

*Pharmacy, L.L.C. & SND Health Care, L.L.C.*, 81 FR 79188, 79202–79203 (2016)); *Daniel A. Glick, D.D.S.*, 80 FR 74800, 74801, 74810 (2015).<sup>14</sup>

Further, the interests of specific and general deterrence weigh in favor of revocation. Here, Respondent has not unequivocally accepted responsibility for, and even tried to minimize, his actions related to abuse of controlled substances. Accordingly, the interests of specific deterrence weigh in favor of revocation. Given the foundational nature of Respondent's violations, a sanction less than revocation would send a message to the existing and prospective registrant community that compliance with the law is not essential to maintaining a registration.

## V. Conclusion

In sum, Respondent has not offered sufficient evidence on the record to rebut the Government's case for revocation on public interest grounds, and Respondent has not demonstrated that he can be entrusted with the responsibility of registration. Accordingly, the Agency will order that Respondent's registration be revoked.

## Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C.

824(a) and 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. FH8064204 issued to Haroon Hameed, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Haroon Hameed, M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Haroon Hameed, M.D., for additional registration in Maryland. This Order is effective October 20, 2025.

## Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on September 10, 2025, by Administrator Terrance Cole. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

**Heather Achbach,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2025–18172 Filed 9–18–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request, Employment Transition Models Demonstration and Evaluation, New Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Chief Evaluation Office, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of Information Collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are

clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents is properly assessed. Currently, DOL is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data about the Employment Transition Models Demonstration and Evaluation. A copy of the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before November 18, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either one of the following methods:

*Email:* [ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov](mailto:ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov); *Mail or Courier:* Neil Ridley, Chief Evaluation Office, OASP, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–2312, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. *Instructions:* Please submit one copy of your comments by only one method. All submissions received must include the agency name and OMB Control Number identified above for this information collection. Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record. They will also be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Neil Ridley by email at [ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov](mailto:ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov) or by phone at (202) 693–7915.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

The Employment Transition Models (ETM) Demonstration and Evaluation is a joint effort by DOL's Chief Evaluation Office (CEO), Office of Disability Employment Policy (ODEP), and the Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

The ETM Demonstration includes five-year grants for state projects to (1) identify, develop, and scale evidence-based solutions or strategies that improve work-related outcomes among youth and young adults with disabilities (Y&YAD) and (2) increase states' capacity to create innovative employment strategies for them. The aim of the ETM Demonstration is to make America more prosperous and to save taxpayer dollars by implementing a direct employment-based service intervention for young people with disabilities to improve labor force participation and reduce the reliance on disability income benefits. This is important to the country and economy, and aligned to the Presidential Action, 'Delivering Emergency Price Relief for

<sup>14</sup> Even so, in the current matter, the Agency has considered that Respondent has taken various measures to remedy his misconduct regarding his controlled substance and alcohol abuse, as detailed in his written submission to DEA. *See* RFAAX 2, at 3–4, 5–6, 9, 11–12. Respondent stated that he completed all of the actions recommended by the Maryland Physician Health Program (MPHP), and "has remained sober and abstinent from controlled substances" since December 2020 (except for a two-week period following surgery in 2021). RFAAX 2, at 24–25. The Agency has acknowledged that "[i]n self-abuse cases, . . . successful rehabilitation efforts are an important consideration in determining whether a respondent can be trusted with a registration." *Trenton F. Horst, D.O.*, 80 FR 41079, 41091 (2015); *see also Abbas E. Sina, M.D.*, 80 FR 53191, 53201 (2015) ("[T]he risk of relapse becomes critical in determining what steps are warranted when determining the public interest."). Here, Respondent explained what he had done to obtain sobriety, but many of his actions were required by the Maryland Board as part of his probation. *See Mary A. Vreeke, M.D.*, 89 FR 75567, 75571 (2024). And though Respondent has not explained what he planned to do to remain sober if his probationary period with the Maryland Board ended, the Agency appreciates that Respondent has committed to continue working on his sobriety for himself and not just for his career. RFAAX 2, at 25–26. Still, these remedial measures do not overcome the fact that Respondent did not unequivocally accept responsibility for his actions or convince the Agency that he can be trusted with the responsibility of a registration. *See, e.g., George D. Gowder, III*, 89 FR 76152, 76154–55 (2024) (the Agency found that registrant could be trusted in light of his acceptance of responsibility and extensive remedial measures (both past and future), including his decision to not seek registration to handle the controlled substances he formerly abused, and nine years of sobriety).

*American Families and Defeating the Cost-of-Living Crisis*, which instructed all departments and agencies to “create employment opportunities for American workers, including drawing discouraged workers into the labor force.” ETM grantees are implementing new, evidence-based strategies to increase the employment, post-secondary education, and economic independence of Y&YAD. The demonstration builds upon lessons learned from past projects designed to reduce the reliance of Y&YAD on Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and other disability benefits.

In 2024, ODEP awarded ETM Demonstration project grants to four states: Connecticut, Kansas, Minnesota, and New York.

CEO and ETA are also conducting a 5-year ETM Evaluation to build evidence on the implementation and outcomes of the strategies grantees use to enable Y&YAD to successfully transition into the workforce and post-secondary settings.

This **Federal Register** Notice provides the opportunity to comment on proposed plans for collecting data needed for both the demonstration and the evaluation: intake data, service receipt data, implementation data, and outcome data. These data will be used to produce the performance measures required for ETM grants, facilitate technical assistance (TA), and address the evaluation’s requirements.

- Intake data include (a) *baseline information* for all Y&YAD participating in ETM projects (“ETM youth”) or collected from these Y&YAD with support from their guardians; and (b) *information on referrals to ETM* recorded by grantee staff for all ETM youth.

- Service receipt data will involve grantee staff tracking *information on services that all ETM youth are receiving*. ETM case managers will have to periodically review their notes and gather additional information from project partners, including service providers, and participants and their families, and enter data into the management information system (MIS). These data will track which services ETM participants have ever received and the frequency of case management.

- Implementation data consists of data collected by the evaluation team from a variety of parties involved with ETM to address the evaluation’s requirements, including:

- Data on implementation activities* collected through semi-structured interviews with ETM project directors, local site administrators, and case managers during grantee site

visits. There will be two site visits with each grantee—the first in 2026 and the second in 2027. The site visits will help the evaluation team understand how the grantee’s program was developed, operates, and matures into a full-scale implementation, as well as the context in which the program operates.

- Data on project partner collaboration activities* collected through (1) partner survey for ETM local site administrators and (2) semi-structured telephone interviews with ETM local site administrators to understand collaboration among ETM partners in helping Y&YAD reach employment and career milestones. The survey will ask ETM staff to describe collaborations with up to 15 partners who serve ETM youth. The evaluation team will then conduct interviews to follow-up on the survey to learn more about the partners and their contributions to the delivery of ETM services. The evaluation will collect this data once in 2026 and 2027.

- Data on ETM program experiences* collected during site visits through semi-structured interviews with ETM youth, focus groups with families of ETM youth, and semi-structured interviews with employers to better understand the needs, service experiences, and perspectives of these groups related to the demonstration.

- Outcome data, including:

- Data on satisfaction levels* among ETM youth and their families, employers, and participating project partners. Data will be collected by ETM grantee staff annually on customer experience satisfaction to summarize how well ETM participants and project partners believed they were served by the demonstration project.

- Data on the employment and education outcomes* of ETM youth collected, in part, from these youth and recorded by ETM grantee staff.

To reduce burden, the demonstration and evaluation will use existing data collected as part of the DOL Participant Individual Record Layout (PIRL), whenever possible, as well as national administrative records on employment and education outcomes. The PIRL data contain information covered by OMB Control Nos. 1205–0521 and 1205–0526, and will be combined with additional information collected for the demonstration and the evaluation in grantees’ MIS records.

## II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, DOL is soliciting comments concerning the above data collection for

the Employment Transition Models Demonstration and Evaluation. DOL is particularly interested in comments that do the following:

- evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s burden estimate of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;
- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology—for example, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

To generate the Estimated Annual Burden Hours in the table below, the evaluation team took estimates from ODEP and the TA provider on the number of respondents and duration of engagement. These intermediate values were then amortized over a three-year period. For example, the “baseline information from ETM” intake data (first row) estimates 898 annual respondents which corresponds to 2,682 youth over three years. ODEP and the TA provider estimate that, on average, the baseline information from ETM youth will take 23 minutes to collect; multiplying this estimate by annual number of respondents yields an estimated annual burden of 341.24 hours.

## III. Current Actions

At this time, the Department of Labor is requesting clearance for baseline data collection, service receipt data, implementation data, outcome data collection, semi-structured interview protocols, family focus group interview protocols, and partner survey protocols.

*Type of Review:* New information collection request.

*OMB Control Number:* 1290–0NEW.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Government (Primary), and Individuals or Households.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 4,192.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 11,360.

*Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* 1,176 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Data type and source	Annual number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Annual total number of responses	Average burden hours per response	Annual estimated burden hours
<b>Intake Data</b>					
Baseline information from ETM youth .....	<sup>a</sup> 898	1	898	23/60	341.24
Youth referral information completed by ETM and partner staff .....	<sup>b</sup> 93	10	930	4/60	65.10
Service Receipt Data for ETM Youth .....	<sup>c</sup> 155	33.0	5,115	4/60	358.05
<b>Implementation Data</b>					
<i>Implementation Activities:</i>					
Semi-structured interviews with project directors .....	<sup>d</sup> 3	1	3	1.50	4.50
Semi-structured interviews with ETM local site administrators .....	<sup>e</sup> 6	1	6	1.00	6.00
Semi-structured interviews with ETM case managers .....	<sup>f</sup> 16	1	16	1.00	16.00
<i>Partner Collaboration Activities:</i>					
Partner list survey for ETM local site administrators ...	<sup>g</sup> 13	1	13	1.00	13.00
Semi-structured interviews with ETM local site administrators on partner collaboration activities .....	<sup>h</sup> 13	1	13	1.00	13.00
<i>ETM Program Experiences:</i>					
Semi-structured interviews with ETM youth .....	<sup>i</sup> 27	1	27	1.00	27.00
Focus groups with families of ETM youth .....	<sup>j</sup> 22	1	22	1.50	33.00
Semi-structured interviews with employers .....	<sup>k</sup> 7	1	7	1.00	7.00
<b>Outcome Data</b>					
<i>Customer Satisfaction:</i>					
Satisfaction data from ETM youth .....	<sup>l</sup> 1,197	1	1,197	5/60	95.76
Satisfaction data from families of ETM youth .....	<sup>m</sup> 1,197	1	1,197	5/60	95.76
Satisfaction data from ETM employers .....	<sup>n</sup> 96	1	96	5/60	77.68
Satisfaction data from ETM project partners .....	<sup>o</sup> 128	1	128	5/60	10.67
<i>Employment and Education Outcomes:</i>					
Information on outcomes from ETM youth .....	<sup>p</sup> 240	3	720	4/60	14.4
Information on outcomes recorded by ETM and AJC staff .....	<sup>q</sup> 81	12	972	4/60	68.04
<b>Total</b> .....	<sup>r</sup> 44,192	.....	11,360	.....	1,176

*General:* The table reports integer values for the annual number of respondents and responses. In cases when underlying assumptions about totals over the three-year period covered by PRA clearance resulted in a fractional count of respondents per year, that count was rounded upward to the nearest integer. When the estimate of average burden hours is less than one, the table reports the value as the fraction of 60 minutes.

- <sup>a</sup> Assumes approximately 2,682 youth enrolled in ETM over the three-year demonstration period across all four grantees.
- <sup>b</sup> Assumes ETM grantee and partner staff will record information about referral pathways for all ETM youth.
- <sup>c</sup> Assumes that (i) ETM grantee and partner staff will collect service-receipt data for all ETM youth, (ii) an equal number of youth will be enrolled each year, (iii) the data will be recorded quarterly between when youth enroll and when they exit from ETM or the end of the three-year period covered by PRA clearance (whichever comes first), (iv) and the average length of participation in ETM will be two years.
- <sup>d</sup> Assumes interviews with the four ETM project directors, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>e</sup> Assumes interviews with 16 ETM local site administrators at all four grantees, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>f</sup> Assumes interviews with 48 ETM case managers across all four grantees, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>g</sup> Assumes surveying 19 ETM local site administrators, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>h</sup> Assumes interviews with 19 ETM local site administrators, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>i</sup> Assumes 80 interviews across four grantees once during data collection period.
- <sup>j</sup> Assumes 4 focus groups with up to 8 parents/guardians each, twice during data collection period.
- <sup>k</sup> Assumes interviews with 20 employers across four grantees, once during data collection period.
- <sup>l</sup> Assumes satisfaction questions will be asked annually, and 80 percent of youth will respond. Additionally assumes that a two-year average period of youth participation in ETM, resulting in up to two responses from two thirds of youth and at most one response from one third youth during the three-year period covered by PRA clearance.
- <sup>m</sup> Assumes satisfaction questions will be asked annually, and 80 percent of families will respond. Additionally assumes that a two-year average period of youth participation in ETM, resulting in up to two responses from two thirds of families and at most one response from one third families during the three-year period covered by PRA clearance.
- <sup>n</sup> Assumes satisfaction questions will be asked annually, and 80 percent of employers will respond. Additionally assumes an average of 8 employer partners across 4 sites (32 employers) responding to quarterly surveys, all of which will remain throughout the three-year-period covered by the PRA clearance.
- <sup>o</sup> Assumes satisfaction questions will be asked quarterly, and 80 percent of project partners will respond. Additionally assumes an average of 10 employer partners across 4 sites, (40 partners), and that all initial project partners will remain on the project during the three-year period covered by PRA clearance. Project partners include, but are not limited to: Youth agencies and service providers; Disability agencies and service providers; Local health and mental health agencies and providers; Parent organizations and service providers; K-12 local education agencies; American Job Centers (sometimes known as One-Stop Career Centers); One or more community college(s), technical institution(s), four-year colleges/universities, or other education partners that can provide education and training activities; and One or more business or industry partners (such as business membership associations or Chambers of Commerce) that will participate in defining the program strategies and goals and be actively involved in participating in funded project activities.

<sup>p</sup> Assumes employment and education information will be collected during the year after youth exit from services, 33 percent of ETM youth will reach the end of the first post-service year during the three-year period covered by PRA clearance, and 80 percent of youth will respond to requests for information.

<sup>q</sup> Assumes that ETM and AJC staff will obtain and record information on employment and education for an approximately equal number of youth during the year after youth exit from services, 33 percent of ETM youth will reach the end of the first post-service year during the three-year period covered by PRA clearance, 80 percent of these youth will respond to requests for information, and staff will obtain administrative data for 100 percent of the youth.

<sup>r</sup> The total annual number of respondents is a sum of the rows in the table above and does not adjust for potential overlap between respondent groups across rows.

**Sheng Li,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2025-18212 Filed 9-18-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-HX-P**

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**TIME AND DATE:** The Audit, Governance and Performance Review, and Operations and Regulations Committees of the Legal Services Corporation (LSC) Board of Directors will meet virtually on September 29, October 6, and October 7, 2025, respectively. The Audit Committee meeting will begin on September 29 at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time and will continue until the conclusion of the Committee's agenda. On October 6, the Governance and Performance Review Committee meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time and will continue until the conclusion of the Committee's agenda. On October 7, the Operations and Regulations Committee meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time and will continue until the conclusion of the Committee's agenda.

**PLACE:** Public Notice of Virtual Meeting. LSC will conduct the September 29, October 6 and October 7, 2025, meetings via videoconference. Unless otherwise noted herein, the meetings will be open to public observation via LSC's YouTube channel: <https://www.youtube.com/@LegalServicesCorp/streams>.

**STATUS:** Open, except as noted below.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

#### Monday, September 29, 2025—Audit Committee Meeting

##### PORTIONS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC:

1. Approval of Agenda
2. Approval of Minutes of Committee's Open Session Meeting on July 15, 2025
3. Briefing on Chief Financial Officers Bootcamp
4. Update on the Scope and Plan for LSC's Forthcoming Annual Financial Statement Audit
5. Briefing by the Office of Inspector General, to include:
  - a. Update on key activities and accomplishments over the last

- quarter, and overview of plans and key priorities for the next quarter;
- b. Highlights of recently completed audit work, open recommendations as reported in the latest Semi-Annual Report to Congress, ongoing work, and plans for the next quarter; and
- c. Highlights of recently completed investigative work, ongoing work, and plans for the next quarter.
6. Review LSC's Efforts, Including Training and Education, to Help Ensure That LSC Employees and Grantees Act Ethically and Safeguard LSC Funds
7. Management Update Regarding Risk Management
8. Office of Compliance and Enforcement Briefing on Referrals by the Office of Inspector General Regarding Audit Reports and Annual Financial Statement Audits of Grantees
9. Consider and Act on Other Business
10. Consider and Act on Motion to Adjourn the Open Session Meeting and Proceed to a Closed Session

##### PORTIONS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC:

1. Approval of Minutes of Committee's Closed Session Meeting on July 15, 2025
2. Office of Compliance and Enforcement Briefing on Active Enforcement Matter(s) and Follow-up on Open Investigation Referrals To and From the Office of Inspector General
3. Briefing by LSC Management Regarding Significant Grantee Oversight Activities
4. Consider and Act on Motion to Adjourn the Meeting

#### Monday, October 6, 2025—Governance and Performance Review Committee Meeting

1. Approval of Agenda
2. Approval of Minutes of the Committee's Meeting on July 9, 2025
3. Preview of Annual Board and Committee Evaluation Process
4. Consider and Act on Other Business
5. Consider and Act on Motion to Adjourn the Committee Meeting

#### Tuesday, October 7, 2025—Operations and Regulations Committee Meeting

1. Approval of agenda

2. Approval of Minutes of the Committee's Open Session Meeting on June 13, 2025
3. Proposed Rulemaking Timeline for 2025-2026
4. Consider and Act on Other Business
5. Consider and Act on Adjournment of Meeting

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jessica Wechter, Special Assistant to the President, at (202) 295-1500. Questions may also be sent by electronic mail to the Office of the Corporate Secretary at [updates@lsc.gov](mailto:updates@lsc.gov).

**Non-Confidential Meeting Materials:** Non-confidential meeting materials will be made available in electronic format at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting on the LSC website, at <https://www.lsc.gov/about-lsc/board-meeting-materials>.

(Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552b.)

Dated: September 16, 2025.

**Stefanie Davis,**

*Deputy General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 2025-18129 Filed 9-17-25; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7050-01-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[NASA Document Number: 25-039]

### Name of Information Collection: Crew Health and Performance Exploration Analog Crew Application

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of new information collection.

**SUMMARY:** NASA, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.

**DATES:** Comments are due by October 20, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain).