

*Order*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.<sup>8</sup>

#### Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup> A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 122.55 percent.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act,

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Collated Steel Staples from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: September 30, 2025.

**Christopher Abbott,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025-20161 Filed 11-17-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

preliminary determinations are due no later than October 29, 2025.

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a LTFV investigation within 140 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner<sup>2</sup> makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request.

Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On September 19, 2025, the petitioner submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary determinations in these LTFV investigations.<sup>3</sup> The petitioner stated that it requested postponement because "these investigations cover hardwood and decorative plywood from multiple countries, and thus require a significant amount of resources to fully investigate."<sup>4</sup> The petitioner also stated that "{Commerce} only recently received responses to the initial questionnaires in these investigations. Accordingly, {a postponement of the preliminary determinations} would allow {the petitioner's} counsel and other interested parties sufficient time to analyze respondents' questionnaire responses and provide comments on those responses. In addition, it would allow {Commerce} to issue supplemental questionnaires and receive responses prior to {the preliminary determinations}."<sup>5</sup>

For the reasons stated above and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, Commerce, in

<sup>2</sup> See *Hardwood and Decorative Plywood from the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 90 FR 25212 (June 16, 2025) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>3</sup> The petitioner is the Coalition for Fair Trade in Hardwood Plywood.

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Request to Postpone Preliminary Determinations," dated September 19, 2025.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 1.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 1-2.

On June 11, 2025, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigations of imports of hardwood and decorative plywood from China, Indonesia, and Vietnam.<sup>1</sup> Currently, the

<sup>1</sup> See *Hardwood and Decorative Plywood from the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Less-*

accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations by 50 days (*i.e.*, 190 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated). As a result, Commerce will issue its preliminary determinations no later than December 18, 2025. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: September 30, 2025.

**Christopher Abbott,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2025-20156 Filed 11-17-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE International Trade Administration

[A-533-883]

### Glycine From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023-2024

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that certain producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) June 1, 2023, through May 31, 2024. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable November 18, 2025.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tyler R. Weinhold or Harrison Tanchuck, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1121 or (202) 482-7421, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On June 21, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on glycine from

India.<sup>1</sup> On June 3, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order*.<sup>2</sup> On July 29, 2024, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the *Order*, covering 25 foreign producers and/or exporters.<sup>3</sup> On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by 90 days.<sup>4</sup> On April 22, 2025, we extended the time limit for completion of these preliminary results to September 26, 2025, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>5</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the scope of the *Order* is glycine from India. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

#### Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. All review requests were timely withdrawn for all companies listed in the *Initiation Notice*, except for: (1) Bajaj Healthcare Limited (Bajaj); (2) Kumar Industries; and (3) Paras Intermediaries Private Limited.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is

<sup>1</sup> See *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29170 (June 21, 2019) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 89 FR 47520 (June 3, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 89 FR 60874 (July 29, 2024) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated December 9, 2024.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023-2024,” dated April 22, 2025.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Glycine from India; 2022-2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>7</sup> See Deer Park Glycine, LLC’s Letters, “Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review” and “Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review of 23 Companies,” dated October 11, 2024, and October 25, 2024, respectively; see also Avid Organics Private Limited’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Review Request of Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated October 28, 2024.

partially rescinding this review of the *Order* for these 22 companies.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce’s practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.<sup>8</sup> Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the calculated antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.<sup>10</sup> Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the instant review regarding Bajaj because there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise from this company during the POR and invited interested parties to comment.<sup>11</sup> We received no comments. In the absence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise from Bajaj during the POR, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Bajaj, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

The companies for which Commerce is rescinding this administrative review are identified in Appendix II of this notice.

#### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020-2021*, 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., *Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that “{w}hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended”; see also *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019*, 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that “for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate”).

<sup>11</sup> See Memorandum, “Notice of Intent to Rescind Review,” dated November 15, 2024.