

country within the State, which includes:

- All lands within the exterior boundaries of Indian reservations within or abutting the State of Ohio;
- Any land held in trust by the U.S. for an Indian tribe; and
- Any other land, whether on or off an Indian reservation, that qualifies as Indian country.

Therefore, this action has no effect on Indian country. The EPA retains jurisdiction over Indian country and will continue to implement and administer the RCRA program on these lands.

J. What is codification and is EPA codifying Ohio's hazardous waste program as authorized in this rule?

Codification is the process of placing a state's statutes and regulations that comprise a state's authorized hazardous waste program into the Code of Federal Regulations. We do this by referencing the authorized state rules in 40 CFR part 272. The EPA is not proposing to codify the authorization of Ohio's changes at this time. However, EPA reserves the ability to amend 40 CFR part 272, subpart KK for the authorization of Ohio's program changes at a later date.

K. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this action from the requirements of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011). This action proposes to authorize State requirements for the purpose of RCRA section 3006 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Therefore, this action is not subject to review by OMB. This action is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because actions such as this proposed authorization of Ohio's revised hazardous waste program under RCRA are exempted under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this action proposes to authorize pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate that significantly or uniquely affects small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538). For the same reason, this action also does not significantly or uniquely affect the

communities of Tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely proposes to authorize State requirements as part of the State RCRA hazardous waste program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA. This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

Under RCRA section 3006(b), EPA grants a state's application for authorization as long as the state meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a state authorization application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in proposing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. The EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of this action in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This action does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). "Burden" is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection;
Administrative practice and procedure;

Confidential business information; Hazardous materials transportation; Hazardous waste; Indian lands; Intergovernmental relations; Penalties; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: October 1, 2025.

Cheryl Newton,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2025-20129 Filed 11-17-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 25-911; MB Docket No. 25-298; FR ID 316223]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Various Locations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Table of FM Allotments, by substituting Channel 277A for vacant Channel 221A at Hamilton, Alabama; Channel 261B1 for vacant Channel 261B at Coalinga, California; Channel 289A for vacant Channel 291A at Rocksprings, Texas; Channel 261A for vacant Channel 221A at Silverton, Texas; and Channel 281C2 for vacant Channel 260C2 at Spur, Texas. The existing vacant FM channels are not in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) rules, and vacant Channel 261B at Coalinga is also not in compliance with the city-grade coverage requirements of the Commission's rules. *See Supplementary Information.*

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before November 21, 2025, and reply comments on or before December 8, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rolanda F. Smith, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2054, *Rolanda-Faye.Smith@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 25-298, adopted September 30, 2025, and released September 30, 2025. The full text of the Commission decision is

available online at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs>. The full text of this decision can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs>. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden “for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees,” pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4). The Commission will publish the required summary of this notice of proposed rulemaking on <https://www.fcc.gov/proposed-rulemakings>, pursuant to The Providing Accountability Through Transparency Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(4).

Channel 277A can be allotted to Hamilton, Alabama consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 7 kilometers (4.4 miles) north of the community at reference coordinates 34–11–50 NL and 88–01–37 WL. Channel 261B1 can be allotted to Coalinga, California consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, without a site restriction at the community’s reference coordinates 36–8–23 NL and 120–21–37 WL. Channel 289A can be allotted to Rocksprings, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 12.1 kilometers (7.5 miles) west of the community at reference coordinates 29–59–52 NL and 100–20–10 WL. Channel 261A can be allotted to Silverton, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 11 kilometers (6.8 miles) northwest of the community at reference coordinates 34–33–34 NL and 101–21–13 WL. Channel 281C2 can be allotted to Spur, Texas consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of 47 CFR 73.207, provided there is a site restriction of 22.5 kilometers (14 miles) east of the community at reference coordinates 33–26–51 NL and 100–36–59 WL.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a notice of proposed rulemaking is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this

one, which involve channel allotments. *See* 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, *see* 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.
Federal Communications Commission.

Nazifa Sawez,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

Proposed Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

- 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

- 2. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under Alabama by revising in alphabetical order an entry for “Hamilton”;
- 3. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under California by revising in alphabetical order an entry for “Coalinga”;
- 4. In § 73.202(b), amend table 1 (Table of FM Allotments) under Texas in alphabetical order by:
 - a. Revising the entry for “Rocksprings”;
 - b. Revising the entry for “Silverton”; and
 - c. Adding the entry for “Spur”.

The revisions and addition read as follows:

§ 73.202 Table of Allotments.

* * * * *

(b) Table of FM Allotments.

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (b) [U.S. States]

	Channel No.			
*	*	*	*	*
Alabama				
Hamilton	277A			
California				
Coalinga	261B1			

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (b)—Continued [U.S. States]

	Channel No.			
*	*	*	*	*
Texas				
Rocksprings	289A			
Silverton	261A			
Spur	281C2			

[FR Doc. 2025–20229 Filed 11–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

49 CFR Parts 1241 and 1251

[Docket No. EP 787]

Updating Class I Rail Carrier Reporting Requirements; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Board provides notice that comments in this docket will now be due no later than November 24, 2025, and replies in this docket will be due no later than December 8, 2025.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published September 30, 2025, at 90 FR 46779, is extended. Comments should be received by November 24, 2025, and replies will be due by December 8, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda Gorski, (202) 915–8453. If you require accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call (202) 245–0245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) served and published on September 30, 2025 (90 FR 46779), the Board proposed to terminate Class I carriers’ supplemental reporting of certain Positive Train Control expenditures and to require Class I carriers to report two service metrics on a weekly basis. *Updating Class I Rail Carrier Reporting Requirements*, EP 787, slip op. at 1 (STB served Sept. 30, 2025).

In the NPRM, the Board directed comments to be submitted by October