

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–19307 Filed 10–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. C2009–1; Order No. 9214]

Complaint

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is providing notice that the Postal Service has moved to transfer the Complainants' request to publicly disclose all non-public documents filed in Docket No. C2009–1 from Docket No. C2024–13 to Docket No. C2009–1 and to extend the period to respond to the request. This document grants the Postal Service's motion and establishes a new deadline to respond to the Complainants' request.

DATES: Responses to the request to publicly disclose all non-public documents are due: October 17, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Documents can be accessed electronically through the Commission's website at <https://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 1, 2025, Complainants filed a request pursuant to 39 CFR 3011.401 for the Commission to publicly disclose all non-public documents in Docket No. C2009–1.¹ After the deadline to respond to the Request was extended once, the Postal Service submitted several filings including a motion for a further extension of the response deadline.² For the reasons that follow, the Motion for Further Extension is granted.

In its Motion for Further Extension, the Postal Service asserts that a further extension of 4 weeks to the deadline to respond to the Request is necessary to allow it to review the over 500 pages of documents from Docket No. C2009–1 that it has not yet reviewed, as well as any documents it has not yet been able

to locate. Motion for Further Extension at 2. 39 CFR 3011.401(c) allows the Commission to prescribe the amount of time under which parties may oppose a request to publicly disclose non-public documents.³ The Commission agrees that an extension is warranted to allow the Postal Service to fully review the requested materials. Further, for the reasons stated by the Postal Service in its original motion for extension, the Commission agrees that neither the procedural schedule nor Complainants will be prejudiced by an extension.⁴ Finally, the Commission notes that Complainants do not object to a further extension.⁵ As such, the Commission will extend the time for interested parties to submit a substantive response to the Request until October 17, 2025.

It is ordered:

1. The United States Postal Service Motion for Further Extension of Time to Respond to Complainants' Motion to Make Entire C2009–1 (Gamefly) Docket Public per 3011.401, filed September 19, 2025, is granted.

2. Responses, pursuant to 39 CFR 3011.401(c), to Complainants' Motion to Make Entire C2009–1 (Gamefly) Docket Public Per 3011.401, filed August 1, 2025, shall be filed on or before October 17, 2025.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–19294 Filed 10–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

³ See 39 CFR 3011.401(c) (emphasis added) (stating that “[a] response to the request is due within seven calendar days of the filing of the request, *unless the Commission otherwise provides*.”).

⁴ See Docket Nos. C2024–13 and C2009–1, United States Postal Service Motion to Transfer and Additional Submissions Related to Complainants' “Motion to Make Entire C2009–1 (Gamefly) Docket Public Per 3011.401,” August 8, 2025, at 6–11; see also 39 CFR 3010.162(c) (stating that a motion for extension “shall only be granted upon consideration of the potential adverse impact, if any, on other participants and the overall impact on the procedural schedule.”).

⁵ See Requesters' Reply to USPS' “First” and “Second” Oppositions to Making the Record in C2009–1 Public, September 24, 2025, at 1 n.2 (noting that Complainants “take no position on” the Motion for Further Extension).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–104150; File No. SR–MRX–2025–25]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq MRX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the MRX Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 3

September 30, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 29, 2025, Nasdaq MRX, LLC (“MRX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 3, Table 1 to cap a rebate for eligible Members that add liquidity in Penny Symbols.³

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the amendments become operative on October 1, 2025.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/mrx/rulefilings>, and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ On September 26, 2025, the Exchange filed SR–MRX–2025–24. On September 29, 2025, the Exchange withdrew SR–MRX–2025–24 and filed this proposal.

¹ Docket No. C2024–13, Motion to make entire C2009–1 (GameFly) docket public per 3011.401, August 1, 2025 (Request). Complainants subsequently filed an erratum to the Request correcting a regulatory cite. See Docket No. C2024–13, Motion to make entire C2009–1 (GameFly) docket public per 3011.401—erratum, August 4, 2025.

² United States Postal Service Motion for Further Extension of Time to Respond to Complainants' Motion to Make Entire C2009–1 (Gamefly) Docket Public per 3011.401, September 19, 2025 (Motion for Further Extension).

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 3, Table 1 to cap a rebate for eligible Members that add liquidity in Penny Symbols.

Today, the Exchange assesses all Non-Priority Customers⁴ a \$0.50 per contract Tier 4 maker fee in Penny Symbols, and all Priority Customers⁵ a \$0.47 per contract Tier 4 maker rebate in Penny Symbols.⁶ Currently, the Exchange offers Members in Tier 4 a rebate if at least half of their trading volume adds liquidity in Penny Symbols. Specifically, note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1, provides that Members that add liquidity greater than or equal to 50% of their Total Affiliated Member⁷ or Affiliated Entity⁸ Volume within a month will also be paid a

⁴ "Non-Priority Customers" include Market Makers, Non-Nasdaq MRX Market Makers (FarMMs), Firm Proprietary/Broker-Dealers, and Professional Customers.

⁵ A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s), as defined in Nasdaq MRX Options 1, Section 1(a)(36).

⁶ As set forth in Table 3 of Options 7, Section 3, the Tier 4 volume requirement is based on executing more than 0.70% of Total Customer ADV. Total Customer ADV is Priority Customer Total Consolidated Volume divided by Customer Total Consolidated Volume, including volume executed by Affiliated Members or Affiliated Entities. Priority Customer Total Consolidated Volume is a Member's total Priority Customer volume executed on MRX in that month, including volume executed by Affiliated Members or Affiliated Entities. All eligible volume from Affiliated Members or an Affiliated Entity will be aggregated in determining applicable tiers.

⁷ An "Affiliated Member" is a Member that shares at least 75% common ownership with a particular Member as reflected on the Member's Form BD, Schedule A.

⁸ An "Affiliated Entity" is a relationship between an Appointed Market Maker and an Appointed OFFP for purposes of qualifying for certain pricing specified in the Pricing Schedule. Market Makers and OFFPs are required to send an email to the Exchange to appoint their counterpart, at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month to qualify for the next month. The Exchange will acknowledge receipt of the emails and specify the date the Affiliated Entity is eligible for applicable pricing, as specified in the Pricing Schedule. Each Affiliated Entity relationship will commence on the 1st of a month and may not be terminated prior to the end of any month. An Affiliated Entity relationship will automatically renew each month until or unless either party terminates earlier in writing by sending an email to the Exchange at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month to terminate for the next month. Affiliated Members may not qualify as a counterparty comprising an Affiliated Entity. Each Member may qualify for only one (1) Affiliated Entity relationship at any given time.

rebate of \$0.02 per contract on all their Penny Symbol transactions for that month.⁹ For purposes of proposed note 2, "Total Affiliated Member or Affiliated Entity Volume" will mean all volume executed by the Member on the Exchange in all symbols and order types, including volume executed by Affiliated Members or Affiliated Entities. This note 2 incentive is available to Members through December 31, 2025.

Proposal

At this time, the Exchange proposes to cap the rebate incentive at note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1. The Exchange proposes to cap the additional rebate at \$350,000 in a given month. The Exchange will add the following sentence to note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1, "This additional rebate will be capped at \$350,000 in a given month."

While the Exchange is capping the total amount of the rebate that a Member may obtain in a given month, the Exchange continues to believe that the rebate will incentivize Members to send additional order flow to MRX in Penny Symbols.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,¹⁰ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹¹ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange's proposed changes to its Pricing Schedule are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for options securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC

⁹ Effectively, for example, a qualifying Non-Priority Customer under the proposed note 2 incentive would pay \$0.48 per contract for all their Penny Symbol transactions adding liquidity for that month (*i.e.*, \$0.50 maker fee – \$0.02 note 2 incentive). A Priority Customer qualifying for the note 2 incentive would receive a higher maker rebate of \$0.49 per contract (*i.e.*, \$0.47 maker rebate + \$0.02 note 2 incentive).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'. . . ."¹²

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."¹³

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for options security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of eighteen options exchanges to which market participants may direct their order flow. Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange's proposal to cap the \$0.02 per contract rebate in note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1 is reasonable because, despite capping the rebate, Members should continue to be incentivized to add greater liquidity on the Exchange in Penny Symbols in order to obtain the rebate. Further, this increase in trading activity and liquidity on MRX, in turn, could improve overall market quality for all market participants through more trading opportunities and tighter spreads.

The Exchange's proposal to cap the \$0.02 per contract rebate in note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1 is equitable and not unfairly

¹² *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006–21)).

¹³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

discriminatory because the proposed cap would apply uniformly to all similarly situated market participants. Further, any Member may qualify for Tier 4 by meeting the volume requirements for that tier. To the extent the proposed incentive attracts additional liquidity on the Exchange through December 31, 2025, the Exchange believes it will benefit all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, tighter spreads, and increased order interaction.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange's proposal to cap the rebate incentive at note 2 of Options 7, Section 3, Table 1 up to a maximum of \$350,000 in a given month does not impose an undue burden on competition because the proposed cap would apply uniformly to all similarly situated market participants. Further, any Member may qualify for Tier 4 by meeting the volume requirements for that tier. To the extent the proposed incentive attracts additional liquidity on the Exchange through December 31, 2025, the Exchange believes it will benefit all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, tighter spreads, and increased order interaction.

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other options exchanges to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other options exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their

competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.¹⁴ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-MRX-2025-25 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to file number SR-MRX-2025-25. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish

to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-MRX-2025-25 and should be submitted on or before October 23, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-19352 Filed 10-1-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-104148; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2025-085]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Shares of iShares Bitcoin Premium Income ETF Under Nasdaq Rule 5711(d) (Commodity-Based Trust Shares)

September 30, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2025, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares of iShares® Bitcoin Premium Income ETF (the "Trust") under Nasdaq Rule 5711(d) ("Commodity-Based Trust Shares"). The shares of the Trust are referred to herein as the "Shares."

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/nasdaq/rulefilings>, and at the principal office of the Exchange.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).