

ISE: The proposed fees for the Phlx Trades Feed of \$3,100/month internal and/or external distribution are the same as current ISE fees.¹⁹ Given that Phlx has a market share nearly 50 percent higher than that of ISE (9.7% for Phlx as compared to 6.8% for ISE), the proposed fees are lower than ISE fees relative to market share.

MRX: The proposed fees for the Phlx Trades Feed of \$3,100/month internal and/or external distribution are greater than the current MRX fees of \$1,010 for internal distribution and \$1,515 for external distribution (\$2,525 for internal and external distribution).²⁰ However, Phlx has a market share nearly four times greater than that of MRX (9.7% for Phlx relative to 3.2% for MRX), much greater than the fee differential for the Trades Feed, and therefore the proposed fees are comparable to those of MRX relative to market share.

No Unfair Discrimination

The Proposal is not unfairly discriminatory. The proposed fees are optional data fees available to all market participants on a non-discriminatory basis.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Nothing in the proposal burdens inter-market competition (the competition among self-regulatory organizations) because approval of the proposal does not impose any burden on the ability of other options exchanges to compete. The proposed fees for the Spread Feed and the Trades Feed are comparable to, and in some cases less than, those of the other exchanges that offer them relative to market share, as discussed above.

Nothing in the Proposal burdens intra-market competition (the competition among consumers of exchange data), because the Spread Feed and the Trades Feed are available to any market participant at the same

price and any market participant that elects to purchase either the Spread Feed or the Trades Feed may do so on a non-discriminatory basis.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.²¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-Phlx-2025-78 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-Phlx-2025-78. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable

information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-Phlx-2025-78 and should be submitted on or before February 5, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²²

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-00647 Filed 1-14-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-104579; File No. SR-NYSEARCA-2025-90]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE Arca Equities Proprietary Market Data Fees

January 12, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on December 30, 2025, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Equities Proprietary Market Data Fees (the "Fee Schedule") regarding the Multiple Data Feed Fee. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included

²² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁹ ISE Rulebook, Options 7, Section 10(I) (Nasdaq ISE Spread Feed). These are fees for 2025. As noted above, fees for the ISE Trade Feed are scheduled to be adjusted in 2026 and 2027 to account for the impact of past inflation. The proposed Phlx fees will not be adjusted for past inflation, which would not be applicable to new fees proposed herein.

²⁰ MRX Rulebook, Options 7, Distributor Fees, Section 7(5) (Spread Feed). These are fees for 2025. Fees for the MRX Trade Feed are scheduled to be adjusted in 2026 and 2027 to account for the impact of past inflation. The proposed Phlx fees will not be adjusted for past inflation, which would not be applicable to the new fees proposed herein.

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule regarding the Multiple Data Feed Fee, effective January 2, 2026. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the Multiple Data Feed Fee for NYSE Arca BBO and NYSE Arca Trades. The Multiple Data Feed Fee applies to data recipients that take a data feed for a market data product in more than two locations. The Exchange proposes to eliminate this fee for the NYSE Arca BBO and NYSE Arca Trades market data products based on its limited application, as few data recipients have sought to take these data feeds in multiple locations. Accordingly, this proposed change would improve transparency and simplify the Fee Schedule by removing an underutilized fee.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,⁴ in general, and Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it provides an equitable allocation of reasonable fees among users and recipients of the data and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination among customers, issuers, and brokers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change to eliminate the Multiple Data Feed Fee with respect to the NYSE Arca BBO and NYSE Arca Trades data feeds is reasonable because few data subscribers have opted to take these data feeds in multiple locations, resulting in limited application of this fee. The Exchange believes it is reasonable to eliminate a fee such as this one when it is underutilized, thereby simplifying the Fee Schedule and promoting clarity and transparency as to the fees currently charged by the Exchange. The Exchange also believes that the proposed change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because

the Multiple Data Feed Fee for NYSE Arca BBO and NYSE Arca Trades would be eliminated entirely and would no longer apply to any data subscriber. Accordingly, all data subscribers that take these data feeds would continue to be subject to the same fee structure. The Exchange also believes that the proposed change would promote the protection of investors and the public interest because the deletion of underutilized fees would make the Fee Schedule more accessible and transparent and facilitate market participants' understanding of the fees charged for the Exchange's market data products.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Indeed, as demonstrated above, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is pro-competitive.

Intramarket Competition. The Exchange believes that the proposed change would not place any undue burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, given the limited applicability of the fee it proposes to eliminate. As noted above, given the small number of data subscribers that have chosen to take the NYSE Arca BBO and NYSE Arca Trades data feeds in multiple locations, the Multiple Data Feed Fee has been underutilized. The Exchange also believes that the proposed change would neither favor nor penalize any data subscribers in a manner that would impose an undue burden on competition, as all data subscribers that take these data feeds would continue to be subject to the same fee structure.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange believes that the proposed change does not impose a burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed change is not intended to address any competitive issue and is intended only to simplify the Fee Schedule by eliminating an underutilized fee. In addition, because market data users remain free to seek alternative market data products to the extent they deem an exchange's market data fees to be unsuitable, competitive pressures ensure that no one exchange's market data fees can impose an

unnecessary burden on competition, and the proposed change does not do so here.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)⁶ of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4⁷ thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)⁸ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-90 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-90. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-90 and should be submitted on or before February 5, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-00649 Filed 1-14-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[OMB Control No. 3235-0562]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension: Rule 17d-1

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Section 17(d) (15 U.S.C. 80a-17(d)) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a *et seq.*) (the "Act") prohibits first- and second-tier affiliates of a fund, the fund's principal underwriters, and affiliated persons of the fund's principal underwriters, acting as principal, to effect any transaction in which the fund or a company controlled by the fund is a joint or a joint and several participant in contravention of the Commission's rules. Rule 17d-1 (17 CFR 270.17d-1) prohibits an affiliated

person or principal underwriter for any fund (a "first-tier affiliate"), or any affiliated person of such person or underwriter (a "second-tier affiliate"), acting as principal, from participating in or effecting any transaction in connection with a joint enterprise or other joint arrangement in which the fund is a participant, unless prior to entering into the enterprise or arrangement "an application regarding [the transaction] has been filed with the Commission and has been granted by an order." In reviewing the proposed affiliated transaction, the rule provides that the Commission will consider whether the proposal is (i) consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and (ii) on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants in determining whether to grant an exemptive application for a proposed joint enterprise, joint arrangement, or profit-sharing plan.

Rule 17d-1 also contains a number of exceptions to the requirement that a fund must obtain Commission approval prior to entering into joint transactions or arrangements with affiliates. For example, funds do not have to obtain Commission approval for certain employee compensation plans, certain tax-deferred employee benefit plans, certain transactions involving small business investment companies, the receipt of securities or cash by certain affiliates pursuant to a plan of reorganization, certain arrangements regarding liability insurance policies and transactions with "portfolio affiliates" (companies that are affiliated with the fund solely as a result of the fund (or an affiliated fund) controlling them or owning more than five percent of their voting securities) so long as certain other affiliated persons of the fund (*e.g.*, the fund's adviser, persons controlling the fund, and persons under common control with the fund) are not parties to the transaction and do not have a "financial interest" in a party to the transaction. The rule excludes from the definition of "financial interest" any interest that the fund's board of directors (including a majority of the directors who are not interested persons of the fund) finds to be not material, as long as the board records the basis for its finding in their meeting minutes.

Thus, the rule contains two filing and recordkeeping requirements that constitute collections of information. First, rule 17d-1 requires funds that wish to engage in a joint transaction or arrangement with affiliates to meet the procedural requirements for obtaining exemptive relief from the rule's prohibition on joint transactions or

arrangements involving first- or second-tier affiliates. Second, rule 17d-1 permits a portfolio affiliate to enter into a joint transaction or arrangement with the fund if a prohibited participant has a financial interest that the fund's board determines is not material and records the basis for this finding in their meeting minutes. These requirements of rule 17d-1 are designed to prevent fund insiders from managing funds for their own benefit, rather than for the benefit of the funds' shareholders.

Based on an analysis of past filings, Commission staff estimates that 71 funds file applications under section 17(d) and rule 17d-1 per year. The staff understands that funds that file an application generally obtain assistance from outside counsel to prepare the application. The cost burden of using outside counsel is discussed below. The Commission staff estimates that each applicant will spend an average of 75 hours to comply with the Commission's applications process. The Commission staff therefore estimates the annual burden hours per year for all funds under rule 17d-1's application process to be 5,325 hours at a cost of \$2,651,850.¹ The Commission, therefore, requests authorization to increase the inventory of total burden hours per year for all funds under rule 17d-1 from the current authorized burden of 3,225 hours to 5,325 hours. The increase is due to an increase in the number of affected entities.

As noted above, the Commission staff understands that funds that file an application under rule 17d-1 generally use outside counsel to assist in preparing the application. The staff estimates that, on average, funds spend an additional \$58,400 for outside legal services in connection with seeking Commission approval of affiliated joint transactions. Thus, the staff estimates that the total annual cost burden imposed by the exemptive application requirements of rule 17d-1 is \$4,146,400.²

¹ This estimate is based on the following calculation: 75 hours per applicant × \$498 wage rate = \$37,350. \$37,350 × 71 exemption requests per year = \$2,651,850; this blended rate is based on the following: \$652 (hourly rate for a chief compliance officer); \$573 (hourly rate for an assistant general counsel); and \$268 (hourly rate for a paralegal); the Commission's estimates of the relevant wage rates are based on the salary information for the securities industry compiled by Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association's Office Salaries in the Securities Industry 2013, as modified by Commission staff ("SIFMA Wage Report"); the estimated figures are modified by firm size, employee benefits, overhead, and adjusted to account for the effects of inflation.

² This estimated burden is based on the estimated wage rate of \$584/hour, for 100 hours, for outside

Continued

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).