

TABLE 1

Frequency range (MHz)	Power flux-density, single polarization, RMS (dBW/m <sup>2</sup> /MHz)
3000 ≤ f < 4000 .....	9.5
4000 ≤ f < 4100 .....	9.5
4100 ≤ f < 4150 .....	9.5
4150 ≤ f < 4160 .....	6.5
4160 ≤ f < 4180 .....	– 1
4180 ≤ f < 4190 .....	– 17
4190 ≤ f < 4200 .....	– 34
4200 ≤ f ≤ 4400 .....	– 82
4400 < f ≤ 4410 .....	– 33
4410 < f ≤ 4430 .....	– 21
4430 < f ≤ 4440 .....	– 8
4440 < f ≤ 4450 .....	– 1
4450 < f ≤ 4460 .....	6.5
4460 < f ≤ 4500 .....	9.5
4500 < f ≤ 4600 .....	9.5
4600 < f ≤ 5600 .....	9.5

## PART 121—GENERAL OPERATING AND FLIGHT RULES

■ 3. The authority citation for part 121 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 40103, 40113, 40119, 41706, 42301 preceding note added by Pub. L. 112–95, sec. 412, 126 Stat. 89, 44101, 44701–44702, 44705, 44709–44711, 44713, 44716–44717, 44722, 44729, 44732; 46105; Pub. L. 111–216, 124 Stat. 2348 (49 U.S.C. 44701 note); Pub. L. 112–95, 126 Stat. 62 (49 U.S.C. 44732 note); Pub. L. 115–254, 132 Stat. 3186 (49 U.S.C. 44701 note).

■ 4. Add § 121.326 to read as follows:

### § 121.326 Radio Altimeter Systems

After [the initial RA performance deadline], no person may operate an aircraft under this part in the airspace of the 48 contiguous United States and the District of Columbia with a radio altimeter unless the radio altimeter system meets the performance requirements of § 91.220(b) of this chapter.

## PART 129—GENERAL OPERATING AND FLIGHT RULES

■ 5. The authority citation for part 129 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 1372, 40113, 40119, 44101, 44701–44702, 44705, 44709–44711, 44713, 44716–44717, 44722, 44901–44904, 44906, 44912, 46105, Pub. L. 107–71 sec. 104.

■ 6. Add § 129.16 to read as follows:

### § 129.16 Radio Altimeter Systems

(a) After [the initial RA performance deadline], no person may operate an aircraft with 30 or more passenger seats or a payload capacity of more than 7,500 pounds under this part in the airspace

of the 48 contiguous United States and the District of Columbia with a radio altimeter unless the radio altimeter system meets the performance requirements of § 91.220(b) of this chapter.

(b) After [two years after the initial RA performance deadline], no person may operate an aircraft under this part in the airspace of the 48 contiguous United States and the District of Columbia with a radio altimeter unless the radio altimeter system meets the performance requirements of § 91.220(b) of this chapter.

Issued under authority provided by 49 U.S.C. 106(f) and 44701(a), in Washington, DC.

**Hugh J. Thomas,**

*Acting Executive Director, Flight Standards Service.*

[FR Doc. 2026–00051 Filed 1–5–26; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2025–1105]

RIN 1625–AA00

### Safety Zone; West of Cyril E. King Airport, St. Thomas, VI

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is proposing to establish a safety zone for certain

navigable waters west of Cyril E. King Airport in St. Thomas, USVI. The safety zone is needed protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by the proximity of the low flying aircrafts to vessels in the vicinity of the waters off the Cyril E. King Airport in St. Thomas, USVI. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from being in the safety zone unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sector San Juan. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before February 6, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** To submit comments and view available documents, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for USCG–2025–1105.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this proposed rule, contact Lieutenant Commander Rachel E. Thomas, Sector San Juan, Waterways Management Division Chief, Coast Guard; telephone (571) 613–1417, email [Rachel.E.Thomas@uscg.mil](mailto:Rachel.E.Thomas@uscg.mil).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
COTP Captain of the Port  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

#### II. Background and Authority

On August 25, 2025, the Coast Guard established a temporary final rule establishing a safety zone for the runway of Cyril E. King Airport in St.

Thomas, USVI.<sup>1</sup> The Coast Guard originally published the temporary final rule to be effective through September 30, 2025, then the Coast Guard established a temporary interim rule that extended the safety zone until December 31, 2025.<sup>2</sup> This NPRM provides an opportunity for comment before a permanent safety zone is established. Therefore, the COTP is proposing this rule under the authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034, which is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

### III. Discussion of the Rule

This proposed rule would establish a safety zone because there is an immediate need to mitigate the risk of vessels transiting between private port authority managed yellow buoys & the end of the St. Thomas Cyril E. King runway because of their proximity to the low flying aircrafts. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters within 400 yards from shore directly west of the airport's runway within the two private port authority managed yellow buoys located at 18°20.288' N–64°59.343' W and 18°20.116' N–64°59.343' W. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or their designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

#### A. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. Section 605 of the RFA allows an agency to certify a rule, in lieu of preparing an analysis, if the rulemaking is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons.

Vessel traffic will be able to safely transit around this regulated area. This regulation will only impact navigable waters within 400 yards from shore directly west of the airport's runway within the two private port authority managed yellow buoys. In addition, the Coast Guard will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF FM marine channel 16, which will allow small entities to adjust their transit plans, and the rule allows vessels to request permission to enter the regulated area from the COTP.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this proposed rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### B. Collection of Information

This proposed rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in that Order.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of

power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

As required by The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), the Coast Guard certifies that this proposed rule will not result in an annual expenditure of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.

#### E. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This proposed rule involves safety zone that will prohibit entry within 400 yards from shore directly west of the airport's runway within the two private port authority managed yellow buoys. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket.

### V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

**Submitting comments.** We encourage you to submit comments at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2025–1105 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If you cannot submit your material by using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this proposed rule for alternate instructions.

<sup>1</sup> 90 FR 41301.

<sup>2</sup> 90 FR 52565.

*Viewing material in the docket.* To view available documents, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. We will post public comments in our online docket. Additional information is on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page.

*Personal information.* We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions to the docket in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is proposing to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.4.

- 2. Add § 165.793 to read as follows:

##### **§ 165.793 Safety Zone; West of Cyril E. King Airport, St. Thomas, VI**

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters within 400 yards from shore directly west of the airport’s runway within the two private port authority managed yellow buoys located at 18°20.288’ N–64°59.343’ W and 18°20.116’ N–64°59.343’ W.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Sector San Juan (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP’s designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP’s representative by telephone at (787)

289–2041, or a designated representative via VHF–FM radio on channel 16 to request authorization. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP’s designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement.* (1) The regulation described in paragraph (a) will be enforced at all times.

(2) The COTP may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the zone by Federal, State, and local agencies.

**Luis J. Rodríguez,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector San Juan.*

[FR Doc. 2026–00048 Filed 1–6–26; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 257

**[EPA–HQ–OLEM–2025–2864; FRL–12968–03–OLEM]**

**RIN 2050–AH42**

##### **Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals From Electric Utilities; Extension of an Alternative Closure Requirement Deadline; Extension of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) is extending the comment period for the proposed rule entitled “Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals From Electric Utilities; Extension of an Alternative Closure Requirement Deadline.” EPA is extending the comment period until February 6, 2026, in response to stakeholders’ requests for a comment period extension.

**DATES:** The comment period for the proposed rule published on November 28, 2025 (90 FR 54611) was originally scheduled to close on January 7, 2026, but the comment period is being extended by 30 days. Comments must be received on or before February 6, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OLEM–2025–2864, online at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the detailed online instructions provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** document published on

November 28, 2025 (90 FR 54611). Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instruction on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Patrick Wise, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, Waste Identification, Notice, and Generators Division, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, MC: 5304T, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 566–0520; email address: [wise.patrick@epa.gov](mailto:wise.patrick@epa.gov), or Frank Behan, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, Waste Identification, Notice, and Generators Division, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, MC: 5304T, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 566–0531; email address: [behan.frank@epa.gov](mailto:behan.frank@epa.gov). For more information on this rulemaking please visit <https://www.epa.gov/coal-combustion-residuals>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 28, 2025, EPA published a proposed rule (90 FR 54611) entitled “Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals From Electric Utilities; Extension of an Alternative Closure Requirement Deadline.” The original deadline to submit comments was January 7, 2026. On December 5, 2025 and December 8, 2025, EPA received requests for the Agency to extend the comment period.

In response to the request for a comment period extension, this action extends the comment period of the proposed rule by 30 days. Written comments must now be received by February 6, 2026.

EPA previously announced that it will hold a virtual public hearing beginning at 9 a.m. Eastern Time on January 6, 2026 (90 FR 54611). This action does not affect the timing of the virtual public hearing. Nonetheless, the public is encouraged to monitor EPA’s website (<https://www.epa.gov/coal-combustion-residuals>) to determine if there are any relevant announcements or changes related to the hearing.

To submit comments or access the docket, please follow the detailed instructions provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** document published on November 28, 2025 (90 FR 54611). Comments previously submitted need not be resubmitted as they are