

Presidential Proclamations that continue or supplement PP 10998 or PP 10949, I hereby delegate to the Assistant Secretary for Consular Affairs, to the extent authorized by law, the authority under sections 6(d) through 6(f) of PP 10998, sections 4(c) and 4(d) of PP 10949 as continued and supplemented by PP 10998, and relevant authority in subsequent Proclamations to determine that travel by a foreign national would serve a United States national interest.

The Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources, and the Under Secretary for Management may exercise any function or authority delegated by this delegation. The authorities delegated herein may be redelegated, to the extent authorized by law.

This delegation of authority supersedes Delegation of Authority 588 and will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 28, 2026.

**Marco Rubio,**

*Secretary of State, U.S. Department of State.*  
[FR Doc. 2026-02762 Filed 2-10-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### Delegation of Authority No. 613

#### Delegation of Authority

#### Executive Order 14204

By the virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of State, including section 1 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act (22 U.S.C. 2651a), and E.O. 14204 of February 7, 2025, I hereby delegate to the Under Secretary for Foreign Assistance, Humanitarian Affairs, and Religious Freedom, to the extent authorized by law, the authority under section 3 of E.O. 14204 to permit the provision of foreign aid or assistance that, in the discretion of the relevant agency head, is necessary or appropriate.

Any act, executive order, regulation, or procedure subject to, or affected by, this delegation shall be deemed to be such act, executive order, regulation, or procedure as amended from time to time.

The Secretary, the Deputy Secretary, or the Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources may at any time exercise any authority or function delegated herein.

This delegation of authority shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 15, 2025.

**Marco Rubio,**

*Secretary of State, U.S. Department of State.*  
[FR Doc. 2026-02752 Filed 2-10-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-26-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. FAA-2026-1344]

**RIN 2120-AL84**

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a Renewed Approval of Information Collection: Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA) Program; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On January 28, 2026, FAA published a notice and request for comments titled “Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a Renewed Approval of Information Collection: Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA) Program.” That notice and request for comments incorrectly stated the docket number. This notice corrects the docket number.

**DATES:** Applicable February 11, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean C. Denniston, Flight Standards, Office of Safety Standards, Safety Management Branch (AFS-940), Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Safety Standards, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; email [sean.denniston@faa.gov](mailto:sean.denniston@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 28, 2026, FR Doc. 2026-01658, “Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a Renewed Approval of Information Collection: Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA) Program” notice was published in the **Federal Register**, at 91 FR 3766. After publication, the FAA discovered that the docket number was incorrect. This was not the FAA’s intent. The old docket number FAA-2120-0660 has been removed and replaced by the new docket number FAA-2026-1344.

Issued in Washington, DC.

**Hugh J. Thomas,**

*Acting Executive Director, Flight Standards Service.*

[FR Doc. 2026-02716 Filed 2-10-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2025-0128]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Notice and Request for Comment; Drive-Mode Design Best Practices

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments on a request for approval of a new information collection.

**SUMMARY:** NHTSA invites public comments about our intention to request approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for a new information collection. Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from OMB. Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections. This document describes a collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval on a one-time voluntary experiment which will examine how different drive mode implementations affect driver attention and performance compared to standard interfaces.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 13, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by the Docket No. NHTSA-2025-0128 through any of the following methods:

- **Electronic submissions:** Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- **Fax:** (202) 493-2251.
- **Mail or Hand Delivery:** Docket Management, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 366-9322 before coming.

**Instructions:** All submissions must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any

personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit <https://www.transportation.gov/privacy>.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets via internet.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or access to background documents, contact Starla Weaver, Office of Vehicle Crash Avoidance and Electronic Controls Research, Human Factors Division (NSR-310), W46-424, 202-366-7409, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) how to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.* permitting electronic submission of responses. In compliance with these

requirements, NHTSA asks for public comments on the following proposed collection of information for which the agency is seeking approval from OMB.

**Title:** Drive-Mode Design Best Practices.

**OMB Control Number:** New.

**Form Number(s):** NHSTA Form 2112, 2113, 2114, and 2115.

**Type of Request:** Approval of a new information collection request.

**Type of Review Requested:** Regular.

**Requested Expiration Date of Approval:** Three years from date of approval.

**Summary of the Collection of Information:** The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of the U.S. Department of Transportation is seeking approval for a one-time voluntary information collection from 96 licensed drivers of various ages for a research study which will examine how different drive mode implementations affect driver attention and performance compared to standard interfaces. NHTSA expects to provide screening questionnaires to 300 potential participants in the greater Phoenix area to determine their eligibility for this experiment.

Recruiting participants for this study has an estimated burden of approximately 75 hours for the screening questions. The data collection will include a test track component and a cones course component, in which 36 participants are estimated to be eligible and interested in each. (While the goal is 36 final participants per experiment, the research team will ensure eligibility and interest of up to 96 participants total to account for potential attrition and replacement). The test track experiment has a total expected burden of 128 hours, and the cones course experiment has a total expected burden of 104 hours. In the test track

experiment, participants will perform tasks on a mobile phone in a stationary vehicle, while wearing occlusion glasses, and while driving around a test track. In the cones course experiment, participants will perform tasks while driving through a cones course. Across both experiments, data will be collected by the experimenter who will provide instructions to the participant and will observe participant performance; using GoPro cameras that will monitor the participant and the driving environment; and using the Ergoneers Dikablis Glasses X eye-tracking system, which will record gaze position, pupil diameter, and blink behavior. The total expected burden for this collection is 331 hours. NHTSA will use this information to produce a technical report that will provide summary

figures and tables, as well as the results of statistical analysis of the information. No identifying information or individual responses will be reported. The technical report will be shared with NHTSA and the Department of Transportation. Members of the general public would have access to the aggregated information when written reports are published. This project involves approval by an institutional review board, which the contractor will obtain before contacting potential participants. This collection will be used to generate evidence-based best practices for the design of future drive mode applications and functionalities for mobile phones operating independently of in-vehicle systems.

**Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use of the Information:** Driver distraction remains a significant safety threat, claiming thousands of lives annually, according to the latest data from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).<sup>1</sup> NHTSA has implemented a multi-faceted approach to combat this issue, including public awareness campaigns like "Put the Phone Away or Pay," increased law enforcement visibility,<sup>2</sup> and the development of Driver Distraction Guidelines for in-vehicle electronic device design.<sup>3</sup>

A key focus of the NHTSA Distraction Guidelines has been addressing visual-manual distractions, which are a major safety concern with in-vehicle systems.<sup>4</sup> The guidelines established test protocols and acceptance criteria for

<sup>1</sup> National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2024). *Distracted driving in 2022* (Report No. DOT HS 813 559). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

<sup>2</sup> Chaudhary, N.K., Connolly, J., Tison, J., Solomon, M., & Elliott, K. (2015). *Evaluation of the NHTSA distracted driving high-visibility enforcement demonstration projects in California and Delaware*. (Report No. DOT HS 812 108). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

<sup>3</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2013). *Visual-manual NHTSA driver distraction guidelines for in-vehicle electronic devices* (**Federal Register** Vol. 78, No. 81). Washington, DC.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2014). *Visual-manual NHTSA driver distraction guidelines for in-vehicle electronic devices* (**Federal Register** Vol. 79, No. 179). Washington, DC.

<sup>4</sup> Fitch, G.A., Soccilich, S.A., Guo, F., McClafferty, J., Fang, Y., Olson, R.L., Perez, M.A., Hanowski, R.J., Hankey, J.M., & Dingus, T.A. (2013). *The impact of hand-held and hands-free cell phone use on driving performance and safety-critical event risk*. (Report No. DOT HS 811 757). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Klauer, S.G., Dingus, T.A., Neale, V.L., Sudweeks, J.D., & Ramsey, D.J. (2006). *The impact of driver inattention on near-crash/crash risk: An analysis using the 100-car naturalistic driving study data*. (Report No. DOT HS 810 594). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

measuring this type of distraction.<sup>5</sup> In 2016, NHTSA proposed expanding these guidelines to include portable and aftermarket devices.<sup>6</sup> This proposal advocated for pairing smartphones with vehicle systems, contributing to the widespread adoption of platforms like Apple CarPlay and Android Auto. NHTSA also promoted “drive mode” for unpaired mobile phones, defining it as a simplified user interface designed for safe driving.<sup>7</sup> To further refine its research agenda, NHTSA convened a Distraction Action Forum in August 2024.

Drive mode limits phone functionality and simplifies the human-machine interface (HMI).

This collection will provide answers to NHTSA’s objectives for this task order, which include determining how the interface of cell phones and electronic devices differ when operating in drive mode relative to their standard operations, determining what changes in functionality occur when drive mode is enabled, determining how much variability exists across different driver mode implementations, determining how well drive mode interfaces and functionality comply with the recommendations in the NHSTA Driver Distraction Guidelines, and identifying what factors influence user acceptance and use of drive mode. This collection will be used to investigate how drive

mode implementations impact driver attention and performance as compared to their standard interfaces. NHTSA will use the information gathered to produce a technical report that presents the results of the study. The technical report will provide summary statistics and tables, as well as the results of data analysis of the information, but it will not include any personally identifiable information. The technical report will be published to the National Transportation Library and available to the general public. The report may also be of interest to a variety of stakeholders, including automotive manufacturers, suppliers, researchers, safety advocates, and regulators. The study results will provide NHTSA with valuable information to support initiatives to generate evidence-based best practices for the design of future drive mode applications and functionalities for mobile phones operating independently of in-vehicle systems. The results support the agency’s mission to reduce the number of deaths, injuries, and economic losses resulting from motor vehicle crashes related to driver distraction on U.S. roads.

*Affected Public:* Study volunteers in the Phoenix, Arizona area between the ages of 18 and 60. Of the selected participants, equal numbers of males and females will be recruited.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* The study anticipates screening 300 potential participants to obtain 96 individuals who meet the inclusion criteria. It is estimated that approximately 35% of those who begin the screening questionnaire will be eligible and will agree to participate in the study. While the goal is 36 final participants per experiment, (72 participants total) the research team will ensure eligibility and interest of up to 96 participants total to account for potential attrition and data loss.

*Frequency:* This is a one-time information collection, and there will be no recurrence.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 111.

The annual estimated burden is 111 hours. This estimate includes 25 hours for 100 potential participants to complete the initial screening. The annual burden estimate also includes 8 hours for 32 participants to review the consent form. An additional 43 hours are estimated for the 16 annual participants in the test track experiment and 35 hours for the 16 annual participants in the cones course experiment. The total burden is the sum of the burden across screening, consenting, and completing the test track or cones course drive. The details are presented in Table 1 and Table 2 below:

TABLE 1—TOTAL STUDY BURDEN HOURS

Form No.	Information collection	Number of respondents	Time per response (minutes)	Frequency of response	Total burden hours	Total opportunity costs
NHTSA 2112 .....	Screening Questionnaire ....	300	15	1	75	\$3,082
NHTSA 2113 & 2115 .....	Informed Consent .....	96	15	1	24	986
NHTSA 2114 .....	Study Drive (Test Track) ....	48	160	1	128	5,260
NHTSA 2114 .....	Study Drive (Cones Course)	48	130	1	104	4,273
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	331	13,901

TABLE 2—ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Form No.	Information collection	Number of respondents	Time per response (minutes)	Opportunity cost per response	Frequency of response	Total burden hours	Total opportunity costs
NHTSA 2112 .....	Screening Questionnaire.	100	15	\$10.27	1	25	\$1,027
NHTSA 2113 & 2115 ..	Informed Consent.	32	15	10.27	1	8	329
NHTSA 2114 .....	Study Drive (Test Track).	16	160	109.57	1	43	1,753

<sup>5</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2013). *Visual-manual NHTSA driver distraction guidelines for in-vehicle electronic devices* (Federal Register Vol. 78, No. 81). Washington, DC.

<sup>6</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2016). *Visual-manual NHTSA driver distraction guidelines for portable and aftermarket devices—Notice for Comment* (Federal Register Vol. 81, No. 233). Washington, DC.

<sup>7</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2016). *Visual-manual NHTSA driver distraction guidelines for portable and aftermarket devices—Notice for Comment* (Federal Register Vol. 81, No. 233). Washington, DC.

TABLE 2—ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES—Continued

Form No.	Information collection	Number of respondents	Time per response (minutes)	Opportunity cost per response	Frequency of response	Total burden hours	Total opportunity costs
NHTSA 2114 .....	Study Drive (Cones Course).	16	130	89.03	1	35	1,424
Annual Estimates	.....	.....	.....	.....	111	4,533	

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Cost:** Participation in this study is voluntary, and there are no costs to respondents beyond the time spent completing the questionnaires and visits to the study facility. Further, there is no preparation of data required or expected of respondents, thus there are no record keeping costs to the respondents. Participants do not incur capital and start-up costs, nor do they incur fuel costs as the vehicles being driven are not the participants vehicles. Individuals will complete one study drive, either the test track drive or the cones course drive. For individuals who participate in the test track study, they will be offered \$375 as compensation for their participation. For individuals who participate in the cones course study, participants will be offered \$300 as compensation for completing the study requirements. Our experience indicates that anything less than the rate of \$150 per hour for total compensation would likely result in failure to recruit enough participants to provide adequate statistical power. This level of compensation is in line with past similar efforts given the activities it requires of participants.

**Public Comments Invited:** You are asked to comment on any aspects of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

(Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; 49 CFR 1.49; and DOT Order 1351.29A.)

**Cem Hatipoglu,**  
*Associate Administrator, Vehicle Safety Research.*  
[FR Doc. 2026-02657 Filed 2-10-26; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA-2026-0397]

### Pipeline Safety: Advisory Bulletin on Protecting Pipeline Integrity During Extreme Winter Weather, Rapid Thaw, and Geohazard Events

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice; issuance of advisory bulletin.

**SUMMARY:** PHMSA is issuing this advisory bulletin to all owners and operators of gas and hazardous liquid pipeline facilities to highlight safety risks associated with extreme winter weather. This includes impacts from heavy snowfall, ice expansion within pipeline facilities, flooding related to winter thaw, and frost heave resulting from sustained, unusually cold temperatures. This bulletin emphasizes the need for winterizing facilities in anticipation of cold weather events and heightened monitoring of ground movement and external loads to ensure the continued safe operation of the Nation's energy infrastructure.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Owners and operators of pipelines subject to regulation by PHMSA should contact the appropriate PHMSA Region Office. The PHMSA Region Offices and their contact information are as follows:

- **Eastern Region:** 609-771-7800 (Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania,

Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia).

- **Southern Region:** 404-832-1147 (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, and Tennessee).

- **Central Region:** 816-329-3800 (Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin).

- **Southwest Region:** 713-272-2859 (Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas).

- **Western Region:** 720-963-3160 (Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming).

Owners and operators of intrastate pipelines should contact the appropriate State pipeline safety authority. A list of State pipeline safety authorities is available at [www.napsr.org](http://www.napsr.org).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The winter of 2025–2026 has brought record-breaking snowfall and unusually low temperatures to many regions across the United States. PHMSA is aware that certain parts of the country are experiencing temperatures significantly below historical norms. These extreme conditions present unique risks to pipeline systems that may not have been originally designed for sustained sub-zero environments or the rapid changes associated with a winter thaw.

### I. Identified Safety Threats

#### 1. Heavy Snowfall and Ice Accumulation

Excessive snow and ice accumulation can impose significant external loads on above-ground pipeline facilities, including tank roofs, valves, regulators, and meter sets. Heavy snow can also block essential vents for pressure relief valves or gas regulators, potentially leading to overpressure conditions or the accumulation of hazardous vapors in confined spaces.

#### 2. Rapid Winter Thaw and Flooding

As temperatures rise following heavy snowfall, the resulting “winter thaw” often leads to localized flooding and