

complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The amended complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Orndoff, The Office of Docket Services, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the amended complaint the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 17, 2025, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, and 12–14 of the '178 patent, claims 1–6 and 10–16 of the '244 patent, claims 1–8 and 12–16 of the '159 patent, and claims 17–19 of the '759 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “smart ring wearable devices, systems, and components thereof, including curved battery, printed circuit board,

photoplethysmography sensors, skin temperature sensors, and accelerometers”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Ouraring Inc., 222 Kearny Street, San Francisco, CA 94108.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the amended complaint is to be served:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 129 Samsung-ro, Maetan 3-dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea 443–742
Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 700 Sylvan Ave., Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Reebok International Limited, 3rd Floor 1 Ashley Road, Altrincham, Cheshire, United Kingdom, WA14 2DT

RILUK IPCO Limited, 3rd Floor 1 Ashley Road, Altrincham, Cheshire, United Kingdom, WA14 2DT

The Original Fit Factory Ltd., Canniesburn Gate, 10 Canniesburn Drive, Bearsden, Glasgow, Scotland, G61 1BF

Truconnect Ltd, Cadder House, 160 Clober Road, Milngavie, Glasgow, Scotland, G62 7LW

Reebok International Ltd., LLC, 25 Drydock Ave., Suite 110E, Boston, MA 02210

Zepp Health Corporation, Edisonweg 44—B08, 4207 HG, Gorinchem, The Netherlands

Anhui Huami Information Technology Co., Ltd., 7/F, Building B2, Huami Global Innovation Center, No. 900, Wangjiang West Road, Hightech Zone, Hefei City, Anhui, 230088, China

Zepp Inc. (d/b/a Zepp Health), 1551 McCarthy Blvd., Suite 107, Milpitas, CA 95035

Zepp North America Inc., 14539 Marquardt Ave., Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Nexxbase Marketing Pvt. Ltd. (d/b/a Noise and LunaZone), Unit No. 30/31, Tower B1, Spaze IT Tech Park, Sohna Road, Gurgaon, Haryana, 122001, India

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation must be

submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the amended complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the amended complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the amended complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the amended complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 18, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–23584 Filed 12–19–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

David Halvorson, M.D.; Decision and Order

On September 5, 2025, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to David Halvorson, M.D., of Alabaster, Alabama (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 1, at 1, 4. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration, No. BH3453278, alleging that Registrant's registration should be revoked because Registrant is “currently without authority to prescribe, administer, dispense, or otherwise handle controlled substances in the State of Alabama, the state in which [he is] registered with DEA.” *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).¹

¹ According to the OSC and Agency records, Registrant's registration expired on October 31,

The OSC notified Registrant of his right to file a written request for hearing, and that if he failed to file such a request, he would be deemed to have waived his right to a hearing and be in default. *Id.* (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). Here, Registrant did not request a hearing, and the Agency finds him to be in default. RFAA, at 3.² “A default, unless excused, shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of the registrant’s/applicant’s right to a hearing and an admission of the factual allegations of the [OSC].” 21 CFR 1301.43(e).

Further, “[i]n the event that a registrant . . . is deemed to be in default . . . DEA may then file a request for final agency action with the Administrator, along with a record to support its request. In such circumstances, the Administrator may enter a default final order pursuant to [21 CFR] 1316.67.” *Id.* 1301.43(f)(1). Here, the Government has requested final agency action based on Registrant’s default pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(c), (f), 1301.46. RFAA, at 1; *see also* 21 CFR 1316.67.

Findings of Fact

The Agency finds that, in light of Registrant’s default, the factual allegations in the OSC are deemed admitted. According to the OSC, on or about June 6, 2025, the Medical Licensure Commission of Alabama revoked Registrant’s Alabama medical license. RFAAX 1, at 2. According to Alabama online records, of which the Agency takes official notice,³

²2025. RFAAX 1, at 1. The fact that a registrant allows his registration to expire during the pendency of an administrative enforcement proceeding does not impact the Agency’s jurisdiction or prerogative under the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) to adjudicate the OSC to finality. *Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D.*, 84 FR 68474, 68476–79 (2019).

³Based on the Government’s submissions in its RFAA dated October 22, 2025, the Agency finds that service of the OSC on Registrant was adequate. The included declaration from a DEA Diversion Investigator (DI) indicates that on September 8, 2025, DEA employees attempted to personally serve Registrant at his registered address, but the address, a business location, appeared to be closed and/or abandoned. RFAAX 2, at 1. On the same day, other DEA employees attempted to serve Registrant at his residential address, where the DEA employees were informed that Registrant lived there but was not home. *Id.* at 1–2. On September 9, 2025, the DI mailed a copy of the OSC to Registrant’s residential address and received proof of delivery, with the delivery signed for by Registrant on September 10, 2025. *Id.* at 2; *see also id.* at 3. Here, the Agency finds that the OSC was successfully served on Registrant by mail and that the DI’s efforts to serve Registrant by other means were “‘reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise [Registrant] of the pendency of the action.’” *Jones v. Flowers*, 547 U.S. 220, 226 (2006) (quoting *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950)).

⁴Under the Administrative Procedure Act, an agency “may take official notice of facts at any stage

Registrant’s Alabama medical license remains revoked. Alabama Board of Medical Examiners and Medical Licensure Commission License Lookup, <https://dashboard.albme.gov/Verification/search.aspx> (last visited date of signature of this Order). Accordingly, the Agency finds that Registrant is not licensed to practice medicine in Alabama, the state in which he is registered with DEA.⁴

Discussion

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Attorney General is authorized to suspend or revoke a registration issued under 21 U.S.C. 823 “upon a finding that the registrant . . . has had his State license or registration suspended . . . [or] revoked . . . by competent State authority and is no longer authorized by State law to engage in the . . . dispensing of controlled substances.” With respect to a practitioner, DEA has also long held that the possession of authority to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which a practitioner engages in professional practice is a fundamental condition for obtaining and maintaining a practitioner’s registration. *Gonzales v. Oregon*, 546 U.S. 243, 270 (2006) (“The Attorney General can register a physician to dispense controlled substances ‘if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices.’ . . . The very definition of a ‘practitioner’ eligible to prescribe includes physicians ‘licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, by the United States or the jurisdiction in which he practices’ to dispense controlled substances. 802(21).”). The Agency has applied these principles consistently. *See, e.g., James L. Hooper, M.D.*, 76 FR 71371, 71372 (2011), *pet. for rev. denied*, 481 F. App’x 826 (4th Cir. 2012); *Frederick Marsh Blanton, M.D.*, 43 FR 27616, 27617 (1978).⁵

in a proceeding—even in the final decision.” United States Department of Justice, Attorney General’s Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act 80 (1947) (Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., Reprint 1979).

⁴Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 556(e), “[w]hen an agency decision rests on official notice of a material fact not appearing in the evidence in the record, a party is entitled, on timely request, to an opportunity to show the contrary.” The material fact here is that Registrant, as of the date of this decision, is not licensed to practice medicine in Alabama. Accordingly, Registrant may dispute the Agency’s finding by filing a properly supported motion for reconsideration of findings of fact within fifteen calendar days of the date of this Order. Any such motion and response shall be filed and served by email to the other party and to the DEA Office of the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration at dea.addo.attorneys@dea.gov.

⁵This rule derives from the text of two provisions of the CSA. First, Congress defined the term

According to Alabama statute, “dispense” means “[t]o deliver a controlled substance to an ultimate user or research subject by or pursuant to the lawful order of a practitioner, including the prescribing, administering, packaging, labeling, or compounding necessary to prepare the substance for that delivery.” Ala. Code 20–2–2(7) (2025). Further, a “practitioner” includes a “physician . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted to distribute, dispense, conduct research with respect to, or to administer a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research in [the] state.” *Id.* at § 20–2–2(20)(a).

Here, the undisputed evidence in the record is that Registrant lacks authority to practice medicine in Alabama. As discussed above, an individual must be a licensed practitioner to dispense a controlled substance in Alabama. Thus, because Registrant lacks authority to practice medicine in Alabama and, therefore, is not authorized to handle controlled substances in Alabama, Registrant is not eligible to maintain a DEA registration. Accordingly, the Agency will order that Registrant’s DEA registration be revoked.

Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. BH3453278 issued to David Halvorson, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of David Halvorson, M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of David Halvorson, M.D., for additional registration in Alabama. This Order is effective January 21, 2026.

“practitioner” to mean “a physician . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, by . . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . . . to distribute, dispense, . . . [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice.” 21 U.S.C. 802(21). Second, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner’s registration, Congress directed that “[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices.” 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1). Because Congress has clearly mandated that a practitioner possess state authority in order to be deemed a practitioner under the CSA, DEA has held repeatedly that revocation of a practitioner’s registration is the appropriate sanction whenever he is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he practices. *See, e.g., James L. Hooper, M.D.*, 76 FR at 71371–72; *Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D.*, 71 FR 39130, 39131 (2006); *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51104, 51105 (1993); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11919, 11920 (1988); *Frederick Marsh Blanton, M.D.*, 43 FR at 27617.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on December 15, 2025, by Administrator Terrance C. Cole. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2025–23545 Filed 12–19–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA–1613]

**Importer of Controlled Substances
Application: National Center for
Natural Products Research**

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: National Center for Natural Products Research has applied to be registered as an importer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** listed below for further drug information.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants, therefore, may submit electronic comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before January 21, 2026. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application on or before January 21, 2026.

ADDRESSES: The Drug Enforcement Administration requires that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the web page or attach a file for

lengthier comments. Please go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon submission of your comment, you will receive a Comment Tracking Number. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on <https://www.regulations.gov>. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment. All requests for a hearing must be sent to: (1) Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Hearing Clerk/OALJ, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and (2) Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. All requests for a hearing should also be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Administrator, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a), this is notice that on September 8, 2025, National Center for Natural Products Research, 806 Hathorn Road, 135 Coy Waller Lab, University, Mississippi 38677, applied to be registered as an importer of the following basic class(es) of controlled substance(s):

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Marihuana	7360	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols	7370	I

The company plans to acquire new genetic materials with different cannabinoid profiles for research and manufacturing purposes. No other activities for these drug codes are authorized for this registration.

Approval of permit applications will occur only when the registrant's business activity is consistent with what is authorized under 21 U.S.C. 952(a)(2). Authorization will not extend to the import of Food and Drug Administration-approved or non-approved finished dosage forms for commercial sale.

Thomas W. Prevoznik,

Deputy Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2025–23503 Filed 12–19–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA–1636]

**Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled
Substances Application: Navinta LLC**

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: Navinta LLC has applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** listed below for further drug information.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants, therefore, may submit electronic comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before February 20, 2026. Such persons may also file a written request

for a hearing on the application on or before February 20, 2026.

ADDRESSES: The Drug Enforcement Administration requires that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the web page or attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon submission of your comment, you will receive a Comment Tracking Number. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on <https://www.regulations.gov>. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on October 16, 2025,