

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION****[OMB No. 3064–0001 and –0092]****Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection Renewal; Comment Request****AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The FDIC, as part of its obligations under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the request to renew the existing information collections described below (OMB Control No. 3064–0001 and –0092). The notices of proposed renewal for these information collections were previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2025, and August 11, 2025, respectively, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

No comments were received in response to the 60-day **Federal Register** notices.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 1, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to the FDIC by any of the following methods:

- *Agency Website:* <https://www.fdic.gov/resources/regulations/federal-register-publications/>.
- *Email:* [comments@fdic.gov](mailto:comments@fdic.gov). Include the name and number of the collection in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Robert Meiers, Regulatory Attorney, MB–3013, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.
- *Hand Delivery:* Comments may be hand-delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 17th Street NW building (located on F Street NW), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed

information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find these information collections by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Meiers, Regulatory Attorney, [Romeiers@fdic.gov](mailto:Romeiers@fdic.gov), MB–3013, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Proposal to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

1. *Title:* Interagency Charter and Federal Deposit Insurance Application.

*OMB Number:* 3064–0001.

*Form Number:* 6200–05.

*Affected Public:* Banks or savings associations wishing to become FDIC-insured depository institutions.

*Burden Estimate:*

**SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN (OMB No. 3064–0001)**

Information Collection (IC) (obligation to respond)	Type of burden (frequency of response)	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average time per response (HH:MM)	Annual burden (hours)
1. Interagency Charter and Federal Deposit Insurance Application, Form 6200–05 (Mandatory).	Reporting (On Occasion) .....	21	1	125:00	2,625
Total Annual Burden (Hours) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,625

Source: FDIC.

*General Description of Collection:* The Federal Deposit Insurance Act requires financial institutions to apply to the FDIC to obtain deposit insurance. This collection provides FDIC with the information needed to evaluate the applications. There is no change in the method or substance of the collection.

The increase in burden hours is the result of economic fluctuation. In particular, the number of respondents has increased while the hours per response and frequency of responses have remained the same.

2. *Title:* Community Reinvestment Act.

*OMB Number:* 3064–0092.

*Form Number:* None.

*Affected Public:* Insured State non-member banks and State savings associations.

*Burden Estimate:*

**SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN (OMB No. 3064–0092)**

Information Collection (IC) (obligation to respond)	Type of burden (frequency of response)	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average time per response (HH:MM)	Annual burden (hours)
1. Request for Designation as a Wholesale or Limited Purpose Bank (Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits).	Reporting (Annual) .....	1	1	04:00	4
2. Strategic Plan (Voluntary) .....	Reporting (Annual) .....	10	1	400:00	4,000
3. Small Business/Small Farm Loan Data (Mandatory) .....	Reporting (Annual) .....	356	1	08:00	2,848
4. Community Development Loan Data (Mandatory) .....	Reporting (Annual) .....	356	1	13:00	4,628
5. Home Mortgage Loans (Mandatory) .....	Reporting (Annual) .....	310	1	253:00	78,430
6. Data on Affiliate Lending (Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits).	Reporting (Annual) .....	304	1	38:00	11,552
7. Data on Lending by a Consortium or Third Party (Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits).	Reporting (Annual) .....	115	1	17:00	1,955
8. Assessment Area Data (Mandatory) .....	Reporting (Annual) .....	313	1	02:00	626
9. Small Business/Small Farm Loan Register (Mandatory) .....	Recordkeeping (Annual) .....	356	1	219:00	77,964
10. Optional Consumer Loan Data (Voluntary) .....	Recordkeeping (Annual) .....	10	1	326:00	3,260
11. Other Loan Data (Voluntary) .....	Recordkeeping (Annual) .....	56	1	25:00	1,400
12. Content and Availability of Public File (Mandatory) .....	Third Party Disclosure (Annual) .....	2,854	1	10:00	28,540
Total Annual Burden (Hours) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	215,207

Source: FDIC.

*General Description of Collection:* The Community Reinvestment Act regulation requires the FDIC to assess the record of banks and thrifts in helping meet the credit needs of their entire communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operations; and to take this record into account in evaluating applications for mergers, branches, and certain other corporate activities. The total estimated annual burden is 215,207 hours, which is a reduction of 16,375 hours from the 2022 submission. This reduction is due to the decrease in the number of FDIC-supervised banks and the changes in methodology for ICs 5, 8, and 11 that resulted in decreased respondent counts for each of ICs 5, 8, and 11.

### Request for Comment

Comments are invited on (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the FDIC's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the estimates of the burden of the information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated at Washington, DC, on October 27, 2025.

**Jennifer M. Jones,**

*Deputy Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2025-19701 Filed 10-29-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Tracy Amerson-Rivers, A.P.R.N.; Decision and Order

#### I. Introduction

On January 30, 2025, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration (OSC/ISO) to Tracy Amerson-Rivers, A.P.R.N., of Houston, Texas (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 1, at 1. The OSC/ISO informed Registrant of the immediate suspension of her DEA Certificate of Registration,

No. MA5242792, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(d), alleging that Registrant's continued registration constitutes "an imminent danger to the public health or safety." *Id.* (quoting 21 U.S.C. 824(d)). The OSC/ISO also proposed the revocation of Registrant's registration, alleging that Registrant's continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest. *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), 824(a)(4)).<sup>1</sup>

More specifically, the OSC/ISO alleged that Registrant, an advanced practice registered nurse (APRN), issued six controlled substance prescriptions, despite lacking a prescriptive authority agreement with a licensed physician, which is required in Texas for an APRN to prescribe controlled substances. RFAAX 1, at 1–2. The OSC/ISO further alleged that Registrant obtained controlled substances by fraud. *Id.* at 6.<sup>2</sup>

On May 20, 2025, the Government submitted a RFAA requesting that the Agency issue a default final order revoking Registrant's registration. RFAA, at 1–5. After carefully reviewing the entire record and conducting the analysis as set forth in more detail below, the Agency grants the Government's RFAA and revokes Registrant's registration.

#### II. Default Determination

Under 21 CFR 1301.43, a registrant entitled to a hearing who fails to file a timely hearing request "within 30 days after the date of receipt of the [OSC/ISO] . . . shall be deemed to have waived their right to a hearing and to be in default" unless "good cause" is established for the failure. 21 CFR 1301.43(a), (c)(1). In the absence of a demonstration of good cause, a registrant who fails to timely file an answer also is "deemed to have waived their right to a hearing and to be in default." 21 CFR 1301.43(c)(2). Unless excused, a default is deemed to constitute "an admission of the factual allegations of the [OSC/ISO]." 21 CFR 1301.43(e).

The OSC/ISO notified Registrant of her right to file a written request for hearing and answer, and that if she failed to file such a request and answer, she would be deemed to have waived

her right to a hearing and be in default. RFAAX 1, at 7–8. The OSC/ISO further notified Registrant that "[s]hould [she] request a hearing and fail to timely file an answer, plead, or otherwise defend, . . . [she] shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and to be in default." *Id.* at 8 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43(c)(2), (c)(3), (d)).

Registrant filed a timely hearing request, but did not file an answer. RFAA, at 2; RFAAX 3; RFAAX 4, at 1. The matter was assigned to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Paul Soeffing, who issued an Order for Prehearing Statements on March 4, 2025, directing Registrant to file a compliant answer by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET)/4:00 p.m. Central Time (CT) on March 7, 2025. RFAA, at 2; RFAAX 4, at 1–2, 5. On March 10, 2025, the ALJ granted Registrant's request to extend the deadline for filing an answer to 5:00 p.m. ET/4:00 p.m. CT on April 21, 2025. RFAA, at 2; RFAAX 6, at 1–2.

On April 21, 2025, Registrant filed a purported answer. RFAA, at 2; RFAAX 7. On the same day, the ALJ issued an order notifying Registrant of deficiencies that made her purported answer noncompliant. RFAA, at 2–3; RFAAX 8, at 1–2. The ALJ found that Registrant's purported answer failed to "admit, deny, or state that [she] does not have and is unable to obtain sufficient information to admit or deny" each allegation of the OSC/ISO, as required by 21 CFR 1301.37(d)(3). RFAAX 8, at 2. The ALJ provided Registrant another opportunity to file a compliant answer by 5:00 p.m. ET/4:00 p.m. CT on April 24, 2025. RFAA, at 2–3; RFAAX 8, at 1–2.

On April 24, 2025, Registrant filed a second purported answer after the filing deadline. RFAA, at 3; RFAAX 9; RFAAX 10, at 1. On April 25, 2025, the ALJ issued an order notifying Registrant that her second purported answer was untimely and remained noncompliant with 21 CFR 1301.37(d)(3). RFAA, at 3; RFAAX 10, at 1–2. The ALJ directed Registrant to submit a filing by 2:00 p.m. ET/1:00 p.m. CT on May 2, 2025, correcting the deficiencies in her second purported answer and showing good cause to accept the untimely second purported answer. RFAA, at 3; RFAAX 10, at 2. Registrant did not respond to this order. RFAA, at 3; RFAAX 11, at 1–2.

On May 2, 2025, the ALJ issued an order terminating the proceeding based on his finding that Registrant had failed to file a timely and compliant answer to the OSC/ISO allegations. *Id.* The ALJ further found that Registrant's failure to submit a timely and compliant answer constituted a waiver of her right to a

<sup>1</sup> According to the OSC/ISO and Agency records, Registrant's registration expired on June 30, 2025. RFAAX 1, at 3. The fact that a registrant allows her registration to expire during the pendency of an administrative enforcement proceeding does not impact the Agency's jurisdiction or prerogative under the Controlled Substances Act to adjudicate the OSC/ISO to finality. *Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D.*, 84 FR 68474, 68476–79 (2019).

<sup>2</sup> The Agency need not adjudicate the criminal violations alleged in the OSC/ISO. *Ruan v. United States*, 597 U.S. 450 (2022) (decided in the context of criminal proceedings).