

SA3437SW-D, SA3438SW-D, SA3439SW-D, SA3440SW-D, SA3442SW-D, SA3443SW-D, SA3444SW-D, SA3445SW-D, SA3445SW-D, SA3446SW-D, SA3447SW-D, SA3448SW-D, SA3449SW-D, SA3450SW-D, SA3451SW-D, SA3452SW-D, SA3453SW-D, SA3454SW-D, SA3455SW-D, SA3456SW-D, SA3457SW-D, SA3458SW-D, SA3459SW-D, SA3460SW-D, SA3461SW-D, SA3462SW-D, SA3463SW-D, SA3466SW-D, SA3467SW-D, SA3468SW-D, SA3469SW-D, SA3470SW-D, SA3471SW-D, SA3472SW-D, SA3473SW-D, SA3474SW-D, SA3475SW-D, SA3476SW-D, SA3477SW-D, SA3478SW-D, SA3479SW-D, SA3480SW-D, SA3481SW-D, SA3482SW-D, SA3484SW-D, SA3485SW-D, SA3486SW-D, SA3487SW-D, SA3488SW-D, SA3489SW-D, SA3490SW-D, SA3491SW-D, SA3492SW-D, SA3494SW-D, SA3495SW-D, SA3496SW-D, SA3497SW-D, SA3896SW, SA4383SW-D, SA530SW, SA532SW, SA533SW, SA538SW, SA539SW, SA540SW, SA566SW, SA576SW, SA581SW, SA596SW, SA603SW, SA607SW, SA617SW, SA621SW, SA624SW, SA637SW, SA638SW, SA645SW, SA651SW, SA658SW, SA662SW, SA667SW, SA668SW, SA669SW, SA675SW, SA676SW, SA680SW, SA681SW, SA693SW, SA701SW, SA705SW, SA709SW, SA727SW, SA732SW, SA737SW, SA7417SW, SA742SW, SA745SW, SA747SW, SA754SW, SA755SW, SA756SW, SA757SW, SA761SW, SA767SW, SA784SW, SA788SW, SA789SW, SA791SW, SA795SW, SA800SW, SA801SW, SA808SW, SA822SW, SA824SW, SA829SW, SA837SW, SA838SW, SA842SW, SA8488SW-D, SA8489SW-D, SA8490SW-D, SA8491SW-D, SA8494SW-D, SA8495SW-D, SA8496SW-D, SA8499SW-D, SA8501SW-D, SA8502SW-D, SA8503SW-D, SA8504SW-D, SA8505SW-D, SA8508SW-D, SA8509SW-D, SA850SW, SA8510SW-D, SA8512SW-D, SA8513SW-D, SA8514SW-D, SA8515SW-D, SA8516SW-D, SA8518SW-D, SA8519SW-D, SA8520SW-D, SA8521SW-D, SA8522SW-D, SA8523SW-D, SA8524SW-D, SA8526SW-D, SA8529SW-D, SA8530SW-D, SA8531SW-D, SA8532SW-D, SA8533SW-D, SA8534SW-D, SA8535SW-D, SA8538SW-D, SA8539SW-D, SA8541SW-D,

SA8543SW-D, SA8544SW-D, SA8545SW-D, SA8546SW-D, SA8548SW-D, SA8558SW-D, SA860SW, SA862SW, SA869SW, SA882SW, SA896SW, SA921SW, SA925SW, SA929SW, SA939SW, SA961SW, SA962SW, SA968SW, SA981SW, and SH3458SW-D.

Descriptions of the STC design changes and affected aircraft models are available at <https://drs.faa.gov/browse/STC/doctypeDetails>.

The FAA has received a third-party request for the release of the aforementioned engineering data under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552. The FAA cannot release commercial or financial information under FOIA without the permission of the data owner. However, in accordance with title 49 of the United States Code § 44704(a)(5), the FAA can provide STC "engineering data" it possesses for STC maintenance or improvement, upon request, if the following conditions are met:

1. The FAA determines the STC has been inactive for 3 years or more;
2. Using due diligence, the FAA is unable to locate the owner of record or the owner of record's heir; and
3. The availability of such data will enhance aviation safety.

There has been no activity on this STC for more than 3 years.

On December 17, 2025, the FAA sent a registered letter to Century Flight Systems, Inc., to its last known address: 3003 FM 1195, Mineral Wells, TX 76067-0610. The letter informed Century Flight Systems, Inc., that the FAA had received a request for engineering data related to STC No. SA3496SW-D and SA3497SW-D and was conducting a due diligence search to determine whether these STCs and 763 other STCs were inactive and may be considered abandoned. The letter further requested that the company respond in writing within 60 days and state whether it is the holder of these 765 STCs. The FAA has also attempted to make contact with Century Flight Systems, Inc., by other means, including telephone communication and emails, but without success.

#### Information Requested

If you are the owner or heir or a transferee of all the 765 STCs or have any knowledge regarding who may now hold any of these STCs, please contact Aourolia Kristianti using a method described in this notice under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. If you are the heir of the owner, or the owner by transfer of any of these STCs, you must provide a notarized copy of your

government-issued identification with a letter and background establishing your ownership of any of the STCs and, if applicable, your relationship as the heir to the deceased holder of the STCs.

#### Conclusion

If the FAA does not receive any response by September 15, 2026, the FAA will consider all 765 STCs as abandoned, and the FAA will proceed with the release of the requested data. This action is for the purpose of maintaining the airworthiness of an aircraft and enhancing aviation safety.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 44704(a)(5))

Issued on March 16, 2026.

**Paul R. Bernado,**

*Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2026-05324 Filed 3-18-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2026-0463]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Notice and Request for Comment; Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS), Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS), and Special Study Data Collection

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments on a request for extension with modification of a currently approved information collection.

**SUMMARY:** NHTSA invites public comments about our intention to request approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for an extension with modification of a currently approved information collection. Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from OMB. Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections. This document describes a collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval on Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS), Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS), and Special Study Data Collection.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 18, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by the Docket No. NHTSA–2026–0463 through any of the following methods:

- *Electronic submissions:* Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* (202) 493–2251.

- *Mail or Hand Delivery:* Docket Management, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

*Privacy Act:* Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit <https://www.transportation.gov/privacy>.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets via internet.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or access to background documents, contact Barbara Rhea, State Data Reporting Systems Division (NSA–120), (202) 560–6724, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room W43–313, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Please identify the relevant collection of information by referring to its OMB Control Number (2127–0714).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has

promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) how to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.* permitting electronic submission of responses. In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks for public comments on the following proposed collection of information for which the agency is seeking approval from OMB.

*Title:* Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS), Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS), and Special Study Data Collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2127–0714.

*Form Number(s):* NHTSA Form 2178, NHTSA Form 2174.

*Type of Request:* Extension with modification of a currently approved collection of information.

*Type of Review Requested:* Regular.

*Requested Expiration Date of*

*Approval:* Three years from date of approval.

*Summary of the Collection of Information:*

NHTSA is authorized by 49 U.S.C. 30182 and 23 U.S.C. 403 to collect data on motor vehicle traffic crashes to aid in the identification of issues and the development, implementation, and evaluation of motor vehicle and highway safety countermeasures to support efforts to reduce injuries and fatalities caused by motor vehicle crashes. The Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS) is a voluntary collection of data from police-reported crashes involving all types of motor vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists; this includes property damage only crashes as well as those resulting in injuries and fatalities. The Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS) is a virtual data collection effort for collecting information about non-traffic crashes and non-crash incidents. The NTS data provide counts and details regarding fatalities and injuries that occur in non-traffic crashes and in non-crash incidents. This request for extension is a modification to the

previously approved as OMB Control No. 2127–0714 (current expiration Date: 8/31/2026). The previous request for this information collection (OMB No. 2127–0714) estimated the annual burden to be 42,680 burden hours and this request decreases the burden to 18,167 hours. This ICR is adjusted due to (a) reducing burden hour estimates for CRSS information collection to reflect current efficiencies, (b) remove the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study.

*Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use of the Information:*

NHTSA is authorized by 49 U.S.C. 30182 and 23 U.S.C. 403 to collect data on motor vehicle traffic crashes to aid in the identification of issues and the development, implementation, and evaluation of motor vehicle and highway safety countermeasures to reduce fatalities and the property damages associated with motor vehicle crashes. Using this authority, NHTSA established the Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS), Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS) and targeted Special Studies to collect data on motor vehicle crashes. These data collection effort support the Department of Transportation's strategic goal for safety by working toward the elimination of transportation related deaths, injuries, and property damage.

### CRSS

The CRSS is a voluntary collection of data from police-reported crashes involving all types of motor vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists; this includes property damage only crashes as well as those resulting in injuries and fatalities. CRSS obtains its data from a nationally representative probability sample selected from the estimated six million police-reported crashes that occur annually in the United States. By focusing attention on police-reported crashes, CRSS concentrates on the crashes of greatest concern to the highway safety community and the public.

CRSS depends on the voluntary participation and cooperation of State and law enforcement agencies. This allows NHTSA and its contractors to access the crash reports to review, list, and categorize the crashes. CRSS data is solely based on crash reports. The crash reports provide essential data: detailed information regarding the location of the crash, the vehicles, and the people involved. The crash reports are official local and State government forms that include the location of the crash and the pre-crash environment, explains the number and types of vehicles involved

as well as describing the persons, injuries and other variables to express how the person was involved in the crash. No personally identifiable information is collected or released via the CRSS data. Selected crashes are released to the public in the annual CRSS file following quality control processes conducted by NHTSA. These data files are used by NHTSA and the public for highway safety research purposes.

## NTS

The NTS is a data collection effort for collecting information about counts and details regarding fatalities and injuries that occur in non-traffic crashes and non-crash incidents. U.S. Congress required the Secretary of Transportation (NHTSA by delegation) to collect and maintain information about fatalities and injuries in nontraffic and non-crash incidents in the Cameron Gulbransen Kids Transportation Safety Act of 2007 (K.T. Safety Act) (Pub. L. 110–189). NHTSA designed and implemented the Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS) study to fulfill the requirements of the K.T. Safety Act.

Non-traffic crashes are crashes that occur off a public trafficway (e.g. private roads, parking lots, or driveways), and non-crash incidents are incidents involving motor vehicles but without a crash scenario such as, carbon monoxide poisoning and hypo/hyperthermia. The NTS non-traffic crash data are obtained through NHTSA's data collection efforts for the Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS),<sup>1</sup> the Crash Investigation Sampling System (CISS),<sup>2</sup> and the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS).<sup>3</sup> NTS also includes data outside of NHTSA's own data collections. NTS' non-crash injury data is based upon emergency department records from a special study conducted by the Consumer Product Safety Commission's National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS) All Injury Program. The NTS non-crash fatality data is derived from death certificate information from the Centers for Disease Control's National Vital Statistics System.

This ICR only seeks approval for the collection of data for NTS non-traffic crash data collection from the CRSS data collection effort. The burden for NTS is included across three information collections because the data is collected differently under each of

NHTSA's three data collection efforts that feed into NTS. The CRSS and CISS data collection efforts obtain NTS applicable reports received from the sample sites during their normal data collection efforts for CRSS and CISS. The FARS data collection effort uncovers NTS applicable reports received from the State during their normal data collection activities for FARS. Therefore, portions of the burden for NTS are included in the ICRs for all three data collection efforts.

## Special Studies

Initially, the previous ICR requested approval for two special studies to be considered.

—Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study

—PJ Frame Evaluation Special Study

Upon reevaluation, the statisticians determined that PJ Frame Evaluation Special Study would be the most beneficial for reducing underestimation in the CRSS estimates. Consequently, the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study will no longer be utilized. However, information for both special studies is provided below for reference.

### *Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study*

In addition to the CRSS data collection, NHTSA may require a special study to collect crash counts from the non-sampled CRSS jurisdictions. The data to be collected from the non-sampled PJs includes the crash counts by the crash report Strata—within in scope for CRSS, NTS applicable, or out of scope. Non-sampled PJs are defined as PJs that investigate motor vehicle crashes within the CRSS Primary Sampling Units (PSU) boundaries but are not selected for the CRSS data collection.

The majority of the CRSS estimates are sub-population totals and percentages. To make these estimates efficient, both CRSS PSU and PJ samples were selected using probability proportional to size sampling method. Here the PSU and PJ crash counts were used as the measure of size (MOS). On the other hand, CRSS PSU and PJ samples are panel samples—once selected they are used for many years' data collection. A drawback of using panel sample is the MOS may become outdated over time so that the estimates become less efficient. To mitigate this inadvertent effect, it is necessary to collect the crash counts of the non-sampled PJs periodically and use them together with the sampled PJ's crash counts to calibrate the PJ weights. The completion of the Non-Sampled PJ

Crash Count Special Study supplements the CRSS data collection effort to reduce PJ frame coverage errors, sampling variance and potential PJ non-response bias. In addition, non-sampled counts are also used to update the PJ frame for future PJ sample re-selection.

There are various tasks associated with the non-sampled PJ crash counts, including working with the non-sampled police jurisdictions to gain access to crash reports. Then, for an entire data collection year, the collection of the non-sampled PJ crash counts would include the review of crash reports from the non-sampled PJs that are to be stratified and tallied.

### *PJ Frame Evaluation Special Study*

Another special study NHTSA may require is the CRSS PJ frame evaluation. The current CRSS PJ sample was selected from a PJ frame created in 2016. However, the PJ frame is constantly changing: new PJs start operating, existing PJs are closed, multiple PJs are merged into one PJ, or one PJ splits into multiple PJs. The current CRSS PJ sample was selected from the 2016 PJ frame and the PJ weights were calculated accordingly. If the PJ frame has changed dramatically from the 2016 PJ frame, the CRSS PJ weights are no longer correct and the CRSS estimates may be biased. To prevent this, NHTSA needs to evaluate the current PJ frame. Specifically, this includes the following:

1. The PJ frame evaluation should identify all the current PJs (including new PJs, closed PJs, any changes) that provide Police Crash Report (PCRs) in the non-Electronic Data Transfer (EDT) PSUs.
2. For all identified PJs in the PJ frame, collect six crash counts (total crashes, fatal crashes, injury crashes, pedestrian crashes, motorcycle crashes, and commercial motor vehicle crashes). These crash counts will be used as PJ measurement of size for PJ sample selection or PJ weight adjustment if needed.

The CRSS States have a combination of crash report access methods, which include but are not limited to the EDT, access to State websites and web service transfer. The EDT is a routine automated transfer of State crash data from a State agency to NHTSA to support crash data collection efforts for various crash report data collection systems. EDT reduces the level of effort need to share crash data to support NHTSA record-based and crash investigation studies.

Absent the data collected and disseminated via the CRSS, NTS and the two special studies, US DOT, State Highway Safety Offices, and other traffic safety analysts would not have

<sup>1</sup> The CRSS information collection is assigned OMB Control No. 2127–0714.

<sup>2</sup> The CISS information collection is assigned OMB Control No. 2127–0706.

<sup>3</sup> The FARS information collection is assigned OMB Control No. 2127–0006.

information data crucial to problem identification and countermeasure development for motor vehicle crashes and non-traffic crashes, respectively.

*Affected Public:* Various Police Jurisdiction and State Agencies.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,367.

*Frequency:* Annual.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 18,167.

**Burden for CRSS and NTS**

Within the 30 States or 60 CRSS PSUs there are PJs, from which a CRSS sampler must obtain crash reports for listing, categorization, and sampling. Currently, 54 PSUs provide NHTSA data electronically—through EDT, State website access, or web service portal. For one State, the crash reports are obtained through EDT and manually since not all crashes are reported through EDT. A total of 6 PSUs, or 37 local PJs, where crash reports collection is conducted in the field using a combination of electronic and manual methods as dictated by the sample PJ’s crash report collection methods. The manual PJs required field samplers which incur an increased burden due to the labor-intensive administrative practices and privacy protections associated with manually accessing the crash reports.

The annual burden estimate detailed in Table 1 is produced by identifying the crash report access method for each PSU and PJ and assigning the appropriate burden hours for that method as outlined below. Since NTS

data is collected with CRSS data, the burden estimates also include NTS burdens.

- **EDT Maintenance**—For PSUs providing crash report through EDT, the burden is estimated at five hours annually. This accounts for yearly updates to programming needed to successfully transmit data, such as updating data structures if new data elements are added or any changes to the state made to their crash report or databases.

- **State website—User Access Only:** For PSUs providing crash reports via a state repository/website or database, the burden is estimated at 10 hours annually per PSU and PJ in the State. This represents time to process user account requests, establish credentials, and routine maintenance of the State’s data repositories.

- **State website—User Access and Additional Administrative Functions:** For PSUs providing crash reports directly to NHTSA via web service or where the State employees provide user access accounts in addition to regularly searches for crash reports, compiles the lists of crashes to send to NHTSA monthly, the burden is estimated at 60 hours annually per PSU and PJ in the State. This represents implementation, data transfer monitoring, and communications with NHTSA and its contractors.

- **For PJs providing crash reports to NHTSA via manual crash report access methods** (*i.e.*, copying crash reports and mailing them, and searching for recently completed crash reports and uploading

crash reports to secure email links), the burden is estimated at 470 hours annually per PJ. This represents—but is not limited to—maintaining a law enforcement presence while the crash reports are being reviewed, and/or providing resources to the CRSS sampler in order to access the crash reports. This is the most labor extensive access type due to the administrative burden and the additional processes required to protect PII. Other local police jurisdictions may photocopy crash reports and FedEx to the contractors or download electronic crash reports to submit electronically via secure email or thumb drive monthly. This total also accounts for States that have monthly manual processes to identify crash reports in their state databases, compile crash reports and share with NHTSA.

This hourly burden was calculated using the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ mean hourly wage estimate for Court, Municipal, and License Clerks (Standard Occupational Classification #43–4031) <sup>4</sup> from May 2024 of \$24.61. Therefore, NHTSA estimates the hourly wage associated with the estimated 17,820 burden hours to be \$438,550.20 (17,820 hours × \$24.61 per hour). The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that for State and local government workers, wages represent 61.5% of total compensation. <sup>5</sup> Therefore, the total cost of burden associated with this collection is estimated to be \$713,089. 76 (\$438,550.20 ÷ 0.6150).

TABLE 1—CRSS AND NTS DATA COLLECTION BURDEN HOURS

Access method	Hours per jurisdiction (PJ or States)	Number of respondents (PJ or States)	Total hours
EDT (Maintenance) .....	5	14 States .....	70
State Website (user access only) .....	10	10 States and 2PJs .....	120
State Website (user access and additional administrative functions) .....	60	1 States .....	60
Web Service (user access and States query and compile info) .....	60	1 State and 2 PJs .....	180
Mixed Manual .....	470	37 PJs .....	17,390
Grand Total .....	.....	67 Respondents .....	17,820

Annually, there is the potential to reselect police jurisdictions, which is dependent on maintenance of cooperation and access to crash reports. If cooperation is lost, replacement jurisdictions are sought. Regardless, the PJ frame is updated, and the PJ sample is reselected every year. However, the

changes in the sampled PJs are minimal because Pareto sampling method is used for PJ sample selection. Any changes to the PJ frame could impact the reported burden rates. For more details, please refer to Pages 29–32 of the Technical Report: <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812706>.

**Special Studies**

The CRSS special studies are important to evaluate the PJ frame of the CRSS PSUs, determine PJ weights and measure of size for the CRSS PJ sample selection. For NHTSA to accomplish its mission, motor vehicle crash data must be of the highest quality which includes

<sup>4</sup> See May 2023 National Industry-Specific Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates, 43–4031—Court, Municipal, and License Clerks,

available at *Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics* (accessed December 23, 2025).

<sup>5</sup> See Table 1. Employer Costs for Employee Compensation by ownership for state and local

government workers, available at <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm> (accessed December 23, 2025).

sampling from an accurate PJ frame to select a nationally representative sample of crashes.

*Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study (This study is Removed From This ICR)*

The burden calculation for the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study is difficult to determine. Each burden calculation is associated with the agreed upon crash report access method for sample sites. For non-sampled PJs we have no established relationship nor is it known which type of access to crash report is feasible. Most importantly, Non-sampled Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Studies are conducted on an ad-hoc basis and not implemented every year. We estimate that the Non-sampled Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study will at most be conducted once in the next three-year cycle. Table 2 illustrates the burden hours for this special study by access method. EDT has been removed from the table because CRSS samples from the entire county for EDT

States, therefore there is no distinction between the non-sampled and sampled PJs. This is an added benefit to EDT implementation as we get an accurate assessment of the PSU frame by CRSS strata. State websites with user access have non-sampled PJs however, there is no added burden because the initial access granted is at the state level. State website with user access and additional administrative functions provide NHTSA data at the county level, which includes both sampled and non-sampled PJs, thus there is no additional burden to the state. Webservice agreements also provide data at the county level, thus there is no additional burden to the state to provide non-sampled crash reports. States noted as having manual methods only account for the sampled PJs. Without established cooperation, NHTSA can't forecast individual PJ's access methods for the purposes of the burden calculation. Therefore, NHTSA assumes that all the non-sampled PJs within the PSUs using

the mixed manual method will also use this method. Thus, NHTSA estimates 136 PJs will participate in the non-sampled special study using the mixed manual method. The maximum burden for the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study's estimated burden is 63,920 with the possibility of reduction with cooperative agreements finalized. If the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study were to be collected once in the next three year, dividing the 63,920 total burden hours by three would yield an annual burden of 21,307 hours.

After the statisticians reevaluated the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study, it was concluded that the PJ frame evaluation and the updated six crash counts would be the most beneficial to reduce underestimation in the CRSS estimates. Thus, the Non-Sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study will be no longer utilized. The new burden hours will no longer reflect this special study in Table 4.

TABLE 2—NON-SAMPLED PJ CRASH COUNT SPECIAL STUDY BURDEN HOURS

Access method	Hours per jurisdiction	Number of respondents jurisdiction (PJ) or States	Total hours
Manual .....	470	136	21,307 (470*136/3)
Grand Total .....	.....	136	21,307

*PJ Frame Evaluation Special Study*

The activities associated with PJ frame evaluation special study include identifying the in-scope PJs and

collecting six crash count from the in-scope PJs. NHTSA estimates there are total 40 non-EDT PSUs and about 1,300 PJs in those non-EDT PSUs. NHTSA anticipates approximately 16 minutes

(0.25 hours) for each PJ to prepare the six crash counts. NHTSA estimates the total number of hours of response burden is about 347 hours.

TABLE 3—PJ FRAME EVALUATION SPECIAL STUDY BURDEN HOURS

PJ Frame evaluation	Hours per jurisdiction (minutes)	Number of respondents jurisdiction (PJ)	Total hours
Manual .....	16	1,300	347 (16/60*1,300)
Grand Total .....	.....	1,300	347

The total cost of burden associated with PJ frame evaluation special study is \$13,885.64 (347 hours × \$24.61 per hour/.6150 compensation) using the same mean hourly wage estimate for Court, Municipal and license clerks and

estimates that for State and local government workers, wages represent 61.50% of total compensation.<sup>6</sup> The total annual burden hours for the CRSS, and NTS and is estimated at 18,167 (17,820 + 347) for a data

collection year when all studies are implemented.

The total cost of burden associated with this collection is estimated to be \$726,975.40 (\$713,089.76 + \$13,885.64).

<sup>6</sup> See Table 1. Employer Costs for Employee Compensation by ownership for state and local

government workers, available at <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm> (accessed December 23, 2025).

[www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm](https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm) (accessed December 23, 2025).

TABLE 4—SUMMARY OF BURDEN CHANGES

Information collections	Number of respondents	Previous burden hours	New burden hours	Difference	Reasoning
CRSS .....	67	21,040	17,820	3,220	Increased efficiencies with more States participating in EDT and Robotic Process Automation (RPA)
NTS .....	0	0	0	0	Included with CRSS burden above
Non-sampled PJ Crash Count Special Study.	0	21,307	0	21,307	This special study is removed from the data collection.
PJ Frame Evaluation Special Study	1,300	333	347	14	Estimated number is increased to account for newly identified in-scope PJs during evaluation.
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>1,367</b>	<b>42,680</b>	<b>18,167</b>	<b>24,513</b>	

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Cost:* \$0.

There are no additional costs to respondents participating.

*Public Comments Invited:* You are asked to comment on any aspects of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

(Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; 49 CFR 1.49; and DOT Order 1351.29A.)

**Chou-Lin Chen,**

*Associate Administrator, National Center for Statistics and Analysis.*

[FR Doc. 2026–05366 Filed 3–18–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

**Internal Revenue Service**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request on Burden Related to the Election To Treat a Qualified Revocable Trust as Party of an Estate**

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the IRS is inviting comments on the

information collection request outlined in this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before May 18, 2026 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments and recommendations to Andrés Garcia, Internal Revenue Service, Room 6526, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20224, or by email at *pra.comments@irs.gov*. Please include, “OMB Number: 1545–1881—Public Comment Request Notice” in the subject line of the message.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** View the latest drafts of the tax forms related to the information collection listed in this notice at *https://www.irs.gov/draft-tax-forms*. Requests for additional information or copies of this collection should be directed to Ronald J. Durbala, (202) 317–5746 or via email at *RJoseph.Durbala@irs.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The IRS, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the IRS assess its impact and minimize the burden of its information collection requirements. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record and be viewable on relevant websites. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to

enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

*Title:* Election To Treat a Qualified Revocable Trust as Party of an Estate.  
*OMB Number:* 1545–1881.  
*Form Number(s):* 8855.

*Abstract:* Form 8855 is used to make a section 645 election that allows a qualified revocable trust to be treated and taxed (for income tax purposes) as part of its related estate during the election period.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 15,500.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 5 Hrs., 38 min.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 87,420.

Dated: March 17, 2026.

**Ronald J. Durbala,**  
*Tax Analyst.*

[FR Doc. 2026–05393 Filed 3–18–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4831–GV–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

**Health Systems Research Scientific Merit Review Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to File.

**SUMMARY:** We are giving notice that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs intends to