

accused products infringe claims 1 and 5 of the '130 patent; (4) Samsara has not satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '130 patent; (5) Motive has shown that claims 1 and 5 of the '130 patent are invalid as patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101; (6) Samsara has not shown that Motive's "Fuel Score v3" infringes claim 15 of the '373 patent; (7) Samsara has not shown that the accused products infringe claim 18 of the '373 patent; (8) Motive has shown that claims 15 and 18 of the '373 patent are invalid as patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101; (9) Samsara has not satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '373 patent; and (10) Samsara has not satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for any of the Asserted Patents.

Also on September 22, 2025, Motive filed a contingent petition requesting review of the FID's findings that: (1) the accused products and asserted domestic industry products satisfy certain limitations of the '130 patent; (2) claims 1 and 5 of the '130 patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over certain prior art; and (3) the accused products satisfy certain limitations of the '373 patent. On the same day, OUII also filed a contingent petition requesting review of the FID's findings that the accused products satisfy certain limitations of the '130 patent and the '373 patent.

On November 19, 2025, Motive filed a combined response to Samsara's and OUII's petitions for review. On the same day, Samsara filed a response to Motive's contingent petition for review. On November 21, 2025, OUII filed a combined response to Samsara's and Motive's petitions.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the FID, the parties' petitions for review, and related submissions, the Commission has determined to review the FID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the FID's findings that: (1) Motive has shown that claims 1 and 5 of the '130 patent are patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101; (2) Samsara has not satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '373 patent; and (3) Samsara has failed to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for any of the Asserted Patents. On review, the Commission has determined to take no position on the issues under review. The Commission declines review of the remaining issues in the FID and has determined that there has been no violation of section 337.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 5, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 5, 2026.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2026-02577 Filed 2-9-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-510 and 731-TA-1245 (Second Review)]

### Calcium Hypochlorite From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on calcium hypochlorite from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 2, 2025 (90 FR 23361) and determined on September 5, 2025 that it would conduct expedited reviews (90 FR 57098, December 9, 2025).<sup>2</sup>

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 6, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5701 (February 2026), entitled *Calcium Hypochlorite from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-510 and 731-TA-1245 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the Commission tolled its schedule for this proceeding.

Issued: February 6, 2026.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2026-02645 Filed 2-9-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB# 1110-0078]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision and Renewal of a Previously Approved Collection; Voice of Customer Survey

**AGENCY:** Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Private Sector, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Private Sector will be submitting the following information collection request renewal to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until April 13, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Christopher Johnston, Supervisory Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Private Sector, 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20535, [cbjohnston@fbi.gov](mailto:cbjohnston@fbi.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Office of Private Sector, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and