

airport to a 4.3-mile radius of the airport.

This action also proposes to reduce the lateral dimensions of the Binghamton, NY Class E2 airspace, serving Greater Binghamton Airport/Edwin A. Link Field, from a 4.4-mile radius of the airport to a 4.3-mile radius of the airport.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1G, “FAA National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11K, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 4, 2025, and

effective September 15, 2025, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace.

* * * * *

AEA NY D Binghamton, NY [Amended]

Greater Binghamton Airport/Edwin A. Link Field, NY

(Lat. 42°12′30″ N, long. 75°58′47″ W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 4,100 feet MSL within a 4.3-mile radius of Greater Binghamton Airport/Edwin A. Link Field. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific days and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective days and times will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as Surface Areas.

* * * * *

AEA NY E2 Binghamton, NY

Greater Binghamton Airport/Edwin A. Link Field, NY

(Lat. 42°12′30″ N, long. 75°58′47″ W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within a 4.3-mile radius of Greater Binghamton Airport/Edwin A. Link Field. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific days and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective days and times will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on January 22, 2026.

Patrick Young,

Manager, Airspace & Procedures Team North, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2026–01656 Filed 1–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 174 and 180

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2025–0028; FRL–12474–11–OCSP]

Receipt of Pesticide Petitions Filed for Residues of Pesticide Chemicals in or on Various Commodities—November 2025

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of filing of petitions and request for comment.

SUMMARY: This document announces the Agency’s receipt of and solicits public comment on initial filings of pesticide petitions requesting the establishment or modification of regulations for

residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various commodities. The Agency is providing this notice in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). EPA uses the month and year in the title to identify when the Agency compiled the petitions identified in this notice of filing. Unit II. of this document identifies certain petitions received in 2025 that are currently being evaluated by EPA, along with information about each petition, including who submitted the petition and the requested action.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 27, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number and the pesticide petition (PP) of interest identified in Unit II. of this document, online at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Each application summary in Unit II. specifies a contact division. The appropriate division contact is identified as follow:

- RD (Registration Division) (Mail Code 7505T); Charles Smith; main telephone number: (202) 566–1030; email address: RDNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Executive Summary

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action provides information that is directed to the public in general.

B. What is the Agency’s authority for taking this action?

EPA regulations for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities are established under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a. FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), requires EPA to publish a notice of receipt of these petitions in the **Federal Register** and provide an opportunity for public comment on the requests.

C. What action is the Agency taking?

As specified in FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), EPA is publishing notice of the receipt of pesticide petitions filed under FFDCA section 408 that request the

establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities. The Agency is taking public comment on the requests before responding to the petitioner. Pursuant to 40 CFR 180.7(f), a summary of the petition identified in this document, prepared by the petitioner, is included in a docket. EPA has determined that the pesticide petitions described in this document contain data or information prescribed in FFDCA section 408(d)(2), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2), and 40 CFR 180.7(b); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data supports granting the pesticide petitions. After considering the public comments, EPA intends to evaluate whether and what action may be warranted. Additional data may be needed before EPA can make a final determination on these pesticide petitions.

Based upon review of the data supporting these petitions and in accordance with its authority under FFDCA section 408(d)(4)(A)(i), EPA may establish a final tolerance or tolerance exemption that “may vary from that sought by the petitioner.” For example, EPA may determine that it is appropriate to vary the commodity name for consistency with EPA’s Food and Feed Commodity Vocabulary, which is located here <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-tolerances/food-and-feed-commodity-vocabulary>, or vary the tolerance level based on available data, harmonization interests, or the trailing zeros policy. In addition, when evaluating a petition’s requests for a tolerance or exemption, EPA will consider how use of the pesticide on a crop for which a tolerance is requested may result in residues in or on commodities related to that requested commodity (e.g., whether use on sugar beets for which a tolerance was requested on sugar beet root also requires a tolerance on sugar beet tops or whether use on a cereal grain for which a grain tolerance was requested also requires a tolerance on related animal feed commodities derived from that cereal grain). Public commenters should consider the possibility of such revisions in preparing comments on these petitions.

D. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit CBI to EPA through <https://www.regulations.gov> or email. If you wish to include CBI in your comment, please follow the applicable instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/>

commenting-epa-dockets#rules and clearly mark the information that you claim to be CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes CBI, a copy of the comment without CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information marked as CBI will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <https://www.epa.gov/menting-epa-dockets>.

II. Petitions Received

This unit provides the following information about the petitions:

- The Pesticide Petition (PP) Identification (IN) number;
- EPA docket ID number for the petition;
- Information about the petition (*i.e.*, name of the petitioner, name of the pesticide chemical residue and the commodities for which a tolerance or exemption is sought);
- The analytical method available to detect and measure the pesticide chemical residue or the petitioner’s statement about why such a method is not needed; and
- The division to contact for that petition.

Additional information on the petitions may be obtained through the petition summaries that were prepared by the petitioners pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)(A)(i)(I) and 40 CFR 180.7(b)(1), which are included in the docket for the petition as identified in this unit.

• *PP IN-11999.* (EPA-HQ-OPP-2025-3360). Spring regulatory Sciences on behalf of Evonik Corporation, (7801 Whitepine Road, Richmond, VA 23237) requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of Oxirane, 2-phenyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono-octyl ether, sulphosuccinated, disodium salt (CAS Reg. No. 2983072-24-6); minimum number average molecular weight 1875 daltons when used as an inert ingredient for surfactant/dispersing agent/emulsifier in pesticide formulations under 40 CFR 180.960. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because it is not required for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP IN-12105.* (EPA-HQ-OPP-2025-3194). Nouryon Pulp and Performance Chemicals LLC, (100 Matsonford Road, Building 5, Radnor, PA 19087), requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 4-

morpholinecarboxaldehyde (CAS Reg. No. 4394-85-8) when used as a pesticide inert ingredient (solvent) in pesticide formulations under 40 CFR 180.920. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because it is not required for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP IN-12209.* (EPA-HQ-OPP-2025-3195). Kraton Chemical, LLC, (9000 Southside Blvd., Bldg. 100, Suite 1300, Jacksonville, FL 32256), requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of decarboxylated rosin (CAS Reg. No. 8050-18-8) when used as a pesticide inert ingredient (surfactant and related adjuvant of surfactant) limited to 4% by weight in pesticide formulations under 40 CFR 180.920. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because it is not required for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 5F9174.* (EPA-HQ-OPP-2025-1415). BASF Corporation, Agricultural Solutions, (26 Davis Drive, P.O. Box 13528, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709), requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide fluxapyroxad (3-(difluoromethyl)-1-methyl- N -(3',4',5'-trifluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1 H -pyrazole-4-carboxamide), including its metabolites and degradates, in or on pennycress, seed at 0.9 parts per million (ppm). Independently validated analytical methods that have been submitted are used to measure and evaluate the chemical fluxapyroxad. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 5F9193.* (EPA-HQ-OPP-2025-2269). Bayer CropScience, (800 N Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63167), requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the herbicide, icafofin-methyl, including its metabolites and degradates, in or on: Almond, hulls at 0.03 parts per million (ppm); barley subgroup 15-22B at 0.02 ppm; field corn subgroup 15-22C at 0.02 ppm; fruit, citrus, group 10-10 at 0.02 ppm; fruit, pome, group 11-10 at 0.02 ppm; fruit, small, vine climbing, except fuzzy kiwifruit, subgroup 13-07F at 0.02 ppm; fruit, stone, group 12-12 at 0.02 ppm; grain, cereal, forage, hay, stover, and straw, group 16-22 at 0.02 ppm; nut, tree, group 14-12 at 0.02 ppm; rapeseed subgroup 20A at 0.02 ppm; soybean, seed at 0.02 ppm; vegetable, legume, forage and hay, group 7-22 at 0.02 ppm; vegetable, legume, pulse, bean, dried shelled, except soybean, subgroup 6-22E at 0.02 ppm; vegetable, legume, pulse, pea, dried shelled, subgroup 6-22F at 0.02 ppm; and wheat subgroup 15-22A at 0.02

ppm. A high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method is used to measure and evaluate the chemical icafofin-methyl (methyl 2,5-anhydro-3,4-dideoxy-4-[[[(5S)-3-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-

5-ethenyl-4,5-dihydro-5-isoxazolyl]carbonyl]amino]-threo-pentolate) and its metabolite (2,5-anhydro-3,4-dideoxy-4-[[[(5S)-3-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-5-ethenyl-4,5-dihydro-5-isoxazolyl]carbonyl]amino]-threo-pentonic acid). *Contact:* RD.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a.

Dated: January 23, 2026.

Edward Messina,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2026-01654 Filed 1-27-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P