

intermittently in Government service are allowed such expenses under 5 U.S.C. 5703.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. Ch. 10.

Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2025–23878 Filed 12–23–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02054000, 26XR0680A1, RX021489457000000]

Central Valley Project Improvement Act 2026 Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Standard Criteria)

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) has made available the draft 2026 Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Standard Criteria) for public review and comment.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the draft 2026 Standard Criteria on or before March 30, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Mr. Thomas Hawes, Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: CBG–400, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825; or via email at thawes@usbr.gov. To view a copy of the draft 2026 Standard Criteria, go to <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/watershare>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Thomas Hawes at (916) 978–5271, or via email at thawes@usbr.gov, regarding the Standard Criteria or to be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information.

Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3405(e) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Pub. L. 102–575, title 34) requires the Secretary of the Interior to, among other things, “develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans” developed by certain contractors. According to section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must promote “the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by

project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” In accordance with this legislative mandate, Reclamation developed and published the Standard Criteria, which is updated every 3 years.

Public Disclosure. We invite the public to comment on our preliminary (i.e., draft) 2026 Standard Criteria. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Scott Springer,

Acting Regional Resources Manager, Division of Resources Management, California-Great Basin—Interior Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2025–23911 Filed 12–23–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–512 and 731–TA–1248 (Second Review)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 1, 2025 (90 FR 18704) and determined on August 4, 2025, that it would conduct expedited reviews (90 FR 45958, September 24, 2025).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on December 22, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5692

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

(December 2025), entitled *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–512 and 731–TA–1248 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 22, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–23913 Filed 12–23–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–919 (Fourth Review)]

Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 3, 2024 (89 FR 71417) and determined on December 9, 2024, that it would conduct a full review (90 FR 6010, January 17, 2025). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on March 13 (90 FR 11995).² The Commission conducted its hearing on September 11, 2025. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on December 19, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the Commission tolled its schedule for this proceeding. The schedule was revised in a subsequent notice published in the **Federal Register** on November 21, 2025 (90 FR 52696).

in USITC Publication 5689 (December 2025), entitled *Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan: Investigation No. 731-TA-919 (Fourth Review): Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-23803 Filed 12-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1471]

Certain Clear Aligners and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 23, 2025, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Align Technology, Inc. of Tempe, Arizona. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on November 20, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain clear aligners and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 11,766,313 (“the ‘313 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,766,314 (“the ‘314 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,899,977 (“the ‘977 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 12,059,321 (“the ‘321 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,980,616 (“the ‘616 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 11,490,996 (“the ‘996 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by

contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Orndoff, The Office of Docket Services, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 19, 2025, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 16 of the ‘313 patent; claims 1, 11, and 21 of the ‘314 patent; claims 1 and 9 of the ‘977 patent; claim 1 of the ‘321 patent; claims 1, 12, and 20 of the ‘616 patent; and claims 1, 17, and 21 of the ‘996 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “clear plastic aligners used to treat misaligned teeth and bites, and components of those aligners including the tri-layer material used to construct the aligner”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Align Technology, Inc., 410 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 1300, Tempe, Arizona 85288

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of

section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Angelalign Technology Inc., 6/F–7/F, Building No. 7, KIC Business Center, No. 500 Zhengli Road, Yangpu District, Shanghai, China

Wuxi EA Medical Instruments, Technologies Co., Ltd., No.1619, Huishan Avenue, Huishan Economic Development Zone, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214174, China

Wuxi EA Bio-Tech Co., Ltd., No. 36 Guanshan Road, Xinwu District, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214000, China

Shanghai EA Medical Instruments Co., Ltd., Room 601–603, No. 500 Zhengli Road, Yangpu District, Shanghai 200433, China

USA Angelalign Technology Corp., 300 Creek View Rd Ste 209, Newark, DE 19711–8548

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–23801 Filed 12–23–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P