

This notice is issued under authority of 33 U.S.C. 1605(c) and 33 CFR 81.18.

Dated: May 8, 2026.

**J.B. Wheeler,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief of Prevention, Coast Guard Heartland District.*

[FR Doc. 2026-09498 Filed 5-12-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

[Docket No. USCG-2025-0097]

#### Notification of the Revocation of Facility Exemptions From the Port Security Advisory

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Coast Guard announces that it is revoking port facility exemptions from the Port Security Advisory for Equatorial Guinea.

**DATES:** The policy announced in this notice is effective on May 27, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about this document call or email Mr. Edward Munoz, Division Chief, International Port Security Assessments, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202-372-2122, *HQS-DG-IPSPProgramHQ@uscg.mil*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background and Purpose

The authority for this notice is 5 U.S.C. 552(a) (“Administrative Procedure Act”), 46 U.S.C. 70110 (“Maritime Transportation Security Act”), and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1(II)(97)(f). As delegated, section 70110(a) authorizes the U.S. Coast Guard to impose conditions of entry on vessels arriving in U.S. waters from ports that the U.S. Coast Guard has not found to maintain effective antiterrorism measures.

Effective antiterrorism measures require government oversight and security functions like risk assessments, drills, enforcement, and intelligence sharing cannot be delegated to individual facilities. Without proper oversight, exempted facilities may lack the necessary approvals, enforcement mechanisms, and broader security coordination, increasing vulnerabilities to the marine transportation system. The U.S. Coast Guard has determined that the government of Equatorial Guinea lacks proper oversight of its facilities. Accordingly, the U.S. Coast Guard is

revoking port facility exemptions for Equatorial Guinea. With this notice, the current list of countries assessed and not maintaining effective antiterrorism measures is as follows: Cambodia, Cameroon, Comoros, Cuba, Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (North Korea), Equatorial Guinea, Gambia (The), Guinea-Bissau, Iran, Iraq, Libya, Madagascar, Micronesia (Federated States of), Nauru, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles, Sudan, Suriname, Syria, Timor-Leste, Venezuela, and Yemen. The current Port Security Advisory is available at: <http://www.dco.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Prevention-Policy-CG-5P/International-Domestic-Port-Assessment/>.

**Nathan A. Moore,**

*Vice Admiral, Deputy Commandant for Operations, U.S. Coast Guard.*

[FR Doc. 2026-09503 Filed 5-12-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[OMB Control Number 1615-0092]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection: E-Verify Program

**AGENCY:** U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment upon this proposed revision of a currently approved collection of information. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the information collection notice is published in the **Federal Register** to obtain comments regarding the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, and the actual information collection instruments.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 13, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** All submissions received must include the OMB Control Number 1615-0092 in the body of the letter, the agency name and Docket ID USCIS-

2007-0023. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal website at <https://www.regulations.gov> under e-Docket ID number USCIS-2007-0023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Regulatory Coordination Division, John R. Pfirrmann-Powell, Acting Deputy Chief, telephone number (240) 721-3000 (This is not a toll-free number. Comments are not accepted via telephone message). Please note contact information provided here is solely for questions regarding this notice. It is not for individual case status inquiries. Applicants seeking information about the status of their individual cases can check Case Status Online, available at the USCIS website at <https://www.uscis.gov>, or call the USCIS Contact Center at 800-375-5283 (TTY 800-767-1833).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments

You may access the information collection instrument with instructions or additional information by visiting the Federal eRulemaking Portal site at: <https://www.regulations.gov> and entering USCIS-2007-0023 in the search box. Comments must be submitted in English, or an English translation must be provided. All submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to consider limiting the amount of personal information that you provide in any voluntary submission you make to DHS. DHS may withhold information provided in comments from public viewing that it determines may impact the privacy of an individual or is offensive. For additional information, please read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of <https://www.regulations.gov>.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;