

Enforcement, 1849 C St. NW—MS 4512, Washington, DC 20240, or by email to wfrankel@osmre.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1029–0113 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William L. Frankel by email at wfrankel@osmre.gov or by phone at (202) 208–0121. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States. You may also view the ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA; 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), OSM provides the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps OSM assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand OSM's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we invite the public and other Federal agencies to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of

appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: Part 874 establishes land and water eligibility requirements, reclamation objectives and priorities and reclamation contractor responsibility. 30 CFR 874.17 requires consultation between the AML agency and the appropriate Title V regulatory authority of the likelihood of removing the coal under a Title V permit and concurrences between the AML agency and the appropriate Title V regulatory authority on the AML project boundary and the amount of coal that would be extracted under the AML reclamation project.

Title of Collection: General Reclamation Requirements.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0113.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: State and Tribal governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents (unique entities responding): 1.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses (total number of submissions received from all respondents): 1.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: 90 hours.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 90 hours.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: One time.

Total Estimated Annual Non-hour Burden Cost: \$0.

An agency may not conduct, or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

William L. Frankel,

*Information Collection Clearance Officer,
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and
Enforcement, Department of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 2026–04754 Filed 3–10–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–757 and 731–TA–1737 (Final)]

Polypropylene Corrugated Boxes From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of polypropylene corrugated boxes (“PC Boxes”) from China, provided for in subheading 3923.10.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), and subsidized by the government of China.²

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective March 18, 2025, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by CoolSeal USA Inc., Perrysburg, Ohio; Intoplast Group Corporation, Livingston, New Jersey; SeaCa Plastic Packaging, Kent, Washington; and Technology Container Corp., DeSoto, Texas. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of PC Boxes from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² 91 FR 2734 and 2739. (January 22, 2026).

notice in the **Federal Register** on August 26, 2025 (90 FR 41595).³ The public hearing in connection with the investigations, scheduled for January 21, 2026, was cancelled.⁴

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to §§ 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on March 9, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5709 (March 2026), entitled *Polypropylene Corrugated Boxes from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-757 and 731-TA-1737 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 9, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-04762 Filed 3-10-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1444]

Certain Nasal Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Reconsider an Earlier Decision; Issue a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to reconsider in part its prior affirmation of an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 27) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”). The Commission has also determined to issue: (1) a limited exclusion order (“LEO”) barring entry of certain nasal devices and components thereof by or on behalf of the defaulting respondents; and (2) cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against each of the defaulting respondents. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald A. Traud, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International

Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 26, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Aardvark Medical Inc. of Denton, Texas. 90 FR 13781-82 (Mar. 26, 2025). The complaint, as later amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain nasal devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-5, 7-14, and 16-19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,750,856 (“the ‘856 patent”); claims 1-4, 6, 8-12, 14-17, 21-24, 27, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 11,318,234 (“the ‘234 patent”); claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-12, 16-18, 21-22, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 11,883,009 (“the ‘009 patent”); claims 1-7, 10-14, and 17-21 of U.S. Patent No. 11,883,010 (“the ‘010 patent”); and claims 13-21 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 11,889,995 (“the ‘995 patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents: (1) Xiamenximier Electronic Commerce Co., Ltd (d/b/a Cenny) of Fujian, China (“Cenny”); (2) Xia Men Deng Jia E-Commerce Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Ronfnea) of Fujian, China (“Ronfnea”); (3) Chongqing Moffy Innovation Technology Co., Ltd. of Chongqing City, China (“Moffy”); (4) Guangdong XINRUNTAO Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (“Xinruntao”); and (5) Shenzhen Jun&Liang Media Tech Limited of Shenzhen, China (“Jun&Liang,” and together with the aforementioned respondents, “Defaulting Respondents”), as well as RhinoSystems of Brooklyn, Ohio; and Spa Sciences LP of Port St. Lucie, Florida. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On June 17, 2025, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) granting Complainant’s motion for leave to amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation to substitute and correct the appropriate entity from named respondent “Spa Sciences LP” to “Michael Todd Beauty LP d/b/a Spa Sciences.” Order No. 9 (May 22, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (June 17, 2025).

The following respondents have been terminated from the investigation: RhinoSystems and Michael Todd Beauty LP d/b/a Spa Sciences. Order No. 14 (July 14, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 6, 2025); Order No. 28 (Dec. 4, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

The Commission previously found Defaulting Respondents to be in default. Order No. 27 (Sept. 22, 2025), *reviewed in part and aff’d by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 4, 2025) (affirming the ALJ’s finding of Cenny, Ronfnea, Moffy, and Jun&Liang in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.16(a)(1)) for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation; and modifying the ALJ’s finding that Xinruntao was in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1) with a finding that Xinruntao was in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(2) (19 CFR 210.16(a)(2)) for failing to cooperate with discovery).

The Commission hereby reconsiders its decision affirming Order No. 27 as to Xinruntao. *See* Commission Rule 210.47 (19 CFR 210.47). Xinruntao’s answer to the complaint and notice of investigation was untimely, and Xinruntao failed to show cause justifying its late response (*see* Order No. 2 (Mar. 24, 2025) (ALJ’s Ground Rules)) or otherwise respond to the ALJ’s order instructing it to show good cause why it should not be found in default (*see* Order No. 25 (Sept. 8, 2025)). In reconsideration, the Commission now finds Xinruntao to be in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1). An unexcused, untimely response to the complaint and notice of investigation is insufficient to avoid a finding of default under the Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1), and accordingly, a finding of default under that rule is appropriate. In sum, all remaining respondents have been found in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1).

On January 15, 2026, the Commission issued a notice asking the parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest,

³ Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the Commission tolled its schedule for this proceeding. The schedule was revised in subsequent notices published in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2025 (90 FR 54369) and December 18, 2025 (90 FR 59202).

⁴ 91 FR 2800 (January 22, 2026).