

HORTON H. HOBBS, JR.

SERIAL PUBLICATIONS OF THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

The emphasis upon publications as a means of diffusing knowledge was expressed by the first Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution. In his formal plan for the Institution, Joseph Henry articulated a program that included the following statement: "It is proposed to publish a series of reports, giving an account of the new discoveries in science, and of the changes made from year to year in all branches of knowledge." This keynote of basic research has been adhered to over the years in the issuance of thousands of titles in serial publications under the Smithsonian imprint, commencing with Smithsonian Contributions to Knowledge in 1848 and continuing with the following active series:

Smithsonian Annals of Flight
Smithsonian Contributions to Anthropology
Smithsonian Contributions to Astrophysics
Smithsonian Contributions to Botany
Smithsonian Contributions to the Earth Sciences
Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology
Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology
Smithsonian Studies in History and Technology

In these series, the Institution publishes original articles and monographs dealing with the research and collections of its several museums and offices and of professional colleagues at other institutions of learning. These papers report newly acquired facts, synoptic interpretations of data, or original theory in specialized fields. These publications are distributed by mailing lists to libraries, laboratories, and other interested institutions and specialists throughout the world. Individual copies may be obtained from the Smithsonian Institution Press as long as stocks are available.

S. DILLON RIPLEY
Secretary
Smithsonian Institution

Synopsis of the Families and Genera of Crayfishes (Crustacea: Decapoda)

Horton H. Hobbs, Jr.



SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION PRESS
City of Washington
1974

ABSTRACT

Hobbs, Horton H., Jr. Synopsis of the Families and Genera of Crayfishes (Crustacea: Decapoda). Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, number 164, 32 pages, 27 figures, 1974.—The subdivisions of the infraorder Astacidea, comprising the lobsters (superfamily Nephropoidea) and crayfishes (superfamilies Astacoidea and Parastacoidea) are briefly discussed and their affinities indicated. This is followed by a key to the superfamilies and of the families and genera of the Astacoidea and Parastacoidea. Two families, the Astacidae and Cambaridae, are recognized as constituting the holarctic Astacoidea, and the Parastacidae as the only family of the Parastacoidea, which is confined to the Southern Hemisphere. Synonymies and diagnoses of these taxa and the genera composing them are presented; in addition, the range, number of species, references to summary treatments, and illustrations of a representative of each genus are included.

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION DATE is handstamped in a limited number of initial copies and is recorded in the Institution's annual report, Smithsonian Year, SI Press Number 4969. Series cover design: The coral Montastrea cavernosa (Linnaeus).

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Hobbs, Horton Holcombe, Jr. 1914—
Synopsis of the families and genera of crayfishes (Crustacea: Decapoda)
(Smithsonian contributions to zoology, no. 164)
1. Crayfish. 2. Lobsters. I. Title. II. Series: Smithsonian Institution. Smithsonian contributions to zoology, no. 164.
QLI.S54 no. 164 [QL444.M33] 591'.08s [595'.3841] 73-12229

Contents

1	Page
Introduction	
Infraorder Astacidea	-
Acknowledgments	4
Key to the Families and Genera of the Infraorder Astacidea Latrielle, 1803,	
Exclusive of the Superfamily Nephropoidea	
Superfamily Astacoidea	7
Family Astacidae	7
Genus Astacus	8
Genus Austropotamobius	9
Genus Pacifastacus	9
Family Cambaridae	10
Subfamily Cambarinae	10
Genus Barbicambarus	10
Genus Cambarus	11
Genus Fallicambarus	12
Genus Faxonella	12
Genus Hobbseus	13
Genus Orconectes	14
Genus Procambarus	15
Genus Troglocambarus	16
Subfamily Cambarellinae	17
Genus Cambarellus	17
Subfamily Cambaroidinae	17
Genus Cambaroides	17
Superfamily Parastacoidea	18
Family Parastacidae	
Genus Astacoides	
Genus Astacopsis	
Genus Cherax	
Genus Engaeus	
Genus Engaewa	
Genus Euastacus	
Genus Gramastacus	
Genus Paranephrops	
Genus Parastacoides	
Genus Parastacus	
Genus Samastacus	
Genus Tenuibranchiurus	
Literature Cited	27

Synopsis of the Families and Genera of Crayfishes (Crustacea: Decapoda)

Horton H. Hobbs, Jr.

Introduction

For a number of years, it has become increasingly apparent to me and to at least some of my colleagues that the assignment of the freshwater crayfishes to two families, the Astacidae restricted to the Northern Hemisphere, and the Parastacidae, to the Southern Hemisphere, does not adequately express the diversity that exists in the holarctic assemblage. The classification of crayfishes at the familial level that seems generally to have been adopted is as follows:

Family Parastacidae
Family Astacidae
Subfamily Astacinae
Subfamily Cambaroidinae
Subfamily Cambarinae
Subfamily Cambarellinae.

The Parastacidae are distinguished from the Astacidae primarily by the absence of the first pleopod and in the unmodified second pleopod in the male, in lacking bilobed plaited laminae on any of the podobranchiae, and the usual presence of branchial filaments on the epipodite of the first maxillipeds. Among the four subfamilies of the Astacidae, the Astacinae differ from the other three in that the male lacks clasping hooks on the ischia of any of the pereiopods, by the loosely rolled or

Horton H. Hobbs, Jr., Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. 20560 tubular distal portion of the first pleopod, and, more fundamentally, in the absence of a cyclic dimorphism. The females differ from all except the few species assigned to the Cambaroidinae in lacking a well-developed, functional annulus ventralis. These differences that distinguish the Astacinae from the other crayfishes of the Northern Hemisphere seem to me to be at least as remarkable as those that distinguish them from the Parastacidae, and the recognition of a third family of crayfishes is here proposed.

Considerably greater confidence exists in any classification that is supported by a fossil record in which implied dichotomies can be demonstrated. Unfortunately, the fossil record of the freshwater crayfishes is exceedingly poor. No pre-Pleistocene crayfish fossil, to my knowledge, has been recorded from the Southern Hemisphere. Not only are few fossils from few localities available, but also many features that are considered to be of importance in assessing relationships are not discernible in those that are at hand. The arrangement and structure of the gills cannot be determined in any known fossil assignable to a crayfish family, and the presence or absence, to say nothing of the structure, of the first pleopod of the male cannot be ascertained in those specimens that have been examined by me. Furthermore, characters that would indicate a cyclic dimorphism in males are not evident in any fossil. Inasmuch as all male crayfishes that are known to exhibit an alternation of a breeding form with a nonbreeding one possess hooks on the ischia of one or more of the second through fourth pereiopods, the apparent absence of such hooks in the known fossils suggests that none of them exhibited this cyclic alternation of forms that in certain crayfishes is unique among decapod crustaceans.

It seems unlikely that the physiological mechanisms that control this cyclic morphology have been independently acquired in the eastern Asiatic (Cambaroidinae) and eastern North American (Cambarinae and Cambarellinae) stocks. Consequently, the existence of a common ancestor more recent than any shared with other crayfish lineages (Astacinae) seems certain (see page 3). In spite of the fact that there is no evidence based on the fossil record of cravfishes that underwent such a cyclic change occurring in the Tertiary, the range of the Cambarinae, one of the species groups in which the cycle is typical, is such that one is almost forced to conclude that a cyclic dimorphism had become established in this lineage before the end of the Miocene (Hobbs, 1967, 1971b).

The fact that the eastern Asiatic crayfishes are in some respects intermediate between the Eurasianwestern North American species (possessing similar arrangement of gills and a sclerite corresponding to the annulus ventralis that lacks both a sinus and fossa) and the eastern North American species (bearing hooks on the ischia of the second and third pereiopods in the male in which there is also a cyclic dimorphism) does not necessitate the conclusion that the eastern Asiatic mien represents an arrested morphology that at one time served as a transitional form in the evolution of one from the other. Equally likely is the possibility that from some Mesozoic marine nephropoid ancestral stock there developed two lines of evolution: one retaining the primitive absence of cyclic dimorphism and another in which such a dimorphism became fixed along with those characters that accompany it (hooks on the ischia of certain pereiopods in the male and an annular sclerite possessing a cavity or fossa for sperm storage in the female).

The more conservative stock, in migrating into a freshwater habitat, gave rise to that from which the present-day Astacinae (Eurasia and western North America) have descended. Those remaining in marine waters were presumably ancestral to modern

Nephropoidea. The other stock, that in which cyclic dimorphism became established, also migrated into fresh water, giving rise to those crayfishes assigned to the Cambaroidinae, Cambarellinae, and Cambarinae. Whether or not the Asiatic Cambaroidinae and the American subfamilies were derived from a common freshwater-inhabiting ancestor, or whether there were separate Asiatic and American invasions of fresh water in post-Jurassic times may never be known; certain possibilities, however, were recently discussed by Holt (1968:85–86) and Hobbs (1969:113–116). A possible origin of the Parastacidae has been discussed by Riek (1959:255–257).

A meaningful taxonomy of the holarctic assemblage must reflect the recognition of a fundamental divergence in the physiology and morphology of two stocks expressed in the presence or absence of the cyclic production of sperm and provisions for its conveyance to the female. The cyclic behavior of the testis of a member of the Cambarinae was shown by Word and Hobbs (1958) to be associated with the alternating first and second forms of the male in which there is also an alternation in the relative size of the grasping hooks on the ischia of one or more of the pereiopods and the shape and texture of the terminal elements of the first pleopods. These characters are most assuredly as little, and perhaps less, affected by environmental conditions as are the loss of one or more units of the branchial series. They are also equally as important in assessing relationships as are modifications of the branchial laminae, and of no less importance than the development and variations that occur in the telson and presence or absence of first pleopods. Taking cognizance of these characteristics that, in my opinion, have been inadequately emphasized, together with others cited in the diagnosis presented below, the following restructuring of the Astacuran Infraorder Astacidea is proposed.

Infraorder ASTACIDEA

Superfamily Nephropoidea ²
Superfamily Astacoidea
Family Astacidae
Genus Astacus
Austropotamobius
Pacifastacus

¹The Atlantic Nephropoidea are being revised by L. B. Holthuis, and included in his study will be a review of the generic and familial characteristics.

MAJOR CHARACTERISTICS INVOLVED IN THE EVOLUTION OF CRAYFISHES

FROM A PRO-NEPHROPOID ANCESTOR

CAMBAROIDINE ANCESTOR

FIRST PLEOPOD OF MALE WITH SHALLOW SPERM GROOVE OPENING DISTALLY AMONG TERMINAL ELEMENTS ANNULAR PLATE IN FEMALE ALWAYS

LACKING SINUS AND FOSSA BRANCHIAL FORMULA 18 + 3R + EP

CAMBARINE ANCESTOR

MALE WITH HOOKS ON ISCHIA OF THIRD, FOURTH, OR THIRD AND FOURTH PEREIOPODS ANNULUS VENTRAL FIXED, OR, IF

ANNULUS VENTRAL FIXED, OR, IF MOVABLE, SELDOM THROUGH ARC OF MORE THAN 40 DEGREES BRANCHIAL FORMULA 17 + EP

CAMBARELLINE ANCESTOR

MALE WITH HOOKS ON ISCHIA OF SECOND AND THIRD PEREIOPODS ANNULUS VENTRALIS MOVABLE THROUGH ARC OF AT LEAST 75 DEGREES BRANCHIAL FORMULA 16 + EP

PRO-CAMBARINE ANCESTOR

FIRST PLEOPOD OF MALE WITH
DEEP SPERM GROOVE OPENING
DISTALLY ON CENTRAL PROJECTION
ANNULAR PLATE IN FEMALE ALWAYS
WITH SINUS AND FOSSA
BRANCHIAL FORMULA 17 + EP,
OR 16 + EP

ASTACID ANCESTOR

CYCLIC DIMORPHISM ABSENT IN MALE
FIRST PLEOPOD OF MALE SUBTUBULAR
DISTALLY AND LACKING
ORNAMENTATION OTHER THAN SPOONLIKE LOBES
ISCHIA OF ALL PEREIOPODS OF MALE
LACKING HOOKS

ANNULAR PLATE IN FEMALE WITHOUT SINUS OR FOSSA

CAMBARID ANCESTOR

CYCLIC DIMORPHISM IN MALE
FIRST PLEOPOD OF MALE WITH
SHALLOW OR DEEP SPERM GROOVE
AND WITH TERMINAL ORNAMENTATION
ISCHIA OF ONE OR MORE PEREIOPODS
OF MALE WITH HOOKS
ANNULAR PLATE IN FEMALE WITH OR
WITHOUT SINUS AND FOSSA

ASTACOIDEAN ANCESTOR

FIRST PLEOPODS OF MALE EACH
WITH SPERM CONDUIT
SECOND PLEOPOD OF MALE WITH
SPIRAL ELEMENT ON ENDOPODITE
PODOBRANCHIAE WITH FUSED BRANCHIAL
AND EPIPODITIC PORTIONS AND
WITH BILOBED PLAITED LAMINAE
STERNUM BETWEEN FIFTH PEREIOPODS
NOT FUSED WITH ANTERIOR STERNAL
PLATE
EGGS HATCHING AS MINIATURES OF
ADULT

NEPHROPOIDEAN ANCESTOR

FIRST PLEOPODS OF MALE
SERVING TOGETHER TO FORM
SINGLE SPERM CONDUIT
SECOND PLEOPOD OF MALE WITHOUT SPIRAL ELEMENT ON
ENDOPODITE
PODOBRANCHIAE WITH DISCRETE
BRANCHIAL AND EPIPODITIC
PORTIONS
STERNUM BETWEEN FIFTH
PEREIOPODS FUSED WITH
ANTERIOR STERNAL PLATE
EGGS HATCHING AS LARVAE

PARASTACOIDEAN ANCESTOR

FIRST PLEOPODS ABSENT IN
MALE AND FEMALE
SECOND PLEOPOD OF MALE
WITHOUT SPIRAL ELEMENT ON
ENDOPODITE
PODOBRANCHIAE WITH FUSED
BRANCHIAL AND EPIPODITIC
PORTIONS BUT WITHOUT
BILOBED PLAITED LAMINAE
STERNUM BETWEEN FIFTH
PEREIOPODS NOT FUSED WITH
ANTERIOR STERNAL PLATE
EGGS HATCHING AS MINIATURES
OF ADULT

PRO-NEPHROPOID ANCESTOR

```
Family Cambaridae
   Subfamily Cambarinae
     Genus Barbicambarus
       Cambarus
       Fallicambarus
       Faxonella
       Hobbseus
       Orconectes
       Procambarus
        Troglocambarus
    Subfamily Cambarellinae
     Genus Cambarellus
    Subfamily Cambaroidinae
      Genus Cambaroides
Superfamily Parastacoidea
  Family Parastacidae
     Genus Astacoides
        Astacopsis
        Cherax
        Engaeus
        Engaewa
        Euastacoides
        Euastacus
        Geocharax
        Gramastacus
        Paranephrops
        Parastacoides
        Parastacus
        Samastacus
        Tenuibranchiurus
```

Because of the scattered literature treating the three families of crayfishes—no composite summaries of them have been attempted since those of Faxon (1885a, 1914)—a key to the taxa comprising crayfishes, together with synonymies and diagnosis of each, are presented here. In addition to the diagnoses of the genera, the following are cited: range of each, genus, number of described species and nonnominate subspecies currently recognized, and references to monographic or summary treatments and keys.

The generic structure of the Astacidae utilized is that of Bott (1950), and that of the Parastacidae has been proposed by Riek (1969, 1971, 1972). I have drawn freely from these works in composing the diagnoses. The organization of the Cambaridae

is based primarily on studies of Hagen (1870), Faxon (1885a), Ortmann (1905a, 1906), and Hobbs (1942a, 1969, 1972a).

The illustrations included are depicted as though all of the species were of approximately the same size. They were so scaled as to allow an appreciation of the differences in proportions of the structures included.

Shortly after this manuscript had been completed, Riek's study (1972) on the phylogeny of the Parastacidae appeared—in which not only are representatives of each of the parastacid genera illustrated but also a new genus, *Gramastacus*, is described. The present manuscript has been revised to include the new genus, the treatment of which is based on Riek's diagnosis and illustrations. It is unfortunate that neither of us was aware of the endeavors of the other.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.—Among those who have assisted me in this undertaking are Lipke B. Holthuis, who not only placed at my disposal his synonymies of a number of the taxa included herein, but who also critically read an early draft of the manuscript. Fenner A. Chace, Jr., was most helpful in discussing a number of problems that arose during the preparation of the manuscript and in reading the final draft. Without the cooperation of D. J. G. Griffin in lending me specimens in the collection of the Australian Museum, the illustrations of Astacopsis, Engaewa, and Tenuibranchiurus would be incomplete or lacking. Martha R. Cooper, Joseph F. Fitzpatrick, Jr., and Raymond B. Manning offered considerable encouragement and advice, and read a preliminary draft of the paper. To all of these persons, I am most grateful. I also wish to acknowledge, with appreciation, the assistance of Carolyn B. Gast in inking the illustrations, that of Carolyn S. Hahn in obtaining a number of the early publications that were not readily available in the Smithsonian Library, and that of Margaret A. Daniel in all aspects of the preparation of the manuscript.

Key to the Families and Genera of the Infraorder Astacidea Latrielle, 1803, Exclusive of the Superfamily Nephropoidea

 NUMBER 164

	carapace never with folightudinal suiture not with ridge extending from caudal margin
	of carapace to base of rostrum: sternal plate between fifth pereiopods never fused
	with that between fourth pair; podobranchiae of first 3 pereiopods with branchial and
	epipoditic elements united; first pleopod of male each with complete sperm conduit
	or first pleopod of male absent
2(1).	
. ,	or absent in females; podobranchiae of second and third maxillipeds and first 3
	perciopods with bilobed, plaited laminae; epipodite of first maxilliped without bran-
	chial filaments; coxopoditic setae acute apically; telson usually (except in Cambaroides
	Pacifastacus and rarely in Fallicambarus) divided by transverse suture
	Superfamily Astacoidea
	First pleopod lacking in both sexes; podobranchiae lacking bilobed, plaited laminae
	although stem may be alate; epipodite of first maxilliped usually with branchial fila-
	ments; coxopoditic setae with apical hooks; telson never completely divided by trans-
	verse suture Superfamily Parastacoidea, Family Parastacidae
3(2).	Male never exhibiting cyclic dimorphism; first pleopod with distal portion rolled to
- 1-7	form cylinder; ischia of all pereiopods without hooks; female lacking annulus ventralis.
	Family Astacidae4
	Male always exhibiting cyclic dimorphism; first pleopod with distal portion either bear-
	ing shallow sperm groove cephalomesially or complexly and tightly folded with sperm
	conduit opening on one of 2 or more terminal elements; male with hooks on one or
	more of second through fourth pereiopods; female with or without annulus ventralis
	Family CAMBARIDAE
4 (3) .	Distal part of first pleopod of male contracted to form narrow tube; exopodite of second
	pleopod shorter than endopodite; merus of third maxilliped with uniform row of
	spines on mesial margin and strong spine at distal end of row; epistome without spines
	or ridges posterior to renal papilla
	Distal part of first pleopod of male not contracted but bearing 2 apical lobes; exopodite
	of second pleopod as long as, or shorter than, endopodite; merus of third maxilliped
	with mesial margin bearing row of spines or with 1 or 2 distally; epistome with spine
	or ridges posterior to renal papilla
F (4)	
5 (4).	
	pod as long or almost as long as endopodite; merus of third maxilliped with single
	large mesiodistal spine, latter occasionally accompanied by single smaller spine dis-
	tally
	Distal lobes of first pleopod subequal in length; exopodite of second pleopod distinctly
	shorter than endopodite; merus of third maxilliped usually with row of spines along
	mesial margin, rarely with only 1 distal spine
6 (3) .	Branchial formula 17 + ep; male with hooks on ischia of third, fourth, or third and
	fourth pereiopods; first pleopod with distal portion complexly and tightly folded and
	sperm conduit opening on one of 2 or more terminal elements; annulus ventralis fixed
	or movable, if latter, seldom through arc of as much as 40 degrees
	Subfamily Cambarinae
	Branchial formula 16 + ep or 18 + 3r + ep; male with hooks on ischia of second and
	third pereiopods; first pleopod with distal part bearing shallow sperm groove or com-
	plexly folded as in Cambarinae but always bearing at least 3 terminal elements; annu-
	lus ventralis present (moving through arc of at least 75 degrees) or absent (plate lack-
	ing sinus and fossa)
7 (6) .	Branchial formula 16 + ep: first pleopod of male with distal portion complexly and
	tightly folded and sperm conduit opening on one of 3 terminal elements; annulus ven-
	tralis present Subfamily CAMBARELLINAE Cambarellus
	Branchial formula 18 +3r + ep; first pleopod of male with shallow sperm conduit not
	opening on terminal element, annulus ventralis absent, plate lacking sinus and fossa
	Subfamily CAMBAROIDINAE Cambaroides
8 (6) .	Third maxillipeds much enlarged; ischium without teeth on opposable margin
7-7-	Troglocambarus
	Third maxillipeds not conspicuously large; ischium with teeth on opposable margin . 9
9 (8) .	First pleopod of male terminating in 2 elements
3 (3) .	First pleopod of male terminating in more than 2 elements
10 (0)	
10 (9) .	menal broces of the brobot of mare no more than one-trait tenkin or cloukate central

projection; central projections of paired pleopods of first form male nearly straight and
overlapping Faxonella Mesial process of first pleopod of male greater than one-half length of central projection;
central projections of paired pleopods overlapping only in occasional individuals of
Fallicambarus dissitus Penn in which strongly recurved
11 (10). Distal one-third of first pleopod of male with prominent shoulder on cephalic surface,
or central projection forming distally projecting triangular plate, or central projection arising from enlarged subterminal or terminal area
Distal one-third of first pleopod of male lacking shoulder on cephalic surface; central
projection never forming distally projecting triangular plate nor arising from enlarged
subterminal or terminal area
12 (11). Coxa of fourth pereiopod in male lacking caudomesial boss
Coxa of fourth pereiopod in male with caudomesial boss 14
13(12) First pleopods, of male, in resting position, deeply withdrawn between bases of pereiopods
and largely concealed by dense setiferous mat extending from ventrolateral margins of sternum
First pleopods of male, in resting position, never deeply withdrawn between bases of
pereiopods and never concealed by dense setiferous mat extending from ventrolateral margins of sternum
14 (12). Opposable margin of dactyl of chela with abrupt excision in proximal one-half Fallicambarus
Opposable margin of dactyl of chela without abrupt excision in proximal one-half 15
15 (14). Central projection of first pleopod bladelike, always directed caudally or caudodistally,
and frequently bearing subterminal notch
Central projection of first pleopod seldom bladelike, if so, directed laterodistally or lack-
ing subterminal notch
16 (15). First pleopod with both central projection and mesial process curved caudally at least
90 degrees to principal axis of shaft of appendage
angle of so much as 90 degrees to principal axis of shaft of appendage Procambarus
17(2). Branchiocardiac groove without conspicuous anterolateral extension; chela with dactyl
moving in subhorizontal plane; branchial formula 21 + epr
Branchiocardiac groove with anterolateral extension situated subparallel to, or converg-
ing on, cervical groove for some distance; chela with dactyl moving in subvertical or
subhorizontal plane; branchial formula variable
out winglike expansion
Telson with posterior part membranous, with or without trace of transverse suture;
stems of podobranchiae with winglike expansion
19 (18). Distal portion of inner ramus of uropod membranous; cephalothorax rarely with small
tubercles; abdominal pleura without spines or tubercles; ventral surface of third
maxilliped with setae covering most of mesial half; male genitalia consisting of large
papilla arising from mesial surface of coxa Cherax Distal portion of inner ramus of uropod calcified; cephalothorax with enlarged spines or
tubercles; abdominal pleura with or without spines or tubercles; ventral surface of
ischium of third maxilliped with median row of large setiferous punctations; male
genitalia consisting of short papilla with calcified ring situated on ventral surface of
coxa 20
20(19). Abdomen with spines or tubercles, sometimes limited to pleura; carpus of cheliped with
enlarged submedian spine ventrodistally; ventrolateral margin of chela with one row
of spines and usually with additional row or low carina
carpus of cheliped with irregularly arranged small spine or tubercles on or near me-
dian ventrodistal margin; ventrolateral margin of chela with single row of weak
spines Euastacoides
21 (17). Chela with dactyl moving in subvertical plane
Chela with dactyl moving in subhorizontal plane
22 (21). Pleural lobe of first abdominal segment not overlapped by anterior lobe of pleuron of
second segment; palp of third maxilliped not reaching distal end of ischium Engaeus Pleural lobe of first abdominal segment partially overlapped by anterior lobe of pleuron

NUMBER 164 7

of second segment; palp of third maxilliped overreaching distal end of ischium25 25 (22). Anterolateral lobe of branchiocardiac groove subcontiguous with cervical groove; bran-Anterolateral lobe of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from cervical groove; 24 (23). Postorbital ridges well developed; eyestalks long; palm of chela depressed; carpus of Postorbital ridges very weak or absent; eyestalks short and bulbous; palm of chela in-25 (24). Lateral rostral carina present; lateral process of sternum between fourth pereiopods not separated by median longitudinal carina; branchial formula 21 + ep Engacus Lateral rostral carina absent; lateral processes of sternum between fourth pereiopods separated by median longitudinal carina; branchial formula 18 + ep Tenuibranchiurus 26 (21). Ventrolateral surface of chela with 2 rows of spines, and additional rows of spines or enlarged tubercles on dorsal and ventral surfaces; branchial formula 20 + epr Paranephrops Surface of chela never with longitudinal rows of large spines or tubercles; branchial 27 (26). Carapace and pleuron of second abdominal segment with large spines or tubercles; anterolateral extension of branchiocardiac groove almost contiguous with cervical groove; telson with trace of transverse suture laterally; branchial formula 12 + epr + 5r Carapace and pleuron of second abdominal segment never with large spines or tubercles; anterolateral extension of branchiocardiac groove with wide to moderately narrow gap between it and cervical groove; telson without trace of transverse suture; branchial 28 (27). Junction or approximation of branchiocardiac and cervical grooves situated no farther laterally than level of caudolateral extremity of postorbital ridge; branchial formula 17 + epr Parastacoides Junction or approximation of branchiocardiac and cervical grooves situated distinctly lateral to level of caudolateral extremity of postorbital ridge; branchial formula 20 + 29 (28). Anterolateral part of branchiocardiac groove subparallel to cervical groove with comparatively little space between them; branchial formula 20 + epr Samastacus Anterolateral part of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, but converging throughout its length with, cervical groove; branchial formula 21 + epr30 30 (29). Male genitalia large, longer than coxa; pleuron of second abdominal segment enlarged Male genitalia small, much shorter than coxa; pleuron of second abdominal segment not

Superfamily ASTACOIDEA

ASTACOIDEA De Haan, 1841:148, 160. ASTACINA Huxley, 1880a:254, 255.

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace lacking dorsomedian longitudinal suture or ridge in cardiac and posterior gastric regions. Sternal plate between fifth pereiopods not fused with sternal complex anteriorly. Telson almost always partially, and usually completely, divided by transverse suture. Podobranchiae of first three pereiopods not differentiated into branchial and epipoditic portions but with bilobed plaited laminae. Branchial formula 16 + ep; 17 + ep; 18 + 2r + ep; or 18 + 3r + ep. Articles of lateral ramus of antennule, in region of asthetascs,

usually bearing two clusters, only one in Cambaroidinae. First pleopod present in male and possessing individual sperm groove, present or absent in female; second pleopod in male with spiral element, frequently borne on subtriangular lobe. Eggs large and hatching as miniatures of adult. All members occurring in fresh water, some migrating into salt water for part of year.

Family ASTACIDAE

astacini Latreille, 1802-1803:32. Astakoiden Duméril, 1806:172. Astacoidea Duméril, 1806:332.—De Haan, 1841:148, 160. Astacia Rafinesque, 1815:98. Astacibar Samouelle, 1819:94. Astacides Billberg, 1820:134, 135.

ASTACINAE Latreille, 1825:279.

Astacida Vogt, 1851:459.

Astacina Gerstaeker, 1863:373.

POTAMOBIIDAE HUXLEY, 1879:776, 781, 785.

POTAMOBIINAE Ortmann, 1891:10.

PATAMOBIIDAE Spandl, 1926:141 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Male never exhibiting cyclic dimorphism; first pleopod with distal portion rolled to form cylinder, and distalmost part contracted to form tube or produced into 2 simple spoonlike lobes. Ischia of pereiopods of male without hooks. Female lacking annulus ventralis (sclerite present but lacking sinus and fossa). Branchial formula 18 + 2r + ep; or 18 + 3r + ep. Some articles of lateral ramus of antennule bearing 2 clusters of asthetascs.

Genus Astacus

FIGURE 1

Astacus Fabricius, 1775:413. [Type-species, by Direction 12 of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, Cancer Astacus Linnaeus, 1758:631. Gender: masculine. Junior homonym of Astacus Pallas, 1772:81, latter suppressed by Commission in same direction; Astacus Fabricius, 1775:413, also placed on Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.]

astaca Duméril, 1806:183 [erroneous spelling].

Potamobie Leach, 1818:75 [vernacular name and nomen nudum].

Potamobius Samouelle, 1819:95. [Type-species, by monotypy, Cancer Astacus Linnaeus, 1758:631. Gender: masculine.]

Potamobia Desmarest, 1823:246, 424 [latinization of Potamobie Leach, 1818].

Patamobius Gray, 1845:410 [erroneous spelling].

Carabis Marchand, Lamy, and de Boisvillette, 1874:55. [Type-species, by monotypy, Cancer Astacus Linnaeus, 1758:631. Gender: masculine.]

Actacus Philippi, 1894:378 [erroneous spelling].

Astachus Ninni, 1923:13 [erroneous spelling].

Subgenus Pontastacus Bott, 1950:12. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus leptodactylus Eschscholtz, 1823:109. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace with at least rudiments of both anterior and posterior postorbital ridges; merus of third maxilliped with single large mesiodistal spine, sometimes accompanied by single smaller spine distally; epistome with spine or ridge (often bearing at least one spine) posterior to renal papilla; first pleopod of male terminating in two




FIGURE 1.—Astacus (A.) astacus (Linnaeus, 1758) from Ljumgan River, Alby, Sweden: a, dorsal view of carapace and chelipeds of male; b, ventral view of merus of third maxilliped; c, lateral view of first pleopod of male; d, basal podomeres of second through fifth pereiopods; e, caudal thoracic sternal area of female; f, epistome and proximal podomere of antennae.

lobes, one reaching distinctly farther distally than other; exopodite of second pleopod extending almost or quite as far distally as endopodite.

RANGE.—Middle and eastern Europe.

Number of Species.—Bott (1950) recognized four species and four subspecies assigned to two subgenera, and two additional species of uncertain relationships. Karaman (1962) treated four species and six subspecies and "natio" which were assigned to two subgenera.

References.—Bott, 1950, 1972; Karaman, 1962; Curra, 1967.

NUMBER 164 9




FIGURE 2.—Austropotamobius torrentius danubicus Karaman, 1962, from Bucharest, Rumania. (For explanation, see Figure 1.)

Genus Austropotamobius

FIGURE 2

Austropotomobius Skorikov, 1908:116. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Bott, 1950:18), Cancer torrentium Schrank, 1803:247. Gender: masculine. Originally proposed as a subgenus of Potamobius; elevated to generic rank by Bott, 1950:18.]

Subgenus Atlantoastacus Bott, 1950:21. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus pallipes Lereboullet, 1858:7. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace without trace of posterior postorbital ridge; merus of third maxilliped with row of spines along mesial border; epistome with spine or ridge, latter often bearing at least one spine, posterior to renal papilla; first pleopod of male terminating in 2 lobes reaching approximately same level distally; exopodite of second pleopod never extending so far distally as endopodite.




FIGURE 3.—Pacifastacus leniusculus klamathensis (Stimpson) from Klamath River, Klamath County, Oregon, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 1).

RANGE.—West and middle Europe.

Number of Species.—Bott (1950, 1972) recognized three species and five subspecies assigned to two subgenera. Karaman (1962) treated three species and eight subspecies [one of his subspecies, A. pallipes bispinosus Karaman, was declared a synonym of Cambaroides schrenckii (Kessler, 1874:361) by Holthuis, 1964b:46]; no subgenera were recognized by him.

REFERENCES.—Bott, 1950, 1972; Karaman, 1962; Curra, 1967.

Genus Pacifastacus

FIGURE 3

Pacifastacus Bott, 1950:3, 24. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus klamathensis Stimpson, 1857:87. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace usually with at least rudiments of both anterior and posterior postorbital ridges; merus of third maxilliped with row of spines along mesial margin; epistome without spine or ridge posterior to renal papilla; first pleopod of male with distal portion contracted to form narrow tube, lacking lobes apically; exopodite of second pleopod not reaching distal end of endopodite.

RANGE.—Pacific drainage of North America and headwaters of the Missouri River in Wyoming. Introduced into Sweden and Japan.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Five species, one of which comprises three subspecies.

REFERENCES.—Faxon, 1885a, 1898; Bott, 1950; Hobbs, 1972b.

Family CAMBARIDAE

CAMBARINAE Hobbs, 1942a:338.

GAMBARINAE Penn, 1957:93 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Male exhibiting cyclic dimorphism (first and second form males); first pleopod either bearing shallow sperm groove mesially or distal portion tightly folded with distal end of sperm groove opening on one (central projection) of 2-4 terminal elements. Male with hooks present on ischium of one or more of second through fourth pereiopods. Female with or without annulus ventralis and first pleopod. Branchial formula 18 + 3r + ep; 17 + ep; or 16 + ep. Some articles of lateral ramus of antennule bearing either 1 or 2 clusters of asthetascs.

Subfamily CAMBARINAE

Diagnosis.—First pleopod of male with distal portion tightly folded, and distal end of sperm groove opening on one (central projection) of 2-4 terminal elements; hooks present on ischia of third, fourth, or third and fourth pereiopods. Female with fixed or movable annulus ventralis, if latter seldom through arc of as much as 40 degrees; with or without first pleopod. Branchial formula 17 + ep or 16 + ep. Some articles of lateral ramus of antennule bearing 2 clusters of asthetascs.

Genus Barbicambarus

FIGURE 4

Barbicambarus Hobbs, 1969:95, 98. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus cornutus Faxon, 1884:120. Gender:

masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus; elevated to generic rank by Bouchard, 1972:56.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna heavily fringed on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela and lateral margin of fixed finger with single row of spiniform tubercles, no more than 12 tubercles in former; opposable margin of dactyl never with prominent excision. Areola broad at midlength. Ischium of third pereiopod with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod with caudomesial boss bearing deep setiferous pit. First pleopods of first form male symmetrical, widely separated at base, and with distal portion of shaft inclined caudally; terminal elements consisting of very short, distally rounded




FIGURE 4.—Barbicambarus cornutus (Faxon, 1884) from Green River, 3.4 miles northeast of Donansburg, Green County, Kentucky, U.S.A.: a, dorsal view of carapace and chelipeds of male, form I; b, lateral view of first pleopod of male, form I; c, lateral view of first pleopod of male, form I; d, proximal podomeres of second through fifth pereiopods; e, annulus ventralis of female.

NUMBER 164 11

central projection curved caudally at much more than right angle, and truncate, partially cornified mesial process bearing fingerlike projection reaching caudally beyond tip of central projection. Female with annulus ventralis immovable; first pleopod rudimentary. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: Barren and Green river systems in Kentucky and Tennessee.

Number of Species.—Monotypic.

REFERENCES.—Faxon, 1884, 1885a; Hobbs, 1969, 1972b.

Genus Cambarus

FIGURE 5

Cambarus Erichson, 1846:97. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Faxon, 1898:644), Astacus Bartonii Fabricius, 1798:407. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Astacus; elevated to generic rank by Girard, 1852:88.]

Gambarus Huxley, 1880b:81 [erroneous spelling].

Camburus Faxon, 1885b:358 [erroneous spelling]. Camburus Miller, 1895:336 [erroneous spelling].

Bartonius Ortmann, 1905a:97. [Type-species, by original designation, "C. bartoni" Fabricius, 1798:407. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus, treated uninten-

tionally as generic name by Williamson, 1907:749, and declared a synonym of *Cambarus* by Fowler, 1912:341.]

Canbarus Thompson, 1967:47 [erroneous spelling]. Cambaroides Unestam, 1969:204 [lapsus for Cambarus]. cambarus Padgett, 1970:19.

Cambaras Bouchard, 1973:106 [erroneous spelling].

Subgenus Aviticambarus Hobbs, 1969:96, 99. [Type-species, by original designation, Orconectes hamulatus Cope, 1881:881. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Depressicambarus Hobbs, 1969:96, 102. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus latimanus LeConte, 1856:402. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Erebicambarus Hobbs, 1969:95, 99. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus bartoni tenebrosus Hay, 1902:232. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Hiaticambarus Hobbs, 1969:95, 105. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus longulus Girard, 1852:90. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Jugicambarus Hobbs, 1969:95, 106. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus bartonii asperimanus Faxon, 1914:391. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Lacunicambarus Hobbs, 1969:96, 110. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus diogenes Girard, 1852:88. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Puncticambarus Hobbs, 1969:96, 101. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus extraneus Hagen, 1870: 73. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Veticambarus Hobbs, 1969:95, 96. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus pristinus Hobbs, 1965: 268. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela with row of fewer than 12 tubercles except in albinistic species in which more present; lateral margin of fixed finger never bearing spiniform tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl almost never with prominent excision. Areola broad to obliterated or linear at midlength. Ischium of third pereiopod with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male with caudomesial boss. First pleopods of first form male symmetrical, contiguous basally, with distal portion of shaft never inclined caudally, and terminating in 2 or 3 distinct parts (mesial process, central projection, and occasionally




FIGURE 5.—Cambarus (C.) bartonii bartonii (Fabricius, 1798) from 8.6 miles south of Waynesboro, Augusta County, Virginia, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

caudal knob; cephalic process always absent), 2 prominent ones bent caudally or caudolaterally between 45 and 100 degrees or with central projection forming arc approaching 180 degrees; central projection bladelike or tapering from base, with or without subapical notch; mesial process subconical, bulbiform, or conspicuously inflated at base, seldom corneous, never appearing twisted or subspatulate distally, and lacking eminence on cephalic (morphological) border; caudal element seldom present, but occasionally represented by knoblike prominence at caudolateral base of central projection. Female with annulus ventralis immovable or with caudal half slightly movable; first pleopod present, rudimentary, or absent. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: From the coastal region of New Brunswick, Canada, southward to the panhandle of Florida, westward to Texas, and northward to Minnesota and southern Ontario.




FIGURE 6.—Fallicambarus fodiens (Cottle, 1863) from 5 miles west of Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County, Michigan, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

Number of Species.—Fifty-five species and three subspecies.

References.—Ortmann, 1931; Hobbs, 1969, 1972b.

Genus Fallicambarus

FIGURE 6

Fallicambarus Hobbs, 1969:111. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus strawni Reimer, 1966:11. Gender: masculine.]

Diagnosis.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of depressed chela with row of fewer than 12 tubercles; lateral margin of fixed finger never with row of spines or tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl usually with prominent excision. Areola linear or obliterated at midlength. Ischium of third pereiopod, and sometimes that of fourth, of male with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male with prominent caudomesial boss. First pleopods of first form male symmetrical, contiguous basally, and terminating in 2 or 3 elements (mesial process, central projection, and, occasionally, cephalic process) bent caudally or caudomesially at angle greater than 95 degrees to main shaft or forming broad arc of almost 180 degrees; central projection bladelike or tapering, and usually lacking subapical notch; mesial process never bulbiform but appearing twisted and often with eminence on cephalic (morphological) border; cephalic process at least partially corneous, situated at mesial base of mesial process, and directed caudad or caudodistad. Female with annulus ventralis only slightly movable; first pleopod present. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: From Texas to Ontario and southward to the panhandle of Florida.

Number of Species.—Nine.

REFERENCES.—Hobbs, 1969, 1972b.

Genus Faxonella

FIGURE 7

Faxonella Creaser, 1933:21. [Type-species, by monotypy, Cambarus clypeatus Hay, 1899:122. Gender: feminine. Proposed as a subgenus of Faxonius; elevated to generic rank by Fitzpatrick, 1963:61, and questionably by Creaser, 1962:3.]

NUMBER 164 13




FIGURE 7.—Faxonella clypeata (Hay, 1899) from 6.2 miles east of Midway, Barbour County, Alabama, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4; except b, caudal view of first pleopod of male, form 1.)

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela with or without much reduced tubercles; lateral margin of fixed finger never with row of spines or tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl never with prominent excision. Areola moderately broad at midlength. Ischium of third pereiopod of male with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male without caudomesial boss. First pleopods of first form male symmetrical, contiguous basally, and terminating in one long (central projection) and one short (mesial process) element, latter no more than half length of former; central projection of paired appendages always overlapping. Female with annulus ventralis freely movable; first pleopod rudimentary to virtually absent. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

FIGURE 8.—Hobbseus cristatus (Hobbs, 1955) from Scooba Creek, 2 miles south of Scooba, Kemper County, Mississippi, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

RANGE.—North America: Oklahoma and Texas east to Gadsden County, Florida, and Richland County, South Carolina.

Number of Species.—Three.

REFERENCES.—Fitzpatrick, 1963; Hobbs, 1972b.

Genus Hobbseus

FIGURE 8

Hobbseus Fitzpatrick and Payne, 1968:15. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus cristatus Hobbs, 1955:95. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of depressed chela with row of more than 12 tubercles; lateral margin of fixed finger never with row of spiniform tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl never with prominent excision. Areola

moderately broad at midlength. Ischium of third pereiopod with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod never with prominent caudomesial boss. First pleopod of first form male symmetrical or slightly asymmetrical, deeply withdrawn between bases of pereiopods and largely hidden by dense setal mat extending from ventrolateral margin of sternum, and terminating in two distinct parts (mesial process and central projection) almost straight, bent caudally as much as 159 degrees, or forming broad arc of as much as 180 degrees; central projection tapering to point distally and lacking subterminal notch; mesial process never bulbiform, with slender distal portion, and lacking eminence on cephalic (morphological) border. Female with annulus ventralis freely movable; first pleopod present. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: Eastern Mississippi and western Alabama.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Five.

REFERENCES.—Fitzpatrick and Payne, 1968; Hobbs, 1969, 1972b.

Genus Orconectes

FIGURE 9

Orconectes Cope, 1872:419. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Fowler, 1912:339), Orconectes inermis Cope, 1872:419. Gender: masculine.] Camtarus Packard, 1888:156 [erroneous spelling]. Cambrus Price, 1900:155 [erroneous spelling]. Orconectis Harris, 1903:11 [erroneous spelling]. Camborus Clawson, 1905:103 [erroneous spelling]. Faxonius Ortmann, 1905a:97. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus limosus Rafinesque, 1817:42. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus, elevated to generic rank by Creaser, 1933:1, and declared synonym of Orconectes by Hobbs, 1942a:352.] G[ambarus] Ortmann, 1905a:112 [incomplete spelling]. Cityphlobius Joleaud, 1939:14 [error for C. typhlobius]. Cambarrus Fleming, 1939:305 [erroneous spelling]. Orconecctes Williams, 1952:330 [erroneous spelling]. Gambarus Croizat, 1958:908 [erroneous spelling]. Camburus Bacescu, 1967:218 [erroneous spelling]. Oronectes Bacescu, 1967:218 [erroneous spelling]. Orchonectes Dimond et al., 1968:760 [erroneous spelling]. Orconectus Ray and Stevens, 1970:58 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela usually with row of less than 12

Orconnectes Molley and Prins, 1973:70 [erroneous spelling].




FIGURE 9.—Orconectes limosus (Rafinesque, 1817) from Cattail Branch at Route 97, Howard County, Maryland, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

tubercles; lateral margin of fixed finger never bearing row of spiniform tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl seldom with prominent excision. Areola broad to obliterated at midlength. Ischium of third, rarely third and fourth, pereiopod with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male lacking caudomesial boss except in troglobitic members. First pleopod of first form male almost always symmetrical, never deeply withdrawn between bases of pereiopods nor concealed by dense setal mat extending from ventrolateral margins of sternum, and contiguous basally; terminal elements (usually 2, ocassionally 3 in troglobitic members) highly variable in length and disposition-divergent, straight, or curved caudodistally or caudally; central projection never abruptly curved caudally at base nor forming arc of more than 90 degrees. Female with annulus ventralis immovable or slightly movable in troglobitic species; first pleopod usually present. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: Arizona and Alberta eastward to the Atlantic Ocean except eastern seaboard from South Carolina to Florida; also in Rogue River in Oregon. Introduced into western Europe.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Sixty-three species and seven subspecies.

REFERENCES.—Ortmann, 1931; Rhoades, 1944; Williams, 1954; Fitzpatrick, 1967; Crocker and Barr, 1968; Hobbs, 1972b.

Genus Procambarus

FIGURE 10

Cambarus Ortmann, 1905a:96 (not Erichson, 1846). [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus Blandingii Harlan, 1830:464. Gender: masculine. Proposed as typical subgenus, but type-species previously selected by Faxon, 1898:644, Astacus Bartonii Fabricius, 1798:407.]

Procambarus Ortmann, 1905c:435, 437. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Fowler, 1912:340), Cambarus Digueti Bouvier, 1897:225. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus, elevated to generic rank by Hobbs, 1942a:341.]

Paracambarus Ortmann, 1906:1. [Type-species, by monotypy, Cambarus (Paracambarus) paradoxus Ortmann, 1906:3. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus, elevated to generic rank by Hobbs, 1942a:344, and reduced to subgenus of Procambarus by Hobbs, 1972a:10.]

Ortmannicus Fowler, 1912:34, 341. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus Blandingii Harlan, 1830:464. Gender: masculine. Replacement name for Ortmann's subgenus Cambarus, utilized as generic name by Rhoades, 1944:114, and as a subgenus of Procambarus by Hobbs, 1972a:2-5, 9.] Cambarellus Creaser, 1933:21 [lapsus for Cambarus].

procambarus Padget, 1970:19.

Subgenus Girardiella Lyle, 1938:76. [Type-species, by monotypy, Cambarus Hagenianus Faxon, 1884:141. Gender: feminine.]

Subgenus Acucauda Hobbs, 1972a:8-5. [Type-species, by original designation, Procambarus fitzpatricki Hobbs, 1971a: 461. Gender: feminine.]

Subgenus Austrocambarus Hobbs, 1972a:2-5. [Type-species, by original designation, Procambarus vazquezae Villolobos, 1954:328. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Capillicambarus Hobbs, 1972a:3-4, 6. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus (Cambarus) hinei Ortmann, 1905b:401. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Hagenides Hobbs, 1972a:2-4, 7. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus advena LeConte, 1856:402. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Leconticambarus Hobbs, 1972a:2-5, 7. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus barbatus Faxon, 1890: 621. Gender: masculine.]




FIGURE 10.—Procambarus (Ortmannicus) blandingii (Harlan, 1830) from Town Creek, 3 miles south of Camden, Kershaw County, South Carolina, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4)

Subgenus Lonnbergius Hobbs, 1972a:2-4, 8. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus acherontis Lönnberg, 1895: 6. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Mexicambarus Hobbs, 1972a:3, 4, 8. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus (Cambarus) bouvieri Ortmann, 1909:159. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Pennides Hobbs, 1972a:2-4, 10. [Type-species, by original designation, Procambarus natchitochae Penn, 1955: 5. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Remoticambarus Hobbs, 1972a:3, 4, 11. [Type-species, by original designation, Procambarus pecki Hobbs, 1967:2. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Scapulicambarus Hobbs, 1972a:2, 3, 5. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus clarkii paeninsulanus Faxon, 1914:369. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Tenuicambarus Hobbs, 1972a:3, 5, 12. [Type-species, by original designation, Procambarus tenuis Hobbs, 1950: 194. Gender: masculine.]

Subgenus Villalobosus Hobbs, 1972a:3, 5, 12. [Type-species, by original designation, Paracambarus riojae Villalobos, 1944: 161. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Antenna never with conspicuous fringe on mesial border. Third maxilliped with

teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela with none to many tubercles; lateral margin of fixed finger never bearing spiniform tubercles; opposable margin of dactyl occasionally with prominent excision. Areola broad to obliterated at midlength. Ischia of third, fourth, or third and fourth pereiopods of male with hook. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male with or without caudomesial boss. First pleopods of first form male symmetrical or asymmetrical, sometimes deeply withdrawn between bases of pereiopods and at least partially concealed by setae extending from ventrolateral margin of sternum; subcontiguous, contiguous, or partially overlapping basally, and terminating in 2 or more, usually 3 or 4 elements; presence of subterminal setae in many members of genus unique; terminal elements highly variable in form and disposition; if only 2 elements present (mesial process and central projection), shoulder present on distal third of cephalic surface of appendage, or central projection forming distally projecting triangular plate, or central projection arising from caudal margin of enlarged terminal region; if more than 2 elements present, central projection seldom bladelike, if so, directed laterodistally or lacking subterminal notch, and elements never bent caudally at angle of so much as 90 degrees. Female with annulus ventralis freely movable, although sometimes partially covered ventrally by caudally projecting prominences from sternal plate immediately cephalic to it; first pleopod usually present. Branchial formula 17 + ep.

RANCE.—North America: Guatemala and Cuba to Minnesota and southern New England, except in mountains. Introduced into California, Hawaii, and Japan.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—One hundred seventeen species and six subspecies.

References.—Hobbs, 1942a, 1942b, 1962, 1972a, 1972b; Villalobos, 1955; Penn, 1959.

Genus Troglocambarus

FIGURE 11

Troglocambarus Hobbs, 1942a:334, 339, 341, 345. [Type-species, by original designation, Troglocambarus maclanei Hobbs, 1942a:345. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Albinistic, eyes reduced and without pigment. Antenna never with conspicuous fringe




FIGURE 11.—Troglocambarus maclanei Hobbs, 1942, from Squirrel Chimney (Sec. 21, Twp. 9S, R. 18E), Alachua County, Florida, U.S.A. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

on mesial border. Third maxilliped greatly enlarged and without teeth on mesial margin of ischium. Mesial margin of palm of chela weakly tuberculate or smooth; lateral margin of fixed finger lacking row of spines; opposable margin of dactyl without prominent excision. Areola broad at midlength. Ischium of third and fourth pereiopods with hooks. Coxa of fourth pereiopod of male with caudomesial boss. First pleopod of first form male asymmetrical, not conspicuously withdrawn between bases of pereiopods, partially overlapping basally, and terminating in 4 distinct elements: mesial process slender, acute, and directed caudolaterally; cephalic process acute and partially hooding cephalic base of central projection; central projection large, some-

what beaklike, with apex directed caudally; and caudal element consisting of rudimentary caudal knob and bladelike caudal process situated along caudolateral base of central projection. Female with annulus ventralis freely movable; first pleopod rudimentary. Branchial formula 16 + ep.

RANGE.—North America: Peninsular Florida. Number of Species.—Monotypic. REFERENCES.—Hobbs, 1942a, 1972b.

Subfamily CAMBARELLINAE

CAMBARELLINAE Laguarda, 1961:69. [Originally proposed as a subfamily of the Astacidae.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Male with hooks on ischia of second and third pereiopods; first pleopod with distal portion tightly folded, and distal end of sperm groove opening on one (central projection) of 3 terminal elements (mesial and caudal processes and central projection). Female with annulus ventralis freely




FIGURE 12.— Cambarellus montezumae (Saussure, 1858) from near Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico. (For explanation, see Figure 4).

movable through arc of at least 75 degrees; first pleopod lacking. Branchial formula 16+ ep (posterior arthrobranch absent at base of fourth pereiopod). Some articles of lateral ramus of antennule bearing 2 clusters of asthetascs.

Genus Cambarellus

FIGURE 12

Cambarellus Ortmann, 1905a:97, 106. [Type-species, by original designation, Cambarus Montezumae Saussure, 1857:102. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Cambarus; elevated to generic rank by Hobbs, 1942a:350.]

Cambarella Carlisle and Knowles, 1959:50, 51 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Same as that of subfamily.

RANGE.—North America: Pacific slope and central plateau of Mexico, coastal area along the Gulf of Mexico from Texas to the Suwannee River in Florida, and northward in the Mississippi Basin to southern Illinois.

Number of Species.—Twelve.

REFERENCES.—Villalobos, 1955; Penn, 1959; Hobbs, 1972b.

Subfamily CAMBAROIDINAE

CAMBAROIDINAE Villalobos, 1955:7 [originally proposed as a subfamily of the Astacidae].—Laguarda, 1961:17, 69, 70.

DIAGNOSIS.—Male with hooks on ischia of second and third pereiopods; first pleopod with distal portion not complexly folded, instead bearing shallow sperm groove with distal extremity situated cephalomesially or cephalically and not on any of 3 or more terminal elements. Female lacking annulus ventralis (sclerite present but without sinus and fossa); first pleopods lacking. Branchial formula 18 + 3r + ep. Articles of lateral ramus of antennule bearing only one cluster of asthetascs.

Genus Cambaroides

FIGURE 13

Astacus Pallas, 1772:81. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astacus Dauuricus Pallas, 1772:81. Gender: masculine. Name suppressed by International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in Direction 12 (1955).]

Cambaroides Faxon, 1884:149, 150. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Faxon, 1898:665), Astacus japonicus De



FIGURE 13.—Cambaroides similis (Koelbel, 1892) from near Pusan, Korea. (For explanation, see Figure 4.)

Haan, 1842:164. Gender: masculine. Originally proposed as a subgenus of *Astacus*; elevated to generic rank by Skorikov, 1908:116.]

Gambaroides Parisi, 1917:17 [erroneous spelling]. Camberoides Sowerby, 1922:58 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Same as that of subfamily.

RANGE.—Eastern Asia: Amur Basin, Korea, and Japan.

Number of Species.—Four species. Birstein and Vinogradov (1934) recognized, in addition to the nominate subspecies, two subspecies of *C. dauricus* (Pallas) and one of *C. schrenckii* (Kessler, 1874: 363).

References.—Faxon, 1884, 1885a, 1898; Skori-kov, 1908; Birstein and Vinogradov, 1934.

Superfamily PARASTACOIDEA

PARASTACIDAE Huxley, 1879:771, 775. PARASTACINAE Ortmann, 1891:5, 7. Austroastacidae Clark, 1936:8. [Synonymized, by implication, with Parastacidae by Riek, 1959:255.]

EUASTACIDAE Riek, 1959:255. [Synonymized with Parastacidae by Riek, 1969:861.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace lacking dorsomedian, longitudinal suture or ridge in cardiac and posterior gastric regions. Sternal plate between fifth pereiopods not fused with sternal complex anteriorly. Telson never completely divided by transverse suture. Podobranchiae of first three pereiopods not differentiated into branchial and epipoditic portions and lacking bilobed plaited laminae; epipodite of first maxilliped usually with branchial filaments. Branchial formula ranging from 12 + epr + 5r to 21 + ep. Articles of lateral ramus of antennule never with more than one cluster of asthetascs. First pleopod absent in male and female; second pleopod similar to third. Eggs large and hatching as miniatures of adult. All members occurring in fresh water.

Family PARASTACIDAE

DIAGNOSIS.—Same as that of superfamily.

Genus Astacoides

FIGURE 14

Astacoides Guérin-Méneville, 1839:109. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astacoides Goudotii Guérin-Méneville, 1839:109, a subjective junior synonym of Astacus madagascarensis H. Milne Edwards and Audouin, 1839:152. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace with conspicuous spines or tubercles, in addition to rostral, postorbital, branchiostegal, and cervical; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove extremely narrowly separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove dorsolaterally; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges weak to almost obsolete. Abdomen with spines or tubercles on pleura of one or more segments; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson sometimes with spines dorsally, with or without faint transverse suture laterally, and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with ventral surface bearing scattered setiferous punctations; distolateral portion produced in heavy spine; exopodite reduced, not reaching distolateral extremity of ischium. Chela

with ventrolateral margin tuberculate or costate but never with 2 rows of heavy spines or tubercles; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with enlarged spines or tubercles mesially and ventrally. Male genitalia, situated on ventral surface of coxa, consisting of slender articulated, partially sclerotized cylinder with subapical setae. Branchial formula 12 + epr + 5r; pleurobranch on segment XIV only, and anterior arthrobranch on segment VIII and posterior arthrobranchiae on segments X through XIII rudimentary; stem of podobranchiae without winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Madagascar.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—One species comprising four subspecies.

REFERENCES.—Monod and Petit, 1929; Holthuis, 1964a.




FIGURE 14.—Astacoides madagascarensis betsileoensis Petit, 1923, purchased in market place in Tananarive, Madagascar: a, dorsal view of carapace and chelipeds of male; b, lateral view of first three abdominal segments; c, dorsal view of telson; d, ventral view of ischium and exopodite of third maxilliped; e, basal podomeres of fifth pereiopod illustrating male genitalia on mesial surface of coxa.




FIGURE 15.—Astacopsis fluviatilis Rick, 1969, from Hobart, Tasmania, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

Genus Astacopsis

FIGURE 15

Astacopsis Huxley, 1879:760, 764. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astacus franklinii Huxley, 1879:764 (not Gray, 1845:409), (—Astacopsis gouldi Clark, 1936:35). Gender feminine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace with spines or tubercles, other than rostral, postorbital, branchiostegal, and cervical; anterolateral extremity of branchiocardiac groove fusing with cervical groove rather high on carapace. Abdomen with spines on pleura of only first and second abdominal segments; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson with no trace of transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with ventral surface of ischium provided with one row of large punctations bearing clusters of stiff setae, and often produced distolaterally in acute prominence; exopodite reduced, never reaching distal end of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin usually bearing 2 rows of spines, occasionally only one; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with 2-4 large spines mesially and 1 or 2 on, or adjacent to, ventrodistal margin. Male genitalia consisting of short papilla bearing calcified ring. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranch continuous to apex of gill but without winglike expansion; 6 posterior arthrobranchiae very reduced.

RANGE.—Australia: Northwestern and central southern part of Tasmania.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Four.

REFERENCES.—Clark, 1936, 1939; Riek, 1969.

Genus Cherax

FIGURE 16

Cherax Erichson, 1846:88. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astacus (Cheraps) Preissii Erichson, 1846:101. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Astacus with two spellings; elevated to generic rank with third spelling by Huxley, 1879:769; placed on official list of generic names by International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, Opinion 519 (Hemming, 1958).]

Cheraps Erichson, 1846:101 [erroneous spelling].

Cherops Von Martens, 1869:516.—Bate, 1888:193 [erroneous spelling].

Chaeraps Huxley, 1879:752, 755, 764, 767-771, 775, 776, 786 [erroneous spelling].

Chaerops Huxley, 1880b:79 [erroneous spelling].

Astaconephrops Nobili, 1899:244. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astaconephrops Albertisii Nobili, 1899:244. Gender: masculine.]




FIGURE 16.—Cherax albidus Clark, 1951, from Hawthorne, Victoria, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

Parachaeraps Smith, 1912:145, 148, 150-153, 161. [Type-species, by monotypy, Astacus bicarinatus Gray, 1845:410. Gender: masculine.]

Paracheraps Baer, 1945:505, 509, 510 [erroneous spelling].

Diagnosis.—Carapace smooth, punctate, or finely granulate, rarely with spines or tubercles other than rostral, postorbital, branchiostegal, and cervical; anterolateral extremity of branchiocardiac groove fused with cervical groove rather high on carapace. Abdomen rarely with spines or tubercles on pleura; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson usually without indication of transverse suture and with posterior part membranous. Third maxilliped with mesial half of ventral surface of ischium completely covered with tufts of long setae; distolateral extremity of ischium subangular, only slightly, if at all, produced; exopodite usually reaching beyond distal end of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin lacking spines or large tubercles, sometimes with noncalcified area; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with 0-4 large spines mesially and I to several on or adjacent to ventrodistal margin. Male genitalia, situated on mesial surface of coxa, consisting of complex uncalcified papilla. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranchiae produced in broad winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia and New Guinea: Eastern half and extreme southwestern part of Australia; New Guinea, Aru Islands, and Misool.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Thirty-nine species and three subspecies.

References.—Clark, 1936; Holthuis, 1949; Riek, 1969.

Genus Engaeus

FIGURE 17

Engaeus Erichson, 1846:88, 89, 102. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Clark, 1936:37), Astacus (Engaeus) fossor Erichson, 1846:102. Gender: masculine. Proposed as subgenus of Astacus; elevated to generic rank by Huxley, 1879:769.]

Eugaeus Huxley, 1880b:79 [erroneous spelling].

Pseudengaeus Clark, 1936:47. [Type-species, by original designation, Pseudengaeus strictifrons Clark, 1936:47. Gender: masculine. Synonymized with Engaeus by Riek, 1969:863.]

Austroastacus Clark, 1936:51. [Type-species, by original designation, Engaeus hemicirratulus Smith and Schuster, 1913:




FIGURE 17.—Engaeus orientalis Clark, 1941, from 4 miles north of Bonong, Victoria, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

123. Gender: masculine. Synonymized with *Engaeus* by Riek, 1969:863.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace generally smooth, rarely with granules or tubercles; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove almost to midheight of carapace; viewed dorsally, cervical groove tending toward V-shape and not deeply impressed; postorbital ridges obsolete. Abdomen smooth or punctate and conspicuously narrow; pleuron of first abdominal segment greatly reduced and not partially covered by anterior lobe of pleuron of second. Telson with no trace of transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with mesial half of ventral surface of ischium bearing dense tufts of stiff and plumose setae; distolateral extremity of ischium angular or rounded, only slightly produced; exop-

odite reduced, vestigial, or absent, never reaching distal end of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin rounded, lacking spines or large tubercles; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subvertically; carpus of cheliped without enlarged spines or tubercles mesially or ventrally, but often with row of small tubercles dorsomesially or mesiodistally. Male genitalia consisting of small simple papilla. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranchiae not produced in winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: Victoria, New South Wales, and Tasmania.

Number of Species.—Twenty-three. References.—Clark, 1936, 1939; Riek, 1969.

Genus Engaewa

FIGURE 18

Engaewa Riek, 1967a:106. [Type-species, by original designation, Engaewa subcoerulea Riek, 1967a:107. Gender: feminine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace smooth; anterolateral por-




FIGURE 18.—Engaewa subcoerulea Riek, 1967, from Walpole, Western Australia, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

tion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove almost to midheight of carapace; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges absent. Abdomen smooth; pleuron of first abdominal segment partially overlapped by anterior lobe of pleuron of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with mesial portion of ventral surface of ischium bearing long stiff setae, otherwise without setae except for submarginal lateral row; exopodite well developed, extending beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin smooth; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subvertically; carpus of cheliped without enlarged spines or tubercles mesially or ventrally, feebly tuberculate dorsomesially. Male genitalia consisting of simple small papilla. Branchial formula 21 + ep, all four pleurobranchiae present; stem of podobranchiae with small winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: Western Australia. Number of Species.—Three. REFERENCES.—Rick, 1967a, 1969.




FIGURE 19.—Euastacoides setosus Riek, 1956, from unknown locality. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

Genus Euastacoides

FIGURE 19

Euastacoides Riek, 1956:4. [Type-species, by original designation, Euastacoides setosus Riek, 1956:4. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace with dense setiferous punctations and very small tubercles; anterolateral extremity of branchiocardiac groove fused with cervical groove rather high on carapace. Abdomen without spines but pleura provided with dense setiferous punctations; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson divided laterally by transverse suture; posterior portion membranous. Third maxilliped with ventral surface of ischium provided with one row of large punctations bearing clusters of stiff setae, and produced distolaterally in acute prominence; exopodite reduced, never reaching distal end of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin bearing single row of spines; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with 2-4 large spines mesially and irregularly arranged small spines or tubercles on or adjacent to ventrodistal margin. Male genitalia consisting of short papilla bearing calcified ring. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranchiae, except on fourth pereiopod, produced in winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: Queensland. NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Two. REFERENCES.—Rick, 1956, 1969.

Genus Euastacus

FIGURE 20

Euastacus Clark, 1939:10. [Type-species, by original designation, Cancer serratus Shaw, 1794:21 (see Riek, 1969:869, 893, 894). Gender: masculine.]

Euastaeus Riek, 1956:6 [erroneous spelling].

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace with spines or tubercles other than rostral, postorbital, branchiostegal, and cervical; anterolateral extremity of branchiocardiac groove fusing with cervical groove rather high on carapace. Abdomen often with 3 or more rows of spines or tubercles, sometimes confined to margins of one or more pleura; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson, frequently with spines dorsally, divided laterally by transverse suture; posterior por-




FIGURE 20.—Euastacus bispinosus Clark, 1941, from Otways, Beauchamp Falls, Victoria, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

tion membranous. Third maxilliped with ventral surface of ischium provided with one row of large punctations bearing clusters of stiff setae, and produced distolaterally in acute prominence; exopodite much reduced, but flagellum often reaching distal end of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin bearing one row of spines and usually with additional row or subparallel low carina; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with 2-4 large spines mesially and 1 or 2 on, or adjacent to, ventrodistal margin. Male genitalia, situated on ventral surface of coxa, consisting of short papilla bearing calcified ring. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranchiae, except on fourth pereiopod, produced in winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: eastern states.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Twenty-seven.

REFERENCES.—Clark, 1936, 1941; Riek, 1969.

Genus Geocharax

FIGURE 21

Geocharax Clark, 1936:31. [Type-species, by original designation, Geocharax gracilis Clark, 1936:31. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace punctate or tuberculate; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove almost to midheight of carapace; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges well developed. Abdomen smooth, punctate, or setose; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with mesial half of ventral surface of ischium bearing setae; exopodite well developed, extending beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin bearing small tubercles; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subvertically but not so clearly as in Engaeus; carpus of cheliped without enlarged spines or tubercles, but with row of tubercles dorsomesially. Male genitalia consisting of small simple papilla. Branchial formula 21 + epr; stem of podobranchiae with small winglike expansion.




FIGURE 21.—Geocharax falcata Clark, 1941, from Wannon River, Western Australia, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

RANGE.—Australia: Victoria to King Island, and northwestern Tasmania.

Number of Species.—Two. References.—Clark, 1936; Riek, 1969.

Genus Gramastacus

FIGURE 22

Gramastacus Riek, 1972:385. [Type-species, by original designation, Gramastacus insolitus Riek, 1972:386. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace punctate; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove almost to midheight of carapace; viewed dorsally, cervical groove U-shaped; postorbital ridges well developed. Abdomen without prominent tubercles; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with "dense hairs over all lower surface" (Riek, 1972:385) of merus. Chela with ventrolateral margin lacking large tubercles; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus

of cheliped with "slightly enlarged tooth on mesial side towards apex." Male genitalia consisting of "very large, uncalcified (only slightly sclerotized) process, distinctly longer than wide."

RANGE.—Australia: "Grampians area of western Victoria" (Riek, 1972:385).

Number of Species.—Two. References.—Rick, 1972.

Genus Paranephrops

FIGURE 23

Paranephrops White, 1842:79. [Type-species, by monotypy, Paranephrops planifrons White, 1842:79. Gender: masculine.]

Paranephros André, 1937:32, 34 [erroneous spelling].

Paranenphrops Cunningham et al., 1953:373 [erroneous spelling].

Diagnosis.—Carapace with spines or tubercles, other than rostral, postorbital, branchiostegal, and cervical; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from and parallel to cervical groove; postcervical groove prominent and fusing with anterolateral arms of branchiocardiac




FIGURE 22.—Gramastacus insolitus Riek, 1972 (after Riek, 1972). (For explanation, see Figure 14; except d, basal podomere of fifth pereiopod illustrating male genitalia on mesial surface of coxa.)




FIGURE 23.—Paranephrops planifrons White, 1842, from Rotorua District, North Island, New Zealand. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

NUMBER 164 25

grooves: viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges well developed. Abdomen with setiferous punctations, lacking spines on pleura; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped usually with submedian ventral row of spiniform tubercles: mesial half of ventral surface bearing stiff setae; distolateral portion produced in spine; exopodite well developed, extending beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with 2 ventrolateral rows of large spines or tubercles, and additional rows both dorsally and ventrally; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving more horizontally than vertically; carpus of cheliped with large spines mesially and ventrally. Male genitalia, situated on mesial surface of coxa, consisting of large, articulated, partially sclerotized lobe. Gills 20 + epr + r; pleurobranchiae on somites XI to XIV, posterior arthrobranch on XIII rudimentary; stem without winglike expansion.

RANGE.—New Zealand. Number of Species.—Two. References.—Hopkins, 1970.

Genus Parastacoides

FIGURE 24

Parastacoides Clark, 1936:10, 48. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus (Astacus) Tasmanicus Erichson, 1846: 94. Gender: masculine.]

Diagnosis.—Carapace setose, punctate, or granulate; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove extremely narrowly separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove dorsolaterally; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges weakly developed. Abdomen punctate to smooth; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with ventral surface bearing scattered setiferous punctations; exopodite well developed, extending to or beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin smooth; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus of cheliped with




FIGURE 24.—Parastacoides tasmanicus (Erichson, 1846) from Derwent Bridge, Tasmania, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

2 to several large tubercles mesially, rare on ventrodistal margin. Male genitalia consisting of large, nonlobed, fleshy, semicylindrical outgrowth on mesial side of coxa. Branchial formula 17 + epr; pleurobranchiae absent; posterior arthrobranchiae reduced; stem of podobranchiae without winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: Tasmania. Number of Species.—Six.

REFERENCES.—Clark, 1939; Riek, 1967b, 1969.

Genus Parastacus

FIGURE 25

Parastacus Huxley, 1879:759, 771. [Type-species, by subsequent designation (Faxon, 1898:683), Astacus pilimanus von Martens, 1869:15. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace punctate or tuberculate; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove often hardly distinguishable because closely approximating deeply impressed cervical groove, two merging dorsolaterally; viewed dorsally, cervical groove somewhat V-shaped; postorbital ridges rang-




FIGURE 25.—Parastacus pugnax (Poeppig, 1835) from Concepción, Chile. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

ing from well developed to virtually obsolete. Abdomen without tubercles or spines; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely, sometimes weakly, calcified. Third maxilliped with mesial half of ventral surface of ischium bearing setiferous punctations; exopodite reaching to, or slightly beyond, distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin smooth or bearing small tubercles; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subvertically; carpus with or without enlarged spiniform tubercles. Male genitalia consisting of fixed, slightly elevated ventromesial ridge bearing noncalcified papilla; all members with male and female genital apertures. Branchial formula 20 + epr + r or 20 + ep + r; pleurobranchiae on segments XI to XIV; posterior arthrobranch on XIII rudimentary; stem without winglike expansion.

RANGE.—South America: Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, and southern Brazil.

NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Six.




Figure 26.—Samastacus spinifrons (Philippi, 1882) from Talcahuano, Chile. (For explanation, see Figure 14.)

REFERENCES.—Faxon, 1885a, 1898, 1914; Rick, 1971.

Genus Samastacus

FIGURE 26

Samastacus Riek, 1971:134. [Type-species, by original designation, Astacus spinifrons Philippi, 1882:627. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace punctate or smooth; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove extending subparallel to cervical groove, two merging laterally; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped; postorbital ridges well developed with anterior spine or tubercle. Abdomen without tubercles or spines; pleuron of first abdominal segment distinct and partially overlapped by that of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with setiferous punctations over entire ventral surface; exopodite reaching to or slightly beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin smooth;

when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subhorizontally; carpus without enlarged spiniform tubercles mesially or ventrally. Male genitalia consisting of articulated, slender, tubular, calcified projection; members never with both male and female apertures. Branchial formula 20 + epr + r; stem without winglike expansion.

RANGE.—South America: Chile. NUMBER OF SPECIES.—Two. REFERENCES.—Rick. 1971.

Genus Tenuibranchiurus

FIGURE 27

Tenuibranchiurus Rick, 1951:368, 369, 381. [Type-species, by original designation, Tenuibranchiurus glypticus Riek, 1951:382. Gender: masculine.]

DIAGNOSIS.—Carapace punctate to finely granulate; anterolateral portion of branchiocardiac groove widely separated from, and parallel to, cervical groove almost to midheight of carapace; viewed dorsally, cervical groove broadly U-shaped and deeply impressed; postorbital ridges greatly reduced. Abdomen smooth; pleuron of first abdominal segment not rudimentary and partially covered by anterior lobe of pleuron of second. Telson without transverse suture and entirely calcified. Third maxilliped with ventral surface of ischium studded with both plumose and simple stiff setae; exopodite well developed, extending beyond distal extremity of ischium. Chela with ventrolateral margin smooth; when upper surface of carpus of cheliped held in horizontal plane, dactyl moving subvertically; carpus of cheliped without enlarged spines or




FIGURE 27.—Tenuibranchiurus glypticus Riek, 1951, from Caloundra, Queensland, Australia. (For explanation, see Figure 14).

tubercles mesially or ventrally, feebly tuberculate dorsomesially. Male genitalia consisting of "flattened disc-like flange" (Riek, 1969:867). Branchial formula 18 + ep; some females, however, with 2 additional pleurobranchiae (on segments XII and XIII) = 20 + ep; stem of podobranchiae not produced in winglike expansion.

RANGE.—Australia: Queensland. Number of Species.—Monotypic. REFERENCES.—Riek, 1951, 1969.

Literature Cited

André, Marc

1937. Relations entre la distribution géographique des ecrevisses et celle de leurs parasites. Compte Rendu Sommaire des Séances de la Société de Biogéographie, 14:31-37.

Bacescu, Mihai C.

1967. Fauna Republicii Socialiste Romania. Crustacea, Decapoda. Academia Republicii Socialiste Romania, 4 (9):1-353, 141 figures.

Baer, Jean G.

1945. Un temnocéphale nouveau, Temnocéphala handschini n. sp. de la Nouvelle Guinée. Revue Suisse de Zoologie, 52 (22-27):505-512, 8 figures.

Bate, C. Spence

1888. Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of HMS Challenger during the Years 1873-76 Zoology. Volume 24, xc + 942 pages.

Billberg, Gustav Johann

1820. Enumeratio Insectorum. 138 pages. Gadelianis. Birstein, J. A., and L. G. Vinogradov

1934. Die Susswasserdecapoden der UdSSR und ihre geographische Berbreituhng. Vorläufige Mitteilung. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal (Moscow), 13:59-70,

26 figures. [Russian with German summary.] 1950. Die Flusskrebse Europas (Decapoda, Astacidae). Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, 483: 36 pages, 24 figures, 6 plates.

1972. Besiedlungsgeschichte und Systematik der Astaciden West-Europas unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Schweiz. Revue Suisse de Zoologie, 79 (13):387-408, 8 figures, 4 plates.

Bouchard, Raymond William

1972. A New Genus of Crayfish from Kentucky and Tennessee (Crustacea, Decapoda, Astacidae). ASB Bulletin, 19 (2):56.

1973. A New Crayfish of the Subgenus Jugicambarus from Tennessee with an Emended Definition of the Subgenus (Astacidae, Decapoda). American Midland Naturalist, 89 (1):103-111, 1 figure.

Bouvier, E. L.

1897. Sur les Cambarus recueillis au Mexique par M. Diguet. Bulletin du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, 3 (6):224-228.

Carlisle, David B., and Francis Knowles

1959. Endocrine Control in Crustaceans. Cambridge Monographs in Experimental Biology, 10: vii + 120 pages, 18 figures, 5 plates.

Clark, Ellen

1936. The Freshwater and Land Crayfishes of Australia. Memoirs of the National Museum (Melbourne), 10: 1-58, 2 figures, 11 plates.

1939. Tasmanian Parastacidae. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania, 1938:117-127, plates 12. 13.

1941. Revision of the Genus Euastacus (Crayfishes, Family Parastacidae), with Notes on the Distribution of Certain Species. Memoirs of the National Museum (Melbourne), 12:7-30, 1 figure, 7 plates.

Clawson, A. B.

1905. Some Results of a Study of Correlation in the Crayfish. Report of the Michigan Academy of Science, 7:103-108.

Cope, E. D.

1872. On the Wyandotte Cave and Its Fauna. American Naturalist, 6 (7):406-422, figures 109-116.

1881. Orconectes hamulatus. In E. D. Cope and A. S. Packard, The Fauna of Nickajack Cave. American Naturalist, 15:872-882, 1 plate.

Creaser, E. P.

1933. Descriptions of Some New and Poorly Known Species of North American Crayfishes. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, 275:1-21, 14 figures.

1962. Notes on Homologies and Genetic Relationships in Cambarinae Crayfishes. 7 pages, 22 figures. Privately printed.

Crocker, D. W., and David W. Barr

1968. Handbook of the Crayfishes of Ontario. ix + 158 pages, 87 figures. University of Toronto Press.

Croizat, Leon

1958. The New World. Volume I of Panbiogeography, or an Introductory Synthesis of Zoogeography, Phytogeography, and Geology; with Notes on Evolution, Systematics, Ecology, Anthropology, etc. xxxi + 1018 pages, 119 figures. Herts, England: Distributed by Wheldon and Wesley, Ltd., Nr. Hitchin.

Cunningham, B. T., and others

1953. A Survey of the Western Coastal Dune Lakes of the North Island, New Zealand. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 4 (2):341-386, 10 figures. 2 plates.

Curra, R. A.

1967. A Key to Genera, Species and Subspecies of Astacinae (Nephropsidea: Astacidae). International Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie, 52:793-800, 4 figures.

Dana, I. D.

1852. Crustacea, Part I. Volume 13 in United States Exploring Expedition, during the Years, 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, under the Command of Charles Wilkes, U. S. N. viii + 685 pages.

Desmarest, A. G.

1823. Malacostracés, Malacostraca. In Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles . . . , 28:138-425. Paris: Le Normant.

Dimond, John B., and others

1968. Persistence of DDT in Crayfish in a Natural Environment. Ecology, 49 (4):759-762.

Duméril, C.

1806. Analytische Zoologie. vi + 344 pages. Landes-Industrie-Comptoirs.

Erichson, W. F.

1846. Uebersicht der Arten der Gattung Astacus. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Berlin, 12(1):86-103.

Eschscholtz, F.

1823. Descriptio Novae Astacurum speciei Rossicae.

Mémoires de la Société Impériale de Naturalistes de
Moscou, 6:109-110.

Fabricius, J. C.

1775. Systema Entomologiae, Sistens Insectorum. Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, Adiectis Synonymis, Locis, Descriptionibus, Observationibus. 832 pages. Leipzig.

1798. Supplementum Entomologiae Systematicae. 572 pages. Hafniae: Proft et Storch.

Faxon, Walter

1884. Descriptions of New Species of Cambarus; to Which Is Added a Synonymical List of the Known Species of Cambarus and Astacus. Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Science, 20:107-158.

1885a. A Revision of the Astacidae, Part I: The Genera Cambarus and Astacus. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College, 10(4): vi + 186 pages, 10 plates.

1885b. A List of the Astacidae in the United States National Museum. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 8(23):356-361.

1890. Notes on North American Crayfishes, Family Astacidae. Proceedings of the United States National Museum. 12(785):619-634.

1898. Observations on the Astacidae in the United States National Museum and in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, with Descriptions of New Species. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 20(1136):643-694, plates 62-70. NUMBER 164 29

1914. Notes on the Crayfishes in the United States National Museum and the Museum of Comparative Zoology, with Descriptions of New Species and Subspecies. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College, 40(8):351-427, 13 plates. Fitzpatrick, Joseph F., Jr.

- 1963. Geographic Variation in the Crawfish Faxonella clypeata (Hay) with the Definition and Defense of the Genus Faxonella Creaser (Decapoda, Astacidae). Tulane Studies in Zoology, 10(1):57-79, 20 figures.
- 1967. The Propinquus Group of the Crawfish Genus Orconectes (Decapoda: Astacidae). Ohio Journal of Science, 67(3):129-172, 27 figures.

Fitzpatrick, J. F., Jr., and James F. Payne

1968. A New Genus and Species of Crawfish from the Southeastern United States (Decapoda, Astacidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 81(2):11-22, 12 figures.

Fleming, Robert S.

1938-1939. The Larger Crustacea of the Nashville Region. Journal of the Tennessee Academy of Sciences, 13(4): 296-314 (1938); 14(2):261-264 (1939); 14(3):299-324, (1939), 22 plates.

Fowler, Henry W.

1912. The Crustacea of New Jersey. Annual Report of the New Jersey Museum, 1911 (Part II):29-650, 150 plates.

Gerstaecker, A.

1865. Arthropoden. Volume 2 in Jul. Victor Carus, and C. E. A. Gerstaecker, Handbuch de Zoologie, 415 pages. Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann.

Girard, Charles

1852. A Revision of the North American Astaci, with Observations of Their Habits and Geographical Distribution. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 6:87-91.

Gray, J. E.

1845. Descriptions of Some New Australian Animals. In Edward John Eyre, Journals of Expeditions of Discovery into Central Australia . . . , 1:405-411 (appendix).

Guérin Méneville, F. E.

1839. Nouveau genre de Crustacé Macroure, éstablissant le passage entre deux familles, les Thalassiniens et les Astaciens. Revue Zoologique par La Société Cuvierienne, 1838:108-109.

de Haan, W.

1833-1850. Crustacea. In de Siebold, Fauna Japonica sive descriptio animalium, Quae in Itinere per Japoniam, Jussu et Auspiciis Superiorum, qui Summum in India Bataba Imperium Tenent, Suscepto, Annis 1823-1830 Collegit, Notis, Observationibus Adumbrationibus Illustravit. Pages i-xvii, i-xxxi, ix-xvi, 1-243, 71 plates.

Hagen, Hermann A.

1870. Monograph of the North American Astacidae. Illustrated Catalogue of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College, 3: 109 pages, 11 plates.

Harlan, R.

1830. Description of a New Species of the Genus Astacus.

Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, 3(15):464-465.

Harris, J. Arthur

1903. An Ecological Catalogue of the Crayfishes Belonging to the Genus Cambarus. Kansas University Science Bulletin, 2(3):51-187, 4 plates.

Hay, William Perry

- 1899. Description of Two New Species of Crayfish. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 22(1187):121-123, 2 figures.
- 1902. Observations on the Crustacean Fauna of the Region about Mammoth Cave, Kentucky. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 25(1285):223-236, 1 figure.

Hemming, A. F.

1958. Opinion 519. In Addition to the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology of the Names of Twenty-three Genera of Macrura Reptantia (Class Crustacea) and Use of the Plenary Powers in Regard to Three Matters Connected Therewith. Opinions and Declarations Rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 19:133-168.

Hobbs, Horton H., Jr.

- 1942a. A Generic Revision of the Crayfishes of the Subfamily Cambarinae (Decapoda, Astacidae) with the Description of a New Genus and Species. American Midland Naturalist, 28(2):334-357, 3 plates.
- 1942b. The Crayfishes of Florida. University of Florida Publications, Biological Science Series, 3(2):v + 179 pages, 24 plates.
- 1950. A New Crayfish of the Genus Procambarus from Oklahoma and Arkansas (Decapoda, Astacidae). Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences, 40(6):194-198, 12 figures.
- 1955. A New Crayfish of the Genus Cambarus from Mississippi. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 68(15):95-100, 11 figures.
- 1962. Notes on the Affinities of the Members of the Blandingii Section of the Crayfish Genus Procambarus (Decapoda, Astacidae). Tulane Studies in Zoology, 9(5):273-293, 72 figures.
- 1965. A New Crayfish of the Genus Cambarus from Tennessee with an Emended Definition of the Genus (Decapoda, Astacidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 78(33):265-273, 12 figures.
- 1967. A New Crayfish from Alabama Caves with Notes on the Origin of the Genera Orconectes and Cambarus (Decapoda: Astacidae). Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 123(3621):1-17, 21 figures.
- 1969. On the Distribution and Phylogeny of the Crayfish Genus Cambarus. In Perry C. Holt, Richard L. Hoffman, and C. Willard Hart, Jr., The Distributional History of the Biota of the Southern Appalachians, Part I: Invertebrates. Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Research Division Monograph, 1:93-178, 20 figures.
- 1971a. A New Crayfish of the Genus Procambarus from Mississippi (Decapoda: Astacidae). Proceedings of

- the Biological Society of Washington, 83(40):459-468, 1 figure.
- 1971b. The Entocytherid Ostracods of Mexico and Cuba.

 Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, 81: 55 pages,
 31 figures.
- 1972a. The Subgenera of the Crayfish Genus Procambarus (Decapoda: Astacidae). Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, 117: 22 pages, 20 figures.
- 1972b. Biota of Freshwater Ecosystems, Identification Manual 9: Crayfishes (Astacidae) of North and Middle America. Water Pollution Control Research Series, x + 173 pages, 115 figures. United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Holt, Perry C.

1968. The Branchiobdellida: Epizootic Annelids. The Biologist, 50(3-4):79-94, 2 figures.

Holthuis, L. B.

- 1949. Zoological Results of the Dutch New Guinea Expedition 1939, Number 3: Decapoda Macrura with a Revision of the New Guinea Parastacidae. Nova Guinea, 5(new series):289-328, plates 2-9.
- 1964a. The Genus Astacoides Guérin (Decapoda Macrura). Crustaceana, 6(4):309-318, 1 figure, plates 9, 10.
- 1964b. On the Status of Two Allegedly European Crayfishes, Cambarus typhlobius Joseph, 1880, and Austropotamobius pallipes bispinosus Karaman, 1961 (Decapoda Astacidae). Crustaceana, 7(1):42-48, plate 3.

Hopkins, C. L.

1970. Systematics of the New Zealand Freshwater Crayfish Paranephrops (Crustacea: Decapoda: Parastacidae). New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 4(3):278-291, 7 figures.

Huxley, Thomas H.

- 1879. On the Classification and the Distribution of the Crayfishes. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 1878:751-788, 7 figures.
- 1880a. The Crayfish: An Introduction to the Study of Zoology. xiv + 371 pages, 81 figures. London: C. Kegan Paul & Co.
- 1880b. Classification et Distribution des Ecrevisses. Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale, 8:79-102, 7 figures.

Joleaud, L.

1939. Atlas de Paléobiogéographie. 39 pages, 99 plates. Paris: Paul Lechevalier.

Karaman, Mladen S.

1962. Ein Beitrag zur Systematik der Astacidae (Decapoda). Crustaceana, 3(3):173-191, 60 figures.

Kessler, K.

1874. Die Russischen Flusskrebse. Vorläufige Mittheilung. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, 48(1):343-372.

Laguarda, Alfredo

1961. Contribución al Estudio Comparativo de la Formula
Branquial en la Familia Astacidae (Crustacea:
Decapoda). 74 pages, 22 plates. Facultad de Ciencias,
Departmento de Biologia, Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México.

- Latreille, P. A.
 - 1802-03. Histoire Naturelle, Général et Particulière des Crustacés et des Insectes. 387 pages, plates 16-37. Paris: F. Dufart.
 - 1825. Familles Naturelles du Règne Animal, Exposées Succinctement et dans un Ordre Analitique, avec l'Indication de Leurs Genre. 570 pages. Paris: J. B. Baillière.

Leach, W. E.

1818. Crustacés, Crustacea. In Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles . . . , 12:69-75. Paris: Le Normant.

Lereboullet, M.

1858. Description de Deux Nouvelles Espèces d'Ecrevisse de nos Rivières. Mémoires Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Strasbourg, 5:1-11, 3 plates.

LeConte, John

1856. Descriptions of New Species of Astacus from Georgia. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 7:400-402.

Linnaeus, C.

1758. Systema Naturae per Regna Tria Naturae, Secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis. Edition 10, volume 1, 824 + iii pages.

Lönnberg, Einar

1895. Cambarids from Florida, a New Blind Species.

Bihang till Koniglische Svenska Ventenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar, 20(4):3-14, figures 1-5 b.

Lyle, Clay

1938. The Crawfishes of Mississippi, with Special Reference to the Biology and Control of Destructive Species. Iowa State College Journal of Science, 13: 75-77.

Marchand, A. Lamy et de Boisvillette

1874. Statistique Scientifique d'Eure-et-Loir. Zoologie, Ichthyologie, Ornithologie. 75 pages. Chartres: Petrot-Garnier.

von Martens, Eduard

1869. Südbrasilische Süss- und Brackwasser-Crustaceen nach den Sammlungen des Dr. Reinh. Hensel. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 35(1):1-37, 2 plates.

Miller, W. S.

1895. The Anatomy of the Heart of Cambarus. Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts, and Letters, 10:327-338, plates 5, 6.

Milne Edwards, H.

1837. Histoire naturelle des crustacés, comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, 2:1-532.

Milne Edwards, H., and V. Audouin

1839. [Note without title.] L'Institut, Journal Général des Sociétés et Travaux Scientissiques de la France et de l'Etranger, section 1, 7(280):152.

Molley, Michael O., and Rudolph Prins

The Effect of Temperature and Photoperiod on Molting Patterns in Seasonal Populations of the Crayfish, Orconnectes immunis. ASB Bulletin, 20 (2):70.

NUMBER 164 31

Monod, Th., and G. Petit

1929. Crustacea, I: Parastacidae. Faune des Colonies Françaises, 3(1):3-43, 3 plates, 10 figures.

Ninni, E.

1923. Primo contributo allo studio dei pesci e della pesca nelle acque dell'Impero Ottomano: Materiali raccolti durante la Campagna Talassografica 1921-22 a Bordo della R. Nave L. F. Marsigli. Missione Italiani per l'Explorazione die Mari Levante (R. N. Marsigli), 5:187 pages, 25 figures, 16 plates. [Not seen.]

Nobili, Di Guiseppe

1899. Contribuzioni alla conoscenza della fauna carcinologica della Papuasia, delle Molucche e dell' Australia. Annali del Museo Civico di Genova, serie 2, 20(40):230-282.

Ortmann, Arnold E.

1891. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums ... Part III. Die Abtheilungen der Reptantia Boas: Homaridea, Loricata und Thalassinidea. Zoologischen Jahrbüchern, 6:1-58, 1 plate.

1905a. The Mutual Affinities of the Species of the Genus Cambarus, and Their Dispersal over the United States. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, 44(180):91-136, 1 plate.

1905b. A New Species of Cambarus from Louisiana. Ohio Naturalist, 6(2):401-403, 1 figure.

1905c. Procambarus, a New Subgenus of the Genus Cambarus. Annals of the Carnegie Museum, 3(3):435-442, 3 figures.

1906. Mexican, Central American, and Cuban Cambari. Proceedings of the Washington Academy of Sciences, 8:1-24, 4 figures.

1909. Une ecrevisse nouvelle du Mexique. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, neuvième série, 7:159-166, 4 figures.

1931. Crawfishes of the Southern Appalachians and the Cumberland Plateau. Annals of the Carnegie Museum, 20(2):61-160.

Packard, A. S., Jr.

1888. The Cave Fauna of North America with Remarks on the Anatomy of the Brain and Origin of the Blind Species. Memoirs of the National Academy of Sciences, 4: 156 pages, 21 figures, 27 plates.

Padgett, Allen

1970. Georgia Cave Fauna. Georgia Speleological Society Bulletin, 1970:19-20.

Pallas, A. S.

1772. Spicilegia zoologica quibus novae imprimis et obscurae animalium species iconibus, descriptionibus atque commentariis illustrantur. Volume 1, number 9, 86 pages, 5 plates.

Parisi, Bruno

1917. I Decapodi giapponesi del Museo di Milano, V: Galatheidea e Reptantia. Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali, 56:1-24, 7 figures.

Penn, George Henry, Jr.

1953. Two New Crawfishes of the Genus Procambarus from Texas, Louisiana and Arkansas (Decapoda, Astacidae). American Museum Novitates, 1636:1-10, 19 figures.

1957. Aberrant Secondary Sex Characters in the Crawfishes Cambarellus shufeldtii (Faxon) and Procambarus dupratzi Penn (Decapoda, Astacidae). Transactions of the American Microscopical Society, 76(1):93-95.

1959. An Illustrated Key to the Crawfishes of Louisiana, with a Summary of Their Distribution within the State (Decapoda, Astacidae). Tulane Studies in Zoology, 7(1):1-20, 86 figures.

Philippi, R. A.

1882. Zoología chilena: Sobre los Astacus. Anales de la Universidad de Chile, 61:624-628, 1 figure.

1894. Dos palabras sobre la sinonimia de los crustáceos, decápodos, branquiuros o jaivas de Chile. Anales de la Universidad de Chile, 87:369-379.

Price, F. M.

1900. The Eyes of Cambrus pellucidus [title, without text, of a paper presented to the Academy]. Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Science, 1899: page 155.

Rafinesque, C. S.

1815. Analyse de la nature; ou tableau de l'univers et des corps organisés. 224 pages.

1817. Synopsis of Four New Genera and Ten New Species of Crustacea, Found in the United States. American Monthly Magazine and Critical Review, 2(1):40-43.

Ray, Johnny, and Verl Stevens

 Using Baytex to Control Crayfish in Ponds. Progressive Fish-Culturist, 33:58-60.

Reimer, Rollin Dewayne

1966. Two New Species of the Genus Cambarus from Arkansas (Decapoda, Astacidae). Tulane Studies in Zoology, 13(1):9-15, 18 figures.

Rhoades, Rendell

1944. The Crayfishes of Kentucky with Notes on Variation, Distribution, and Descriptions of New Species and Subspecies. American Midland Naturalist, 31(1): 111-149, 10 figures.

Riek, Edgar F.

1951. The Freshwater Crayfish (Family Parastacidae) of Queensland with an Appendix Describing Other Australian Species. Records of The Australian Museum, 22(4):368-388, 13 figures.

1956. Additions to the Australian Freshwater Crayfish.

Records of The Australian Museum, 24(1):1-6, 1
plate.

1959. The Australian Freshwater Crustacea. In A. Keast, R. L. Crocker, C. S. Christian, Biogeography and Ecology in Australia. Monographiae Biologicae, 8: 246-258.

1967a. The Freshwater Crayfish of Western Australia (Decapoda: Parastacidae). Australian Journal of Zoology, 15:103-121, 5 figures, 5 plates.

1967b. The Tasmanian Freshwater Crayfish Genus Parastacoides (Decapoda: Parastacidae). Australian Journal of Zoology, 15:999-1006, 6 figures.

1969. The Australian Freshwater Crayfish (Crustacea: Decapoda: Parastacidae), with Descriptions of New

- Species. Australian Journal of Zoology, 17:855-918. 20 figures.
- 1971. The Freshwater Craylishes of South America. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 84(16):129-136, 1 figure.
- 1972. The Phylogeny of the Parastacidae (Crustacea: Astacoidea), and Description of a New Genus of Australian Freshwater Crayfishes. Australian Journal of Zoology, 20:369-389, 36 figures.

Samouelle, George

1819. The Entomologist's Useful Compendium; or an Introduction to the Knowledge of British Insects. . . . 496 pages. London: Thomas Boys.

de Saussure, Henri

1857. Note Carcinologique sur la Famille des Thalassides et sur celle des Astacides. Revue et Magasin de Zoologie Pure et Appliquée, série 2, 9:99-102.

Schrank, Franz von Paula

1803. Fauna Boica. Volume 3, part 1, viii + 272 pages. Landshut bei Philipp Krüll.

Shaw, George

1794. Zoology of New Holland. Volume 1, 33 pages, 12 plates. London: J. Davis.

Skorikov, A. S.

1908. Contributions à la classification des Potamobiidae d'Europe et d'Asic. Annuaire du Musée Zoologique de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg, 12:115-118 (1907, Russian).

Smith, Geoffrey W.

1912. The Freshwater Crayfishes of Australia. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 1912:144-170, plates 14-27.

Smith, Geoffrey W., and E. H. J. Schuster

1913. The Genus Engaeus or the Land Crayfishes of Australia. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 1913:112-127, plates 12-17.

Sowerby, Carle

1922. The Naturalist in Manchuria. Volume 1, xiv + 347 pages, illustrated. Tientsin: Tientsin Press Limited.

Spandl, Hermann

1926. Die Tierwelt der unterirdischen Gewässer. Speläologische Monographien herausgegeben vom Speläologischen Institut der Bundeshöhlenkommission, Wien, 11: xi + 235 pages, 116 figures.

Stimpson, William

1857. Notices of New Species of Crustacea of Western North America; Being an Abstract from a Paper To Be Published in the Journal of the Society. Proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History, 6(6):84-89.

Thompson, David H.

 A Comparison of Visual Acuity between Cave and Epigeal Crayfish. The Wisconsin Speleologist, 6(3-4): 46-54.

Unestam, Torgny

1969. Resistance to the Crayfish Plague in Some American, Japanese, and European Crayfishes. Institute of Freshwater Research, Drottningholm, report number 49:202-209.

Villalobos, Alejandro

- 1944. Estudios de los cambarinos mexicanos, II: Dos Especies Neuvas del Género Paracambarus. Anales del Instituto de Biologia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 15 (1):161-174, 2 plates.
- 1954. Estudios de los cambarinos mexicanos, XII: Revisión de las especies afines a Procambarus mexicanus (Erichson), con descripción de nuevas formas. Anales del Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 25 (1 y 2):299-579, 19 plates.
- 1955. Cambarinos de la Fauna Mexicana. Pages 1-290, 62 plates. Tesis, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Vogt, Carl

1851. Zoologische Briefe. Naturgeschichte der lebenden und untergegangenen Thiere, für Lehrer, höhere Schulen und Gebildete aller Stäude. 719 pages, 925 figures. Frankfurt: Literarische Unstalt (J. Rütten).

White, Adam

1842. Description of an Orthopterous Insect, and Two New Species of Crustacea, from New Zealand in the Collection of the British Museum. Pages 78-79 in Gray, John Edward, Zoological Miscellany, 1831-1844.

Williams, Austin B.

- 1952. Six New Crayfishes of the Genus Orconectes (Decapoda: Astacidae) from Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science, 55(2):330-351, 48 figures.
- 1954. Speciation and Distribution of the Crayfishes of the Ozark Plateaus and Ouachita Provinces. University of Kansas Science Bulletin, 36(12):803-918, 253 figures.

Williamson, E. B.

1907. Notes on the Crayfish of Wells County, Indiana, with Description of a New Species. 31st Annual Report of the Department of Geology and Natural Resources of Indiana, 1906:749-763, 1 plate.

Word, Benjamin H., Jr., and Horton H. Hobbs, Jr.

1958. Observations on the Testis of the Crayfish Cambarus montanus acuminatus Faxon. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society, 77(4):435-450, 26 figures.

Publication in Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology

Manuscripts for serial publications are accepted by the Smithsonian Institution Press, subject to substantive review, only through departments of the various Smithsonian museums. Non-Smithsonian authors should address inquiries to the appropriate department. If submission is invited, the following format requirements of the Press will govern the preparation of copy.

Copy must be typewritten, double-spaced, on one side of standard white bond paper, with $1\frac{1}{2}$ " top and left margins, submitted in ribbon copy with a carbon or duplicate, and accompanied by the original artwork. Duplicate copies of all material, including illustrations, should be retained by the author. There may be several paragraphs to a page, but each page should begin with a new paragraph. Number consecutively all pages, including title page, abstract, text, literature cited, legends, and tables. The minimum length is 30 pages, including typescript and illustrations.

The title should be complete and clear for easy indexing by abstracting services. Taxonomic titles will carry a final line indicating the higher categories to which the taxon is referable: "(Hymenoptera: Sphecidae)." Include an abstract as an introductory part of the text. Identify the author on the first page of text with an unnumbered footnote that includes his professional mailing address. A table of contents is optional. An index, if required, may be supplied by the author when he returns page proof.

Two headings are used: (1) text heads (boldface in print) for major sections and chapters and (2) paragraph sideheads (caps and small caps in print) for subdivisions. Further headings may be worked out with the editor.

In taxonomic keys, number only the first item of each couplet; if there is only one couplet, omit the number. For easy reference, number also the taxa and their corresponding headings throughout the text; do not incorporate page references in the key.

In synonymy, use the short form (taxon, author, date:page) with a full reference at the end of the paper under "Literature Cited." Begin each taxon at the left margin with subsequent lines indented about three spaces. Within an entry, use a period-dash (.—) to separate each reference. Enclose with square brackets any annotation in, or at the end of, the entry. For references within the text, use the author-date system: "(Jones, 1910)" and "Jones (1910)." If the reference is expanded, abbreviate the data: "Jones (1910:122, pl. 20: fig. 1)."

Simple tabulations in the text (e.g., columns of data) may carry headings or not, but they should not contain rules. Formal tables must be submitted as pages separate from the text, and each table, no matter how large, should be pasted up as a single sheet of copy.

Use the metric system instead of, or in addition to, the English system.

Illustrations (line drawings, maps, photographs, shaded drawings) can be intermixed throughout the printed text. They will be termed Figures and should be numbered consecutively; however, if a group of figures is treated as a single figure, the components should be indicated by lowercase italic letters on the illustration, in the legend, and in text references: "Figure 9b." If illustrations (usually tone photographs) are printed separately from the text as full pages on a different stock of paper, they will be termed Plates, and individual components should be lettered (Plate 9b) but may be numbered (Plate 9: figure 2). Never combine the numbering system of text illustrations with that of plate illustrations. Submit all legends on pages separate from the text and not attached to the artwork. An instruction booklet for the preparation of illustrations is available from the Press on request.

In the bibliography (usually called "Literature Cited"), spell out book, journal, and article titles, using initial caps with all words except minor terms such as "and, of, the." For capitalization of titles in foreign languages, follow the national practice of each language. Underscore (for italics) book and journal titles. Use the colon-parentheses system for volume, number, and page citations: "10(2):5-9." Spell out such words as "figures," "plates," "pages."

For free copies of his own paper, a Smithsonian author should indicate his requirements on "Form 36" (submitted to the Press with the manuscript). A non-Smithsonian author will receive 50 free copies; order forms for quantities above this amount with instructions for payment will be supplied when page proof is forwarded.

