

coal mine. But this argument is flawed and relies on a misunderstanding of the facts. Despite defending this provision in court, a judge found that OSM failed to provide adequate support to justify its presumption that damage was the result of mining within the angle of draw and remanded this provision to OSM. OSM then suspended this provision on December 22, 1999. This provision has been suspended for more than 25 years and removing it from the Code of Federal Regulations now would have no effect on the evidentiary burden of a citizen seeking redress for damage occurring near an underground coal mine because the provision is not enforceable and has no legal effect.

The commenter next alleged that because OSM has the authority to create a rebuttable presumption of causation, that OSM should not be allowed to remove the inoperative language without conducting notice and comment rulemaking. While it is true that OSM could conduct a new rulemaking on this topic, the language to be removed in this direct final rule is inoperative and has been so for more than 25 years. With this direct final rule, OSM is not proposing a change to the regulations in effect or foreclosing a rulemaking on this topic in the future, but is merely removing language that has no application and could be confusing to someone without deep familiarity with the history of the SMCRA implementing regulations and esoteric procedures related to the Code of Federal Regulations. Removing inoperative language will not have any impact on the current requirements of SMCRA, the Federal regulations, or on the evidentiary burden of a citizen seeking redress for damages occurring near an underground coal mine.

Finally, the commenter alleged that removal of language vacated by a court through a direct final rule is not appropriate because it does not make notice and comment under 5 U.S.C. 553 “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” OSM disagrees. Certainly, if OSM were to propose new language to address the court’s concerns, notice and comment rulemaking would be necessary. However, here, the provision cannot be enforced, has been suspended for more than 25 years, and is merely being removed to avoid confusion. To invite comment on the deletion of language invalidated by a judge more than 25 years ago would be a waste of the public’s time.

After careful consideration of this comment, OSM has determined it is not a significant adverse comment and does not warrant delaying the effective date of this final rule.

**Thomas D. Shope,**

*Acting Deputy Director, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2026–01622 Filed 1–26–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–05–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 874

**[Docket No. OSM–2025–0015; S1D1S SS08011000 SX064A000 256S180110; S2D2S SS08011000 SX064A000 25XS501520]**

**RIN 1029–AC99**

#### General Reclamation Requirements

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; delay of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is delaying the effective date of the direct final rule “General Reclamation Requirements,” published on November 28, 2025. The direct final rule revised the Federal regulations to rescind language requiring compliance with the regulations when funding reclamation projects with prior balance replacement funds, which are moneys from the United States Treasury’s General Fund that replaced State or Tribal share funds that were allocated before October 1, 2007, but never appropriated by Congress. During the comment period, OSM received comments that require further review and consideration to determine whether they are significant adverse comments warranting a response, withdrawal, or modification of the final rule.

**DATES:** As of January 27, 2026, the effective date of the direct final rule published November 28, 2025, at 90 FR 54582 is delayed until March 30, 2026.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Tyree, Chief, Division of Regulatory Support, (202) 208–4479, [jtyree@osmre.gov](mailto:jtyree@osmre.gov). Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or

TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The direct final rule published at 90 FR 54582 included a 30-day public comment period that ended on December 29, 2025. The effective date of the direct final rule was January 27, 2026.

As explained in the direct final rule, the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 874.11 clarify that States and Tribes must comply with 30 CFR part 874 when funding reclamation projects with prior balance replacement funds, which are moneys from the United States Treasury’s General Fund that replaced State or Tribal share funds that were allocated before October 1, 2007, but never appropriated by Congress. As amended in 2006, section 411(h)(1) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 required OSM to distribute prior balance replacement funds to eligible States and Tribes for 7 years, beginning October 1, 2008. As the distribution of prior balance replacement funds is complete, the Department of the Interior (Department) and OSM concluded that existing 30 CFR 874.11(b) should be rescinded because it is obsolete.

The Department and OSM maintain this position. However, at the close of the comment period for the direct final rule, OSM received several comments that may be significant adverse comments. OSM has determined that the effective date of the direct final rule should be delayed by 60 days to allow it additional time to review and consider whether one or more of the comments received on the direct final rule are significant adverse comments warranting a response, withdrawal, or modification of the final rule. OSM recognizes that other direct final rules published on November 24 and 28, 2025, may also be impacted by these comments. During its review, OSM will consider what impact, if any, these comments have on those direct final rules and take appropriate action, as necessary.

**Lanny E. Erdos,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

[FR Doc. 2026–01566 Filed 1–26–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–05–P**