HQ H203555, dated April 23, 2012, concerned the country of origin of certain oscilloscopes. CBP considered five manufacturing scenarios. In the various scenarios, the motherboard and the power controller of either Malaysian or Singaporean origin were assembled in Singapore with subassemblies of Singaporean origin into oscilloscopes. CBP found that under the various scenarios, there were three countries under consideration where programming and/or assembly operations took place, the last of which was Singapore. CBP noted that no one country's operations dominated the manufacturing operations of the oscilloscopes. As a result, while the boards assembled in Malaysia were important to the function of the oscilloscopes, and the U.S. firmware and software were used to program the oscilloscopes in Singapore, the final programming and assembly of the oscilloscopes was in Singapore; hence, Singapore imparted the last substantial transformation, and the country of origin of the oscilloscopes was Singapore.

In the instant matter, the ultrasound system is comprised of various components and subassemblies from several countries, including the United States, Mexico, and other TAA-designated and non-TAA-designated countries. For example, the E-box and cart subassemblies are assembled in Mexico. The display monitor is sourced from a TAA-designated country while the control panel is manufactured in the United States. Also in the United States, the components of the transducer subassembly are assembled together in a relatively minor operation. While the essential function of the transducer subassembly is imparted by the sensor sourced from a non-TAA-designated country, the transducer subassembly is further integrated and assembled together in the United States with various subassemblies sourced either from TAA-designated countries or manufactured in the United States, including the E-box and cart subassemblies, the display monitor, control panel, and other components and accessories to produce the ultrasound system in the United States. The completely assembled ultrasound systems are then programmed with a final, updated version of the proprietary system software in the United States. As previously noted, the processing in Mexico takes approximately 450 minutes while the processing in the United States takes approximately 410 minutes. We further note that along with a combined 860 minutes of processing that occurs in the United States or Mexico (a TAA-designated country), approximately 53% to 56% of the material cost of the subject merchandise are costs of subcomponents that are manufactured in the United States or a TAA-designated country. You state that prior to the final assembly, programming, and testing operations performed in the United States, none of the subassemblies is able to carry out the functions of an ultrasound system. Thus, the subject ultrasound system is capable of producing diagnostic images only after these subassemblies are assembled together and programmed with software in the United States.

As in HQ H219597, the loading of the proprietary software in the United States, which was partially developed in the United States where the object code was also compiled, is necessary to ''translate'' the signals from the transducer into images to be displayed on the monitor. In addition, both in HQ H219597 and in the instant manufacturing scenario, the core subassemblies are manufactured or sourced from various countries but are unable to carry out the functions of an ultrasound system until they are assembled together and programmed in the United States. Unlike in HQ H219597, a greater and more equivalent amount of manufacturing operations occurs across two countries, the United States and Mexico, compared to manufacturing operations occurring in non-TAA-designated countries. Nevertheless, the ultrasound system is not functional until final assembly and programming in the United States that occurs after manufacturing operations in Mexico are complete. Therefore, based on the totality of the circumstances, we find that the last substantial transformation occurs in the United States, the location where the final assembly and proprietary software programming occur. Prior to these operations in the United States, the products are unable to carry out the functions of ultrasound systems. Thus, the assembly and programming in the United States create a new product that is capable of providing diagnostic information. Consequently, we find that the last substantial transformation occurs in the United States, and therefore, the Philips Ultrasound System is not a product of a foreign country or instrumentality designated pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 2511(b). As to whether the Philips Ultrasound System produced in the United States qualifies as a ''U.S.-made end product,'' you may wish to consult the relevant government procuring agency and review *Acetris Health, LLC* v. *United States,* 949 F.3d 719 (Fed. Cir. 2020).

Holding

Based on the facts and analysis set forth above, the country of origin of the Philips Ultrasound System will be considered the United States for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

Notice of this final determination will be given in the **Federal Register**, as required by 19 CFR 177.29. Any party-at-interest other than the party which requested this final determination may request, pursuant to 19 CFR 177.31, that CBP reexamine the matter anew and issue a new final determination. Pursuant to 19 CFR 177.30, any party-at-interest may, within 30 days of publication of the **Federal Register** Notice referenced above, seek judicial review of this final determination before the U.S. Court of International Trade.

Sincerely,

Alice A. Kipel,

Executive Director, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade.

[FR Doc. 2026–14310 Filed 7–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[OMB Control Number 1615–0012]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection: Petition for Alien Relative

AGENCY: U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment upon this proposed revision of a currently approved collection of information. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the information collection notice is published in the **Federal Register** to obtain comments regarding the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.* the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, and the actual information collection instruments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 14, 2026.

ADDRESSES: All submissions received must include the OMB Control Number 1615–0012 in the body of the letter, the agency name and Docket ID USCIS–2007–0037. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal website at *https://www.regulations.gov* under e-Docket ID number USCIS–2007–0037.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Regulatory Coordination Division, John R Pfirrmann-Powell, Acting Deputy Chief, telephone number (240) 721–3000 (This is not a toll-free number. Comments are not accepted via telephone message). Please note contact information provided here is solely for questions regarding this notice. It is not for individual case status inquiries. Applicants seeking information about the status of their individual cases can check Case Status Online, available at the USCIS website at *https://www.uscis.gov,* or call the USCIS Contact Center at 800–375–5283 (TTY 800–767–1833).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments

You may access the information collection instrument with instructions or additional information by visiting the Federal eRulemaking Portal site at: *https://www.regulations.gov* and entering USCIS–2007–0037 in the search box. Comments must be submitted in English, or an English translation must be provided. All submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at *https://www.regulations.gov,* and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to consider limiting the amount of personal information that you provide in any voluntary submission you make to DHS. DHS may withhold information provided in comments from public viewing that it determines may impact the privacy of an individual or is offensive. For additional information, please read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of *https://www.regulations.gov.*

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Petition for Alien Relative.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection:* I–130; USCIS.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary* Individuals or households. Form I–130 allows U.S. citizens, U.S. nationals (who are not U.S. citizens), or lawful permanent residents of the United States to petition on behalf of certain alien relatives (beneficiaries) who wish to immigrate to the United States. Form I–130A is for the beneficiary of Form I–130 to certify certain information contained on Form I–130.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection I–130 is 472,753 and the estimated hour burden per response is 2.3 hours; the estimated total number of respondents for the information collection I–130A is 438,179 and the estimated hour burden per response is 0.4 hours; the estimated total number of respondents for the information collection I–130 E-filing is 472,753 and the estimated hour burden per response is 1.6 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total estimated annual hour burden associated with this collection is 2,019,008 hours.

(7) *An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is $378,202,400.

Dated: July 13, 2026.

John R. Pfirrmann-Powell,

Acting Deputy Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2026–14384 Filed 7–15–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–97–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS–R7–NWRS–2026–1156; FXRS12630700000–267–FF07R08000; OMB Control Number 1018–0141]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Alaska Big Game Guide Use Survey

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing to renew an information collection with revisions.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before September 14, 2026. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see **ADDRESSES**, below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date. To ensure your comment is received and considered, you must submit it using one of the methods identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. Comments submitted through any method not authorized in this document, or sent to an address not listed here, will not be considered.

ADDRESSES:

Comment submission: All submissions must include the docket number [FWS–R7–NWRS–2026–1156] for this document. You must submit comments using one of the following methods:

- *Electronic submission:* Federal eRulemaking Portal at: *https://www.regulations.gov.* In the Search box, enter FWS–R7–NWRS–2026–1156, which is the docket number for this action. Then click the Search button. On the resulting page, you may submit a comment by clicking on ''Comment.'' Please ensure that you have found the correct document before submitting your comments.
- *U.S. mail:* Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, Attn: Docket No. FWS–R7–NWRS–2026–