results of this review in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a). If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

## Cash Deposit Instructions

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Cobre will be equal to weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 26.03 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.[13] These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

## Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

[13] *See Order.*

Dated: September 16, 2026.

**Scot Fullerton,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

## Appendix

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–19273 Filed 9–18–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

---

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

**[C–533–951, C–489–859]**

## Welded Stainless Line and Pressure Pipe From India and the Republic of Türkiye: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Countervailing Duty Investigations

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Applicable September 21, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shane Subler at (202) 482–6241 (India); and Samuel Evans at (202) 482–2420 (Republic of Türkiye (Türkiye)), AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On August 4, 2026, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated countervailing duty (CVD) investigations of imports of welded stainless line and pressure pipe (welded pipe) from India and Türkiye.[1] Currently, the preliminary determinations in these CVD investigations are due no later than October 8, 2026.

## Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On September 10, 2026, the petitioners[2] submitted timely requests that Commerce postpone the preliminary CVD determinations.[3] The petitioners stated that they request postponement due to the complexity of the issues and the number of subsidy programs under investigation, and because the respondents have yet to file responses to Commerce's initial questionnaires.[4]

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioners have stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determinations, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations to no later than 130 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated, *i.e.,* December 14, 2026.[5] Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations.

[1] *See Welded Stainless Line and Pressure Pipe from India and the Republic of Türkiye: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations,* 91 FR 51432 (August 10, 2026).

[2] The petitioners are Bristol Pipe and Tube Inc., Felker Brothers Corporation, and Primus Pipe and Tube Inc.

[3] *See* Petitioner's Letters, "Request to Postpone the Preliminary Determination," dated September 10, 2026.

[4] *Id.*

[5] Postponing the preliminary determination to 130 days after initiation would place the deadline on Saturday, December 12, 2026. Commerce's practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend or Federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. *See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended,* 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: September 17, 2026.

**Scot Fullerton,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2026–19263 Filed 9–18–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A–580–880]**

### Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that heavy walled rectangular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from the Republic of Korea (Korea) were not sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) September 1, 2023, through August 31, 2024.

**DATES:** Applicable September 21, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kayden Jenson, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0967.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 20, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review and invited comments from interested parties.[1] This review covers two companies: HiSteel Co., Ltd. (HiSteel) and Dong-A-Steel Co., Ltd. (DOSCO). On April 10, 2026, we received case briefs from Nucor Tubular Products Inc. (the petitioner).[2] On August 17, 2026, we received case briefs from HiSteel, DOSCO, and Kukje Steel Co., Ltd. (Kukje Steel).[3] On August 24, 2026, we received rebuttal comments from HiSteel, DOSCO, and the petitioner.[4] On July 8, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline of these final results by 53 days, until September 9, 2026.[5] On September 1, 2026, Commerce again extended the deadline of these final results by 7 days, until September 16, 2026.[6]

For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[7] Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain heavy walled rectangular welded steel pipes and tubes from Korea. For a full description of the scope of the *Order, see* Issues and Decision Memorandum.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case briefs filed by interested parties in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and are listed in an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System, which is available to registered users at *http://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results,* and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce changed the rate for Kukje Steel to 0.00 percent, adjusted the window period in SAS for HiSteel, disregarded certain transactions between DOSCO and SeAH and affiliated companies, and corrected the indirect selling expense ratio calculation in regard to SeAH's home market sales.[8]

**Rate for Non-Individually Examined Company**

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review.

Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally ''an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.'' Where the dumping margin for individually examined respondents are all zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use ''any reasonable method to establish the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.''

In this review, we calculated a dumping margin of zero percent for both mandatory respondents. Following the guidance provided in the SAA[9] using the ''expected method (*i.e.,* to weight average the zero and *de minimis* margins, and margins determined pursuant to facts available),'' the dumping margin calculated for the non-selected company, Kukje Steel, is zero percent.

**Final Results of Administrative Review**

As a result of this review, we determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins

---

[1] *See Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024,* 91 FR 13588 (March 20, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

[2] *See* Petitioner's Letter, ''Nucor Tubular's Case Brief,'' dated April 10, 2026.

[3] *See* HiSteel's Letter, ''HiSteel's Case Brief,'' dated August 17, 2026; DOSCO's Letter, ''Case Brief of Dong-A-Steel Co. Ltd.,'' dated August 17, 2026; and Kukje Steel's Letter, ''Kukje Steel's Case Brief,'' dated August 17, 2026.

[4] *See* HiSteel's Letter, ''HiSteel's Rebuttal Brief,'' dated August 24, 2026; *see also* DOSCO's Letter, ''DOSCO's Rebuttal Brief,'' dated August 24, 2026; and Petitioner's Letter, ''Nucor Tubular's Rebuttal Brief,'' dated August 24, 2026.

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated July 8, 2026.

[6] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated September 1, 2026.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea; 2023–2024,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[8] *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[9] *See* Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, H.R. Rep. No. 103–316, vol. 1 (1994) (SAA) at 873.