

applicable FMVSS tire safety performance standards. MNA highlights that paragraph S7.2(a) of FMVSS No. 119 provides that endurance testing is conducted at the maximum single load value when the tire is marked with both single and dual maximum loads. MNA notes that the correct single load values in kilograms and pounds are marked on the tire. Further, MNA states that except for the max dual load marking in pounds on both sides of the tire, the affected tires correctly display all other required regulatory markings, including load range H corresponding to the designed maximum single load of 3,000 kilograms or 6,610 pounds, the maximum dual load of 2,725 kilograms, as well as the correct inflation pressure of 830 kPa or 120 psi.

MNA explains that these markings provide both dealers and fleets with the necessary information to enable proper selection and application of the tires. MNA says that if a dealer or fleet were to follow the erroneous maximum dual load in pounds marked on the subject tires, the resulting tire loading would be 55 pounds below the designed maximum dual load of this tire.

MNA states that it has taken corrective measures in production and all tires currently being produced have the correct marking.

MNA refers to the following NHTSA petition decisions that it contends are similar to the subject noncompliance:

- Michelin North America, Inc., docket number NHTSA–2006–25891, granted 22 December 2006.
- Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company, docket number NHTSA–2005–21269, granted 18 July 2005.

MNA concludes by stating its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety and its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

VI. NHTSA's Analysis: In determining inconsequentiality of a noncompliance, NHTSA focuses on the safety risk to individuals who experience the type of event against which a recall would otherwise protect.¹ In general, NHTSA

does not consider the absence of complaints or injuries when determining if a noncompliance is inconsequential to safety. The absence of complaints does not mean vehicle occupants have not experienced a safety issue, nor does it mean that there will not be safety issues in the future.² Further, because each inconsequential noncompliance petition must be evaluated on its own facts and determinations are highly fact-dependent, NHTSA does not consider prior determinations as binding precedent. Petitioners are reminded that they have the burden of persuading NHTSA that the noncompliance is inconsequential to safety.

NHTSA has evaluated the merits of the inconsequential noncompliance petition submitted by Michelin and agrees to grant the petitioner's request for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 49 U.S.C. 30120 based on the following:

The tires that are the subject of this petition are designed and manufactured to have a higher maximum load than the erroneous value in pounds that is marked on the sidewall for a dual configuration. Because of this, consumers who follow those marked load values in pounds will not be in danger of overloading the tires. NHTSA has no basis to believe that the tires are not compliant with all other requirements of FMVSS No. 119.

VII. NHTSA's Decision: In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA finds that MNA has met its burden of persuasion that the subject FMVSS No. 119 noncompliance in the affected tires is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, MNA's petition is hereby granted and MNA is consequently exempted from the obligation of providing notification of, and a free remedy for, that noncompliance under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or

noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, this decision only applies to the subject tires that MNA no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, the granting of this petition does not relieve tire distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant tires under their control after MNA notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Otto G. Matheke III,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025–21529 Filed 11–26–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2022–0024; Notice 1]

ST Engineering Hackney, Inc., Receipt of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: ST Engineering Hackney, Inc., (STE Hackney), has determined that certain model year (MY) 2015–2022 Kidron Refrigerated Van trailers do not fully comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 223, *Rear Impact Guards*. On January 28, 2022, STE Hackney filed an original noncompliance report and amended the report on February 28, 2022, April 16, 2024, and April 17, 2024. STE Hackney petitioned NHTSA on February 28, 2022, and amended the petition on April 16, 2024, for a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. This document announces receipt of STE Hackney's petition.

DATES: Send comments on or before December 29, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited in the title of this notice and may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- **Mail:** Send comments by mail addressed to the U.S. Department of

¹ See *Gen. Motors, LLC; Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance*, 78 FR 35355 (June 12, 2013) (finding noncompliance had no effect on occupant safety because it had no effect on the proper operation of the occupant classification system and the correct deployment of an air bag); *Osram Sylvania Prods. Inc.; Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance*, 78 FR 46000 (July 30, 2013) (finding occupant using noncompliant light source would not be exposed to significantly greater risk than occupant using similar compliant light source).

² See *Morgan 3 Wheeler Limited; Denial of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance*, 81 FR 21663, 21666 (Apr. 12, 2016); see also *United States v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 565 F.2d 754, 759 (D.C. Cir. 1977) (finding defect poses an unreasonable risk when it “results in hazards as potentially dangerous as sudden engine fire, and where there is no dispute that at least some such hazards, in this case fires, can definitely be expected to occur in the future”).

Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

- **Hand Delivery:** Deliver comments by hand to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. except for Federal Holidays.

- **Electronically:** Submit comments electronically by logging onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) website at <https://www.regulations.gov/>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- Comments may also be faxed to (202) 493-2251.

Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that comments you have submitted by mail were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information provided.

All comments and supporting materials received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be filed in the docket and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the fullest extent possible.

When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will also be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated at the end of this notice.

All comments, background documentation, and supporting materials submitted to the docket may be viewed by anyone at the address and times given above. The documents may also be viewed on the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov/> by following the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number for this petition is shown in the heading of this notice.

DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement is available for review in a **Federal Register** notice published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Corey Barlet, General Engineer, NHTSA,

Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, (202) 366-1119.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview: STE Hackney determined that certain MY 2015–2022 Kidron Refrigerated Van trailers it manufactures do not fully comply with paragraph S5.3(a) of FMVSS No. 223, *Rear Impact Guards* (49 CFR 571.223).

On January 28, 2022, STE Hackney filed an original noncompliance report and amended the report on February 28, 2022, April 16, 2024, and April 17, 2024, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, *Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports*. STE Hackney petitioned NHTSA on February 28, 2022, and amended its petition on April 16, 2024, for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and 49 CFR part 556, *Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance*.

This notice of receipt of STE Hackney's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or another exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

II. Vehicles Involved: Approximately 2,428 MY 2015–2022, Kidron Refrigerated Van trailers manufactured between November 3, 2014, and January 24, 2022, were reported by the manufacturer.

III. Noncompliance: STE Hackney explains that rear impact guard labels on the subject trailers did not include the guard manufacturer's address, as required by paragraph S5.3(a) of FMVSS No. 223.

IV. Rule Requirements: Paragraph S5.3(a) of FMVSS No. 223 includes the requirements relevant to this petition. Each guard is required to be permanently labeled with certain information including the guard manufacturer's address.

V. Summary of STE Hackney's Petition: The following views and arguments presented in this section, "V. Summary of STE Hackney's Petition," are the views and arguments provided by STE Hackney. They have not been evaluated by the Agency and do not reflect the views of the Agency. STE Hackney describes the subject noncompliance and contends that the noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

STE Hackney explains that the rear impact guard label on the subject trailers is placed in the correct position

and contains the manufacturer's name, production date, and "DOT" certification marking as required by FMVSS No. 223 paragraph S5.3. While the manufacturer's address is not included on the rear impact guard label as required by S5.3(a) of FMVSS No. 223, STE Hackney says that the missing manufacturer's address can be found on the manufacturer's certification label that is affixed on every trailer that STE Hackney manufactures.

STE Hackney states that it "can certify that each vehicle was produced with compliant rear impact guard manufactured and installed by STE Hackney." Furthermore, STE Hackney was contacted by a customer requesting replacement labels, and says that "in the long period that these units have been in service, they have only recently been given notice that the manufacturer address was omitted on the rear guard."

STE Hackney concludes by stating its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety and its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the subject vehicles that STE Hackney no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve vehicle distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant vehicles under their control after STE Hackney notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Otto G. Matheke III,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025-21524 Filed 11-26-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P