

investigation, is “glycerol ester of gum rosin purported to be glycerol ester of wood rosin, and packaging thereof”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant:

T&R Chemicals, Inc., 700 Celum Rd.,
Clint, TX 79836

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Caragum International, Zac Les
Pielettes, Chemin De La Cride, 13740
Le Rove, France

Kemi Pine Rosins Portugal S.A., Zona
Industrial de Cantanhede, Lote 122,
3060-197 Cantanhede, Portugal

(c) The Office of Unfair Import
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite
401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 6, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-00168 Filed 1-7-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-451 and 731-TA-1126 (Third Review)]

Lightweight Thermal Paper From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on lightweight thermal paper from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 2, 2025 (90 FR 23370, June 2, 2025) and determined on September 5, 2025 that it would conduct expedited reviews (90 FR 45809, September 23, 2025).²

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on January 6, 2026. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5967 (January 2026), entitled *Lightweight Thermal Paper from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-451 and 731-TA-1126 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 6, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-00177 Filed 1-7-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the Commission tolled its schedule for this proceeding. The schedule was revised in a subsequent notice published in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 2025 (90 FR 54745).

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1378]

Certain Organic Light-Emitting Diode Display Modules and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on settlement. The investigation is hereby terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Namo Kim, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3459. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Samsung Display Company, Ltd. of the Republic of Korea (“SDC”). 88 FR 84829 (Dec. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) (“section 337”), in the importation into the United States, or in the sale of certain organic light-emitting diode display modules and components thereof by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure a domestic industry (“DI”) or to prevent the establishment of an industry in the United States. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following as respondents: BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Mianyang BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Mianyang, China; Ordos Yuansheng

Optoelectronics Co., Ltd. of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China; Chengdu BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chengdu, China; Chongqing BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chongqing, China; Wuhan BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Wuhan, China; BMOT f/k/a Kunming BOE Display Technology of Yunnan Dianzhong New Area, China; and BOE Technology America Inc. of Santa Clara, California (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On June 17, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to reflect the change in the name of respondent BMOT to Yunnan Invsight Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. Order No. 63 (May 27, 2025); *unreviewed by* Notice (June 17, 2025).

On October 23, 2024, the ALJ granted in part SDC’s motion *in limine* 1, precluding Respondents from introducing any argument or evidence that the manufacturing processes for Respondents’ micro-OLED products materially differ from their main OLED lines. That same day, the ALJ also granted in part SDC’s motion for sanctions based on spoliation of evidence, imposing certain non-monetary sanctions against Respondents (collectively, “the ALJ’s Sanctions Orders”).

On July 11, 2025, the ALJ issued the FID finding a violation of section 337. Specifically, the FID found that Respondents misappropriated the asserted trade secrets under the category of TS I, TS II, TS IV, and TS VII, but that Respondents did not misappropriate the asserted trade secret under the category TS III. The FID also found that the statute of limitations provision in the Defense Trade Secret Act, 35 U.S.C. 1836(d), (“DTSA SOL”) is inapplicable to section 337 investigations and, even if applicable, Respondents failed to show that the DTSA SOL would time-bar SDC’s claims of trade secrets misappropriation. Lastly, the FID found that a DI exists, and that the threat or effect of Respondents’ trade secrets misappropriation is to substantially injure that DI or to prevent the establishment of such an industry in the United States.

On September 11, 2025, the Commission issued a notice determining to review the FID in part and requesting written submissions on the issues under review, and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 90 FR 45959 (Sept. 24, 2025). Specifically, the Commission determined to review (1)

the ALJ’s Sanctions Orders, (2) the FID’s findings with respect to the applicability of the DTSA SOL, and (3) the FID’s findings with respect to the existence of a DI and injury or threat of injury thereto and the prevention of the establishment of such an industry in the United States. The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the FID.

On November 17, 2025, SDC and Respondents filed a joint motion to stay and terminate the investigation based on settlement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b), 19 CFR 210.21(b). The motion included as an attachment a confidential settlement agreement. The motion also stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties, and that it is in the interest of the public and administrative economy to grant the motion.

On November 25, 2025, SDC and Respondents filed a revised joint motion. The revised motion includes as attachments the same confidential settlement agreement included with the earlier filed motion on November 17, 2025, as well as a redacted public version of the settlement agreement. The revised motion likewise states that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties, and that it is in the interest of the public and administrative economy to grant the motion.

On November 28, 2025, OUII filed its response supporting the joint motion to terminate the investigation, as revised. OUII states that the settlement agreement appears to fully resolve the dispute between SDC and Respondents concerning the subject matter of this investigation, and the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b), 19 CFR 210.21(b). OUII also states that it is not aware of any information that terminating this investigation would be contrary to the public interest, and “[t]he public interest generally favors termination of an investigation when it will avoid needless litigation and conserve public and private resources,” as is the case here. OUII response at 5.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the FID and the parties’ submissions, the Commission has determined to grant the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on settlement. The Commission has determined to take no position with respect to the FID’s findings under review. *See Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy*, 742 F.2d 1421, 1423 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 5, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 5, 2026.

Susan Orndoff,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2026–00099 Filed 1–7–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules; Hearing of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules; notice of cancellation of open hearing.

SUMMARY: The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure has been canceled: Bankruptcy Rules Hearing on January 30, 2026.

DATES: January 30, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carolyn A. Dubay, Esq., Chief Counsel, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Suite 7–300, Washington, DC 20544, Phone (202) 502–1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The announcement for this hearing was previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 14, 2025 at 90 FR 31242.

(Authority: 28 U.S.C. 2073.)

Dated: January 6, 2026.

Shelly L. Cox,

Management Analyst, Rules Committee Staff.

[FR Doc. 2026–00159 Filed 1–7–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Advisory Committee on Criminal Rules; Hearing of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.