

percent)²¹ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²²

For the companies that were not selected for individual examination, the antidumping duty assessment rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for each company in the final results of this review.

For the companies listed in Appendix II for which we are rescinding this review, we intend to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for subject merchandise exported by one of the companies listed above, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established for that exporter in the final results of this review, except if the rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for an exporter of subject merchandise previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be equal to the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were examined; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 16.92 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Amended Final Determination*.²³ These cash deposit requirements, when

imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review including the results of its analysis of issues raised in written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213, and 19 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 29, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Rescission of Review, In Part
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies for Which Commerce Is Rescinding this Review

1. Algodonera Avellaneda S.A.
2. Apicola Danangie
3. Argentik LLC
4. Camino de Circunvalacion y Calle
5. Compania Inversora Platense S.A.
6. Cooperativa Apicola La Colmena Ltda
7. Industrial Haedo S.A
8. Miele Cor Pam Srl).

Appendix III

Non-Examined Companies Receiving a Review-Specific Rate

1. Azul Agronegocios S.A.
2. Compania Apicola Argentina S.A.
3. Compania Inversora Platense S.A.
4. Cooperativa Apicola La Colmena Ltda.
5. D'Ambros Maria De Los Angeles D'Ambros

- Maria Daniela SRL.
6. D'Ambros Maria de los Angeles y D'Ambros Maria Daniela SRL.
7. Gasroni Srl.
8. Gasroni S.R.L.
9. Geomiel SA.
10. Gruas San Blas S.A.
11. Honey and Grains SRL.
12. Naiman S.A.
13. Newsan S.A.
14. Newsan Food S.A.
15. Osbo S.A.
16. Patagonik Food S.A.
17. Promiel Srl (Vicentin S.A.I.C.).
18. Terremare Foods S.A.S.
19. Villamora S.A

[FR Doc. 2025–19415 Filed 10–2–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–557–819]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Malaysia: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand (PC strand) from Malaysia for the period of review (POR) June 1, 2023, through May 31, 2024. Commerce preliminarily finds that Kiswire Sdn. Bhd. (Kiswire) and Wei Dat Steel Wire Sdn. Bhd. (Wei Dat) did not make sales of subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV) during the POR. Additionally, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to one company for which there were no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable October 3, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Monica Gillis or Peter Shaw, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6384 or (202) 482–0697, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 29, 2024, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the AD order on PC strand, in accordance with

²¹ See *Raw Honey from Argentina: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 87 FR 22179, 22181 (April 14, 2022) (*Honey Argentina Inv Final*).

²² For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

²³ See *Honey Argentina Inv. Final*, 87 FR at 22181.

section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ This review covers four producers/exporters of subject merchandise.² Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination, Kiswire and Wei Dat.³ On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by 90 days⁴ and, on May 8, 2025, Commerce extended the time limit for completing the preliminary results of this review until September 26, 2025.⁵ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of the review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the scope of the Order is PC strand from Malaysia. For a full description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR

subject to the AD order for which liquidation is suspended, Commerce may rescind an administrative review, in whole or only with respect to a particular exporter or producer.⁷ At the end of the administrative review, any suspended entries are liquidated at the assessment rate computed for the review period.⁸ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be at least one reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the newly calculated assessment rate. On August 14, 2024, Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the administrative review with respect to Southern Steel Sdn. Bhd. (Southern Steel) because this company had no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise and invited interested parties to comment.⁹ We received no comments on our intent to rescind the review with respect to Southern Steel. Accordingly, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we are rescinding this administrative review, in part, with respect to Southern Steel.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. We calculated export price and NV in accordance with sections 772(a) and 773 of the Act, respectively.

Rate for Non-Examined Company

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis margins, and any

margins determined entirely” on the basis of facts available. Where the dumping margin for individually examined respondents are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use “any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.”

In this review, Commerce preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for both Kiswire and Wei Dat that are zero percent. Therefore, consistent with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,¹⁰ and Commerce’s practice,¹¹ we assigned the sole non-examined company, Southern PC Steel Sdn. Bhd., a rate of zero percent, because we calculated rates of zero percent for both mandatory respondents, pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Preliminary Results of the Review

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period June 1, 2023, through May 31, 2024:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Kiswire Sdn. Bhd	0.00
Wei Dat Steel Wire Sdn. Bhd	0.00
Southern PC Steel Sdn. Bhd	0.00

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Verification

On August 21, 2024, Insteel Wire Products Company, Sumiden Wire

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 89 FR 60871 (July 29, 2024) (*Initiation Notice*); see also *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Indonesia, Italy, Malaysia, South Africa, Spain, Tunisia, and Ukraine: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 29998 (June 4, 2021) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation Notice*.

³ See Memorandum, “Respondent Selection,” dated August 13, 2024.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated December 9, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated May 8, 2025.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Prestressed Concrete Wire Strand from Malaysia; 2023–2024,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See, e.g., *Forged Steel Fittings from Taiwan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 71317, 71318 (November 9, 2020); see also *Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 54084 (October 26, 2018).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁹ See Memorandum, “Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part,” dated August 14, 2024.

¹⁰ See *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*).

¹¹ See, e.g., *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 60989 (October 7, 2022), unchanged in *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 20218 (April 5, 2023).

Products Corporation, and Wire Mesh Corp. (collectively, the petitioners), requested that Commerce conduct verification of the questionnaire responses submitted in this administrative review for Wei Dat.¹² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(v), Commerce intends to verify the information submitted by Wei Dat prior to issuing the final results of this review. Additionally, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(iv), Commerce intends to verify the questionnaire responses submitted by Kiswire, because we find that good cause for verification exists.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.¹³ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this administrative review. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁴ Interested parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), in prior proceedings we have encouraged interested parties to provide an executive summary of their brief that should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In this review, we instead request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹⁵ Further, we request that interested parties limit their executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant

citations in the executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).¹⁶

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing.¹⁷ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. All submissions, including case and rebuttal briefs, as well as hearing requests, should be filed via ACCESS.¹⁸ An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the final results of this administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. If a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If either respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, or if an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹⁹

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Kiswire or Wei Dat for which these companies did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate established in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation (*i.e.*, 5.13 percent),²⁰ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²¹ For the company that was not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific average rate, calculated as noted in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section above.

For the company for which the review is rescinded, Southern Steel, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on any suspended entries that entered under its CBP case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the POR.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the publication date of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed in the final results of this review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review, but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be the company-

¹² See Petitioners' Letter, "Petitioners' Request for Verification of Wei Dat Sdn. Bhd.," dated August 21, 2024.

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Final Rule*).

¹⁵ We use the term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹⁶ See *APO and Service Final Rule*.

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

²⁰ See *Order*, 86 FR at 30000.

²¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment in which it was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.13 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.²² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to the liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 26, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Particular Market Situation
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion

²² See *Order*, 81 FR at 30000.

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–19421 Filed 10–2–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–880]

Barium Carbonate From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Sunset Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2025, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated the fourth sunset review of the Antidumping Duty (AD) Order on barium carbonate from the People's Republic of China (China). Because no domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, consistent with section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce is revoking the AD Order on barium carbonate from China.

DATES: Applicable October 3, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David De Falco, Trade Agreements Policy and Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2178.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2003, Commerce published the *Order* on barium carbonate from China.¹ On August 20, 2020, Commerce published the most recent continuation of the *Order*.² On July 1, 2025, Commerce published the initiation of the fourth sunset review of the *Order* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.³

We did not receive a substantive response to the notice of initiation from any domestic interested party, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). has responded to the notice of initiation under section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act. under section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act.

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Barium Carbonate from the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 56619, (October 1, 2003) (*Order*).

² See *Barium Carbonate from the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order*, 85 FR 51409, (August 20, 2020) (*Continuation Order*).

³ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 90 FR 28722, (July 1, 2025).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is barium carbonate, regardless of form or grade. The product is currently classifiable under subheading 2836.60.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, “{i}f no interested party responds to the notice of initiation . . . {Commerce} shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of a review, revoking the order.” Because no domestic interested parties responded to the notice of initiation in these segments of the proceeding, Commerce is revoking the *Order*.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), Commerce intends to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this *Order* entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, on or after August 20, 2025, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication of the last continuation notice.⁴ Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and AD deposit requirements. Commerce may conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(i).

Dated: September 29, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025–19409 Filed 10–2–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

⁴ See *Continuation Order*.