

The Port's authority to acquire Segment 3 was previously approved in *Port of Moses Lake—Acquisition Exemption—Moses Lake, Wash. (Aug. 2009 Decision)*, FD 34936 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Aug. 27, 2009). The *Aug. 2009 Decision* did not authorize the Port to acquire the Line. The Port states that it consummated its acquisition of Segment 3 and the Line on March 10, 2025. According to the Port, it is seeking authority to purchase the Line in accordance with the Board's September 12, 2025 decision in FD 34936 (Sub-No. 1). The Port states that CBRW will be the operator of the Line and that CBRW will seek an operation exemption before operations begin on the completed Project.

The Port certifies that the proposed transaction does not involve an interchange commitment. The Port also certifies that its projected annual revenues are not expected to exceed \$5 million and that the proposed transaction will not result in the Port becoming a Class I or Class II rail carrier.

The transaction may be consummated on or after February 20, 2026, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the verified notice was filed).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than February 13, 2026 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

All pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36904, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board either via e-filing on the Board's website or in writing addressed to 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on the Port's representative, Sandra L. Brown, Thompson Hine LLP, 1919 M Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036.

According to the Port, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c) and from historic preservation reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.8(b).

Board decisions and notices are available at [www.stb.gov](http://www.stb.gov).

Decided: January 28, 2026.

By the Board, Anika S. Cooper, Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel.

**Stefan Rice,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2026-01887 Filed 1-29-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2025-0622]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Approval of a New Information Collection Request: Restoring Integrity to the Issuance of Non-Domiciled Commercial Drivers Licenses (CDL)

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FMCSA announces its plan to submit the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. On September 29, 2025, FMCSA issued an interim final rule to restore the integrity of the commercial driver's license (CDL) issuance processes by significantly limiting the authority for SDLAs to issue and renew non-domiciled commercial learner's permits (CLPs) and CDLs to individuals domiciled in a foreign jurisdiction. That interim final rule included a new collection of information, OMB Control Number: 2126-0087, "Non-Domiciled Commercial Driver's License Records," which was approved by OIRA in September 2025 on an emergency basis. That emergency approval expires on February 28, 2026. FMCSA will submit this information collection request for a full three-year approval.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received on or before March 2, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip Thomas, Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Safety, FMCSA,

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001; (202) 366-9554; [Philip.Thomas@dot.gov](mailto:Philip.Thomas@dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Title:** Non-Domiciled Commercial Driver's License Records.

**OMB Control Number:** 2125-0087.

**Type of Request:** Renewal.

**Respondents:** SDLAs issuing non-domiciled CDLs.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:**

51.

**Estimated Time per Response:** 15 minutes.

**Expiration Date:** February 28, 2026.

**Frequency of Response:** Ongoing.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden:** 1500 hours.

#### Background:

This information collection request (ICR) covers the collection and retention of the documentation provided to a SDLA during the application process for a non-domiciled CLP or CDL. The requirements found in this ICR were promulgated in an interim final rule (IFR), published on September 29, 2025 (90 FR 46509). FMCSA received approval for the ICR on September 28, 2025, and that approval is set to expire on February 28, 2026. On November 13, 2025, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a stay pending review of the IFR. (*see Lujan v FMCSA*, 2025 WL 3182504). FMCSA is seeking a full three-year approval of the ICR, despite the stay, in order to ensure that the collection will be able to be enforced as soon as the stay is lifted or, alternately, as soon as FMCSA is able to issue a final rule.

The IFR and this ICR are based on the broad authority of the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986 (CMVSA, 49 U.S.C. 31301, *et seq.*), as amended, which was also the basis on which FMCSA relied in establishing the CDL program and the performance standards with which State CDL programs must comply. The statute requires the Secretary of Transportation (Secretary), after consultation with the States, to prescribe uniform minimum standards "for testing and ensuring the fitness of an individual operating a commercial motor vehicle" (49 U.S.C. 31305(a)). In addition, the statute requires States that issue non-domiciled CDLs to do so in accordance with regulations established by the Secretary (49 U.S.C. 31311(a)(12)(B)(ii)). The Administrator of FMCSA is delegated authority under 49 U.S.C. 113(f) and 49 CFR 1.87 to carry out the functions vested in the Secretary by 49 U.S.C. chapters 311, 313, and 315 as they relate to CMV operators, programs, and safety.

The IFR and this ICR are also consistent with the concurrent

authorities of the Motor Carrier Safety Act of 1984 (49 U.S.C. 31131, *et seq.*), as amended, and the Motor Carrier Act of 1935 (49 U.S.C. 31502), as amended. The 1984 Act granted the Secretary broad authority to issue regulations “on commercial motor vehicle safety,” including regulations to ensure that “commercial motor vehicles are . . . operated safely” (49 U.S.C. 31136(a)(1)). The IFR and ICR are consistent with the safe operation of CMVs. In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(a)(2), the information collection requirements will not impose any “responsibilities . . . on operators of commercial motor vehicles [that would] impair their ability to operate the vehicles safely.” Neither the IFR nor the ICR directly address medical standards for drivers (49 U.S.C. 31136(a)(3)) or possible physical effects caused by driving CMVs (49 U.S.C. 31136(a)(4)). FMCSA does not anticipate that the IFR or the ICR will result in the coercion of CMV drivers by motor carriers, shippers, receivers, or transportation intermediaries to operate a CMV in violation of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs, 49 U.S.C. 31136(a)(5)).

**Need for Information:** The licensed drivers in the United States deserve reasonable assurances that their fellow motorists are properly qualified to drive the vehicles they operate. Under the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986 (CMVSA, 49 U.S.C. 31301 *et seq.*), as amended, FMCSA established the CDL program and the performance standards with which State CDL programs must comply. The CDL regulations in 49 CFR part 383 prescribe uniform minimum standards for testing and ensuring the fitness of individuals who operating commercial motor vehicles (CMVs), and State compliance with the CDL program is addressed in Part 384. In particular, States that issue non-domiciled CDLs must do so in accordance with §§ 383.71, 383.73 and 384.212.

This collection is intended to ensure that States retain all documents involved in the licensing process for non-domiciled CLP and CDL holders for a period of no less than two years from the date of issuing (which includes amending, correcting, reprinting, or otherwise duplicating a previously issued CLP or CDL), transferring, renewing, or upgrading a non-domiciled CLP or CDL. If States do not retain this documentation, FMCSA is severely hindered in its efforts to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements because States are unable to accurately determine the number of non-domiciled CLPs and CDLs they

have issued, or to prove to FMCSA officials that such CLPs and CDLs were properly issued.

**Proposed Use of Information:** State officials use the information collected from non-domiciled CDL applicants to determine whether an individual is eligible to receive a non-domiciled CDL and to prevent unqualified, and/or disqualified CLP and CDL holders and applicants from operating CMVs on the Nation’s highways. During State CDL compliance reviews, FMCSA officials review this information to ensure that the provisions of the regulations are being carried out. Without the aforementioned requirements, there would be no uniform control over driver licensing practices to prevent uncertified and/or disqualified foreign drivers from being issued a non-domiciled CLP or CDL. Failure to collect this information would render the regulations unenforceable.

**Comments received on IFR:** Pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.11, FMCSA notified the public of its intent to submit this collection to OMB for a full three-year approval period in the IFR (*see* 90 FR 46509, 46522) and sought public comment on the proposed ICR. FMCSA received the following comments:

In a joint submission, Massachusetts, California, and 17 Other Jurisdictions stated that FMCSA’s information collection is not “necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency” per the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) because the agency lacks statutory authority over immigration, as even FMCSA admits there is no evidence linking immigration status to CDL driver safety. The joint submission said requiring SDLAs to retain and produce immigration documents and SAVE query results duplicates DHS responsibilities and is unnecessary for the proper performance of FMCSA’s functions. In addition, the joint submission said the IFR does not “reduce[] to the extent practicable and appropriate the burden on persons who shall provide information to or for the agency” per the PRA. Rather, it places considerable burden on SDLAs, as it contains no limitation on documents and requires that SDLAs provide documents on a 48-hour turnaround. The joint submission said FMCSA provides no explanation for this new requirement, especially given existing regulations that already mandate APRs and information sharing. An individual asserted that the small entity impacts and PRA impacts are understated.

Massachusetts, California, and 17 Other Jurisdictions stated that, although FMCSA claims the rule does not involve

collecting PII, it requires SDLAs to retain and share immigration documents (*e.g.*, passports and I–94s) that contain PII. The joint submission said FMCSA’s failure to comply with the statutory requirement to assess the privacy impact of the PII collection was arbitrary and capricious. The joint submission and Asian Law Caucus said FMCSA provided no opportunity to review the supporting Privacy Impact Analysis despite stating that it would be available for review in the docket. The Small Business in Transportation Coalition stated that: (1) the proposed information collection is necessary; (2) they do not contest the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) they have no suggestions on ways for FMCSA to enhance the quality, usefulness, or clarity of the collected information; and (4) they can offer no information on ways the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information.

The information collection requirements in the IFR are necessary. FMCSA has extensive authority over the CDL issuance process and the review of State licensing programs. As discussed in the IFR, the APRs highlighted a lack of available information at the State-level regarding non-domiciled CLPs and CDLs that were issued and the documentation that was provided during the application process for those non-domiciled CLPs and CDLs. This led to difficulties for the Agency during the APR process. It became clear during the APR process that the prior information collection and retention requirements were not sufficient to ensure FMCSA has the ability to review non-domiciled CLP and CDL issuance by SDLAs in a reasonable timeframe. The requirement for SDLAs to retain copies of the information relied on during the non-domiciled application process is not only a minor burden, but it also ensures that FMCSA has access to the necessary information during the APR process and other audits in the future. The requirement for producing those copies within 48 hours of a request from FMCSA ensures that the Agency has adequate access to the records. The information collection is neither duplicative nor unlimited. It requires copies to be made of the two specific identification documents used in the application process for a non-domiciled CLP or CDL, both of which must already be inspected by the SDLA, and a copy of the required SAVE query. Commenters do not provide a citation to a specific, currently approved information collection containing a

duplicative requirement for retention of these documents.

**Public Comments Invited:** You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) whether the proposed collection is necessary for the performance of FMCSA's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for FMCSA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information.

Issued under the authority of 49 CFR 1.87.

**Jonathan Mueller,**

*Acting Associate Administrator, Office of Research and Registration.*

[FR Doc. 2026-01832 Filed 1-29-26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2026-0034]

#### Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) and its implementing regulations, FRA seeks approval of the Information Collection Request (ICR) summarized below. Before submitting this ICR to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval, FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified in the ICR.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 31, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed ICR should be submitted on [https://](https://www.regulations.gov)

[www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) to Docket No. FRA-2026-0034. All comments received will be posted without change to the docket, including any personal information provided. Please refer to the assigned OMB control number (2130-0516) in any correspondence submitted. FRA will summarize comments received in response to this notice in a subsequent notice, made available to the public, and include them in its information collection submission to OMB for approval.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Joanne Swafford, Information Collection Clearance Officer, at email: [joanne.swafford@dot.gov](mailto:joanne.swafford@dot.gov) or telephone: (757) 897-9908.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to provide 60 days' notice to the public to allow comment on information collection activities before seeking OMB approval of the activities. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.8 through 1320.12. Specifically, FRA invites interested parties to comment on the following ICR regarding: (1) whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the activities will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (3) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (4) ways for FRA to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1).

FRA believes that soliciting public comment may reduce the administrative and paperwork burdens associated with the collection of information that Federal regulations mandate. In summary, comments received will

advance three objectives: (1) reduce reporting burdens; (2) organize information collection requirements in a "user-friendly" format to improve the use of such information; and (3) accurately assess the resources expended to retrieve and produce information requested. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3501.

The summary below describes the ICR that FRA will submit for OMB clearance as the PRA requires:

**Title:** Remotely Controlled Switch Operations.

**OMB Control Number:** 2130-0516.

**Abstract:** 49 CFR 218.30 and 218.77 require that remotely controlled switches be properly lined to protect workers as they inspect or service rolling equipment or occupied camp cars on track. These sections require the operators of the remotely controlled switches to remove the locking device controlling the switches only once they have been informed by the person in charge of the workers that it is safe to do so. In addition, these operators are required to maintain a record of each protection request for 15 days. Operators of remotely controlled switches use the information in this record to document protection of workers or camp cars. This record also serves as a valuable resource for railroad supervisors as well as FRA and State inspectors monitoring regulatory compliance.

In this 60-day notice, FRA made an adjustment under § 218.77, which covers the protection of occupied camp cars. The number of notifications annually has been reduced to reflect the infrequent usage of these types of cars. All other information remains unchanged since the last submission.

**Type of Request:** Extension without change (with changes in estimates) of a currently approved collection.

**Affected Public:** Businesses.

**Form(s):** N/A.

**Respondent Universe:** 53 railroads.

**Frequency of Submission:** On occasion.

## REPORTING BURDEN

Section	Respondent universe (railroads)	Total annual responses (A)	Average time per response (seconds) (B)	Total annual burden hours (C = A * B)	Wage rate <sup>1</sup>	Total cost equivalent in U.S.D. (D = C * wage rates)
<b>218.30 Remotely Controlled Switches (Subpart B, Blue Signal Protection of Workers)</b>						
—(c) Blue signal protection of workers.	53	1,837,775 notifications (53 × 95 responses per day × 365 days per year).	45	22,972	\$72.12	\$1,656,740.64