

Commission with the authority to enforce its remedial orders, and Commission Rule 210.75 implements the Commission's enforcement proceedings. *See VastFame Camera, Ltd. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 386 F.3d 1108, 1115 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

The Commission has determined that Masimo's petition complies with section 337(b), section 337(k)(1), and Commission Rules 210.75 and 210.76. The Commission finds that the circumstances which lead to the LEO no longer exist and there is a changed condition of fact, inasmuch as Apple has presented a newly redesigned watch, the Apple Redesign 2 Watch, which was not presented during the investigation below.¹ The Commission further finds that Masimo's modification petition is tantamount to a request for enforcement of the LEO because it alleges a violation of the LEO. *See* 19 CFR 210.75(a). Accordingly, the Commission has determined that a combined modification and enforcement proceeding is proper to determine the narrow issue of whether the Apple Redesign 2 Watch should be excluded under the current terms of the LEO. The Commission notes that Masimo has not requested civil penalties. Accordingly, the Commission will not consider whether to issue civil penalties for any violation of the CDO in this proceeding, but may do so, upon request, in a subsequent proceeding.

To further define the issues, the Commission finds, as an initial matter, that the Apple Redesign 2 Watch on its own is a "wearable electronic device" with at least some "light-based pulse oximetry functionality" pursuant to paragraph 2 of the LEO, and as such, it is potentially subject to the terms of the LEO. *See* Joint Submission, ¶ 47; *Certain Light-Based Physiological Measurement Devices & Components Thereof*, Ruling Letter, Inv. No. 337-TA-1276, HQ H338254 at 13 (Jan. 7, 2025). The Commission further finds that Apple manufactured and imported into the United States the Apple Redesign 2 Watch. LEO, paragraph 1; Joint Submission, paragraphs 46, 47. Accordingly, the sole issue to be

resolved in this proceeding is whether the Apple Redesign 2 Watch "infringe[s]" claims 22 . . . of U.S. Patent No. 10,912,502 and claims 12, 24, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 10,945,648." LEO, paragraph 1. The Commission has held that the term "infringe" as used in the Commission's LEOs is not limited to direct infringement but may also refer to, *inter alia*, induced infringement under 35 U.S.C. 271(b). *See e.g., Certain Voltage Regulators, Components Thereof and Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-564, Enforcement Comm'n Op., 2010 WL 4780068 at *4 (Aug. 3, 2010). Finally, the Commission notes that Masimo does not seek a determination of whether the Apple iPhone or the "Apple Redesign 1 Watch," *see* Joint Submission ¶¶ 26–31 (undisputed facts), should be excluded pursuant to the LEO, nor does Masimo seek a determination of whether any article infringes claim 28 of the '502 patent.

The Commission has determined to refer the proceedings to the Chief ALJ to designate a presiding ALJ to administer appropriate proceedings consistent with the Commission order issued herewith.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 13, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 14, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–20148 Filed 11–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure; Meeting of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure; notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure will hold an in-person meeting in hybrid format with remote attendance options on January 6, 2026 in Washington, DC. The meeting is open to the public for observation but not participation. Please see the Supplementary Information section in

this notice for instructions on observing the meeting.

DATES: January 6, 2026 (meeting date) and December 30, 2025 (registration deadline for in-person observation).

ADDRESSES: An agenda and supporting materials will be posted at least 7 days in advance of the meeting at: <https://www.uscourts.gov/forms-rules/records-rules-committees/agenda-books>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carolyn A. Dubay, Chief Counsel, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Suite 7–300, Washington, DC 20544, Phone (202) 502–1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

To observe the meeting in person, individuals must contact the office listed above by 5 p.m. (eastern time) on December 30, 2025. After this deadline, only remote observation is permitted. Remote registration is available until the meeting date, provided it is completed before the projected end time.

(Authority: 28 U.S.C. 2073.)

Dated: November 13, 2025.

Shelly L. Cox,

Management Analyst, Rules Committee Staff.

[FR Doc. 2025–20025 Filed 11–17–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1190–0019]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revisions of a Currently Approved Collection; Title—Requirement That Movie Theaters Provide Notice as to the Availability of Closed Movie Captioning and Audio Description for Digital Movies

AGENCY: Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section (DRS), Department of Justice will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until December 18, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated

¹ This proceeding includes the Apple Redesign 2 Watch presented to CBP, Joint Submission ¶ 32 (undisputed fact), and Apple Watches subject to a recent over-the-air software update in the United States, *id.* ¶¶ 46–48, 117–118, which collectively are the "Apple Redesign Watch 2." To the extent that there are any differences between the watches presented to CBP and the watches that have been subject to a recent over-the-air update, the ALJ can address those differences in the first instance. Moreover, to the extent that the allegedly infringing functionality requires a software update to an iPhone, it is assumed for this investigation that such an update has been applied. *Id.* ¶¶ 46, 116–117.