

where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'. . . .²⁹ Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³⁰ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4³¹ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-048 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange

Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-048. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2026-048 and should be submitted on or before June 22, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³²

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-10829 Filed 5-29-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-105561; File No. SR-CMESC-2026-003]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; CME Securities Clearing Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change to Establish the CME Securities Clearing Inc. Enterprise Risk Management Framework

May 27, 2026.

I. Introduction

On April 1, 2026, CME Securities Clearing Inc. ("CMESC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change SR-CMESC-2026-003, pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder.² The proposed rule change would establish a new Enterprise Risk Management Framework ("ERMF") to identify, assess, mitigate, and monitor enterprise risks. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on

April 17, 2026.³ The Commission has received no comments on the changes proposed. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

II. Background

On December 1, 2025, the Commission approved CMESC's application for registration as a clearing agency to provide central counterparty services for U.S. Treasury Securities.⁴ As a part of its application, CMESC submitted a Risk Management Framework ("RMF"). CMESC states that the RMF is designed to identify, measure, monitor, and manage the range of risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(3).⁵ The RMF refers to CMESC's Enterprise Risk Management Framework ("ERMF"). However, the ERMF was not included as part of CMESC's application.⁶

III. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would establish the ERMF to enhance CMESC's enterprise risk management policies. CMESC states that the ERMF is designed to work cohesively with the RMF to identify, assess, and manage the potential risks that may affect CMESC's operations and services.⁷ The ERMF contains a preamble describing its general purpose and applicability to all CMESC personnel.⁸ Additionally, as discussed more fully below, the proposed ERMF describes the: (1) ERMF's governance framework; (2) process through which the ERMF determines the universe of risks CMESC may face ("Risk Universe"); and (3) "ERM Lifecycle," which includes components regarding (i) the aggregate amount of residual risk CMESC is willing to accept in a given risk category before taking action to reduce such risk ("Risk Appetite"), (ii) the acceptable boundary of risk CMESC is willing to accept in pursuit of its business objectives ("Risk Tolerance"), (iii) CMESC's risk assessment mechanism, (iv) CMESC's risk response methodology, and (v) the risk monitoring and reporting process to monitor the ERM program's adequacy.⁹

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 114433 (Apr. 14, 2026), 91 FR 20179 (Apr. 17, 2026) (File No. SR-CMESC-2026-003) ("Notice of Filing").

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 104281 (Dec. 1, 2025), 90 FR 55926 (Dec. 4, 2025).

⁵ Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20719; *see also* 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(3).

⁶ *See* Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20719.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *See* Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20720.

⁹ *See* Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20720-21.

²⁹ *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

³⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

³¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

³² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

A. Governance

The proposed ERMF includes a section describing its governance framework.¹⁰ Specifically, the ERMF would be maintained by CMESC's Compliance and ERM team, which supports CMESC's Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO") in implementing the ERMF.¹¹ On at least an annual basis, the CCO would recommend the ERMF for review to CMESC's Risk Management Committee, which would subsequently recommend the ERMF to CMESC's Board ("Board") for approval.¹² Any substantive changes outside of the annual review process would similarly require review and approval by CMESC's Risk Management Committee, and changes with a significant impact on CMESC's risk profile would require Board approval.¹³

The proposed ERMF also provides a description of the Board's oversight of overall risk management at CMESC, supported by various committees and individuals with powers delegated by the Board.¹⁴

B. Risk Universe

The proposed ERMF includes a section describing how CMESC would evaluate and monitor the risks it may face.¹⁵ Specifically, CMESC would identify its "Risk Universe" by aligning identified risks with enterprise risk categories and sub-risk categories assigned with risk owners responsible for assessing and monitoring potential threats to CMESC and risk impacts on CMESC's business objectives.¹⁶ The enterprise risk categories (initially consisting of Financial Resources, Operations, Regulatory Compliance, and Service Provider risks) are the highest level of risk aggregation and would be subject to Board oversight.¹⁷ The sub-risk categories would further classify risks into more detailed groups designed to identify the specific processes underlying each enterprise risk category.¹⁸

C. ERM Lifecycle

The proposed ERMF discusses the components of CMESC's risk management lifecycle.¹⁹ The proposed ERMF defines "Risk Appetite" as "the aggregate amount of residual risk, on a

broad level, CMESC is willing to accept in any given category in pursuit of its strategic objectives before additional action is deemed necessary to reduce the risk."²⁰ The proposed ERMF describes the five-point risk rating system CMESC would use to develop guidance or parameters on the level of risk exposure CMESC is willing to accept regarding specific enterprise risk categories and sub-risk categories within the Risk Universe.²¹

The proposed ERMF defines "Risk Tolerance" as "the acceptable boundary of risk that CMESC is willing to accept in pursuit of its business objectives and to ensure that those boundaries are not breached."²² The ERMF describes Risk Tolerance as the quantitative and tactical counterpart to Risk Appetite.²³ CMESC would evaluate whether risks are within its Risk Tolerance levels by monitoring key risk indicators ("KRIs"), which are metrics designed to provide an early signal of potential increasing risk exposure, allowing CMESC to take corrective action to maintain risks within the tolerance levels.²⁴ KRIs are tied to a tiered escalation protocol for the action required, ranging from ongoing monitoring to reporting and escalation to the Board.²⁵

The proposed ERMF describes CMESC's risk assessment mechanism, which would be used to identify, aggregate, and quantify risks, and to determine the appropriate response to mitigate, monitor, and reduce risks.²⁶ The proposed ERMF differentiates inherent risks (*i.e.*, the level of risk absent any controls) from residual risks (*i.e.*, the level of risk after accounting for compensating controls), and identifies the timing of risk assessments for each type of risk.²⁷ Specifically, inherent risk assessments would be performed annually, whereas residual risk assessments would be performed on a quarterly basis.²⁸ CMESC states that residual risk assessments would be more frequent because they are designed to ensure the internal control environment remains responsive to emerging threats and the residual risk profile aligns with CMESC's Risk Appetite.²⁹ Residual risk assessment requires risk owners and senior CMESC management to identify risks in their areas of responsibility and to implement

appropriate qualitative and quantitative measures to evaluate, prioritize, and manage risk.³⁰ The proposed ERMF also describes the concept of "risk outlook," which CMESC considers within the context of making risk assessments.³¹ Risk outlook represents the expected forward-looking trend for the risk over the upcoming 12-month period and is used to show increasing, elevated, stable or decreasing risk to CMESC.³² The proposed ERMF describes control testing that would be conducted to assess the design and effectiveness of CMESC's internal controls and CMESC's monitoring of service providers to assess third-party risk.³³ Control testing results would be used to determine the effectiveness of a given control and inform the assessment of the overall level of residual risk.³⁴ An annual control testing schedule would be established using a risk-based approach, where the frequency of testing is determined by the sum of factors essential to a control's significance in reducing residual risk.³⁵

The proposed ERMF describes CMESC's risk response methodology for evaluating options and identifying actions to enhance opportunities and reduce risks associated with the pursuit of business objectives.³⁶ The risk response methodology would be used by risk owners to facilitate determining the appropriate strategy for maintaining risks within the acceptable Risk Appetite.³⁷ The proposed ERMF discusses various strategies to mitigate, transfer, or accept risk, and establishes that once strategies are identified, a four-point methodology would be used to prioritize the specific response.³⁸ The proposed ERMF also describes the process for reporting, approving, and remediating a risk that CMESC determines exceeds its Risk Appetite.³⁹

Finally, the proposed ERMF describes the risk monitoring and reporting process to monitor the ERM program's adequacy.⁴⁰ Risk monitoring includes overall governance and ongoing validation efforts, such as control testing

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ *Id.*

³² *Id.*

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ Such factors would include, for example, the inherent risk rating of the risk category the control is mitigating, the extent that the control is manual or automated, nature, critically and complexity of the control, frequency at which the control is applied, and whether it directly fulfills a CMESC regulatory requirements. *See id.*

³⁶ *Id.*

³⁷ *Id.*

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ *Id.*

¹⁰ See Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20720.

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ *Id.*

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ See Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20720–21.

²⁰ See Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20720.

²¹ *Id.*

²² *Id.*

²³ *Id.*

²⁴ *Id.*

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ See Notice of Filing, *supra* note 3, at 20721.

²⁷ *Id.*

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*

and audit assurance designed to ensure that risk taking is aligned with CMESC's strategic objectives and Risk Appetite.⁴¹ Risk reporting includes collating ongoing risk assessments into quarterly reports to senior CMESC management.⁴²

IV. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act⁴³ directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. After carefully considering the proposed rule change, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to CMESC. In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Sections 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,⁴⁴ Rule 17ad-22(e)(2),⁴⁵ and Rule 17ad-22(e)(3).⁴⁶

A. Consistency With Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible.⁴⁷

As described above in Section III, CMESC proposes to establish the ERMF, which would describe: (1) the ERMF governance framework; (2) CMESC's Risk Universe; and (3) the ERM Lifecycle, which includes components regarding CMESC's Risk Appetite, Risk Tolerance, Risk Assessment, Risk Response, and Risk Monitoring and Reporting. The ERMF would enhance CMESC's risk management by establishing risk management policies enabling CMESC to identify potential events that may affect CMESC, manage and report on the associated risks, and reasonably assure that risks are managed in accordance with CMESC's Risk Appetite.

Adopting a more robust risk management framework should enhance CMESC's ability to better identify, assess, and mitigate potential risks that may impact its operations, reducing the

likelihood of disruptions to its clearance and settlement services and thereby promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.⁴⁸ Additionally, a more robust risk management framework should provide greater assurance that the securities and funds in CMESC's custody or control are safeguarded against potential losses, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.⁴⁹

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.⁵⁰

B. Consistency With Rule 17ad-22(e)(2)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(2) under the Act requires that a covered clearing agency establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that clearly prioritize the safety and efficiency of the covered clearing agency, and specify clear and direct lines of responsibility.⁵¹

As described above in Section III.A, the proposed ERMF's governance provisions establish clear reporting lines and accountability for the management of enterprise risk within CMESC's Risk Appetite. Furthermore, the governance structure around the maintenance of the ERMF itself provides for reviews on at least an annual basis.

Additionally, as described above in Section III.C, the proposed ERMF includes a risk monitoring and reporting process to monitor the ERM program's adequacy. Establishing clear reporting lines and accountability should enhance efficiency and increase safety by providing oversight and aligning identified enterprise risks with risk owners responsible for assessing and monitoring potential threats. Annual maintenance reviews of the ERMF and the monitoring process for the ERM program's adequacy should proactively ensure that the protections are current and robust. These provisions therefore should prioritize safety and efficiency and specify direct lines of responsibility.⁵²

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(2).⁵³

C. Consistency With Rule 17ad-22(e)(3)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(3) under the Act requires, in part, that a covered clearing

agency establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to maintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, which includes risk management policies, procedures, and systems designed to identify, measure, monitor, and manage the range of risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, that are subject to review on a specified periodic basis and approved by the Board annually.⁵⁴

As described above in Section III, CMESC proposes to establish the ERMF, which would describe: (1) the ERMF governance framework; (2) CMESC's Risk Universe; and (3) the ERM Lifecycle, which includes components regarding CMESC's Risk Appetite, Risk Tolerance, Risk Assessment, Risk Response, and Risk Monitoring and Reporting. Specifically, the Risk Universe provisions of the proposed ERMF describe how CMESC would identify the risks it faces by classifying them into categories and sub-categories. Additionally, the ERM Lifecycle provisions of the proposed ERMF describe how CMESC would determine its Risk Appetite, Risk Tolerance, Risk Assessment, and Risk Response methodologies, designed to enable CMESC to develop strategies to mitigate, transfer, or accept risks. These measures constitute policies, procedures, and systems that are designed to identify, measure, monitor, and manage the range of risks that arise in or are borne by CMESC.⁵⁵

The proposed ERMF's governance provisions, described above in Section III.A, and the proposed ERMF's Risk Monitoring and Reporting provisions, described above in Section III.C, provide that the ERMF would be subject to review on a specified periodic basis and approved by the Board on at least an annual basis.⁵⁶

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(3).⁵⁷

V. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act and in particular with the requirements

⁴¹ *Id.*

⁴² *Id.*

⁴³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

⁴⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

⁴⁵ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2).

⁴⁶ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(3).

⁴⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

⁴⁸ *Id.*

⁴⁹ *Id.*

⁵⁰ *Id.*

⁵¹ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2).

⁵² *Id.*

⁵³ *Id.*

⁵⁴ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(3)(i).

⁵⁵ *Id.*

⁵⁶ *Id.*

⁵⁷ *Id.*

of Section 17A of the Exchange Act⁵⁸ and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act⁵⁹ that proposed rule change SR-CMESC-2026-003 be, and hereby is, APPROVED.⁶⁰

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶¹

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-10833 Filed 5-29-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-105558; File No. SR-CboeEDGX-2026-041]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule To Clarify That Requests for Mid-Month Subscriptions and Ad-Hoc Historical Requests for Open-Close Data Will Be Prorated

May 27, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 19, 2026, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to clarify that requests for mid-month subscriptions and ad-hoc historical requests for Open-Close Data will be prorated. The text of the proposed rule change is in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission’s

website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange’s website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to clarify that both (i) mid-month subscriptions and (ii) ad-hoc historical requests for its End-of-Day (“EOD”) Open-Close data, Ten-Minute Interval Intraday Open-Close data, and One-Minute Interval Intraday Open-Close data will be prorated. The Exchange proposes this change with the sole purpose of providing greater clarity in its Fee Schedule and aligning the content of its Fee Schedule with the fee schedule of its affiliate exchange, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (hereinafter “C1” or “Cboe Exchange”).³

By way of background, the Exchange currently offers EOD and Intraday Open-Close Data (collectively, “Open-Close Data”). EOD Open-Close Data is an end-of-day volume summary of trading activity on the Exchange at the option level by origin (customer, professional customer, broker-dealer, and market maker), side of the market (buy or sell), price, and transaction type (opening or closing). The customer and professional customer volume is further broken down into trade size buckets (less than 100 contracts, 100–199 contracts, greater than 199 contracts). The EOD Open-Close Data is proprietary Exchange trade data and does not include trade data from any other exchange. It is a historical data product and not a real-time data feed.

The Exchange also offers Intraday Open-Close Data, which provides

similar information to that of EOD Open-Close Data but is produced and updated every 10 minutes (the “Ten-Minute Intraday Report”) and every 1 minute (the “One-Minute Intraday Report”) during the trading day. Data is captured in “snapshots” taken every 10 minutes or 1 minute (depending on the report) throughout the trading day and is available to subscribers within five minutes of the conclusion of each period.⁴ The Intraday Open-Close Data provides a volume summary of trading activity on the Exchange at the option level by origin (customer, professional customer, broker-dealer, and market maker), side of the market (buy or sell), and transaction type (opening or closing). The customer and professional customer volume are further broken down into trade size buckets (less than 100 contracts, 100–199 contracts, greater than 199 contracts). The Intraday Open-Close Data is proprietary Exchange trade data and does not include trade data from any other exchange. All Open-Close Data products are completely voluntary products, in that the Exchange is not required by any rule or regulation to make this data available and that potential customers may purchase it on an ad-hoc basis only if they voluntarily choose to do so.

Currently, the Exchange provides in its Fee Schedule that firms may purchase Ten-Minute Intraday Open-Close Data, One-Minute Open-Close Data, or EOD Data on a subscription basis or as an ad hoc request for a specified month(s) (historical file).⁵ The Exchange proposes to add language to its Fee Schedule to clarify that either (i) a mid-month subscription or (ii) an ad-hoc historical request for specific dates of any of the Open-Close Data will be prorated. The Exchange proposes this change with the sole purpose of providing greater clarity to its Fee Schedule and aligning the content of its Fee Schedule with the fee schedule of its affiliate exchange, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (hereinafter “C1” or “Cboe Exchange”).⁶

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the

⁴ For example, subscribers to the Ten-Minute Intraday Report receive the first calculation of intraday data by approximately 9:42 a.m. ET, which represents data captured from 9:30 a.m. to 9:40 a.m. Subscribers receive the next update at 9:52 a.m., representing the data previously provided together with data captured from 9:40 a.m. through 9:50 a.m., and so forth. Each update represents the aggregate data captured from the current “snapshot” and all previous “snapshots.”

⁵ See EDGX Options Fee Schedule.

⁶ *Supra* note 3.

⁵⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

⁵⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶⁰ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposals’ impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 104796 (February 10, 2026), 91 FR 6931 (February 13, 2026) (SR-CBOE-2026-011).