

Compliance Agreement with the party on such terms and conditions as the Debarment Official and the party may agree.

(ii) *Voluntary exclusion.* After considering the improper conduct and any mitigating factors, as well as any information submitted by the party proposed for debarment, the Debarment Official may determine, in his or her discretion, that it is in the best interests of the Postal Service to forgo debarment or suspending a party, provided that the party agrees not to submit proposals for new Postal Service business for a specified period of time.

(d) *Suspension*—(1) *Procedures.* (i) For a suspension pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(i) or (ii) of this section, or any extension of a suspension, the Debarment Official will notify the party of a suspension or an extension of a suspension and the reason(s) therefor.

(ii) For a suspension pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(iii) of this section, the suspension is effective upon issuance of the notice of proposed debarment and for the period stated in the notice, unless superseded by the party's debarment.

(iii) Within 30 days of notice of suspension or any extension, a party may submit to the Debarment Official, in writing, any information or reason(s) the party believes makes a suspension or an extension inappropriate. The Debarment Official, after consultation with the General Counsel, will consider the party's submission and, in his or her discretion, may revoke a suspension or an extension of a suspension by written decision.

(2) *Grounds.* The Debarment Official, after consultation with the General Counsel, may suspend any party, including any of its affiliates, for the following:

(i) If the party commits, is indicted for, or is charged with any of the offenses identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section and the Debarment Official determines not to institute debarment proceedings until the conclusion of any judicial or administrative proceedings related thereto;

(ii) For any other cause of such serious and compelling nature that suspension is warranted; or

(iii) If the Postal Service has notified a party of its proposed debarment under this part.

(3) *Period of suspension.* A suspension shall generally not exceed 1 year in duration, except that the Debarment Official may extend a suspension for reasonable periods of time beyond 1 year. The termination of a suspension will not prejudice the

Postal Service's position in any debarment proceeding. A suspension is superseded by a decision rendered by the Debarment Official to debar a party.

(e) *Imputation of conduct.* (1) The improper conduct of an individual may be imputed to the firm with which he or she is or has been connected when the grounds for debarment or impropriety were committed. Likewise, when a firm is involved in criminal, fraudulent, or other improper conduct, any person who participated in, knew of, or had reason to know of the impropriety may be debarred.

(2) The improper conduct of one party participating in a joint venture or similar arrangement may be imputed to other participating parties if the conduct occurred for or on behalf of the joint venture or similar arrangement, or with the knowledge, approval, or acquiescence of the other parties. Acceptance of the benefits derived from the conduct will be evidence of such knowledge, approval, or acquiescence.

(3) The improper conduct of a party may be imputed to an affiliate, and the improper conduct of an affiliate may be imputed to a party.

(f) *Debarment, suspension, and ineligible list.* (1) The Postal Service uses the General Services Administration's System for Award Management (*SAM.gov*) to determine if parties are debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, or ineligible. Inquiries concerning listed parties should be directed to the agency or other authority that took the action.

(2) Through a representative, the Debarment Official will use *SAM.gov* to report Postal Service debarments, suspensions, proposed debarments, and changes in status.

(3) Contracting officers must review the *SAM.gov* Exclusions Database before making any contract award.

(g) *Treatment of debarred, suspended, or ineligible parties.* (1) Parties that are debarred, suspended, or ineligible are excluded from the following:

(i) Being awarded any contract with the Postal Service;

(ii) Receiving any subcontract to provide property or services under any Postal Service contract;

(iii) Submitting proposals or offers of any manner to the Postal Service in an attempt to obtain an award of a contract;

(iv) Providing property or services to other persons or entities for resale, in whole or part, to the Postal Service;

(v) Being employed and performing as designated key personnel under a Postal Service contract or subcontract; or

(vi) Having access to mail, Postal Service data, or Postal Service facilities

under a Postal Service contract or subcontract.

(2) The debarment, suspension, or ineligibility of a party does not, of itself, affect the rights and obligations of the parties to any valid, pre-existing contract. The Postal Service may terminate for default a contract with a party that becomes debarred, suspended, or ineligible during the contract's period of performance. Contracting officers may not add new work to any contract with a party that is debarred, suspended, or ineligible by supplemental agreement, by exercise of any option, or otherwise (unless the work is classified as an insignificant or significant minor service change to a mail transportation contract).

(3) All parties doing business with the Postal Service are obligated to review the database identified in paragraph (f) of this section in order to exclude debarred, suspended, or ineligible parties from performing any part of a Postal Service contract.

(4) Notwithstanding paragraphs (g)(1) through (3) of this section, and in his or her discretion, the Debarment Official may approve a deviation from any part of this paragraph (g) if, after consultation with the General Counsel, it is determined to be in the best interests of the Postal Service.

(h) *Notices.* Any decision by or notice from the Debarment Official to a party under this section shall be sent by any method that provides evidence of receipt, with a copy furnished to the USPS Office of the Inspector General.

**Colleen Hibbert-Kapler,**

*Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2025–20252 Filed 11–18–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2025–0303; FRL–12838–02–R9]

### Air Plan Approval; Arizona; Maricopa County Air Quality Department; Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the Maricopa County Air Quality Department (MCAQD) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns emissions of volatile

organic compounds (VOCs) from petroleum solvents used in dry cleaning. We are approving a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”).

**DATES:** This rule is effective December 19, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2025–0303. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as

copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with a disability who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** La Kenya Evans-Hopper, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA

94105; telephone number: (415) 972–3245; email address: [evanshopper.lakenya@epa.gov](mailto:evanshopper.lakenya@epa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### **I. Proposed Action**

On August 21, 2025 (90 FR 40791), the EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the Arizona SIP.

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULE

Local agency	Rule #	Rule title	Revised	Submitted
MCAQD .....	333	Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning .....	09/25/2013	09/13/2017

We proposed to approve this rule because we determined that it complies with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rule and our evaluation.

#### **II. Public Comments and EPA Responses**

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received no comments.

#### **III. EPA Action**

No comments were submitted. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is approving this rule into the Arizona SIP. The September 25, 2013 version of Rule 333 will replace the previously approved version of this rule in the SIP.

#### **IV. Incorporation by Reference**

In this document, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of Maricopa County Air Quality Department Rule 333, revised on September 25, 2013, which regulates VOC emissions from petroleum solvent used in dry cleaning. Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA’s approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next

update to the SIP compilation.<sup>1</sup> The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

#### **V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities

under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

This action is subject to the Congressional Review Act (CRA), and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 20, 2026. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by

reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 10, 2025.

Michael Martucci,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart D—Arizona

■ 2. In § 52.120, the table in paragraph (c) entitled “Table 4 to Paragraph (c)—EPA-Approved Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations” is amended by revising the entry “Rule 333” to read as follows:

#### § 52.120 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

TABLE 4 TO PARAGRAPH (c)—EPA-APPROVED MARICOPA COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL REGULATIONS

County citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Additional explanation
*	*	*	*	*
Post-July 1988 Rule Codification				
*	*	*	*	*
Regulation III—Control of Air Contaminants				
*	*	*	*	*
Rule 333 .....	Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning.	September 25, 2013.	November 19, 2025, 90 FR [insert <b>Federal Register</b> page where the document begins].	Submitted on September 13, 2017, as an attachment to a letter of the same date.
*	*	*	*	*

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2025–20354 Filed 11–18–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52 and 81

[EPA–R08–OAR–2020–0098; FRL–12594–02–R8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Utah; Salt Lake City and Provo, Utah PM<sub>2.5</sub> Redesignations to Attainment and Utah State Implementation Plan Revisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving the redesignation of the Salt Lake City, Utah and Provo, Utah nonattainment areas (NAAs) to attainment for the 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter with an

aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 2.5 microns (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), and also approving multiple related State Implementation Plan (SIP) submissions. We are approving SIP revisions submitted by the State of Utah on January 19, 2017; February 4 and 15, 2019; January 13, 2020; December 17, 2020; and July 15, 2025. These SIP submissions include revisions to Utah Administrative Code (UAC) section R307–110; revisions to Utah state law SIP sections IX.H.11, 12, and 13; best available control measures/best available control technologies (BACM/BACT) PM<sub>2.5</sub> determinations for Salt Lake City and Provo; maintenance plans for the Salt Lake City and Provo areas for PM<sub>2.5</sub> including motor vehicle emissions budgets used for transportation conformity purposes; and the request for redesignation under the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard. The EPA is also finding the budgets adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The EPA is taking this action pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** This rule is effective on December 19, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2020–0098. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Ostigaard, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8ARD–IO, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, telephone number: (303) 312–6602,