

its CMVs be included in the definition of a “covered farm vehicle,” as defined in 49 CFR 390.5. The applicant states that this “will require these drivers to stay within 150 air miles and will only be allowed to drive in the state of Iowa.” Under 49 CFR 390.39, “covered farm vehicles” are exempt from all requirements in 49 parts 382, 383, 391 (subpart E), 395, and 396. As defined in 49 CFR 390.5, “covered farm vehicles” with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR), gross combination weight rating (GCWR), or gross vehicle weight (GVW) or gross combination weight (GCW), whichever is greater, of more than 26,001 pounds may use the exemptions in 49 CFR 390.39 within the State of registration and across State lines within 150 air miles of the farm or ranch with respect to which the vehicle is being operated, while vehicles with a GVWR, GCWR, GVW, or GCW of 26,001 pounds or less may use the exemptions anywhere in the United States. Based on this request, FMCSA attempted to clarify whether Applicant was seeking exemptions from all of parts 382, 383, 391 (subpart E), 395, and 396, but was unable to verify the provisions the applicant sought an exemption from. Under 49 CFR 390.39(a)(1), drivers of “covered farm vehicles” are exempt from the CDL requirements. Agri-Tech Aviation’s request to have FMCSA classify its trucks as “covered farm vehicles” is therefore incompatible with its request for an exemption from the CDL requirement of 49 CFR 383.25(a)(1). Because the applicant’s “full request” did not specifically seek an exemption other than from 49 CFR 383.25(a)(1), FMCSA is not seeking comment on the portion of the applicant’s request pertaining to the definition of “covered farm vehicles.”

A copy of Agri-Tech Aviation’s application for exemption is available for review in the docket for this notice.

IV. Request for Comments

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315(b), FMCSA requests public comment from all interested persons on Agri-Tech Aviation’s application for an exemption from the requirement that a CLP holder be accompanied by a CDL holder, seated in the front seat, while the CMV is being driven by the CLP holder.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date will be considered and will

be available for examination in the docket at the location listed under the Addresses section of this notice. Comments received after the comment closing date will be filed in the public docket and will be considered to the extent practicable. In addition to late comments, FMCSA will also continue to file, in the public docket, relevant information that becomes available after the comment closing date. Interested persons should continue to examine the public docket for new material.

Larry W. Minor,
Associate Administrator for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2025-20676 Filed 11-21-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2025-0852, Notice No. 1]

Review of Quiet Zone in Miami, Florida

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of quiet zone review.

SUMMARY: FRA is providing notice of its intent to review a quiet zone (THR-000000111223) located in Miami, Florida. Based on a high rate of reported accidents/incidents between January 2020 and January 2025, FRA has made a preliminary determination that safety systems and measures implemented within the quiet zone do not fully compensate for the absence of routine sounding of the locomotive horn due to a substantial increase in risk with respect to loss of life or serious personal injury within the quiet zone. Further, FRA discovered that the documentation submitted and relied upon by the City of Miami (the Public Authority) to establish the quiet zone may contain substantial errors that have an adverse impact on public safety. Therefore, FRA intends to review existing conditions within the quiet zone to determine whether it should be terminated or whether additional safety measures may be necessary to ensure safety.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 24, 2025. FRA will consider comments filed after this date to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES:

Comments: Comments related to this notice may be submitted by going to

<https://www.regulations.gov> and following the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number (FRA-2025-0852). Please note that comments submitted online via www.regulations.gov are not immediately posted to the docket. Several business days may elapse after a comment has been submitted online before it is posted to the docket.

Privacy Act: DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its regulatory process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice, DOT/ALL-14 FDMS, accessible through www.dot.gov/privacy. To facilitate comment tracking and response, commenters are encouraged to provide their name, or the name of their organization; however, submission of names is completely optional. Whether or not commenters identify themselves, all timely comments will be fully considered. If you wish to provide comments containing proprietary or confidential information, please contact the agency for alternate submission instructions.

Docket: For access to the docket to read comments received, please visit <https://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for accessing the docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Payne, Staff Director, Highway-Rail Crossing and Trespasser Programs Division, at telephone: (202) 441-2787 or email: james.payne@dot.gov; or Kathryn Gresham, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Chief Counsel, at telephone: (202) 577-7142 or email: kathryn.gresham@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In a Notice of Quiet Zone Establishment (NOE) letter, dated August 27, 2012, the Public Authority established a quiet zone by designation under 49 CFR 222.39(a)(3) with an effective date of September 30, 2012. The quiet zone, which extends from NE 71st Street (U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 272622C) to the Bayside pedestrian crossing (U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 273139L), includes the following highway-rail and pedestrian grade crossings:

U.S. DOT crossing inventory No.	Street name	Crossing type	Crossing purpose	Railroad milepost (MP)
273139L	Bayside Pedestrian	Public	Pathway, Pedestrian	DL 1.26
272960A	Port Boulevard	Public	Highway	DL 1.19
273133V ¹	Pedestrian Arena	Public	Pathway, Pedestrian	DL 1.15
272654H	Biscayne Boulevard	Public	Highway	DL 1.10
272653B	NE 2nd Avenue	Public	Highway	DL 1.04
272652U	NE 1st Avenue	Public	Highway	DL 0.98
272651M	Miami Avenue	Public	Highway	DL 0.82
272648E	NW 1st Avenue	Public	Highway	DL 0.71
272647X	NW 8th Street	Public	Highway	DL 0.65
272646R	NW 10th Street	Public	Highway	DL 0.52
272644C	NW 11th Street	Public	Highway	DL 0.45
272640A	NW 14th Street	Public	Highway	PL 3.75
272637S	N Miami Avenue	Public	Highway	PL 3.32
272636K	NE 20th Street	Public	Highway	PL 3.23
272635D	NE 27th Street	Public	Highway	PL 2.74
272634W	NE 29th Street	Public	Highway	PL 2.64
272633P	NE 36th Street	Public	Highway	PL 2.18
272631B	NE 39th Street	Public	Highway	PL 2.02
272627L	NE 54th Street	Public	Highway	PL 1.13
272625X	NE 59th Street	Public	Highway	PL 0.91
272624R	NE 61st Street	Public	Highway	PL 0.65
273010J	NE 62nd Street	Public	Highway	PL 0.60
272622C	NE 71st Street	Public	Highway	PL 0.12

According to the NOE, this crossing corridor qualified for quiet zone status on the basis of having a Quiet Zone Risk Index (QZRI) that was below the Risk Index With Horns (RIWH).² Therefore, the NOE indicated that the Public Authority had taken sufficient measures to compensate for the excess risk that results from restricting routine train

horn sounding at the grade crossings identified in the notice.

Substantial Increase in Risk

Between January 2020 and January 2025, there have been 23 accident/incidents within this quiet zone.³ As reflected in the table below, 11 accident/incidents have resulted in

either injury or fatality, with 9 persons injured and 2 fatalities.⁴ Therefore, in accordance with 49 CFR 222.51(c), FRA has made a preliminary determination that there is significant risk with respect to loss of life or serious personal injury within this quiet zone necessitating FRA review.

Date	Injury/fatality	GX ID	RR
20-Mar-20	1—Injury	Trespass	FEC ⁵
13-Jan-22	1—Injury	272636K	BLF ⁶
24-Sep-22	1—Injury	272636K	BLF
27-Dec-22	1—Injury	Trespass	BLF
20-Oct-23	1—Injury	Trespass	BLF
02-Jan-24	1—Injury	272633P	FEC
16-Jan-24	1—Injury	272637S	SFRV ⁷
25-Oct-24	1—Fatality	Trespass	BLF
19-Nov-24	1—Fatality	Trespass	BLF
05-Dec-24	1—Injury	Trespass	SFRV
14-Jan-25	1—Injury	272636K	SFRV

Inadequate Safety Measures

In 2012, initially to demonstrate to FRA that the QZRI was lower than the RIWH, the Public Authority relied upon pre-existing Supplemental Safety Measures (SSMs)⁸ to reduce existing risk levels within the quiet zone. However, railroad operating

circumstances have significantly changed since 2012. Most notably, beginning in May 2018, Brightline (BLF) now operates passenger trains to and from a newly built station, MiamiCentral. In early 2024, the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRV or Tri-Rail) began

operating passenger trains to MiamiCentral as well. This has resulted in an increase in train traffic from approximately 4 trains per day to over 50 trains per day. The accidents/incidents described above, along with the increase in train traffic, has caused

¹ U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 273133V was not included in the NOE dated August 27, 2012 from the Public Authority but falls within the boundaries of the quiet zone.

² See 49 CFR 222.39(a)(3).

³ Since January 2025, additional incidents continue to be reported within the quiet zone.

⁴ The table only lists incidents that resulted in an injury or a fatality. There were 17 incidents at grade

crossings and 6 incidents involving trespassers not at grade crossings. Five grade crossing incidents resulted in injury and four trespasser incidents resulted in injury. In addition, two separate trespasser incidents resulted in fatalities.

⁵ Florida East Coast Railway (FEC).

⁶ Brightline Florida (BLF).

⁷ South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRV or Tri-Rail).

⁸ A Supplementary Safety Measure (SSM) is a safety system or procedure established in accordance with 49 CFR part 222, which is provided by the appropriate traffic control authority or law enforcement authority responsible for safety at the highway-rail grade crossing, that is determined by the FRA Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety to be an effective substitute for the locomotive horn in the prevention of highway-rail casualties. See 49 CFR 222.9.

the QZRI for this quiet zone to rise to a level above the RIWH.

In addition, the maximum timetable speed⁹ for all trains, including passenger, increased from 20 miles per hour (mph) to 40 mph for several miles of track, and a second main track was constructed. The crossings affected by the speed increase were on the Port Lead from MP PL 0.00 to PL 3.75. The Public Authority has not implemented any SSMs or Alternative Safety Measures (ASMs)¹⁰ since the establishment of the quiet zone to compensate for the increased train traffic, new railroad infrastructure, and higher train speeds. All twelve quiet zone crossings on the Port Lead were affected by this maximum timetable speed increase.

Improper Documentation

FRA noted the following inaccuracies when comparing the documentation relied upon to establish the quiet zone with current conditions:

- The required list of crossings in the NOE does not include the pedestrian grade crossing at MP DL 1.15 (U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 273133V).
- The Quiet Zone Calculator data in the NOE does not include the Port Boulevard crossing (U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 272960A), which artificially reduced the QZRI.
- A diagnostic team review of the pedestrian grade crossings in the quiet zone was required by 49 CFR 222.27. No record or comments from a diagnostic team review of any pedestrian grade crossing were included in the NOE.

During FRA's review of the documentation submitted to establish the quiet zone, FRA noted additional discrepancies, including outdated annual average daily traffic counts and incomplete Quiet Zone Calculator documentation.¹¹

⁹ The maximum timetable speed references the highest maximum speed any train may travel through the crossing and is determined by the railroad in accordance with the relevant operating conditions and track class. This speed is denoted on the U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory Form in Part II, Box 3. The maximum timetable speed is factored into determining the RIWH and QZRI by the Quiet Zone Calculator.

¹⁰ An Alternative Safety Measure (ASM) is a safety system or procedure, other than an SSM, established in accordance with 49 CFR part 222, which is provided by the appropriate traffic control authority or law enforcement authority and which, after individual review and analysis by the FRA Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety, is determined to be an effective substitute for the locomotive horn in the prevention of highway-rail casualties at specific highway-rail grade crossings. See 49 CFR 222.9.

¹¹ The U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory forms contained in the NOE indicated that an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) analysis had not been performed since 1988 on any highway-rail grade crossings in the quiet zone.

Non-Compliance With the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)

FRA conducted a field inspection of each quiet zone crossing in October 2024 and provided a summary of its findings to the Public Authority in January 2025. The inspection uncovered numerous exceptions to the standards and guidance of the MUTCD.¹² Most notable was the absence or improper use of "No Train Horn" signs or plaques at several grade crossings. FRA conducted a follow-up inspection in April 2025 and observed that, except for the installation of several "No Train Horn" signs, most of the exceptions have not been corrected. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has reviewed the documented MUTCD non-compliance and concurs with FRA's findings.

Scope of Review

From the inception of FRA's rulemaking on the use of locomotive horns at public highway-rail grade crossings (indeed, beginning with FRA's issuance of Emergency Order No. 15¹³ in 1991), FRA has adopted a corridor-wide approach to evaluating and mitigating risk within quiet zones, instead of requiring the implementation of risk mitigation measures at each public highway-rail grade crossing located within a quiet zone. A corridor-wide approach permits the most efficient deployment of risk reduction measures and encourages public authorities to focus their resources on addressing the most hazardous public highway-rail grade crossings.

This quiet zone is located on the BLF and SFRV passenger rail corridor. When first established in 2012, the Dodge Island Lead (DL) consisted of one continuous track into the Port of Miami. Since then, this rail line has been split into two different sections: the Port Lead (PL) and the DL.¹⁴ This quiet zone contains PL and DL crossings. Accordingly, the scope of FRA's review may include an analysis of the current configuration of the quiet zone.

Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to the docket. FRA is interested in obtaining

¹² FHWA publishes the MUTCD, which contains national design, application, and placement standards, guidance, options, and support provisions for traffic control devices.

¹³ 56 FR 36190 (July 31, 1991).

¹⁴ The PL connects the FEC mainline southbound to MiamiCentral (PL 0.00 to PL 4.51). The DL splits off at PL 3.53 and then runs parallel until it crosses under the elevated PL at the NW 8th Street crossing (U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory No. 272647X) and turns east to the Port of Miami. The DL terminates at the Port of Miami.

information from the public about any unsafe actions that have been observed at any of the above-listed grade crossings. This could include information about motorists or pedestrians who have been observed engaging in unsafe actions. FRA is also interested in obtaining information from the Public Authority about the effectiveness of existing quiet zone crossing safety improvements, as well any additional quiet zone safety improvements that may be under consideration and the anticipated timeline for implementing any such improvements.

After the comment period closes, the Associate Administrator may require that additional safety measures be taken or that the quiet zone be terminated. The Associate Administrator will provide a copy of his decision to the Public Authority as well as the railroads that operate through the quiet zone and the State agencies responsible for grade crossing, highway, and road safety.

Issued in Washington, DC.

John Karl Alexy,

*Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety,
Chief Safety Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2025-20738 Filed 11-21-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2024-0056]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Request for Comment; Occupant Anthropometry and Seating

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments on a request for approval of a new information collection.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) summarized below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. This document describes a new collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval titled "Occupant Anthropometry and Seating."

A **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection