and used by the United States forces during the late war-to the Committee on War Claims. Also, a bill (H. R. 3840) authorizing the heirs of Benjamin Lil-

Also, a bill (H. R. 5340) authorizing the heirs of Denjamin Life lard, of Tennessee, to present their claims to the Court of Claims— to the Committee on War Claims. Also, a bill (H. R. 3841) to pay the heirs of Benjamin Lillard, of Tennessee, for property lost, destroyed, taken, and used by the United States forces during the late war—to the Committee on

War Claims. By Mr. RIDGELY: A bill (H. R. 3842) granting a pension to Jacob Marietta—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. SHAFROTH: A bill (H. R. 3843) granting an increase of pension to Mrs. Cornelia I. Skiles—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 3844) for the relief of Sarah R. Dresser—to the Committee on Indian Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 3845) granting a pension to George D. Noble— to the Committee on Pensions.

to the Committee on Pensions. By Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas: A bill (H. R. 3846) for the relief of James George—to the Committee on Military Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 3847) for the relief of Morton A. Pratt—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 3848) granting a pension to Alexander Rine-hart—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 3849) granting a pension to John J. Ryan—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 3850) granting a pension to John J. Ryan—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 3850) granting a pension to John D. Kirk-patrick—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 3851) granting a pension to Clara Matilda Bain—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 3852) for the relief of Thomas Guthrie—to the Committee on Was Claims

the Committee on War Claims.

the Committee on War Claims. Also, a bill (H. R. 3853) granting a pension to Michael Lochard— to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. VEHSLAGE: A bill (H. R. 3854) to correct the military record of Henry Gallagher—to the Committee on Military Affairs. By Mr. HOWARD of Alabama: A bill (H. R. 3855) granting pensions to certain companies of scouts and guides who served with the Federal forces during the war of the rebellion—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. KNOX: A bill (H. R. 3857) granting a pension to Rhoda

By Mr. KNOX: A bill (H. R. 3857) granting a pension to Rhoda Chick-to the Committee on Pensions.

### PETITIONS, ETC.

PETITIONS, ETC. Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows: By Mr. BELKNAP: Resolutions adopted by the Lumbermen's Association of Chicago, Ill., in relation to the duty on lumber—to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. BUTLER: Petitions of Harry B. Hughes and others, M. S. Hartman and others, M. Y. Pusey and others, all citizens of Chester County, Pa., favoring a more rigid restriction of immi-gration—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization. By Mr. ERMENTROUT: Memorial of the Cigar Makers' Union No. 91, of Allentown, Pa., protesting against increasing the duty on wrappers and fillers—to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. FENTON: Petition of M. E. Spriggs and other citi-zens of Portsmouth, Ohio, favoring a pension to William Ellis, to accompany House bill No. 3756—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Pensions.

### SENATE.

# SATURDAY, July 17, 1897.

The Senate met at 12 o'clock m. Prayer by Rev. J. FRED HEISSE, of the city of Washington. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Secretary will read the Journal

of yesterday's proceedings. Mr. QUAY. Mr. President, I suggest that there is not a quo-rum of the Senate present. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from Pennsylvania sug-The VICE-PRESIDENT.

gests that a quorum of the Senate is not present. The Secretary will call the roll.

The Secretary called the roll, and the following Senators an-

Baker, Bate,	Gallinger, Gear,	Morrill, Nelson,	Stewart, Teller,	
Berry, Butler,	Hale, Hanna, Harris,	Pasco, Perkins, Pettigrew,	Thurston, Turner, Turpie,	
Carter, Chandler, Clay, Cullom.	Harris, Hawley, Jones, Ark. McEnery,	Pettus, Pritchard, Quay,	Vest, Walthall, White.	
Daniel, Deboe, Fairbanks,	Mallory, Mills, Morgan,	Rawlins, Shoup, Spooner,		

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Forty-one Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is not present.

Mr. JONES of Arkansas. I move that the Sergeant-at-Arms be directed to request the attendance of absent Senators.

The motion was agreed to. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Sergeant-at-Arms will execute the order of the Senate.

After a little delay, Mr. DAVIS, Mr. CHILTON, Mr. ALLISON, Mr. BURROWS, and Mr. PLATT of Connecticut entered the Cham-ber and answered to their names.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Forty-six Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present. The Senate will receive a message from the House of Representatives.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. BROWNING, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had receded from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 88, 90, and 98 to the bill (H. R. 13) making appropriations to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1897, and for prior years, and for other purpose ending June 30, 1897, and for prior years, and for other purposes, and agreed to the same; insists upon its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 6, 56, 152, 153, and 182; agrees to the further conference asked for by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and had appointed Mr. CANNON, Mr. NORTHWAY, and Mr. SAYERS managers at the further conference on the part of the House.

### ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Mr. CARTER. I move that the Senate proceed to the consid-

eration of executive business. Mr. GALLINGER. Will the Senator suspend, in order to allow me to introduce a bill for reference to the Committee on the District of Columbia? Mr. CARTER.

Mr. CARTER. I yield for strictly routine business. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Chair suggests to the Senator from Montana that the Journal of yesterday's proceedings has not been read.

Mr. GALLINGER. I ask that the reading of the Journal be

dispensed with. The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is unanimous consent given? It is. That will be the order.

### PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS.

Mr. PROCTOR presented the memorial of B. O. Aiken and 119 other citizens of Vermont and the memorial of Josiah Grout and sundry other citizens of Vermont, remonstrating against the en-actment of legislation intended to destroy the present system of ticket brokerage; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. DANIEL presented the memorial of Dr. J. V. Wellford, Dr. Landon B. Edwards, Dr. H. H. Levy, Dr. J. N. Upshur, and Dr. Mark W. Keyser, representing the Richmond (Va.) Academy of Medicine and Surgery, remonstrating against the passage of Senate bill No. 1063, for the further prevention of cruelty to ani-mals in the District of Columbia; which was ordered to lie on the table table.

Mr. HANNA presented the memorial of James A. Rice and 388 other citizens of Ohio, remonstrating against the enactment of legislation intended to destroy the present system of ticket broker-

age: which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce. Mr. PASCO presented a resolution adopted by the Medical So-ciety of Pensacola, Fla., favoring the passage of Senate bill No. 2343, to create an executive department to be known as the de-partment of public health, and to prescribe the duties and pow-ers thereof; which was referred to the Committee on Public Health and National Quarantine.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

Mr. PERKINS, from the Committee on Education and Labor, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2253) authorizing the appoint-ment of a nonpartisan commission to collate information and to consider and recommend legislation to meet the problems presented by labor, agriculture, and capital, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. HANNA, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2377) granting a pension to Rachael Kern, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

### REFERENCE OF CLAIMS TO THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

Mr. TELLER, from the Committee on Claims, reported the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved by the Senate of the United States of America, That the bills (S. 1352, 1764, 1891, 1911, 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1940, 1941, 1942, 1943) for the relief of Joseph Ruohs, Sarah G. Clark, Jacob Mann, Mary E. Buckey, O. H. P. Wayne, John J. Lowery, John C. Gillespie, Richard W. Corbin, John Deady, Josiah J. Bryan, William L. Dugger, William L. Dugger, and Daniel Kaylor be, and they are hereby, transmitted to the Court of Claims to find and report the facts in each case, as provided by section 14 of an act "to provide for bringing suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887.

Mr. TELLER, from the Committee on Claims, reported the

following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent. and agreed to:

Resolved. That the following bills, S. 985, 984, 1325, 1456, 1553, 1636, 1767, 1961, 2056, 2082, 2203, 2271, 2273, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2370, 2373, and 2372, for the relief of Mrs. J. K. Benjamin, Mr. and Mrs. J. T. Strother, Mrs. Oziene Boudrean, William G. Cochrane, G. A. Le More & Co., Richard Higgins, H. M. Baker, M. V. Mad-dux, Samuel Evans, A. B. Pharr, L. Tanner, E. R. Allen, A. Donato, Mrs. Mary C. Daigre, H. Chritien, J. E. Brennan, Charles Baker, and Nathaniel F. Cheairs, be, and the same are hereby, referred to the Court of Claims, to-gether with all the accompanying papers, under the provisions of the act of Congress "to provide for the bringing of suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887. Mr. TELLER from the Committee on Claims reported the fol-

Mr. TELLER, from the Committee on Claims, reported the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved by the Senate of the United States of America, That the bills (S. 1502, 1505, 1635, 1642, 1643, 1644, 1646, 1647, 1955, 2078, 2081, 2270) for the relief of C. S. Lobdell, Sarah E. Norton, M. T. Pollan, J. J. Galtner, Margaret B. Raiford, William Whitaker, C. O. Spencer, A. O. Cannon, N. E. Perkins, administrator, John Morrison, S. N. White, and J. J. Bailey be, and they are hereby, transmitted to the Court of Claims to find and report the facts in each case as provided by section 14 of an act "to provide for bringing suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887.

### BILLS INTRODUCED.

Mr. GALLINGER introduced a bill (S. 2401) to provide for Mr. GALLINGER introduced a bill (S. 2401) to provide for widening the Aqueduct Bridge and laying thereon a single-track street railway, and for other purposes; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia. Mr. DANIEL introduced a bill (S. 2402) for the relief of the Winchester and Potomac Railroad Company; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. McBRIDE introduced a joint resolution (S. R. 63) providing for examination of the harbor of Astoria, Oreg., and an estimate of the cost of its improvement; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Mr. CARTER. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of executive business.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of executive business. After two hours and twenty minutes spent in executive session the doors were reopened.

AGREEMENT WITH CHOCTAW AND CHICKASAW INDIANS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Interior, transmitting certain papers in connection with an agreement between the commissioners of the United States to negotiate with the Five Civilized Tribes and the commissioners on the part of the Choctaw and Chicka-saw Indians, concluded April 23, 1897; which, with the accom-panying papers, was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

### COURTS IN INDIAN TERRITORY.

Mr. JONES of Arkansas. I ask unanimous consent that the regular order of business may be informally laid aside, to enable me to submit a report from the Committee on Indian Affairs. The VICE-PRESIDENT. That course will be taken, in the

absence of objection. Mr. JONES of Arkansas. I am instructed by the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2365) to amend the Indian appropriation act of June 7, 1897, and for other pur-poses, to report it favorably and without amendment. I ask unanimous consent of the Senate that the bill may be considered at this time. at this time.

The Indian appropriation act, which went into effect the 1st day of the present month, for the next twelve months, provides for the transfer of jurisdiction of all the Indian courts to the United States courts, to take effect on the 1st day of January next. The Choctaw and Chickasaw nations, subsequent to that time, made an agreement with the Government of the United States

which will materially modify the condition of affairs as they existed at the time of the approval of the act. This bill simply provides that, as to the Choctaw and Chickasaw nations, the trans-fer of jurisdiction shall not take place until the 1st of June of next year, unless in the meantime the agreement shall be ratified. The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the request of

The VICE-PRESIDENT. the Senator from Arkansas?

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill (S. 2365) to amend the In-dian appropriation act of June 7, 1897, and for other purposes. The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD. Mr. MORGAN. I ask that the regular order may be laid before

the Senate. The Senate resumed the consideration of resolution No. 125, re-

ported by Mr. HARRIS from the Committee on Pacific Railroads, declaring it to be the sense of the Senate that the President should direct the Secretary of the Treasury to carry out the provisions of the act approved March 3, 1887, by clearing off by payment the paramount liens, mortgages, etc., on the Union Pacific Railroad,

and to take steps to foreclose any mortgage or lien of the United

and to take steps to foreclose any mortgage of hen of the United States on any of said railroad property. Mr. MORGAN. Mr. President, in the remarks which I wish to submit to-day upon this matter, I desire to be entirely accurate in every statement, because I wish that the Senate shall understand the whole merits of this transaction. There are many collateral inquiries, not necessary to be considered at all upon the question of the passage of this resolution, which I shall not deem it essen-tial to consider. L will pass them by in my remarks with only a tial to consider. I will pass them by in my remarks with only a casual allusion.

I shall feel very much complimented with the attention of any Senator who feels enough interest in this matter to listen to what I have to say; but inasmuch as we do not intend to ask for a vote upon this resolution to-day, if Senators desire to absent them-selves from the Chamber, I hope that their convenience will be consulted, as it is Saturday afternoon, and that they will not be required to attend the session of the Senate by order of the body.

It is a laborious duty resting upon me as a member of the Cominitize on Pacific Railroads to support this resolution, and one that I have always entered upon with reluctance, because, as I have stated on a former occasion, my constituency have no direct interest in this matter. Their interest is only that of the people of the United States at large, without being affected to any degree either as to profit or loss by any arrangement that may be made for the disposal of the Union Pacific and the Central Pacific railroads.

The first duty of the Senate in considering this question is to know what is the state of the account between the United States and the Union Pacific Railroad Company. On the 1st day of July, 1897—I am only repeating what the Senator from Kansas [Mr. HARRIS] has already put into the RECORD—the principal debt of the Union Pacific Railroad to the United States was 622 520 519 a portion of which has not not how had by the Condebt of the Union Pacific Rainoad to the United States was \$33,539,512, a portion of which has not yet been paid by the Gov-ernment of the United States. The interest paid by the Govern-ment amounts to \$36,954,893.11. The whole indebtedness on the 1st day of July, 1897, was therefore \$70,494,405.11. The sinking fund of the Union Pacific in the hands of the Treas-urer of the United States on the same date was \$17,738,209.86.

After deducting the sinking fund, which is an asset of the com-pany in the hands of the United States for the purpose of paying pany in the hands of the United States for the purpose of paying the debt of the Union Pacific Company to the Government, the sum of \$28,015,850.13 remains to be paid. That is the sum and the only sum which the committee of reorganization, Fitzgerald's committee, is required to pay under the agreement entered into between the Attorney-General of the United States and Fitzgerald, as the chairman of what is called "the reorganization committee." will repeat the amount-\$28,015,850.13.

I will repeat the amount—\$22,013,530.13. In the agreement which has been spread upon the records of the Senate, taken from a message of the President of the United States sent to Congress in January, the amount specified that this committee would bid upon the sale of this property is \$45,754,-059.99. The sinking fund, according to that agreement, amount-ing to the sum I have just stated, enters into the \$45,754,059.99 and forms a part of it. So the committee upder the agreement Ing to the sum 1 have just stated, enters into the \$45,754,059.99 and forms a part of it. So the committee, under the agreement, instead of bidding the sum of \$45,754,059.99, is permitted to take the sinking fund, belonging to the Union Pacific Railroad Com-pany, it is true, but in the hands of the Treasurer of the United States as a trust fund, and use it to make up the sum of \$45,754,-059.99, which they pretend in that agreement is to be offered as the purchase price of the railroad, with all of its property and all of its franchises of its franchises.

On the same day the net debt of the Union Pacific Railroad Company to the United States was \$52,756,195.25. Now, they pay \$28,015,850.13 in money. That added to \$33,500,000, which is the sum of the prior mortgage, makes the total that the railroad will cost the committee \$61,515,850, of which the sinking fund forms a part to the amount of seventeen million and odd thousand dollars.

Now, the new capitalization of this company under the Fitz-gerald plan is \$100,000,000 of 4 per cent bonds, \$61,000,000 of com-mon stock, and \$75,000,000 of preferred stock. So they capitalize the property at \$236,000,000. They buy it with a cash expenditure of \$28,000,000 and a little over, and they capitalize it at \$236,000, 000. They may it with a cash expenditure of \$28,000,000 and a little over.

of \$28,000,000 and a little over, and they capitalize it at \$236,000, 000. That capitalization, of course, is an admission on their part that they can earn the interest and dividend upon the whole amount of the \$100,000,000 of 4 per cent bonds, \$75,000,000 of pre-ferred stock, and the \$61,000,000 of common stock. There stands this glaring outrage, for it can be called nothing else, which is perfected by the agreement of the President of the United States, read to the Senate, and the loss to the United States in money, to say nothing of the cost to the people of the United States in other respects, that the Government of the United States has already paid out toward the debt and interest of the United

has already paid out toward the debt and interest of the Union Pacific Railroad Company. Mr. HARRIS. I desire to suggest to the Senator from Alabama that the loss to the Government is the difference between the \$53,000,000, which is the net amount due the Government, in round numbers, and the \$28,000,000, making a loss of nearly \$25,000,000.

Mr. MORGAN. I speak of the loss as it is confessed on the floor of the Senate.

Mr. HARRIS. There can be no disagreement as to that amount. Mr. MORGAN. Very good. I speak of the loss as it is con-Mr. MORGAN. Very good. I speak of the loss as it is con-fessed on the floor of the Senate. Both of the Senators who have argued against the resolution have said that it would cost the Government \$12,000,000 clear loss

Government \$12,000,000 clear loss. What is the first question which would naturally arise upon that statement, admitting that the loss is only \$12,000,000 instead of a very much greater sum? We have demonstrated that the loss is very much greater, but putting it upon the basis of their own statement, the loss is \$12,000,000. They get a property for \$28,015,850.13 in money which they capitalize at \$236,000,000, and we concerning a loss upon their confession of \$12,000,000. we are required to sustain a loss upon their confession of \$12,000,000

in order to enable them to bring about that result. Mr. GEAR. I wish to state that when I made that statement I had the Government report in view; that I ran it up in my head, and did not put it down on paper. I think the loss is about \$25,000,000.

Mr. MORGAN. About \$25,000,000.

Mr. GEAR. Yes. I want to be correct about that. Mr. MORGAN. I am very glad to get at the fact about it. Mr. GEAR. I do not wish to make any statement on the floor of the Senate which is not true. I made the difference \$12,000,000 on the floor of the Senate. The real difference, I think, is \$25,-

000,000. I will not be exact. Mr. MORGAN. The Senator from Iowa and the junior Sena-tor from Nebraska both contended that the loss to the Government of the United States in this transaction was \$12,000,000. Now the Senator from Iowa, chairman of the Committee on Pacific Railroads, says it is \$25,000,000. So hereafter I suppose we shall have no dispute about that. Those figures also corre-spond with the statement made by the Senator from Kansas [Mr. HARRIS].

Mr. GEAR. I presume the Senator from Kansas made his state-

ment from the Government report which I have in my hand. Mr. MORGAN. Certainly he did. So there can be no inaccu-

Mr. MORGAN. Certainly he did. So there can be no inaccu-racy about this matter. The Government of the United States, therefore, is called upon to lose \$25,000,000 in money already paid out for this company to enable the reorganization committee to buy the property for \$28,-015,850.13, which they capitalize at \$100,000,000 of 4 per cent bonds, \$75,000,000 of preferred stock, with 4 per cent guarantied divi-dends, and \$61,000,000 of common stock. Mr. President, the ques-tion arises, Can the people of the United States be reconciled by the action of the President of the United States, taken without authority of law or by the confirmation of that action by the Sen-ate of the United States, as to this very extraordinary piece of business? businessi

The effort of the President of the United States to make this agreement binding upon the Government, and upon all concerned—to make it binding upon parties who are the stockholders of the corporation and parties who are bondholders of the cor-poration also, and are not represented in the Fitzgerald reorgani-zation committee—is utterly violative of the express laws of the United States, and I set out in the statement which I make to-day with the declaration that the ratification of the incomplete agreement of the former Attorney-General and the special assistant, Mr. Hoadly, with Louis Fitzgerald violates the express statutes of 1878 and 1887, and runs back in its violation to the act of 1865, the act of 1864, and the act of 1862. Congress has never passed any law on this subject that is not expressly violated by that

agreement. II. The second statement I make is that, by the confession of its supporters, a loss of at least \$25,000,000 in money paid out by the United States to the Union Pacific Company is devolved upon the Government.

III. That it is a diversion of the sinking fund in the Treasury, amounting to at least \$18,000,000, from the obligations of express

amounting to at least \$18,000,000, from the obligations of express trusts imposed by statute. I am speaking of the present time—to-day. IV. That it is the transfer to Louis Fitzgerald of more than \$15,500,000 of net earnings of the Union Pacific Railroad in the years 1894, 1895, and 1896 and of more than \$15,500,000 of cash assets of that railroad, making in all more than \$31,000,000, prac-tically cash assets, that are transferred to the persons he repre-sents, in addition to the sinking fund. V. That this agreement, instead of "clearing off the first mort-gage" on the road, for which there is ample means, leaves that debt nurrovided for and the bill filed by the United States prays

debt unprovided for, and the bill filed by the United States prays for the sale of the railroad subject to the lien of that mortgage. Instead of clearing it off, the first mortgage is left in full force, in order to secure the ownership of the Union Pacific Railroad to Fitzgerald's committee.

VI. That no contract has been formulated or signed for carrying out this loose and indefinite agreement, which is vaguely evidenced by the four letters copied or referred to in the report of the Attorney-General to the President January 23, 1897. VII. That the agreement thus presented to Congress by the President is vague, imperfect, indefinite, and misleading in the following particulars: 1. That it delivers the control of the sinking fund of the Union

Pacific Company to Louis Fitzgerald without requiring him to return it or account for it.

2. That it makes no provision as to the forfeit money left with the national depositary to secure the bid to be made for the rail-road, in the event that no bid is made by Louis Fitzgerald at the sale under the proposed decree of the court.

3. That it does not disclose the names of the parties who com-prise the reorganization committee that Fitzgerald assumes to rep-resent as chairman, and whom he undertakes to bind by his agreement

4. The obligations of the United States, if any exist against the Government as junior mortgagee, are toward the company, and its stockholders and the trustees and holders of the bonds secured by the first mortgage, and can not inure to anyone else. None of these is provided for or montioned in this argument. of these is provided for or mentioned in this agreement.

5. The agreement is made with a private person, who is on the face of the papers and in fact a mere interloper, with no interest in the railroad, and is not a representative of the company, or of anybody interested in it.

6. The agreement to have the railroad and its franchises sold by the decree of a court and to institute proceedings for that purpose violates the public policy declared in all the acts of Con-gress and in the decisions of the Supreme Court with reference to the subsidized railroads. That is a power that Congress alone can exercise by changing the existing law.

7. The agreement to enter appearances for the United States in suits to foreclose, brought by other persons, is not authorized by law.

8. The agreement to sue for the foreclosure of the subsidy lien of the Government and to sell the railroad under it is an effort to destroy the title of the Government in the property the title to which has passed to it by operation of the statute, and to create in the courts of the United States a jurisdiction that they not only do not possess, but that is prohibited to them by the provision of a different remedy—a forfeiture—for the breach of the obligation of the company to pay the subsidy bonds when they fall due. VIII. The arrangement provided for in that agreement with Fitzgerald is a violation of the statute and an abuse of the power

of the Executive, in that it prevents the application of the sinking fund and other cash assets of the company to clearing off the firstfund and other cash assets of the company to clearing on the inst-mortgage-bond debt, which would have released the property from the possession of the receivers, and would have returned it to that of the company, and would also have rendered the further prosecution of the suit for foreclosure unnecessary and improper. IX. The agreement to give the control of the sinking fund to Louis Fitzgerald, to enable the reorganization company to bid 845 554 050 00 for the reilened was possed in company to bid

Louis Fitzgerald, to enable the reorganization company to bid \$45,754,059.99 for the railroad, was necessarily covinous and illegal, because the certain effect of it was to enable that combination to outbid all other persons at the sale of the railroad. It was giving them credit on their bidding for the full amount of the sinking fund, as if it was cash put up by them, whereas it was trust money, held by the Government under the statute for all the creditors of the Union Pacific Company. X If this proceeding had any marrowt in the laws if it did not

X. If this proceeding had any warrant in the laws, if it did not plainly violate the letter and the spirit of the acts of Congress that were enacted to prohibit such conduct, it would still be void as being against public policy, honesty, justice, and fair dealing. It is, in fact, a flagrantly disreputable combination to place the ownership of this railroad in the hands of certain selected persons— Fitzgerald and his associates—and to give them such advantages in buying the property, at a forced sale, that it is impossible that

in buying the property, at a forced sale, that it is impossible that any other person or combination can purchase it. This is the plain and unquestionable purpose of this illegal contract. XI. The moment the property is sold the sinking fund of \$17,-738,209.86 is applied by the United States under this agreement to the cash bid of the Fitzgerald combine, leaving them to pay in money only \$28,015,850.13 for the property, while any other pur-chasers could only start the bidding for their benefit by putting up more than \$15,754,059,99. This is a conclusive advantage in favor of the Fitzgerald combine that would necessarily land the property in their ownership. It is an agreement and covinous combination

of the Fitzgerald combine that would necessarily land the property in their ownership. It is an agreement and covinous combination to prevent competitive bidding at the sale. XII. Fitzgerald and his associates are given full power over the debt to the Government, that they may use it in bidding for the property, at a confessed loss to the Government of \$25,000,000, and at the loss of all the cash assets of the railroad company, and the loss of the cash in the hands of the receiver. They come out and at the loss of all the cash assets of the railroad company, and the loss of the cash in the hands of the receivers. They come out of the deal with a property that they capitalize at \$236,000,000, for which they are to pay \$28,015,850.13, and the United States come out with a loss of \$25,000,000 in cash, and the loss of all legislative control of the railroad, and the loss of the great highway, united by express provisions of law for national purposes, and the loss of the power to regulate freights, the loss of the right to apply the sinking fund according to the great and irrepealable trusts expressly created by the Thurman Act, and with the loss of the right to compel these railroads to apply the cost of the transporta-tion done for the Government to the repayment of the \$25,000.000 which this agreement surrenders to them. Mr. HARRIS. May I venture to suggest to the Senator from Alabama that it will also practically destroy the interest the Gov-ernment has in the Central Pacific road? Mr. MORGAN. I will come to that point. XIII. What we thus do for the Fitzgerald combine we will be forced to do for the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, the Ken-

All. What we this do for the ridger all company we will be forced to do for the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, the Ken-tucky company, and for Collis P. Huntington. XIV. This scheme of sale can be nothing less than the loss of \$50,000,000 of the debt due from the two companies, which we do-pate to the committees of reconstruction, and the sinking funds

pate to the committees of reconstruction, and the sinking funds of both companies, which together amount to a sum I have not added up, but will state presently. Mr. HARRIS. Nearly \$30,000,000. Mr. MORGAN. The Senator from Kansas, who has made the computation, says the sinking funds of the two companies to-gether amount to about \$30,000,000, and add to this the loss of the entire land grants to both companies, worth not less than \$20,000,000, certainly, at a very low valuation, and also the loss of all debts and assets due and belonging to both companies, amount-ing not possibly to less than \$25,000,000, but, in fact, far beyond that sum, and the loss of the liability of those men who have plundered both companies by their fraudulent breaches of trust, and we have an aggregation of losses that it is shameful to con-template. What have we done to deserve this? Mr. THURSTON. May I interrupt the Senator from Alabama to ask a question? What agreement is there, I ask the Senator, which would release the claim of the United States on any cash assets, on any other property, or release its remedy against any-

assets, on any other property, or release its remedy against any-body—the officers or any others—who have misappropriated the funds, mismanaged the property, or deprived the United States of any assets:

Mr. MORGAN. I have looked into the bill filed in this case, to Mr. MORGAN. I have looked into the bill filed in this case, to which the junior Senator from Nebraska, as counsel for the re-ceivers, has put in an answer. These bills are on the desk before me now. These bills filed by the first-mortgage bondholders and also by the Government of the United States pray for a sale of the interest of the United States in the railroad company, including the franchise to be a corporation, including everything connected therewith; and this agreement with Fitzgerald is intended to carry that decree into effect, to carry the judgment of the court upon these bills into effect, and is intended to land the property

in the hands of Fitzgerald's combination. Now, when the Fitzgerald's combination get this property under a decree predicated upon these bills, they will get everything that the Union Pacific Railroad Company possesses and, ultimately, Huntington will get everything that the Central Pacific Railroad po

Mr. TELLER. Including the rights of action? Mr. MORGAN. Yes; including everything—all assets, whether

cash, or property, or credits, or what not. Mr. THURSTON. Has the Senator read the bill of the United States in which it sets up its claim? Mr. MORGAN. I have.

Mr. MORGAN. I have. Mr. THURSTON. There is no agreement between the parties as to what the decree shall be.

as to what the decree shall be. Mr. MORGAN. 1 do not know of any. Mr. THURSTON. The court must take the pleadings and the proofs and establish the equities in the final decree as in any other case of foreclosure, and any deficiency after sale in favor of the United States will be left the same as it would if held by an in-dividual, with all the rights and remedies against every person and every asset not actually seized and subjected to foreclosure proc

Mr. MORGAN. The bill embraces averments and a prayer for a sale of this railroad with all its privileges, rights, property, fran-chises of every kind and character, and after that decree passes the United States will be concluded against the assertion of any rights whatsoever in the name of that company or in virtue of its obligations to the Government, because the foreclosure and sale closes out the transaction completely between the company and the United States

Whether the United States can hold these men liable for their defalcations in the right of the company is another matter; but by this agreement the Government steps out of this corporation, rids itself of it, shakes the dust from its feet, and it passes into the hands of Fitzgerald and company. I shall have some specific facts to state about that presently when I present the agreement of the Fitzgerald combine. After it gets into the hands of Fitzgerald and company, or the reorganization committee, they become the owners of all that is now owned by the corporation and by the United States, of every kind and character. As the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. THURSTON] said the other day, and as the proceedings of the reorganization committee indicate,

they propose to go on and have a new corporation created by State authority for the purpose of taking and holding and working this great railroad system, so that there will be a corporation necthis great railroad system, so that there will be a corporation nec-essarily in each State through which it passes. There are five cor-porations, I think, into which this great Union Pacific Railroad will be divided up. State authority will supervene. The United States authority will be entirely gone, with all its rights and priv-ileges, including the right to send soldiers and mails over the Union Pacific Railroad. All will be gone, without reservation, into the hands of the several State corporations. Having answered partially the Senator—for I will answer him still more fully upon that proposition before I get through with my remarks—I will proceed with my statement. XV. In compensation for these losses, and not condonation of these monstrous frauds that for thirty years have excited the scorn of the world at the possibilities of crime in our Republic, and have aroused the indignation of our much-abused people, we get a disseminated system of railroads, under State charters.

and have aroused the indignation of our much-abused people, we get a disseminated system of railroads, under State charters, all owned and controlled by a syndicate chartered in Utah and substantially a reproduction of the strange and infamous device called "The Kentucky Company," whose title in the statute of Kentucky is "The Southern Pacific Bailroad Company," and it belongs to and is controlled by Collis P. Huntington and his associates, who number only four persons, or their survivors, executors, or administrators. executors, or administrators.

XVI. The Government has long since ceased to be a mere holder of a lien on this railroad and all the property of every character that it possesses. It has asserted the strongest claims to the control of all the property of this company. It has even anticipated the default of the company in the nonpayment of the subsidy bonds and the first-mortgage bonds, seized upon its rev-enues and placed them in a sinking fund and held them there against the most determined resistance in all the courts of the The Government has also compelled the railroad comcountry. pany to pay large sums of money into this sinking fund. It has also forced its directors into the board of directors, although it owns no stock in the company. These directors, three in num-

Mr. THURSTON. Five. Mr. MORGAN. Five in number, have all the powers of the other directors, although the masters of these railroads have always ignored them in their business transactions. XVII. This agreement with Fitzgerald is intended to confirm

all the doings of Fitzgerald's committee of reorganization, which are in defiant conflict with the statutes and public policy of the United States, and constitute a scheme of duress, extortion, and oppression as to the minority of the stockholders and the first-mortgage bondholders of the company that may well be called the most audacious plan of railroad wrecking and for freezing out stockholders, bondholders, and creditors that has ever been de-vised by this wonderfully smart, wily, and almost irresistible power—the railroad wreckers of the United States.

I have put those propositions on the record, and I challenge the Senator from Nebraska to answer each one of them when he comes to make his explanation of this agreement with the Fitzgerald com-bine. Let us see which of these eighteen statements he can deny, which of them he can explain away, and which of them he is bound to admit.

These propositions present a state of case at which the Senate of It makes no difference if the President of the United States has been the assistant of Louis Fitzgerald in getting up this great sys-tem of railroad wrecking, the Senate of the United States can not forbear now, at the first opportunity it has ever had, to interpose at least its request to the present Executive that he also will not become a party to this transaction.

In the future casting of political affairs and events in this country it will be a very sad day for the present Administration when they anchor themselves to this dead body of a previous Adminis-tration. When Mr. McKinley consents to become the adminis-trator de bonis non, cum testamento annexo, of this transaction on the part of Mr. Cleveland's Administration, he will commit himself to a scheme that will wreck any man that ever lived in this world. I trust that he will have the sagacity and manhood and the integrity to stand up for the laws of his country and not allow them to be repealed and trodden under foot by the indirect ar-

rangement which was made by the former Executive. Before I proceed to some discussion of the several propositions which I have thus stated, each distinctly, I wish to lay before the Senate the plan and agreement for the reorganization of the Union Senate the plan and agreement for the reorganization of the Union Pacific Railway Company, including its Kansas Pacific line, dated October 15, 1895, and put forward by a committee consisting of Louis Fitzgerald, Jacob H. Schiff, T. Jefferson Coolidge, jr., Channeey M. Depew, Marvin Hughitt, and Oliver Ames, 2d. This morning in my mail I had the first opportunity of seeing this agreement. The agreement made by the President of the United States with Fitzgerald, and sent to us in a message in January,

was predicated upon and had reference to this reorganization agreement. Why the President of the United States did not send this reorganization agreement to Congress, to let Congress know the parties with whom he was actually making that contract, and the terms of the combination that they had formed, its purposes, its statements, its powers and obligations, is something that to

its statements, its powers and obligations, is something that to me is absolutely inexplicable. If it was negligence, it was very unfortunate. If it was indif-ference to the right of Congress to have the information, it was a breach of duty. If it was a disregard of the statutes of the United States, it was culpable. The Senator from Nebraska the other day took me somewhat to task for having referred to a message of the President of the United States and read from it here. I had read every word in it to the Senate. The message hears date the 23d day of Launary 1907 and

Senate. The message bears date the 23d day of January, 1897, and it contained every word the President of the United States had communicated to Congress in reference to this agreement. I re-ferred to that as an agreement from which the letter of the 14th of January 1807 message control of the 14th of January, 1897, was omitted, which letter was addressed by Mr. Hoadly to Louis Fitzgerald, and to another letter bearing

Mr. Hoadly to Louis Fitzgerald, and to another letter bearing date January 18, 1897, which had no signature. The words "Attorney-General" are appended to it, but the sig-nature is left blank. It is addressed to "Louis Fitzgerald, esq., chairman of reorganization committee of the Union Pacific Rail-way Company." The Senator said the other day that if I had consulted Document 83 I would have seen the full statement of the whole transaction, including the letter of January 14. What L read from was Document 83, and I read every word that was I read from was Document 83, and I read every word that was in it.

The Senator will permit me. Mr. THURSTON. If he will look at my remarks, he will find I said if he had consulted that document, and had consulted the report of the Government directors, which is a part of the record of the Interior Department that I read from, he would have found it. The letter of Governor Hoadly that I read I read from the record of the Interior Department

Mr. MORGAN. The Congress of the United States and the Committee on Pacific Railroads of the Senate relied, as they had a right to do, upon the message contained in Document 83, and that does not contain the letter of January 14, 1897, referred to by Fitzgerald. In the letter from Fitzgerald sent to the Senate it is referred to in the following words:

DEAR SIR: Replying on behalf of the Union Pacific reorganization com-mittee to your favor of the 14th instant-

That is January instant

in which you request from this committee such proposition as it may be willing to make, etc.

willing to make, etc. The 14th of January. The Senator from Nebraska says that is the committee or I had gone into the Interior Department, into the office of the Railroad Commissioner, I believe, we would have the office of the Railroad Commissioner, I believe, we would have found there a paper, which he read. That paper is not dated January 14; it is dated January 15, 1897. Mr. THURSTON. Let me verify that and see whether the RECORD is right or states it as the letter of the 15th. Mr. MORGAN. This letter is dated January 15, 1897, addressed

Mr. MORGAN. This letter is dated January 15, 1897, addressed by Louis Fitzgerald to Hon. George Hoadly, and if it is a true copy of what the records contain, it refers to a letter which Mr. Hoadly had written to him on the 14th day of January, 1897. Where was this committee to look for the information about this business? Of course we look to the message of the President.

Mr. THURSTON. I will just say that the reporters in copying this letter into the RECORD evidently made the mistake. The letter

this letter into the RECORD evidently made the inistake. The letter that I read is dated January 14. Mr. MORGAN. Well, that accounts for the 15th; and I will assume in the rest of what I have to say about it that this is the correct copy of the letter which was omitted from the Presi-dent's message. Why was this letter carried off and lodged with the Government directors instead of being placed on file in the Attorney-General's Office? Where is the proper place to keep these meaned? records:

Mr. THURSTON. I will hand to the Senator the report of the Government directors made to the Secretary of the Interior. It

shows the whole correspondence. Mr. MORGAN. Yes. Now, here are the Government direct-ors. They have no responsibility to Congress at all. If we have their names, it is through some report that we get from the office of the Railroad Commissioner. They appear to be in the possession of a copy of this letter. Where the original is nobody knows. They do not appear to have the possession of the original letter; and of the letters that are found in the Attorney-General's Office that represented everything that had been done, there appears to be at least one of them, not signed, on file in the Attorney-General's Office.

We are complained at because we did not go to the Government directors' report, of which we had no knowledge and no cogni-zance, to find out what was the missing link in this agreement. The committee naturally assumed that the President in his mes-sage had given all the information that it was possible to give,

particularly when, after he had gone out of office, we appealed to the present Executive to cause another search to be made in the

Attorney-General's Office, and nother search to be made in the Attorney-General's Office, and nothing could be found but four scraps of paper, as they are described in the message, besides what had been previously communicated. I have gone over this ground, Mr. President, not that it is at all important to this case, but for the purpose of getting the means of identifying, if I can, the correspondence which has now been presented to the Senate by me and also by the Senator from Nebraska. It serves to identify the agreement for the reorganization of the Union Pacific Railroad Company, which is the paper doubt-It serves to identify the agreement for the reorganization

Now we have this paper identified. We have the Fitzgerald agreement here, which shows the part of the transaction that was omitted from the President's message, and of which no record is kept in the Attorney-General's Office and no direct allusion is made to it. There is an incidental allusion made to it in this correspondence, but no direct allusion. We have it all now. By thus hunting and harrying about among the Department archives and through private papers furnished to us by outsiders, we have now obtained what we may call the whole circle of the proceedings embraced in this agreement for the sale of the railroad and sinking fund to the Fitzgerald combine. But, sir, there is still want-ing one thing which was an indispensable part of the agreement, and that was an agreement drawn up and signed by Fitzgerald and his committee on the one part and the Government of the United States on the other, in which the whole transaction should appear and the mutual obligations and covenants should be expressed in binding and intelligible form. Suppose that Mr. Fitzgerald should commit a breach of his con-

tract with the Government of the United States and should withhold this paper, should not present it, as it has never been pre-sented and filed in the Attorney-General's Office, and we go into court for the purpose of enforcing it against him, how would we establish it? How would we prove that the paper that I now assume was a part of that agreement was in fact a part of it? It would be an impossibility, if no more could be established than is stated in the four letters that are supposed to contain the agree-ment. This shows that there was a disposition to cover this transaction up in darkness, and that disposition seems still to linger about the case. The Senator from Nebraska who has had the floor here and presented every paper in his possession relating the floor here and presented every paper in his possession relating to this transaction has omitted to bring forward this agreement, and it was not until I got my mail this morning that one of the stockholders in the company was kind enough to send me this combine agreement. I will remark that there is nothing we have been able to obtain about the Utah corporation except a news slip that has been sent here, which I will read:

## Dow, Jones & Co.

42-44 Broad s Friday.	treet, Ne	w York.	July 2.	Tele	phone No	o. 1846 Broad. No. 59.
(a) * (b)				*		
		UNION PAC	IFIC INCORPOR	RATED.		

UNION PACIFIC INCORPORATED. Salt Lake, Utah. —The Union Pacific Railroad Company has filed articles of incorporation with the secretary of state. Capital stock is \$130,000,000. The directors named are Edward Dickinson, Joseph H. Millard, J. A. Monroe, T. M. Orr, of Omaha; Alexander Miller, James G. Harris, of Boston; Otto H. Kahn, Henry C. Deming, Alvin W. Keech, Felix M. Warburg, Earnest R. Adee, George H. Squire, Lawrence Greer, of New York; George Q. Cannon, Le Grand Young, of Salt Lake. Under the Utah law the company can ac-quire by purchase any other railroad stock, and can extend any of its own lines.

Now, that is all we know about the corporation that has been carried through the Utah tribunal under a general act of incor-Where such a charter has been granted under such poration. general act as the charter members prescribe within the limits of the statute, and insert all the powers that they desire to have and none others. They seem to have chartered, by private agreement, a railroad corporation with a capital stock of \$136,000,000, with certain named directors. They seem to have assumed the author-ity, under the Utah law, of acquiring by purchase any other railroad and to extend any of its own lines.

That is the legal entity which, so far as we know anything about it, this great Union Pacific Railroad Company is to have, or is to be-come, or to pretend to be. This Utah corporation is to own the line of this Union Pacific Railroad and all of its corporate privileges and franchises running through five States, if Iam correct in my recollection of the States through which it goes. It is to own the whole It will be seen from the agreement for the reorganizaof them. tion that this Utah corporation is based upon the same scheme and plan as the Kentucky company, about which I will have more to say presently. That is all we know about it, and that is as far as we can penetrate into this matter. Something strange and hidden is going to be done with this railroad and with the patrons of it and the people who are dependent upon it and the Government of the United States, with its peculiar rights and privileges and powers over this company, as to which we are kept entirely in the dark. The President of the United States, who made this agreement, knew about it; without doubt he knew the

entire plan from beginning to end, but he did not mention or intimate that he had ever heard of the Fitzgerald combine. I remember when I was a member of the Committee on Pacific

Railroads-and I have been a member of it ever since that select committee was organized-there appeared before us one day, when Mr. Brice was organized—there appeared before as bole as, when Mr. Brice was chairman, two very eminent counselors from Ger-many and Mr. Stetson, the former law partner of Mr. Cleveland, advocating this same scheme in general outline, but upon terms far more favorable to the United States than are contained in this

far more favorable to the United States than are contained in this agreement. When the question was asked by myself of Mr. Stetson, "Who is to be the successor company?" he said, "It would be a company to be chartered by act of Congress." I said to him, "You will find probably a number of gentlemen in the Senate, at least, more particularly amongst the Democratic party, who will not be pre-pared to admit that the Congress of the United States can give a charter to a railroad company to extend through sovereign States, especially if they have not got their absolute consent, as they must have in all cases where the Federal power reaches into the States." Why, sir even regarding the taxation of lands, we are shut out

Why, sir, even regarding the taxation of lands, we are shut out by the agreements made in the acts of admission that the Gov-the lands of the States from taxation and the lands of the Government from taxation by the States for a period of years. When we wish to establish a Federal court-house in one of the States, we have always to enter into an agreement with the State by which it is admitted that the sovereign right of the State to tax that property is surrendered; so that the States have power over these corporations to tax them whenever the Congress chooses to enact them, unless they are created for Government purposes. Congress can enact them only for Government purposes. All the States have power to refuse them admission within their borders and to refuse to give up their right to tax them, unless it is upon some express stipulation.

So they concluded to change their plan, and this is the same combination. I asked the question on that investigation whether the majority of the stock and bonds of the Union Pacific Railroad Company were held abroad, in Germany and elsewhere, and they said they were; and they are to-day. Then I said, "If you get a charter from the United States Government for a new cor-poration here, the majority of the stock will be held abroad." They admitted that it would, and they thought it would be a benethem from contact with the control of the people of the country them from contact with the control of the people of the country through which it should pass. I have not forgotten those ideas and those suggestions, Mr. President. They have haunted me all the time that this matter has been under consideration. Now, I find that, despairing of getting a charter from the Con-gress of the United States, they have gone to the State of Utah. Finding there a general law for incorporating companies, they have not through their plan and changed their processing and

have put through their plan and changed their programme, and have taken such powers and in such form as they saw proper within the limits of the law of Utah; and that corporation is to become the residuary legatee of all the powers of the Union Pa-cific Railroad Company, and when we are compelled, as we shall be by force of logic, the logic of the situation, to give similar be by force of logic, the logic of the structure, to give similar privileges to Mr. Huntington, when he steps forward to claim them, then the Kentucky company stands there ready to receive all that will pass to it, to absorb it, and to exercise the powers over the Central Pacific Railroad Company that the Government of the United States now reserves partly to itself and partly to the action of the board of directors.

I will now read a notice from the Union Pacific reorganization committee, published in a New York paper:

The undersigned committee hereby gives notice that it has declared opera-tive its plan of reorganization dated October 15, 1895, with the modifications heretofore published.

LOUIS FITZGERALD, JACOB H. SCHIFF, T. JEFFERSON COOLIDGE, JR., CHAUNCEY M. DEPEW, MARVIN HUGHITT, OLIVER AMES, Committee.

NEW YORK, June 24, 1897.

They have made the declaration, now that they have got the Utah incorporation, that this plan of reorganization is now operative with some amendments, which have been made by publication, which amendments are inserted in the copy of the agreement by interlineation in pencil. Mr. President, I shall first read an address made by Fitzgerald

and other members of his committee to the "security holders" of the Union Pacific main lines proper, inclusive of the Kansas Pa-cific line. That address is dated October 15, 1895:

To the security holders of the Union Pacific main lines proper, inclusive of the - Kansas Pacific line:

The system of the Union Pacific Railway Company having become dis-membered, and the holders of the securities of the branch lines having al-ready taken steps for their own protection, it has become evident that the holders of the various kinds of bonds secured upon the main stem of the Union Pacific Railway Company, including the Kansas Pacific line, must combine in order to protect themselves.

Allow me to observe, while I am reading this part of the text of this address and notice, that it has been disclosed in the debate here that the Union Pacific Railroad Company has been stripping itself of a number of branch roads in which it had sometimes a majority of the stock and in others was controlling the traffic rights-that it has been stripping itself of these because they were nonpaying roads, because they were not valuable property, get-ting rid of them, and letting some of them go to waste and ruin, for the Senator from Nebraska says that some of them were in such a condition that a cow could not travel over them. It has such a condition that a cow could not travel over them. It has been stripping itself of all of these incumbrances for some years past. What for? For two purposes: First, that it might acquire the main stem of the Kansas Pacific route under an arrangement which they thought they could force in this wrecking process; and secondly, and more importantly, the consideration that by stripping themselves of these branch lines and getting them fore-closed, sold out, and the business all closed by decrees of the court, they would escape the responsibilities, which the Senator from they would escape the responsibilities, which the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. THURSTON] admitted the other day, for having diverted the money of the Union Pacific Railroad Company—a trust fund; always a trust fund-into the building of these lines.

These men who now hold these bonds and who hold the stock of the Union Pacific Railroad Company are the men who diverted the enormous sums of money, which the reports show, from the Union Pacific Company and put them into these branch lines for their own private enrichment and emolument, and they grew enor-mously rich by this operation. Then, when they got through and mously rich by this operation. Then, when they got through and concluded that they would have a forced sale and become pur-chasers of this Union Pacific property, they thought it would be far more convenient and much safer not to have any hereafters about their responsibility for the diversion of these funds held in trust for the United States Government. Therefore they permit-ted them to be swept off under decrees, one after another, saving only those that they thought ultimately would be very profitable members of the Union Pacific stem and the Kansas Pacific; and the great system has thus been torn to pieces by the very men who the great system has thus been torn to pieces by the very men who

the great system has thus been torn to pieces by the very men who constructed it—torn to pieces purposely, to avoid their responsi-bilities to the Government of the United States. Let me repeat, Mr. President—it may be said too often, but it can not be thought of too seriously—that these men in control of this great Union Pacific property, from the day that they first took hold of it, from the day that this railroad company was in-corporated, have been trustees, not for themselves, but for the Government of the United States. To make that remark clearer and more conclusive, let me now refer to the report of Mr. Boat-ner, of the Committee on Pacific Railroads of the House of Repre-sentatives, made on the 30th of July, 1894, in which he states, after the investigation of all the evidence that could be found, that Oliver Ames, on the 27th day of September, 1870—he being the predecessor of the Ames who is now suing the United States Gov-ernment as a trustee and as a bondholder—swore that \$26,762,300 ernment as a trustee and as a bondholder—swore that \$26,762,300 had been paid into the treasury of the Union Pacific Railroad Company for stock, when the fact was, as reported by Mr. Boat-ner, that only \$400,650 had ever been paid in.

ner, that only \$400,650 had ever been paid in. So that that stock was taken by Ames and his associates and converted to their own use to the amount of \$26,762,300 on the payment of \$400,650. That was on the Union Pacific. On the Kansas Pacific, Mr. Carr swore, on the 28th of September, 1872, that \$5,072,500 had been paid in for stock, when the fact was that only \$250,000 had been paid in. The balance of the statements re-late to the Central Pacific, and it is not necessary that I should put them in the RECORD. That is the stock that is represented in this reorganization committee; that is a part of this preferred stock on which they are to draw dividends at the rate of 4 per cent. cent.

To whom does that stock belong? It could not belong to these holders beyond the amount of money they paid into that company for it. Not to use a harsh expression, Mr. President, they deliberately stole it, and they have got it yet. They put that up as part of the basis of the agreement which they ask us now to enforce. If any more money has ever been paid into the Union Pacific Company or the Kansas Pacific Company for this stock, I ask the Senator from Nebraska, who knows all about this business, if he will make the statement, when he comes to reply to me, as to the amount. All I know about it is contained in Mr. Boatner's report, in the reports of the Government directors, and in the report of the Gov-ernment commission, of which I will put an extract in my remarks

before I get through. Mr. THURSTON. I will say, if the Senator will allow me— The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. ALLEN in the chair). Do the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Nebraska? Does

Mr. MORGAN. Yes, sir. Mr. THURSTON. The Senator says I know all about it. I know nothing of the transactions of the Union Pacific Railway Company prior to 1879 except as a matter of history. Mr. MORGAN. Then, of course, you do not know about that.

That occurred several years sooner.

Mr. THURSTON. The road was completed in the spring of 1869, according to history. I do not know it in any other way. Mr. MORGAN. Mr. President, I am going to ask the Secretary to read the agreement between these committeemen and the per-sons who are expected to subscribe and have subscribed their bonds and their stock and paid their money into this new combi-nation—this Fitzgerald combination; and it will be seen, when that agreement is read, that such powers have never been claimed or conferred upon any set of men in the world, I reckon. I am not familiar with the New York wrecking processes, but I sup-pose that such powers have never been conferred upon a set of men in the world as are conferred by this agreement of this reor-ganization committee; and it is that agreement which the Presi-dent of the United States undertook to execute when he dealt with this common the effect of the set of the set of the set of the set of the States undertook to execute when he dealt with this company. He affirmed it, he must affirm it, in all of its parts and in every particular.

After that has been read, I shall then read and lay before the Senate a statement, made up by that committee, of the value of the property which they are dealing with, and the assets of every kind and character of the Union Pacific Company, so that the Sen-ate and the country will know the view that they took of the value of this Union Pacific Railroad at the time they made this agree-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. In the absence of objection, the Secretary will read as requested. Mr. MORGAN. It is a little prolix, but at the same time it is

very important.

The Secretary read as follows:

### AGREEMENT.

<text><text><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

osit the same or become parties to this agreement or share in the benefits hereof, and shall acquire no rights thereunder, except by express consent of he committee and on such terms and conditions as the committee may pre-

<text><text><text><text>

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

sions thereof. The committee may negotiate and agree with any and all companies or persons for obtaining or granting running powers, terminal facilities, ex-changes of property, or any other conveniences which it may deem necessary or desirable to obtain or to grant, and may make contracts therefor binding upon such new company; and generally may authorize, ratify, and make such purchases, contracts, stipulations, or arrangements as will, in its opin-ion, operate directly or indirectly to aid in the preservation, improvement, development, or protection of any property of the Union Pacific Railway Company, or to prevent or avoid opposition to, or interference with, the successful execution hereof. The committee may proceed under this agreement, or any part thereof, with or without foreclosure, and may exercise any power after foreclosure sale.

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

ers of such certificates of deposit before the deposited bonds represented by such certificates shall be surrendered in exchange therefor. Any assess-ments paid on deposited stock so withdrawn, or the proceeds of the use thereof, shall be returned to the holders of certificates of deposit represent-ing such deposited stock, less a pro rata share of the expenses and other ex-penditures and compensation of the committee incurred up to the date of such withdrawal, which pro rata share shall be such as the committee shall estimate to be properly applicable to the stock so withdrawn. All holders of certificates of deposit who shall not exercise this right to withdraw their securities within said time shall be deemed to have assented to and adopted such change or alteration and shall be bound thereby, and the committee shall be fully authorized to carry the same into effect with all the powers provided in this agreement. Wherever the plan or this agreement is referred to in the plan or in this areement, it shall be deemed to include any change or alteration thereof so adopted. The plan may be abandoned by the committee at any time, notwithstand-

<text><text><text><text><text><text>

assigns. In witness whereof, the members of the committee have hereunto signed their names, and all other parties hereto have deposited securities as above set forth

Mr. MORGAN. Mr. President, I have detained the Senate to have the agreement read when probably I might have obtained permission to incorporate it in my remarks, because I wanted to call attention to one or two points in it. I can not take time this afternoon to go through the whole of it and to comment upon its very extraordinary provisions, but there are some things to be said about it that are perhaps necessary in order to explain and elucidate the situation to which we have become a party through this agreement of the President of the United States, if that

agreement is binding in law or morals, as it is not. First of all in this outgiving of the committee of reorganization there is no statement made as to who are the persons who first moved or consented together to raise the committee. We must assume that no such action as this would have been taken except We must in behalf of men, perhaps only three or four, who own a majority of the bonds and a majority of the stock in the Union Pacific Rail-road Company. Some of the stockholders, I have shown, obtained their stock by fraud. That is the report of the investigating com-mittee made to the Congress of the United States under the act of 1887. That report here never here contradicted That report has never been contradicted. of 1887.

On the contrary, some of these men have been under oath since that time, particularly Collis P. Huntington, in regard to the Central Pacific Railroad, and he has been compelled to reaffirm the statements made in that report, called the Pattison report. If I can have the consent of the Senate, I will insert in the RECORD, without reading, an extract from the report of Governor Pattison, which shows still more fully the dealings of these men with the company in obtaining this stock. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the extract

will be printed in the RECORD.

The matter referred to is as follows:

With be printed in the RECORD.
The matter referred to is as follows:
By the foregoing tables it will be seen that the aid given to these companies amounted to \$447,729,470.54.
By the bond table it will be seen that the total loan by the Government in principal and interest payments will be \$178,884,759.50, toward the repayment of which the companies in eighteen years have accumulated only \$30,955,039.61.
By investing these corporations with the control of a public highway across the continent the Government reposed in them, as a reimbursement for their contemplated outlay in construction, the power to establish rates of transportation; that is, the power to levy toll upon all traffic which might pass over these arenues of trade.
At the time when the grants were made grave apprehensions were entertained by Congress and the people respecting the dangers which might pass over the to tax traffic and the advantage of limited responsibility which was conferred upon these aggregations of capital, they were endowed practically with perpetual succession and capacity for the accumulation and concentration of wealth and power—privilegos which are denied to natural beings whose plans are spanned by the grave.
To guard against possible abuses of these great powers, and to insure good management and personal responsibility. Congress enacted that, in return for the nation's liberality, the companies should bind themselves to have their stock fully paid in cash, and that they should bind themselves also to build first-class roads, to carry for the Government a fair and reasonable rates (not exceeding the amounts paid by private parties for the same kind of service), and to operate all the lines in the Pacific system as one ennected, roads, to carry for the other roads equal facilities as to rates, time, and alpersons. They were also bound to make annual reports, giving the names of their directors and officers and stockholders, and information, and to convey telegr

### CONSTRUCTION AND CAPITALIZATION.

With these legal obligations and covenants resting upon them, what did these companies do? The Union Pacific Railroad Company actually received in cash on account of stock payments the sum of only \$400,650, while it issued stock to the amount of \$36,762,300. The Union Pacific (1,088.68 miles) was built for \$38,824,000, and the company issued bonds and stocks as follows:

First-mortgage bonds United States bonds Land-grant bonds Income bonds Stock	$\begin{array}{c} \$27, 237, 000\\ 27, 236, 512\\ 9, 224, 000\\ 9, 355, 000\\ 36, 762, 300 \end{array}$	Inti
Cost of construction	$\frac{109,814,812}{38,824,000}$	1
Fictitious capital	70, 990, 812	-

 Fictitions capital
 70,990,812

 One thing is evident. After allowing for discount, the road was built for less than the proceeds of the first-mortgage and Government bonds, which had a face value of \$54,465,512, the builders taking as profit part of the proceeds of the sale of those bonds, as well as the income bonds, the land-grant bonds, and the stock, and charging up on the books of the company as cost of construction \$100,814,812.

 The Congressional committee of investigation, generally known as "the Wilson committee," which investigated the construction of the Union Pacific, commenting upon the evidence which it took in 1873, said that every precation that Congress had taken for the proper management of these great properties had failed of its purpose. Congress had demanded that money be paid in and that this money should be subordinated to the Government lien. If had provided for the presence of five directors representing the Government of commissioners to pass upon the work of road construction as it progressed, and it had held the reserve power to alter, amend, or repeal; and yet the road was subjected to the most scandalous mismanagement.

 The Wilson committee reported that large sums of money were borrowed but which were distributed in dividends among the corporators; that stock their duies and others were interested in the fraudulent transactions of the company. One of the commissioners appointed to pass upon the condition of the code of the road was paid \$25,000 her onsideration of the signing, officially, a report her code was paid \$25,000 per mile. His successor, Gen. G. M. Dodge, was interested in contracts for the construction of the road as as tookholder of the Crédit Mobilier. Oakes Ames, who was largely interested in the construction of the road, sold stock to members of Congress for prices

value of the shares, his philosophy being that, although these particular Con-gressmen had favored the Union Pacific road, they would take a livelier inter-est in its affairs if they were protecting their own property. The Kansus Pacific aided portion (393.94 miles) was built for about \$11,-800,000, under what was called "an exhaustive contract," which took all the bonds and stocks of the company, amounting to \$25,028,250, as follows:

First-mortgage bonds United States bonds Land grant Second land grant Income Stock	$\begin{array}{c} \$6, 303, 000\\ 6, 303, 000\\ 1, 574, 750\\ 1, 500, 000\\ 4, 275, 000\\ 5, 072, 500 \end{array}$
Total	25,028,250 11,800,000
Fictitions conital	19 900 950

The Central Pacific actually received less than \$760,000 in cash and bonds on account of stock subscription, while it issued stock to the amount of \$54,000,000.

The Central Pacific and Western Pacific aided portions (860.66 miles) were built for \$40,000,000: for which bonds and stocks were issued by Messrs. Le-land Stanford, Collis P. Huntington, Mark Hopkins, and Charles Crocker, or under their direction, as follows:

Bonds Stock	$\substack{\$70,211,680\\54,000,000}$
Total issue of bonds and stock Cost of construction	$\frac{124,211,680}{40,000,000}$
Fictitious capital	84,211,680

The bonds, reduced to a gold basis, yielded \$52,708,742.55. So that the four men who managed that company profited to the extent of over \$12,000,000 in gold, in addition to \$52,000,000 of the stock which they divided among them-selves and subsequently sold at high figures after declaring dividends to the amount of \$18,453,670. Of the first-mortgage bonds, \$400,000 were used for purposes that no one has been able to explain. Four hundred thousand dollars more in those bonds was given away as bonus to stockholders for stock subscriptions. It will be apparent from these figures that the roads were bonded and stocked on an excessive basis, the profits, amounting to \$172,347,115, going to construction companies or inside combinations, as follows:

### Cost and capitalization

Company.	Mileage.	Cost.	Capitaliza- tion in bonds and stocks.	Amount of fictitions capital in securities upon com- pletion of road.
Union Pacific Kansas Pacific Central Branch Sioux City and Pacific Central Pacific Western Pacific	$1,038.68\\393.94\\100\\107.77\\737.50\\123.16$	\$38,824,000 11,800,000 2,731,347 2,600,000 36,000,000 4,000,000	$\begin{array}{c} \$109, \$14, \$12\\ 25, 028, 250\\ 4, 200, 000\\ 5, 047, 720\\ \end{smallmatrix}\right\} 124, 211, 680$	\$70,990,812 13,228,250 1,468,653 2,447,720 84,211,680
Total	2, 495. 05	95, 955, 347	268, 302, 462	172, 347, 115

The construction companies or inside combinations that built five of the six roads have destroyed or concealed their books, the exception being the Central Branch: and the commission has been embarrassed in its work by the refusal or failure of the companies to produce the accounts relating to the actual cost of construction or to exhibit any paper or documents that would enable the commission to ascertain the truth as to this most important factor in the investigation. The books of the Crédit Mobilier, which built the Union Pacific from Omaha to Ogden; the books of the Contract and Finance Company, which built the Western Pacific from San Jose to Sacra-mento and the Central Pacific from Sacramento to Ogden; the books of Shoe-maker & Co., who built the Kansas Pacific; and the accounts of John I. Blair, who built the Sioux City and Pacific Railroad—all these are missing. From the minutes and accounts of the railroad companies, and from fragmentary information gathered from various sources, it is disclosed that the oflicers of at least three of these companies made failse statements under oath in affi-davits now on file in the Interior Department. From these affidavits the fol-lowing has been compiled: Slock table.

	2121/10	Slock tabl	e.	
Company.	Stock actually paid in.	Stock paid in as sworn to.	Name of deponent.	Date of affi- davit.
Union Pacific Kansas Pacific Central Pacific Central Branch	\$400,650 250,000 760,000 386,700	\$36, 762, 300 5, 072, 500 54, 283, 190 980, 600	Oliver Ames R. E. Carr Leland Stanford R. M. Pomeroy	Sept. 27, 1870 Sept. 28, 1872 Sept. 18, 1871 Sept. 21, 1869
Total	1,797,350	97,098,590		

It is no answer to the Government that the managers of these companies did only that which the managers of railroads in other sections did. These lines were built upon public credit. They were public highways in the broadest sense of that term. The managers were acting as trustees of a national highway, and they can not plead any lawful justification for making false affidavits which state that \$97,098,500 of stock was actually paid for, when in fact less than two millions had been so paid for.

During the five years from 1864 to 1869, upon the claim that their roads were fully completed, these companies obtained bonds from the Govern-ment; but when the Government called upon them to pay a percentage of their net earnings into the Treasury, as was stipulated in the original con-tract, they contended that their roads were not fully completed until 1874, and refused to make any payments to the Government, though one of them, the Central Pacific had been declaring dividends in the meantime. They resisted the claims and demands of the Government at every point, and resorted to every device their ingenuity could invent in their efforts to evade the plain requirements of the law.

In transporting troops and supplies for the Government they violated the contract obligation to charge reasonable rates by charging more than they charged to private shippers for the same kind of service. The reports of the Union Pacific show that the average rates paid by the Government to that corporation were higher than those received by that company from other sources. The same is more or less true of the other bond-aided companies. The overcharges upon the Government by the Central and Union Pacific companies since 1880 are estimated to have been as follows:

PROFITS OF \$278,023,357.63 IN OPERATING THE ROADS.

The reports of these companies show the following figures relating to op-eration, disclosing a profit or net earnings of \$278,023,357.63, equaling \$15,000,000 a year:

Road.	Gross earn- ings.	Operating expenses.	Net earn- ings.
Union and Kansas Pacific	\$315, 303, 504. 66	\$169, 916, 078. 90	\$145, 387, 425. 76
Central Pacific Railroad, from 1863 to December 31, 1886 Sioux City and Pacific Railroad,	274, 139, 116. 27	149, 199, 102. 40	124, 940, 013. 87
from July 30, 1869, to June 30, 1887 Central Branch Union Pacific	9, 187, 359, 50 12, 849, 463, 47	6, 423, 596, 82 7, 917, 308, 15	2,763,762.68 4,932,155.32
Total	611, 479, 443, 90	333, 456, 086. 27	278, 023, 357. 63

OVER \$25,000,000 FOR POOLS, REBATES, AND OVERCHARGES. In addition to the gross earnings given as above, the bond-aided companies received the following sums, which they subsequently paid out on account of pools, subsidies, rebates, overcharges, etc.:

Pools, rebates, and overcharges.

Road.	Pools.	Rebates and overcharges.	Total.
Union Pacific. Kansas Pacific. Sioux City and Pacific. Central Pacific.	}\$4,004,512.48	\$11,577,091.62	\$15, 581, 604. 10 401, 832. 01 9, 882, 799. 61
Total			25, 866, 235. 72

THE MANAGEMENT CONTEMPLATED BY CONGRESS AND THE METHOD ACTUALLY PURSUED.

ACTUALLY PURSUED. ACTUALLY PURSUED. Had the Pacific railroads been built and managed upon honest methods: had the Government loan been properly applied, these companies, regarded as a whole, could have declared dividends at the rate of 6 per cent per annum for eighteen years, from the date of actual completion to the present time, upon all the moneys that they would have been required to pay in to com-plete and equip the roads: they would have owned 2,495 miles of roads free from all debt, and worth \$124,600,000, upon an original outlay of less than \$35, 490,381.44; three of them, the Union Pacific, Central Pacific, and Central Branch, could have repaid every cent of the principal and interest advanced by the Government to date, and could have reduced their charges to shippers to the extent of over \$140,000,000, or nearly \$5,000,000 per year. For §1 the stockholders would have realized \$1.07 in dividends in eighteen years and \$1.11 in land sales. The property would have been free from debt, and for every dolar that they had invested the stockholders would have yielded \$5.18. But they chose dishonest methods. At the outset they divided \$172,347,115 of fictitions capital; they dissipated over \$107,000,000 which should have been applied to the payment of the principal and interest of the Government debt. and they taxed shippers to the extent of over \$140,000,000, or nearly \$5,000,000 a year, to pay for the inflation of the capital of these companies and for the vicious practices that crept into their management. Mr. MORGAN. The majority of the bondholders and these

Mr. MORGAN. The majority of the bondholders and these Mr. MORGAN. The majority of the bondholders and these fraudulent stockholders have combined together to organize this committee. That is evident. If that is not evident, then the com-mittee are a mere set of interlopers who are in here for the purpose of making salaries out of the wreck of this railroad, they them-selves preparing every facility for carrying the wreck into full and disastrous effect. They are not representing themselves sim-ply. They are interested in this property and also represent other men who are interested in it. Therefore they meet together at some place, at some time, and in some manner, which is not de-scribed in the agreement at all, and they enter upon and promul-rate this very peculiar agreement.

fraudulent stockholders have combined together to organize this committee. That is evident. If that is not evident, then the committee are a more set of interlopers who are in here for the purpose, of making salaries out of the wreck of this railroad, they them alloss arross effect. They are not representing themselves sime place, at some time, and in some manner, which is not experiment at all, and they enter upon and promite this very peculiar agreement.
This combination between these frandulent stockholders and the fight of the United States Gerer functional science in the the united states for eaching a settlement with the United States Government, which is not the United States deal with Fitzgeraid is the settence in the transforment of the United States deal with Fitzgeraid is the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the States of the bonds of the settence in the settence in the address of the settence in the interlevent at the forced sale with the fitzgeraid is the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding and maker for the tories in the interlevent. The solution to the balance of the United States deal with Fitzgeraid is the first-morigage bonds, of which part have already matured, and all of the States deal not the transforment, the United States deal with right of the united to part of the United states deal with right in the accurate the second in a uniter or protecting. Interlevent we commit the balance of the United States deal with right of the united to part of the United States deal with right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking fund and the right of the stranding the sinking the sinking the s

the only money ever paid upon the stock. Various penalties are inflicted by the agreement upon those who come in as well as upon those who stay out, and the arbitrary power is given to them even to change the agreement from time to time as they may see proper to do. When it comes to the disposal of the railroad property, which the agreement shows is to be sold under a decree of some court, the purchasers of the property, being the committee, have the right to sell it or to lease it or to convey it to any persons whatsoever

I call special attention to the provisions of the agreement upon that subject, because they are utterly destructive of the relations between a railroad corporation and a government, whether it is the Government of the United States that charters the company or whether it is the government of a State. In all railroad cor-porations, of course, there are mutual obligations and duties— duties that are made incumbent upon the corporators, with reference to the general public, and especially with reference to per-sons who become the patrons of the road; duties and powers on the part of the Government to control the corporation in the exercise of its functions. These are all parted with under the sale made to the Fitzgerald combine. They are all to be concentrated in this combine, under the Utah statute, and controlled by this committee of reorganization.

What is to become of this road; who is to own it; what use is to be made of it after the sale has been made, is more than any man can conjecture, except that it is to be placed in the hands of these wreckers for the purpose of using it to their advantage, and, of course, to make all that can be made out of the people who are the patrons of the road and are bound to be taxed, at their sweet will, for its support.

It was to that feature more than any other that I desired to call special attention. But, sir, this is not the only remarkable feature special attention. But, sir, this is not the only remarkable feature of this affair. There also exist the power to alter the agreement, the power to change it at will, merely by publishing a notice that they have changed it, the power to revoke it, the power to aban-don it at any time they see proper, which power is reserved also in the letters that passed between Mr. Hoadly and Mr. Harmon and Mr. Fitzgerald. They can play fast and loose. They can be bound by their agreement or they can get rid of it, and in the event of their getting rid of it the deposit which has been made in the national depositary of the United States at New York does event of their getting rid of it the deposit which has been made in the national depositary of the United States at New York does not inure to the Government of the United States. There is no penalty, no forfeiture, no right to claim it. They can abandon the contract at any minute they please. When the property is put up for sale, they are not bound to buy it. All they have to do is to take the risk of the disposal of the forfeiture money put up in the hands of the national depositary of the United States at New York, the subtreasury. Having got before the Senate this agreement and the report of

the commissioners of the United States in regard to the contract of these people, I turn now to the statement that they have made and submitted here, and I will ask that it be printed in the RECORD. It is a statement of all the relations of this railroad to the United States, to the first-mortgage holders, to the stockholders, and to the reorganization committee as they are proposed to be fixed by the agreement.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. In the absence of objection, the paper will be printed in the RECORD.

The paper referred to is as follows:

To the security holders of the Union Pacific main lines proper, inclusive of the Kansas Pacific line:

JULY 17.

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

LOUIS FITZGERALD,	
JACOB H. SCHIFF,	
T. JEFFERSON COOLID	GE. JR.
CHAUNCEY M. DEPEW	and a second of
MARVIN HUGHITT,	1
OLIVER AMES, 2D,	
	Committee.

NEW YORK, October 15, 1895.

REORGANIZATION OF THE UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY. STATEMENT.

# Mileage.

The main lines of the Union Pacific Railway Company are as follows:

Union Division—Council Bluffs to a point 5 miles west of Ogden Kansas Division—Kansas City to Denver Leavenworth Branch—Lawrence to Leavenworth	1,048.01 643.55 31.93 104.10
marked and the second second	1 007 50

Total main-line mileage ..... 1,827.59 Lands.

The outstanding land and town-lot contracts on December 31, 1894, were as follows:

Union Division Kansas Pacific and Denver Pacific divisions	\$2,727,480.27
Railsas I acine and Denver I acine di listons	0,100,211.20

Division.	Acres.	Estimated value.
Union. Kansas Pacific and Denver Pacific	3, 345, 000 3, 179, 000	\$3,157,000 10,201,500
Total	6, 524, 000	13, 358, 500

Funded debt (October 1, 1895). Amount. When due. Union division: Union Pacific first mortgage 6s..... Union Pacific land grant 7s Union Pacific sinking fund 8s.... Union Pacific Omaha Bridge 8s... Union Pacific Onlaberal trust 6s\*.... Union Pacific collateral trust 6s\*.... Union Pacific collateral trust 5s\*... Union Pacific collateral trust ss\*...  $\begin{array}{r} \$\$7,229,000\\7,000\\3,730,000\\508,000\\1,056,000\\3,626,000\\4,677,000\\4,677,000\\2,030,000\\1,149,000\\8,610,000\end{array}$ Jan. 1, 1896–1899. Apr. 1, 1889. Sept. 1, 1899. Apr. 1, 1808. Oct. 1, 1915. July 1, 1908. Dec. 1, 1907. Nov. 1, 1918. 1806 to 1900. Aug. 1, 1894. 52, 622, 000 Kansas Pacific and Cheyenne divisions and Leavenworth Branch: Eastern division 6s..... Denver extension 6s..... Kansas Pacific consolidated 6s.... Kansas Pacific income 7s... Kansas Pucific income 7s... Kansas division and collateral 5s... Denver Pacific first mortgage 7s... Leavenworth Branch first mortgage 7s...  $\begin{array}{c} 2,240,000\\ 4,063,000\\ 5,887,000\\ 11,724,000\\ 263,700\\ 4,011,650\\ 5,000,000\\ 975,000\\ 600,000 \end{array}$ Aug. 1, 1895, June 1, 1896, May 1, 1899, July 1, 1919, July 1, 1916, Do. May 1, 1921, May 1, 1899, Jan. 1, 1896,

Funded debt (October 1, 1895)-Continued.

	Amount.	When due.
Indebtedness to the Government:* For principal. For interest (approximately, after de- ducting estimated value of the sinking fund).	\$33, 539, 512 19, 500, 000	Nov. 1, 1895, to Jan, 1, 1899,
	53,039,512	
Total funded debt	140, 425, 862	

Capital stock: The capital stock of the Union Pacific Railway Company out-standing is..... \$60, 868, 500

\*Note.—The lien of the Government for the security of this debt is a sec-ond lien subordinate to the lien of the first-mortgage bonds on the Union division and of the eastern and middle division bonds on 394 miles of the Kansas division. The proportions of the principal of the debt (\$35,539,512) applicable to the Union and Kansas divisions, respectively, are as follows:

Fixed charges.

# Fixed charges. The interest on the debt to the United States (principal \$33,539,512) has been an accumulating obligation, diminished only by application of with-held compensation for Government service and by sinking-fund receipts. The accumulated interest, now aggregating, after all deductions, more than \$19,000,000, will mature, it is claimed, with the maturity of the principal of the debt which now impends. In the following table, which states the fixed charges of the Union Pacific Railway Company (proper) for each of the five years from 1890 to 1894, in-clusive, the following liabilities are not included: 1. The excess of interest on the debt to the Government over the percent-age of nat earnings applicable to it under the Thurman and other acts.<sup>\*</sup> 2. Interest on bonds held in main-line mortgage trusts under conversion provisions.

provisions 3. The obligations under guaranties, determined by the deficit in the oper-ations of auxiliary lines to meet interest or provide the traffic receipts guar-anteed by the Union Pacific Railway Company. Fixed charges or deductions from net earnings:

Year.	Interest on bonds,	Sinking funds.	Govern- ment require- ments.	Total charges.
1890	\$4,613,097.85	\$705, 458, 75	\$1,041,153.43	\$6,359,710.03
1891	4,782,230.29	708, 332, 50	1,278,488.82	6,769,051.61
1892	5,371,587.40	705, 172, 50	1,338,044.37	7,414,804.27
1893	4,902,594.03	666, 182, 50	1,203,303.73	6,772,080.28
1894	4,767,613.81	677, 685, 00	1,249,061.46	6,694,360.27

Average charges as above for five years, \$6,802,001.28.

\*Nore.—The annual interest charge accumulating on this debt is \$2,012,-370.72, less the deductions above explained.

### EARNINGS.

The following table shows the gross and net earnings resulting from the operation of the Union Pacific main lines (exclusive of the company's income from other sources) for each of the ten years from 1885 to 1894, inclusive:

Year.	Gross earn- ings.	Net earn- ings; taxes deducted.	Year.	Gross earn- ings.	Net earn- ings, taxes deducted.
1885	\$17,455,031.51	\$8,404,676,31	1890	\$20, 438, 208, 36	\$7,274,759.06
18%6	17,806,132.59	7,522,707.02	1891	19, 687, 738, 48	7,846,451.70
1887	19,546,088.62	9,111,886.85	1802	20, 361, 401, 66	8,550,268.22
1888	19,898,816.93	8,119,468.16	1893	17, 376, 792, 11	6,204,716.81
1889	19,775,555.84	8,286,679.63	1894	14, 739, 436, 76	4,315,077.25

Average net earnings for ten years, \$7,563,669.10.

General considerations.

1. The mortgage debt, for which provision is made in the following plan for reorganization, is exclusive of main-line bonds held in trusts or sinking funds under mortgages in the proposed reorganization, the issues being re-duced to that extent for reorganization purposes. The bonds thus available under new plan, without the necessity for provi-sion in new securities; are as follows:

\$322,000 304,000

sion in new securities; are as follows: Omaha Bridge renewal 5 per cent bonds (held by the receivers).... Eastern Division bonds (held in Denver Extension sinking fund).... Middle Division bonds (held in Denver Extension sinking fund).... Manasa Pacific consols, (held in Denver Extension sinking fund).... Kansas Pacific consols, (held in Kansas Pacific further security trust and by the receivers). Kansas Pacific income sevens, unsubordinated (held in Kansas Pa-cific consolidated mortgage trust). Kansas Pacific first mortgage sevens (held in Kansas Pacific consolidated mortgage trust). Denver Pacific first mortgage sevens (held in Kansas Pacific consol-idated mortgage trust). Leavenworth Branch first mortgage sevens (held in Kansas Pacific consolidated mortgage trust). 385 000 1.781.000 120,000 252,300 3,988,550

971,000

585,000 consolidated mortgage trust).

\*Not embraced in reorganization.

34, 764, 350

# CONGRESSIONAL RECORD-SENATE.

2675

of their fixed charges. Geographical conditions and considerations of mutual advantage point to a continued operation of such of these auxiliary proper-ties as have had a demonstrated value in harmony with that of the reorgan-ized company, and relief from the burden of these guaranties will, it is be-lieved, be an advantage obtained without detriment to the earning capacity of the avecant.

lieved, be an advantage obtained without dominant the second seco

Average net earnings of Union Pacific Railway (proper) for ten years, 1885 to 1894, inclusive.

\$7,563,669

Interest and dividends: Annual interest on the maximum issue of \$100,000,000 4 per cent bonds under following plan. Annual 4 per cent dividend on the maximum issue of \$75,000,000 pre-ferred stock. 4,000,000 3,000,000

7,000,000

563, 669 NOTE.-The lowest net earnings realized by the Union Pacific Railway were those of the year 1894, when they were \$4,315,077.25, or \$315,077.25 in ex-cess of interest on the maximum amount of bonds as proposed in the following plan.

PLAN.

It is proposed, through such foreclosure proceedings as the committee shall cause to be instituted or shall adopt, or through such other means as the committee shall determine, that a new company shall succeed to (or that the present company reorganized upon the basis of indebtedness fixed in this plan shall retain) the main lines and lands covered by the mortgages included in the plan. The new company shall issue the following new securities:

First-mortgage railway and land grant fifty-year 4 per cent gold Four per cent preferred stock. .... \$100,000,000 75,000,000 61,000,000

New bonds.—The new bonds shall be dated January 1, 1897, and shall bear interest from that date, payable on the 1st days of each January and July thereafter, until maturity. They shall be secured by a first-mortgage lien upon all the main-line mileage of the Union Pacific Railway Company, upon the equipment acquired by the new company, and upon the unsold lands and the land contracts embraced in the trusts of the Union Pacific land-grant and sinking-fund mortgages, the Denver Extension first mortgage, the Kansas Pacific consolidated first mortgage, and the Denver Pacific first mortgage, and upon such branch lines of railway as the committeeshall avail of through the ownership of branch-line bonds in the trust of the Kansas Pacific con-solidated first mortgage.

Distribution of new company's securities.

	New 4 per cent 50- year gold bonds.		New preferred stock.		New
	Per cent.	Amount	Per cent.	Amount.	stock.
For Union division debt:	1947-19		and the second		15.72
1. Union Pacific first mortgage 6s	100	\$27, 229, 000	50	\$13, 614, 500	
2. Land grant 7s*				1 005 000	
3. Sinking fund 8s	100	3,730,000	50	1,865,000	
4. Omaha Bridge 8s 5. Omaha Bridge re-	100	508,000	50	254,000	
a. Omana Bridge re- newals, 5s	100	734,000	25	183,500	I
For Kansas division debt:	100	101,000		100,000	
1. Eastern Division		1.		And the second	1
6s	100	1,936,000	50	968,000	. Salanda
2. Middle Division 6s	100	3,678,000	50	1,839,000	
3. Denver Exten-	100	0,010,000		1,000,000	
sion first 6s	100	4,106,000	50	2,053,000	C. S. Sandi
4. Consolidated first-					
mortgage 6s Consolidated first	80	9,283,200	50	5,802,000	
defaulted inter-	7.51.51.50 T	1. 1. 1. 1.			
est+			25	2,901,000	
5. Income 7s (unsub-			-	-	
ordinated)	80	8,880	50	5,550	
6. Income 7s (subor-	00	10 110		10 000	
dinated) 7. Leavenworth	80	16,440	50	10,275	
Branch 7s	80	12,000	50	7,500	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
8. Denver Pacific	00	14,000	00	1,000	********
first 7s	80	3,200	50	2,000	and the second
9. Kansas Division	00	0,000	00	~,000	
and collateral	11.2	N. 25		and the second	1.
mortgage 5s			50	2,500,000	
For assessment on com-			1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
mon stock			100	9,130,275	
In exchange for com-	COLUMN DESCRIPTION				
mon stock of Union	1.		121 221		Bart I
Pacific Railway Com-	S. F. R.S.	10 1 A 1 A 1		and a standard	1 . The second second
pany on which assess-		ALL DELL		21	1
ment is paid under	en and	14 1 1 1 1	1	1.221.1.1.24	1
the plan			********	******	\$60, 868, 500
For compensation to re-		1. 1. 1.			
organization syndi- cate and bankers	S. 10	17 18 201	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	0.000.000	1000
cate and ounkers				6,000,000	
Total defined is-	10 - H 24		1		
sues for reorgan-	5.000	1. 1. 1. 1.		11 5 1100	
ization pur poses.	Saucer and	51,244,720	aguing the	47, 135, 600	60, 868, 500
Fur foodos		and and and		1,100,000	00,000,000

Distribution of new compar	ny's securities—	Continued.
----------------------------	------------------	------------

	New 4 per cent 50- year gold bonds.		New preferred stock.		New
	Per cent.	Amount.	Per cent.	Amount.	stock.
Reserved to dispose of equipment obligations and for reorganization and corporate uses Balance reserved for settlement of the debt to the United States and for extraordinary requirements f		\$13,000,000 35,755,280		\$7,000,000 20,884,400	\$131,500

\*The Union Trust Company of New York, trustee under the land-grant mortgage, has funds in hand with which to pay the \$7,000 outstanding bonds. +Should a greater or less amount of interest than that here estimated be in default on these bonds at the date from which the new bonds bear interest, the provision in preferred stock will be varied accordingly so as to equal in amount such defaulted interest. Interest received by the committee on de-posited bonds of this class will be accounted for to the holders of correspond-ing certificates of deposit. + Company owes about \$63,000,000, inclusive of interest on Government advances.

advances.

New preferred stock.—The new preferred stock shall be entitled to 4 per cent noncumulative dividends, payable out of the net or surplus earnings of the reorganized company before the payment of any dividend on the com-mon stock.

cent noncumulative dividends, payable out of the net or surplus earnings of the reorganized company before the payment of any dividend on the com-mon stock. The following will be the cash provisions for first-mortgage bonds: Through arrangements made with the syndicate hareafter mentioned, the following cash provisions are made in respect to defaulted and future inter-est on present outstanding first-mortgage bonds of the Union Pacific and Kanass Pacific Railway companies, as shown in detail below. First. The coupons now in default upon present first-mortgage bonds are to be purchased in cash for account of the syndicate at the time of the deposit with the committee of the bonds to which they pertain. Second. Coupons maturing on deposited first-mortgage bonds in the inter-val between the deposit thereof under the plan and the date from which bonds of the new company are to bear interest (January 1, 1897) are to be purchased by the syndicate from the committee, which in turn shall apply the amounts so received, at the respective due dates of the coupons, to the payment of corresponding installments on its outstanding certificates ap-plicable to such deposited bonds. Third. At the time of the issue of the new 4 per cent bonds the difference between the interest at their rate and at the rate of the present first-mort-gage bonds (i.e., the rate difference of 2 per cent shall be adjusted in cash covering the periods between January 1. 1897, and the respective dates of the maturity of the present bonds. The proportion of the current semiannual interest installments which shall have accrued on January 1, 1807, on such of said bonds as do not bear January coupons, shall be likewise provided for in cash at the time of the delivery of the new bonds. The bonds to which the foregoing cash provisions apply and the extent of the cash requirements to meet these provisions are thus shown: Union Pacific first 6s (due in installments January 1, 1896, to Janu-ary 1. 1896 inclusive):

Union Pacific first 6s (due in installments January 1, 1896, to Janu

Interest maturing during pendency of plan, to and including January 1, 1897 Adjustment of interest as between rates of old and new bonds— 2 per cent per annum from January 1, 1897, to maturity of old	\$1, 633, 740 2, 450, 610
bonds: On \$1,920,000 bonds, due Jaly 1, 1897	-
Kansas Pacific, Eastern Division, first 9s (matured August 1, 1895); Defaulted coupons of August, 1894, and February and August,	500, 290
1895 Interest maturing during pendency of plan to January 1, 1897 Kansas Pacific, Middle Division, first 6s (due June 1, 1896):	201,600 190,400
Defaulted coupons of June 1 and December 1, 1894, and June 1, 1885 Interest maturing during pendency of plan to Jannary 1, 1897 Kansas Pacific, Denver Extension, first 6s (due May 1, 1890):	365, 670 385, 985
Defaulted coupons of May 1 and November 1, 1894, and May 1 and November 1, 1895. Interest maturing during pendency of plan to January 1, 1897.	706, 440 412, 090
Adjustment of interest as between rates of old and new bonds (2 per cent from January 1, 1897, to maturity of old bonds)	274,727
	7 101 220

7.121.552

In all cases where the foregoing provisions apply to semiannal interest installments not represented by compons because of the prior maturity of the principal of the bonds, the syndicate will take assignments of such interest installments from holders presenting their bonds for deposit, or from the committee as to such bonds as shall have been deposited, and will hold and treat such assignments in the manner hereinafter provided with respect to coupons taken up by the syndicate.

### Assessment.

The common stock of the present company will be assessed at the rate of

The common stock of the present company will be assessed at the rate of \$15 per share. Shareholders paying the assessment of \$15 per share will receive the amount of the assessment (viz, \$15 per share) in new preferred stock at par, and will also receive par of their present common stock in common stock of the new company. Shareholders who do not pay their assessments as called will forfeit their rights. The stock assessment will be underwritten before the plan is declared operative. The proceeds of the assessment shall be applicable to the cash requirements of this plan as herein provided, and to such requirements as shall be fixed and determined by the committee, including such expenses and charges as it shall make or incur in the premises, and suitable compensation to the members of the committee. The amount of this assessment shall be payable at such times and in such installments as the committee shall determine after the plan has been declared operative, but not more than \$5 per share shall be called in any con securive thirty days.

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

All advances made by the syndicate shall be repayable to it in gold. Six million dollars of preferred stock are to be turned over as compensa-tion to the syndicate, of which the bankers are to retain one million as their own compensation.

### Limitations of time.

Limitations of time. For declaring plan operative.—The time for declaring this plan operative is to be limited to December 31, 1896, with the right on the part of the com-mittee to extend the time for six months—manely, to June 30, 1897. Notice that the plan is operative shall be given by publication through each of the depositives hereinafter mentioned. For deposit of securities.—The time for the deposit of bonds receivable under this plan and of the shares of stock of the present company is limited to December 31, 1896, after which date ao bonds will be admitted except upon the payment of a penalty of 5 per cent. Upon shares of stock deposited after the time above limited (December 31, 1895) the assessment will be at the rate of \$20 a share. After the expiration of the limit of time the penalty of §5 a share will be payable at the time of deposit, and will not be refunded. Deposits may be made on and after November 1, 1895. The committee re-serves the right at any time to alter the penalties above specified or decline to receive further deposits of bonds or stock. Should it in the opinion of the committee appear desirable to make any substantial alterations in the foregoing plan, it shall make publication of such proposed alterations for at least twenty days, during which time the security holders not approving of the proposed alterations shall be permit-ted to surrender their certificates of deposit and withdraw their securities, upon refunding with 6 per cent interest the amounts advanced in purchase of the coupons and interest assignments on their respective bonds. Securities receivable on deposit under this plan.

### Securities receivable on deposit under this plan.

The following securities will be received under this plan at either of the depositaries hereinafter mentioned:

### BONDS.

Union Pacific Railroad Company's first-mortgage bonds. Union Pacific Railroad Company's sinking fund mortgage bonds. Union Pacific Railroad Company's Omaha Bridge 8 per cent mortgage

bonds

bonds.
4. Union Pacific Railway Company's Omaha Bridge renewal bonds.
5. The Union Pacific Railway Company Kansas Division and collateral mortgage bonds.
6. (Kansas Pacific) Union Pacific, Eastern Division, first-mortgage bonds.
7. (Kansas Pacific Railway, Denver Extension, first-mortgage bonds.
8. Kansas Pacific Railway, conver Extension, first-mortgage bonds.
9. Kansas Pacific Railway income bonds.
10. Kansas Pacific Railway income bonds.
11. Leavenworth branch bonds.
12. Denver Pacific Railway and telegraph first-mortgage bonds.
Also:

### Also

STOCK. 13. The certificates of stock of the present company.

Depositaries.

The Mercantile Trust Company of New York. Old Colony Trust Company, of Boston. Bank of Montreal, of London. Amsterdamsche Bank, of Amsterdam. Deutsche Vereinsbank, of Frankfort-on-Main.

Bediscipe vereinsoans, of Frankfort-on-Main. Bonds and shares may be deposited by the holders thereof in either of the above named depositaries, who shall issue their own negotiable certificates. After the plan has become operative, the committee may order the trans-mission of securities deposited in any one of the depositaries into the keeping of The Mercantile Trust Company of New York, who shall constitute the central depositary, and who shall thereupon issue its own engraved certifi-cates for the previously issued certificates of the branch or auxiliary deposi-taries.

cates for the previously issued certificates of the branch of author, exper-taries. Until the plan shall have been declared operative, depositors of bonds in either of the depositaries may apply to have their bonds transferred to any other depositary, upon payment of the expense thereof, and shall be entitled to the certificates of the last depositary upon the surrender of the certificates previously issued to such depositors. Holders of securities who shall have deposited the same in any one of the foreign auxiliary depositaries shall, where such securities have had the for-eign government stamp attached, be entitled to receive the new securities likewise with the foreign government stamp. For further particulars and powers of the committee, depositors are re-ferred to the agreement of which this plan is a part.

Mr. MORGAN. I call attention to some of these statements. The total main-line mileage provided for under the agreement is 1,827.59 miles. The outstanding land and town lots, contracts for lots sold, land sold, on December 31, 1894, was \$6,163,751.55. All those outstanding obligations pass, under this agreement of the President of the United States, into the hands of Louis Fitzgerald and company.

Now, the funded debt of the Union Pacific Company, as it is described in this statement on the 1st of October, 1895, is stated by them here to be \$52,622,000. Of that sum the first mortgage sixes is \$27,229,000, due January 1, 1896, to 1899, covering that period of time. It then goes on to mention the rest of the funded debt as follows: debt, as follows:

Funded debt (October 1, 1895), Union Division:

Union Pacific first mortgage 6s	\$27, 229, 000
Union Pacific land grant 7s	7,000
Union Pacific sinking fund 8s	3,730,000
Union Pacific Omaha bridge 8s	
Union Pacific Omaha bridge renewal 55	1,055,000
Union Pacific collateral trust 6s*	3, 623, 000
Union Pacific collateral trust 5s*	4,677,000
Union Pacific collateral trust 41s*	2,033,000
Union Pacific equipment trust 5s*	1,149,000
Union Pacific collateral trust notes 6s*	8,610,000

In all, amounting, as I have stated, to the sum of \$52,622,000. That is the funded debt that is to be taken care of under this agreement. It assumes the whole amount of the first mortgage bond, and then adds to that amount these various issues of bonds, which, by the agreement, if it is executed, will be placed in pri-ority over the United States. They exclude all other obligations of the company, but they take in this funded debt and make that the basis of the new operation of the reorganization of the company

Then they add to that Eastern Division sixes, Middle Division sixes, Denver Extension sixes, Kansas Pacific consolidated sixes, Kansas Pacific income sevens, Kansas Pacific income subordinated sevens, Kansas Division and collateral fives, Denver Pacific first-mortgage sevens, and Leavenworth Branch first-mortgage sevens, amounting to the additional sum of \$34,764,350, and these are to have the privilege of the reorganization and of priority. They are to be brought in here as if they were first-mortgage liens upon this property, made so by the agreement between the holders

of the first-mortgage bonds and the stockholders, or some of the stockholders, of this company. But, sir, that does not include all the stockholders. There are some honest men who are stockholders and who are entirely left out of this agreement, who can not get into it. They are com-plaining here. They are writing letters. I will insert a circular in my remarks from H. W. Rosenbaum, who is one of that class, and who is your much scored about the location is one of that class, and who is very much aroused about the loss that he has sustained, and also his associates in the ownership of these classes of stock. The circular referred to is as follows:

### NEW YORK, July 6, 1897.

The circular referred to is as follows: NEW YORK, July 6, 1897. The carrying out of the present reorganization plan of the Union Pacific Railway Company, with its \$75,000,000 new preferred stock which is to be created thereunder, would be a great wrong, and a national disgrace and disaster. In order to prevent this it is absolutely necessary that Congress should take immediate steps during the present session. Foreclosure proceedings are steadily progressing, and the promoters of the scheme maintain that it is too late now for Congress to interfere, and that after their having made the contract with the Go ernment Congress would be powerless to prevent the carrying out of the agreement. They further assert that they will obtain a decree of sale within a very few weeks, and that the whole matter will be accomplished and a sale of the road and of the Government claim will take place before Congress reconvenes. — The Union Pacific Railroad does not need a reorganization plan. It re-quires only a refunding plan of its mortgage debt on a lower basis of interest and commensurate with the prevailing standard rate of interest. The com-pany is absolutely and perfectly solvent, and would be able to adjust its whole indebtedness satisfactorily to all creditors—if this powerful reorgani-zation combination had not blocked the way to the company for obtaining the necessary assistance—by which Congress can prevent this dis-maceful scheme, which entalls infinite and unnecessary loss to thousands of innocent shareholders, and compels the Government to accept an enormous and meedless sacrifice. The simplest way would be either of the following: — Trest. That the United States Treasury pay off the first mortgage, and then extend the whole debt at, say, vere 3 per cent and a 1 per cent sinking fund, which would cancel the debt in about forty-seven years; or. — Second. That Congress enact the proper claws which would enable the company to extend its first-mortgage debt now due at a lower rate of in-

The Government to receive the full face value of its than in second and gage bonds. These bonds could be held in the United States Treasury as a current asset and be sold by the Government at its own convenience and at such times and prices as may be decided upon. Even in this way the Government would realize much more for the claim than the present syndicate contemplates to pay therefor, as the present bid averages only about 53 per cent of the claim. There is no doubt that the company will, at all times, be fully able to meet the interest on the dobt, and that many favorable offers will be made for the bonds by various syndicates and investors. If either of these two fundamental ideas be adopted by Congress, a great victory for common honesty and decency will be won. In any event, how-ever, Congress should prevent the sale of the road and of the Government claim until after a full investigation of all the facts has taken place. Yours, very respectfully, H. W. ROSENBAUM.

\*Not embraced in reorganization.

Mr. MORGAN. It is upon this basis that they build the total funded debt that is provided for in this agreement at \$140,425,863, when in fact, according to the most extreme assertion that can be made in this case, the whole amount of the prior mortgage is that which is called the first-mortgage sixes, amounting, as they say, to \$27,299,000. They put the capital stock, then, of the Union Pacific Railroad Company outstanding at \$60,868,500. How they get at that I do not comprehend. It makes no particular difference

Then for the purpose of recommending this scheme to the stockholders and bondholders of the company, including the other stockholders and bondholders of the company, including the other bonds which have been made by this agreement prior to the first-mortgage bonds of the company, "the following table," they say, "shows the gross and net earnings resulting from the operation of the Union Pacific main lines (exclusive of the company's income from other sources) for each of the ten years from 1885 to 1894, in-clusive." The average net earnings for that period of time, accord-ing to their statement has been \$7.562,669,10 for each of these clusive." The average net earnings for that period of time, accord-ing to their statement, has been \$7,563,669.10 for each of those years by the Union Pacific Company. They put that statement out, giving the dates and the amounts earned in each year, for the purpose of inducing these men to go into this arrangement and to convince them that by going into it they are getting a magnificent property, which yielded an average, as I have said, of \$7,553,669.10 of net earnings every year from 1885 to 1894, for a paltry sum. It

is, indeed, a booming speculation. They then go on to state the available assets which they expect They then go on to state the available assets which they expect to put into this fund. I will not stop to repeat it, but it is a very large amount of bonds which are held by different people. They then speak about the auxiliary companies that they have gotten rid of, and felicitate themselves on their success in having gotten rid of those auxiliary companies—those companies that were built out of the money of the Union Pacific Railroad Company contrary to law and for the diversion of which these men are responsible now to the Government of the United States.

I quote the following from their address recommending the "combination:"

"combination:" Nor does the reorganization include provision for the collateral trust obli-gations of the Union Pacific Railway Company. The securities embraced in these trusts are largely those of companies which have already, by orders of court made in the original general receivership cause or in independent fore-closure proceedings, lost in part or in whole their character as portions of what has been distinctively known as the Union Pacific system. Independent reorganizations of many of these properties are pending. The purposes which brought into existence guaranties of the obligations of many of these auxiliary companies have been accomplished by construc-tion and otherwise, and considerations will not exist under reorganization for continued relations with these properties upon the basis of an asymp-tion of any of their fixed charges. Geographical conditions and considera-tions of mutual advantage point to a continued operation of such of these auxiliary properties as have had a demonstrated value, in harmony with that of the reorganized company, and relief from the burden of these guar-anties will, it is believed, be an advantage obtained without detriment to the earning capacity of the property. All of which means that when the companies reorganize these

All of which means that when the companies reorganize these men will come back for their shares in the property, and will receive it.

In their address they say, further, that-

The total charges for the prosperous year of 1892 (including interest on fixed bonds, mortgage sinking funds, Government deductions and requirements, and other charges made up in large part of guaranty obligations) aggregated the sum of \$7.881,475.44, or a sum greater by \$881,475.44 than an amount neces-sary to pay the annual interest on the maximum mortgage debt and full divi-dend on the maximum issue of preferred stock contemplated in the following plan of reorganization: The maximum interest and dividend requirements under the plan applied to the average annual net earnings of the past ten years shows these results:

NET EARNINGS.

Average net earnings of Union Pacific Railway (proper) for ten years, 1885 to 1894, inclusive. . \$7,563,669

# INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS.

Annual interest on the maximum issue of \$100,000,000 of 4 per cent bonds under following plan. Annual 4 per cent dividend on the maximum issue of \$75,000,000 pre-ferred stock. 4,000,000

3,000,000 They issue \$75,000,000 of preferred stock, give a 3 per cent dividend upon it, and guarantee it to the holders: Then they make this conclusive statement:

Why shall the United States lose \$25,000,000 for the purpose of giving away such a property to the wreckers of the Fitzgerald combine?

Is it peace and freedom from public cares that we are seeking when we donate this great dividend-paying property to this combine to get rid of a vexed question? Are we callous to the appeals

one to get in or a vector question? Are we canous to the appears of a wronged and overburdened people, and, in our indifference to their rights, are we to hand them over to these wreckers to again become a helpless prey to their cupidity? For capitalizing this property that cost them only \$28,000,000 and creating upon it this preferred stock of \$75,000,000 and a bond mortgage at 4 per cent on \$100,000,000 they have \$563,669 annually to pay on the stock that is not preferred—the most ex-

orbitant profit that possibly can be conceived of in respect to a property of this kind.

Note in italics:

The lowest net earnings realized by the Union Pacific Railway were those of the year 1894, when they were \$4,315,077.25, or \$315,077.25 in excess of interest on the maximum amount of bonds as proposed in the following plan.

That is the lowest. Then they go on to give the plans by which they will raise money to build up this new monopoly of the earn-ings of the industrial classes. Pardon me for thus again present-ing in these quotations the dangerous point in this unexampled outrage on the whole country. It can not be too seriously considered.

They are to issue new bonds. Who will provide the money to pay these bonds?

pay these bonds? The new bonds shall be dated January 1, 1897, and shall bear interest from that date, payable on the 1st days of each January and July thereafter until maturity. They shall be secured by a first-mortgage lieu upon all the main line mileage of the Union Pacific Railway Company, upon the equip-ment acquired by the new company, and upon the unsold lands and the land contracts embraced in the trusts of the Union Pacific land-grantand sinking-fund mortgages, the Denver extension first mortgage, the Kansas Pacific consolidated first mortgage, and the Denver Pacific first mortgage, and upon such branch lines of railway as the committee shall avail of through the ownership of branch line bonds in the trust of the Kansas Pacific consolidated first mortgage.

### Who is to get this new preferred stock?

The new preferred stock shall be entitled to 4 per cent noncumulative divi-dends, payable out of the net or surplus earnings of the reorganized company before the payment of any dividend on the common stock.

What class of these alleged creditors is to be favored with this "preferred stock" and its dividends, guaranteed at 4 per cent per annum? What do they pay for it, and who will be taxed in freight rates to pay the dividends on this inflated stock? The people will answer in time, and with no uncertain voice.

Then they go on to give the distribution of the new company's security to the persons who become the subscribers to this contract.

### CASH PROVISIONS FOR FIRST-MORTGAGE BONDS.

Through arrangements made with the syndicate, hereafter mentioned, the following cash provisions are made in respect to defaulted and future inter-est on present outstanding first-mortgage bonds of the Union Pacific and Kansas Pacific railway companies, as shown in detail below.

I will not go through the whole of it, but will call attention to the first and second:

First. The coupons now in default upon present first-mortgage bonds are to be purchased in cash for account of the syndicate at the time of the de-posit with the committee of the bonds to which they pertain. Second. Coupons maturing on deposited first-mortgage bonds in the in-terval between the deposit thereof under the plan and the date from which bonds of the new company are to bear interest (January 1, 1867) are to be purchased by the syndicate from the committee, which in turn shall apply the amounts so received, at the respective due dates of the coupons, to the payment of corresponding installments on its outstanding certificates appli-cable to such deposited bonds.

Then it goes on with a further statement of all these different adjustments:

In all cases where the foregoing provisions apply to semiannual interest installments not represented by coupons, because of the prior maturity of the principal of the bonds, the syndicate will take assignments of such inter-est installments from holders presenting their bonds for deposit, or from the committee as to such bonds as shall have been deposited, and will hold and treat such assignments in the manner hereinafter provided with respect to coupons taken up by the syndicate.

Now, here are the assessments:

The common stock of the present company will be assessed at the rate of \$15 per share. Shareholders paying the assessment of \$15 per share will receive the amount of the assessment (viz, \$15 per share) in new preferred stock at par, and will also receive par of their present common stock in common stock of the new commany.

and will also receive par of their present common stock in common stock of the new company. Shareholders who do not pay their assessments as called will forfeit their rights. The stock assessment will be underwritten before the plan is de-clared operative. The proceeds of the assessment shall be applicable to the cash require-ments of this plan as herein provided, and to such requirements as shall be fixed and determined by the committee, including such expenses and charges as it shall make or incur in the premises, and suitable compensation to the members of the committee.

A reorganization syndicate has been organized under the management of Messrs. Kuhn, Loeb & Co., bankers, to furnish the sum of \$10,000,000 for the following purposes: 1. To purchase all the interest coupons on first-mortgage bonds now in default. 2. To purchase as they shall mature hereafter the interest coupons on first-mortgage bonds, and also the semiannual assignments of interest accruing on bonds already matured during the pendency of the plan and until it shall become operative.

on bonds already matured during the pendency of the part of the perdence of the perdence of the secone operative. 3. To purchase, if it shall be found advisable for the promotion of the re-organization, any outstanding first-mortgage bonds and Omaha Bridge bonds, and to deposit the same under this plan; and, if it shall be found advisable, to purchase all or any defaulted or future maturing coupons or interest assignments on Omaha Bridge bonds.

Here is a list of thirteen securities that will be "received under this plan at either of the depositories hereinafter mentioned:" First, Union Pacific Railway Company's first-mortgage bonds; second, Union Pacific Railway Company's sinking-fund-mortgage bonds; third, Union Pacific Railway Company's Omaha Bridge 8 per cent mortgage bonds; fourth, Union Pacific Railway Company's Omaha Bridge renewal bonds; fifth, the Union Pacific Railway Company, Kansas Division and collateral mortgage bonds; sixth (Kansas Pacific), Union Pacific, Eastern Division, first mortgage bonds; seventh. (Kansas Pacific), Union Pacific Railway, Denver extension first-mortgage bonds; ninth, Kansas Pacific Railway consolidated first-mortgage bonds; tenth, Kansas Pacific Railway income bonds; eleventh, Leavenworth Branch bonds: twelfth, Denver Pacific Railway and Telegraph first-mortgage bonds; thirteenth, the certificates of stock of the present company.

Mr. President, when the President agreed to this plan and agreement for the reorganization of the Union Pacific Railway Company, and recognized Fitzgerald as the man who could deal with the United States for securing the benefits which are conferred on his committee in the several letters that have been read here, he attempted to put all of the creditors of this company, all of the first-mortgage bondholders, and all of the stockholders who could not or did not choose to come into this arrangement, at the mercy of this committee of reorganization.

Now that the Government of the United States can be involved in a cutthroat transaction like that against its own citizens, its own people, and in favor of foreigners who hold the majority of the bonds is something that to me, Mr. President, is inconceivably horrible. I can not understand how any person could take the rights of American citizens and subject them to such outrageous treatment as is thus given to them by making the United States Government a party of the other part to the combination that is created by that agreement.

I think I have got this subject intelligently before the Senate. I will not indulge myself in making the comments upon it that rise in my thoughts, but I will leave it to the cool and quiet reflection of Senators and of the people of the United States whether Mr. Cleveland had the right, as President of this country, to subject any class of our citizens, the bondholders for whom this sinking fund stands as absolute security, or any of the creditors of this company, to the merciless exactions of this syndicate, and to their power to freeze them out and deprive them of their rights absolutely. Whenever that contract made between Fitzgerald and the last Administration is confirmed by a court or confirmed by the inattention and neglect of the Congress of the United States, we have them made ourselves a party to this whole arrangement, and there will be no chance to escape from it in law, and none to escape from the shame that it will inflict upon this country.

These facts have been only indistinctly known and conjectured until to-day, and are not yet fully developed. They have been kept .n the background. This agreement, which is an essential part of Fitzgerald's authority to deal with this Government, was not brought forward and no notice is taken of it in the correspondence. The sinking fund, which by the Thurman Act is absolutely dedicated to the payment of certain claims and demands, which the Government of the United States stands pledged to, is allowed by this agreement to be taken and perverted so that Fitzgerald and his associates shall have the benefit of it and of its leverage and power to oppress and crush out other men, like Rosenbaum, who are concerned in this railroad as stockholders or bondholders.

In order to make this matter perfectly plain, I have only to read the act of 1878 in one particular:

the act of 1878 in one particular: SEC. 8. That said sinking fund so established and accumulated shall, according to the interest and proportion of said companies respectively therein, be held for the protection, security, and benefit of the lawful and just holders of any mortgage or lien debts of such companies respectively, lawfully paramount to the rights of the United States, and for the claims of other creditors, if any, lawfully chargeable upon the funds so required to be paid into said sinking fund, according to their respective lawful priorities, as well as for the United States, according to the principles of equity, to the end that all persons having any claim upon said sinking fund may be entitled thereto in due order; but the provisions of this section shall not operate or be held to impair any existing legal right, except in the manner in this act provided, of any mortgage, lien, or other creditor of any of said companies respectively, nor to excuse any of said companies respectively from the duty of discharging, out of other funds, its debts to any creditor except the United States. When we laid our hands upon the revenues of the Union Pacific

When we laid our hands upon the revenues of the Union Pacific Company arising out of its regular operations as a railroad company, and when we required them also, in addition to the receipts that might come into the Treasury of the United States from that source, to apply an actual money payment annually into that sinking fund, we exercised as much power over that corporation and all of its property, its assets, its income, and its dues, as if the corporation and all of these things had actually belonged to the United States.

No man and no government can exercise powers of this kind over the property of another man or any corporation unless the power is given by statute. The power was so given; and has

been employed. The right to employ it has been controverted up through the courts until it has reached the Supreme Court of the United States, and they have decided that the Congress of the United States had the right to do that with the property of the Union Pacific Railroad Company. Having that right, and having accumulated this fund under that

Having that right, and having accumulated this fund under that right, having dedicated it to the trust which is placed upon it, without discrimination in favor of any bondholder or any creditor, or against any of these people, for us to turn around and put it in the power of Fitzgerald and his syndicate to take this property and freeze men out, throw them aside, and say that they shall have no interest in the sinking fund or the property of the Union Pacific Railroad Company in its reorganization, is an act of shameless wrong on the part of the Government of the United States which is unaccountable and unpardonable. We can not take that sinking fund or any of the assets of the Union Pacific Company and apply them to the purposes as set forth and agreed upon between these railroad wreckers in this Fitzgerald agreement.

Sir, that agreement and the men connected with it ought to be rebuked, I care not how high they may stand in the estimation of the world. They have undertaken to violate expressly the statutes of the United States, not in spirit only, but in letter. The act, if consummated, between the last Administration and Fitzgerald will be a repeal of the eighth section of the Thurman Act; it will stand as if it had never been enacted; and how any court in Christendom, even by the consent of the parties concerned, can find jurisdiction or authority thus to set aside a statute and to take from the men who are interested as beneficiaries the advantages of this express statutory trust, is something that to my mind, Mr. President, is absolutely incomprehensible. I have not got sense enough to see it if it is right.

I wish now to call attention to the act of 1887, as it is quoted in the resolution of the Senator from Kansas, reported back by the Committee on Pacific Railroads. Let me state—and I have the resolution before me here to show it—that the authority of the Attorney-General to file the bill of foreclosure of the lien of the United States, mark you, upon the subsidy bonds is expressly stated in that bill to be the fourth section of the act of 1887:

The United States of America, by Judson Harmon, Attorney-General, acting in the premises by direction of the President of the United States, under the authority of the tenth section of the act of Congress approved May 7, 1578, and entitled "An act to alter and amend the act entitled 'An act to aid in the construction of a rairoad and telegraph line from the Missouri River to the Pucific Ocean, and to secure to the Government the use of the same for postal, military, and other purposes,' approved July 1, 1862, and also to alter and amend the act of Congress approved July 2, 1864, in amendment of said first-named act," and under the authority of the fourth section of the act of Congress approved March 3, 1857, entitled "An act authorizing an investigation of the books, accounts, and methods of railroads which have received aid from the United States, and for other purposes."

That is the bill which I suppose was filed in Kansas, though it is not stated in the title of the bill that was given here where it was filed. In the other bill, which is filed in Nebraska, the authority quoted for the filing of the bill is the section I am about to read, section 4 of the act of 1887, and no other authority is pretended. Now, let us look at that act. Let us view that in the plain,

Now, let us look at that act. Let us view that in the plain, practical, common-sense, unavoidable construction that every man must put upon it; and in doing that, Mr. President, I must express my astonishment that any lawyer could ever have found under this section 4 authority for foreclosure of the subsidy lien of the United States.

It has no reference to it. It has reference to the first-mortgage lien, and only that. At the time and in the very act in which the Pattison commission was created to go out and investigate into these railroad corporations, the Government of the United States became aware that combinations were about to be formed for the foreclosure of this first mortgage; that advantages were being sought then by the very men who are trying to work them now to get the whole power of these mortgages into their control, and through them to control the railroads.

There then existed in the Congress of the United States a party that were apprehensive that the Government would be swamped and overflowed by the immensity of these debts, and that Congress would hesitate to make the appropriations necessary; but, sir, the whole subject underwent a most thorough discussion in the Congress of the United States, and they resolved upon two things. One was to send out this commission to investigate this subject to the very bottom and report back to Congress by the first day of the next ensuing Congress; and the other was to do what is required here. What is that? Not to wait for a default on the first-mortgage

What is that? Not to wait for a default on the first-mortgage bonds and proceed to foreclosure, but long before any forfeiture had taken place, or any failure had occurred to pay these bonds at maturity, or to pay the interest upon them, Congress anticipated what might be done, and conferred upon the President of the United States an authority in advance, whenever he might think it was proper for the protection of the United States, to pay off those mortgages and become subrogated to the rights of the mortgagors, and then to proceed upon that mortgage, to which the Govern-ment was thus subrogated, to make a foreclosure by calling in the assistance of a court.

Of course that was an actual mortgage; it was drawn up and signed and trustees were interposed in the mortgage, and that mortgage could not be done away with except by a decree of fore-closure, for no sale was provided for under the mortgage itself by the trustee. That was a case of actual foreclosure of an actual mortgage, and not the enforcement of a Government lien. They are just as distinct, Mr. President, as things can be. Both in fact and in legal significance they are utterly different. Anticipating

and in legal significance they are utterly different. Anticipating what might take place—
Mr. BUTLER. Will the Senator allow me?
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from North Carolina?
Mr. MORGAN. Yes.
Mr. BUTLER. If it is entirely agreeable to the Senator from Alabama, I ask him if he will be willing to continue his remarks on Monday? If so, with his permission, I will move that the Senator from Seta adjourn ate adjourn.

Mr. MORGAN. After I get through with this point, I will give my consent to that motion, and thank the Senator for his intervention.

Now I will read that section 4:

SEC. 4. That whenever, in the opinion of the President, it shall be deemed necessary to the protection of the interests and the preservation of the security of the United States in respect of its lien, mortgage, or other inter-est in any of the property of any or all of the several companies upon which a lien, mortgage, or other incumbrance paramount to the right, title, or in-terest of the United States for the same property, or any part of the same, may exist and be then lawfully liable to be enforced, the Secretary of the Treasury shall, under the direction of the President, redeem or otherwise clear off such paramountlien, mortgage, or other incumbrance by paying the sums lawfully due in respect thereof out of the Treasury—

Here is an appropriation of money; and if the President would order the money to be paid, no man could fault with him for it. The payment would be entirely according to the act of appropriation made in this law-

and the United States shall thereupon-

Upon those conditions, upon the happening of those events-

become and be subrogated to all rights and securities theretofore pertaining to the debt, mortgage, lien, or other incumbrance in respect of which such payment shall have been made. It shall be the duty of the Attorney-General, under the direction of the President, to take all such steps and proceedings, in the courts and otherwise, as shall be needful to redeem such lien, mort-gage, or other incumbrance, and to protect and defend the rights and interests of the United States in respect of the matters in this section mentioned, and to take steps to foreclose any mortgage or lien of the United States on any such railroad property.

There the right given and the right attempted to be exercised under this bill, which predicates the authority of the court to act in the premises upon the fourth section of this act, is the right to in the premises upon the fourth section of this act, is the right to foreclose or to pay off all prior incumbrances; and when that is done, for which the money is actually appropriated, then the Pres-ident may direct the Attorney-General to proceed to demand and claim the subrogation to that lien and its foreclosure by the assist-ance of a court. That has been attempted to be applied to the lien of the United States. It never had any reference to the lien of the United States. Our bonds were not then due; they were not about to falldue. No default in the payment of the subsidy bonds was in prospect at that time was in prospect at that time.

We had no right to recover back the interest from that company under a decision of the Supreme Court upon the subsidy bonds until the last one of them had matured. So that all of the right of the United States to proceed for a foreclosure to pay those sub-sidy bonds was not in contemplation when this act of 1887 was enacted, and nothing has occurred since that time to alter the situation.

Therefore this fourth section had reference alone to the firstmortgage bonds, which were required then to be paid off and the morrgage bonds, which were required then to be paid off and the Government to be subrogated to that right; and then, after the subrogation, foreclosure was to take place; but instead of that, instead of paying off these bonds, the President of the United States says, "Go and sell the interest of the United States and protect us against these bonds;" and the only word of protection contained in all these letters is the suggestion of Mr. Fitzgerald in one of his letters that \$45,000,000 is to be prid, over other in one of his letters that \$45,000,000 is to be paid, over and above the first-mortgage lien, so as to protect the Government of the United States. There is no guaranty, no provision for it; nothing that could be enforced by specific performance. It is a mere indefinite statement of Mr. Fitzgerald that that is

what the contract means. Suppose it does mean that, the Presi-dent of the United States did not have the authority to ask Mr. Fitzgerald to protect the United States against these bonds. The President could not enter into an agreement with him under any law that existed on the statute book to protect the Government of the United States against these bonds. His duty was a plain one. The money was appropriated in this act, and his duty was to pay off the bonds. How easy it is for the President of the United States to pay off these bonds. According to the statement made

in this agreement, which I have just read, of Mr. Fitzgerald and his associates, there is in the registry of that court cash and cash assets which, when they are added to the amount of the sinking fund in the Treasury of the United States, are more than sufficient

fund in the Treasury of the United States, are more than sufficient to pay off the whole of the first-mortgage indebtedness. Hence it is that Attorney-General McKenna, in reply to the res-olution of the Senate, says this company is not insolvent. He was bound to state that, and he stated that this company is not insol-vent. What, then, are we doing here? Here is a company that, in the hands of receivers and in the hands of the Treasurer of the United States has mergen mends to not off it indebt does at United States, has money enough to pay off its indebtedness. It is represented as being insolvent, and is carried into court upon that representation, and the very money which is in the hands of these people is to be handed over to Fitzgerald to enable him to become a bidder for the property when it is sold. Ah, sir, that is a shameful transaction.

a shameful transaction. More than that. The other day I called the attention of the Senate specifically to the terms of the statute under which these first-mortgage bonds were issued. They are payable in lawful money of the United States; and the bill filed by Dexter & Ames says that they were payable in lawful money of the United States in 1865, when they were passed, but in 1868 the contract was novated, drawn anew, and stamped upon the back of each one of these bonds—so the bill states—whereby the obligation was changed from lawful-money navment to payment in gold coin. Whatever from lawful-money payment to payment in gold coin. Whatever effect that may have upon the rights of the parties as between themselves, a subsequent mortgagee of this property has the right to demand that the property, if foreclosed and sold, shall be fore-closed and sold in compliance with the original contract, for that is the one which the statute authorized to be made, and none other. But instead of doing that they have got a new contract, made three years later, upon which they are now prosecuting their suit for the foreclosure of that first mortgage.

Mr. President, nothing can be plainer, it seems to me, than that, as between the United States and the holders of these first-mortgage bonds, they having accepted these bonds with this new contract stamped upon them, not recited in the mortgage and not in ac-cordance with the statute, the priority is gone; they have waived it. I do not say, sir, that even under such circumstances as that the Government of the United States might not still have some moral obligation to assume these bonds and to pay them, notwithstanding the novation of the contract, but I do say, as a matter of law, that that lien as to the United States is gone, and that this bill, filed upon a contract of that kind, can not be sustained upon that ground, can not be the basis of the foreclosure of that mort-gage against the objection of the United States or of any person concerned.

Now, having restated that point, Mr. President, I will yield the floor.

Mr. BUTLER. I move that the Senate adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; and (at 5 o'clock and 25 minutes p. m.) the Senate adjourned until Monday, July 19, 1897, at 12 o'clock meridian.

### NOMINATIONS.

# Executive nominations received by the Senate July 17, 1897.

DISTRICT JUDGE.

Charles S. Johnson, of Alaska, to be United States district judge for the District of Alaska, vice Arthur K. Delaney, to be removed. COLLECTORS OF INTERNAL REVENUE.

David A. Nunn, of Tennessee, to be collector of internal reve-nue for the Fifth district of Tennessee, to succeed Frank P. Bond, removed.

Frederick E. Coyne, of Illinois, to be collector of internal reve-nue for the First district of Illinois, to succeed William J. Mize, resigned.

COMMISSIONER-GENERAL OF IMMIGRATION.

Terence V. Powderly, of Pennsylvania, to be Commissioner-General of Immigration, to succeed Herman Stump, resigned.

REGISTER OF LAND OFFICE.

George W. Heist, of Nebraska, to be register of the land office at Sidney, Nebr., vice John M. Adams, resigned.

### POSTMASTERS.

Samuel S. Dingee, to be postmaster at Wilmette, in the county of Cook and State of Illinois, the appointment of a postmaster for the said office having, by law, become vested in the President on and after July 1, 1897. M. A. Gilson, to be postmaster at Harvey, in the county of Cook and State of Illinois, in the place of Jeremiah O'Ronrke, removed.

Huitt H. Nutter, to be postmaster at Martinsville, in the county of Morgan and State of Indiana, in the place of Henry Shireman, jr., removed.

John W. Dexter, to be postmaster at Croswell, in the county of Sanilac and State of Michigan, the appointment of a postmaster

for the said office having, by law, become vested in the President

on and after July 1, 1897. Priscilla S. Scruggs, to be postmaster at Holly Springs, in the county of Marshall and State of Mississippi, in the place of David McDowell, removed.

Riley S. Hart, to be postmaster at Lyons, in the county of Burt and State of Nebraska, in the place of Allen T. Hill, removed. Fred Bostwick, to be postmaster at Pine Plains, in the county

of Dutchess and State of New York, in the place of Frank Eno, removed.

William R. Duvall, to be postmaster at Circleville, in the county of Pickaway and State of Ohio, in the place of Charles McLean, removed.

### CONFIRMATIONS.

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate July 17, 1897. ENVOY EXTRAORDINARY AND MINISTER PLENIPOTENTIARY.

William L. Merry, of California, to be envoy extraordinary and minister plenipotentiary of the United States to Nicaragua, Costa Rica, and Salvador.

### GOVERNOR OF ARIZONA.

M. H. McCord, to be governor of Arizona.

MINISTER RESIDENT AND CONSUL-GENERAL.

Horace N. Allen, of Ohio, now secretary of legation and vice and deputy consul-general at Seoul, to be minister resident and consul-general of the United States to Korea.

CONSULS.

John F. Caples, of Oregon, to be consul of the United States at

Valparaiso, Chile. Valparaiso, Chile. Charles Deal, of New York, to be consul of the United States at St. Johns, Quebec. Grenville James, of New York, to be consul of the United States at Prescott, Ontario.

Edmond Z. Brodowski, of Illinois, to be consul of the United States at Breslau, Germany.

William Harrison Bradley, of Illinois, to be consul of the United States at Tunstall, England. James M. Shepard, of Michigan, to be consul of the United States at Hamilton, Ontario. Adam Lieberknecht, of Illinois, to be consul of the United States

at Zurich, Switzerland. Daniel T. Phillips, of Illinois, to be consul of the United States at Cardiff, Wales.

Radcliffe H. Ford, of Maine, to be consul of the United States

at Yarmouth, Nova Scotia. John C. Covert, of Ohio, to be consul of the United States at Lyons, France.

Charles W. Erdman, of Kentucky, to be consul of the United States at Furth, Germany. William L. Sewell, of Ohio, to be consul of the United States at

Toronto, Ontario. Charles A. McCullough, of Maine, to be consul of the United States at States at St. Stephen, New Brunswick. Mahlon Van Horne, of Rhode Island, to be consul of the United States, at St. Thomas, West Indies. William W. Henry, of Vermont, to be consul of the United

States at Quebec, Canada.

William K. Anderson, of Michigan, to be consul of the United

States at Hanover, Germany. Samuel E. Magill, of Illinois, to be consul of the United States at Tampico, Mexico. Delmar J. Vail, of Vermont, to be consul of the United States at Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island.

PROMOTIONS IN THE NAVY.

Lieut. Charles E. Colahan, to be a lieutenant-commander. Lieut. (Junior Grade) Theodore G. Dewey, to be a lieutenant. Ensign Henry F. Bryan, to be a lieutenant, junior grade. The following-named assistant surgeons to be passed assistant

surgeons:

Henry La Motte. Charles E. Riggs. James F. Leys. Richard G. Broderick. Frank C. Cook.

Ammen Farenholt.

Charles P. Kindleberger.

### APPOINTMENT IN THE NAVY.

Timothy S. O'Leary, a citizen of Massachusetts, to be an assistant paymaster.

COMMISSIONERS TO EXAMINE AND CLASSIFY LANDS.

Roland T. Rombauer, of Princeton, Mont., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in the Missoula land district in Montana.

Edwin S. Hathaway, of Missoula, Mont., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in

William V. Tompkins, of Prescott, Ark., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in the Missoula land district in Montana.

Joseph C. Auld, of Glendive, Mont., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in the Bozeman land district in Montana.

James A. Johnson, of Bozeman, Mont., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in the Bozeman land district in Montana. Watson Boyle, of Washington, D. C., to be a commissioner to examine and classify lands within the land-grant and indemnity land-grant limits of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company, in the Bozeman land district in Montana.

the Bozeman land district in Montana.

### RECEIVERS OF PUBLIC MONEYS.

William Q. Ranft, of Missoula, Mont., to be receiver of public moneys at Missoula, Mont. Richard H. Jenness, of Atkinson, Nebr., to be receiver of pub-lic moneys at O'Neill, Nebr. C. Frost Liggett, of Sheridan Lake, Colo., to be receiver of pub-lic moneys at Lamar, Colo.

COLLECTORS OF CUSTOMS.

George W. McCowan, of New Jersey, to be collector of customs for the district of Bridgeton, in the State of New Jersey. Frederick D. Huestis, of Washington, to be collector of customs

for the district of Puget Sound, in the State of Washington.

### PENSION AGENT.

Cyrus Leland, jr., of Troy, Kans., to be pension agent at Topeka, Kans.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY.

Isaac E. Lambert, of Kansas, to be attorney of the United States for the district of Kansas.

POSTMASTERS.

William L. Roach, to be postmaster at Muscatine, in the county of Muscatine and State of Iowa.

John W. Palm, to be postmaster at Mount Pleasant, in the county of Henry and State of Iowa.

William A. Stevens, to be postmaster at Columbus, in the county of Bartholomew and State of Indiana.

James W. Hughes, to be postmaster at Birmingham, in the county of Jefferson and State of Alabama. W. Lee Brand, to be postmaster at Salem, in the county of Roanoke and State of Virginia.

H. B. Woodfin, to be postmaster at National Soldiers' Home, in the county of Elizabeth City and State of Virginia.

E. G. Darden, to be postmaster at Hampton, in the county of Elizabeth City and State of Virginia.

### SENATE.

MONDAY, July 19, 1897.

The Senate met at 12 o'clock m. Prayer by Rev. J. FRED HEISSE, of the city of Washington. The Journal of the proceedings of Saturday last was read and approved.

### PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS. Mr. CHANDLER. I present the petition of Hon. C. Greeley and 7 other citizens of Jacksonville, Fla., in favor of the passage of the tariff bill at the earliest possible moment. The petitioners state that "industry is paralyzed; thousands of workingmen are out of employment, and the success of the future is jeopardized by the importation of immense quantities of foreign goods." So they ask that each and every Senator and Representative will actively cooperate "in securing protective-tariff legislation at the earliest possible date," and they demand that it shall be the kind of legislation "which will adequately secure American industrial products against the competition of foreign labor." I move that the petition lie on the table. the petition lie on the table.

The motion was agreed to. Mr. COCKRELL. I present a memorial very numerously signed by residents of Warrensburg, Mo., in my native county, remonstrating against the imposition of a tax on bank checks. I ask that the memorial may be referred to the Republican mem-bers of the Committee on Finance for their prayerful considera-

tion. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The memorial will be referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. CULLOM presented sundry memorials of publishers and business men, citizens of Lima, Ohio, remonstrating against the