

Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a State program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rulemaking does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 17, 2026.

Anne Vogel,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2026-03933 Filed 2-26-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2026-0562; FRL-13213-01-R5]

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Clean Data Determination for the Cleveland, Ohio Area for the 2015 Ozone Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to determine under the Clean Air Act (CAA) that the Cleveland, Ohio nonattainment area (hereafter also referred to as "Cleveland area" or "area") has attained the 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS or standard). This determination is based upon complete, quality-assured, and certified ambient air monitoring data for the 2023-2025 design period showing that the Cleveland area achieved attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. This determination relies on an exceptional events request submitted by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) on December 8, 2025, which the EPA concurred with on January 12, 2026. The EPA is proposing to take final agency action on Ohio's exceptional events request and the EPA's concurrence. As a result of this determination, the EPA is proposing to suspend the requirements for the state to submit an attainment demonstration and associated Reasonable Available Control Measures (RACM), Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning State Implementation Plans (SIPs) related to attainment of the 2015 NAAQS, for as long as the Cleveland area continues to attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 30, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2026-0562 at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to arra.sarah@epa.gov. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the

docket. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit to the EPA's docket at <https://www.regulations.gov> any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), Proprietary Business Information (PBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI, PBI, or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cecilia Magos, Air and Radiation Division (AR18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-7336, magos.cecilia@epa.gov. The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

The EPA has determined that ground-level ozone is detrimental to human health. On October 1, 2015, the EPA promulgated a revised 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.070 parts per million (ppm). See 80 FR 65292 (October 26, 2015). Under the EPA's regulations at 40 CFR part 50, the 2015 ozone NAAQS is attained in an area when the 3-year average of the annual fourth highest daily maximum 8-hour average concentration is equal to or less than 0.070 ppm, when truncated after the thousandth decimal place, at all of the ozone monitoring sites in the area. See 40 CFR 50.19 and appendix U to 40 CFR part 50.

Upon promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, section 107(d)(1)(B) of the CAA requires the EPA to designate as nonattainment any areas that are violating the NAAQS, based on the most recent three years of quality-assured

ozone monitoring data. The Cleveland area was designated as a Marginal nonattainment area for the 2015 ozone NAAQS on June 4, 2018 (83 FR 25776) (effective August 3, 2018). On October 7, 2022 (87 FR 60897), the EPA determined that the Cleveland area did not attain the standard by the Marginal attainment date, and the area was reclassified as Moderate by operation of law. More recently on December 17, 2024 (89 FR 101901), the EPA determined the area did not attain the standard by the Moderate attainment date, and the area was reclassified as Serious by operation of law.

II. Exceptional Events Demonstration

Congress has recognized that it may not be appropriate for the EPA to use certain monitoring data collected by the ambient air quality monitoring network and maintained in the EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) database in certain regulatory determinations. Thus, in 2005, Congress provided the statutory authority for the exclusion of data influenced by "exceptional events" meeting specific criteria by adding section 319(b) to the CAA.¹

The EPA promulgated the Exceptional Events Rule on March 22, 2007 (72 FR 13560) to implement this 2005 CAA amendment. The 2007 Exceptional Events Rule created a regulatory process codified at 40 CFR parts 50 and 51 (sections 50.1, 50.14, and 51.930). These regulatory sections supersede the EPA's previous guidance on handling data influenced by events, and contain definitions, procedural requirements, requirements for air agency demonstrations, criteria for the EPA's approval of the exclusion of event-affected air quality data from the data set used for regulatory decisions, and requirements for air agencies to take appropriate and reasonable actions to protect public health from exceedances or violations of the NAAQS. On October 3, 2016 (81 FR 68216), the EPA promulgated a comprehensive revision to the 2007 Exceptional Events Rule. The 2016 Exceptional Events Rule revision included the requirement that, if a State demonstrates that emissions from a wildfire smoke event caused a

specific air pollution concentration in excess of the NAAQS at a particular air quality monitoring location and otherwise satisfies the requirements of 40 CFR 50.14, the EPA must exclude that data from the use in determinations of exceedances and violations.²

The CAA provides for the exclusion of air quality monitoring data from design value calculations when there are NAAQS exceedances caused by events, such as wildfires, that meet the criteria for an exceptional event identified in the EPA's Exceptional Events Rule at 40 CFR 50.1, 50.14, and 51.930. For the purposes of this proposed action, on December 8, 2025, Ohio EPA submitted an exceptional events demonstration showing that ozone concentrations recorded at the Cuyahoga County monitors at District 6 with Site ID 39-035-0034 on June 1, 2, and 29, 2023, and at GT Craig Ncore PAMS with Site ID 39-035-0060 on June 1, 2, and 29, 2023, and ozone concentrations recorded at the Lake County monitors at Eastlake with Site ID 39-085-0003 on June 1 and 2, 2023, and at Painesville with Site ID 39-085-0007 on June 1 and 2, 2023, were influenced by wildfires. The EPA concurred on this request on January 12, 2026.

The EPA found that Ohio's demonstration met the Exceptional Events Rule criteria by demonstrating a clear, causal relationship between these wildfire events and the recorded high ozone values in the area. These values have regulatory significance for purposes of calculating the area's most recent air quality design value needed to demonstrate that the area is attaining the ozone air quality standard. Therefore, the EPA is proposing to take final agency action to approve the CDD for the Cleveland nonattainment area. Consistent with CAA section 319(b) and the implementing regulations, the EPA will remove the monitoring data influenced by exceptional events from the dataset used for regulatory purposes. For this proposed action, the EPA will rely on the calculated values that exclude the event-influenced data for the purpose of demonstrating attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Further details on Ohio's analyses and the EPA's concurrence can be found in the docket for this regulatory action.

While the EPA has concurred with Ohio's request to exclude event-influenced air quality monitoring data from regulatory decisions, the EPA must provide an opportunity for public comment on the claimed exceptional events and all supporting data prior to the EPA taking final regulatory action

which relies on the revised data set. This proposed action provides the public with an opportunity to comment on the claimed exceptional events, all supporting documents and the EPA's concurrence with Ohio's request.

III. Clean Data Determination

Following enactment of the CAA Amendments of 1990, the EPA discussed its interpretation of the requirements for implementing the NAAQS in the General Preamble for the Implementation of title I of the CAA Amendments of 1990 (General Preamble), 57 FR 13498, 13564 (April 16, 1992). On November 29, 2005 (70 FR 71612), the EPA set forth what has become known as its "Clean Data Policy" for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. Under the Clean Data Policy, for a nonattainment area that can demonstrate attainment of the standard before implementing CAA nonattainment measures, the EPA interprets the requirements of the CAA that are specifically designed to help an area achieve attainment, such as the requirements for such area to submit attainment demonstrations and associated RACM, RFP plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the ozone NAAQS, to be suspended for as long as air quality continues to meet the standard. Such a determination of attainment under the Clean Data Policy is known informally as a CDD. On December 6, 2018 (83 FR 62998), in the final rule updating implementing regulations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, the EPA codified this policy at 40 CFR 51.1318.

An area is attaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS if it meets the 2015 ozone NAAQS, as determined in accordance with 40 CFR 50.19 and appendix U of part 50, based on three complete, consecutive calendar years of quality-assured air quality data for all monitoring sites in the area. To attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS, the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations (ozone design values) at each monitor must not exceed 0.070 ppm. The air quality data must be collected and quality-assured in accordance with 40 CFR part 58 and recorded in the EPA's AQS. Ambient air quality monitoring data for the 3-year period must also meet data completeness requirements. An ozone design value is valid if daily maximum 8-hour average concentrations are available for at least 90% of the days

¹ Under CAA section 319(b), an exceptional event means an event that: (i) affects air quality; (ii) is not reasonably controllable or preventable; (iii) is an event caused by human activity that is unlikely to recur at a particular location or a natural event; and (iv) is determined by the EPA pursuant to its regulations to be an exceptional event. For the purposes of section 319(b), an exceptional event does not include: (i) stagnation of air masses or meteorological inversions; (ii) a meteorological event involving high temperatures or lack of precipitation; or (iii) air pollution relating to source noncompliance.

² 40 CFR 50.14(b)(4).

within the ozone monitoring seasons,³ on average, for the 3-year period, with a minimum data completeness of 75% during the ozone monitoring season of any year during the 3-year period. See section 4 of appendix U to 40 CFR part 50.

The EPA has reviewed the available ozone monitoring data from Ohio EPA's monitoring sites in the Cleveland area for the 2023–2025 period. These data

were quality assured, recorded in the AQS, and certified in advance of the EPA's publication of this proposal. Ambient air quality monitoring data for the three-year period must meet a data completeness requirement. The EPA did not include data from the Cuyahoga County monitor at Mayfield (site ID: 39–035–5002), which was shut down in 2025 after meeting regulatory requirements and receiving approval

from the EPA. The annual fourth-highest 8-hour ozone concentrations and the 3-year average of these concentrations (monitoring site ozone design values) for all valid monitoring sites are summarized in Table 1. These data demonstrate that the Cleveland area is attaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

TABLE 1—ANNUAL FOURTH-HIGHEST DAILY MAXIMUM 8-HOUR OZONE CONCENTRATIONS AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF THE FOURTH-HIGHEST DAILY MAXIMUM 8-HOUR OZONE CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE CLEVELAND AREA

County	Monitor	2023 4th high (ppm)	2024 4th high (ppm)	2025 4th high (ppm)	2023–2025 average (ppm)
Cuyahoga	39–035–0034	0.068	0.072	0.070	0.070
	39–035–0060	0.062	0.065	0.068	0.065
	39–035–0064	0.075	0.065	0.068	0.069
	^a 39–035–5002	0.073	0.070	Invalid	Invalid
Geauga	39–055–0004	0.066	0.066	0.069	0.067
	39–085–0003	0.069	0.071	0.071	0.070
Lake	39–085–0007	0.070	0.069	0.070	0.069
	39–093–0018	0.064	0.061	0.066	0.063
Medina	39–103–0004	0.072	0.065	0.068	0.068
Portage	39–133–1001	0.070	0.067	0.070	0.069
Summit	39–153–0026	0.071	0.069	0.066	0.068

^a The Mayfield monitor (Site ID: 39–035–5002) in Cuyahoga County was shut down in April 2025. Data from this monitor was not included in the Cleveland area 2023–2025 DV calculation due to incomplete data at the monitor.

The Cleveland area's 3-year ozone design value for 2023–2025 is 0.070 ppm,⁴ which meets the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Therefore, in this action, the EPA proposes to find that the Cleveland area is attaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

Should this action be finalized, the requirements for Ohio EPA to submit attainment demonstrations and associated RACM, RFP plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS for the Cleveland area, would be suspended for as long as the area continues to attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS. 40 CFR 51.1318.

This action does not constitute a determination of attainment by the attainment date under CAA section 181(b)(2). In this action the EPA is considering the area's design value for the 2023–2025 period, which is not the area's design value as of the applicable attainment date.

The EPA will not take final action to determine that the Cleveland area is attaining the NAAQS if the design value of a monitoring site in the area violates the NAAQS prior to final approval of the CDD.

This action does not constitute a redesignation of any portion of the area to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS under section 107(d)(3)(E) of the CAA, nor does it constitute approval of a maintenance plan for any portion of the area as required under section 175A of the CAA, nor does it find that any portion of the area has met all other requirements for redesignation. On December 8, 2025, Ohio submitted a request to redesignate the area to attainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS under CAA section 107(d)(3)(E), and the EPA will take action on Ohio's request in a separate rulemaking. The Cleveland area will remain designated nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS until such time as the EPA determines that the Cleveland area meets CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment and takes a separate action to redesignate the Cleveland area.

IV. What action is the EPA taking?

The EPA is proposing to approve a determination under the CAA that the Cleveland area has attained the 2015 ozone NAAQS. This determination is based upon complete, quality-assured, and certified ambient air monitoring data for the 2023–2025 design period

showing that the area achieved attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The EPA is also proposing to take final agency action on an exceptional events request submitted by Ohio EPA on December 8, 2025, and concurred upon by the EPA on January 12, 2026. As a result of this determination and pursuant to 40 CFR 51.1318, the EPA is proposing to suspend the requirements for the area to submit attainment demonstrations and associated RACM, RFP plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS, for as long as the area continues to attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Additional information about these statutes and Executive Orders can be found at <https://www.epa.gov/lawsregulations/laws-and-executive-orders>.

³ The ozone season is defined by State in 40 CFR 58, appendix D. The ozone season for Ohio is

March–October. See 80 FR 65292, 65466–67 (October 26, 2015).

⁴ The monitor ozone design value for the monitor with the highest 3-year averaged concentration.

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

This action is not a significant regulatory action and was therefore not submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. This action proposes to issue a CDD for the Cleveland area for the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

B. Executive Order 14192: Unleashing Prosperity Through Deregulation

Executive Order 14192 does not apply because it is not a significant regulatory action and is therefore exempted from review under Executive Order 12866.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

D. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the RFA. This action will not impose any requirements on small entities beyond those imposed by state law. The proposed CDD does not create any new requirements and does not directly regulate any entities.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)

This action does not contain any unfunded mandate as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, and does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. This action imposes no enforceable duty on any state, local or Tribal governments or the private sector.

F. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. This action proposes a CDD for the Cleveland nonattainment area under the CAA.

G. Executive Order 13175: Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have Tribal implications, as specified in Executive Order 13175. It will not have substantial direct effects on Tribal governments. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

H. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

The EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern environmental health or safety risks that the EPA has reason to believe may disproportionately affect children, per the definition of “covered regulatory action” in section 2–202 of the Executive Order. Therefore, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it merely proposes a CDD.

I. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 17, 2026.

Anne Vogel,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2026–03934 Filed 2–26–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

42 CFR Part 424

[CMS–6098–NC]

RIN 0938–AV97

Request for Information (RFI) Related to Comprehensive Regulations To Uncover Suspicious Healthcare (CRUSH)

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Request for information.

SUMMARY: This request for information (RFI) solicits stakeholder feedback on potential regulatory changes that might be included in a potential upcoming CRUSH proposed rule, as well as other programmatic changes that could be implemented to make CMS more effective in crushing fraud to protect taxpayer dollars and the Americans we serve.

DATES: To be assured consideration, comments must be received at one of the addresses provided below, by March 30, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen O’Malley, (410) 786–8987.

ADDRESSES: In commenting, refer to file code CMS–6098–NC.

Comments, including mass comment submissions, must be submitted in one of the following three ways (please choose only one of the ways listed):

1. *Electronically.* You may submit electronic comments on this regulation to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the “Submit a comment” instructions.

2. *By regular mail.* You may mail written comments to the following address ONLY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: CMS–6098–NC, P.O. Box 8013, Baltimore, MD 21244–8013.

Please allow sufficient time for mailed comments to be received before the close of the comment period.

3. *By express or overnight mail.* You may send written comments to the following address ONLY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: CMS–6098–NC, Mail Stop C4–26–05, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

[*Note:* This ZIP code is for express mail or courier delivery only. This ZIP code specifies the agency’s physical location.]

For information on viewing public comments, see the beginning of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Inspection of Public Comments: All comments received before the close of the comment period are available for viewing by the public, including any personally identifiable or confidential business information that is included in a comment. We post all comments received before the close of the comment period on the following website as soon as possible after they have been received: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the search instructions on that website to view public comments. CMS will not post on *Regulations.gov* public comments that make threats to individuals or institutions or suggest that the commenter will take actions to harm an individual. CMS continues to encourage individuals not to submit duplicative comments. We will post acceptable comments from multiple unique commenters even if the content is identical or nearly identical to other comments. CMS encourages commenters to include supporting facts,