the '565, '502, and '306 patents. The ALJ also issued his recommended determination on remedy and bonding in this investigation.

On May 9, 2022, the Commission adopted the final ID's finding of no violation as to the '306 patent and reviewed certain findings of the final ID with respect to the '565 patent and the '502 patent. 87 FR 29375–377 (May 13, 2022). *Id.* The Commission also asked the parties to brief certain issues under review and requested the parties, interested government agencies, and other interested persons to brief issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The parties filed timely initial submissions and reply submissions. The Commission did not receive comments from the public on any public interest issues raised by the ALJ's recommended relief.

On October 3, 2022, the Commission issued a final determination affirming with modifications the final ID's finding that all asserted claims are patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101, that the asserted claims of the '565 patent are invalid as anticipated, and that Respondents failed to prove the asserted claims were not enabled under 35 U.S.C. 112. Having affirmed the final ID's findings that the asserted claims were patent ineligible and/or invalid, the Commission took no position on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. Accordingly, the Commission found no violation of section 337 as to the '565 and the '502 patents and terminated the investigation.[1]

USS timely appealed the Commission's patent ineligibility findings with respect to the '502 patent, but did not appeal the '565 patent, to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (''Federal Circuit'' or ''Court''). Respondents Iljin, SF Diamond, New Asia, IDS, Haimingrun, and Juxin (collectively, ''Intervenors'') intervened in the appeal and argued in the alternative that the asserted claims of the '502 patent are not enabled under section 112.

On February 13, 2025, the Federal Circuit reversed the Commission's conclusion that the asserted claims of the '502 patent are patent ineligible under section 101 and affirmed the Commission's enablement conclusion. The Court remanded for further proceedings.

Intervenors filed a combined petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc, which the Court denied on May 20, 2025. Intervenors also filed a motion to stay the mandate, which was denied on May 29, 2025. The Court issued its formal mandate on May 29, 2025, returning jurisdiction to the Commission for further proceedings.

On June 5, 2025, the Commission requested written submissions from the parties to address the specific proceedings to be conducted on remand. USS and Respondents filed timely initial and response submissions. Respondents also moved for leave to file out of time an exhibit referenced in their initial remand submission. The Chair subsequently approved the request.

Upon review of the evidence of record, the Federal Circuit's decision on appeal, and the parties' submissions, the Commission finds that Respondents Iljin, SF Diamond, New Asia, IDS, Haimingrun, Juxin, CR Gems, Jingrui, and Wanlong have violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, or selling in the United States after importation certain polycrystalline diamond compacts and articles containing the same that infringe one or more of the asserted claims 1, 2, 11, 15 and 21 of the '502 patent. As set forth in the accompanying Opinion, the Commission affirms with modifications the ALJ's decision to allow USS to supplement its contentions with a new domestic industry allocation method in accordance with the procedural schedule set forth in this investigation. The Commission also affirms the final ID's finding that the economic prong has been satisfied under prong (B) of section 337(a)(3) and takes no position on prongs (A) and (C) of section 337(a)(3). The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is: (i) an LEO prohibiting Respondents from importing certain polycrystalline diamond compacts and articles containing the same that infringe one or more of the asserted claims 1, 2, 11, 15, and 21 of the '502 patent; and (ii) a CDO against SF Diamond USA, Inc. The Commission has determined that the public interest factors do not preclude issuance of a remedy. The Commission has determined to set a bond in the amount of zero percent (0%) of the entered value of the infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission issues its opinion herewith setting forth its determinations on certain issues. This investigation is hereby terminated. The Commission's orders and opinion were delivered to the President and United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 4, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 4, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–22313 Filed 12–8–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[1] Commissioner Schmidtlein dissented from the Majority's decision to affirm the final ID's section 101 findings.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–510 and 731–TA–1245 (Second Review)]

Calcium Hypochlorite From China; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act'') to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on calcium hypochlorite from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: September 5, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jesse Sanchez—((202) 205–2402), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (*https://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On September 5, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (90 FR 23361, June 2, 2025) of the subject

five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.[1] Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).[2]

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on January 9, 2026. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,[3] and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before January 15, 2026 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by January 15, 2026. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures,* available on the Commission's website at *https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf,* elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.— The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 5, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–22361 Filed 12–8–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[1] A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

[2] Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, the deadlines in this proceeding have been tolled.

[3] The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of Innovative Water Care LLC to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Veterans' Employment and Training Service

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request: Required Components of the Jobs for Veterans State Grants State Plans

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), DOL is soliciting public comments regarding the proposed revision of this Office of the Assistant Secretary for Veterans' Employment and Training Service (VETS) sponsored information collection for the authority to collect information requirements under a new information collection request (ICR) titled ''Required Components of the Jobs for Veterans State Grants State Plans''.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all written comments received by February 9, 2026.

ADDRESSES: A copy of this ICR with applicable supporting documentation, including a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden, may be obtained for free by contacting Rebekah Haydin by telephone at (469) 786–7164 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at *JVSG@dol.gov.* Submit written comments about this ICR by email to: *JVSG@dol.gov.* Include ''JVSG State Plan ICR Comments'' in the subject line.

Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency; (3) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (4) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request. Comments will become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebekah Haydin, by telephone at (469) 786–7164 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at *JVSG@dol.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Labor, as part of continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information before submitting them to the OMB for final approval. This program helps to ensure requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements can be properly assessed.

The Department of Labor's Veterans' Employment and Training Service (VETS) administers funds for the Jobs for Veterans State Grant (JVSG) to each state, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands on an annual fiscal year basis. These non-competitive, formula-driven grants are codified under Title 38, United States Code, (38 U.S.C.) Section 4102A(b)(5):

''Subject to subsection (c) make available for use in each state by grant or contract such funds as may be