hal sureau of Standards Library, N.W. Blds

FEB 2 8 1956

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE • Sinclair Weeks, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS • A. V. Astin, Director

Reference book not to be taken from the Library.

# Sound Insulation of Wall, Floor, and Door Constructions

Richard V. Waterhouse



# Supplement to Building Materials and Structures Report 144

Issued February 27, 1956

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. Price 5 cents

.

.

### Sound Insulation of Wall, Floor, and Door Constructions

Richard V. Waterhouse

The sound insulation figures are presented for 13 building structures that were measured at the National Bureau of Standards in the period March 1954 to June 1955. The details are also given of a change in the method of measuring impact sound insulation.

### 1. Introduction

Building Materials and Structures Report 144,<sup>1</sup> issued February 25, 1955, included the results of sound insulation measurements made at the National Bureau of Standards before March 1954. This supplement includes the results of sound insulation measurements made on 13 building constructions in the period March 1954 to June 1955. It also includes details of a change in the method of measuring impact sound insulation.

The test panels measured 5½ by 7 ft except for the door, which was 2½ by 7 ft. The measurements were made in accordance with the "Tentative Recommended Practice for Laboratory Measurement of Airborne Sound Transmission Loss of Building Floors and Walls," Number E 90-50T, of the American Society for Testing Materials. Further details of the measuring technique are given on p. 8 of the publication BMS144 referred to above. Most of the measurements cited here were performed by Howard S. Bowman and Henry J. Leinbach Jr., of the Sound Section.

Differences of one or two db in the average transmission loss of panels are not generally of practical significance, as the human ear can hardly detect such changes. Average transmission loss results are generally repeatable within  $\pm 1$  db for any particular panel and within  $\pm 2$  db for nominally identical constructions. Estimates of the absolute accuracy of sound transmission loss data are difficult to make; experimental conditions necessarily depart somewhat from the ideal conditions assumed in the theory, and it is not easy to judge how much such departures affect the results. However, we estimate that even in extreme cases the measured average transmission loss figure would be within  $\pm 5$  db of the true figure.

### 2. Impact Noise Measurement

The *impact noise levels* given in the results for the floor panels 711 and 712 give a measure of the relative insulation of the panels to impact noises (as opposed to airborne noises), and are obtained as follows:

When a standard tapping machine is operating on the test panel, the sound pressure level is measured in the room below the panel in octave bands over the frequency range 125 to 4,000 cps. The sound level in each octave band is corrected to a standard room absorption of 10 square meters, the room absorption at the various frequencies being known. From these corrected levels the total corrected sound pressure is computed, and this is called the *impact noise level*. The correction procedure follows a proposed international standard, see Acoustics Group Symposium, p. 36, The Physical Society, London (1949).

The tapping machine used in the measurements has five metal hammers, each weighing 0.84 lb, which fall a distance of 2.7 in. onto the test panel. The hitting surface of each hammer is flat and circular, with a diameter of 1 inch. The hammers are spaced about 3 in. on centers, and the machine gives one tap every 1/4th second.

The *impact noise level* used here supersedes the *tapping loss* used previously as a measure of impact noise insulation, for example in BMS Report 144. The *impact noise level* is considered more architecturally significant than the *tapping loss* since the latter depends on a quantity which is largely irrelevant, namely the sound-pressure level in the room containing the tapping machine. It is the sound level to be expected in the room below the impact which is important when a floor-ceiling structure is being chosen.

The differences in the methods of measuring the *impact noise level* and the *tapping loss* are such that the conversion of numerical values from one to the other is not feasible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C., price 40 cents.

### **Panel Descriptions**



PANEL 616. 3- by 30- by 84-in. wooden door, of special sound proof construction; sponge-rubber gasket around sides and top, approximately 1/2-in. square cross-section, chamfered on hinge side; and a sponge-rubber drop closure at bottom of door.



Staggered 2- by 4-in. wood studs, each set 16 in. on centers and spaced 8 in. on centers with ½ in. offset from the other set. On each side 3%-in. plain gypsum lath and ½ in. of gypsum vermiculite plaster. Same as panel 237 except airspace filled with vermiculite fill. Density of fill was 6.3 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> or 1.8 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> of panel PANEL 237. PANEL 238. area.



### PANEL 313/317

- PANEL 313. 3- by 12- by 30-in. hollow gypsum blocks. On one side 4-in. sanded gypsum plaster; on other side resilient clips, spaced 18 in. on centers vertically and 16 in. on centers horizontally, held vertical 34-in. metal channel 16 in. on centers, expanded metal laih and ½ in. of sanded gypsum plaster. PANEL 317. Same as panel 313 except 4- by 12- by 30-in. gypsum blocks were used.



- PANEL 314. 3- by 12- by 30-in. hollow gypsum blocks. On one side ½-in. sanded gypsum plaster; on other side resilient clips, stapled 16 in. on centers horizontally and vertically, held 3/-in. gypsum lath and ½ in. of sanded gypsum plaster.
- PANEL 318. Same as panel 314 except 4- by 12- by 30-in. gypsum blocks were used.

TABLE 1.	Sound	Transmission	Loss a	and Im	pact Noise	Levels o	f Some	Building	Structures
----------	-------	--------------	--------	--------	------------	----------	--------	----------	------------

		Transn	nission lo	oss in dee	ibels for v	arious fre	queneies	(eyeles pe	r seeond)			Impact	
number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	2,000	4,000	Average 125 to 4,000	Weight lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	noise level,ª db	
						DOOR							
616	31	27	32	30	33	31	29	37	41	32	7		
						WALL Vooden S	S tuds						
237	36	37	33	39	42	40	42	41	51	40	11. 1		
238	37	37	37	42	49	49	₩ 50	52	66	47	12.9		
					Holl	ow Gypsi	ım Tile					4	
313	38	40	37	40	44	48	51	56	59	46	27		
317	45	44	44	47	50	53	55	56	59	50	31		
								•					
314	42	41	43	46	48	51	53	56	60	49	24		
318	43	41	42	46	52	52	56	55	61	50	26		

\* See definition in text.

### Panel Descriptions—Continued



PANEL 315

PANEL 315. 3- by 12- by 30-in. hollow gypsum blocks. On one side ½ in. of sanded gypsum plaster; on other side resilient clips (same as clips of panels 313, and 317 above) stapled 24 in. on centers horizontally, 28 in. on centers vertically, held 34-in. horizontal metal channels and 1/2-in. long-length gypsum lath wire-tied to the channels, and REF. <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> in. of sanded gypsum plaster.



- PANEL 316. 3- by 12- by 30-in. hollow gypsum blocks. On one side ½ in. of sanded gypsum plaster; on other side slotted resilient metal runners, placed horizontally 25 in. on centers, ½-in. long-length gypsum lath wire-tied to the runners, ¾ in. of sanded gypsum plaster.
  PANEL 319. Same as panel 316 except 4- by 12- by 30-in. gypsum blocks were used.



PANEL 438





- PANEL 711. 2- by 10-in. wood joists 16 in. on centers, cross braces with 1- by 3-in. wooden bridging strips bisecting length ANEL 711. 22 by 10-th. bood forst 10 th. on centers, close with 12 by 5th. booded brighty strips biseding tength of panel. On ceiling side ½-in. gypsum wall-board, joints filled and taped; on floor side ¾-in. subflooring, rosin paper, and floating floor consisting of ½- by 2-in. fiberboard 16 in. on centers, trapezoidal sleepers (1½ in. wide at top, 2 in. at bottom, 1½ in. thick) 16 in. on centers, <sup>25</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-in. oak flooring.
   PANEL 712. Same as panel 711 except airspace in floating floor filled with vermiculite fill. Density of fill was 7.8 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> or 1.2 b/ft<sup>2</sup> or and floating floor site and floating floor filled with vermiculite fill.
- $lb/ft^2$  of panel area.

		Transn	nission lo	oss in dec	eibels for	various fr	equencies	(cycles pe	r second)			T
Panel number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	2,000	4,000	Average 125 to 4,000	Weight lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	Impact noise level, <sup>a</sup> db
					WA Hollow G	LLS—Co ypsum Ti	ontinued le—Contin	nued				
315	48	43	41	43	47	48	44	55	62	48	27	
316	41	40	40	43	46	44	46	58	61	47	26	
319	41	41	40	43	49	49	49	57	62	48	26	
						Steel Stu	ıds					
438	27	26	28	32	39	41	44	38	49	36	9	
						FLOOF	RS					
711	30	20	29	30	37	40	42	50	56	37	11. 4	88
712	24	21	30	33	40	41	46	52	58	38	12. 6	84

### TABLE 1. Sound Transmission Loss and Impact Noise Levels of Some Building Structures-Continued

<sup>a</sup> See definition in text.

DFC 2 9 1958

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE . Sinclair Weeks, Secretary NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS . A. V. Astin, Director

r · · · · · be taken franktik

# Sound Insulation of Wall, Floor, and Door Constructions

Richard V. Waterhouse, Raymond D. Berendt, and

Richard K. Cook



## 2d Supplement to Building Materials and Structures Report 144

Issued December 1, 1958

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. Price 10 cents The Library of Congress has cataloged this publication as follows:

### U. S. National Bureau of Standards.

Sound insulation of wall and floor constructions, prepared by the staff of the Sound Section. Washington, U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1955.

iv, 66 p. illus., diagrs., tables. 26 cm. (*Its* Building materials and structures report 144)

"Supersedes BMS17 and its Supplements 1 and 2."

—— Sound insulation of wall, floor, and door constructions <sub>1</sub>by<sub>1</sub> Richard V. Waterhouse. Supplement to Building materials and structures report 144. Washington, U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1956.

5 p. diagrs., tables. 26 cm.

TA410.U48 no. 144 Suppl. a

(Continued on next card) 58-60083

## U. S. National Bureau of Standards. Sound insulation of wall and floor constructions ... 1955. (Card 2)

— Sound insulation of wall, floor, and door constructions [by] Richard V. Waterhouse, Raymond D. Berendt, and Richard K. Cook. 2d supplement to Building materials and structures report 144. Washington, U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1958.

13 p. diagrs., tables. 26 cm.

#### TA410.U48 no. 144 Suppl. b

1. Soundproofing. I. Waterhouse, Richard V. II. Title. (Series)

TA410.U48 no. 144 \*693.83

58-60083

Library of Congress

### Sound Insulation of Wall, Floor, and Door Constructions

Richard V. Waterhouse, Raymond D. Berendt, and Richard K. Cook

Sound insulation data are presented for building structures measured at the National Bureau of Standards in the period July 1955 to December 1956. These figures constitute the second supplement to the data published in Building Materials and Structures Report 144. The accuracy of the figures is discussed. Details are also given of a new average figure, called the *Energy Average*, for the over-all sound insulation of a panel, and why it is preferable to the *Decibel Average*, which it is designed to supersede.

### 1. Introduction

Building Materials and Structures Report 144,<sup>1</sup> issued in February 1955, and its first supplement, issued in February 1956, included the results of sound insulation measurements made at the National Bureau of Standards through June 1955. This second supplement gives results for 28 building constructions obtained in the period July 1955 through December 1957.

The test panels measured approximately 5½ by 7 ft except for most of the doors, whose dimensions are given with the panel descriptions. The measurements were made in accordance with the American Standard Recommended Practice for Laboratory Measurement of Air-Borne Sound Transmission Loss of Building Floors and Walls, Number Z24.19–1957, except for the fact that the average sound transmission loss values given here are based on the 11 frequencies cited, and not only on the 9 given in Z24.19. Further details of the measuring technique are given on page 8 of BMS144 referred to above. The measurements cited here were made with the assistance of H. J. Leinbach, Jr., and J. W. Harris of the Sound Section.

A new feature of the results presented here is that sound transmission loss (STL) figures at the frequencies 1,500 and 3,000 cps are included for most of these panels and the corresponding averages include the values at these frequencies. The advantage of including STL values at these two frequencies is that the frequency range 125 to 4,000 cps is then covered throughout at approximately equal intervals of ½ octave. Thus a curve of STL versus frequency for a given panel can be drawn more accurately. Also, the averages derived from the values at the different frequencies are not unduly weighted towards the low end of the frequency range, as was the case before the two new frequencies were added.

### 2. New Average Figure for the Sound Insulation of a Panel

Another new feature of the results presented here is the use of a new average, called an *Energy Average*, for the over-all sound insulation of a panel. Most people agree that it is better to use several figures to cover the entire range of frequencies, but that a single figure for the sound insulation is a practical necessity for the architect and builder.

The problem of finding a representative single figure for the sound insulation of a panel, based on the values at the different frequencies, is complicated by the fact that the insulation the panel affords in practice depends on the spectrum of the noise present; also the sensitivity of the ear varies with the frequency of the sound.

For this single figure the arithmetic average of the decibel STL values at the different frequencies has often been used in the past, but it is generally agreed to be far from perfect.

The chief objection to the decibel average is that it does not rank panels correctly as regards their useful over-all sound insulation. This is a serious objection. It can happen, and has happened, that a construction with a high decibel average has been used on a building job when a less expensive construction, with a lower decibel average, would have given as good over-all sound insulation.

For this reason, the decibel average has been superseded by a more accurate index in most countries that are concerned with the measurement of sound insulation.<sup>2</sup>

The reason the decibel average is defective is that when the decibel figures are averaged, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C., price 40 cents <sup>2</sup> See Handbook of Noise Control, edited by C. M. Harris, published by the McGraw-Hill Book Co., ch. 40.

higher STL values get too strong a weighting. This can be seen from the fact that the decibel average of the STL figures for a hypothetical panel can become arbitrarily large if only one of the STL figures is increased. This is a quite unrealistic state of affairs, since increasing one STL value corresponds to reducing the energy that would be transmitted by a panel at that frequency, and as this energy is reduced it should influence the average less, and not become the controlling factor.

The new method used here is to average the energy ratios to which the decibel figures correspond, instead of the decibel figures themselves. The average of the ratios is then converted back into the decibel form. The averaging of ratios in this way removes the arbitrary logarithmic weighting of the decibel average.

The result is called an *Energy Average*, as opposed to a decibel average. It can also be called an *average noise reduction* since it is equal to the decibel reduction in level suffered by randomly incident white-noise of equal energy per octave in passing through the panel. The noise considered here is of equal energy per octave because the frequency bands used in the STL measurements under discussion are evenly spaced on an octave scale, e. g., at  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{3}$  octave intervals, and each band is given equal weight in the average. Thus the *Energy Average* has a clear and definite physical interpretation.

It is recommended that when a single figure is used for comparing the over-all sound insulation of two papels, the *Energy Average* should be used rather than the decibel average. In some cases this will reverse the rank ordering of two panels. For example, in table 3, page 9, panel 239 has a higher *decibel average*, but a lower *Energy Average* than panel 243; here panel 243 would give better over-all sound insulation.

In table 2, page 3 of this Supplement, are given the *Energy Averages* for the panels listed in BMS144, excepting panels tested before 1932; for the latter, the available data were insufficient for useful average values to be obtained. When STL values at 1,500 and 3,000 cps only were lacking, these were interpolated.

Table 1 shows how the *Energy Average* is obtained, and compares it to the decibel average for a panel whose STL figures increase 5 db per octave. This behavior is typical of many panels.

A further discussion of the *Energy Average* is given in the Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, vol. 29, p. 544 (1957); in the latter paper the *Energy Average* is referred to as the *Linear Average*.

TABLE 1. Sample calculation of the Energy Average

Frequency	STL	Energy ratio
<i>cps</i> 125	$\begin{array}{c} db\\ 20, 0\\ 22, 5\\ 25, 0\\ 27, 5\\ 30, 0\\ 32, 5\\ 35, 0\\ 37, 5\end{array}$	$1.000 \times 10^{-2} \\ 0.562 \\ .316 \\ .178 \\ .100 \\ .056 \\ .032 \\ .018 \\ 0.$
2,000	37. 5 40. 0 42. 5 45. 0 357. 5 32. 5 db	. 018 . 010 . 006 . 003 2. 281×10 <sup>-2</sup> 26. 8 db

### 3. Accuracy of Results

Differences of 1 or 2 db in the Energy Averages of panels are not generally of practical significance, as the human ear can hardly detect such changes. Our measurements of the Energy Average are generally repeatable within  $\pm 1$  db for any particular panel and within  $\pm 2$  db for nominally identical constructions.

Estimates of the absolute accuracy of sound transmission loss data are difficult to make; experimental conditions necessarily depart somewhat from the ideal conditions assumed in the theory, and it is not easy to judge how much these departures affect the results. The chief sources of error are (1) the imperfect diffusion of the sound fields used in the test measurement, (2) the arbitrary nature of the edge condition of the test panel, and (3) the limited size of the test panel. However, we estimate that in most cases the measured Energy Average STL figure would be within  $\pm 5$  db of the true figure for a large panel, i. e., a panel large enough for its lowest vibrational mode to be well below the lowest measuring frequency.

With regard to the accuracy of the measured STL values at the various frequencies, the values at 125, 175, and 250 cps are less accurate than those at the other frequencies, since the rooms on either side of the test panel are too small in volume to give a sufficiently diffuse sound field at low frequencies.

The above comments on repeatability and accuracy refer only to results obtained at the National Bureau of Standards. These results may differ by several decibels from those obtained on nominally identical panels at other laboratories.

T.	ABLE 2.	Energy 2	Averages.	for	some o	f the	panels	listed	in	BMS	\$14	1
												- T -

Panel number	Energy average	Decibel average	Panel number	Energy average	Decibel average	Panel number	Energy average	Decibel average	Panel number	Energy average	Decibel average
136A 136B 137 137A 137B	$db \\ 43 \\ 51 \\ 41 \\ 46 \\ 37$	<sup>db</sup> 53 61 53 54 55	179A 179B 179C 179D 180A	${}^{db}_{24}_{24}_{25}_{23}_{30}$	$db \\ 31 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 34 \\ 38$	306 307 308 309 310	$db \\ 38 \\ 50 \\ 48 \\ 38 \\ 41$	$db \\ 39 \\ 53 \\ 49 \\ 40 \\ 46$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		dh 38 37 38 39 40
144 145 146 147A 147B	$     \begin{array}{r}       41 \\       40 \\       32 \\       38 \\       41     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       46 \\       45 \\       35 \\       42 \\       47     \end{array} $	180B 180C 180D 180D 180E 180E	$     \begin{array}{r}       40 \\       42 \\       43 \\       38 \\       38 \\       38     \end{array} $	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 46 \\ 49$	311 312 313 314 315	$     \begin{array}{r}       19 \\       41 \\       42 \\       46 \\       46 \\       46     \end{array} $	$20 \\ 41 \\ 46 \\ 49 \\ 48$	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$39 \\ 43 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 38$	
148. 149. 150. 151. 152.	$35 \\ 41 \\ 49 \\ 39 \\ 44$	$     \begin{array}{r}       41 \\       48 \\       52 \\       50 \\       51     \end{array} $	181 182 201 202 203	$28 \\ 28 \\ 32 \\ 30 \\ 27$	$28 \\ 30 \\ 38 \\ 35 \\ 33$	316 317 318 319 401	$     \begin{array}{r}             44 \\             48 \\           $	$     \begin{array}{r}       47 \\       50 \\       50 \\       48 \\       41     \end{array} $	513. 514. 515 516 517	$40 \\ 41 \\ 40 \\ 34 \\ 33$	42 42 41 37 35
153 154 155 155 156 157	$     \begin{array}{r}       40 \\       38 \\       38 \\       47 \\       48     \end{array} $	$47 \\ 40 \\ 41 \\ 54 \\ 55$	204 205 206 207 208	$30 \\ 34 \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 26$	$37 \\ 41 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 28$	402 403 404 405 406 	$37 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 33 \\ 36$	$     \begin{array}{r}       42 \\       39 \\       38 \\       39 \\       40     \end{array} $	518 519 520 521 522	$35 \\ 31 \\ 40 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 35$	39 34 41 37 37
158 159 160A 160B 160C	$     \begin{array}{r}       47 \\       32 \\       53 \\       52 \\       51     \end{array} $	55 33 55 55 54	209 210 211 212 212 213	$35 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 35 \\ 48$	$     \begin{array}{r}       40 \\       30 \\       24 \\       40 \\       51     \end{array} $	407. 408. 409. 410. 411.	$36 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \end{cases}$	$     \begin{array}{r}             41 \\             42 \\             42 \\           $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37 36 32 28 36	39 38 33 33 38
160D 160E 160F 160G 160H	$     \begin{array}{r}       49 \\       49 \\       48 \\       48 \\       47     \end{array} $	53 53 51 51 48	214 215 216 217 218	$21 \\ 44 \\ 27 \\ 30 \\ 31$	$26 \\ 46 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 39$	412 413 414 415 416	$     \begin{array}{r}       42 \\       35 \\       44 \\       36 \\       42     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       47 \\       42 \\       46 \\       42 \\       44     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 528 \\ 601 \\ 602 \\ 603 \\ 604 \\ \end{array}$	$29 \\ 26 \\ 31 \\ 34 \\ 35$	30 30 33 36 36
160I 161 162 163 164	$     \begin{array}{r}       44 \\       36 \\       34 \\       28 \\       35     \end{array} $	46     38     42     36     44	219 220 221 222 222 223	$34 \\ 49 \\ 40 \\ 51 \\ 49$	$     \begin{array}{r}       43 \\       52 \\       46 \\       54 \\       52     \end{array} $	417 418 419 420 421	$38 \\ 45 \\ 40 \\ 50 \\ 49$	$     \begin{array}{r}             44 \\             47 \\           $	605 606 607 612 613	$28 \\ 23 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 38$	$30 \\ 24 \\ 38 \\ 35 \\ 40$
165 166A 166B 167 168	$34 \\ 33 \\ 36 \\ 49 \\ 53$	39 37 38 52 55	224 225 226 227 228	$28 \\ 32 \\ 34 \\ 34 \\ 34 \\ 34 \\ 34$	$35 \\ 38 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 39$	422 423 424 425 426	$49 \\ 45 \\ 42 \\ 51 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ $	$52 \\ 51 \\ 46 \\ 52 \\ 47$	616 701 702 703 704	$31 \\ 32 \\ 37 \\ 35 \\ 34$	$32 \\ 45 \\ 50 \\ 45 \\ 47 $
170_ 171A_ 171B_ 171C_ 172_	$33 \\ 35 \\ 32 \\ 31 \\ 34$	36 38 35 36 39	229 232 233 234 235	$37 \\ 33 \\ 39 \\ 29 \\ 42$	$     \begin{array}{r}       40 \\       34 \\       40 \\       34 \\       43     \end{array} $	427 428 429 430 431	$     \begin{array}{r}       48 \\       38 \\       54 \\       42 \\       40     \end{array} $	$51 \\ 41 \\ 55 \\ 47 \\ 44$	705 706 707 708 709	$51 \\ 52 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 46$	$56 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 42 \\ 49$
173A 173B 173C 173C 174 175	$36 \\ 32 \\ 10 \\ 31 \\ 49$	$37 \\ 35 \\ 11 \\ 35 \\ 50$	236 237 238 301 302	$     \begin{array}{r}       43 \\       39 \\       42 \\       38 \\       36     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \\ 40 \\ 47 \\ 42 \\ 41 \end{array}$	433 434 435 436 436 437	$     \begin{array}{r}       41 \\       39 \\       34 \\       39 \\       34 \\       34     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       44 \\       42 \\       39 \\       43 \\       39 \\       39 \\       39 \\       \end{array} $	710 711 712 801 802	48     29     29     41     46	$51 \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 43 \\ 49$
176 177 178	$     \begin{array}{r}       46 \\       28 \\       42     \end{array}   $	$\begin{array}{c} 48\\ 36\\ 46\end{array}$	303 304 305	$36 \\ 38 \\ 42$	$38 \\ 39 \\ 43$	438 501 502	32 32 32	$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 34\\ 38\end{array}$	803 804 805		48 47 51



PANEL 617. Bottom closure of door.

- 24-in. by 3-ft by 7-ft solid wooden door, 2 drop felts built into bottom; two tubular soft rubber gaskets mounted PANEL 617.
- on door jamb gave a double seal at top and sides. Seals similar to those of panel 617; 2½-in. by 3-ft by 7-ft sound-insulating wooden door consisting of a 25%- by 70%-in. panel set centrally in both 3- by 7-ft faces; panels separated from outer frame by ¼-in. rubber, as PANEL 618. shown in drawing for panel 624.



PANEL 624.

- PANEL 624. 3-in. by 7-ft by 3-ft sound-insulating door of construction similar to that in panel 618; screwed onto the jamb at top and both sides were wooden strips to which were glued and lap-jointed a soft rubber gasket with a cor-rugated front edge. Sponge-rubber drop closure at bottom.
- PANEL 639. 25/-in. by 3-ft by 7-ft sound-insulating wooden door of double construction: 2 interlocking frames separated by felt sheet; door hung in split frame with felt insert; seals similar to those of panel 617 except 2 drop-felts were replaced by a double tubular rubber gasket which closed onto a tapered wooden threshold.



PANEL 640. Bottom closure of door.

- Door same as in panel 639; seals same as in panel 617. PANEL 640.
- 4-in. by 3-ft by 7-ft sound-insulating wooden door; construction and seals similar to those of panel 640. PANEL 641. PANEL 642. Same as panel 641 except the door was rigidly plastered into the jamb on both sides.

Panel number Decibel Energy Ubff														
Panel number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	1,500	2,000	3,000	4,000	Decibel average 125 to 4,000	Energy average 125 to 4,000 a	Weight Ib/ft <sup>2</sup>
							DOOI	RS						
617	28 27	31 32	27 33	22 31	28 36	27 35	28 32		34 39		32 34	29 33	ь 28 ь 32	5. 6 6. 8
624	28 31	32 30	34 35	34 29	38 36	38 34	37 36	39	42 44	47	43 48	36 37	<sup>b</sup> 35 34	7. 3
$640_{$	$     \begin{array}{r}       34 \\       34 \\       39     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       30 \\       32 \\       37     \end{array} $	$35 \\ 37 \\ 41$	$     \begin{array}{c}       30 \\       36 \\       40     \end{array} $	$32 \\ 39 \\ 45$	$32 \\ 43 \\ 47$	$33 \\ 42 \\ 50$	36 $45$ $54$	$42 \\ 51 \\ 56$	$42 \\ 53 \\ 58$	38     53     62	35 42 48	33 38 43	6. 6 12:3 12:3

TABLE 3. Sound Transmission Loss of Some Building Structures

See definition and discussion of this term in the text.
 Energy Average of sound transmission loss (STL) figures at 11 frequencies; STL figures at 1,500 and 3,000 cps were interpolated.



### PANEL 645.





PANEL 643. Bottom closure of door.

PANEL 643. 5<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-in. by 4-ft 8-in. by 6-ft 2-in. metal-clad door, which closed at top and sides against a 2- by 2-in. steel angle lined with %-in. thick sponge-rubber; in addition a double rubber gasket provided a seal around all four edges of the door. The bottom edge of the door also carried a rubber strip which closed against a half oval metal threshold. The 4-in. internal airspace of door was filled with pieces of cork.



PANEL 644.

PANEL 644. Metal-clad double door, 5<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-in. by 6-ft 2-in. by 4-ft 8-in. over-all; top, side, and bottom seals similar to those of panel 643 except at the bottom a sponge-rubber drop-closure replaced the two tubular gaskets. Where the 2 doors met, the vertical crack was covered by a flange projecting from 1 door; the flange and door-edge were lined with %-in. sponge-rubber; an extra seal where the doors met was given by a tubular rubber gasket. Cork fill as in panel 643.

1.	ABLE	3. N	ouna	Iran	smiss	sion L	1088 OJ 1	Some Di	unaing .	Structur	es—Co	ntinuea		
	-			Tr	ansmis	ssion lo	ss (in dec	ibels) at fi	requencies	s (cycles p	er second	)		
Panel number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	1,500	2,000	3,000	4,000	Decibel average 125 to 4,000	Energy average 125 to 4,000 *	Weight lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
					DO	ORS-	Conti	nued						
645	- 33	30	31	28	31	31	33	38	38	42	42	34	32	13. (
7					Re	efrige	rator-T	ype Do	ors	<u>i</u> i				
				- 10						60			12	
643	- 41	32	40	43	49	50	52	54	57	58	64	49	43	3
0±±	_ 36	32	41	44	48	52	53	54	- 26	- 38	01	43	40	

### TABLE 3. Sound Transmission Loss of Some Building Structures-Continued



- PANEL 646. 6-ft 4-in. by 4-ft 10-in. accordion type folding door consisting of 20 vertical panels forming 10 pleats on each side, held on a folding metol frame; inside this outer case were 2 composition-boord liners ½-in. thick; rubber sweep-strips covered the top and bottom cdges of the door on both sides. The vertical edge was lined with a ½-in. round rubber bumper and closed onto 2 sponge-rubber strips.
- PANEL 646A. Same as ponel 646 except the two inner liners were removed, and the sweep strips at top and bottom on one side only.



PANEL 240.

PANEL 239. 2- by 4-in. wood stude 16 in. on centers; 3/8-in. gypsum lath, 1/2-in. sanded gypsum plaster. PANEL 240. 2- by 4-in. wood stude 16 in. on centers; 3/8-in. tapcred-edge gypsum wallboard; joints cemented and toped.



PANEL 241. 2- by 4-in. wood stude 16 in. on centers; 2 layers of 5%-in. to pered-edge gypsum wallboard; joints cemented and taped.



- PANEL 242. 2- by 3-in. wood studs 16 in. on centers, staggered; ½-in. tapered-edge gypsum wallboard; joints cemented and taped.
- PANEL 243. 2- by 3-in. wood studs 16 in. on centers, staggered; %-in. tapered-edge gypsum wallboord; joints cemented ond taped.



PANEL 244.

PANEL 244. 2- by 3-in. wood studs 16 in. on centers, staggered; 2 layers of %-in. tapered-edge gypsum wollboord noiled on; joints cemented and taped.

Та	BLE	3. S	ound	Tran	.s miss	ion I	Loss of S	Some Br	uilding ,	Structur	es—Co	ntinued		
Panel number	125	175	250	T1 350	ransmi	ssion lo	oss (in dec 1,000	ibels) at fi 1,500	requencies 2,000	s (cycles p 3,000	er second 4,000	) Decibel average 125 to 4,000	Energy average 125 to 4,000 *	Weight lb/ft <sup>-2</sup>
			<u></u>		:	D00	RS—Co	ontinue	1					
						F	olding I	Doors						
646	20	18	18	19	24	29	31	31	32	32	35	26	22	2. 0
646A	18	16	15	15	16	20	25	26	27	29	32	22	19	1. 1
			٢	Wallb	oard	or Pl	WALI aster-La	LS ath on '	Wood S	tuds				
							1				8	NOAR A		
239 240	42 30	34 22	32 31	38 30	42 37	47 39	49 44	$46 \\ 43$	50 39	58 45	62 52	45 37	39 31	14. 2 7. 2
241	33	28	30	36	37	40	45	. 42	44	50	57	40	35	12. 9
242 243	36 43	31 44	36 37	40 38	40 40	46 46	47 48	50 47	52 41	41 44	45 50	42 43	38 42	6. 2 7. 7
244	41	41	41	43	46	48	49	45	41	49	54	43	44	13. 4

.



#### PANEL 245.

PANEL 245. 2- by 3-in. wood stude 16 in. on centers, staggered; 3/2-in. perforated gypsum lath, 16 by 48 in.; 1/2-in. sanded gypsum plaster.



### PANEL 440.

- PANEL 440. Five layers of ¾-in. cold-rolled steel channel, wire-tied together, formed core of panel. The center layer consisted of 2 pieces of channel 2-in. long placed vertically 40 in. apart and wire-tied between 2 horizontal lengths of channel. Vertical channels 16 in. on centers were wire-tied to the horizontal channels; ¾-in. gypsum lath, 16-in. wide, was wire-tied to vertical channels; ½-in. sanded gypsum plaster.
- PANEL 441. 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-in. steel trusses, 16 in. on centers; on each side spring clips 16 in. on centers fastened to trusses; <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-in. metal rod wire-tied to clips; <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-in. metal lath wire-tied to metal rods; <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-in. sanded gypsum plaster. Same as panel 429 in BMS144, p. 50.



PANEL 250.

PANEL 250. 23- by 23- by 134-in. hollow plastic panels, 332-in. thick skin; set into 2 in. aluminum H beams; each face of each panel contained 800 horn-shaped depressions.





PANEL 713. 2- by 10-in. joists, 16 in. on centers; 1- by 6-in. subfloor, tongue and groove; 2%2- by 4-in. finish floor, fir; ceiling side 2 layers of 3/-in. gypsum wallboard; joints cemented and taped.
 PANEL 714. Same as panel 713 except on ceiling side 3/-in. perforated gypsum lath; ½-in. sanded gypsum plaster.

		_												
Panel number           Transmission loss (in decibels) at frequencies (cycles per second)           Panel number         Decibel         Energy         Wei           125         175         250         500         700         1 000         1 500         2 000         3 000         4 000         average         average														
Panel number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	1,500	2,000	3,000	4,000	Decibel average 125 to 4,000	Energy average 125 to 4,000 a	Weight lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
						WAL	LS-C	ontinue	d			·		
		W	allbo	ard o	r Pla	ster-I	Lath on	Wood	Studs-	-Contin	ued			
245	48	48	46	47	48	47	-48	43	48	55	59	49	47	15.6
				Gyp	sum	Lath	Wire-T	ied to S	steel St	uds				
	1													
	Ι.													
		}												
440	46	42	44	48	54	55	55	48	50	57	62	51	48	13. 5
er 6	1		1	Ex]	pand 	ed-M	etal Lat	th on St	teel Stu	.ds			4	
441	49	48	49	51	53	56	59	53	58	63	63	55	52	18. (
						M	liscellar	neous						
												1		
250	_ 20	18	16	19	24	26	32	<mark>36</mark>	32	28	29	25	22	1. 5
		1					FLOO	RS					1	
				1		1	Vood Jo	oists		1				
											1			
		1												
713	_ 28	27	28	34	32	36	44	48	52	51	55	40	32	12.
714	_ 33	32	26	32	33	39	41	45	48	56	62	41	33	15. 0

### TABLE 3. Sound Transmission Loss of Some Building Structures-Continued



**PANEL 806.** 

PANEL 806. 2-in. concrete on <sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-in. rib lath; 6- by 6-in. wire mesh embedded in concrete; 12-in. open-web metal joists, 24-in. on centers; nailing channels wire-tied to lower side of joists; 5/8-in. wallboard; joints cemented and taped.



**PANEL 627.** 

PANEL 627. Section of outer part of aircraft fuselage, aluminum alloy; outer skin 0.090 in. thick. The panel included some stiffening members not shown in drawing.



PANEL 628.

PANEL 628. Section of outer part of aircraft fuselage, aluminum alloy; outer skin 0.090-in. thick. The panel included some stiffening members not shown in drawing.



PANEL 629. Section of outer part of aircraft fuselage, aluminum alloy; outer skin 0.080-in. thick; inner layer 0.063-in. thick. The panel included some metal stiffening members not shown in drawing.

$T_{\rm A}$	ble 3	. S	ound	Tran	smiss	ion L	ioss of S	ome Bu	ilding S	Structure	s—Cor	ntinued		
	1	Transmission loss (in decibels) at frequencies (cycles per second)												
Panel number	125	175	250	350	500	700	1,000	1,500	2,000	3,000	4,000	Decibel average 125 to 4,000	Energy average 125 to 4,000 *	Weight 1b/ft <sup>2</sup>
					I	FLOC	ORS—C	ontinue	ed					
					Cone	rete 8	Slab on	Metal	Studs					
	40	38	40	43	46	48	51	54	53	51	54	47	44	34
	0						1					1.0		
				PAI	<b>3</b> Τ 0	F A	IRCRA	FT FU	SELAC	ΞE				
	-													

<sup>b</sup> 20

2.6

806\_\_\_

627\_\_

16 14

18 24

<sup>b</sup> 20 628\_ 2.6  $\mathbf{24}$ b 20 2.5 629. • Energy Average of sound transmission loss (STL) figures at 11 frequencies; STL figures at 1,500 and 3,000 cps were interpolated. WASHINGTON, April 15, 1958.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

•