

cover all navigable waters within 300-foot radius of the fireworks discharge site, a barge which will be located in the Patapsco River, in Baltimore's Inner Harbor. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or their designated representative.

#### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

##### A. Impact on Small Entities

The regulatory flexibility analysis provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to rules that are not subject to notice and comment. Because the Coast Guard has, for good cause, waived the notice and comment requirement that would otherwise apply to this rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act's flexibility analysis provisions do not apply here.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), if this rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards by calling 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

##### B. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

##### C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in that Order.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the

Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

##### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

As required by The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), the Coast Guard certifies that this rule will not result in an annual expenditure of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.

##### E. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This rule is a safety zone. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

##### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; DHS Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.4.

■ 2. Add § 165.T05–1045 to read as follows:

##### § 165.T05–1045 Safety Zone; Patapsco River, Baltimore, MD.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: All navigable waters within a 300 foot radius of a barge in the approximate location of 39°17'3.37" N, 076°36'35.93" W.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer

operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port, Sector Maryland—NCR (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative. (2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative on VHF–FM channel 16 or by telephone, at (410) 576–2693. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 9:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on December 13, 2025.

Dated: December 5, 2025.

**Patrick C. Burkett,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Sector Maryland—National Capital Region.*

[FR Doc. 2025–22518 Filed 12–10–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

##### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2025–1040]

##### Safety Zones; Annual Events in the Captain of the Port Detroit Zone—Sandusky New Years Eve Fireworks

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notification of enforcement of regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard will enforce a safety zone for the Sandusky New Years Eve Celebration Fireworks. The safety zone is necessary to protect the safety of life and property on Sandusky Bay immediately prior to, during, and immediately after the event. During the enforcement period, no person or vessel may enter the safety zone without permission of the Captain of the Port Detroit or a designated representative.

**DATES:** The regulations in 33 CFR 165.941 will be enforced for the Sandusky New Years Eve Celebration Fireworks listed in item 51 in Table 1 to § 165.941, from 6 p.m. to 7 p.m. on December 31, 2025, and from 12 p.m. to 1 a.m. on January 1, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this notification of enforcement, call or

email MST1 Cera Turner, MSU Toledo, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 419-418-6050, email [d09-smb-msutoledo-www@uscg.mil](mailto:d09-smb-msutoledo-www@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Coast Guard will enforce a safety zone regulation in 33 CFR 165.941 for the Sandusky New Years Eve Celebration Fireworks listed in item 51 in Table 1 to § 165.941, from 6 p.m. to 7 p.m. on December 31, 2025, and from 12 p.m. to 1 a.m. on January 1, 2026. This action is being taken to provide for the safety of life and property on the Maumee River during the event. The regulation for reoccurring marine events within Sector Detroit Marine Safety Unit Toledo, Table 1 to § 165.941, item 51, specifies the location of the regulated area for the event. During the enforcement period, no person or vessel may enter the safety zone without permission of the Captain of the Port Detroit or an on-scene representative.

In addition to this notification or enforcement in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide notification of this enforcement period via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Dated: December 5, 2025.

**Richard P. Armstrong,**  
*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Detroit.*

[FR Doc. 2025-22516 Filed 12-10-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R09-OAR-2025-0199; FRL-12749-02-R9]

**Air Plan Approval; California; South Coast Air Quality Management District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning a rule submitted to address section 185 of the Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”) with respect to the 1997 and 2008 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS or “standard”).

**DATES:** This rule is effective January 12, 2026.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2025-0199. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with a disability who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Doris Lo, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105; telephone number: (415) 972-3959; email address: [lo.doris@epa.gov](mailto:lo.doris@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Proposed Action**

On July 31, 2025 (90 FR 36003), the EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the California SIP.

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SCAQMD .....	317.1	Clean Air Act Nonattainment Fees For 8-Hour Ozone Standards .....	06/07/24	08/13/24

We proposed to approve this rule because we determined that it complies with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rule and our evaluation.

**II. Public Comments and EPA Responses**

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received three comments, two of which expressed support for the EPA’s proposed rule. The third comment, which focused primarily on the impact of carbon dioxide emissions, is outside the scope of this rulemaking.

**III. EPA Action**

No comments were submitted that change our assessment of the rule as described in our proposed action. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is

approving this rule into the California SIP.

**IV. Incorporation by Reference**

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of SCAQMD Rule 317.1, Clean Air Act Nonattainment Fees for 8-Hour Ozone Standards, adopted on June 7, 2024, which addresses CAA section 185 fee program requirements. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

**V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025)