

**(h) Exceptions to EASA AD 2024–0212**

(1) Where EASA AD 2024–0212 refers to its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where paragraph (1) of EASA AD 2024–0212 specifies to inform all flight crews and, thereafter, operate the helicopter accordingly, this AD does not require those actions as those actions are already required by existing FAA operating regulations (see 14 CFR 91.505 and 14 CFR 135.21).

(3) Where paragraph (2) of EASA AD 2024–0212 specifies “the same content as defined in the SB”, this AD requires replacing that text with “information identical to that in Section II Normal Procedures as defined in the material referenced in EASA AD 2024–0212”.

**Note 1 to paragraph (h)(3):** The serial numbers in paragraph 1 of the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS of Leonardo Helicopters Service Bulletin 412–163, dated January 27, 2021, reference the titles of the applicable Leonardo Flight Manuals.

(4) This AD does not adopt the “Remarks” section of EASA AD 2024–0212.

**(i) No Reporting Requirement**

Although the material referenced in EASA AD 2024–0212 specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

**(j) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)**

(1) The Manager, International Validation Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the International Validation Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (k) of this AD and email to: [AMOC@faa.gov](mailto:AMOC@faa.gov).

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

**(k) Additional Information**

For more information about this AD, contact Camille Seay, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; phone: (817) 222–5149; email: [camille.l.seay@faa.gov](mailto:camille.l.seay@faa.gov).

**(l) Material Incorporated by Reference**

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(i) European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD 2024–0212, dated November 13, 2024.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) For EASA material identified in this AD, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; phone: +49 221

8999 000; email: [ADs@easa.europa.eu](mailto:ADs@easa.europa.eu); website: [easa.europa.eu](http://easa.europa.eu). You may find the EASA material on the EASA website at [ad.easa.europa.eu](http://ad.easa.europa.eu).

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Room 6N-321, Fort Worth, TX 76177. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (817) 222 5110.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit [www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations) or email [fr.inspection@nara.gov](mailto:fr.inspection@nara.gov).

Issued on January 20, 2026.

**Steven W. Thompson,**

*Acting Deputy Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2026–02139 Filed 2–2–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2026–0017 at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this temporary interim rule, call or email Stephanie E. Lopez, Northeast District Bridge Management Specialist, U. S. Coast Guard, telephone 571–608–5676, [Stephanie.E.Lopez@uscg.mil](mailto:Stephanie.E.Lopez@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
Pub. L. Public Law  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

**II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary interim rule under the authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. This bridge is non-operational and will remain non-operational until rehabilitation work can be completed.

On September 12, 2025, the Coast Guard issued a General Deviation that allowed the bridge owner, Westchester County Department of Public Works and Transportation to deviate from the current operating schedule in 33 CFR 117.802(a). This deviation allowed the bridge to remain in the closed position to conduct rehabilitation of the existing bridge. The construction schedule anticipated subsequent deviations to complete rehabilitation work and remove the temporary bridge, with project completion anticipated in October 2026. However, the bridge owner notified the Coast Guard that rehabilitation work is ahead of schedule and requested extending the current deviation to complete all rehabilitation work and remove the temporary bridge by June 26, 2026. Under this new construction schedule, the existing bridge cannot be brought back to an operating condition until rehabilitation

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

**[Docket No. USCG–2026–0017]**

**RIN 1625–AA09**

**Drawbridge Operation Regulation; New Rochelle Harbor, Westchester County, NY**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary Interim Rule with request for comments

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is temporarily modifying the operating schedule that governs the Glen Island Bridge, across New Rochelle Harbor, mile 0.8, in Westchester County, NY. This modification is required to complete the rehabilitation of the existing bridge and removal of the temporary bridge. Approving this temporary modification will allow the bridge to return to normal operating schedule and complete the rehabilitation project earlier than originally scheduled.

**DATES:** This temporary interim rule is effective February 3, 2026 through 5 p.m. on June 26, 2026.

Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before March 5, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number (USCG–2026–0017) in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. In the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material”.

work is complete and traffic is shifted from the temporary bridge. Therefore, there is insufficient time to provide a reasonable comment period and then consider those comments before issuing the modification.

However, we will be soliciting comments on this rulemaking during the first 30 days while this rule is in effect. If the Coast Guard determines that changes to the temporary interim rule are necessary, we will publish a temporary final rule or other appropriate document.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. For reasons presented above, delaying the effective date of this rule would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest due to the fact that the bridge is currently inoperable and will not be back into operation until the rehabilitation work can be completed.

### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary interim rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 499 which gives us the authority to regulate drawbridge operation schedules.

The Glen Island Bridge, across New Rochelle Harbor, mile 0.8, is a steel bascule bridge with a temporary steel bascule bridge adjacent. Both bridges have a vertical clearance of 13 feet in the closed position and unlimited in the open position, the bridge operates under 33 CFR 117.802(a).

On December 19, 2025, Westchester County Department of Public Works and Transportation conducted a meeting with USCG Northeast District bridge management staff to discuss the project's schedule. The bridge owner proposed a new schedule that will speed construction and bring the bridge back to normal operating regulation by late June 2026 instead of the original completion date of late October 2026.

This waterway is transited primarily by recreational vessels with a maximum length of 75 feet, maximum beam 20 feet, and maximum height of 80 feet. The bridge owner has been in contact with local waterway users, including the Huguenot Yacht Club, commercial mariners, the Westchester Police Department, and local residents, none of whom expressed objections to the revised construction schedule.

### IV. Discussion of the Temporary Interim Rule

The current temporary deviation approved on September 12, 2025, allows the bridge to remain in the closed position from 7 a.m. on October 2, 2025,

through 5 p.m. on April 29, 2026. Additional deviations would have been required for bridge closures from 7 a.m. on August 11, 2026, through 5 p.m. on August 17, 2026, and from 7 a.m. on October 2, 2026, through 5 p.m. on October 29, 2026. The new deviation will allow the bridge to remain in the closed position through 5 p.m. on June 26, 2026. At this time, no additional deviations will be required to complete the rehabilitation project to the existing bridge and remove the temporary bridge.

### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this temporary interim rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive Orders.

#### A. Impact on Small Entities

The regulatory flexibility analysis provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to rules that are not subject to notice and comment. Because the Coast Guard has, for good cause, waived the notice and comment requirement that would otherwise apply to this rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act's flexibility analysis provisions do not apply here.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### B. Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Government

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the

various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### E. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01, Rev.1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning Policy COMDTINST 5090.1 (series) which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f). The Coast Guard has determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges and is categorically excluded from further review, under paragraph L49, of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

Neither a Record of Environmental Consideration nor a Memorandum for the Record are required for this rule.

### VI. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this

document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

**Submitting comments.** We encourage you to submit comments at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2026-0017 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

**Viewing material in docket.** To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. Also, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts through the “Subscribe” option, you will be notified when comments/updates are posted, or a final rule is published.

**Personal information.** We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

##### Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

#### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05-1; and DHS Delegation No. 00170.1. Revision No. 01.4.

■ 2. Amend § 117.802 by:

■ a. Staying paragraph (a).

■ b. Adding paragraph (c).

The addition reads as follows:

#### § 117.802 New Rochelle Harbor.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) The draw of the Glen Island Bridge, mile 0.8, across New Rochelle Harbor at New Rochelle, may be

maintained in the closed to navigation position until 5 p.m. on June 26, 2026.

#### M.E. Platt,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Northeast Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2026-02175 Filed 2-2-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2026-0003]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone; Ice Accumulations; Allegheny River, Pittsburgh, PA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all waters of the Allegheny River within the Captain of the Port Pittsburgh Zone, which includes mile marker 1.0 to mile marker 72.0 on the Allegheny River. This safety zone is needed to protect persons, property, and vessels transiting the area from the hazards associated with ice accumulations on the waterways. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) Pittsburgh or a designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective without actual notice from February 3, 2026, through March 1, 2026. For purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from January 29, 2026, through February 3, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** To view available documents go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for USCG-2026-0003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, contact Petty Officer Brett Lanzel, MSU Pittsburgh, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 206-815-6624, or email [Brett.J.Lanzel@uscg.mil](mailto:Brett.J.Lanzel@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

COTP Captain of the Port

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking

§ Section

U.S.C. United States Code

#### II. Background and Authority

The COTP Pittsburgh Zone has recently experienced consecutive weeks of sub-freezing temperatures resulting in significant ice accumulations on the Allegheny River. This ice is obstructing the waterway and presents a significant risk of damage to vessels that may transit through or within this area. The Captain of the Port (COTP) Pittsburgh has determined that potential hazards associated with ice accumulation are a safety concern for anyone on the Allegheny River. Therefore, the COTP is issuing this rule under the authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034, which is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone.

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule without prior notice and comment. As is authorized by 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Due to the emergent ice situation, the Coast Guard must establish this safety zone immediately to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment. Therefore, we do not have enough time to solicit and respond to comments.

For the same reasons, the Coast Guard finds that under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### III. Discussion of the Rule

The Coast Guard is establishing a safety zone for all waters of the Allegheny Rivers within the COTP Pittsburgh Zone, which includes mile marker 1.0 to mile marker 72.0 on the Allegheny River. Entry into this zone is prohibited to all vessels and persons, except persons and vessels specifically authorized by the COTP Pittsburgh. This rule is effective immediately and will be enforced from January 29, 2026, until March 1, 2026, or until ice conditions within the COTP Pittsburgh Zone have improved, whichever occurs earlier.

The COTP Pittsburgh will inform the public through Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNM) of details regarding enforcement and any changes to this safety zone during ice accumulation conditions.

#### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.