

6396  
6396  
1157  
#6396

**NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT**

6396

Test of Precast Concrete Shower Receptors

by

James O. Bryson

Report to

Federal Housing Administration



**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS**

## THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

### Functions and Activities

The functions of the National Bureau of Standards are set forth in the Act of Congress, March 3, 1901, as amended by Congress in Public Law 619, 1950. These include the development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement and the provision of means and methods for making measurements consistent with these standards; the determination of physical constants and properties of materials; the development of methods and instruments for testing materials, devices, and structures; advisory services to Government Agencies on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; and the development of standard practices, codes, and specifications. The work includes basic and applied research, development, engineering, instrumentation, testing, evaluation, calibration services, and various consultation and information services. A major portion of the Bureau's work is performed for other Government Agencies, particularly the Department of Defense and the Atomic Energy Commission. The scope of activities is suggested by the listing of divisions and sections on the inside of the back cover.

### Reports and Publications

The results of the Bureau's work take the form of either actual equipment and devices or published papers and reports. Reports are issued to the sponsoring agency of a particular project or program. Published papers appear either in the Bureau's own series of publications or in the journals of professional and scientific societies. The Bureau itself publishes three monthly periodicals, available from the Government Printing Office: The Journal of Research, which presents complete papers reporting technical investigations; the Technical News Bulletin, which presents summary and preliminary reports on work in progress; and Basic Radio Propagation Predictions, which provides data for determining the best frequencies to use for radio communications throughout the world. There are also five series of nonperiodical publications: The Applied Mathematics Series, Circulars, Handbooks, Building Materials and Structures Reports, and Miscellaneous Publications.

Information on the Bureau's publications can be found in NBS Circular 460, Publications of the National Bureau of Standards (\$1.25) and its Supplement (\$0.75), available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

Inquiries regarding the Bureau's reports should be addressed to the Office of Technical Information, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C.

# NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT

1000-30-4810

April 28, 1959

NBS REPORT

6396

Test of Precast Concrete Shower Receptors

by

James O. Bryson

To

Federal Housing Administration

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
intended for use within  
to additional evaluation  
listing of this Report, in  
the Office of the Director  
however, by the Government  
to reproduce additional

Approved for public release by the  
Director of the National Institute of  
Standards and Technology (NIST)  
on October 9, 2015.

or progress accounting documents  
is formally published it is subjected  
to copying, reproduction, or open-literature  
permission is obtained in writing from  
NBS. Such permission is not needed,  
except for documents specially prepared if that agency wishes



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS



# Test of Precast Concrete Shower Receptors

by

James O. Bryson

Precast concrete shower receptors were tested to determine the flexural strength under a concentrated load, watertightness while under load, and the quality of the concrete. The tests were made with five different types of receptors, two of which were reinforced and the other three were plain. One of the three plain receptors contained a lightweight concrete.

The receptors were tested in duplicate and had average flexural strengths for the five different types ranging from 913 lb to 1255 lb. The reinforced receptors failed to show evidence that the reinforcement served to increase their strength. All receptors were watertight up to their maximum loads.

## 1. Introduction

At present there are no standard specifications for precast concrete shower receptors. In view of this, the Federal Housing Administration in a letter dated December 3, 1958, requested the National Bureau of Standards to perform tests to aid in determining the structural characteristics needed in a precast concrete shower receptor to allow its use without the added protection of a metal shower pan.

### 1.1 Scope

Data on the properties of the receptors were obtained by performing tests which fall into three categories. They are: a strength test of the receptors under a concentrated load, a water permeability determination during the load test, and miscellaneous tests to determine the quality of the concrete.

The concentrated load test was to represent the most severe load condition that could possibly be found in service, such as a heavy man standing in the center of a receptor supported at diagonally opposite corners. The water permeability test was to determine the point at which the receptor would cease being watertight under the applied load. Tests to determine the water absorption and the compressive strength of the concrete



fall in the miscellaneous tests category. An examination of the receptors in "as received" condition and determination of the location and type of reinforcement were also included among the miscellaneous tests.

## 2. Test Specimens

The precast concrete shower receptors were supplied by the Fiat Metal Manufacturing Company, Inc., of Long Island City, New York. They were 36 in. square receptors with a shoulder on the border approximately 1 1/4-in. wide and were bound with a sheet metal strip standing 4-in. high. All of the receptors received for testing were of the same model which was called "Sierra" by the manufacturer.

Five types of receptors were included in this test. The types were classified by material composition as follows:

1. Dense concrete, plain.
2. Dense concrete, reinforced.
3. Terrazzo surfacing on dense concrete base, plain.
4. Terrazzo surfacing on dense concrete base, reinforced.
5. Terrazzo surfacing on lightweight concrete base, plain.

The receptors were to be load tested at the age of 28 days and 2-in. cubes cast from the same batch of materials were to be tested to determine the compressive strength of the concrete. However, the shipping schedule followed by the manufacturer was such that the age of the receptors at the time of test varied from 27 to 31 days. Since the manufacturer did not supply cubes representing all the receptors tested, it became necessary to drill cores from the receptors to determine the compressive strength of the concrete.





#### 4. Test Procedures

##### 4.1 Concentrated load test

Several factors were given consideration in establishing the concentrated load test procedure. The specimens had to be supported above the lower platen of the testing machine high enough to allow observation of the underside throughout the test. The testing machine which had sufficient clearance to admit a 36- by 36-in. receptor was a 600,000 lb capacity machine which had a minimum load range of 0 - 30,000 lb. For this reason, a 5,000 lb capacity load cell was used to measure the applied load with a greater precision than could be attained with the indicator of the testing machine.

The receptors were supported on diagonally opposite corners, with the point of support at a distance 3 in. in from each corner. This provided a bearing length of 6 in. at each support. The flexural span, from center to center of the supports, was  $44 \frac{7}{8}$  in. Heavy steel frames supported the specimens approximately 30 in. above the platen of the testing machine with  $\frac{3}{4}$  in. square aluminum bearing bars set in plaster on the steel frames to serve as line reactions. Strips of leather were placed between the test specimens and the bearing bars to compensate for any unevenness along the bearing surface. The load was applied through a vertical steel pipe  $5 \frac{3}{4}$  in. in outside diameter and  $\frac{1}{4}$  in. in wall thickness. This pipe was set in plaster in the center of the specimen. The ends of the loading pipe were machined parallel to each other and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. A  $\frac{1}{2}$  in. thick steel plate was placed on top of the loading pipe to support the load cell which made contact with the head of the testing machine.

The deflection of the specimen under load was measured with two 0.001 in. dial gages symmetrically located about the center of the span at a distance of  $1 \frac{3}{4}$  in. from the surface of the loading pipe. Figure 1 shows the over-all view of the test setup.

The load was applied to the specimens at a rate slow enough to allow dial gage readings to be made without interrupting the application of the load. The loading rate, in terms of the deflection of the specimen was 0.003 in. per min (or about 100 lb per min). Dial gage readings were made at loads of 250 lb, 500 lb and at 100 lb increments thereafter.



An exception to this was the first specimen tested (plain, dense concrete base with terrazzo surfacing) where the readings were made at 100 lb increments from zero load.

During the loading test the water permeability of the specimen was determined by filling it with water after applying a sealer at the base of the loading pipe which covered the drain opening. The load observed at the instant when the water penetrated the specimen and became visible on the underside was recorded, as was the load at first crack and the maximum load. Water did not become visible on the underside of the specimen at first crack in all cases. In all specimens but two, it became necessary to continue deflecting the specimen to permit leakage to occur, and during this process the load, having passed the maximum value, began to drop off. For this reason, the loads recorded in Table 1 as loads corresponding to the first leak are in most cases less than the loads at first crack.

#### 4.2 Compressive strength test

Three small cores, approximately 1 in. in diameter, were drilled from each receptor after the completion of the load test to be used for determining the compressive strength of the concrete. The cores were drilled from the receptors near the shoulder where the thickness of the concrete was about 2 in., giving a height to diameter ratio of about 2. The ends of the cores were capped with a high strength gypsum plaster which was allowed to harden over night. The compressive load was applied to the cores at a rate that would cause failure within 1 to 2 minutes.

#### 4.3 Water absorption test

Segments taken from the receptors subjected to the concentrated load test were used to determine the water absorption of the concrete. The specimens were approximately 25 sq in. in surface area with thicknesses equal to the depths of the respective receptors.

The specimens were dried in a ventilated oven at a temperature of 110° C for 72 hr after which they were cooled to room temperature and weighed. They were then submerged in a tank filled with clean tap water at room temperature and allowed to soak for 24 hr. At the end of the soaking period the specimens were taken from the tank, blotted with a damp towel and immediately weighed. The absorption is reported as a percentage of the dry weight.



#### 4.4 Miscellaneous examinations

Upon receipt of the receptors, one of each type was visually examined for over-all general appearance and shrinkage cracks. In addition, one receptor with a terrazzo surface and one of plain concrete were stored in the laboratory and filled with water for one week. The two receptors were observed daily to detect any damp spots showing on the undersides.

Following the concentrated load test each receptor was broken up to determine: (1) the type and location of reinforcement (if any); (2) the depth of the receptor base at the shoulder and at the center; (3) the thicknesses of the materials in the composite units at the shoulder and at the center.

### 5. Results

The receptors were square and conformed to the specified dimensions within a very small tolerance. There were no surface defects or shrinkage cracks visible on any of the five types of receptors "as received." This was also indicated by the examination of those receptors which were permitted to hold water for one week. After one week's test, neither the terrazzo surfaced receptor nor the plain concrete receptor showed any dampness on their bottom surfaces.

The test results for the individual receptors are given in Table 1. In the concentrated load tests, the maximum loads varied from a low of 913 lb (average for the two plain dense concrete receptors) to a high of 1270 lb (average for the two plain terrazzo-dense concrete receptors). All of the receptors failed in the same manner which was characterized by a sudden drop-off of the load without warning with visible cracks appearing simultaneously. Two exceptions to this were the plain terrazzo-dense concrete receptor No. 2 and the reinforced terrazzo-dense concrete receptor No. 2. In these cases the cracks were detected just before the maximum loads were reached. In all cases the cracks first appeared in the center of the receptors at the edge of the drain and proceeded in the directions of the free corners of the specimens. The crack patterns on all the receptors were essentially the same and are illustrated by figures 2 and 3 which show the cracks in a plain (non reinforced) receptor and a reinforced receptor, respectively.



The relationship between the center deflection and the applied load for each receptor is illustrated by the curves in figure 4. Although the term center deflection is used, the points of measurements of the deflections were  $4 \frac{5}{8}$  in. from the center of the specimens. However, because of the proximity of the points at which the measurements were made to the center of the receptor, this approximation is believed to cause no serious error.

During the load tests the receptors developed leaks either at the maximum load or at some stage of loading beyond that producing the maximum load. That is, most of the receptors did not develop leaks immediately when the maximum load was reached, but only upon developing considerably larger deflections than those observed at the maximum loads. Thus, only the plain terrazzo-dense concrete receptors Nos. 1 and 2 allowed water penetration at the maximum loads, while all other receptors maintained their watertightness up to some stage of loading beyond the maximum load.

## 6. Discussion

An examination of the data indicates that there was no clearly defined relationship between the compressive strength of the cores removed from the receptors and the strengths of the receptors as tested. It is noted that while the compressive strengths of the cores ranged from 1990 to 6140 psi, the maximum loads supported by the receptors varied from 825 to 1375 lb.

It is believed that the sheet metal strip located around the edges of the receptors contributed greatly to the bending strength of the units. This belief is supported by the fact that the two plain receptors composed of lightweight concrete which showed relatively low compressive strengths, supported practically the same loads as the plain dense concrete receptors and the reinforced dense concrete receptors.

The failure of the reinforced receptors to support greater loads than the plain receptors might be due to the fact that the wires in the welded fabric made an angle of  $45^\circ$  with the direction of the flexural span, thereby reducing considerably the effectiveness of the fabric as structural reinforcement.

If we assume that the design load on the receptor is produced by an individual weighing 300 lb, then the ratios of the maximum load to the design load will be found to range from 2.8 to 4.6.





7.

The receptors can be rated as watertight up to the maximum load. Only two receptors, the plain terrazzo-dense concrete receptors, developed leaks as soon as the maximum loads were reached. All other receptors developed leaks only upon reaching considerably larger deflections than those observed at the maximum loads.



Table 1. Properties of Shower Receptors

Description of receptors	Thickness of Slab		Age of receptor when tested	Maximum concentrated load	Load at appearance of first crack		Absorption 24 hr cold water (avg. of 3)	Ratio of maximum load to design load **	Reinforcement
	Location	in.			lb	psi			
Terrazzo surfacing on dense concrete base, plain	At center	1 1/2	1 1/16	1 9/16	1160	1160	4300	6.0	None
	At shoulder	3/4	1 5/16	2 1/16	1160	1160	4300	3.9	None
Terrazzo surfacing on dense concrete base, plain	At center	1 1/2	1 1/4	1 3/4	1375	1350	6140	6.6	None
	At shoulder	13/16	1 3/8	2 3/16	1375	1375	6140	4.6	None
Terrazzo surfacing on dense concrete base, reinforced	At center	1	1 1/16	1 11/16	1175	1175	5130	8.8	2- by 2-in., 16-ga wire fabric, 1/2 in. from bottom surface
	At shoulder	1 3/8	5/8	2	1175	1175	5130	3.9	2- by 2-in., 16-ga wire fabric, 1/2 in. from bottom surface
Dense concrete, plain	At center	1 1/4	1 3/4	2 1/16	1270	1250	4140	9.0	Same as above
	At shoulder	1 1/4	3/4	2	1270	1250	4140	4.2	Same as above
Dense concrete, plain	At center	1 3/4	1 3/4	1 3/4	825	825	5640	7.4	None
	At shoulder	2	2	2	825	825	5640	2.8	None
Dense concrete, reinforced	At center	1 3/4	1 3/4	1 3/4	1000	1000	3570	7.4	None
	At shoulder	2 1/16	2 1/16	2 1/16	1000	1000	3570	3.3	None
Dense concrete, reinforced	At center	1 13/16	1 13/16	1 13/16	1025	1025	3850	8.6	2- by 2-in., 16-ga wire fabric, 5/8 in. from bottom surface
	At shoulder	2 3/16	2 3/16	2 3/16	1025	1025	3850	3.4	2- by 2-in., 16-ga wire fabric, 5/8 in. from bottom surface
Terrazzo surfacing on light-weight concrete base, plain	At center	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	880	880	1590	10.8	None
	At shoulder	1 1/2	1 1/2	2	880	880	1590	2.9	None
Terrazzo surfacing on light-weight concrete base, plain	At center	1/2	1 1/16	1 9/16	1000	1000	2130	10.6	None
	At shoulder	5/8	1 5/16	1 15/16	1000	1000	2130	3.3	None

\* Value observed after the maximum load had been passed and the load started to drop off while the specimen continued to deflect.  
 \*\* The design load is assumed to be an individual weighing 300 lb.



# U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Lewis L. Strauss, *Secretary*

## NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

A. V. Asth, *Director*



## THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The scope of activities of the National Bureau of Standards at its headquarters in Washington, D. C., and its major laboratories in Boulder, Colo., is suggested in the following listing of the divisions and sections engaged in technical work. In general, each section carries out specialized research, development, and engineering in the field indicated by its title. A brief description of the activities, and of the resultant publications, appears on the inside front cover.

### WASHINGTON, D. C.

**Electricity and Electronics.** Resistance and Reactance. Electron Devices. Electrical Instruments. Magnetic Measurements. Dielectrics. Engineering Electronics. Electronic Instrumentation. Electrochemistry.

**Optics and Metrology.** Photometry and Colorimetry. Optical Instruments. Photographic Technology. Length. Engineering Metrology.

**Heat.** Temperature Physics. Thermodynamics. Cryogenic Physics. Rheology. Engine Fuels. Free Radicals Research.

**Atomic and Radiation Physics.** Spectroscopy. Radiometry. Mass Spectrometry. Solid State Physics. Electron Physics. Atomic Physics. Neutron Physics. Radiation Theory. Radioactivity. X-rays. High Energy Radiation. Nucleonic Instrumentation. Radiological Equipment.

**Chemistry.** Organic Coatings. Surface Chemistry. Organic Chemistry. Analytical Chemistry. Inorganic Chemistry. Electrodeposition. Molecular Structure and Properties of Gases. Physical Chemistry. Thermochemistry. Spectrochemistry. Pure Substances.

**Mechanics.** Sound. Mechanical Instruments. Fluid Mechanics. Engineering Mechanics. Mass and Scale. Capacity, Density, and Fluid Meters. Combustion Controls.

**Organic and Fibrous Materials.** Rubber. Textiles. Paper. Leather. Testing and Specifications. Polymer Structure. Plastics. Dental Research.

**Metallurgy.** Thermal Metallurgy. Chemical Metallurgy. Mechanical Metallurgy. Corrosion. Metal Physics.

**Mineral Products.** Engineering Ceramics. Glass. Refractories. Enameled Metals. Concreting Materials. Constitution and Microstructure.

**Building Technology.** Structural Engineering. Fire Protection. Air Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration. Floor, Roof, and Wall Coverings. Codes and Safety Standards. Heat Transfer.

**Applied Mathematics.** Numerical Analysis. Computation. Statistical Engineering. Mathematical Physics.

**Data Processing Systems.** SEAC Engineering Group. Components and Techniques. Digital Circuitry. Digital Systems. Analog Systems. Application Engineering.

• Office of Basic Instrumentation.

• Office of Weights and Measures.

### BOULDER, COLORADO

**Cryogenic Engineering.** Cryogenic Equipment. Cryogenic Processes. Properties of Materials. Gas Liquefaction.

**Radio Propagation Physics.** Upper Atmosphere Research. Ionospheric Research. Regular Propagation Services. Sun-Earth Relationships. VHF Research. Ionospheric Communication Systems.

**Radio Propagation Engineering.** Data Reduction Instrumentation. Modulation Systems. Navigation Systems. Radio Noise. Tropospheric Measurements. Tropospheric Analysis. Radio Systems Application Engineering. Radio-Meteorology.

**Radio Standards.** High Frequency Electrical Standards. Radio Broadcast Service. High Frequency Impedance Standards. Electronic Calibration Center. Microwave Physics. Microwave Circuit Standards.

