

must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On February 10, 2026, the Fresh Mushrooms Fair Trade Coalition and its individual members (*i.e.*, the petitioners) timely requested that Commerce postpone the preliminary CVD determination.³ The petitioners stated a postponement is warranted to provide Commerce with additional time to review and to analyze the questionnaire responses submitted by the Government of Canada and by the respondents, and to issue supplemental questionnaires.⁴

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioners have timely stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny this request. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated, *i.e.*, May 12, 2026.

Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination of this CVD investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: February 12, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026-03194 Filed 2-17-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 4, 2026, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Limited v. United States*, Court No. 21-00049, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s first remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand covering the period March 1, 2018, through February 28, 2019. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Limited (Saha Thai).

DATES: Applicable February 14, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Cloyd, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1246.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 27, 2021, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the *Final Results* of the 2018–2019 AD administrative review of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand.¹ Commerce found that Saha Thai did not provide requested information with respect to a substantial portion of its U.S. sales and failed to act to the best of its ability.² As a result, Commerce reached its final determination based on facts otherwise available, including the application of adverse inferences.³

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments, In Part; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 7259 (January 29, 2021) (*Final Results*).

² *Id.*

³ *Id.*

Saha Thai appealed Commerce's *Final Results*. On December 2, 2022, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce, holding that Commerce had failed to provide notice and an opportunity to remedy as required by law and that it had failed to explain adequately in the record the reason that it chose to draw and adverse inference.⁴ The CIT found that Commerce's decision was not supported by substantial evidence or in accordance with the law and remanded the issue to Commerce for further proceedings.⁵

In its final remand redetermination, issued in July 2025, Commerce reopened the record of the administrative review, solicited a full revised questionnaire response from Saha Thai, and recalculated Saha Thai's weighted-average dumping margin by including all sales of dual-stenciled pipe.⁶ Commerce also analyzed a particular market situation (PMS) in its final remand redetermination, finding that the nature of Saha Thai's costs of producing subject merchandise was outside the ordinary course and trade and indicated an extraordinary circumstance that warrants a PMS adjustment.⁷ The CIT sustained Commerce's final remand redetermination.⁸

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁹ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹⁰ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's February 4, 2026, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

⁴ See *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd., v. United States*, 605 F. Supp. 3d 1348 (CIT 2022) (*Remand Order*).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd., v. United States*, 605 F. Supp. 3d 1348 (CIT 2022), dated July 31, 2025 (*Final Remand*).

⁷ See *Final Remand*.

⁸ See *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Limited v. United States*, Ct. No. 21-00049, Slip Op. 26-9 (CIT February 4, 2026).

⁹ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹⁰ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Petitioners' Request for Postponement of Preliminary Determination," dated February 10, 2026.

⁴ *Id.*

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Saha Thai as follows:

Exporter/producer	Remand weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd	0.00

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Saha Thai has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that were: (1) produced and exported by Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Limited; (2) the subject of Commerce’s *Final Results*; and (3) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period March 1, 2018, through February 28, 2019. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT’s ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise (1) produced and exported by Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Limited; (2) the subject of Commerce’s *Final Results*; and (3) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period March 1, 2018, through February 28, 2019, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹¹ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 12, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2026–03193 Filed 2–17–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters of stainless steel bar (SS Bar) from India made sales at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR), February 1, 2023, through January 31, 2024.

DATES: Applicable February 18, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3477.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 11, 2025, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the *Preliminary Results* of the 2023–2024 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on SS Bar from India.¹ On August 5, 2025, we issued the Post-Preliminary Results of this administrative review.² On August 6, 2025, we invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and on the Post-Preliminary Results, in which Commerce revised the

¹ See *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results and Intent to Rescind, In Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024*, 90 FR 24566 (June 11, 2025) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, “Post-Preliminary Analysis for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Bar from India,” dated August 5, 2025 (Post-Preliminary Results).

differential pricing methodology.³ On September 18, 2025, we extended the deadline for issuing the final results of administrative review by 57 days to December 5, 2025.⁴ Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.⁵ Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.⁶ Accordingly, the deadline for these final results is now February 11, 2026.

For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁸

The products covered by the *Order* are SS Bar from India. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at

³ See Memorandum, “Deadlines for Case and Rebuttal Briefs,” dated August 6, 2025; see also Memorandum, “Extension of Deadlines for Case and Rebuttal Briefs,” dated August 11, 2025.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024,” dated September 18, 2025.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,” dated November 14, 2025.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Tolling of all Case Deadlines,” dated November 24, 2025.

⁷ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India; 2023–2024,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁸ See *Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India and Japan*, 60 FR 9661 (February 21, 1995) (*Orders*).

⁹ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.