

CHART 2—DIRECT PLUS LOANS AND DIRECT PLUS CONSOLIDATION LOANS
 [Interest rates based on weekly average of one-year constant maturity Treasury yield]

Loan type	Cohort	Weekly average of 1-year constant maturity treasury yield for last calendar week ending on or before 06/26/24 (%)	Add-on (%)	Maximum rate (%)	Interest rate 07/01/24 through 06/30/25 (%)
PLUS, PLUS Consolidation	First disbursed before 07/01/98	4.09	3.10	9.00	8.20

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1087a et seq.

Richard Lucas,

Acting Chief Operating Officer Federal Student Aid.

[FR Doc. 2026-04078 Filed 2-27-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2025-SCC-1207]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Accrediting Agencies Reporting Activities for Institutions and Programs—Database of Accredited Postsecondary Institution and Programs (DAPIP)

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Department is proposing an extension without change of a currently approved information collection request (ICR).

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for proposed information collection requests should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice. Click on this link www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain to access the site. Find this information collection request (ICR) by selecting “Department of Education” under “Currently Under Review,” then check the “Only Show ICR for Public Comment” checkbox. Reginfo.gov provides two links to view documents related to this information collection request. Information collection forms and instructions may be found by clicking on the “View Information Collection (IC) List” link. Supporting statements and other supporting documentation may be found by clicking on the “View Supporting Statement and Other Documents” link.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Amy Wilson, (202) 987-1318.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Accrediting Agencies Reporting Activities for Institutions and Programs—Database of Accredited Postsecondary Institution and Programs (DAPIP).

OMB Control Number: 1840-0838.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved ICR.

Respondents/Affected Public: Private Sector.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 7,499.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 625.

Abstract: Sections 496(a)(7) (a)(8) (c)(7) and (c)(8) of the Higher Education Act (HEA) and federal regulations at 34 CFR 34 CFR 602.26 and 602.27 contain certain requirements for reporting by recognized accrediting agencies to the Department on the institutions and programs the agencies accredit. This collection specifies the required and requested reporting. It also discusses the channel for reporting this information and reporting information the accrediting agency may wish to submit voluntarily to ensure that the Department’s Database of Accredited Postsecondary Institutions and

Programs is accurate and comprehensive.

Brian Fu,

Program and Management Analyst, Office of Chief Data Officer, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development.

[FR Doc. 2026-04024 Filed 2-27-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-13238-01-OAR]

Allocations of Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Allowances From New Unit Set-Asides for 2025 Control Periods

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of data availability.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is providing notice of the availability of data on emission allowance allocations to certain units under the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) trading programs. The EPA has completed preliminary calculations for the allocations of allowances from the new unit set-asides (NUSAs) for the 2025 control periods and posted spreadsheets with these calculations on the Agency's website. The EPA will consider timely objections to the preliminary calculations—including objections regarding the identification of units eligible for allocations—before determining the final allocation amounts.

DATES: Submit objections to the information referenced in this notice concerning NUSA allocations on or before April 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Submit your objections via email to CSAPR@epa.gov. Include "2025 NUSA Allocations" in the email subject line and include your name, title, affiliation, address, phone number, and email address in the body of the email.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about this notice, contact Morgan Riedel at (202) 564-0421 or riedel.morgan@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Preamble acronyms and abbreviations.*

Throughout this document the use of "we," "us," or "our" refers to the EPA. We use multiple acronyms and terms in this preamble. While this list may not be exhaustive, to ease the reading of this preamble and for reference purposes, the EPA defines the following terms and acronyms here:

CFR Code of Federal Regulation

CSAPR Cross-State Air Pollution Rule
EPA Environmental Protection Agency
FR Federal Register
NO_x nitrogen oxides
NUSA new unit set-asides
SO₂ sulfur dioxide

Background and Rationale. When the EPA is responsible for determining emission allowance allocations in a CSAPR trading program, a NUSA reserves a portion of each state's emissions budget, during each control period of the program, for allocation to certain units that would not otherwise receive allowance allocations. In addition, under most of the trading programs, there is a separate Indian country NUSA for states containing Indian country within their borders. The CSAPR trading program regulations set forth procedures for identifying eligible units during each control period and for allocating allowances from the NUSAs and Indian country NUSAs to these units.^{1 2} Each NUSA allowance allocation process involves allocations to eligible units, termed "new" units, followed by allocations to "existing" units of any remaining allowances.

This notice concerns the EPA's preliminary calculations for the NUSA allowance allocations for the 2025 control periods. Generally, under the allocation procedures, each eligible new unit receives a 2025 NUSA allocation equal to its 2025 control period emissions as reported under 40 CFR part 75. If the total of such allocations to all such eligible units exceeds the amount of allowances in the NUSA, the EPA reduces the allocations on a pro-rata basis. The EPA does not consider a unit's emissions that occur before its monitor certification deadline as occurring during a control period and thus does not include these emissions for determining NUSA allocations.³ After allocating allowances to eligible new units, the EPA allocates any

¹ See 40 CFR 97.411(b) and 97.412 (Nitrogen Oxide (NO_x) Annual), 97.511(b) and 97.512 (NO_x Ozone Season Group 1), 97.611(b) and 97.612 (Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) Group 1), 97.711(b) and 97.712 (SO₂ Group 2), and 97.811(b) and 97.812 (NO_x Ozone Season Group 2, including units using Original Group 2 allowances and units using Expanded Group 2 allowances).

² The EPA has no current plans to determine NUSA allowance allocations for the 2025 control period under the CSAPR NO_x Ozone Season Group 3 Trading Program regulations at 40 CFR 97.1012. In response to judicial stay orders, the EPA has administratively stayed the implementation of that program for all sources for the 2024 control period. This program implementation will remain stayed for future control periods unless and until provided otherwise in a future rulemaking. See 88 **Federal Register** (FR) 49295 (July 31, 2023); 88 FR 67102 (September 29, 2023); 89 FR 87960 (November 6, 2024).

³ See 40 CFR 97.406(c)(3), 97.506(c)(3), 97.606(c)(3), 97.706(c)(3), and 97.806(c)(3).

remaining allowances to the state's existing units in proportion to those units' previous allocations from the portion of the state's emissions budget for the control period that was not reserved in a NUSA (or Indian country NUSA).

The EPA sets forth detailed, unit-by-unit data and preliminary allowance allocation calculations for new units in Excel spreadsheets titled "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_NOx_Annual_Prelim_Data_New_Units," "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_NOx_OS_Prelim_Data_New_Units," and "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_SO2_Prelim_Data_New_Units," which are available online at <https://www.epa.gov/csapr/csapr-allowance-allocations#nusa>. Each spreadsheet contains a separate worksheet for every state covered by that program and shows, for each unit identified as eligible for a NUSA allocation, (1) the unit's emissions in the 2025 control period (annual or ozone season, as applicable), (2) the maximum 2025 NUSA allowance allocation for the unit (typically the unit's emissions in the 2025 control period), (3) various adjustments to the unit's maximum allocation if the NUSA pool is oversubscribed, and (4) the preliminary calculation of the unit's 2025 NUSA allowance allocation.

Each state worksheet for new units also contains a summary showing (1) the quantity of allowances initially available in that state's 2025 NUSA, (2) the sum of the 2025 NUSA allowance allocations to new units in that state, assuming that there are no corrections to the data, and (3) the quantity of allowances that would remain in the 2025 NUSA for allocation to existing units, assuming that there are no corrections to the data.

The EPA sets forth preliminary calculations of allocations of the remaining unallocated allowances to existing units in Excel spreadsheets titled "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_NOx_Annual_Prelim_Data_Existing_Units," "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_NOx_OS_Prelim_Data_Existing_Units," and "CSAPR_NUSA_2025_SO2_Prelim_Data_Existing_Units," which are available at the same online location.

Objections should be strictly limited to the data and calculations upon which the EPA has based the NUSA allowance allocations and sent via email to the address identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble. Objections must include: (1) precise identification of the specific data and/or calculations the commenter believes are inaccurate, (2) new proposed data and/or calculations upon which the commenter believes the EPA should rely instead to