ecuador Rumania El Salvador Saudi Arabia Sweden Ethiopia Federal Republic Syria of Germany Tunisia Finland Turkey Ukraine France Ghana U.S.S.R. United Arab Republic Greece Upper Volta Guatemala Uruguay Haiti Honduras Venezuela Yugoslavia Hungary

Seventy-one nations, including the Soviet Union, have approved the Genocide Convention. It is shameful that the United States has failed to approve the Genocide Convention. Without such approval we do not have the means to effectively protest the oppression of a people—whether it be the treatment of Jews in the Soviet Union, the Catholics in the Congo, or the Buddhists in Vietnam.

The treaty is certainly in the interest of humanity and it is inconceivable that it has not been approved by the United States. Our Nation—with its long history of moral and spiritual leadership in alleviating the plight of oppressed peoples—must continue to encourage principles of justice and human dignity.

One way to accomplish this purpose is for the other body to ratify the Convention on Genocide and erase the stain of ambiguity that has adversely characterized American handling of this important treaty.

One would imagine that there would be no opposition in the United States to the terms of this treaty—to a policy outlawing deliberate and intentional extermination of any body of people. A host of mythical reasons have developed to rationalize our failure to assert what Secretary of State Rusk referred to as the "moral leadership" of the United States among the family of nations. Some of these mythical reasons are: the delicate balance of Federal-State relationships; the jealous prerogatives of our sovereignty; and the anxiety of some Southern lawyers who suggest a conflict with the language of the Constitution. That is why I have taken this time to point out that none of these myths can justify our failure to ratify the Genocide Convention.

The Genocide Convention has been ratified by 71 nations yet the problem of genocide continues in the world today—even among some of those nations who have signed the pact. Of particular significance is the Soviet Union.

There appears to be no question that the Soviet Union—one of the signatories to the convention—has as its policy the restriction of the practice of religion. This policy of religious discrimination is particularly pronounced against Soviet Jewry to the point where it amounts to a policy of spiritual genocide.

The United States has protested this policy toward Soviet Jewry as violative of human rights and contrary to the asserted policy of the Soviet Union. But how can we justify our opposition to Soviet treatment of its Jewish citizens when we have yet to ratify the Genocide Convention?

We are powerless to invoke the terms of the convention against the Soviet Union because we are not a party to it.

The Convention Concerning the Abolition of Forced Labor, adopted by the International Labor Conference on June 25, 1957, has been signed in behalf of 79 nations.

The adoption of the convention by the International Labor Conference was the result of their earnest consideration of the problem of forced labor and their consideration of action.

At the 40th session of the International Labor Conference in 1957 the Committee on Forced Labor considered the draft of an international instrument concerning forced labor. The Committee submitted a draft convention to the General Conference with a report and the General Conference adopted the draft convention in June 1957. The U.S. delegations actively participated in the discussions regarding the draft convention, which was adopted by a vote of 240 to 0. As a member of the International Labor Organization, the United States has assumed obligations set forth in the ILO constitution.

In a letter to the President on July 18, 1963, Secretary of State Dean Rusk stated that the subject matter of this convention was wholly within the Federal competence under the 13th amendment to the U.S. Constitution, that there was neither Federal nor State power validly to impose forced labor as a punishment for a legal strike, and that, with regard to illegal strike activities, any such punishment would only come about "as punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted"—quoting from the 13th amendment.

The revised coordinated view that the

convention is appropriate for ratification was reached after such study by the following departments: Justice, Interior, Navy, and Labor. The Department of State was consulted in connection with the formulation of this view.

In view of the continuing importance of this subject in international relations and the leading role which the United States must continue to play in the United Nations activities and more specifically in the International Labor Organization on the subject of forced labor, it would then follow that this matter deserves the advise and consent of the Senate and approval of the convention.

The Convention on the Political Rights of Women, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in December 1962, has been approved by 55 nations.

U.S. representatives participated in all phases of the drafting and the Federal Government was represented on several of the committees who actively and favorably urged the adoption of the draft convention.

The substantive provisions of the convention provide that women shall be entitled to vote in all elections on equal terms with men and without discrimination; that they shall be eligible for election to all publicly elected bodies established by national law and that they shall be entitled to hold public office and exercise all public functions established by national law.

No substantive legal questions are involved in the United States becoming a party to this convention. Article I, relating to the rights of women to vote, merely reflects the principle established by the 19th amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

The eligibility of women to be elected and to be appointed to public office has long been recognized in law and practice in the United States. Why should we not support the world effort to have the same principle adopted by other nations?

Of course, the first objective of this convention was to encourage equal political rights for women in all nations. However, one way to make the mass of mankind see the significance in this simple matter of right and justice is to exercise our approval of this convention.

Speaking before the General Assembly, the late Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt said:

Following public opinion and acting with a true sense of statesmanship, the United States should continue to help nations change from what they are into what they ought to be.

The Convention Concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right To Organize adopted by the International Labor Conference in July 1948 has been approved by 76 nations.

The convention affirms the guarantees

provided under the first, fifth, 10th, and 14th amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

In a letter to the President, Dean Acheson, former Secretary of State, expressed the coordinated view of the following agencies and departments of the Federal Government: State, Justice, Interior, Navy, Labor, and the Federal Security Agency.

The subject matter of the convention is of fundamental significance in the field of international regulation of trade unions because it guarantees, to both workers and employers alike, the basic right of freedom to establish and to join organizations of their own choosing.

Each member of the International Labor Organization would undertake all necessary and appropriate measures to insure that workers and employers may exercise freely the right to organize.

Workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to establish and join federations and confederations and any such organization, federation, or confederation should have the right to affiliate with international organizations of workers and employers.

I urge my colleagues in the House to join me in supporting House Resolution 1020 and in making our views known to the other body. The ratification of this important pact will arm the United States with the international procedures to condemn the suppression of physical, spiritual, and cultural life throughout the world.

It will help us defend and protect the basic human right of survival. As Secretary of State Rusk said in 1950 as the then Deputy Under Secretary of State, testifying before a subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, ratification of the Genocide Convention would "demonstrate to the rest of the world that the United States is determined to maintain its moral leadership in international affairs and to participate in the development of international law."

It is the responsibility of the U.S. Senate to give its advice and consent to the President in connection with treaties. In this case, however, the Genocide Convention has been pending for 19 years without Senate action and the other conventions have also been pending for a period ranging from 10 to 20 years. For that reason, I have introduced a House resolution to express the sense of the House in support of these treaties.

# THE CRISIS AND CHALLENGE OF CRIME

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Florida [Mr. Pepper] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, on the first day of the first session of the 90th Congress I introduced House Joint Resolution 1, to provide for a joint congressional committee to investigate all aspects of crime, which I believe is one of the most challenging problems facing our people today. Sixty of my colleagues in the House have joined me in this legislation, and over 24 Senators have introduced companion bills in the Senate.

I pledge to make a special effort to have this legislation enacted during this session of the Congress, so we can make a major and thorough attack upon every phase of crime now plaguing our country, and to try to develop legislation which will give the people of our country a feeling of safety in their homes, offices, businesses, and on the streets and highways of this country.

Mr. Speaker, I may say that the citizenry of this country have not sat idly by and listened to the recent statistics stating that crime is on an increase all over this country. The Dade County Bar Association, which I am a member, held a crime control conference in Miami on December 18. We were honored to be afforded the distinguished honor of having addressed this conference, the Honorable Earl F. Morris, president of the American Bar Association. Mr. Morris is known throughout the country as a distinguished attorney and a noted expert in the field of crime and crime prevention.

Mr. Speaker, I have asked to insert, at this point in the Congressional Record, the excellent speech by Mr. Morris before the Dade County Bar Association's crime control conference in Miami, entitled "The Crisis and Challenge of Crime." I urge all my colleagues and those Americans interested and concerned with this frightening problem to read this splendid speech, which follows:

THE CRISIS AND CHALLENGE OF CRIME

(Address by Earl F. Morris, president, American Bar Association, before Crime Control Conference, Miami, Fla., December 18, 1967)

When I received President Hall's very kind invitation to speak at this luncheon, I gladly accepted for three reasons: first, it is indeed a pleasure for me to come to beautiful, warm Miami, far away from the cold winter climate of Columbus and Chicago even if it be for only a day; a second and more important reason is that the American Bar Association is concentrating a major portion of its activity this year on the prevention and control of crime; and third, frankly, I was curious to see what could be accomplished when a large and influential county bar association, in concert with interested lay and legal organizations, convened a crime control conference.

All of my expectations have been fulfilled: the weather is magnificent; this forum gives me an excellent opportunity to talk about the crisis—and the challenge—with which crime confronts our nation; and the program that you are presenting, and the large attendance of obviously enlightened and enthusiastic participants that you have attracted, attest to the significant contribution being made by the Dade County Bar Association, the Kiwanis Clubs of Dade County, the Florida Bar, the Florida Criminal Defense Attorneys Association, and the Crime Commission of Greater Miami in the attack on lawlessness.

If anyone doubted that crime in all forms is the most pressing domestic problem facing our nation today, the wave of riots in recent months with their attendant looting, arson and murder; the continued pervasiveness of organized crime; the steadily increasing

crime rate; and the constant rise in juvenile delinquency have erased all argument about the primacy and immediacy of the crime problem. If more were needed, the latest FBI report released last week furnishes it in abundance. The clipping I hold in my hand tells the alarming story—crime in the first nine months of 1967 has increased 16% over the same period in 1966 and, if this rate prevails for the last three months of this year, the increase in 1967 will have been the highest annual increase in crime in a decade.

While the cold statistics of crime are frightening, when we think of crime in human terms, in terms of people, when we realize, for example, that some kind of crime strikes one in every five families each year; that one boy in six will be brought into juvenile court for a nontraffic offense before he is 18; that one arrest in three is of a person under the age of 21; and that your risk, and my risk, of becoming a victim of a crime has risen 48 per cent since 1960, the fact of crime is truly staggering.

But what, you might ask, can I do about it? In this complex and rapidly changing society, what can I do to make a meaningful contribution to the solution of a problem so extensive as crime?

What is needed is the development of a monumental dedication to the job of cutting through public apathy and educating the citizenry to the threat that confronts us. What is needed is the type of leadership that can best be provided by lawyers and judges and laymen such as you.

What is needed is sufficient interest by each of us to "get involved", to participate in this enormous task, and by so doing to make a significant contribution to the task that confronts us.

The American Bar Association recognized part of its obligation in the war on crime some three years ago, when it launched a project to promulgate minimum standards for the administration of criminal justice, an endeavor which has been called "the most important in the field of judicial administration" undertaken in our time. Seven draft reports with proposed minimum standardsthose on fair trial-free press, providing de-fense services, pleas of guilty, speedy trial, post-conviction remedies, appellate review of sentences, and joinder and severance—have been issued, and it is expected that about eight more will be published during 1968. By "minimum" standards we mean, of course, criteria which are desirable within the realm of what is practicable and feasible in order to promote more effective law enforcement, to safeguard the constitutional rights of those persons suspected and accused of crime, and to insure the adequate protection of the public.

Last February, the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice issued its report, appropriately entitled "The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society". In addition to being an extraordinary study of the breadth and diversity of crime, the report contains more than two hundred recommendations for its prevention

and control.

It is not difficult, as one reads the report, to envision the role of the lawyer and the organized bar in the war on crime, and it is equally clear that every interested citizen and civic group can fulfill a vital part in this effort.

With respect to the role of the bar, I suggest that lawyers have a dual responsibility. Lawyers possess particular expertise concerning the recommendations for improvement of the administration of criminal justice, and our first task is to bring these to fruition. Our second obligation is to give leadership to broad public involvement in the attack on crime.

When the Commission says, "The criminal court is the central, crucial institution in the criminal justice system", it means that

all efforts to conquer crime will go for naught if the courts—"the institution around which the rest of the system has developed and to which the rest of the system is in large measure responsible"—if the courts do not administer justice efficiently and effectively.

The Commission recommends that we strive to bring to reality the essential steps for modernization of our courts: centralization of the administrative control of the courts; unification of the local court system; abolition or overhaul of justice of the peace courts; substitution of judicial for legislative rule-making power; improvement of methods of judicial selection and tenure; and establishment of a system for discipline and removal of incompetent or incapacitated judges.

I understand that Florida has already instituted several of these reforms, but more remains to be done. The judicial article of the proposed new State Constitution, drafted by the Florida Constitution Commission, provides for some of these measures, but effectual court reorganization results from action by a determined bench and bar, an enlightened legislature and an interested and militant citizenry.

The Crime Commission's proposals with regard to the courts go beyond matters of broad judicial reform. In order to effect improvement in criminal courts, it proposes bail reform, regularizing of the process known as "plea bargaining", improvement of the prosecution function, and establishment of assigned counsel and public defender systems.

If the minimum standards and the recommendations of the Crime Commission are to be implemented—and I sincerely hope and trust that they will be—it must be done in large part at the state and local level. The Commission has said:

"Almost every recommendation in this report is a recommendation to state or local governments, the governments that by and large administer criminal justice in America."

The kind of leadership to which I have referred—the moral guidance and intellectual suasion to get things done—will be necessary on the part of bar associations, individual lawyers, and citizen leaders to urge legislatures and courts to adopt these standards and to implement these recommendations.

As I earlier said, the American Bar Association has made the war on crime the primary thrust of our program for the current year, and I have appointed a Special Committee on Crime Prevention and Control, whose mandate is to guide the efforts of the Association in this struggle and to stimulate state and local bar associations to like endeavors.

Part of our work on a national scale includes the backing of appropriate federal legislation. We have given, and continue to give, our enthusiastic and active support to the Safe Streets and Crime Control Act, the Firearms Control Act, the legislation to combat juvenile delinquency, all of which were recommended in principle by the Crime Commission. It has been a source of deep concern to our Association that this legislation is still languishing in the lap of the Congress and we sincerely hope that action will be taken shortly after Congress reconvenes.

As to the latter part of our committee's mandate, we have initiated two projects which should encourage state and local bar associations throughout the nation to increase their efforts in the crime field.

I have written to the president of every state bar association and this week will write to every large local bar association, telling them of the current ABA program and urging them, if they have not already done so, to make the attack on crime one of the major phases of their activity. Your State Bar has already embarked upon a challenging program. Congressman Fascell recently included in the Congressional Record a copy of Bill Simmons' November President's Page from your Bar Journal in which Bill tells of the Florida Bar's Special Committee on Crime Control and of the like committee that has been appointed by the Dade County Bar Association. My heartlest congratulations to both Associations on getting underway and all of us will watch your accomplishments with interest.

In examining recent applications for the ABA Award of Merit, given to bar associations for outstanding activities, we found that several included programs in the crime field. We are now compiling a résumé of those activities to be sent to bar associations in an attempt to show them what can be accomplished at the local and state level. For instance, this conference that you are holding today, a similar conference sponsored by the State Bar of Michigan, and a Wichita Bar Association project to provide lawyers as lecturers in schools on such subjects as destruction of school property and the unlawful operation of automobiles are all excellent examples of the kind of constructive activity in which bar associations can energage.

Let there be no mistake about the fact that crime surrounds and plagues us no matter where we live and that nowhere is there room for complacency. According to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports, the crime rate in Florida in 1966, as compared to states with similar population, is higher than the crime rate in Massachusetts, New Jersey, Indiana and North Carolina; and Dade County had a higher crime rate than Atlanta, Dallas, Kansas City and Seattle. As a matter of fact. the crime rate in the Miami area was higher than that of several larger cities, such as Chicago, Philadelphia and Washington, D.C. Just as none of us are immune to crime, none of us can avoid the obligation to attack lawlessness in every possible way.

While there is much that lawyers and the organized bar can and should do to improve the administration of justice, it is abundantly clear that neither the bar nor the police nor any other segment of the citizenry can alone provide the solutions. An aroused public, willing and able to take the steps necessary to prevent and control crime, is essential to the task. The responsibility for action lies with every citizen.

The Justice Department's current campaign to remind drivers to lock their cars and take their ignition keys with them; the effort in some cities to better understand the problems and functions of law enforcement agencies; the attempt in other cities to organize citizen anti-crime crusades—these are but a few of the simple, yet effective, ways in which all of us, as citizens, can participate in the attack on crime.

If we all join in this crusade against crime, we are limited only by the Atlanta, Dallas, Kansas City and Seattle. As a matter of fact, the crime rate in the Miami area was higher than that of several larger cities, such as Chicago, Philadelphia and Washington, D.C. Just as none of us are immune to crime, none of us can avoid the obligation to attack law-lessness in every possible way.

While there is much that lawyers and the organized bar can and should do to improve the administration of justice, it is abundantly clear that neither the bar nor the police nor any other segment of the citizenry can alone provide the solutions. An aroused public, willing and able to take the steps necessary to prevent and control crime, is essential to the task. The responsibility for action lies with every citizen.

The Justice Department's current campaign to remind drivers to lock their cars and take their ignition keys with them; the effort in some cities to better understand the

problems and functions of law enforcement agencies; the attempt in other cities to organize citizen anti-crime crusades—these are but a few of the simple, yet effective, ways in which all of us, as citizens, can participate in the attack on crime.

If we all join in this crusade against crime, we are limited only by the breadth of our talents, the scope of our abilities, and the extent of our determination—and conferences such as this in which you are engaged today are an encouraging beginning toward what we must do. The test of effective action, however, is "where you go from here." I sincerely hope that there will be a vigorous and meaningful "follow-up" to this most auspicious start. The Crime Commission has said:

"Controlling crime in America is an endeavor that will be slow and hard and costly. But America can control crime if it will."

Let our response to this challenge be worthy of the depth of the problem and of the urgency of its solution.

# HUMAN RIGHTS YEAR

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from California [Mr. Brown] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker, the General Assembly of the United Nations and President Johnson have designated this year as the "International Human Rights Year." While the United States has ratified the Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, four more conventions, that establish universal minimum standards of human rights, remain for approval by our Nation. These conventions seek nothing for the whole of the peoples of the world that is not already the birthright of every U.S. citizen, and their ratification would not require any implementing legislation but would simply represent an affirmation of our genuine desire to universalize those rights which now exist in our land.

The observance of these conventions in other parts of the world would contribute significantly to the sum total of human freedom. We should have been among the first to approve these international provisions for human dignity, especially since our own Declaration of Independence proclaims "certain un-alienable rights" as the heritage of "all men." The moral strength of this Nation as a world leader is bound up in our support for the rights and freedoms of other peoples. It is, therefore, in our own interest to support the ratification of the remaining human rights conventions. President Kennedy stated in his message submitting these treaties for approval in 1963:

The day-to-day unfolding of events makes it even clearer that our own welfare is interrelated with the rights and freedoms assured the peoples of other nations.

President Kennedy believed devoutly that human rights and peace are intimately related and historically interdependent. He stated this relationship so very well in his magnificent American University speech when he asked: And is not peace, in the last analysis, basically a matter of human rights?

The ratification of the human rights conventions in this year would help to strengthen the United Nations and would be a significant step toward making world peace a reality.

As recently as this past October, President Johnson reminded us that "American ratification of these conventions is long overdue." In an address then, he pointed to his hope in proclaiming 1968 to be "Human Rights Year" by saying:

It is my continuing hope that the United States Senate will ratify these Conventions. This would present the world with another testament to our Nation's abiding belief in the inherent dignity and worth of the individual person. It would speak again of the highest ideals of America.

Mr. Speaker, it is my hope that President Johnson's and President Kennedy's desires will become the hope of all the citizens of the world in this International Year of Human Rights. I believe that our support of these conventions is a necessary contribution to the hopes of mankind and to the peace of the world. I will have President Kennedy's letter of July 22, 1963, requesting ratification of three of the human rights conventions. printed in the RECORD immediately following these remarks, along with President Johnson's proclamation of October 11, 1967, designating this the "Human Rights Year."

Today, I am cosponsoring a resolution in order to express the sense of the House of Representatives on the importance of U.S. ratification of these human rights conventions during International Human Rights Year. I hope that my colleagues in the House will give serious attention to this important matter.

The letters referred to follow:

[Office of the White House Press Secretary, July 22, 1963]

The White House made public today the following letter from the President of the Senate:

JULY 22, 1963.

Hon. Lyndon B. Johnson, President of the Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: I have today transmitted to the Senate three conventions with a view to receiving advice and consent to ratification. These are:

- 1. The Supplementary Convention to the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery, prepared under the direction of the United Nations in 1956, to which 49 nations are now parties.
- 2. The Convention on the Abolition of Forced Labor, adopted by the International Labor Organization in 1957, to which 60 nations are now parties.
- The Convention on the Political Rights of Women, opened for signature by the United Nations in 1953, to which 39 nations are now parties.

United States law is, of course, already in conformity with these conventions, and ratification would not require any change in our domestic legislation. However, the fact that our Constitution already assures us of these rights does not entitle us to stand aloof from documents which project our own heritage on an international scale. The day-to-day unfolding of events makes it ever clearer that our own welfare is interrelated with the rights and freedoms assured the peoples of other nations.

These conventions deal with human rights which may not yet be secure in other countries; they have provided models for the drafters of constitutions and laws in newly independent nations; and they have influenced the policies of governments preparing to accede to them. Thus, they involve current problems in many countries.

They will stand as a sharp reminder of world opinion to all who may seek to violate the human rights they define. They also serve as a continuous commitment to respect these rights. There is no society so advanced that it no longer needs periodic recommitment to human rights.

The United States cannot afford to renounce responsibility for support of the very fundamentals which distinguish our concept of government from all forms of tyranny. Accordingly, I desire, with the constitutional consent of the Senate to ratify these Conventions for the United States of America.

Sincerely,

JOHN F. KENNEDY.

"Human Rights Week and Human Rights Year"—A Proclamation by the President of the United States of America

The year 1968 will mark the twentieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights by the United Nations—an historic document of freedom that expresses man's deepest beliefs about the rights that every human being is born with, and that no government is entitled to deny.

The United Nations has designated 1968 as International Human Rights Year. It has invited its members to intensify their domestic efforts to realize the aims of the Declaration.

Every American should remember, with pride and gratitude, that much of the leader-ship in the drafting and adoption of the Declaration came from a great American, Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt. She was our first representative on the UN Commission on Human Rights.

Today, October 11, would have been her 83rd birthday. With the inspiration of her humanitarian concern still before us, I call the attention of our people to the Declaration she helped to author.

To Americans, the rights embodied in the Declaration are familiar, but to many other people, in other lands, they are rights never enjoyed and only recently even aspired to.

The adoption of the Declaration by the United Nations established a common standard of achievement for all peoples and all nations. These principles were incorporated into Human Rights Conventions, to be ratified by the individual nations.

American ratification of these Conventions is long overdue. The principles they embody are part of our own national heritage. The rights and freedoms they proclaim are those which America has defended—and fights to defend—around the world.

It is my continuing hope that the United States Senate will ratify these conventions. This would present the world with another testament to our Nation's abiding belief in the inherent dignity and worth of the individual person. It would speak again of the highest ideals of America.

Now, therefore, I, Lyndon B. Johnson, President of the United States of America, in honor of the ratification of the American Bill of Rights, December 15, 1791, and in honor of the adoption by the General Assembly of the United Nations of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, December 10, 1948, do hereby proclaim the week of December 10 through 17, 1967, to be Human Rights Week and the year 1968 to be Human Rights Week and the year 1968 to be Human Rights Year. In so doing, I call upon all Americans and upon all Government agencies—federal, state and local—to use this occasion to deepen our commitment to the defense of human rights and to strengthen

our efforts for their full and effective realization both among our own people and among all the peoples of the United Nations.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this eleventh day of October, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred sixty-seven, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and ninetv-second.

LYNDON B. JOHNSON.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON SIGNS INTO LAW THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Dent] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. DENT. Mr. Speaker, during the recess period I had the honor of attending signing ceremonies at the White House when President Johnson signed into law an act prohibiting age discrimination in employment.

As the House sponsor of this important legislation, I agree with the President that:

Although there are now 52 million Americans between the ages of 40 and 64, half of all jobs were closed to workers over 55, and one-fourth of all jobs were closed to workers over 45.

Obviously, such statistics demonstrate the waste of valuable human resources and cruel and senseless discrimination against potential wage earners who are fully capable of long years of productive service to American industry.

This legislation will give these men and women a fair chance to be productive and useful citizens. As the President noted:

When improper age discrimination does occur, the act requires conciliation and persuasion. If voluntary compliance cannot be arranged, it permits court action. The act also calls for research and education to melt the misinformation and unconscious bias toward older workers that still exists today.

This act, in the President's words, "gives the vital part of our labor force between 40 and 65 a better chance to go on working productively and gainfully. The country will gain as well—from making better use of their skills and experience."

I include in the RECORD the text of the President's remarks at the signing of S. 830:

STATEMENT BY THE PRESIDENT UPON SIGNING S. 830, AN ACT PROHIBITING AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT

During my four years in the Presidency, I have fought discrimination in employment in all of its ugly forms with every power of my office.

In 1963, Congress passed the Equal Pay Act, prohibiting wage discrimination on the basis of sex for workers covered by federal

minimum wage standards.

A year later, the Civil Rights Act of 1964 outlawed job discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex or national origin.

That historic act also directed the Secretary of Labor to study another problem of employment discrimination—one which had

long been ignored, and about which little was known. It was the noxious practice of

discrimination because of age.

The report of Secretary of Labor showed that, although there are now 52 million Americans between the ages of 40 and 64, half of all jobs were closed to workers over 55, and one-fourth of all jobs were closed to workers over 45.

It showed that workers 45 years old and older made up half of this country's long term unemployed, and over one-fourth of all

the unemployed.

It showed that, of the billion dollars in unemployment insurance paid out each year, three-fourths went to workers 45 or over.

It showed that, although Americans are now living longer and enjoying better health than ever before, older workers were often barred from jobs that could be performed efficiently by workers of any age.

Those figures added up to a senseless and costly waste of human talents and energy. They showed that men and women who needed to work—who wanted to work—and

who were able to work, were not being given a fair chance to work.

The need for national action was clear. In my message to Congress in January of this very year, I recommended the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967. Yesterday I signed that Act.

Its basic purpose is to outlaw discrimination in employment against persons 40 to 65 years of age. It makes proper allowance for cases where age is a bona fide qualification

for employment.

This act does not compel employers and labor unions and employment agencies to choose a person aged 40 to 65 over another person. It does require that one simple question be answered fairly:

Who has the best qualifications for the

lop.

When improper age discrimination does occur, the act requires conciliation and persuasion. If voluntary compliance cannot be arranged, it permits court action. The act also calls for research and education to melt the misinformation and unconscious blas toward older workers that still exist today.

The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 gives the vital part of our labor force between 40 and 65 a better chance to go on working productively and gainfully. The country will gain as well—from making better use of their skills and experience.

This is humane and practical legislation. The Congress acted wisely in passing it and

I am proud to sign it.

This measure joins more than 50 other humane legislative proposals written into law during the first session of the 90th Congress.

## THE HELPING HAND CLUB

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. Nichols] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from

Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. NICHOLS. Mr. Speaker, there are in existence, throughout our entire country, many well-known service organizations that do an excellent job in assisting those persons who, for some reason, are unable to help themselves. We hear a great deal about those organizations which are nationwide organizations, but I would like to call to the attention of my colleagues one such group that operates in my State of Alabama.

The Helping Hand Club of Anniston

was formed on May 4, 1953, and since that time has assisted numerous persons who have had unfortunate circumstances.

The action of the Helping Hand Club in assisting an Anniston resident, who was recently wounded by a sniper, is indicative of the type of work this group does. An Anniston radio, WDNG, recently carried an editorial pointing out the work of the Helping Hand Club in assisting Mr. Donald Greenwood. I would like to include this editorial in the Record at this point:

WDNG RADIO EDITORIAL, DECEMBER 20, 1967

When Willie Brewster was murdered two and a half years ago, the citizens of Anniston were aroused and angered. And rightly so. Willie Brewster was a Negro, and his killer was white. Over \$20,000 was raised as a reward to apprehend the victim's killer. It was a noble and good thing to do.

But something happened a little over a month ago that so closely parallels the Brewster case, it cannot be ignored. On November 18. Donald Greenwood was shot in the back

of the neck.

What's the connection? Well, both of the victims were shot in the back—a cowardly thing anyway you look at it. Brewster was in critical condition for several days and then died. Greenwood was in critical condition for over a week, and will live, but is expected to be paralyzed from the neck down for the rest of his life. Greenwood is white. His alleged attacker is Negro.

We do not here state that citizens should rush to Greenwood's aid simply because he was attacked by a Negro. On the contrary, we are sure our Negro citizens are just as appalled as are we. When a fellow human being is struck down in this uncivilized manner, it should arouse all of us, whether the victim is white, black or yellow, or regardless of

what is the race of his attacker.

The Helping Hand Club of Anniston is trying to help young Greenwood. Some \$1,200 has been raised in the past three weeks. Donald Greenwood is only 24 years old. He had no insurance. He has a wife and two small children. He is in Stringfellow Memorial Hospital and requires around the clock nurses. He needs our help, and we should give it to him.

# PROPOSED BILL ON NATURAL GAS PIPELINES

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Patten] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATTEN. Mr. Speaker, I hope that during this session the House will pass legislation requiring stringent safety regulations over natural gas pipelines, an area of deep concern to me and many others.

There are two major pipelines in Middlesex County, N.J., and three others in northern New Jersey. In addition to these and others, applications were filed last year to build a new 42-inch natural gas line from North Brunswick through East Brunswick, Sayreville, Madison Township, to the Raritan Bay. This project concerns me very much.

Presently, the Federal Power Commission has powers over safety standards,

but it is evident to me that these standards are not adequate. Therefore, I am joining several of my colleagues in cosponsoring a bill that would provide the U.S. Department of Transportation with authority over natural gas pipelines.

This proposal would vest powers in the Department of Transportation Secretary applicable to the "design, installation, inspection, testing, construction, extension, operation, replacement, and maintenance" of gas pipelines.

It would provide criminal penalties of \$5,000 or 1 year in jail for a knowing violation of gas safety regulations, with punishment increased if death or bodily

injury results.

I hope that such a proposal would "tighten up" legislation now before the House Judiciary Committee by requiring the Department of Transportation to hold full hearings before prescribed regulations could be waived.

Under other legislation being considered, the Department of Transportation Secretary could provide for waiver without this extra safeguard, possibly opening the door to a rule of exception.

Mr. Speaker, I well remember the natural gas pipeline explosion in Louisiana in 1965, when 17 persons were killed. If this legislation is passed, similar disasters could be prevented.

# PRESIDENT JOHNSON—A LEADER FOR ALL OCCASIONS

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. Patten] may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATTEN. Mr. Speaker, Max Lerner, the well-known columnist and critic, has caught the essence of Lyndon Johnson's leadership in a recent article published in the Boston Herald Traveler.

Lerner describes the President in a series of impressive roles journeying around the world—"as a mourner for a friend who had been Prime Minister; as the head of a pacific power conferring with Asian heads of state; as a father come to hearten and sustain his soldier sons in Vietnam; as a Christian conferring with the Pope in Rome on ways to bring peace; as an enlightening traveler giving imaginative visual reality to America's global burdens and duties."

Here is the essence of the President in the many duties which he must per-

form.

And here is the impressive dimension of his performance. He has carried out these multiple and burdensome roles with vigor, imagination, drive, commitment, and success.

No one can doubt—whatever his party—that we have a full-time President in the White House, a man who devotes the totality of his mind and body to the service of his country.

Lyndon B. Johnson has shown—and continues to show us and the world—that he is a leader for all occasions. He knows the burdens of leadership and he

is willing to carry them. But he knows they cannot be borne alone. He must have

the people with him.

I submit that many of President Johnson's most recent decisions-from restriction on American investment abroad. to standing a middle course in the Vietnam war, to his fight for the American consumer-are the hallmark of a man who is not afraid to make the hard decisions, the unpopular decisions, the unpalatable decisions of State.

Let us remember when we begin to evaluate our President and his future opponents, that a man shows us his real qualities in the way he makes the tough decisions-not in the way he makes the

easy ones.

For myself, I am proud that we have a President who is not afraid to act on behalf of what he believes to be the best interests of America and the best interests of free people throughout the world.

I insert in the RECORD a Max Lerner article on the President entitled "Johnson Performance Impressive":

[From the Boston Herald Traveler, Jan. 2, 19681

JOHNSON PERFORMANCE IMPRESSIVE (By Max Lerner)

Washington.—At the year's turning, Lyndon Johnson has ended it not with a whimper but with a bang-with a performance, from Melbourne to Korat to Cam Ranh to Karachi to Rome to Washington, impressive for sheer political virtuosity.

Here was the President in an impressive succession of roles; as a mourner for a friend who had been prime minister; as the head of a Pacific power conferring with Asian heads of state; as a father come to hearten and sustain his soldier-sons in Vietnam; as a Christian conferring with the Pope in Rome on ways to bring peace; as an enlightening traveler giving imaginative visual reality to America's global burdens and duties.

This was Mr. Johnson as virtuoso, a political man showing himself to advantage as a contemporary leader. Who can doubt that he was campaigning, too? Campaigning in a double sense: to overcome the spreading doubts about the war and to present a united front to the world; and to counter the dump-Johnson movement inside the Democratic Party. I suspect that Sen. Eugene McCarthy has discovered to his dismay just how for-midable a campaigner Mr. Johnson can be while he is discharging his day-to-day functions as President.

Doubtless much of this is due to the nature of the Presidency and the resources it com-mands. "Go fight city hall," the East Side immigrants used to say. "Go fight the White House" might be the present despairing cry of the President's enemies in both parties. Yet while Mr. Johnson has the Presidency as his weapon, which of his opponents today could use it with the lethal skill he has shown? A man must eat and drink and sleep as a political tactician; he must dream tactics, to be able to turn an accidental event (like the memorial services at Melbourne) to his purposes.

I am not denying the sincerity of Mr. Johnson's grief at Prime Minister Holt's death, nor of his wish to visit the soldiers in Vietnam, nor of his desire to talk peace with the Pope. I merely point out how artfully the pieces of his sincerity fit together into an overall pattern that makes his do-mestic position and his world standing somewhat more secure after the trip than before There lies the great art of being a political man.

From whatever angle you view him, this is one of the most complex men ever to have occupied the presidential chair. You think you have him figured, and suddenly you find how wrong you were. You think he is through, and you write off his chances of getting reelected, and back he pops as the most formidable figure of all the candidates in both parties.

In his basic domestic thinking he is a traditional Democratic liberal, with a liberalism very much like that of Harry Truman, going back to the source from which both of them have taken it-Franklin Roosevelt. It is a bread-and-butter, housing-and-education

liberalism. One thinks of Maury Maverick's definition of liberalism: "freedom plus groceries." That was the theme to which he kept returning in his TV conversation at the White House. He will use it in his 1968 campaign, just as Mr. Truman used it 20 years earlier in the 1948 campaign. He hasn't refurbished it much, except for applying it to the anti-poverty and civil rights causes and some extra emphasis on the education breakthrough. It isn't a sophisticated liberalism, as witness his belief that the Negro-white hatreds will yield to the creation of more

jobs in the ghettos.

In his foreign policy he has marked time on Europe, made mistakes on Latin America which he later corrected, continued the basic Kennedy position on trying for agreements on nuclear weapons—and put all his political stakes on his decision on the Vietnamese war. It was a decision with a high calculated risk. Personally he has stuck it out, but whether the war will prove politically disastrous to him depends on how it goes in the next 10 months, and that, in turn, depends on whether he can heal the deep war split inside America and persuade the nation to stick it out.

He has at least a few things going for him. One is the boomerang effect of some of the excessive hate-Johnson exhibitions. A second is the underdog support he is getting from Dwight Eisenhower on the war Another is the growth of mood in the nation, which will give Mr. Johnson the middle-ground position for which he has always maneuvered.

Above all there is the fact of his being a knowledgeable skillful political man who knows what he wants and is unlikely to be turned aside from it by anyone in sight today, whether Democrat or Republican.

PROPOSED SELECT COMMITTEE TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION AND STUDY OF THE RELIEF OF COMDR. ARNHEITER AND CAPT. RICHARD G. ALEXANDER

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New York [Mr. RESNICK] may extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. RESNICK. Mr. Speaker, On November 20, I inserted into the Congres-SIONAL RECORD a statement by Capt. R. G. Alexander, commanding officer of the battleship New Jersey, to the Secretary of the Navy on behalf of Lt. Comdr. Marcus A. Arnheiter, a career naval officer who was relieved of his command of a warship on active duty, in a highly unorthodox manner.

Captain Alexander had followed the Arnheiter case closely and after reviewing the background he concluded that a full investigation was warranted. He

urged the Secretary of the Navy to conduct such a review.

Mr. Speaker, Captain Alexander has a distinguished record as a qualified and dedicated naval officer.

I was shocked last week to read in the newspaper that Captain Alexander had suddenly and unexplainedly been relieved of his command of the U.S.S. New Jersey and had been reassigned to an obscure desk job in Boston, Mass.

Mr. Speaker, if the Navy's arrogance in the Arnheiter case is disturbing, its unexplained firing of Captain Alexander is an appalling insult to the American

people.

I am today introducing a resolution proposing the creation of a select committee to conduct an investigation and study of the relief of Lt. Comdr. Marcus Arnheiter and Capt. Richard G. Alexander. I hope that my distinguished colleagues will join me in support of this resolution:

H. Res. 1019

Whereas Captain Richard G. Alexander has established a distinguished record as highly qualified and dedicated officer in the United States Navy; and the Navy recognized Captain Alexander's ability by naming him Commanding Officer of the battleship United

States ship New Jersey; and Whereas Captain Alexander out of a sense of duty and conscience publicly rallied to the defense of a fellow officer Lieutenant Commander Marcus A. Arnheiter, whom he felt has been unfairly treated by the Navy, and at the request of the Secretary of the Navy, presented his opinions on the Arnheiter case, preparing a detailed brief requesting justice for his fellow officer; and Whereas Captain Alexander presented his

views to the Secretary of the Navy only after being invited by the Secretary to do so; and

Whereas the Secretary of the Navy was so interested in having Captain Alexander's opinion that he went so far as to send his own plane to bring Captain Alexander to Washington; and

Whereas, as a direct result of his speaking out, Captain Alexander was shortly there-after summoned again to Washington and relieved of his command of the United States ship New Jersey; and

Whereas the Navy has refused to provide either a fair and objective hearing for Lieutenant Commander Arnheiter; or any explanation for Captain Alexander's peremptory dismissal; and

Whereas the Navy appears determined to punish and silence all who dare to disagree with its decisions, no matter how unjust

they may be; and

Whereas these arrogant actions are a stain on the honor of the Navy and a threat to the morale of its officers, while at the same time terminating the useful careers of two distinguished young officers: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That there is hereby created a select committee to be composed of seven Members of the House of Representatives to be appointed by the Speaker, one of whom he shall designate as chairman. Any vacancy occurring in the membership of the commit-tee shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made.

The committee is authorized and directed to conduct a full and complete investigation and study of the facts and circumstances leading up to the relief on March 31, 1966, of Lieutenant Commander Marcus A. Arnheiter, from the command of the United States ship Vance, and the relief on January 5, 1968, of Captain Richard G. Alexander from the command of the United States ship New Jersey, in order to determine whether justice was done to both officers, and to insure that a complete report is made to the

American people.

For the purpose of carrying out this resolution the committee, or any subcommittee thereof authorized by the committee to hold hearings, is authorized to sit and act during the present Congress at such times and places within the District of Columbia, whether the House is in session, has recessed, or has adjourned, to hold such hearings, and to require, by subpena or otherwise, the attendance and testimony of such witnesses and the production of such books, records, correspondence, memorandums, papers, and documents, as it deems necessary. Subpenas may be issued under the signature of the chairman of the committee or any member of the committee designated by him, and may be served by any person designated by

such chairman or member.

The committee shall report to the House as soon as practicable during the present Congress the results of its investigation and study, together with such recommendations as it deems advisable. Any such report which is made when the House is not in session shall be filed with the Clerk of the House.

# LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of ab-

sence was granted to:

Mrs. Dwyer (at the request of Mr. GERALD R. FORD), for today, and the balance of the week, on account of illness in the family.

Mrs. May (at the request of Mr. ARENDS), until January 24, 1968, on ac-

count of official business.

Mr. KUPFERMAN (at the request of Mr. ASPINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mr. KUPFERMAN (at the request of Mr. GERALD R. FORD), through January 31, on account of official business.

Mr. Foley (at the request of Mr. As-PINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs

Mr. Edmondson (at the request of Mr. ASPINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mr. HAGAN (at the request of Mr. AL-BERT), through January 24, on account of

official business.

Mr. WHITE (at the request of Mr. As-PINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mrs. Mink (at the request of Mr. As-PINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mr. MEEDS (at the request of Mr. As-PINALL), through January 29 on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular

Mr. McClure (at the request of Mr. As-PINALL), through January 29, on account of official business as a member of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mrs. Hansen of Washington (at the request of Mr. Albert), through February 9, on account of official business.

Mr. GARMATZ, Mrs. SULLIVAN, and Mr. MURPHY of New York (at the request of Mr. Albert), through January 22, on account of official business.

Mr. CEDERBERG (at the request of Mr. GERALD R. FORD), until February 2, 1968. on account of official business.

Mr. Smith of Oklahoma (at the request of Mr. GERALD R. FORD), through January 24, on account of official business.

Mr. Horron (at the request of Mr. GER-ALD R. FORD), through January 19, on ac-

count of official business.

Mr. GROVER (at the request of Mr. GER-ALD R. FORD), for the period January 15, 1968, through January 22, 1968, on account of official business.

Mr. Schadeberg (at the request of Mr. GERALD R. FORD), for the period January 15, 1968, through January 22, 1968, on account of official business.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders here-

Mr. Reuss, today, for 30 minutes; to revise and extend his remarks and to

include extraneous matter.

Mr. OLSEN, for 30 minutes, today; to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.

# EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to extend remarks was granted to:

Mr. Sikes in five instances and to include extraneous material.

Mr. REUSS in six instances and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. Zablocki in two instances and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. Mahon in three instances and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. SAYLOR in two instances and to include extraneous matter.

Mr. KARSTEN and to include extraneous matter.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. Duncan) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. Brown of Ohio.

Mr. Mize in two instances.

Mr. LAIRD in two instances.

Mr. GOODLING.

Mr. RUMSFELD in three instances.

Mr. DERWINSKI in two instances.

Mr. BERRY.

Mr. WYMAN in three instances.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. ALBERT) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas in eight instances.

Mr. DINGELL in two instances.

Mr. BLATNIK in five instances.

Mr. Jones of Alabama. Mr. Long of Maryland.

Mr. Corman in five instances.

Mr. TENZER in five instances.

Mr. PHILBIN in four instances.

Mr. HÉBERT.

Mr. FASCELL.

Mr. Rogers of Colorado.

Mr. Brown of California.

Mr. Dulski in two instances.

Mr. Ryan in three instances.

# ADJOURNMENT

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 10 minutes p.m.) the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, January 16, 1968, at 12 o'clock noon.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1292. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting a report of factors for use in apportioning funds for the Na-tional System of Interstate and Defense Highways, pursuant to the provisions of section 104(b)5, title 23, United States Code (H. Doc. No. 199); to the Committee on Public Works and ordered to be printed with illustrations

1293. A letter from the Chairman, National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Policies, transmitting the annual report on the policies and operations of the Council covering the period July 1, 1966, to June 30, 1967 (H. Doc. No. 200); to the Committee on Banking and Currency

and ordered to be printed.

1294. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations, Department of State, transmitting the text of ILO Recommendation No. 126 concerning the vocational training of fishermen adopted by the International Labor Conference at its 50th session, at Geneva on June 21, 1966, also the texts of ILO Convention No. 125 concerning fishermen's certificates of competency and ILO Convention No. 126 concerning accommodations on board fishing vessels (H. Doc. No. 201); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered printed.

1295. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on examination of financial statements. fiscal year 1967, for the Federal Prison In-dustries, Inc., Department of Justice, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 841 (H. Doc. No. 202); to the Committee on Government Operations and ordered printed.

1296. A letter from the director, the American Legion, Washington, D.C., transmitting the proceedings of the 49th annual national convention, held in Boston, Mass., on August 29-31, 1967 (H. Doc. No. 203); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs and ordered to be

printed with illustrations.

1297. A letter from the Associate Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting a report of agreements for the use of foreign currencies for the months of November and December 1967, pursuant to Public Law 85-128; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1298. A letter from the Secretary of Labor, transmitting a report of exemplary rehabilitation certificates for the calendar year 1967, pursuant to Public Law 89-690 to the Committee on Armed Services.

1299. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of Agriculture, transmitting the annual report of the Secretary, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 84-540; to the Com-

mittee on Agriculture.

1300. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Department of Agriculture, transmit-ting plans for works of improvement which have been prepared under the provisions of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1005), Blackhawk-Kickapoo, Wis., Cross Creek, Kans., Garrison Creek, Okla., Mustang Creek, Calif., Old Town Creek, Ala., and Tesnatee Creek, Ga., each plan involves a structure which provides not more than 4,000 acrefeet of total capacity; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1301. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Department of Agriculture, transmitting plans for works of improvement which have been prepared under the provisions of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1005), Cahulga Creek, Ala., Cameron-Creole, La., Central Madison, La., Elk Twomile Creek, W. Va., West Fork Bayou Lacassine, La., and West Fork Mayfield Creek, Ky., each plan involves a structure which provides not more than 4,000 acre-feet of total capacity; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1302. A letter from the Secretary of the Interior, Chairman, Migratory Bird Conservation Commission, transmitting the report of the Migratory Bird Conservation Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967, pursuant to 45 Stat. 1222, 16 U.S.C. 715b; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1303. A letter from the Deputy Director of Science and Education, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the report of research facilities, grants executed and disbursements for the fiscal year 1967, pursuant to Public Law 88-74; to the Committee on Agriculture.

1304. A letter from the Director, Selective Service System, transmitting the 17th annual report of the Director for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of section 10(g) of the Universal Military Training and Service Act; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1305. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Army, transmitting a report on the Department of the Army aviation personnel above the grade of major, for the period July 1 to December 31, 1967, pursuant to section 301(g) of title 37, United States Code; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1306. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting a report of the number of officers above the grade of lieutenant commander, or equivalent, entitled to receive incentive pay for flight duty, and the average monthly incentive pay authorized by law to be paid to such officers during the 6-month period preceding the date of the report, pursuant to the provisions of section 301(g) of title 37, United States Code; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1307. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Properties and Installations), transmitting a report of construction projects required to accommodate the relocation of Naval and Marine Corps Reserve aviation activities from the obsolescent Naval Air Station, Grosse Isle, Mich., pursuant to the provisions of 10 U.S.C. 2233a(1), and pursuant to authority delegated to me by the Secretary of Defense; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1308. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installation and Logistics), transmitting a report on Department of Defense procurement from small and other business firms for July-October 1967, pursuant to the provisions of the Small Business Act, as amended; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

1309. A letter from the Comptroller of the Currency, transmitting the statistical supplement to the 1966 Annual Report of the Comptroller of the Currency; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

1310. A letter from the vice president, the Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co., transmitting the annual report of the Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. for the year 1967, pursuant to law; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Isil. A letter from the Secretary, Export— Import Bank of Washington, transmitting a report on the amount of Export-Import Bank insurance and guarantees issued from September through November 1967 in connection with exports to Yugoslavia and to Hungary, pursuant to title III of the Foreign Assistance and Related Agencies Appropriation Act of 1967 and to the Presidential Determination of February 4, 1964; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1312. A letter from the Chairman, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States, transmitting the 24th semiannual report for the period ending June 30, 1966; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1313. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Administration, Department of Agriculture, transmitting a report of disposals of foreign excess property for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1967, pursuant to Public Law 81–152; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1314. A letter from the Administrator, General Services Administration, transmitting the annual report of the General Services Administration for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1315. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on examination of financial statements, fiscal year 1967, Veterans Canteen Service, Veterans' Administration, pursuant to (38 U.S.C. 4207); to the Committee on Government Operations.

1316. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on need to improve internal audit in the Department of Justice; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1317. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on possible savings available by sending men to Near East Armed Forces examining and entrance station, Selective Service System; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1318. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on reduction of heating costs at military bases available through conversion of manually operated furnaces, Department of the Army and Department of the Air Force; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1319. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on need for improved procedures to minimize overpayments of non-service-connected disability and death pensions, Veterans' Administration; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1320. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on significant savings possible if rural mail carriers use Government instead of personal vehicles, Post Office Department; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1321. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report on review of loans to grazing associations, Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agriculture; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1322. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report of more effective Federal action needed to meet urban renewal rehabilitation objectives in Cleveland, Ohio, Department of Housing and Urban Development; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1323. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report of opportunity for savings by consolidating photographic operations at the John F. Kennedy Space Center and the Air Force Eastern Test Range, National Aeronautics and Space Administration and Department of Defense; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1324. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report of opportunity for economies under guardianship program, Veterans' Administration; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1325. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a report of opportunities for American-flag ship operators to use U.S.-owned excess foreign currencies and the effect on the balance

of payments and budget deficits, Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1326. A letter from the Public Printer, U.S. Government Printing Office, transmitting the Annual Report of the Government Printing Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of 28 Stat. 603; to the Committee on House Administration.

1327. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting the 11th annual report on the status of the Colorado River storage project and participating projects, pursuant to 70 Stat. 105; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

1328. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting a proposed concession contract with Majestic Hotel Co., Hot Springs National Park, Ark., for the period January 1, 1968, through December 31, 1972, pursuant to 70 Stat. 543; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

1329. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting a proposed concession contract with J. Dolman Robinson and Helen B. Robinson to continue to operate the Rainbow Forest Lodge in Petrified Forest National Park, Ariz., for the period from January 1, 1968, through December 31, 1977, pursuant to 70 Stat. 543; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

1330. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting a proposed concession contract with Mrs. Louise M. Bertschy to continue to operate the Triangle X Ranch for the public in Grand Teton National Park from January 1 through December 31, 1968, pursuant to 70 Stat. 543; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

1331. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting the 22d annual report of operations under the Federal Airport Act for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1967, pursuant to Public Law 377, 79th Congress, as amended; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

1332. A letter from the Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare, transmitting the report of the Program Review Committee for the Nurse Training Act of 1964, pursuant to title VIII of the Public Health Service Act; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

1333. A letter from the Chairman, Civil Aeronautics Board, transmitting the annual report for the fiscal year 1967; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

1334. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Power Commission, transmitting a report showing information on the permits and licenses for hydroelectric projects issued during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967, financial statements of proceeds derived from licenses issued by the authority of the Federal Power Act, and the names and compensation of persons employed by the Commission during the same period, pursuant to section 4(d) of the Federal Power Act; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

1335. A letter from the Executive Director, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting a report on backlog of pending applications and hearing cases in the Federal Communications Commission as of October 31, 1961, pursuant to section 5(e) of the Communications Act as amended July 16, 1952, Public Law 554; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

1336. A letter from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to change the provision with respect to the maximum rate of interest permitted on loans and mortgages insured under title XI of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

1337. A letter from the Librarian of Congress, transmitting a report of positions allocated to grades 16, 17, and 18 in the Leg-

islative Reference Service, pursuant to the provisions of section 5114 of title 5, United States Code; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

1338. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration, Department of the Interior, transmitting a report concerning scientific or professional positions in the Department, pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 3104; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

1339. A letter from the Librarian of Congress, transmitting a report with respect to scientific and professional positions in the Library of Congress, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3104(c); to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

1340. A letter from the Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, transmitting a report indicating that no positions in grades GS-16, GS-17, and GS-18 were allocated to or placed during the 1967 calendar year, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5114(a); to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

1341. A letter from the Director of Personnel. U.S. Department of Commerce, transmitting a report of scientific and professional positions established, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3104(c); to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

1342. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated November 7, 1967, submitting a report, together with accompanying papers and illustrations, on a survey of Jupiter Inlet, Fla., authorized by the River and Harbor Act approved March 2, 1945, it is also in response to a resolution of the Committee on Commerce, U.S. Senate, adopted November 8, 1943; to the Committee on Public Works.

1343. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a letter from the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, dated November 7, 1967, submitting a report, together with accompanying papers and illustrations, on a letter report on Wood Island Harbor, Maine, and the pool at Biddeford, requested by a resolution of the Committee on Public Works, House of Representatives, adopted August 25, 1960; to the Committee on Public Works.

1344. A letter from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting the Second Annual Report of the Economic Development Administration for the year 1967, pursuant to Public Law 89–136; to the Committee on Public

1345. A letter from the Board of Directors, Tennessee Valley Authority, transmitting the 34th annual report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1967; to the Committee on Pub-Works.

1346. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Department of Agriculture, transmitting plans for works of improvement which have been prepared under the provisions of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1005), Brown's Creek, S.C., Cane Creek, N.C. and S.C., Chunky River, Miss., Fourche Creek, Ark. and Mo., and Little Walnut Creek, Ind., each plan involving a structure which provides more than 4,000 acre-feet of total capacity; to the Committee on Public Works.

1347. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Department of Agriculture, transmitting plans for works of improvement which have plans for works of improvement which have been prepared under the provisions of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1005), Browns Creek, Miss., Fitzgerald and Soldier Creeks, Okla., Harquahala Valley, Ariz., lower Elk River, Kans., Nezinscot River, Maine, Ok-fuskee tributaries, Oklahoma, Silver Creek, Miss., and upper Elk River, Kans., each plan involving a structure which provides more than 4,000 acre-feet of total capacity; to the Committee on Public Works.

1348. A letter from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting the report of the Indepent Study Board, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 89-136; to the Committee on

1349. A letter from the Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, transmitting copies of orders entered in certain cases of aliens found admissible to the United States, pursuant to the provisions of section 212(a) (I) (28) (ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1350. A letter from the executive officer, St. Augustine Quadricentennial Commission, transmitting their final report pursuant to 79 Stat. 1129; to the Committee on the Ju-

1351. A letter from the Acting Secretary of the Air Force, transmitting a report of claims settled and not settled arising out of the crash of a U.S. Air Force aircraft at Wichita, Kans., on January 16, 1965, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 89-65; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1352. A letter from the Secretary of the Army, transmitting a report concerning claims settled during fiscal year 1967 under the Military Personnel and Civilian Employees' Claims Act, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 241(e);

to the Committee on the Judiciary.
1353. A letter from the national secretary treasurer, Sons of Union Veterans of the Civil War, transmitting the printed proceedings of the 86th annual national encampment of the national organization, Sons of Union Veterans of the Civil War, held in Chicago, Ill., August 6-10, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 83-605; to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.
1354. A letter from the treasurer, American Historical Association, transmitting an examination of the accounts of the association for the year ending August 31, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of law; to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.
1355. A letter from the board of directors, Future Farmers of America, transmitting a report on the audit of the accounts of the Future Farmers of America for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 88-504; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1356. A letter from the honorary executive director, National Conference on Citizenship, transmitting the audit of the accounts of the National Council on Citizenship for the fiscal year July 1, 1966, to June 30, 1967, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 88-504; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1357. A letter from the Sergeant at Arms, U.S. House of Representatives, transmitting a statement exhibiting the several sums drawn, pursuant to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 84; to the Committee on House Administration.

1358. A letter from the Secretary of the Interior, transmitting a report on matters contained in the Helium Act for the fiscal year 1967, pursuant to Public Law 86-777; to Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

1359. A letter from the General Manager, Atomic Energy Commission, transmitting a report of disposition of excess foreign property during fiscal year 1967, pursuant to the provisions of 40 U.S.C. 514; to the Committee on Government Operations.

1360. A letter from the Chairman, the Renegotiation Board, transmitting the 12th annual report for the year 1967, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 84-870; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

# REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUB-LIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Joint Com-

House Report No. 1051. Report on the disposition of certain papers of sundry executive departments. Ordered to be printed.

Mr. PATMAN: Joint Committee on Defense Production. The 17th annual report of the activities of the Committee on Defense Production (Rept. No. 1052). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. STAGGERS: Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, Report on the activity of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 90th Congress, first session (Rept. No. 1053). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

#### PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BENNETT:

H.R. 14594. A bill to provide for Federal and State cooperation in the inspection of poultry and fish products; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. BINGHAM: H.R. 14595. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to increase monthly benefits (with subsequent cost-of-living increases), to provide higher widow's benefits. to provide benefits for dependent parents, to permit the payment of benefits to married couples on their combined earnings records, to permit the exemption from coverage of services performed after attaining age 65, to eliminate the new restrictive definition of disability, to raise the wage base, and to otherwise extend and improve the OASDI system; to amend title XVIII of such act to provide coverage for certain drug expenses under the SMI programs; and to amend title IV and XIX of such act to eliminate certain restrictions and limitations added in 1967 to the AFDC and medical assistance programs; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts: H.R. 14596. A bill to amend the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937 and the Railroad Un-employment Insurance Act to provide for increase in benefits, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign

By Mr. CONTE:
H.R. 14597. A bill to provide for an equitable sharing of the U.S. market by electronic articles of domestic and of foreign origin; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. CUNNINGHAM:

H.R. 14598. A bill to declare and determine the policy of the Congress with respect to the primary authority of the several States to control, regulate, and manage fish and wildlife within their territorial boundaries; to confirm to the several States such primary authority and responsibility with respect to the management, regulation, and control of fish and wildlife on lands owned by the United States, and to specify the exceptions applicable thereto, and to provide procedure under which Federal agencies may otherwise regulate the taking of fish and game on such lands; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. DUNCAN:

H.R. 14599. A bill to prohibit the redemption in gold of any obligations of the United States for, and to prohibit the sale of any gold of the United States to, any nation which is indebted to the United States; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By EVINS of Tennessee: H.R. 14600. A bill to encourage national development by providing incentives for the establishment of new or expanded job-producing industrial and commerical facilities in rural areas having high proportions of per-Mr. THOMPSON of New Jersey: Joint Com- sons with low incomes, and for other purmittee on Disposition of Executive Papers. poses; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. GATHINGS: H.R. 14601. A bill to amend the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended, to authorize the transfer of rice farm acreage allotments and rice producer allotments, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. HAWKINS:

H.R. 14602. A bill to eliminate certain limitations and restrictions (added by the Social Security Amendments of 1967) relating to aid to families with dependent children un-der title IV of the Social Security Act and medical assistance under title IX of that act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. KASTENMEIER:

H.R. 14603. A bill to amend title XV of the Social Security Act with respect to the assignment of wages for purposes of unemployment compensation for Federal employees; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 14604. A bill to repeal section 1511(f) of the Social Security Act so that in determining eligibility of ex-servicemen for unemployment compensation their terminal leave shall be treated in accordance with State laws; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. MATSUNAGA: H.R. 14605. A bill to create in the Executive Office of the President a Council of Ecological Advisers; to the Committee on Science and Astronautics. By Mr. PATTEN:

H.R. 14606. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Transportation to prescribe safety regulations for the transportation of natural gas by pipeline and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. REUSS:

H.R. 14607. A bill to establish the Travel Incentive Act of 1968; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. ROUSH: H.R. 14608. A bill to establish the Government Program Evaluation Commission; to the Committee on Government Operations.

By Mr. RYAN:

H.R. 14609. A bill to eliminate certain limitations and restrictions (added by the Social Security Amendments of 1967) relating to aid to families with dependent children under title IV of the Social Security Act and medical assistance under title IX of that act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SAYLOR:

H.R. 14610. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for the mandatory separation from Government service of all officers and employees thereof at the age of 70 years; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. SCHWENGEL:

H.R. 14611. A bill to provide incentives for the establishment of new or expanded job producing industrial and commercial estab-lishments in rural areas; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BERRY:

H. Con Res. 607. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to the issuance of currency of the United States depicting the Mount Rushmore National Memorial; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. DUNCAN:

H. Con. Res. 608. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to the settlement of the indebtedness of the Republic of France to the United States; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. REINECKE:

H. Con. Res. 609. Concurrent resolution to resolve the indebtedness of France to the United States; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ROUSH:

H. Con. Res. 610. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the United States and the political entities

thereof should adopt "911" as the uniform, single, nationwide, emergency telephone number; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. SAYLOR:

H. Con. Res. 611. Concurrent resolution requesting the President to proclaim the 5-day period beginning August 12, 1968, as All American Amateur Baseball Association Week; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ASPINALL:

H. Res. 1016. Resolution to provide further funds for the expenses of the investigations authorized by House Resolution 34; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. CARTER:

H. Res. 1017. Resolution to express the sense of the House concerning a means toward achieving a stable and durable peace in the Middle East; to the Committee on Foreign

By Mr. CELLER:

H. Res. 1018. Resolution to provide funds for the Committee on the Judiciary; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. RESNICK:

H. Res. 1019. Resolution creating a select committee to conduct an investigation and study of the relief of Lt. Comdr. Marcus Arnheiter and Capt. Richard G. Alexander; to the Committee on Rules.

Mr. TENZER (for himself, Mr. Hal-PERN, Mr. GILBERT, Mr. St. ONGE, Mrs. GREEN of Oregon, Mr. MATSUNAGA, Mr. REES, Mr. OTTINGER, Mr. WALKER, Mr. SCHEUER, Mr. BROWN of Cali-

fornia, Mr. Button, and Mr. Ryan): H. Res. 1020. Resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives with respect to U.S. ratification of the conventions on genocide, abolition of forced labor, political rights of women, and freedom of association; to the Committee on Foreign

By Mr. BINGHAM:

H. Res. 1021. Resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives with respect to U.S. ratification of the conventions on genocide, abolition of forced labor, political rights of women, and freedom of association; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

## MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

298. By the SPEAKER: Memorial of the Legislature of the State of California, relative to a proposed amendment to the Federal Air Quality Act; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

299. Also, memorial of the Legislature of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, relative to the proposal to cut back by 25 percent on reimbursement to the States by the Federal Government for welfare costs; to the

Committee on Ways and Means.

300. By Mr. BERRY: Memorial of the Legislature of the State of South Dakota memorializing the Congress of the United States to instruct and direct the Treasury Depart-ment of the United States to issue a series of bills in any denomination of the currency of the United States depicting the Mount Rushmore National Memorial, "The Shrine of Democracy," thereon; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

# PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ADDABBO:

H.R. 14612. A bill for the relief of Laurel Ho Sang; to the Committee on the Judiciary,

By Mr. BOW: H.R. 14613. A bill for the relief of Sara Laredo; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. BROWN of California:

H.R. 14614. A bill for the relief of Angela Buono; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. BUCHANAN:

H.R. 14615. A bill for the relief of Onofrio Saia; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ESCH:

H.R. 14616. A bill for the relief of Sahera Saka, Wahidollah Saka, and Wajma Saka; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. FARBSTEIN:

H.R. 14617. A bill for the relief of Eva C. Castro; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. MADDEN:

H.R. 14618. A bill for the relief of Dr.

Adriano A. Agana and Consuelo R. Agana; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 14619. A bill for the relief of Juraj Mikan; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. MATSUNAGA:

H.R. 14620. A bill for the relief of Mariano Acasio Juan: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MESKILL:

H.R. 14621. A bill for the relief of Michael De Marcken; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PELLY: H.R. 14622. A bill for the relief of Miklos Kohary; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. ROYBAL:

H.R. 14623. A bill for the relief of Miss Carmen S. Carino; to the Committee on the

Judiciary.
By Mr. SCHNEEBELI:
H.R. 14624. A bill for the relief of Kalender Arslan; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

# PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

217. By the Speaker: Petition of United Brick & Clay Workers of America, Chicago, Ill., relative to better housing programs; to

ne Committee on Banking and Currency. 218. Also, petition of United Brick & Clay Workers of America, Chicago, Ill., relative to the expansion and stepup of school buildings and other facilities for the education and training of our youth; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

219. Also, petition of the Honorable Jeannette Rankin; to the Committee on Foreign

Affairs.

220. Also, petition of the Association of Cities, Towns and Villages in Okinawa, Naha, Okinawa, relative to return of administrative rights over Okinawa to Japan; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

221. Also, petition of Jad Michael Wakileh, Amman, Jordan, relative to a claim for compensation due as an employee of AID; to the

Committee on Foreign Affairs.

222. Also, petition of Henry Stoner, Avon Park, Fla., relative to the composition of the Congressional Record during the first session of the 90th Congress; to the Committee on House Administration.

223. Also, petition of City Council of Worcester, Mass., relative to the allocation of funds for the University of Massachusetts Medical School; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

224. Also, petition of Bay Area Air Pollu-tion Control District, San Francisco, Calif., relative to a provision in the Air Quality Act of 1967; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

225. Also, petition of the Military Order of the World Wars, Washington, D.C., relative to the proper recognition of George Washington's Birthday; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

226. Also, petition of John P. Parkinson, Newark, Calif., relative to prohibiting privately owned automobiles from operating on certain roads; to the Committee on Public Works.