

special needs or disabilities), or whether the student receives free or reduced lunch.

The proposed complaint alleges that despite representing to school districts, students and their parents that it would keep their student personal information safe, Respondent failed to utilize reasonable information security measures to do so. The proposed complaint alleges that as a result of Respondent's unreasonable information security practices, a threat actor infiltrated Respondent's network, had unfettered access to students' personal information for 13 days, and exfiltrated millions of students' personal information.

The Commission's proposed three-count complaint alleges that Respondent violated Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by (1) unfairly failing to employ reasonable information security practices to protect students' personal information, (2) misrepresenting to school districts, students and their parents that it took reasonable steps to protect student personal information, and (3) misrepresenting to school districts that it would provide timely notifications regarding breach or unauthorized disclosure. With respect to the first count, the proposed complaint alleges that Respondent:

(a) stored, until at least January 2022, students' personal information in Illuminate's network in S3 buckets in plaintext, rather than encrypting the information;

(b) failed to implement reasonable access controls to safeguard students' personal information stored in AWS services;

(c) failed to employ effective threat detection and response on its network and databases;

(d) failed to employ effective vulnerability monitoring and patch management practices;

(e) improperly configured, or failed to implement, logging and monitoring tools to appropriately capture and alert on suspicious data security events;

(f) failed, until at least November 2022, to establish a comprehensive incident management or incident response plan; and

(g) failed, until at least March 2022, to have a policy, process, or procedure for inventorying and deleting students' personal information stored on Illuminate's network after that information is no longer necessary.

The proposed complaint alleges that Respondent could have addressed each of these failures by implementing readily available and relatively low-cost security measures. It also alleges that Respondent's failures caused, or are

likely to cause, substantial injury to consumers that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition and is not reasonably avoidable by consumers themselves. Such practices constitute unfair acts or practices under Section 5 of the FTC Act.

With respect to the second count, the proposed complaint alleges that, at various times, Respondent represented to school districts, students and their parents that it used reasonable measures to protect student personal information. The proposed complaint alleges that, in reality, and as noted above, Respondent failed to implement reasonable measures to protect students' personal information. Such representations were, therefore, deceptive under Section 5 of the FTC Act.

Finally, the third count of the proposed complaint alleges that at various times Respondent represented that it would provide timely notifications to school districts whose data has been exposed as a result of a breach or unintended disclosure. The proposed complaint alleges that Respondent failed to timely notify school districts whose data had been exposed due to a breach or unintended disclosure. Such representations were, therefore, deceptive under Section 5 of the FTC Act.

Summary of Proposed Order With Respondent

The proposed order contains injunctive relief designed to prevent Respondent from engaging in the same or similar acts or practices in the future.

Part I prohibits Respondent from misrepresenting (1) the extent to which it protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of any covered information; and (2) the time period in which Respondent will notify school districts and students of a breach or unintended disclosure of any covered information as defined in the proposed order.

Part II requires that Respondent delete or destroy covered information that is not being retained in connection with providing products or services under Respondent's contracts with its customers or as requested by Respondent's customers.

Part III requires that Respondent document and adhere to a retention schedule for the covered information it collects from consumers, including the purposes for which it collects such information and the timeframe for its deletion.

Part IV requires Respondent to establish and implement, and thereafter maintain, a comprehensive information

security program that protects the security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of covered information.

Part V requires Respondent to obtain initial and biennial information security assessments by an independent, third-party professional for 10 years. Part VI requires Respondent to disclose all material facts to the assessor required by Part V and prohibits Respondent from misrepresenting any fact material to the assessments required by Part V.

Part VII requires Respondent to submit an annual certification from the Chief Information Security Officer responsible for its information security program that the company has implemented the requirements of the Order and is not aware of any material noncompliance that has not been corrected or disclosed to the Commission. Part VIII requires Respondent to notify the Commission any time it notifies a federal, state, or local government that information of or about a consumer was, or is reasonably believed to have been, accessed, acquired, or publicly exposed without authorization.

Parts IX–XII are reporting and compliance provisions, which include recordkeeping requirements and provisions requiring Respondent to provide information or documents necessary for the Commission to monitor compliance.

Part XIII states that the proposed order will remain in effect for 10 years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or proposed order, or to modify the proposed order's terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

April J. Tabor,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–21892 Filed 12–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS–4209–N]

Medicare Program; Medicare Appeals; Adjustment to the Amount in Controversy Threshold Amounts for Calendar Year 2026

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the annual adjustment in the amount in controversy (AIC) threshold amounts for Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) hearings and judicial review under the Medicare appeals process. The adjustment to the AIC threshold amounts will be effective for requests for ALJ hearings and judicial review filed on or after January 1, 2026. The calendar year 2026 AIC threshold amounts are \$200 for ALJ hearings and \$1,960 for judicial review.

DATES: This annual adjustment takes effect on January 1, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Natasha Franklin, (410) 786–5692.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

Section 1869(b)(1)(E) of the Social Security Act (the Act) established the amount in controversy (AIC) threshold amounts for Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) hearings and judicial review at \$100 and \$1,000, respectively, for Medicare Part A and Part B appeals. Additionally, section 1869(b)(1)(E) of the Act provides that beginning in January 2005, the AIC threshold amounts are to be adjusted annually by the percentage increase in the medical care component of the consumer price index (CPI) for all urban consumers (U.S. city average) for July 2003 to the July preceding the year involved and rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10. Sections 1852(g)(5) and 1876(c)(5)(B) of the Act apply the AIC adjustment requirement to Medicare Part C/Medicare Advantage (MA) appeals and certain health maintenance organization and competitive medical plan appeals. Health care prepayment plans are also subject to MA appeals rules, including the AIC adjustment requirement, pursuant to 42 CFR 417.840. Section 1860D–4(h)(1) of the Act, provides that a Medicare Part D plan sponsor shall meet the requirements of paragraphs (4) and (5) of section 1852(g) of the Act with respect to benefits, including appeals and the application of the AIC adjustment requirement to Medicare Part D appeals.

A. Medicare Part A and Part B Appeals

The statutory formula for the annual adjustment to the AIC threshold amounts for ALJ hearings and judicial review of Medicare Part A and Part B appeals, set forth at section 1869(b)(1)(E) of the Act, is included in the applicable implementing regulations, 42 CFR 405.1006(b) and (c). The regulations at § 405.1006(b)(2) require the Secretary of Health and

Human Services (the Secretary) to publish changes to the AIC threshold amounts in the **Federal Register**. To be entitled to a hearing before an ALJ, a party to a proceeding must meet the AIC requirements at § 405.1006(b). Similarly, a party must meet the AIC requirements at § 405.1006(c) at the time judicial review is requested for the court to have jurisdiction over the appeal (§ 405.1136(a)).

B. Medicare Part C/MA Appeals

Section 1852(g)(5) of the Act applies the AIC adjustment requirement to Medicare Part C appeals. The implementing regulations for Medicare Part C appeals are found at 42 CFR part 422, subpart M. Specifically, §§ 422.600 and 422.612 discuss the AIC threshold amounts for ALJ hearings and judicial review. Section 422.600 grants any party to the reconsideration (except the MA organization) who is dissatisfied with the reconsideration determination a right to an ALJ hearing as long as the amount remaining in controversy after reconsideration meets the threshold requirement established annually by the Secretary. Section 422.612 states, in part, that any party, including the MA organization, may request judicial review if the AIC meets the threshold requirement established annually by the Secretary.

C. Health Maintenance Organizations, Competitive Medical Plans, and Health Care Prepayment Plans

Section 1876(c)(5)(B) of the Act states that the annual adjustment to the AIC dollar amounts set forth in section 1869(b)(1)(E)(iii) of the Act applies to certain beneficiary appeals within the context of health maintenance organizations and competitive medical plans. The applicable implementing regulations for Medicare Part C appeals are set forth in 42 CFR part 422, subpart M and apply to these appeals in accordance with 42 CFR 417.600(b). The Medicare Part C appeals rules also apply to health care prepayment plan appeals in accordance with 42 CFR 417.840.

D. Medicare Part D (Prescription Drug Plan) Appeals

The annually adjusted AIC threshold amounts for ALJ hearings and judicial review that apply to Medicare Parts A, B, and C appeals also apply to Medicare Part D appeals. Section 1860D–4(h)(1) of the Act regarding Part D appeals requires a prescription drug plan sponsor to meet the requirements set forth in sections 1852(g)(4) and (g)(5) of the Act, in a similar manner as MA organizations. The implementing regulations for Medicare Part D appeals

can be found at 42 CFR part 423, subparts M and U. More specifically, § 423.2006 addresses the AIC threshold amounts for ALJ hearings and judicial review. Sections 423.2002 and 423.2006 grant a Part D enrollee who is dissatisfied with the independent review entity (IRE) reconsideration determination a right to an ALJ hearing if the amount remaining in controversy after the IRE reconsideration meets the threshold amount established annually by the Secretary, and other requirements set forth in § 423.2002. Sections 423.2006 and 423.2136 allow a Part D enrollee to request judicial review of an ALJ or Medicare Appeals Council decision if the AIC meets the threshold amount established annually by the Secretary, and other requirements are met as set forth in these provisions.

II. Provisions of the Notice—Annual AIC Adjustments**A. AIC Adjustment Formula and AIC Adjustments**

Section 1869(b)(1)(E)(iii) of the Act requires that the AIC threshold amounts be adjusted annually, beginning in January 2005, by the percentage increase in the medical care component of the CPI for all urban consumers (U.S. city average) for July 2003 to July of the year preceding the year involved and rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10.

B. Calendar Year 2026

The AIC threshold amount for ALJ hearings will rise from \$190 for CY 2025 to \$200 for CY 2026, and the AIC threshold amount for judicial review will increase from \$1,900 for CY 2025 to \$1,960 for CY 2026. These amounts are based on the 96.188 percent change in the medical care component of the CPI, which was at 297.600 in July 2003 and rose to 583.856 in July 2025. The AIC threshold amount for ALJ hearings changes to \$196.19 based on the 96.188 percent increase over the initial threshold amount of \$100 established in 2003. In accordance with section 1869(b)(1)(E)(iii) of the Act, the adjusted threshold amounts are rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10. Therefore, the CY 2026 AIC threshold amount for ALJ hearings is \$200.00. The AIC threshold amount for judicial review changes to \$1,961.88 based on the 96.188 percent increase over the initial threshold amount of \$1,000. This amount was rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10, resulting in the CY 2026 AIC threshold amount of \$1,960.00 for judicial review.

C. Summary Table of Adjustments in the AIC Threshold Amounts

In the following table we list the CYs 2022 through 2026 threshold amounts.

	CY 2022	CY 2023	CY 2024	CY 2025	CY 2026
ALJ Hearing	\$180	\$180	\$180	\$190	\$200
Judicial Review	1,760	1,850	1,840	1,900	1,960

III. Collection of Information Requirements

This document announces the annual adjustment in the AIC threshold amounts and does not impose any “collection of information” requirements as defined under 5 CFR 1320.3(c). Consequently, the notice is not subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Dr. Mehmet Oz having reviewed and approved this document, authorizes Trenesha Fultz-Mimms, who is the Federal Register Liaison, to electronically sign this document for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register**.

Trenesha Fultz-Mimms,

Federal Register Liaison, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

[FR Doc. 2025–21879 Filed 12–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 1009 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; PAR–23–199: ClinGen Genomic Curation Expert Panels.

Date: December 30, 2025.

Time: 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Address: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Meeting Format: Virtual Meeting.

Contact Person: Marcienne Wright, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, (240) 893–7172, marci.wright@nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: December 1, 2025.

Sterlyn H. Gibson,

Program Specialist, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2025–21905 Filed 12–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 1009 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Mentored Career Development Award Applications.

Date: January 27, 2026.

Time: 9:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Address: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Meeting Format: Virtual Meeting.

Contact Person: Srihari Seshadri, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Scientific Review Program, DEA/NIAID/NIH/DHHS, 5601 Fishers Lane, MSC–9823, Rockville, MD 20852, (240) 236–9279, srihari.seshadri@nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: November 28, 2025.

Rosalind M. Niamke,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2025–21903 Filed 12–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Proposed Collection; 60-Day Comment Request; Application and Impact of Clinical Research Training on Healthcare Professionals in Academia and Clinical Research (Office of the Director)

AGENCY: National Institutes of Health, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirement of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 to provide opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Office of Clinical Research Education and Collaboration Outreach (OCRECO), Office of Intramural Research (OIR), National Institutes of Health, will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval.

DATES: Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 60 days of the date of this publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, submit