

revocation of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (“wire rod”) from Brazil and the antidumping duty orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, and Trinidad and Tobago would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: November 24, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caitlyn Costello (202) 205–2058), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On November 24, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (90 FR 28783, July 1, 2025) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of these reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on January 21, 2026. A public version will be issued

thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to these reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to these reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in these reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. January 26, 2026 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to these five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to these reviews by January 26, 2026. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to these reviews must be served on all other parties to these reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determinations.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 23, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–23980 Filed 12–29–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1153 (Third Review)]

Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Parts Thereof From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on tow-behind lawn groomers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: November 24, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexis Yim (202–708–1446), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On November 24, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (90 FR 28780, July 1, 2025) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly,

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of Charter Steel (“Charter”), Commercial Metals Company (“CMC”), Liberty Steel USA (“Liberty”), Nucor Steel (“Nucor”), and Optimus Steel LLC (“Optimus”) to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Continued

the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).²

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review has been placed in the nonpublic record and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review on February 4, 2026. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on February 9, 2026, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by February 9, 2026. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates

individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² Commissioner David S. Johanson voted to conduct a full review.

³ The Commission has found the response submitted on behalf of Agri-Fab, Inc. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 22, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-23958 Filed 12-29-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1434]

Certain Composite Intermediate Bulk Containers; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review Three Initial Determinations Terminating the Investigation With Respect to the Remaining Respondents Based on Consent Orders; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review three initial determinations ("ID") (Order Nos. 20, 21, 22) issued by the presiding Chief Administrative Law Judge ("Chief ALJ") granting three separate unopposed motions to terminate, respectively, respondents Shanghai Sakura Plastic Products Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Shanghai Yinghua Plastic Products Co., Ltd.) of Shanghai, China ("Sakura"); Shandong Jinshan Jieyuan Container Co., Ltd. of Zhengjiang City, China ("Jinshan"); and Zibo Jielin Plastic Pipe Manufacture Co. Ltd. of Zibo City, China ("Jielin") from the investigation due to settlement

agreements, consent order stipulations, and consent orders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 27, 2025, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Schütz Container Systems, Inc. of North Branch, New Jersey and Protechna S.A. of Fribourg, Switzerland (collectively, "Complainants"). 90 FR 8222-23 (Jan. 27, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,718,581; 8,708,150; 8,919,562; 8,567,626; 9,004,310; and 8,276,299. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named the following respondents: Jinshan; Sakura; Jielin; and Hebei Shijiheng Plastics, Co., Ltd., of Zhongjie Huanghua City, China ("Hebei Shijiheng"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") was also named as a party in the investigation. *Id.*

On April 22, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to certain patent claims based on withdrawal of the complaint. See Order No. 9 (Apr. 2, 2025), *unreviewed by* Notice (Apr. 22, 2025). In addition, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to change the address of Hebei Shijiheng to the address where Hebei Shijiheng had been served with the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 10 (May 9, 2025), *unreviewed by* Notice (May 28, 2025).

On July 7, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to assert claims 1-3 and 5 of the '150 patent against respondent Jinshan and claims 1-3 of the '150 patent against respondent Sakura. Order No. 12 (June 13, 2025), *unreviewed by*