regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 7, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Discussion of the Methodology
V. Currency Conversion
VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Non-Individually Examined Companies Receiving a Separate Rate

1. Bengbu Modern Environmental Co., Ltd.
2. Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd.
3. Datong Hongdi Carbon Co., Ltd.
4. Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
5. Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co., Ltd.
6. Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co., Ltd.; Jacobi Carbons AB; Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.; Jacobi Adsorbent Materials (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.
7. Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
8. Ningxia Mineral & Chemical Limited
9. Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd.
10. Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd.
11. Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
12. Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd.

Appendix III

Companies Determined To Be Part of the China-Wide Entity

1. Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
2. Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd.
3. Shanxi DMD Corp.
4. Shanxi Tianxi Purification Filter Co., Ltd.
5. Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd.
6. Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2026–14028 Filed 7–10–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–106]

Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Recission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Yixing Pengjia Technology Co., Ltd. (Pengjia Technology) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), April 1, 2024, through March 31, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to 42 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable July 13, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 20, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on wooden cabinets and vanities and components thereof (wooden cabinets) from the People's Republic of China (China).[1] On June 9, 2025, Commerce selected KM Cabinetry Co., Ltd. (KM Cabinetry) and Suzhou Siemo Wood Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Suzhou Siemo) as the mandatory respondents in this review.[2] On July 1, 2025, Suzhou Siemo informed Commerce of its withdrawal from participation as a mandatory respondent in this administrative review.[3] On July 7, 2025, Commerce selected Pengjia Technology as an additional mandatory respondent to replace Suzhou Siemo.[4] On July 16, 2025, due to non-participation by KM Cabinetry, Commerce selected The Ancientree Cabinet Co., Ltd. (Ancientree) as an additional mandatory respondent to replace KM Cabinetry.[5] On August 7, 2025, Ancientree informed Commerce of its withdrawal from participation as a mandatory respondent in this administrative review.[6] On August 18, 2024, the American Kitchen Cabinet Alliance (the petitioner) timely withdrew its request for review of two companies, Goldenhome Living Co., Ltd. (Goldenhome) and Shanghai Zifeng International Trading Co., Ltd. (Zifeng International).[7] Also on August 18, 2025, MasterBrand Cabinets, LLC (MasterBrand), the domestic interested party, withdrew its request for review of 37 companies.[8]

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.[9] Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.[10] On February 18, 2026, Commerce extended the time limit for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 106 days.[11] On April 30, 2026, Commerce extended the time limit for issuing the preliminary results of this review by an additional seven days.[12] Accordingly, the deadline for these preliminary results became June 30, 2026. On June 29, 2026, Commerce again extended the time limit for issuing the preliminary results of this review by

[1] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 90 FR 21459 (May 20, 2025); *see also Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order,* 85 FR 22126 (April 21, 2020) (*Order*).

[2] *See* Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated June 9, 2025.

[3] *See* Suzhou Siemo's Letter, "Withdrawal of Participation as Mandatory Respondent," dated July 1, 2025.

[4] *See* Memorandum, "Additional Respondent Selection," dated July 7, 2025.

[5] *See* Memorandum, "Additional Respondent Selection," dated July 16, 2025.

[6] *See* Ancientree's Letter, "Notice of Intent Not to Participate," dated August 7, 2025.

[7] *See* Petitioner's Letter, "Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated August 18, 2025.

[8] *See* MasterBrand's Letter, "Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated August 18, 2025.

[9] *See* Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated November 14, 2025.

[10] *See* Memorandum, "Tolling of all Case Deadlines," dated November 24, 2025.

[11] *See* Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated February 18, 2026.

[12] *See* Memorandum, "Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2026.

an additional seven days.[13] Accordingly, the deadline for these preliminary results is now July 7, 2026.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.[14] A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the scope of this *Order* is wooden cabinets and vanities from China. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation. As noted above, the petitioner and MasterBrand Cabinets, LLC timely withdrew its request for review of Goldenhome and Zifeng International and no other party requested an administrative review of these companies. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Further, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[15] Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.[16] Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct CBP to liquidate at the calculated antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.[17] Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the instant review regarding the companies listed in Appendix II because there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise from these companies during the POR and invited interested parties to comment.[18] We received no comments. In the absence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise from these companies during the POR, we are rescinding this administrative review for the companies listed in Appendix II, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Accordingly, Commerce is rescinding the review with respect to 42 companies that had no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR or for which a review request was timely withdrawn.[19]

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Because China is a non-market economy country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, we calculated normal value in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Separate Rates

We preliminarily determine that, in addition to Pengjia Technology, the following three companies not individually examined are eligible for a separate rate in this administrative review: Jiangsu Xiangsheng Bedtime Furniture Co., Ltd., Xiamen Golden Huanan Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., and Zhongshan NU Furniture Co., Ltd.[20] The statute does not address the establishment of an estimated weighted-average dumping margin to be applied to individual companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulations at 19 CFR 351.109(g) state that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act. Section 735(c)(5) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f) articulate a preference that Commerce is not to calculate an all-others rate using rates for individually examined respondents which are zero, *de minimis* or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, Commerce's usual practice in determining the rate for separate rate respondents not selected for individual examination has been to weight average the weighted-average dumping margins for the individually examined companies, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available.[21]

For the preliminary results of this review, Commerce has determined the estimated dumping margin for Pengjia Technology to be 7.48 percent.[22] For the reasons explained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we are assigning this rate to the non-examined companies which qualify for a separate rate in this review.

[13] *See* Memorandum, ''Third Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated June 29, 2026.

[14] *See* Memorandum, ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof from the People's Republic of China; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

[15] *See, e.g., Alloy and Certain Carbon Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025,* 91 FR 13282, 13283 (March 19, 2026).

[16] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[17] *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co.* v. *United States,* 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019) (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that ''{w}hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended.''; *see also Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019,* 86 FR 36102 (July 8, 2021), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 77 FR 65532, 65533 (October 29, 2012) (noting that ''for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate'').

[18] *See* Memorandum, ''Intent to Rescind Review, in Part,'' dated August 19, 2025.

[19] *See* Appendix II.

[20] *See* Preliminary Decision Memorandum at the ''Separate Rates'' section for more details.

[21] *See Longkou Haimeng Mach. Co.* v. *United States,* 581 F.Supp.2d 1344, 1357–60 (CIT 2008) (affirming Commerce's determination to assign a 4.22 percent dumping margin to the separate-rate respondents in a segment where the three mandatory respondents received dumping margins of 4.22 percent, 0.03 percent, and zero percent, respectively); *see also Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,* 74 FR 36656, 36660 (July 24, 2009).

[22] *See* Memorandum, ''Preliminary Analysis Memorandum,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

The China-Wide Entity

Commerce's policy regarding conditional review of the China-wide entity applies to this administrative review.[23] Under this policy, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, the entity is not under review, and the entity's rate (*i.e.,* 251.64 percent) [24] is not subject to change.

For the reasons explained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce considers the following six companies for which a review was requested with suspended entries of subject merchandise to be part of the China-wide entity: KM Cabinetry, Suzhou Siemo, Ancientree, Oppein Home Group Inc., Shouguang Fushi Wood Co., Ltd., and Taishan Oversea Trading Co., Ltd.[25] These companies either withdrew their participation, did not respond to Commerce's request for information, or did not file no-shipment certifications.

Preliminary Results of Review

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period April 1, 2024, through March 31, 2025:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Yixing Pengjia Technology Co., Ltd	7.48
Non-Individually Examined Companies Receiving a Separate Rate	
Jiangsu Xiangsheng Bedtime Furniture Co., Ltd	7.48
Xiamen Golden Huanan Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd	7.48
Zhongshan NU Furniture Co., Ltd	7.48

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within 10 days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.[26] Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.[27] Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.[28]

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.[29] Further, we request that interested parties limit their public, executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public, executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public, executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).[30]

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participants are foreign nationals; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing.[31]

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

If Pengjia Technology's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.[32] To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis,* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Pengjia Technology's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem*

[23] *See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings,* 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

[24] *See Order,* 85 FR at 22132.

[25] *See* Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 10–11.

[26] *See* 19 CFR 351.309.

[27] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d); *see also Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,* 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

[28] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

[29] We use the term ''issue'' here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[30] *See APO and Service Procedures.*

[31] *See* 19 CFR 351.310(d).

[32] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

assessment rate is zero or *de minimis,* we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.[33]

For the respondents that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review but qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondent consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act. Consequently, the rate established for the non-individually examined companies is an *ad valorem* rate of 7.48 percent.

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by the mandatory respondent during this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate.

For the companies listed in Appendix II for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

For the final results, if we continue to treat the following companies as part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 251.64 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by those companies: KM Cabinetry, Suzhou Siemo, Ancientree, Oppein Home Group Inc., Shouguang Fushi Wood Co., Ltd., and Taishan Oversea Trading Co., Ltd.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

[33] *See* 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); *see also Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification,* 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for the companies listed above, which have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis,* then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the existing rate for the China-wide entity of 251.64 percent; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 7, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Appendix II

Companies Rescinded From Review

A. Requests for Review Withdrawn

1. Goldenhome Living Co., Ltd.
2. Shanghai Zifeng International Trading Co., Ltd.

B. No Shipment Companies/No Reviewable Entries

1. Anhui Swanch Cabinetry Co., Ltd.
2. Anhui Xinyuanda Cupboard Co., Ltd.
3. Changyi Zhengheng Woodwork Co., Ltd.
4. Dalian Hualing Wood Co., Ltd.
5. Dalian Meisen Woodworking Co., Ltd.
6. Dongguan Ri Sheng Home Furnishing Articles Co., Ltd.
7. Fujian Dushi Wooden Industry Co., Ltd.
8. Fujian Leifeng Cabinetry Co., Ltd.
9. Fuzhou CBM Import & Export Co., Ltd.
10. Guangzhou Nuolande Import and Export Co., Ltd.
11. Hong Kong Jian Cheng Trading Co., Ltd.
12. Honsoar New Building Material Co., Ltd.
13. Jiang Su Rongxin Cabinets Ltd.
14. Jiang Su Rongxin Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
15. Jiangsu Beichen Wood Co., Ltd.
16. Jiangsu Sunwell Cabinetry Co., Ltd.
17. Jiangsu Weisen Houseware Co., Ltd.
18. Kunshan Baiyulan Furniture Co., Ltd.
19. Linyi Kaipu Furniture Co., Ltd.
20. Morewood Cabinetry Co., Ltd.
21. Nanjing Kaylang Co., Ltd.
22. Qingdao Haiyan Drouot Household Co., Ltd.
23. Qingdao Shousheng Industry Co., Ltd.
24. Quanzhou Ample Furnishings Co., Ltd.
25. Senke Manufacturing Company
26. Shandong Jinhua Wood Co., Ltd.
27. Shandong Longsen Woods Co., Ltd.
28. Sheen Lead International Trading (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
29. Taishan Hongxiang Trading Co., Ltd.
30. Taizhou Overseas Int'l Ltd.
31. Tech Forest Cabinetry Co., Ltd.
32. Weifang Fuxing Wood Co., Ltd.
33. Weifang Yuanlin Woodenware Co., Ltd.
34. Weihai Jarlin Cabinetry Manufacture Co., Ltd.
35. Weisen Houseware Co., Ltd.
36. Xiamen Adler Cabinetry Co., Ltd.

37. Xuzhou Yihe Wood Co., Ltd.
38. Zaozhuang New Sharp Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd.
39. Zhangzhou OCA Furniture Co., Ltd.
40. Zhoushan For-Strong Wood Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2026–14030 Filed 7–10–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–908]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Hankook Tire & Technology Co. Ltd. (Hankook) and Nexen Tire Corporation (Nexen) made sales of passenger vehicle and light truck tires from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2023, through June 30, 2024.

DATES: Applicable July 13, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles DeFilippo and Jun Jack Zhao, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797 and (202) 482–1396, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 8, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register** and invited comments from interested parties.[1] On April 24, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results.[2] On June 23, 2026, Commerce further extended the deadline for issuing the final results to July 7, 2026.[3]

A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results,* as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[4] The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order [5]

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is passenger vehicle and light truck tires from Korea. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[6]

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties to this administrative review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by parties, *see* the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to the margin calculations for Hankook and Nexen for these final results of review. As a result of these changes, the weighted-average dumping margin changed for the company subject to this review, but not selected for individual examination. For a discussion of these changes, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The Act does not directly address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be determined for companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulation at 19 CFR 351.109(g) states that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act. Both 19 CFR 351.109(f) and section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provide that, in general, Commerce will base the all-others rate on the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, to determine the rate for companies not selected for individual examination, Commerce's practice is to weight average the weighted-average dumping margins for the selected mandatory respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available.

In this review, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins for Hankook and Nexen that are not zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Therefore, we have assigned to the non-examined company, Kumho Tire Co., Inc., a rate equal to the weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Hankook and Nexen.[7]

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2023, through June 30, 2024:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hankook Tire & Technology Co., Ltd., Hankook Tire Mfg Co. Ltd., and Hankook Tire Co., Ltd.[8]	13.03

[1] *See Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024,* 91 FR 694 (January 8, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

[2] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated April 24, 2026.

[3] *See* Memorandum, ''Second Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated June 23, 2026.

[4] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea; 2023–2024,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[5] *See Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand,* 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021) (*Order*).

[6] *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Rate for Non-Examined Companies,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

[8] In the LTFV investigation, Commerce determined that Hankook Tire Mfg. Co., Ltd. and Hankook Tire Co., Ltd. are alternative names for Hankook Tire & Technology Co. Ltd. *See Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures,* 86 FR 501 (January 6, 2021), and accompanying PDM at 2 (n. 9).